Meta-Analysis: Effects of Zinc Supplementation Alone or with Multi-Nutrients, on Glucose Control and Lipid Levels in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes

Sadegh Jafarnejad¹, Sepideh Mahboobi², Lynne V. McFarland³, Mohsen Taghizadeh¹, and Fatemeh Rahimi⁴

¹Research Center for Biochemistry and Nutrition in Metabolic Diseases, Kashan University of Medical Sciences, Kashan 87137-81147, Iran ²Department of Community Nutrition, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz 71348-14336, Iran

³Department of Medicinal Chemistry, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195-5502, USA

⁴Faculty of Public Health, Kermanshah University of Medical Science, Kermanshah 67158-47141, Iran

ABSTRACT: The present study aims to assess the effects of zinc supplementation on metabolic parameters in patients with type 2 diabetes. A literature search was conducted in PubMedTM, Google ScholarTM, and ScopusTM up to March 2018. Twenty randomized controlled trials met the predefined inclusion criteria and were included in the meta-analysis. Weighted mean difference (WMD) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated for net changes in glycemic indices including fasting blood glucose (FBG) and hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c), and in lipid markers including total cholesterol (TC), triglyceride (TG), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-c), and high density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-c). Subgroup analyses were performed based on intervention and study quality. Compared to controls, zinc supplementation significantly reduced the concentrations of both FBG and HbA1c (FBG WMD: -19.66 mg/dL, 95% CI: -33.71, -5.62; HbA1c WMD: -0.43 mg/dL, 95% CI: -0.80, -0.07). The pooled estimate showed a significant decrease in serum TC and LDL-c, and increase in serum HDL-c levels in treatment group compared with the control group (TC WMD: -18.51 mg/dL, 95% CI: -21.36, -15.66; LDL-c WMD: -4.80 mg/dL, 95% CI: -6.07, -3.53; HDL-c WMD: 1.45 mg/dL, 95% CI: 1.40, 1.51). Subgroup analysis of "no co-supplement" intervention demonstrated significant differences for mean changes in HDL-c and FBG levels, whereas subgroup analysis of high quality studies showed significant differences for mean changes in HDL-c, HDL-c, and FBG levels. Results suggested that zinc supplementation reduces FBG, HbA1c and LDL-c levels and increases HDL-C levels; however, these changes were related to intervention and quality of studies.

Keywords: zinc supplementation, glycemic status, lipid profile, type 2 diabetes, meta-analysis

INTRODUCTION

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a chronic and progressive disease characterized by high blood glucose concentrations resulting from defects in insulin secretion and/or insulin action (1). The global prevalence of diabetes and pre-diabetes is increasing because of population growth, aging, urbanization, lifestyle changes, and the high prevalence of obesity (2). Diabetes has various adverse effects on the cardiovascular system, vision, kidney function, and the nervous system, leading to increased risk of morbidity and mortality (3). The number of people suffering from diabetes has risen from 108 million in 1980 to 422 million in 2014, with high prevalence in middle- and lowincome countries (4).

The mechanisms that result in type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) development are complicated. Some studies suggest supplementation with zinc may alter T2DM characteristics, including dyslipidemia, chronic hyperglycemia, and insulin resistance (1). Both hypozincemia and hyperzincuria have been observed in diabetic patients, which may increase the incidence of bacterial attack due to overexpression of various inflammatory factors or may reduce zinc availability to the brain (5). Zinc, as an essential mineral, plays a critical role in various biological processes, including as a cofactor in antioxidant enzymes, and in insulin action and carbohydrate metabolism (6, 7). Zinc is involved in insulin crystallization and insulin

Correspondence to Sadegh Jafarnejad, Tel: +98-31-5546-3378, E-mail: sjafarnejad@alumnus.tums.ac.ir

Received 11 May 2018; Accepted 7 November 2018; Published online 31 March 2019

Author information: Sadegh Jafarnejad (Professor), Sepideh Mahboobi (Instructor), Lynne V. McFarland (Professor), Mohsen Taghizadeh (Professor), Fatemeh Rahimi (Instructor)

Copyright © 2019 by The Korean Society of Food Science and Nutrition. All rights Reserved.

[☺] This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

signaling by inducing activation of the phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K)/Akt cascade, which mediates glucose metabolism (1). Animal and human studies have demonstrated the beneficial effects of zinc supplementation on fasting insulin levels, and fasting glucose and lipid profiles in both type-1 and type-2 diabetic patients; however, may of these results have been contradicted in other studies. A systemic review and meta-analysis of the effect of zinc supplementation on diabetes was performed by Jayawardena et al. (8). The authors observed that zinc exhibits beneficial effect on glycemic control, lipid parameters, and systolic and diastolic blood pressure. However, in another meta-analysis by Foster et al. (9), no beneficial effects of zinc supplementation were observed on lipid profiles in humans.

Currently, there are no up-to-date systemic reviews or meta-analyses examining zinc supplementation on glycemic control and lipid parameters in subjects with T2DM based on intervention and study qualities. The present study aimed to systematically assess the effects of zinc supplementation on markers of glycemic control [glucose, insulin, and hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c)] and lipid profiles [total cholesterol (TC), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-c), high density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-c), and triglycerides (TG)] in humans, and to conduct a meta-analysis of qualified controlled trials to quantify the effect of zinc supplementation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was conducted in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) statement for interventional research (10).

Search strategy and study selection

A comprehensive search was conducted from January 1990 to March 2018. The Pubmed[™] database, Google ScholarTM, and ScopusTM were used to obtain relevant articles based on inclusion criteria. Two groups of medical subject headings (MeSH) and non-MeSH keywords were used as search terms. Group 1: "Zn", "zinc", and "zinc supplementation"; group 2: "glycemic control", and lipid indices ("cholesterol", "plasma lipids", "triglycerides", "HDL-c", "LDL-c", "serum lipids", "FBS", "FBG", "fasting blood glucose", "diabetes", or "T2DM"). Studies were limited to those in human, clinical trials, and those published in English. The titles and abstracts were screened to determine study eligibility. Studies were retrieved if they were human clinical trials that measured the effects of zinc supplementation on at least one of the following outcomes: changes in HDL-c, LDL-c, TC, TG, fasting blood glucose (FBG), and HbA1c.

Inclusion criteria

We used the following inclusion criteria: 1) controlled trial; 2) studies focusing on the effect of Zn on glycemic control, including HDL-c, LDL-c, TG, TC, FBG, and HbA1c; 3) age of study population \geq 18 year; and 4) patients with type 2 diabetes. We additionally assessed the methodology of each trial and used data in this study that related to the outcome measures: glucose concentration, HbA1C, Zn, TG, LDL-c, HDL-c, and TC, at both baseline and post intervention.

Exclusion criteria

Exclusion criteria were as follows: 1) papers that were not available in English; (2) papers containing insufficient data required for meta-analysis; (3) papers that did not allow access to full texts or relevant data; (4) papers which were not original publications (including reviews, mini-reviews, letters, and comments); and (5) duplications.

Data extraction and quality assessment

The key data we collected from each of the studies were as follows: year of publication, country where the study was conducted, mean/range subject age, sample size of intervention and control groups, clinical condition of subjects, details of intervention (including the dosage of zinc supplementation in gram per day), type of the diet or intervention in the control group, intervention period, and significant outcomes. In addition, serum levels of FBG, TC, LDL-c, HDL-c, and TG were reported in mg/dL and HbA1c was reported as the percentage of total haemoglobin. For papers containing data in mmol/L, a numerical conversion to mg/dL was calculated based on molecular weight. Serum HbA1c was represented as a percentage.

The Jadad scale was used as a scale to independently assess the methodological quality of the clinical trials. Jadad scoring were applied to each study, which assigns values ranging from 0 to 5 based on three parameters (randomization, blinding, and follow-up) (11). For randomization, each study gets one point if randomization was mentioned, and one additional point if the method of randomization was appropriate. Moreover, one point is subtracted if the method of randomization was inappropriate. With regards to blinding, the study is assigned one point if blinding was mentioned, one additional point if the method of blinding was appropriate and one point for follow-up. One point is subtracted if the method of blinding was described inappropriately (the fate of all the subjects including the number and the cause of the subject's attrition).

Statistical analysis

The meta-analysis was performed using Review Manager

Software (RevMan 5.3; Cochrane Collaboration, Oxford, England). Changes is metabolic factor from baseline to the final measurement were calculated as mean differences (MD) with the 95% confidence interval (CIs) (12). Cohen's d was used to calculate the effect size comparison using comprehensive meta-analysis software program version 2. According to Cohen's suggestion (13), effect sizes of 0.2 are small, 0.5 are medium, and 0.8 or more are large.

A threshold of P<0.1 was set to identify the presence of heterogeneity. Inconsistency between the studies was additionally considered significant when I²>50%. A fixed effects model was used if I²<50% and *P*>0.1. A random effects model was used if I²>50% and *P*<0.1.

To identify the influence of confounding factors, subgroup analysis were conducted according to the Cochrane guidelines (14). To determine the source of heterogeneity, we divided two subgroup analyses according to their design: 1) Based on the quality of the articles (those with three points or higher were placed in a low risk group of bias and those with lower than three points were placed in a high risk group); 2) Based on the intervention of zinc supplementation either alone or in combination with other co-supplements.

The potential for publication bias was investigated by visual inspection of a funnel plot. In the test, standard errors were plotted against the effect size for each study. If publication bias exists, the funnel plot demonstrates an asymmetric shape. The Egger regression asymmetry test was used to test the asymmetry of the funnel plot and Egger's weighted regression test was used to statistically examine and verify any potential publication bias.

RESULTS

Search results and study selection

A flow chart showing the process of literature search and selection is presented in Fig. 1. During the literature search, we identified 256 relevant articles, of which 155 total abstracts were excluded due to duplications (n=21), non-English studies (n=14), reviews (n=11), and 109 irrelevant articles such as case reports, editorials, and letters. The remaining articles were considered for full-text review. An additional 81 articles were excluded, which included preclinical studies or those containing inadequate characterization of patients, insufficient reporting of data or irrelevant outcomes. Finally, based on our defined inclusion and exclusion criteria, 20 papers were included in the meta-analysis (Fig. 1).

Study characteristics and quality assessment

Characteristics of the included studies are shown in Table 1. The dates of publication ranged from 1992 to 2016



Fig. 1. Flow diagram of included and excluded studies.

(15-36). The number of participants per study ranged from 13 to 60, and subject ages ranged from 18 to 73 years old. The studies investigated either the effects of zinc supplementation alone (15,17-19,26-28,31-34,36) or in combination with the other minerals and/or vitamins (16,20-25,29,30,35).

The dosage of zinc used in these trials ranged from 7.5 to 660 mg/d. The zinc compounds used in the trials included zinc sulfate (15,17,19-28,32,33,36,37), zinc chloride (16), zinc glucoronate (31,34), zinc phosphate (28), and zinc acetate (29,30). The length of follow up varied from 3 weeks to 6 months. Based on previous meta-analysis studies, which suggested studies with Jadad scores \geq 3 are high quality studies (38-40), and according to the quality checklist, six studies (16,19,23,29,32,33) were considered to be of high-quality, while the other fourteen studies (15,17,20-22,24-28,30,31,34,36) were considered to be low-quality (Table 1).

The effects of zinc on blood glucose and lipid concentration

Since varying units for applied indices (including TG, TC, LDL-c, HDL-c, and FBG) were used in the included studies, all were converted to the same unit (mg/dL) for comparison. The test for overall heterogeneity indicated that zinc significantly effects all the investigated glycemic indices (Fig. 2). Subgroup analyses was therefore performed to identify potential factors that could contribute to the heterogeneity; however, heterogeneity remained. Due to the observed heterogeneity between trials (mean

Table 1. Ch	aracteristi	ics of inc	luded trials							
No. of subjects in case group	No. of controls	Gender	Age (mean±SD)	Follow-up duration	Dosage	Co-supplements	Baseline values (mg/dL) (HbA1c: %)	Significant outcome	Jadad F score	leference no.
20	20	F/M	52.7±8.6	6∼12 weeks	ZnSO4; 660 mg/d	None	FBG=156.10±50.30; HbA1c=7.83±1.53; TG=216.92±113.92; TC=170.97±44.29; LDL-c=92.45±34.63; HDL-c=47.92±15.30	Reduction in TG, TC, LDL, and HbA1c	5	15
50	51	F/M	54.6±9.2	3 months	ZnSO4; 30 mg/d	None	FBG=190.99±106.30; HbA1c=8.1±1.5	Reduction in HbA1c	ω	17
30	30	F/M	30~70	8 weeks	ZnSO ₄ ; 40 mg/d	None	FBG=172.23±23.83: HbA1c=9.56±0.71; TG=166.08±31.93: TC=203.00±16.36; LDL-c=132.85±14.11; HDL-c=40.62±4.79	FBG, HbA1c, and lipid profile were significantly reduced	4	19
20	25	F/M	52.5±8.2	4 months	ZnSO ₄ ; 20 mg/d	Mg ²⁺ , vitamin B1, B2, B6, B12, C, E, folate, biotin	FBG=178.4±40.7; HbA1c=9.4±0.9	Reduced severity of neuropathy symptoms in patients with mild-moderate neuropathy	m	22
51	18	F/M	50.0±9.0	3 months	ZnSO <i>_di</i> 30 mg/d	Mg ^{2*,} vitamin C, E	FBG=171.35±50.45; HbA1c=10.38±2.70; LDL-c=106,94±23.16; HDL-c=40.15±15.83	Decreasing fasting serum glucose and malondialdehyde concentrations: increasing HDL-c and apolipoprotein A1 in MV group: improvement of glomerular but not tubular renal function	ო	21
51	18	F/M	50.3±8.2	3 months	ZnSO4; 30 mg/d	Mg ²⁺ , vitamin C, E	TG=167.0±84.5; TC=181.1±33.1 LDL-c=107.4±23.1; HDL-c=40.3±15.5	mean serum levels of HDL-c and apo A1 increased significantly in the MV group	m	20
36	12	ш	65.0±7.8	3 months	ZnSO ₄ ; 40 mg/d	flaxseed oil: 2 g/d	FBG=122.52±9.0; HbA1c=6.6±0.3; TG=97.35±17.7; TC=154.44±11.58; LDL-c=77.22±7.72; HDL-c=61.77±3.86	No statistically significant effects of zinc supplementation on HbA1c, serum glucose, and insulin concentrations, or HOMA-IR observed	4	23
60	36	F/M	53.4±7.2	4 months	ZnSO4; 22 mg/d	Mg ²⁺ , Cu ²⁺ , Se ² , and multivitamin	FBG=112.43±16.93; HbA1c=7.50±1.30; LDL-c=100.38±32.43; HDL-c=52.12±8.49	Reduced HbA1c, FBG, 2-h PPBS, TC, and TC/HDL-c ration	7	24
60	36	F/M	54.6±7.0	4 months	ZnSO4; 22 mg/d	Multivitamin (vitamin A, D3, and E)/mineral preparation (Mg ²⁺ , Mn ²⁺ Cu ²⁺ , and Se ²⁺)	FBG=112.43±16.93; HbA1c=7.50±1.30; LDL-c=100.38±32.43; HDL-c=52.12±8.49	Better glycemic control of diabetic patients who had high baseline FBS levels by zinc supplementation with other multi vitamins	7	25
0c	20	F/M	49.9±11.0	6 weeks	ZnSO4; 660 mg/d	None	No data	Reduced FBG and 2 h-PPBS, improved motor nerve conductionvelocity	ო	26

Zinc Supplementation on Metabolic Indicators

11

Jadad Reference score no.	5, 1 27	1 28	5 4 29	1 30	ed 5 16	ed 1 31	4 32	ed 4 33	ed 2 34	1 36	asting blood glucose;
Significant outcome	Reduced FBG and 2 h-PPBS improved motor nerve conductionvelocity	Increased serum insulin; reduced FBG and glucagon	Reduced FBG and 2-h PPBS	Reduced TC, TG, LDL-c, and microalbuminuria	No beneficial effects observe	No beneficial effects observe	Reduced urinary albumin excretion	Reduced TC and TG; increas HDL-c	No beneficial effects observe	the mean FBS, PPBS, and glycosylated HbA1c were decreased significantly	lipoprotein cholesterol; FBG, fa ing blood sugar.
Baseline values (mg/dL) (HbA1c: %)	FBG=182.34±12.79	FBG=233.35±44.75	FBG=155.13±10.99; HbA1c=7.60±0.47	TG=216.81±7.08; TC=206.94±7.72; LDL-c=125.86±4.63; HDL-c=35.90±3.08	FBG=141.5±37.3; HbA1c=7.3±0.9; TG=192.9±124.1; TC=198.0±45.2; LDL-c=111.8±39.9; HDL-c=53.8±13.8	FBG=143.0±47.48; HbA1c=7.62±1.25	FBG=167.0±46.0; HbA1c=8.0±1.4; TG=159.0±77.0; TC=183.0±37.0; LDL-c=105.0±34.0; HDL-c=45.0±12.0	FBG=160.61±62.53; LDL-c=101.03±37.57; HDL-c=41.55±12.90	FBG=149,4±50.4; TG=130.09±46.02; TC=176.06±31.66; LDL-c=106.56±27.79; HDL-c=41.31±10.42	FBG=147.09±39.7 <i>6</i> ; HbA1c=8.35±0.87; TG=155.48±41.03; TC=151.52±19.10; LDL-c=89.96±20.74; HDL-c=30.04±6.41	strotein cholesterol; HDL-c, high-density l 5, post-prandial blood glucose; FBS, fast
Co-supplements	None	None	Melatonin	Melatonin; 10 mg	Chromium; 100 µg	None	None	None	None	None	c, low-density lipop in resistance; PPBS
Dosage	ZnSO4; 660 mg/d	Zn ₃ (PO ₄) ₂ ; 28 mg/d	Zn acetate; 50 mg/d	Zn acetate; 50 mg/d	Zn chloride; 15 mg/d	Zn gluconate; 50 mg/d	ZnSO4; 30 mg/d	ZnSO4; 100 mg/d	Zn gluconate; 240 mg/d	ZnSO4; 50 mg/d	essment-insul
Follow-up duration	6 weeks	3 weeks	3 months	3 months	12 weeks	4 weeks	6 months	4 months	3 months	3 months	TC, total chc is model ass
Age (mean±SD)	50.9±11.1	26~62	49.1±6.0	49.1±6.0	63.4±6.8	54.9±11.05	53.2±9.2	51.70±7.13	56.0±7.5	56.3±7.6	riglycerides;
Gender	F/M	F/M	F/M	F/M	F/M	F/M	F/M	Σ	Σ	Σ	1c; TG, t HOMA-IR
No. of controls	20	28	15	15	15	76	25	15	20	27	oglobin A /vitamin;
NO. OT subjects in case group	40	28	31	31	16	72	25	15	20	27	HbA1c, hem MV, mineral,

12

Table 1. Continued

Jafarnejad et al.

Α	Exp	erimer	ntal	C	Control			Mean difference	Mean differen	се	
Reference no.	Mean	SD	Tota	Mean	SD	Total	Weight (%)	IV, random, 95% CI	IV, random, 95%	6 CI	
15	-16.1	19.3	20	1.9	9.2	20	6.20	-18.00 [-27.37, -8.63]			
17	-7.2	20.4	43	18.0	15.9	43	6.30	-25.20 [-32.93, -17.47]			
19	-54.61	8.7	26	3.34	8.4	30	6.30	-57.95 [-62.45, -53.45]			
21	3.1	17.0	16	10.8	16.5	9	6.00	-7.70 [-21.32, 5.92]			
22	-5.3	13.2	23	-6.0	14.9	22	6.20	0.70 [-7.54, 8.94]	+		
23	-0.1	0.2	12	-0.4	0.2	5	6.40	0.30 [0.09, 0.51]	l †		
25	-6.3	4.8	28	7.9	6.5	32	6.40	-14.20 [-17.07, -11.33]	*		
26	-24.1	1.8	15	-5.5	11.7	15	6.30	-18.60 [-24.59, -12.61]			
27	-35.5	3.8	20	-1.8	10.2	20	6.30	-33.70 [-38.47, -28.93]			
28	-31.2	13.3	20	5.8	16.7	20	6.20	-37.00 [-46.36, -27.64]			
29	-87.7	4.3	18	-16.2	5.8	15	6.40	-71.50 [-75.04, -67.96]	*		
31	-11.5	13.3	44	-13.5	29.3	32	6.10	2.00 [-8.89, 12.89]			
32	-0.8	17.6	39	-8.1	17.1	39	6.30	7.30 [-0.40, 15.00]			
33	10.4	19.7	27	6.8	15.1	27	6.20	3.60 [-5.76, 12.96]			
34	-9.0	11.0	20	-7.2	18.2	20	6.20	-1.80 [-11.12, 7.52]			
36	-33.35	18.5	23	7.52	9.2	21	6.20	-40.87 [-49.39, -32.35]			
Total (95% CI)	2		394			370	100.0	-19.66 [-33.71, -5.62]	•		
Heterogeneity:	Tau ⁻ =805	.64; Cl	hi [*] =2,7	33.45,	df=15	(P<0.0	00001); l ² =99	%			
Test for overall	effect: Z=	2.74 (/	P=0.00)6)					Favours	50 IL Favours	10
									[experimental]	[control]	
В											
-	Exp	erimer	ntal Tetel	C	Control	T-4-1		Mean difference	Mean differen	ce	
Reference no.	wean	50	Iota	wean	50	Iotal	vveight (%)) IV, random, 95% CI	IV, random, 95%		
15	-0.8	0.4	20	-0.1	0.2	20	7.80	-0.70 [-0.90, -0.50]	-8-		
17	-0.3	0.3	43	0.0	0.3	43	7.90	-0.30 [-0.43, -0.17]	+		
19	-0.71	0.1	26	-0.1	0.2	30	8.00	-0.61 [-0.69, -0.53]			
21	0.3	0.9	16	0.8	0.7	9	6.40	-0.50 [-1.14, 0.14]			
22	-0.3	0.3	22	-0.3	0.4	23	7.80	0.00 [-0.21, 0.21]	+		
23	0.1	0.1	12	0.0	0.1	5	8.00	0.10 [-0.00, 0.20]	0-		
25	-0.9	0.3	28	0.4	0.4	32	7.90	-1.30 [-1.48, -1.12]	-=-		
29	-2.0	0.1	18	-1.1	0.2	15	8.00	-0.90 [-1.01, -0.79]	+		
31	-0.09	0.2	44	-0.02	0.3	32	7.90	-0.07 [-0.19, 0.05]	4		
32	-0.5	0.6	39	-0.3	0.6	39	7.70	-0.20 [-0.47, 0.07]			
33	-0.2	1.0	27	0.1	1.0	27	6.80	-0.30 [-0.83, 0.23]			
34	0.2	0.4	20	-0.6	0.3	20	7.80	0.80 [0.58, 1.02]			
36	-1.44	0.2	23	0.16	0.1	21	8.00	-1.60 [-1.69, -1.51]			
Total (95% CI)			338			316	100.0	-0.43 [-0.80, -0.07]	•		
Heterogeneity:	Tau ² =0.43	3; Chi ² :	=1,009	9.30, df=	=12 (<i>P</i>	<0.00	001); I ² =99%			<u>_</u>	ł
Test for overall	effect: Z=	2.33 (/	P=0.02	2)					-4 -2 0 Favours	2 4 Favours	1
									lexperimental		

Fig. 2. Forest plots showing the association between zinc supplementation and the glycemic indices; (A) fasting blood glucose (FBG) and (B) hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c).

change of almost all the indicators) the random effect model was used to pooling data.

The serum level of FBG was analyzed in sixteen trials of the included studies (15,17,19,21-23,25-29,31-34,36). The pooled estimate showed significant differences between the mean changes of FBG and HbA1c in the treatment group compared with the control group (FBG WMD: -19.66 mg/dL, 95% CI: -33.71, -5.62; *P* for heterogeneity <0.00001, I²=99%) (Fig. 2A). The mean change for HbA1c was calculated in thirteen of the included studies (15,17,19,21-23,25,29,31-34,36). The to-

tal mean difference for HbA1c was -0.43 (95% CI: -0.80, -0.07; *P* for heterogeneity <0.00001, I²=99%) (Fig. 2B). The average percent change from baseline for FBG and HbA1c in the treated group were 9.7% and 6.3%, respectively.

The serum level of TG was analyzed in thirteen of the included trials (15,16,19-21,23-25,30,32-34,36). The pooled mean net change of TG in the treatment group was -0.32 compared with the control group and was not statistically significant (95% CI: -1.30, 0.66; *P* for heterogeneity <0.00001, I²=96%) (Fig. 3A). The total se-

Α	-		-4-1		Original			N 4		
Reference no.	Exp Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight (%)	IV, random, 95% Cl	IViean diffe IV, random, 9	95% Cl
15	89.6	33.7	20	-8.8	31.0	20	0.20	98.40 [78.33, 118.47]		
19	-21.85	29.3	26	14.5	37.5	30	0.30	-36.35 [-53.87, -18.83]		
20	25.1	30.2	16	-8.6	87.5	9	0.00	33.70 [-25.35, 92.75]		
21	24.9	34.1	16	-8.0	30.4	9	0.10	32.90 [6.95, 58.85]		
23	0.2	0.2	12	0.0	0.1	5	31.00	0.20 [0.06, 0.34]	÷	
24	-1.8	10.3	28	-1.8	10.5	32	3.10	0.00 [-5.27, 5.27]	+	
25	-0.02	0.4	28	-0.02	0.4	32	30.70	0.00 [-0.20, 0.20]		
30	-0.62	0.1	13	0.18	0.1	8	31.10	-0.80 [-0.89, -0.71]		
16	-8.5	10.3	16	-11.0	12.3	15	1.40	2.50 [-5.51, 10.51]		
32	-16.0	25.0	39	-16.3	28.7	39	0.70	0.30 [-11.65, 12.25]		
33	-29.7	22.2	27	1.4	16.1	27	0.90	-31.10 [-41.44, -20.76]		
34	8.0	15.1	20	0.0	38.5	20	0.30	8.00 [-10.12, 26.12]	-+	-
36	-43.87	33.4	23	21.2	49.6	21	0.20	-65.07 [-90.30, -39.84]		
Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: T	au ² =0.80); Chi ² :	284 =335.96	, df=12 ((P<0.000	267 01); l ² =9	100.00 16%	-0.32 [-1.30, 0.66]	⊢ ⊢	
Test for overall e	effect: Z=	0.64 (<i>I</i>	>=0. 52)		,			-	100 –50 0 Favours [experimental]	50 100 Favours [control]
В	Exr	erime	ntal		Control			Mean difference	Mean diffe	rence
Reference no.	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight (%)	IV, random, 95% Cl	IV, random, 9	95% Cl
15	-34.6	13.0	20	97.0	12.3	20	6.50 -	131.60 [-139.44, -123.76]	•	
19	-35.69	15.0	26	16.73	34.4	30	3.30	-52.42 [-66.01, -38.83]		
20	1.4	11.1	16	3.6	26.0	9	2.10	-2.20 [-20.04, 15.64]		
21	1.9	11.1	16	3.9	26.3	9	2.10	-2.00 [-20.02, 16.02]		
23	0.0	0.3	12	0.0	0.3	5	12.80	0.00 [-0.31, 0.31]	1	
24	-30.4	9.8	28	13.3	9.4	32	9.30	-43.70 [-48.58, -38.82]		
25	-0.79	0.9	28	0.26	0.9	32	12.80	-1.05 [-1.51, -0.59]		
30	-0.59	0.1	13	0.63	0.2	8	12.80	-1.22 [-1.37, -1.07]		
16	-6.5	4.2	16	5.5	3.7	15	11.40	-12.00 [-14.78, -9.22]		
32	-8.9	10.5	39	-12.2	10.2	39	9.60	3.30 [-1.29, 7.89]		
33	-18.8	12.0	27	2.0	10.7	27	8.10	-20.80 [-26.86, -14.74]	-8-	
34	-1.2	10.8	20	5.5	17.8	20	5.60	-6.70 [-15.82, 2.42]		
36	-11.78	17.7	23	6.81	25.8	21	3.40	-18.59 [-31.78, -5.40]		
Total (95% CI)			284			267	100.00	-18.51 [-21.36, -15.66]	•	
Heterogeneity: T	au ² =16.5	50; Chi	² =1,572	.53, df=	12 (<i>P</i> <0.0)0001); I	² =99%			50 100
Test for overall e	effect: Z=	12.72	(<i>P</i> <0.00	001)				-	Favours [experimental]	Favours [control]

Fig. 3. Forest plots showing the association between zinc supplementation and serum lipid indices; (A) triglyceride (TG), (B) total cholesterol (TC), (C) low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-c), and (D) high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-c).

rum cholesterol level was measured in thirteen of the included trials (15,16,19-21,23-25,30,32-34,36). The pooled estimate showed a significant decrease in the amount of serum TC in the treatment group compared with the control group (WMD: -18.51 mg/dL; 95% CI: -21.36, -15.66; *P* for heterogeneity <0.00001, I²=99%) (Fig. 3B). In addition, thirteen of the included trials investigated the effects of zinc supplements on the levels of LDL-c and HDL-c (15,16,19-21,23-25,30,32-34,36). The pooled mean net change in serum LDL-c was -4.80 in the treatment group (95% CI: -6.07, -3.53; *P* for heterogeneity <0.00001, I²=97%), which was significantly different from controls (Fig. 3C). The pooled WMD for HDL-c was 1.45 mg/dL (95% CI: 1.40, 1.51; *P* for heterogeneity <0.00001, I^2 =100%), suggestive of a significant difference in the mean change of HDL-c between the two groups (Fig. 3D). The average percent change from baseline for LDL-c and HDL-c in the treated group were 5.1% and 7.7%, respectively.

Effect of additional supplements

In addition, we performed a subgroup analysis based on the intervention (with co-supplement vs. no co-supplement), shown in Table 2. Significant differences in the mean change of TC, LDL-c, HDL-c, and FBG levels were observed during subgroup analysis by "with co-supplement" intervention (TC WMD: -2.31 mg/dL, 95% CI: -3.35 to -1.27; LDL-c WMD: -0.53 mg/dL, 95% CI:

С	Exp	erime	ntal		Control			Mean difference	Mean difference
Reference no.	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight (%)	IV, random, 95% CI	IV, random, 95% Cl
15	-29.3	9.8	20	-3.2	9.3	20	3.70	-26.10 [-32.02, -20.18]	+
19	-33.77	11.4	26	5.35	13.0	30	3.20	-39.12 [-45.51, -32.73]	
20	-1.9	8.7	16	7.3	9.3	9	2.50	-9.20 [-16.62, -1.78]	
21	-1.9	8.8	16	5.8	10.8	9	2.10	-7.70 [-15.97, 0.57]	
23	0.0	0.2	12	0.1	0.2	5	18.40	-0.10 [-0.31, 0.11]	
24	-2.3	8.4	28	13.3	8.5	32	5.90	-15.60 [-19.88, -11.32]	*
25	-0.06	0.7	28	0.26	0.8	32	18.20	-0.32 [-0.70, 0.06]	-
30	-0.72	0.1	13	-0.23	0.2	8	18.40	-0.49 [-0.64, -0.34]	
16	-5.0	3.0	16	3.0	2.2	15	13.30	-8.00 [-9.84, -6.16]	
32	0.8	10.0	39	-6.5	8.4	39	6.30	7.30 [3.20, 11.40]	*
33	3.1	10.3	27	6.4	7.8	27	4.90	-3.30 [-8.17, 1.57]	
34	9.8	10.8	20	17.9	16.2	20	2.00	-8.10 [-16.63, 0.43]	
36	-12.0	16.7	23	2.37	21.2	21	1.20	-14.37 [-25.72, -3.02]	
Total (95% CI)	0	2	284			267	100.00	-4.80 [-6.07, -3.53]	•
Heterogeneity: Ta	au ² =2.26;	Chi ² =	368.66,	df=12 (/	P<0.0000)1); ² =97	7%		
Test for overall ef	fect: Z=7	.43 (P	<0.000)1)				-	100 -50 0 50 100 Eavours Eavours
									[experimental] [control]
D	_								
Boforonco no	Exp	erime	ntal	Moon	Control	Total	$M_{aight}(0)$	Mean difference	Mean difference
	Inean	30	Total	Mean	30	TOLA	weight (%)		
15	7.3	5.4	20	0.2	6.1	20	0.00	7.10 [3.53, 10.67]	
19	10.53	5.3	26	-2.27	8.0	30	0.00	12.80 [9.29, 16.31]	-
20	-1.7	4.8	16	-3.7	3.3	9	0.00	2.00 [-1.19, 5.19]	-
21	-1.6	4.9	16	-3.9	3.3	9	0.00	2.30 [-0.93, 5.53]	
23	-0.1	0.1	12	-0.1	0.1	5	28.40	0.00 [-0.10, 0.10]	Ť
24	3.9	2.8	28	-3.1	1.8	32	0.20	7.00 [5.79, 8.21]	
25	0.1	0.2	28	-0.08	0.2	32	30.00	0.18 [0.08, 0.28]	Ť
30	0.3	0.1	13	-3.1	0.1	8	39.80	3.40 [3.31, 3.49]	-
16	1.0	0.9	16	0.5	0.6	15	1.10	0.50 [-0.04, 1.04]	
32	-3.1	3.2	39	-2.5	3.3	39	0.10	-0.60 [-2.04, 0.84]	1
33	18.4	3.5	27	-7.5	3.4	27	0.10	25.90 [24.06, 27.74]	•
34	-2.7	3.2	20	0.4	0.2	20	0.20	-3.10 [-4.51, -1.69]	•
36	10.79	5.7	23	0.12	7.0	21	0.00	10.67 [6.88, 14.46]	-
Total (95% CI)	2		284		2	267	100.00	1.45 [1.40, 1.51]	
Heterogeneity: C	hi [~] =4,118	93, d	f=12 (<i>P</i> -	<0.0000	1); I ² =100)%			
Test for overall ef	fect: Z=5	1.28 (/	P<0.000	001)				-	-100 -50 0 50 100 Eavours Eavours
									[experimental] [control]
									r ' feerment

Fig. 3. Continued.

-0.96 to -0.10 mg/dL; HDL-c WMD: 1.77 mg/dL, 95% CI: 1.72 to 1.81; FBG WMD: -2.10 mg/dL, 95% CI: -3.57, -0.63), consistent with the overall analysis (Table 2). The "no co-supplement" subgroup analysis demonstrated a significant difference in the mean changes in levels of HDL-c and FBG (HDL-c WMD: 5.38 mg/dL, 95% CI: 4.56 to 6.19; FBG WMD: -28.20 mg/dL, 95% CI: -44.32, -12.08) (Table 2).

Effect of study quality

The subgroup analysis for high-quality studies confirmed a significant difference in the mean change of LDL-c, HDL-c, and FBG (LDL-c WMD: -2.22, 95% CI: -4.16, -0.27; HDL-c WMD: 0.09, 95% CI: 0.02, 0.16; FBG WMD: 0.52, 95% CI: 0.11, 0.93). The low-quality studies showed a significant increase in all indices except for TG, which was consistent with the overall results (TC WMD: -17.66 mg/dL, 95% CI: -20.17, -15.15; LDL-c WMD: -20.37 mg/dL, 95% CI: -22.32, -18.43; HDL-c WMD: 2.60 mg/dL, 95% CI: 2.55, 2.65; FBG WMD: -27.35 mg/dL, 95% CI: -41.38, -13.32; HbA1c WMD: -0.54%, 95% CI: -0.92, -0.15). However, compared with the overall results, heterogeneity was not related to decreases in the subgroup analysis of interventions and quality assessment of trials (Table 2).

Effect size

To explore the effectiveness of zinc supplementation, the size of the effect within the 20 studies included in this meta-analysis was explored. Zinc supplementation great-

Table 2.	Subgroup	analysis
----------	----------	----------

Culture	Interv	vention	Quality of	study
Subgroup	Co-supplement	No co-supplement	Low quality	High quality
TG				
WMD (95% CI) Test for heterogeneity (I^2 , <i>P</i>) Test for overall effect	-0.24 (-0.63, 0.15) 96%, <i>P</i> <0.00001 <i>P</i> =0.23	-4.21 (-40.59, 32.18) 97%, <i>P</i> <0.00001 <i>P</i> =0.82	-0.43 (-1.03, 0.17) 94%, <i>P</i> <0.00001 <i>P</i> =0.04	-0.01 (-0.63, 0.61) 92%, <i>P</i> <0.00001 <i>P</i> =0.97
Cohen's d (95% Cl)	-0.14 (-1.31, 0.32)	-0.18 (-1.24, 0.87)	-0.49 (-1.30, 0.31)	-0.19 (-1.23, 0.86)
WMD (95% CI) Test for heterogeneity (I^2 , <i>P</i>) Test for overall effect	-2.31 (-3.35, -1.27) 98%, <i>P</i> <0.00001 <i>P</i> <0.0001	-37.79 (-79.91, 4.34) 97%, P <0.00001 P=0.08	-17.66 (-20.17, -15.15) 99%, <i>P</i> <0.00001 <i>P</i> <0.0001	-0.66 (-2.07, 0.75) 94%, <i>P</i> <0.00001 <i>P</i> =0.36
	-1.68 (-2.81, -0.54)	-0.73 (-1.17, -0.63)	-2.35 (-3.35, -1.35)	-0.24 (-1.44, 0.95)
WMD (95% CI) Test for heterogeneity (I^2 , <i>P</i>) Test for overall effect	-0.53 (-0.96, -0.10) 90%, <i>P</i> <0.0001 <i>P</i> <0.02 -1.21 (-2.04, -0.59)	-13.89 (-28.97, 1.19) 97%, P <0.00001 P=0.07 -0.28 (-2.20, -0.12)	-20.37 (-22.32, -18.43) 99%, <i>P</i> <0.00001 <i>P</i> <0.0001 -2.02 (-2.58, -1.47)	-2.22 (-4.16, -0.27) 97%, <i>P</i> <0.00001 <i>P</i> =0.03
	-1.31 (-2.04, -0.37)	-0.37 (-2.20, -0.13)	-2.03 (-2.36, -1.47)	0.01 (0.33, 0.70)
WMD (95% CI) Test for heterogeneity (I ² , <i>P</i>) Test for overall effect Cohen's d (95% CI)	1.77 (1.72, 1.81) 100%, <i>P</i> <0.00001 <i>P</i> <0.00001 1.11 (0.83, 1.40)	5.38 (4.56, 6.19) 99%, <i>P</i> <0.00001 <i>P</i> <0.00001 0.90 (0.64, 1.16)	2.60 (2.55, 2.65) 100%, <i>P</i> <0.00001 <i>P</i> <0.0001 1.12 (0.89, 1.35)	0.09 (0.02, 0.16) 100%, <i>P</i> <0.00001 <i>P</i> =0.02 0.81 (0.33, 0.98)
FBG				
WMD (95% CI) Test for heterogeneity (I^2 , <i>P</i>) Test for overall effect Cohen's d (95% CI)	-2.10 (-3.57, -0.63) 95%, <i>P</i> <0.00001 <i>P</i> =0.005 -0.90 (-1.89, 0.10)	-28.20 (-44.32, -12.08) 99%, <i>P</i> <0.00001 <i>P</i> =0.0006 -2.54 (-3.61, -1.48)	-27.35 (-41.38, -13.32) 99%, <i>P</i> <0.00001 <i>P</i> =0.0001 -2.48 (-3.40, -1.56)	0.52 (0.11, 0.93) 57%, <i>P</i> =0.05 <i>P</i> =0.01 0.82 (0.27, 1.26)
HbA1c				
WMD (95% CI) Test for heterogeneity (I ² , <i>P</i>) Test for overall effect Cohen's d (95% CI)	-0.35 (-0.84, 0.14) 98%, <i>P</i> <0.00001 <i>P</i> =0.16 -0.34 (-1.72, 0.84)	-0.44 (-0.86, -0.01) 99%, <i>P</i> <0.00001 <i>P</i> =0.07 -0.22 (-1.17, 0.47)	-0.54 (-0.92, -0.15) 99%, <i>P</i> <0.00001 <i>P</i> =0.007 -2.12 (-3.26, -0.98)	0.05 (-0.12, 0.21) 71%, <i>P</i> =0.02 <i>P</i> =0.59 0.35 (-0.45, 1.14)

WMD, weighted mean difference; CI, confidence interval; TG, triglycerides; TC, total cholesterol; LDL-c, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HDL-c, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; FBG, fasting blood glucose; HbA1c, hemoglobin A1c.

ly impacted on levels of FBG (d=-1.73, 95% CI: -2.53, -0.94), HbA1c (d=-1.63, 95% CI: -2.55, -0.71), HDL-c (d=1.44, 95% CI: 0.56, 2.33), LDL-c (d=-1.28, 95% CI: -1.95, -0.61), and TC (d=-1.99, 95% CI: -2.87, -1.11) but only had a small, non-significant effect on levels of TG (d=-0.15, 95% CI: -0.79, 0.49) (Fig. 4).

We conducted further subgroup analysis based on intervention and study quality. In studies analyzing the effects of zinc with co-supplements, a large effect size was found for TC (d=-1.68, 95% CI: -2.81, -0.54), LDL-c (d=-1.31, 95% CI: -2.04, -0.59), and HDL-c (d=1.11, 95% CI: 0.83, 1.40). Only FBG had a large and significant effect size in the no co-supplement group (d=-2.54, 95% CI: -3.61, -1.48) (Table 2).

In high quality studies, all outcomes showed small non-significant effect sizes in the intervention group compared with the control group. However, low quality studies showed large effect sizes for HDL-c (d=1.12,

95% CI: 0.89, 1.35), LDL-c (d=-2.03, 95% CI: -2.58, -1.47), TC (d=-2.35, 95% CI: -3.35, -1.35), FBG (d=-2.48, 95% CI: -3.40, -1.56), and HbA1c (d= -2.12, 95% CI: -3.26, -0.98), but small effect sizes for the remaining parameters (Table 2).

Publication bias

In this meta-analysis, publication bias was assessed by examining funnel plot of the effects on LDL-c as a representative index for the lipid profile, and FBG as a representative index for glycemic status. Based on visual inspection of the plots, there was some evidence of publishing bias regarding studies of zinc supplementation on both LDL-c and FBG. This was confirmed by Egger's linear regression (LDL-c: intercept: -3.9; standard error: 1.41; 95% CI: -7.03, -0.79; t=2.75, df=11; two-tailed P=0.01; FBG: intercept: -8.2; standard error: 3.11; 95% CI: -14.90, -1.53; t=2.63, df=14; two-tailed P=0.01) (Fig. 5).



Fig. 4. Results of meta-analysis, Forest plot of point estimate effect sizes reported as Cohen's d (X-axis) evaluating zinc supplementation on (A) fasting blood glucose (FBG), (B) hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c), (C) triglyceride (TG), (D) total cholesterol (TC), (E) low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-c), and (F) high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-c) using the random effects model. Points represent weighted effect size, lines represent 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Triangle indicates overall effect size and 95% CI.



Fig. 5. Funnel plot of studies included in the meta-analysis for the outcome of (A) low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-c) and (B) fasting blood glucose (FBG). MD, mean difference; SE, standard error.

DISCUSSION

Our meta-analysis of 20 clinical trials demonstrated that zinc supplementation leads to a significant reduction in the concentration of all diabetic markers measured except TG. Using Cohen's criteria for effect size categorization (13), we showed that the effect of zinc supplementation was large for FBG, HbA1c, HDL-c, LDL-c, and TC and small for TG. The effects of zinc supplementation were investigated in trials that supplemented zinc as an investigated intervention alone or in combination with the other micronutrients. This meta-analysis showed zinc supplementation significantly reduces overall serum glucose concentrations. Moreover, the analyzed studies indicated that zinc supplementation significantly decreases serum glucose concentration, levels of HbA1c, LDL-c, and TC, and increases concentrations of HDL-c, while it did not significantly effect TG.

Our data demonstrates positive clinical and therapeutical effects of zinc supplements for patients with DM. It suggests that zinc is responsible for improving glycemic and lipid profile controls. In general, these findings are consistent with results of most of the individual studies; of the 20 included studies, only four trials reported no beneficial effects of zinc supplementation on glycemic and lipidemic statuses. The reasons provided are: small sample sizes and low dosages of zinc supplements used (16,23,31,34). Moreover, the diversity of zinc compounds may have affected the results.

The data showed that heterogeneity among studies was significant. Subgroup analysis revealed that the effects of zinc supplement may differ by factors such as intervention regimens (zinc supplements alone or in combination with the other co-supplements) and quality assessment. Subgroup analysis based on quality assessment reduced the high heterogeneity of mean changes of FBG in subgroups of high quality studies.

Subgroup analysis by intervention regimens

Subgroup analysis of intervention regimens (zinc supplements in combination with the co-supplements) indicated that the result of zinc supplementation on metabolic indicators is consistent with the overall analysis, with the exception of for serum HbA1c. There is therefore a discrepancy between the overall results and the subgroup analysis of "co-supplements" and "no co-supplements". Magnesium, vitamin C, and vitamin E were the co-supplements used in most of the included trials and their co-ingestion with zinc could promote further effects on markers of interest. A meta-analysis of 9 randomized controlled trials reported that oral magnesium supplementation was effective in reducing fasting plasma glucose and rising HDL-c in type 2 diabetic subjects, but without inducing significant effects on TC, TG, and LDL-c (41). Paolisso et al. (42) suggested that chronic administration of vitamin C exerts beneficial effects upon glucose and lipid metabolism in elderly type 2 diabetic subjects, however the supporting trials are limited in number. The other most frequently administrated cosupplement was vitamin E; a systematic review and meta-analysis in 2011 suggested no beneficial effects of vitamin E supplementation on glycemic control. However, authors of this study suggested that HbA1c could decrease with vitamin E supplementation in subjects with poor glycemic control or low vitamin E levels (43). Other components analyzed were used sporadically in the trials and were therefore found to have the least effect. However, the roles of these compounds cannot be ignored, especially for vitamin D and chromium.

A previous study has demonstrated a positive relationship between FBS and HbA1c (44), however this association was not supported in others studies, possibly due to individual study designs. Derakhshan et al. (45) did not observe any association between HbA1c and blood glucose in type 1 diabetic patients; this may be explained by the younger ages of subjects in the study and its low power. Another study investigating subjects with diabetics and impaired blood glucose levels showed that the sensitivity and the specificity of HbA1c were 88% and 93.75%, respectively (46). This was similar to the findings by Ghazanfari et al. (47), which concluded that FBS is more reliable than HbA1c for separating diabetic from non-diabetic subjects.

Subgroup analysis based on zinc supplements alone showed significant improvements in HDL-c and FBG levels. Combination of zinc supplemention with other vitamin/mineral supplements exhibited favorable effects on nearly all metabolic indicators. Zinc supplementation alone may therefore affect FBG and HDL-c, but changes to the other investigated metabolic markers may depend on the addition of other co-supplements.

Results from the effect size analysis showed that zinc supplementation in combination with other supplements can induce large changes to levels of TC, HDL-c, and LDL-c, however zinc supplementation alone is likely to only induce a large effect on FBG. In clinical practice, zinc supplements should be combined with other supplements to improve lipid markers in addition to serum FBG.

Subgroup analysis by quality assessment

Another analysis was conducted based on quality assessment. Low quality studies showed significant differences in the mean changes of all investigated indicators. However, in high quality studies, significant differences were found in the mean changes of levels of LDL-c, HDL-c, and FBG. After carrying out analysis of effect size, low quality studies showed large effects for zinc supplementation on glycemic markers and levels of serum LDL-c, HDL-c, and TC; however, high quality studies did not show any significant effects on the outcomes of interest. These results indicate that high quality studies did not show large effects of zinc supplementation on lipid or glycemic markers, however low quality studies were able to confirm some clinical benefits.

Overall, the analysis carried out in this study showed that FBG and HDL-c are the most significantly affected markers by zinc supplementation. Diabetic subjects supplemented with zinc (with or without other co-supplements), should expect a significant reduction in serum FBG, and elevation in HDL-c. This result is consistent with our subgroup analysis of high quality studies, with the exception of LDL-c.

However, subgroup analysis did not reduce high heterogeneity for mean changes of all markers (except for FBG) in the high quality studies. The source of heterogeneity therefore remains unknown.

Several meta-analyses studies have investigated the effects of zinc supplementation on diabetic or healthy subjects. Jayawardena et al. showed a significant reduction in systolic and diastolic blood pressures following zinc supplementation. The study demonstrated the beneficial effects of zinc supplementation on glycemic control and healthy lipid parameters in patients with diabetes (8). The review consisted of 12 studies which compared the effects of zinc supplementation on FBG in patients with type 2 diabetes, and 8 studies comparing the effects of zinc supplementation on serum lipid profiles. In the current review, we included 4 additional studies investigating the effects of zinc supplementation on glycemic status (19,23,31,36) and 5 additional studies on the effects of serum lipid profiles (16,19,23,25,36).

A further review showed a significant, albeit modest, reduction in glucose concentrations following zinc supplementation, and a tendency for reductions in mean levels of HbA1c. The authors suggested that zinc may contribute to the management of hyperglycemia in individuals with chronic metabolic disease (48).

In the recent meta-analysis, Ranasinghe et al. showed that zinc supplementation had significantly reduces total serum cholesterol, LDL-c, and TG on plasma lipid parameters in patients with different health statuses (49). The authors analyzed 14 trials which included healthy patients and patients with various health conditions such as chronic metabolic diseases (types 1 and 2 DM, metabolic syndrome, and obesity). A total of 8 studies, which included subjects with type 2 diabetes, were also included in the current meta-analysis (17,20,21,24,26,31, 33,34); an additional 8 studies were added in this updated study (15,19,23,27-29,32,36). This study is therefore the most comprehensive meta-analysis to date, evaluating whether zinc supplementation impacts serum lipid profiles and glycaemic status in diabetic patients. Furthermore, this is the first meta-analysis to investigate the effects of zinc supplementation based on distinct subgroups, intervention and quality assessment.

Several studies have suggested that supplementation with vitamins and minerals such as vitamins C and E, and magnesium may ameliorate the severity of many diabetic symptoms in patients (24,35,50). Moreover, that zinc supplementation improves the symptoms of T2DM and may lead to improvement of factors such as microalbuminuria, insulin secretion, homocsteine concentration, glucagon, glucose-6-phosphate, vitamin B₁₂, and folate (BH_5) (22,24). However, cohort studies have revealed different outcomes for the association between zinc intake and serum glucose concentrations (51) and the risk of T2DM (52); multiple studies have demonstrated that zinc is inversely associated with cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk factors in diabetic subjects (50,53). These findings are consistent with the conclusions presented in the present meta-analysis.

Multiple molecular mechanisms are known to affect glycaemic status after zinc supplementation of patients

with T2DM, including interactions between zinc and the insulin receptors, the structural integrity of insulin, and insulin signaling pathways (1,37,54). Zinc exerts insulinlike effects by stimulating phosphorylation of the insulin receptor β -subunit. Zinc can therefore influence phosphorylation of glucose receptors. Zinc can additionally mediate PI3K/Akt insulin-signaling pathway (1,37,54). Two families of zinc transporters are involved in maintaining intracellular zinc homoeostasis. These transporters onsist of the ZnT (SLC30) and ZiP (SLC390) transporter families (55). ZnT transporters act to elevate cellular zinc efflux and sequestration of zinc into intra-cellular organelles, and ZiP transporters transport zinc from outside the cells and from in intracellular compartments into the cytoplasm (55). ZnT8 co-localizes with insulin in human pancreatic islets is thought to be involved in both insulin granule zinc accumulation and regulation of insulin secretion in β -cells (56). Studies suggest that zinc transporters can affect both α - and β -cell function (56,57). Reducing insulin resistance and improving insulin secretion inhibits lipolysis in adipose tissues, reducing free fatty acid release and its availability to the liver, which prevents excessive lipoprotein synthesis. Moreover, Ginsberg et al. demonstrated that zinc deficiency downregulates fatty acid utilization in mitochondria and peroxisomes, and upregulates lipid synthesis in the liver of rat (58). Zinc can further regulate the activity of inducible nitric oxide syntnase, reversing the adverse effects of inflammation on the endothelium (59), and may reduce atheroma formation and plasma and arterial wall lipid peroxidation in rabbits fed on a high lipid and cholesterol diet (5). These animal models provide biological plausibility for a beneficial role of zinc in CVD prevention. Rodent models have further demonstrated that zinc may play important roles in the synthesis, secretion and function of insulin under normal physiological conditions, and that zinc supplementation may be protective in animal models of type 2 diabetes (60). In human, low serum zinc has been associated with diabetes, but this is thought likely due to hyperzincuria and impaired zinc absorption (61). One study reported a lower incidence of type 2 diabetes in patients with higher intakes of dietary zinc (62). However, oxidative stress, which is common in diabetic patients, may be reduced by zinc supplementation (52). Other supplements, such as selenium and magnesium, are indicated for relieving diabetes complications, similar to zinc supplements. However, the association between selenium and glucose metabolism has yielded inconsistent results within several studies: a prospective epidemiology study has linked high selenium status with a reduced risk of diabetes progression (63), while a study by the US National Health and Nutrition Examination associated high serum selenium with increased incidence of type 2 diabetes (64). A further study by Gao et al. did not find an association between selenium status with insulin secretion, insulin sensitivity, or risk of type 2 diabetes (65). Therefore, the exact role of selenium in progression of diabetes remains uncertain.

A further study by Guerrero et al. showed an association between hypomagnesaemia, impaired glucose tolerance and type 2 diabetes, but not with impaired fasting glucose (66). However, several reports have shown that patients with pre-diabetic and type 2 diabetic may have significant magnesium deficiencies (67). A study by Hruby et al. concluded that participants with higher magnesium intakes are about 32 to 47 percent less likely to develop incident diabetes, therefore supporting a role of magnesium intake for protection against development of overt diabetes (6). However, the mechanism of action remains inconclusive. Our studies suggest that zinc supplementation may be one of the most important factors for alleviating complications in diabetes, next to other trace elements such as selenium and magnesium.

In some trials, the dosage of zinc was greater than the recommended upper limit of 40 mg/d (15,26,27,29-31, 33,34,36); high dosages of zinc may cause copper deficiencies and adversely affect antioxidant enzymes such as superoxide dismutase (68). It has been suggested that zinc supplementation may lead to iron deficiency in females, especially those with low levels of iron storage (69). In another study, zinc supplementation was linked to abdominal pain (15), however the cause and effect relationship was not proved (8). In addition, a meta-analysis revealed that zinc supplementation may lead to attenuation of plasma HDL-c levels (9) after administration of zinc dosages higher than 50 mg/d for longer than 3 months (68). Doses of zinc \geq 150 mg/d may further result in immune dysfunction (70). In this study, we noticed that the observed metabolic results of the 20 mg/d zinc dose are closest to the overall findings. Therefore, we can assume 20 mg/d is the optimal zinc dosage for improvement of metabolic indices. Despite the noted benefits of zinc supplementation on metabolic indices of diabetic patients, further research may be required before the benefits of zinc supplementation are concluded.

Limitations in the present meta-analysis include exclusion of papers not written in English and those only found on other electronic databases. As the authors of this paper only spoke English, we were unable to include papers that published in other languages; future metaanalyses should include these papers to increase confidence in the conclusions. A further limitation of the study was that we had insufficient data from some of papers for the meta-analysis, despite attempts to obtain the data from the authors.

Residual confounders are considered a further limitation. We were unable to rule out potential confounding due to unmeasured variables in the included studies, such as patient selection, family history, type of test used, patient selection, clinical setting, and formulation of supplements. Moreover, dietary factors (such as restricted access to data of dietary intake of zinc, energy, vegetables, fat) differed between studies, which may increase confounding bias. Furthermore, there may be potential publication bias since there was remarkable heterogeneity among the included studies. The observed funnel plot asymmetry could be partly defined by the heterogeneity in the measurements. This variation may be caused by chance alone (small sample sizes) or errors in calculating measures of accuracy statistics, but could also reflect true heterogeneity.

Contrary to these limitations, the current meta-analysis has considerable strengths including the quantity of subjects included, lack of publication bias, and the use of a random effects model of meta-analysis for assessing study heterogeneity. In addition, studies were assessed using subgroup analysis based on intervention and quality assessment.

In conclusion, this meta-analysis found that administration of zinc supplements may improve lipid metabolism and glucose homeostasis in patients with type 2 diabetes. Since the calculated effect sizes were categorized as large effects for most of the parameters studies, the significant effects should be considered clinically. Although the overall analysis showed significant changes in nearly all indicators, subgroup analyses found that changes that are more noticeable for FBG, LDL-c, and HDL-c. Further research is warranted on larger sample sizes to determine the effectiveness, optimal dosage, and duration of zinc supplementation to induce maximal positive effects.

AUTHOR DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- 1. Jansen J, Karges W, Rink L. 2009. Zinc and diabetes—clinical links and molecular mechanisms. *J Nutr Biochem* 20: 399-417.
- 2. Wild S, Roglic G, Green A, Sicree R, King H. 2004. Global prevalence of diabetes: estimates for the year 2000 and projections for 2030. *Diabetes Care* 27: 1047-1053.
- 3. Alwan A. 2011. *Global status report on noncommunicable diseases* 2010. World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland. p 102-104.
- 4. NCD Risk Factor Collaboration (NCD-RisC). 2016. Worldwide trends in diabetes since 1980: a pooled analysis of 751 population-based studies with 4.4 million participants. *Lancet* 387: 1513-1530.
- 5. Jenner A, Ren M, Rajendran R, Ning P, Huat BT, Watt F, Halliwell B. 2007. Zinc supplementation inhibits lipid per-

oxidation and the development of atherosclerosis in rabbits fed a high cholesterol diet. *Free Radic Biol Med* 42: 559-566.

- Hruby A, Meigs JB, O'Donnell CJ, Jacques PF, McKeown NM. 2014. Higher magnesium intake reduces risk of impaired glucose and insulin metabolism and progression from prediabetes to diabetes in middle-aged americans. *Diabetes Care* 37: 419-427.
- 7. Jafarnejad S, Sadegh M. 2011. The effects of different levels of dietary protein, energy and using fat on the performance of broiler chicks at the end of the third weeks. *Asian J Poult Sci* 5: 35-40.
- 8. Jayawardena R, Ranasinghe P, Galappatthy P, Malkanthi R, Constantine G, Katulanda P. 2012. Effects of zinc supplementation on diabetes mellitus: a systematic review and metaanalysis. *Diabetol Metab Syndr* 4: 13.
- 9. Foster M, Petocz P, Samman S. 2010. Effects of zinc on plasma lipoprotein cholesterol concentrations in humans: a meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *Atherosclerosis* 210: 344-352.
- Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, the PRISMA Group. 2009. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *Ann Intern Med* 151: 264-269.
- 11. Moher D, Cook DJ, Jadad AR, Tugwell P, Moher M, Jones A, Pham B, Klassen TP. 1999. Assessing the quality of reports of randomised trials: implications for the conduct of metaanalyses. *Health Technol Assess* 3: i-iv, 1-98.
- Greenland S, Senn SJ, Rothman KJ, Carlin JB, Poole C, Goodman SN, Altman DG. 2016. Statistical tests, P values, confidence intervals, and power: a guide to misinterpretations. *Eur J Epidemiol* 31: 337-350.
- 13. Cohen J. 1992. A power primer. Psychol Bull 112: 155-159.
- Gopalakrishnan S, Ganeshkumar P. 2013. Systematic reviews and meta-analysis: understanding the best evidence in primary healthcare. J Family Med Prim Care 2: 9-14.
- Afkhami-Ardekani M, Karimi M, Mohammadi SM, Nourani F. 2008. Effect of zinc sulfate supplementation on lipid and glucose in type 2 diabetic patients. *Pak J Nutr* 7: 550-553.
- Lee YM, Wolf P, Hauner H, Skurk T. 2016. Effect of a fermented dietary supplement containing chromium and zinc on metabolic control in patients with type 2 diabetes: a randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind cross-over study. *Food Nutr Res* 60: 30298.
- 17. Al-Maroof RA, Al-Sharbatti SS. 2006. Serum zinc levels in diabetic patients and effect of zinc supplementation on glycemic control of type 2 diabetics. *Saudi Med J* 27: 344-350.
- de Sena KC, Arrais RF, das Graças Almeida M, de Araújo DM, dos Santos MM, de Lima VT, de Fătima Campos Pedrosa L. 2005. Effects of zinc supplementation in patients with type 1 diabetes. *Biol Trace Elem Res* 105: 1-9.
- 19. El-Ashmony SMA, Morsi HK, Abdelhafez AM. 2012. Effect of zinc supplementation on glycemic control, lipid profile, and renal functions in patients with type II diabetes: a single blinded, randomized, placebo-controlled, trial. *J Biol Agric Healthcare* 2: 33-41.
- Farvid MS, Siassi F, Jalali M, Hosseini M, Saadat N. 2004. The impact of vitamin and/or mineral supplementation on lipid profiles in type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 65: 21-28.
- Farvid MS, Jalali M, Siassi F, Hosseini M. 2005. Comparison of the effects of vitamins and/or mineral supplementation on glomerular and tubular dysfunction in type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 28: 2458-2464.
- 22. Farvid MS, Homayouni F, Amiri Z, Adelmanesh F. 2011. Improving neuropathy scores in type 2 diabetic patients using micronutrients supplementation. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 93: 86-94.
- 23. Foster M, Petocz P, Caterson ID, Samman S. 2013. Effects of

zinc and α -linolenic acid supplementation on glycemia and lipidemia in women with type 2 diabetes mellitus: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *J Diabetes Res Clin Metab* 2: 1-9.

- Gunasekara P, Hettiarachchi M, Liyanage C, Lekamwasam S. 2011. Blood Sugar lowering effect of zinc and multi vitamin/ mineral supplementation is dependent on initial fasting blood glucose. J Diabetol 1: 1-13.
- 25. Gunasekara P, Hettiarachchi M, Liyanage C, Lekamwasam S. 2011. Effects of zinc and multimineral vitamin supplementation on glycemic and lipid control in adult diabetes. *Diabetes Metab Syndr Obes* 4: 53-60.
- Gupta R, Garg VK, Mathur DK, Goyal RK. 1998. Oral zinc therapy in diabetic neuropathy. J Assoc Physicians India 46: 939-942.
- 27. Hayee MA, Mohammad QD, Haque A. 2005. Diabetic neuropathy and zinc therapy. *Bangladesh Med Res Counc Bull* 31: 62-67.
- Hegazi SM, Ahmed SS, Mekkawy AA, Mortagy MS, Abdel-Kadder M. 1992. Effect of zinc supplementation on serum glucose, insulin, glucagon, glucose-6-phosphatase, and mineral levels in diabetics. *J Clin Biochem Nutr* 12: 209-215.
- 29. Hussain SA, Khadim HM, Khalaf BH, Ismail SH, Hussein KI, Sahib AS. 2006. Effects of melatonin and zinc on glycemic control in type 2 diabetic patients poorly controlled with metformin. *Saudi Med J* 27: 1483-1488.
- 30. Kadhim HM, Ismail SH, Hussein KI, Bakir IH, Sahib AS, Khalaf BH, Hussain SAR. 2006. Effects of melatonin and zinc on lipid profile and renal function in type 2 diabetic patients poorly controlled with metformin. J Pineal Res 41: 189-193.
- 31. Oh HM, Yoon JS. 2008. Glycemic control of type 2 diabetic patients after short-term zinc supplementation. *Nutr Res Pract* 2: 283-288.
- 32. Parham M, Amini M, Aminorroaya A, Heidarian E. 2008. Effect of zinc supplementation on microalbuminuria in patients with type 2 diabetes: a double blind, randomized, placebocontrolled, cross-over trial. *Rev Diabet Stud* 5: 102-109.
- Partida-Hernández G, Arreola F, Fenton B, Cabeza M, Román-Ramos R, Revilla-Monsalve MC. 2006. Effect of zinc replacement on lipids and lipoproteins in type 2-diabetic patients. *Biomed Pharmacother* 60: 161-168.
- 34. Seet RC, Lee CY, Lim EC, Quek AM, Huang H, Huang SH, Looi WF, Long LH, Halliwell B. 2011. Oral zinc supplementation does not improve oxidative stress or vascular function in patients with type 2 diabetes with normal zinc levels. *Atherosclerosis* 219: 231-239.
- 35. Shidfar F, Aghasi M, Vafa M, Heydari I, Hosseini S, Shidfar S. 2010. Effects of combination of zinc and vitamin A supplementation on serum fasting blood sugar, insulin, apoprotein B and apoprotein A-I in patients with type I diabetes. *Int J Food Sci Nutr* 61: 182-191.
- 36. Khan MI, Siddique KU, Ashfaq F, Ali W, Reddy HD, Mishra A. 2013. Effect of high-dose zinc supplementation with oral hypoglycemic agents on glycemic control and inflammation in type-2 diabetic nephropathy patients. *J Nat Sci Biol Med* 4: 336-340.
- Foster M, Samman S. 2010. Zinc and redox signaling: perturbations associated with cardiovascular disease and diabetes mellitus. *Antioxid Redox Signal* 13: 1549-1573.
- 38. Hosseini B, Nourmohamadi M, Hajipour S, Taghizadeh M, Asemi Z, Keshavarz SA, Jafarnejad S. 2018. The effect of omega-3 fatty acids, EPA, and/or DHA on male infertility: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Diet Suppl* 16: 1-12.
- 39. Jafarnejad S, Keshavarz SA, Mahbubi S, Saremi S, Arab A, Abbasi S, Djafarian K. 2017. Effect of ginger (*Zingiber officinale*) on blood glucose and lipid concentrations in diabetic and hyperlipidemic subjects: A meta-analysis of randomized

controlled trials. J Funct Foods 29: 127-134.

- 40. Jafarnejad S, Tsang C, Taghizadeh M, Asemi Z, Keshavarz SA. 2018. A meta-analysis of cumin (*Cuminum cyminim* L.) consumption on metabolic and anthropometric indices in overweight and type 2 diabetics. J Funct Foods 44: 313-321.
- 41. Song Y, He K, Levitan EB, Manson JE, Liu S. 2006. Effects of oral magnesium supplementation on glycaemic control in type 2 diabetes: a meta-analysis of randomized double-blind controlled trials. *Diabet Med* 23: 1050-1056.
- 42. Paolisso G, Balbi V, Volpe C, Varricchio G, Gambardella A, Saccomanno F, Ammendola S, Varricchio M, D'Onofrio F. 1995. Metabolic benefits deriving from chronic vitamin C supplementation in aged non-insulin dependent diabetics. J Am Coll Nutr 14: 387-392.
- 43. Suksomboon N, Poolsup N, Sinprasert S. 2011. Effects of vitamin E supplementation on glycaemic control in type 2 diabetes: systematic review of randomized controlled trials. *J Clin Pharm Ther* 36: 53-63.
- 44. Rohlfing CL, Wiedmeyer HM, Little RR, England JD, Tennill A, Goldstein DE. 2002. Defining the relationship between plasma glucose and HbA_{1c}: analysis of glucose profiles and HbA_{1c} in the diabetes control and complications trial. *Diabetes Care* 25: 275-278.
- 45. Derakhshan A, Akhavan M, Karamifar H. 2007. Evaluation of microalbuminuria 4 to 6 years following type 1 diabetes and its correlation to glycemic control in children. *Iran J Pediatrics* 17: 252-256.
- 46. Tian H, Lian J, Zhang X. 1990. Glycosylated hemoglobin in the diagnosis of diabetes mellitus. *Hua Xi Yi Ke Da Xue Xue Bao* 21: 197-200.
- Ghazanfari Z, Haghdoost AA, Alizadeh SM, Atapour J, Zolala F. 2010. A comparison of HbA1c and fasting blood sugar tests in general population. *Int J Prev Med* 1: 187-194.
- Capdor J, Foster M, Petocz P, Samman S. 2013. Zinc and glycemic control: a meta-analysis of randomised placebo controlled supplementation trials in humans. J Trace Elem Med Biol 27: 137-142.
- 49. Ranasinghe P, Wathurapatha WS, Ishara MH, Jayawardana R, Galappatthy P, Katulanda P, Constantine GR. 2015. Effects of zinc supplementation on serum lipids: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Nutr Metab* 12: 26.
- 50. Czernichow S, Couthouis A, Bertrais S, Vergnaud AC, Dauchet L, Galan P, Hercberg S. 2006. Antioxidant supplementation does not affect fasting plasma glucose in the supplementation with antioxidant vitamins and minerals (SU. VI.MAX) study in France: association with dietary intake and plasma concentrations. *Am J Clin Nutr* 84: 395-399.
- 51. Kanoni S, Nettleton JA, Hivert MF, Ye Z, van Rooij FJ, Shungin D, Sonestedt E, Ngwa JS, Wojczynski MK, Lemaitre RN, Gustafsson S, Anderson JS, Tanaka T, Hindy G, Saylor G, Renstrom F, Bennett AJ, van Duijn CM, Florez JC, Fox CS, Hofman A, Hoogeveen RC, Houston DK, Hu FB, Jacques PF, Johansson I, Lind L, Liu Y, McKeown N, Ordovas J, Pankow JS, Sijbrands EJ, Syvänen AC, Uitterlinden AG, Yannakoulia M, Zillikens MC; MAGIC Investigators, Wareham NJ, Prokopenko I, Bandinelli S, Forouhi NG, Cupples LA, Loos RJ, Hallmans G, Dupuis J, Langenberg C, Ferrucci L, Kritchevsky SB, McCarthy MI, Ingelsson E, Borecki IB, Witteman JC, Orho-Melander M, Siscovick DS, Meigs JB, Franks PW, Dedoussis GV. 2011. Total zinc intake may modify the glucose-raising effect of a zinc transporter (SLC30A8) variant: a 14-cohort meta-analysis. *Diabetes* 60: 2407-2416.
- 52. Sun Q, van Dam RM, Willett WC, Hu FB. 2009. Prospective study of zinc intake and risk of type 2 diabetes in women. *Diabetes Care* 32: 629-634.
- Bazzano LA, Thompson AM, Tees MT, Nguyen CH, Winham DM. 2011. Non-soy legume consumption lowers cholesterol

levels: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis* 21: 94-103.

- 54. Taylor CG. 2005. Zinc, the pancreas, and diabetes: insights from rodent studies and future directions. *Biometals* 18: 305-312.
- 55. Cousins RJ, Liuzzi JP, Lichten LA. 2006. Mammalian zinc transport, trafficking, and signals. *J Biol Chem* 281: 24085-24089.
- 56. Chimienti F, Devergnas S, Pattou F, Schuit F, Garcia-Cuenca R, Vandewalle B, Kerr-Conte J, Van Lommel L, Grunwald D, Favier A, Seve M. 2006. *In vivo* expression and functional characterization of the zinc transporter ZnT8 in glucose-induced insulin secretion. *J Cell Sci* 119: 4199-4206.
- 57. Gyulkhandanyan AV, Lu H, Lee SC, Bhattacharjee A, Wijesekara N, Fox JE, MacDonald PE, Chimienti F, Dai FF, Wheeler MB. 2008. Investigation of transport mechanisms and regulation of intracellular Zn^{2+} in pancreatic α-cells. *J Biol Chem* 283: 10184-10197.
- 58. Ginsberg HN. 2000. Insulin resistance and cardiovascular disease. J Clin Invest 106: 453-458.
- Cortese-Krott MM, Kulakov L, Opländer C, Kolb-Bachofen V, Kröncke KD, Suschek CV. 2014. Zinc regulates iNOS-derived nitric oxide formation in endothelial cells. *Redox Biol* 2: 945-954.
- 60. Islam MR, Arslan I, Attia J, McEvoy M, McElduff P, Basher A, Rahman W, Peel R, Akhter A, Akter S, Vashum KP, Milton AH. 2013. Is serum zinc level associated with prediabetes and diabetes?: a cross-sectional study from Bangladesh. *PLoS One* 8: e61776.
- 61. Simon SF, Taylor CG. 2001. Dietary zinc supplementation attenuates hyperglycemia in db/db mice. *Exp Biol Med* 226: 43-51.
- Huang XF, Arvan P. 1995. Intracellular transport of proinsulin in pancreatic β-cells: structural maturation probed by

disulfide accessibility. J Biol Chem 270: 20417-20423.

- 63. Akbaraly TN, Arnaud J, Rayman MP, Hininger-Favier I, Roussel AM, Berr C, Fontbonne A. 2010. Plasma selenium and risk of dysglycemia in an elderly French population: results from the prospective Epidemiology of Vascular Ageing Study. *Nutr Metab* 7: 21.
- Laclaustra M, Navas-Acien A, Stranges S, Ordovas JM, Guallar E. 2009. Serum selenium concentrations and diabetes in U.S. adults: National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) 2003-2004. *Environ Health Perspect* 117: 1409-1413.
- 65. Gao H, Hägg S, Sjögren P, Lambert PC, Ingelsson E, van Dam RM. 2014. Serum selenium in relation to measures of glucose metabolism and incidence of type 2 diabetes in an older Swedish population. *Diabet Med* 31: 787-793.
- 66. Guerrero-Romero F, Rascón-Pacheco RA, Rodríguez-Morán M, de la Peña JE, Wacher N. 2008. Hypomagnesaemia and risk for metabolic glucose disorders: a 10-year follow-up study. *Eur J Clin Invest* 38: 389-396.
- 67. Fang C, Wang X, Wu W, Gu X, Ye T, Deng H, Wang X, Shen F. 2016. Association of serum magnesium level with odds of prediabetes and diabetes in a southern chinese population: a prospective nested case-control study. *Biol Trace Elem Res* 172: 307-314.
- 68. Hughes S, Samman S. 2006. The effect of zinc supplementation in humans on plasma lipids, antioxidant status and thrombogenesis. *J Am Coll Nutr* 25: 285-291.
- Donangelo CM, Woodhouse LR, King SM, Viteri FE, King JC. 2002. Supplemental zinc lowers measures of iron status in young women with low iron reserves. *J Nutr* 132: 1860-1864.
- 70. Chandra RK. 1984. Excessive intake of zinc impairs immune responses. *JAMA* 252: 1443-1446.