



University of Kentucky
UKnowledge

International Grassland Congress Proceedings

22nd International Grassland Congress

Evaluation of Limpograss (*Hemarthria altissima*) Breeding Lines under Different Grazing Managements

Marcelo O. Wallau
University of Florida

Lynn E. Sollenberger
University of Florida

Kenneth H. Quesenberry
University of Florida

João M. B. Vendramini
University of Florida

M. K. Mullenix
University of Florida

Follow this and additional works at: <https://uknowledge.uky.edu/igc>

 Part of the [Plant Sciences Commons](#), and the [Soil Science Commons](#)

This document is available at <https://uknowledge.uky.edu/igc/22/1-7/21>

The 22nd International Grassland Congress (Revitalising Grasslands to Sustain Our Communities) took place in Sydney, Australia from September 15 through September 19, 2013.

Proceedings Editors: David L. Michalk, Geoffrey D. Millar, Warwick B. Badgery, and Kim M. Broadfoot

Publisher: New South Wales Department of Primary Industry, Kite St., Orange New South Wales, Australia

This Event is brought to you for free and open access by the Plant and Soil Sciences at UKnowledge. It has been accepted for inclusion in International Grassland Congress Proceedings by an authorized administrator of UKnowledge. For more information, please contact UKnowledge@lsv.uky.edu.

Evaluation of limpoglass (*Hemarthria altissima*) breeding lines under different grazing managements

Marcelo O Wallau^A, Lynn E Sollenberger^A, Kenneth H Quesenberry^A, João M B Vendramini^B and M K Mullenix^A

^A University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA

^B University of Florida Range Cattle Research and Education Center, Ona, FL, USA

Contact email: mwallau@ufl.edu

Keywords: Light interception, canopy structure.

Introduction

Limpoglass (*Hemarthria altissima* (Poir.) Stapf et C.E. Hubb.) is a stoloniferous, warm-season perennial grass from South Africa. It is frequently used to extend the grazing season in poorly drained soils of subtropical regions (Quesenberry *et al.* 2004). The cold tolerance of limpoglass allows it to grow at temperatures below which other commonly used warm-season grasses (e.g. bermudagrass) remain productive. Use of limpoglass has helped to reduce forage shortfall during winter, therefore, reducing feeding costs. In the past 30 years, the area planted to limpoglass in Florida, USA has grown faster than that of any other forage grass species. It is estimated that over 0.2 million ha are planted to limpoglass (Quesenberry *et al.* 2004). Recent University of Florida research with limpoglass has focused on developing new hybrids which incorporate the persistence of the most widely used cultivar 'Floralta' with the digestibility of 'Bigalta'. Preliminary clipping and grazing trials evaluated 50 breeding lines and identified 5 lines (designated 1, 4F, 10, 32 and 34) with superior performance. With an overall program goal of identifying the best limpoglasses for cultivar release, the specific objective of this experiment was to investigate the forage productivity and sward canopy characteristics of these 5 breeding lines, compared to Floralta, in response to different grazing management strategies.

Materials and Methods

The research was conducted from May to October 2012 at the University of Florida Beef Research Unit, northeast of Gainesville, FL, USA. The soils are classified as sandy Spodosols of the Pomona series (sandy, siliceous, hyperthermic Ultic Alaquods), with pH of 5.3 and P, K and Mg levels of 5.5, 36, and 109 mg/kg, respectively. Limpoglass plots were planted in July 2011 and allowed to establish until May 2012, when they were uniformly mowed to 20 cm prior to initiation of rotational stocking treatments. Based on soil test recommendations, pastures were limed (2.2 tons/ha) and fertilized with 19 kg of P and 75 kg K/ha in April, and N was applied at 135 kg/ha in 3 equal applications of 45 kg/ha in April, June and August.

The study included 24 treatments, arranged as a 6 x 2 x

2 factorial experiment with two replications in a randomized complete block design. Experimental units were 8 m by 8 m. Treatments included the 6 limpoglass entries (1, 4F, 10, 32, 34 and Floralta), 2 pre-graze light interception levels (LI; 80 and 95%) that determined when the pasture was ready to be grazed, and 2 post-graze stubble heights (SH; 20 and 30 cm). LI was measured with a SunScan Canopy Analysis System model E-312-SS1-COM (Delta-T Devices, Cambridge, UK). Using LI as the grazing trigger generally results in more uniform canopy characteristics across grazing events, compared with calendar-based timing. The 95% LI value had previously been proposed as an 'optimum' threshold for initiation of grazing because it reflects the inflection point of the growth curve where growth rates are maximum but before herbage accumulation rate starts decreasing (Lemaire and Chapman 1996; da Silva and Nascimento Jr. 2007). The reason for using 80% LI and shorter SH (20 cm) than recommended (30 cm) for limpoglass was to apply significant selection pressure on the entries. Cross-bred Angus yearling heifers (~ 400 kg) were used to graze the pastures using mob stocking.

Herbage mass was quantified before and after each grazing event from 4 0.25 m² quadrats per pasture. Forage was dried to constant weight and weighed to determine dry matter (DM). Herbage mass harvested by grazing (HH; kg DM/ha) was calculated as the difference between pre- and post-grazing herbage mass of a given grazing cycle, and herbage accumulation (HA; kg DM/ha) was determined as the pre-graze herbage mass minus post-graze mass of the previous grazing cycle. The HA of the first grazing cycle was considered to be pre-graze herbage mass of that cycle. Canopy height was measured at 20 locations per pasture prior to grazing. Leaf and stem mass and leaf/stem ratios were measured on the third grazing event of the season for entries 1, 4F, 10 and Floralta. Two 0.25 m² quadrats were sampled per pasture for this evaluation. Data were analyzed using PROC GLMMIX of SAS (SAS Institute, 2010).

Results and Discussion

Entries 10 and 4F had as great or greater HA and HH than any other entry, but responses were not statistically greater than Floralta (F) (Table 1). There was no effect of either LI or SH on HA or HH. The number of grazing events during

Table 1. Total herbage accumulation (HA), total herbage harvested (HH) and number of grazing periods for 6 limpgrass entries

Entry	Total HA (mg/ha)	Total HH (mg/ha)	Number of grazing periods	
			LI 80	LI 95
10	11.6a	9.9a	5a	4a
4F	10.4ab	8.9ab	5a	3b
F	9.5abc	7.9abc	5a	3b
32	8.4bc	7.1bc	4c	3b
1	8.0c	6.4c	4.5b	3b
34	7.8c	6.0c	4c	3b

Means within a column not followed by the same letter are significantly different ($P < 0.05$)

the season was greater for LI80 than LI95, regardless of entry. Overall, LI95 resulted in 3.2 grazing events per season, while LI80 resulted in 4.6 grazing events. Entry 10 had more grazing events than any other entry for LI95 and more events than all but Entries 4F and Floralta for LI80.

Canopy height across entries was affected by both LI and SH levels. At initiation of grazing, LI95 pastures had taller canopies (73 cm) than LI80 (59 cm). The level of SH also affected canopy height at which target LI was reached. For pastures grazed to 20-cm SH, there was an 11 cm difference between LI80 (59 cm) and LI95 (70 cm), whereas for pastures grazed to 30 cm the difference was 16 cm between LI80 and LI95 (60 vs. 76 cm, respectively). This difference most likely reflects phenotypic plasticity associated with use of a shorter SH, whereby regrowth was denser allowing target LI to be reached at a shorter canopy height (Lemaire and Chapman 1996). This is supported by data showing that swards grazed to 20 cm SH had greater

bulk density than those grazed to 30 cm (69 vs. 62 kg DM/ha/cm; $P < 0.1$). The taller canopy of LI95 treatments imposed constraints on grazing efficiency because the forage was prone to lodging and trampling. Limpgrass grows upright when there is significant light competition, therefore, the LI95 treatment resulted in greater stem accumulation in relation to LI80 (1079 vs. 853 kg DM/ha, respectively; $P = 0.07$). These data indicate that use of 95% LI as a trigger for initiating grazing does not fit limpgrass as well as other species (da Silva and Nascimento Jr. 2007).

Conclusions

Limpgrass entries 10 and 4F performed as well or better than existing cultivar Floralta and are good candidates for cultivar release. Unlike what has been reported for several tropical grasses, the use of 95% light interception is not likely to be a useful threshold for initiation of grazing limpgrass because canopies at this level of light interception are very tall, stemmy and susceptible to lodging and trampling.

References

- Da Silva SC, Nascimento Jr. D (2007) Avanço na pesquisa com plantas forrageiras tropicais em pastagens: características morfofisiológicas e manejo do pastejo. *Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia* **36**,121-138.
- Lemaire G, Chapman D (1996) Tissue flows in grazed plant communities. In 'The ecology and management of grazing systems'. (Eds. J. Hodgson and A. W. Illius) pp. 3-36. (CABI: Wallingford, UK)
- Quesenberry KH, Sollenberger LE, Newman YC (2004) Limpgrass. In 'Warm-season (C4) Grass Monograph'. (Eds LE Moser, BL Burson, LE Sollenberger) pp. 809-832. (ASA, CSSA, SSSA: Madison).