Asian Nursing Research xxx (xxxx) xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Asian Nursing Research

journal homepage: www.asian-nursingresearch.com

Research Article

Physical Activity and Subjective Vitality in Older Adults From Community-and Assisted-Living Settings

Saengryeol Park,¹ Kyoungyun Park,² Jennie Hancox,³ Diana Castaneda-Gameros,⁴ Kyo Chul Koo^{5, *}

¹ Department of Preventive Medicine, School of Medicine, Kyung Hee University, Seoul, Republic of Korea

² Department of Golf, Yong In University, Yongin, Republic of Korea

³ Division of Primary Care, School of Medicine, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, United Kingdom

⁴ Research and Evaluation Division, Knowledge Directorate, Public Health Wales, Cardiff, United Kingdom

⁵ Department of Urology, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 13 April 2019 Received in revised form 6 November 2019 Accepted 19 November 2019

Keywords: aged assisted living facilities exercise

SUMMARY

Purpose: There is a growing number of older adults moving into assisted-living facilities to maintain their independence while being assisted with certain tasks and having convenient access to services. Physical activity (PA) and vitality play an important role in independence, as well as in mental health, of older adults. However, no research has examined the difference in older adults' levels of vitality (defined as the state of feeling alive and alert) between those living in assisted-living facilities and those from community-living settings. We also explored sociodemographic predictors of PA and vitality among older adults living in two different types of housing.

Methods: This cross-sectional study examined differences in PA levels and vitality between older adults (aged \geq 60 years; n = 148, mean age = 74.70 years) living in assisted-living facilities (n = 85, mean age = 77.46 years) and those in community-living settings (n = 63, mean age = 70.98 years). PA was assessed by accelerometry, and vitality was measured using the subjective vitality scale.

Results: Engagement in light PA and moderate to vigorous PA was higher in individuals living in community-living settings after controlling for sociodemographic variables. However, vitality was not significantly different between the two types of housing after controlling for sociodemographic variables. Marital status, education, and number of diagnosed diseases were associated with vitality.

Conclusion: The support the need for designing strategies to increase PA in older adults living in assistedliving facilities. More attention should be paid to increasing subjective vitality of older adults in both types of housing to promote healthy aging.

© 2019 Korean Society of Nursing Science. Published by Elsevier BV. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Introduction

The aging population is a global phenomenon, with dramatic increases in the proportion of individuals aged 60 years and older [1]. In 2015, older adults accounted for almost one-tenth (8%) of the

E-mail address: gckoo@yuhs.ac

world's population [1], which is expected to increase to 24.2% by 2040 [2,3]. Old age is associated with biological and cognitive degeneration, increasing the risk of the development of chronic conditions, such as cardiovascular disease, type 2 diabetes, and cancer, as well as increasing risk of disability due to injury and trauma [4]. Poor mental health is also a concern, with approximately 15% of older people diagnosed with a mental health disorder worldwide, including depression and dementia [5].

It is well documented that regular engagement in physical activity (PA) contributes to important determinants of healthy aging including good physical and mental function, managing or coping with illness and functional limitations, and better social interaction among others [6]. Older adults are encouraged to achieve a minimum of 150 minutes of moderate to vigorous PA (MVPA; e.g.,

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anr.2019.11.004

p1976-1317 e2093-7482/© 2019 Korean Society of Nursing Science. Published by Elsevier BV. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Saengryeol Park: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4877-9346; Kyoungyun Park: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2321-3311; Jennie Hancox: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5938-5265; Diana Castaneda-Gameros: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5683-7876; Kyo Chul Koo: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7303-6256

^{*} Correspondence to: Kyo Chul Koo, Department of Urology, Gangnam Severance Hospital, Yonsei University College of Medicine, 211 Eonju-ro, Gangnam-gu, Seoul, 06273, Republic of Korea.

ARTICLE IN PRESS

running) per week [7] and break up prolonged periods of sedentary time with light PA (LPA). [7,8] Although LPA (e.g., low-intensity walking) does not provide the same level of health benefits as MVPA (i.e., cardiometabolic health) [9], LPA does provide important health benefits over being sedentary [6]: for instance, participation in LPA for at least 300 min/wk has been shown to be associated with lower body mass index values and insulin resistance [10]. Despite the benefits, many older adults do not meet the recommended levels of PA [11]. This has negative implications for physical and psychological health and places a huge economic burden on the wider society [12].

When older adults no longer feel able to remain living in community-living settings (CLSs), they may choose to move to assistedliving facilities (ALFs) [13]. The purpose of ALFs is "to provide supportive housing and meals and some assistance with daily living ac*tivities*" (p. 25) [14]. In the United Kingdom, the number of older adults moving to ALFs increased by 7.8% from 55,675 in 2012 to 60,022 in 2015 [15,16]. Older adults in ALFs tend to be frailer than those living in CLSs [17], but healthier than those in nursing homes [18]. In addition, individuals residing in ALFs are less likely to engage in both MVPA and LPA than those living in CLSs. For instance, community-dwelling older adults have been found to spend only 4% of their waking hours in objectively measured MVPA and 39% of their waking hours in objectively measured LPA [19]. Older adults residing in ALFs have even lower levels of MVPA (2%) and LPA (17.7%) [20]. However, direct comparisons between studies are difficult owing to different PA cutoff points used when assessing objectively measured PA, particularly MVPA (e.g., > 1,952 vs. 2,020 counts per minute). Moreover, previous studies including those conducted on nonindependent older adults did not distinguish between those living in ALFs and those living in institutionalized settings (e.g., nursing homes) [21] and/or used self-reported measures of PA, which can lead to inaccurate findings [22].

Physically active older adults reported better vitality than their sedentary counterparts [23]. Subjective vitality is the state of feeling alive and alert [24] and is positively related to mental health [24] and physical health [25]. Loss of vitality is a common complaint of older adults. Engagement in PA and vitality may play an important role in the health of older adults. However, no research has examined the difference in older adults' levels of vitality between those living in CLSs and those living in ALFs. Therefore, the aim of the present study was to compare levels of objectively measured PA and subjective vitality between older adults from CLSs and those living in ALFs.

Methods

Study design and participants

To participate in this cross-sectional study, participants had to be aged 60 years or older and able to walk and stand by themselves with a walking aid; wheelchair-bound individuals were excluded from this study. Participants' contact information was obtained from the Birmingham 1000 Elders group: a group of older adults registered as volunteers for aging-related studies. Potential participants from the group were contacted via e-mail, post, or phone by the first author. To recruit participants living in ALFs, access to ALFs was obtained by contacting the managers who agreed to share the information of the study to their clients through their newsletters and monthly meetings. Individuals who volunteered to participate were either visited at the location of their choice or invited to university facilities.

To calculate the sample size, the G^* power 3.1.9.4 program (Universitat Kiel, Kiel, Germany) [26] was used. For a two-tailed analysis using multiple regression (under the presumption of an

 α -level of .05, a power of 90%, seven predictors, and an effect size of 0.15), the estimated sample size was 130 participants. The final sample size in the study comprised 148 older adults (mean age = 74.70 years, standard deviation = 8.28; 68.2% women), with 63 participants living in CLSs (mean age = 70.98 years; 68.3% women) and 85 living in ALFs (mean age = 77.46 years; 68.2% women).

Data collection

In the first visit, the participants were asked to complete a questionnaire pack to collect sociodemographic and vitality data. Subsequently, an accelerometer was given to each participant. Researchers (one to six persons) instructed the participants to wear the accelerometer on their right hip for a period of 7 days, only removing for sleeping, bathing, and swimming. In addition, the participants were asked to record start- and stop-wearing times in a daily activity log. At the second visit, the participants returned the accelerometer, the activity log, and the questionnaire pack. Each consultation lasted 10–20 minutes.

Measures

Uniaxial accelerometers (GT3X+, WGT3X-BT; ActiGraph, Pensacola, FL, USA) were used to objectively measure PA for a period of seven days at 60 Hz. The accelerometers were set to recognize the nonwear time with a consecutive zero time for 90 minutes, but interruptions were allowed for 1 to 2 minutes for less than 100 counts [27]. To be included in the analysis, the participants had to wear the accelerometer for at least 3 days and for at least 10 h/day of valid wear time derived from ActiLife software from ActiGraph (version 6.13.3) [28].

In the analysis of accelerometer data, this study used the most common times that the participants recorded as start- and stopwearing times. We found that 7:00 am to 10:30 pm (CLS) and 7:30 am to 10:30 pm (ALF) were the best fit based on the time frames reported in the participants' activity logs. Cutoff points to determine time spent in various levels of PA were as follows: sedentary, 0–99 counts/min; light activity, 100–1951 counts/min; moderate activity, 2,020–5,998 counts/min, and vigorous activity, >5,999 counts/min [29]. Because older adults are unlikely to engage in vigorous activity, this study merged moderate and vigorous activity into one category defined as MVPA. To adjust the variability of accelerometer wear times, the proportion of time spent in LPA and MVPA was calculated additionally by multiplying the values where time spent in PA was divided by accelerometer wear time [30].

Vitality was measured using the subjective vitality scale [22] over the last 4 weeks. The scale consists of 5 items on a 7-point Likert scale (not at all true = 1, very true = 7; "I felt alive and full of vitality"). The scale has been used for older adults in a previous study [31] and found to demonstrate excellent internal reliability in the present study (α = .94).

Sociodemographic variables included age, marital status, education, income, number of diagnosed diseases, and ethnicity.

Statistical analysis

Data were examined and cleaned based on the procedures proposed by Tabachnick et al [32]. Descriptive statistics (means, standard deviations, and percentages) were calculated for all variables, including skewness for normality and Cronbach α for reliability. This study examined Mahal's distance and Cook's distance for outlier assumption. Multicollinearity of predictors including covariates was explored using variance inflation factor (VIF) statistics. VIF values were suitable for all variables (range = 1.10–1.56),

ARTICLE IN PRESS

which are less than the value of multicollinearity (VIF values > 10) [33]. There were no particular outliers based on Mahal's distance (maximum distance = 21.76; critical value = 24.32) [34].

Pearson's correlation coefficients were estimated for independence of dependent variables. Independent *t* tests were used to explore whether there were any significant differences between groups of age and number of chronic diseases. Chi-square tests were used to test for significant differences in gender, marital status, education, annual income, and ethnicity. Hierarchical regressions were performed to examine housing type as a predictor of LPA, MVPA, and vitality. All statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS 23 (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY, USA). Significance was set at p < .05.

Ethical considerations

Ethical approval for this study was granted by the Ethical Review Committee of the University of Birmingham (Approval no. 13-0797). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants. Procedures were followed in accordance with the 1964 Helsinki Declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. All documents were separated into different locked filing cabinets. Extracted data were anonymized using IDs instead of identifiable information.

Results

Table 1 shows the characteristics of participants from the two types of housing. The majority of participants were women (68.2%) and white British (88.5%). Chi-square tests and t tests revealed significant differences in age, marital status, education, annual income, ethnicity, and number of chronic diseases between participants from CLSs and ALFs. Participants living in CLSs were more likely to be younger, live with a spouse, have a higher education

Table 1 Sample Characteristics and the Association With the Two Housing Types (N = 148).

degree, have higher annual income, and have fewer chronic diseases than participants from ALFs.

PA levels and vitality scales of older adults living in CLSs and ALFs are shown in Table 2. Skewness scores of continuous variables were acceptable (range of skewness = -0.34 to 1.54; ± 2) [35]. On average, the participants spent 31.6% of their waking time in LPA on a daily basis. Older adults in CLSs spent more time in LPA (36.31 vs. 28.11 min/day) and MVPA (4.89 vs. 1.37 min/day) than those in ALFs. A higher level of vitality was found in older adults from CLSs than in those from ALFs (4.89 vs. 4.23, respectively). Correlation coefficients (Pearson's r) ranged from .26 to .54 between dependent variables.

Tables 3, 4, and 5 show the results of a series of hierarchical regressions. After adjustment for age, marital status, education, numbers of diagnosed diseases, and ethnicity, type of housing was significantly associated with LPA (Table 3) and MVPA (Table 4). Age was a predictor for LPA ($\beta = .22$) and MVPA ($\beta = -.23$), whereas number of diagnosed diseases ($\beta = -.24$) and ethnicity ($\beta = -.18$) were predictors of MVPA only. Although vitality was significantly higher in those living in CLSs, the association between vitality and the type of housing was no longer significant (p = .27) after controlling for the sociodemographic variables. Marital status ($\beta = .25$), education ($\beta = .29$), and number of diagnosed diseases ($\beta = -.27$) were significant predictors of vitality (Table 5).

Discussion

The purpose of this study was to examine differences in PA levels and subjective vitality between older adults from two different types of housing (CLSs vs. ALFs). It also explored predictors of PA levels and vitality among individuals from CLSs and ALFs. In our study, older adults in ALFs spend a higher proportion of their waking time being physically active (1.4% in MVPA and 28.1% in LPA) than a similar sample of older adults living in ALFs in the US (2.0% in MVPA and 17.7% in LPA) [21]. It is worth noting that the

Variable	Total (n = 148)	CLS (n = 63)	ALF(n = 85)	<i>t</i> or F (<i>p</i>)
Age (yrs), mean (SD)	74.70 (8.28)	70.98 (6.92)	77.46 (8.17)	$t = 5.21 (<.001^{***})$
Women, n (%)	101 (68.2)	43 (68.3)	58 (68.2)	$x^2 = .00$ (.998
Marital status				
Partner (yes), n (%)	76 (51.4)	41 (65.1)	35 (41.2)	$x^2 = 7.35 (.004^{**})$
Education, n (%)				
Secondary	43 (29.1)	17 (27.0)	26 (30.6)	$x^2 = 37.46 \ (<.001^{***})$
Higher/postgraduate	42 (28.4)	33 (52.4)	9 (10.6)	
Other	49 (33.1)	9 (14.3)	40 (47.1)	
Missing	14 (9.4)	4 (6.3)	10 (11.7)	
Annual income, n (%)				
Less than £20,000	72 (48.6)	22 (34.9)	50 (58.8)	$x^2 = 14.73 (<.001^{***})$
More than £20,000	63 (42.6)	41 (66.1)	22 (25.9)	
Missing	13 (0.8)	-	13 (15.3)	
Drink alcohol, n (%)				
No	48 (32.4)	16 (25.4)	32 (37.6)	$x^2 = 2.06 (.107)$
Currently	97 (65.5)	46 (73.0)	51 (60.0)	
Missing	3 (2.1)	1 (1.6)	2 (2.4)	
Smoke, n (%)				
No	140 (94.6)	60 (95.2)	80 (94.1)	$x^2 = 0.00$ (.664)
Currently	6 (4.1)	2 (3.2)	4 (4.7)	
Missing	2 (1.3)	1 (1.6)	1 (1.2)	
Ethnicity, n (%)				
White British	131 (88.5)	50 (79.4)	81 (95.3)	$x^2 = 7.53 \; (.004^{**})$
Non-white	17 (11.5)	13 (20.6)	4 (4.7)	
Number of diagnosed chronic diseases, mean (SD)	1.37 (1.08)	.84 (.77)	1.76 (1.11)	$t = 5.99 \; (< .001^{***})$

p < .01.***p < .001.

Individuals answering 'other' as their education level were excluded from the chi-square test.

Note. ALF = assisted-living facility; CLS = community-living setting; SD = standard deviation.

4

ARTICLE IN PRESS

S. Park et al. / Asian Nursing Research xxx (xxxx) xxx

Table 2 Characteristics of the Study Variables (N = 148).

Variables	Skewness	Cronbach α	Mean (SD)	Range
1. Light PA	0.27	-	31.60 (11.08)	-
Community-living settings	-	-	36.31 (10.54)	-
Assisted-living facilities	-	-	28.11 (10.20)	-
2. MVPA	1.54	-	2.87 (2.10)	-
Community-living settings	-	-	4.89 (3.39)	-
Assisted-living facilities	-	-	1.37 (1.37)	-
3. Vitality	-0.34	.94	4.51 (1.43)	Scale = 1-7
Community-living settings	-	-	4.89 (1.38)	-
Assisted-living facilities	-	-	4.23 (1.40)	-

Correlation coefficients were calculated using the mean of two different living settings (community-living settings and assisted-living facilities).

Note. Light PA = light physical activity; MVPA = moderate to vigorous physical activity; PA = physical activity; SD = standard deviation.

participants in the present study were younger on average (77.46 years of age) than participants in the previous study (83.4 years of age) [20]. Age was found to be a negative predictor of both LPA and MVPA in this study; therefore, it is likely that the discrepancies in PA levels between the present study and previous research are due to age.

Although it was not possible to directly compare the results owing to different accelerometer cutoff points used, the present study builds on previous research by directly comparing PA levels between older adults living in different types of housing. The findings from the present study indicate that differences in LPA and MVPA are more marked than differences in vitality levels between individuals living in CLSs and those living in ALFs. More specifically, time spent in MVPA was two times higher in community-living older adults than in their counterparts. Furthermore, LPA was

Table 3 Hierarchical Regression Models for Associations Between Housing Types and Light Physical Activity (N = 148).

Variables	β	t (p)	β	t (p)
Housing types	.36***	4.22 (<.001)	.22*	2.11 (.037)
Age			20*	-2.05 (.043)
Marital status			.13	1.50 (.137)
Education			.06	0.66 (.508)
Income			03	-0.31 (.760)
Number of diseases			15	-1.58 (.118)
Ethnicity			07	-0.84 (.401)
R^2 and <i>p</i> -value of the model			R ² change	
			.18***	p < .001

 $^{*}p < .05.$

****p* < .001.

Assisted-living facilities: coded as -1; community-living setting: coded as 1.

Table 4 Hierarchical Regression Models for Associations Between Housing Types and Moderate to Vigorous Physical Activity (N = 148).

Variables	β	t (p)	β	t (p)
Housing types	.55***	7.26 (<.001)	.35***	4.21 (<.001)
Age		. ,	23**	-2.97 (.004)
Marital status			.04	0.49 (.623)
Education			00	-0.03 (.973)
Income			.08	1.03 (.305)
Number of diseases			24**	-2.99 (.003)
Ethnicity			18*	-2.57 (.011)
R^2 and <i>p</i> -value of the model			R ² change	
			.45***	p < .001

*p < .05.

***p* < .01.

 $\hat{***}p < .001.$

Assisted-living facilities: coded as -1; community-living setting: coded as 1.

Table 5 Hierarchical Regression Models for Associations Between Housing Types and Vitality (N = 148).

Variables	β	t (p)	β	t (p)
Housing types	.25**	2.86 (.005)	.11	1.11 (.268)
Age			05	-0.50 (.620)
Marital status			.25**	2.90 (.005)
Education			.29**	3.36 (.001)
Income			04	-0.50 (.619)
Number of diseases			27**	-2.85 (.005)
Ethnicity			.04	0.48 (.632)
R ² and <i>p</i> -value of the model			R ² change	
			.20***	p < .001

***p* < .01.

 $^{***}p < .001.$

Assisted-living facilities: coded as -1; community-living setting: coded as 1.

only associated with age, but MVPA may be influenced by other sociodemographic factors such as education and ethnicity.

To our knowledge, this is the first study examining differences in older adults' level of subjective vitality between older adults living in CLSs and ALFs. The results revealed levels of vitality to be higher in participants in CLSs than in ALFs, but this relationship was no longer significant after adjusting for sociodemographic variables. Sociodemographic variables such as marital status, education level, and number of chronic diseases were found to be significantly associated with older adults' levels of vitality. A previous study found that vitality levels were higher in more active individuals [36]; however, the study failed to explore difference among housing types and to adjust for sociodemographic variables, such as education level, income, and so on. Our results suggest that vitality, which represents a mental aspect of health, might be more directly linked to an individual's background than to the type of housing. Thus, it is important to consider such sociodemographic factors when developing and delivering interventions to enhance both PA and levels of vitality in older adults irrespective of the type of housing they live in. For example, local governing bodies of CLSs could provide more people-centered community programs for those who live alone and have poor education backgrounds. In addition, staff in ALFs could provide activities to promote vitality through social interaction while involving older adults in PA programs. This is particularly important for individuals living in ALFs who are more likely to be widowed and are frailer than those living in CLSs [17,37]. These PA programs should focus on promoting LPA, which is more common in older adults and has been shown to benefit both physical and mental health and well-being [6]. For instance, activities could have frequent breaks or diverse time durations to facilitate engagement in PA for older adults who are frailer or suffer from chronic diseases.

The limitations of this study are as follows: the sample size which was relatively small and participants were mainly women and white British. As such, the results cannot be generalized beyond older adults in the present study. Further studies are needed to examine associations between PA and housing types in a more diverse sample. In addition, it was not possible to examine the casual relationships between PA, vitality, and types of housing owing to the cross-sectional nature of the study. Further research should focus on exploring different ways to increase PA, particularly LPA in individuals living in ALFs.

Conclusion

This study is the first to compare objectively measured PA between older adults from CLSs and those living in ALFs. Older adults in CLSs were found to engage in higher levels of LPA and MVPA than

S. Park et al. / Asian Nursing Research xxx (xxxx) xxx

those from ALFs. These findings highlight the need for interventions aimed at increasing activity levels in older adults from ALFs. Levels of vitality were higher in participants in CLSs as opposed to those in ALFs; however, this relationship was no longer significant after adjusting for sociodemographic variables. Thus, it may be that sociodemographic factors (i.e., marital status, education level, and number of chronic diseases) are more significant than housing type in predicting levels of vitality among older adults.

Funding

This work was supported by the Ministry of Education of the Republic of Korea and the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF-2019S1A5A8032280).

Conflict of interest

The authors have no potential conflicts of interest.

References

- He W, Goodkind D, Kowal P. An aging world: 2015 [Internet]. Washington, DC: U.S. Census Bureau; 2016 [cited 2019 Sep 15]. Available from https://www. census.gov/content/dam/Census/library/publications/2016/demo/p95-16-1.pdf
- Office for National Statistics. National population projections: 2014-based projections [Internet]. London, UK: Office for National Statistics; 2015 [cited 2017 Jul 6]. Available from https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/ populationandmigration/populationprojections/compendium/ nationalpopulationprojections/2015-10-29
- Age UK. Later life in the United Kingdom [Internet]. London, UK: Age UK; 2017 [cited 2019 Sep15]. Available from: https://www.ageuk.org.uk/Documents/EN-GB/Factsheets/Later_Life_UK_factsheet.pdf?dtrk=true
- Jin K, Simpkins JW, Ji X, Leis M, Stambler I. The critical need to promote research of aging and aging-related diseases to improve health and longevity of the elderly population. Aging Dis. 2015;6(1):1–5. https://doi.org/10.14336/AD.2014.1210
- World Health Organization. Mental health of older adults [Internet]. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO; 2017 [cited 2019 Jan 12]. Available from: https://www. who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/mental-health-of-older-adults
- Department of Health and Social Care. UK chief medical Officers' physical activity guidelines [Internet]. London, UK: Department of Health and Social Care; 2019 [cited 2019 Feb 10]. Available from: https://assets.publishing.service.gov. uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/829841/ukchief-medical-officers-physical-activity-guidelines.pdf
- World Health Organization. Global recommendations on physical activity for health [Internet]. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO; 2011 [cited 2017 Feb 1]. Available from: http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/44399/1/9789241599979_ eng.pdf
- Mammen G, Faulkner G. Physical activity and the prevention of depression: a systematic review of prospective studies. Am J Prev Med. 2013;45(5):649–57. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2013.08.001
- Chastin SF, De Craemer M, De Cocker K, Powell L, Van Cauwenberg J, Dall P, et al. How does light-intensity physical activity associate with adult cardiometabolic health and mortality? Systematic review with meta-analysis of experimental and observational studies. Br J Sports Med. 2019;53(6):370–6. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2017-097563
- Loprinzi PD, Lee H, Cardinal BJ. Evidence to support including lifestyle lightintensity recommendations in physical activity guidelines for older adults. Am J Health Promot. 2015;29(5):277–84. https://doi.org/10.4278/ajhp.130709-QUAN-354
- Sport England. Active lives adult survey May 17/18 report [Internet]. London, UK: Sport England; 2018 [cited 2019 Jan 12]. Available from https://www. sportengland.org/media/13563/active-lives-adult-may-17-18-report.pdf
- Pratt M, Macera CA, Wang G. Higher direct medical costs associated with physical inactivity. Physician Sportsmed. 2000;28(10):63–70. https://doi.org/10.3810/psm.2000.10.1237
- Buurman BM, Trentalange M, Nicholson NR, McGloin JM, Gahbauer EA, Allore HG, et al. Residential relocations among older people over the course of more than 10 years. J Am Med Dir Assoc. 2014;15(7):521–6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jamda.2014.03.013

- Han K, Trinkoff AM, Storr CL, Lerner N, Yang BK. Variation across U.S. Assisted living facilities: admissions, resident care needs, and staffing. J Nurs Scholarsh. 2017;49(1):24–32. https://doi.org/10.1111/jnu.12262
- Elderly Accommodation Counsel (EAC). Statistics on specialist housing provision for older people in England [Internet]. London, UK: EAC; 2012 [cited 2017 Feb 27]. Available from http://www.housingcare.org/downloads/eac%20stats% 20on%20housing%20for%20older%20people%20March%202015.pdf
- Elderly Accommodation Counsel (EAC). Statistics on specialist housing provision for older people in England [Internet]. London, UK: EAC; 2015 [cited 2017 Apr 27]. Available from: http://www.housingcare.org/downloads/eac stats on housing for older people March 2015.pdf
- Day T. About Assited living [Internet]. Centerville, UT: National Care Planning Council; 2012 [cited 2017 Jul 9]. Available from https://www.longtermcarelink. net/eldercare/assisted_living.htm
- Darton R, Bäumker T, Callaghan L, Holder J, Netten A, Towers AM. The characteristics of residents in extra care housing and care homes in England. Health Soc Care Community. 2012;20(1):87–96. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2524.2011.01022.x
- Healy GN, Wijndaele K, Dunstan DW, Shaw JE, Salmon J, Zimmet PZ, et al. Objectively measured sedentary time, physical activity, and metabolic risk: the Australian Diabetes, Obesity and Lifestyle Study (AusDiab). Diabetes Care. 2008;31(2):369–71. https://doi.org/10.2337/dc07-1795
- Corcoran MP, Chui KK, White DK, Reid KF, Kirn D, Nelson ME, et al. Accelerometer assessment of physical activity and its association with physical function in older adults residing at assisted care facilities. J Nutr Health Aging. 2016;20(7):752–8. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12603-015-0640-7
- Król-Zielińska M, Kusy K, Zieliński J, Osiński W. Physical activity and functional fitness in institutionalized vs. independently living elderly: a comparison of 70-80-year-old city-dwellers. Arch Gerontol Geriatr. 2011;53(1):e10-6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.archger.2010.07.013
- Washburn RA. Assessment of physical activity in older adults. Res Q Exerc Sport. 2000;71(2 Suppl):S79–88. https://doi.org/10.1080/02701367.2000.11082790
- Ju H. The relationship between physical activity, meaning in life, and subjective vitality in community-dwelling older adults. Arch Gerontol Geriatr. 2017;73: 120–4. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.archger.2017.08.001
- Ryan RM, Frederick C. On energy, personality, and health: subjective vitality as a dynamic reflection of well-being. J Personal. 1997;65(3):529–65. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6494.1997.tb00326.x
- Benyamini Y, İdler EL, Leventhal H, Leventhal EA. Positive affect and function as influences on self-assessments of health: expanding our view beyond illness and disability. J Gerontol Series B. 2000;55(2):P107–16. https://doi.org/10.1093/geronb/55.2.p107
- Faul F, Erdfelder E, Lang AG, Buchner A. G*Power 3: a flexible statistical power analysis program for the social, behavioral, and biomedical sciences. Behav Res Methods. 2007;39(2):175–91. https://doi.org/10.3758/BF03193146
- Choi L, Ward SC, Schnelle JF, Buchowski MS. Assessment of wear/nonwear time classification algorithms for triaxial accelerometer. Med Sci Sport Exerc. 2012;44(10):2009–16. https://doi.org/10.1249/mss.0b013e318258cb36
- Trost SG, Mciver KL, Pate RR. Conducting accelerometer-based activity assessments in field-based research. Med Sci Sport Exerc. 2005;37(11 Suppl): S531-43. https://doi.org/10.1249/01.mss.0000185657.86065.98
- Matthews CE, Chen KY, Freedson PS, Buchowski MS, Beech BM, Pate RR, et al. Amount of time spent in sedentary behaviors in the United States, 2003-2004. Am J Epidemiol. 2008;167(7):875–81. https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwm390
- Schwerdtfeger AR, Schmitz C, Warken M. Using text messages to bridge the intention-behavior gap? A pilot study on the use of text message reminders to increase objectively assessed physical activity in daily life. Front Psychol. 2012;3:270. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2012.00270
- Rouse PC, Veldhuijzen Van Zanten JJ, Ntoumanis N, Metsios GS, Yu C, Kitas GD, et al. Measuring the positive psychological well-being of people with rheumatoid arthritis: a cross-sectional validation of the subjective vitality scale. Arthritis Res Ther. 2015;17:312. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13075-015-0827-7
- Tabachnick BG, Fidell LS. Using multivariate statistics. 5th ed. Boston, MA: Pearson; 2007. p. 1008.
- **33.** Myers RH. Classical and modern regression with applications. 2nd ed. Belmont, CA: Duxbury press; 1990. p. 488.
- Pearson ES, Hartley HO, editors. Biometrika tables for statisticians. 2nd ed. vol. 1. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press; 1958. p. 240.
- Gravetter FJ, Wallnau LB. Statistics for the behavioral sciences. 10th ed. Boston, MA: Cengage Learning; 2016. p. 768.
- Salguero A, Martínez-García R, Molinero O, Márquez S. Physical activity, quality of life and symptoms of depression in community-dwelling and institutionalized older adults. Arch Gerontol Geriatr. 2011;53(2):152–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.archger.2010.10.005
- Hall KS, McAuley E. Examining indirect associations between physical activity, function, and disability in independent- and assisted-living residents. J Phys Act Health. 2011;8(5):716–23. https://doi.org/10.1123/jpah.8.5.716