

Morphology and sex-specific behavior of a gynandromorphic *Myrmarachne formicaria* (Araneae: Salticidae) spider

著者 (英)	Yuya Suzuki, Kazumu Kuramitsu, Tomoyuki YOKOI
journal or publication title	The Science of Nature
volume	106
number	7-8
page range	34
year	2019-08
権利	(C) Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2019 This is a post-peer-review, pre-copyedit version of an article published in The Science of Nature. The final authenticated version is available online at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00114-019-1625-x
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2241/00159248

doi: 10.1007/s00114-019-1625-x

[Click here to view linked References](#)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 **Morphology and sex-specific behavior of a gynandromorphic *Myrmarachne formicaria* (Araneae:**
2
3
4
5
6 **2 *Salticidae*) spider**

3

4 Yuya SUZUKI^{1*}, Kazumu KURAMITSU², Tomoyuki YOKOI²

5

6 ¹ College of Biological Sciences, School of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Tsukuba, 1-1-

7 1 Tennodai, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8572, Japan

8 ORCID: 0000-0001-6523-9272

9

10 ² Faculty of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Tsukuba, 1-1-1 Tennodai, Tsukuba, Ibaraki

11 305-8572, Japan

12 ORCID: 0000-0002-6733-1379

13

14 *Corresponding author. E-mail: sasaganiya1206@gmail.com

15 +81-90-3952-7133

16

17 **Acknowledgments**

18 We thank Dr. Y. G. Baba (Institute for Agro-Environmental Sciences, NARO) for his valuable comments

1
2
3 19 on the study, Mr. R. Serita (Kochi University) for collecting the samples, and Mr. H. Nagano (Tokyo
4
5
6 20 University of Agriculture) for offering literature on the behavior of the study species. We would like to
7
8
9 21 thank Editage (www.editage.jp) for English language editing.
10
11
12 22
13
14
15 23
16
17
18 24
19
20
21
22 25
23
24
25 26
26
27
28 27
29
30
31 28
32
33
34 29
35
36
37
38 30
39
40
41 31
42
43
44 32
45
46
47 33
48
49
50 34
51
52
53
54 35
55
56
57 36
58
59

60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

37 **Abstract**

38 Behavioral studies of gynandromorphism, also called as sex mosaic, contribute to the understanding of the
39 relationship between morphological gender and sexual identity of an animal. Few studies have focused on
40 the behaviors of gynandromorphic spiders because of a scarcity of gynandromorphic individuals in the field.
41 In this study, we collected a gynandromorphic spider, *Myrmarachne formicaria* (De Geer 1778) (Araneae:
42 Salticidae), from the field and examined its morphology and sex-specific behavior in the laboratory. The
43 right half of the gynandromorphic spider presented male characteristics, and the left half female
44 characteristics. It showed courtship behavior to *M. formicaria* females and agonistic behavior to the males.
45 These results indicate that the gynandromorphic spider's sexual identity is male. Our findings suggest that
46 a spider can exhibit behaviors of male sexuality, although the external morphology has the characteristics
47 of both sexes. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report of a gynandromorphic individual and its
48 behavior in the genus *Myrmarachne*.

49

50

51

52 **Keywords**

53 Agonistic behavior, ant-mimicking spider, bilateral gynandromorph, courtship behavior, sex mosaic, sexual
54 identity

55

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

56 **Introduction**

57 Sometimes, an animal exhibits both male and female morphological characteristics and a chimeric
58 phenotype. This phenomenon is known as gynandromorphism or sex mosaic, and it is attributable to several
59 factors such as damage to the sex chromosome during cleavage, binucleation, and effects of symbionts
60 (Narita et al. 2010). Gynandromorphic organisms have been reported in a wide range of animals, including
61 vertebrates (e.g., birds: Peer and Motz 2014); however, most of the organisms are invertebrate species such
62 as insects, crustaceans, and arachnids (Morgan 1905; Exline 1938; Narita et al. 2010). Studies on the
63 behavior of a gynandromorph would help us to understand which organs are responsible for sex-specific
64 behavior (Nissani 1977). The sexual behavior of gynandromorphic organisms has been mostly observed in
65 insects that belong to Diptera (e.g., Nissani 1977), Hymenoptera (Matsuo et al. 2018; Sakagami and
66 Takahashi 1955; Ugajin et al. 2016), and Orthoptera (e.g., Maeno and Tanaka 2007), whereas few studies
67 on gynandromorphism in spiders have been focused on their behavior (e.g., Maekawa and Ikeda 1992).

68 Many species of spiders exhibit sexual dimorphism in body size, shape, color, and patterns
69 (Foellmer and Moya-Laraño 2007; Lim and Li 2006). Jumping spiders (Salticidae) are remarkable
70 examples, and they exhibit male-specific morphology and coloration. For instance, *Maratus* males have
71 movable flaps on a brightly colored abdomen (Otto and Hill 2011), and *Myrmarachne* males have
72 considerably elongated chelicera, which is as long as the carapace (Ono et al. 2009). In addition, salticid
73 spiders exhibit sex-specific courtship behavior by using visual, chemical, and vibratory information

1
2
3 74 (Schneider and Andrade 2011).
4
5

6 75 To investigate a gynandromorph's behavior, it is necessary to capture it alive. However, most
7
8
9
10 76 gynandromorphic spiders have been found after preservation (e.g., Kaston 1961; Baba et al. 2016).
11
12
13 77 gynandromorphic spiders are more likely to be found alive because of their visually distinguishable sexual
14
15
16 78 dimorphism (e.g., Maekawa and Ikeda 1992). This is a great advantage in investigating the relationship
17
18
19 79 between the morphological gender and sexual identity of an animal. In this paper, we describe, for the first
20
21
22 80 time, a gynandromorphic ant-mimic spider, *Myrmarachne formicaria* (De Geer 1778) (Araneae: Salticidae),
23
24
25 81 from Japan. First, we compared the morphology of the gynandromorphic spider with that of normal (i.e.,
26
27
28 82 non-gynandromorphic) males and females to confirm its gynandromorphic pattern. Our aim was to
29
30
31
32 83 determine the sexual identity of the gynandromorph. Therefore, we observed its behavior when it faced
33
34
35 84 other male or female spiders of the same species and associated it with its gynandromorphic pattern.
36
37

38 85

40
41 86 **Materials and Methods**
42

43
44 87 *Study species and specimen collection*
45

46
47 88 The study species, *M. formicaria* (De Geer 1778), is a small ant-mimicking spider with a body
49
50
51 89 length of 5-6 mm (female) or 4-5 mm (male) (Ono et al. 2009). *Myrmarachne formicaria* is distributed in
52
53
54 90 the Palearctic region (World Spider Catalog 2018), and it was recently introduced in the United States
55
56
57 91 (Bradley et al. 2006). This species is often found in grasslands and riverbeds, walking on the grass or ground
58
59

1
2
3 92 surface (Suguro 2017).
4
5

6 93 One gynandromorphic *M. formicaria* was found in a grass field at Tennodai (36.1186786 N,
7
8
9 94 140.0990647 E), Tsukuba, Ibaraki, Japan, on October 22, 2016. This individual was one of many *M.*
10
11
12 95 *formicaria* walking on the ground, and the other individuals were normal (non-gynandromorphic) spiders.
13
14
15 96 Ten normal females and 27 normal males were obtained from four locations in Japan (i.e., Ibaraki, Tokyo,
16
17
18 97 Yamagata, and Hokkaido). Three of the 10 females and 27 males were used for behavioral experiments,
19
20
21
22 98 and all spiders were preserved in 80% ethanol. Ten females and 10 males were used for morphological
23
24
25 99 measurement after the behavioral experiments. The 10 males were randomly chosen from the 27 male
26
27
28 100 specimens.
29
30

31 101

32
33
34
35 102 *Morphological comparison*
36
37

38 103 To elucidate the morphological characteristics of the gynandromorph, 10 body parts of the
39
40
41 104 specimen (body length, carapace length, carapace width, chelicera length, fang length, palp length, and
42
43
44 105 length of leg I, leg II, leg III, and leg IV) were measured. Of these body parts, the chelicerae are sexual
45
46
47 106 dimorphic (i.e., longer in the male than in the female) (Ono et al. 2009). Both left and right sides of the
48
49
50
51 107 gynandromorph's appendages were measured, but only the right (male) or left (female) appendages of the
52
53
54 108 normal specimens were measured. The measurements were performed using a stereoscopic microscope
55
56
57 109 (Nikon AZ100M; Japan) and microscope imaging software (Nikon NIS-Elements D 4.20.00 64-bit; Japan).
58
59

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

110

111 *Behavioral experiments*

112 The behaviors of a couple of spiders in male-male combination and male-female combination
113 were observed to determine the sex-specific behavior of normal individuals (experiment 1). To determine
114 the sexual identity of the gynandromorphic spider, its behavior when it encountered a male or female was
115 observed (experiment 2). In each experiment, two normal individuals (experiment 1) or the
116 gynandromorphic spider and a normal individual (experiment 2) were placed in a plastic cage (length: 90
117 mm, width: 90 mm, height: 80 mm). The behaviors of the spiders were recorded with a video camera
118 (OLYMPUS TG-4; Japan) at 25 °C. In experiment 1, male-male and female-male experiments were
119 replicated 11 and 2 times, respectively. All spiders for the male-male experiments were used only once. The
120 male-female experiments were conducted with the same couple because of the limited number of collected
121 females. In experiment 2, observations were replicated four times for the gynandromorph-male combination
122 and twice for the gynandromorph-female combination. The normal individuals used for this experiment
123 were obtained from the same place where the gynandromorph was collected, and each spider was used only
124 once.

126 *Statistical analysis*

127 Morphological comparisons between the normal females and males were tested for statistical

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

128 significance by using the Mann–Whitney *U* test. All statistical analyses were performed in R (version 3.5.1;
129 R Development Core Team 2018).

130

131 **Results**

132 *Morphological comparison*

133 The right half of the body of the individual exhibited male characteristics, and the left half, female
134 characteristics (Figs. 1, 2); therefore, it was classified as a bilateral gynandromorph. The most
135 distinguishable morphological characteristics of the gynandromorph were its chelicerae and fangs, i.e., the
136 right chelicera and fang were elongated and the left ones were shorter than the right ones (right chelicera
137 length: 1.74 mm, left chelicera length: 0.51 mm; right fang length: 1.90 mm, left fang length: 0.40 mm, Fig.
138 1a–d, Fig. 2). In the normal individuals, the chelicerae (1.39 ± 0.36 mm, mean \pm SD) and fangs ($1.26 \pm$
139 0.28 mm, mean \pm SD) of the males were significantly longer than the chelicerae (0.54 ± 0.08 mm, mean \pm
140 SD) and fangs (0.35 ± 0.07 , mean \pm SD) of the females (no overlapping; Table 1). The chelicerae and fangs
141 lengths of the gynandromorph fell within the range of both normal females (left) and males (right) (Fig. 2,
142 Table 1), except the right fang, which was longer than the maximum value of the normal males (Fig. 2,
143 Table 1). All other parts, body length, carapace length, carapace width, and palp and leg length, were not
144 significantly different between the normal females and males ($P > 0.05$, Mann–Whitney *U* test; Table 1),
145 and the lengths of those parts fall within the range of normal individuals.

146 The sexual organs of the individual were also bilateral gynandromorphic (Fig. 3). The right palp

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

147 was developed as a mature palp organ, whereas the left one was that of a normal female (Fig. 3 a–d). The
148 epigynum was formed only on the left side of the abdomen, and the spermatheca was located at an abnormal
149 position when compared with the normal females (Fig. 3 e–f).

150

151 *Behavioral experiment*

152 The *M. formicaria* males showed agonistic behavior in the male-male experiments. When two
153 normal males faced each other, they showed a recognizable behavior. The males bent both legs and moved
154 repeatedly side-to-side (Fig. 4a; video S1). Their abdomens also bent to the right and left. During this phase,
155 they maintained some distance and never touched each other. Six of 11 trials were finished at this phase,
156 and five couples moved to the next phase. Both males raised their legs and opened the chelicerae in
157 widthwise direction (Fig. 4a; video S1). Four of the five couples completed agonistic behavior and escaped
158 at this phase. Only one couple showed heated battle. They began touching each other with the chelicerae
159 and fangs, and one of the males tried to throw its opponent by using its chelicerae (Fig. 4a; video S1).

160 The courtship behavior of the spider was characterized in the male-female experiments, and it
161 was distinguishable from the agonistic behavior. The males often showed a frontal approach to a female in
162 both trials. The males stretched the legs forward and tried to touch a female’s legs or body (Fig. 4b; video
163 S2). When a male approached a female from behind or walked around it, the female turned around and
164 faced the male. A female sometimes responded to a male’s courtship behavior by stretching both of its

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

165 forelegs and approaching the male, although that was rare (three of 19 male approaches in two trials). The
166 females never accepted the males in the experiments.

167 In the gynandromorph-male experiments (n = 4), both the gynandromorph and opponent male
168 bent their legs and moved side-to-side in all four trials (Fig. 4c; video S3). This behavior was similar to that
169 of the males in the male-male experiments. The normal male ran away before the gynandromorph opened
170 its chelicerae in all four trials. In the gynandromorph-female experiment (n = 2), the gynandromorph
171 approached a female and stretched both of its forelegs forward, which was how the males behaved in the
172 male-female experiments, and never bent its legs or side-stepped (Fig. 4d; video S4). The normal female
173 escaped from the gynandromorph and did not show mating behavior.

174

175 **Discussion**

176 Not only non-gynandromorphic *M. formicaria* specimens examined in the taxonomic paper by
177 Ono et al. (2009), but also our specimens showed sexual dimorphism in regard to length of chelicerae and
178 fangs. Our gynandromorphic individual had a bilaterally asymmetric body, with the right and left halves of
179 chelicerae, palps, and genitalia displaying male and female characteristics, respectively. To the best of our
180 knowledge, this is the first report of a gynandromorphic individual and its behavior in the genus
181 *Myrmarachne*.

182 The behavioral experiments revealed details of the agonistic and courtship behaviors of normal
183 *M. formicaria* individuals for the first time, and the sex specificity of these behaviors was confirmed. The

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

184 gynandromorphic spider showed courtship behavior to female spiders and agonistic behavior to males.
185 These behaviors clearly indicated that the gynandromorph's sexual identity was male. Furthermore, the fact
186 that normal males showed pre-fighting behavior to the gynandromorphic spider suggested that normal
187 males may have also recognized the gynandromorph as a male. When the gynandromorph faced a female,
188 it exhibited courtship behavior like a normal male, but the female tried to escape. However, we cannot
189 conclude whether the normal female recognized the gynandromorph as a male with such a small number
190 of observations (n = 2).

191 Previous studies have shown the relationship between the gynandromorphic pattern and sexual
192 behavior of spiders (Gack and Helversen 1976 cited in Yoshikura 1987; Maekawa and Ikeda 1992; Table
193 2). Maekawa and Ikeda (1992) demonstrated that a completely bilateral gynandromorphic *Carrhotus*
194 *xanthogramma* (Latreille 1819) (Araneae: Salticidae) showed male-specific behavior when it faced both a
195 female and male, which is consistent with our results. On the basis of our results and those of previous
196 studies, a spider may exhibit behaviors of male sexuality, although the external morphology has the
197 characteristics of both sexes. Several studies on insects have also shown that gynandromorphs behaved like
198 a male (Maeno and Tanaka 2007; Matsuo et al. 2018; Taniyama et al. 2018; Table 2), although further
199 studies need to be performed.

200 For quantitative behavioral and physiological analyses of gynandromorphs, it would be
201 necessary to obtain a large number of gynandromorphs from an established rearing colony (e.g., *Drosophila*

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

202 *melanogaster*: Nissani 1977). However, in spiders, only one example of a gynandromorph obtained from a
203 breeding colony has been reported (Laborda and Pérez-Miles 2017), and methods to produce
204 gynandromorphic spiders under laboratory conditions have not yet been established. To understand the
205 relationship between morphological gender and sexual identity of gynandromorphic spiders or non-insect
206 arthropods, behavioral and physiological studies with wild gynandromorphic individuals in a natural
207 population need to be performed.

208 In conclusion, we found a bilateral gynandromorphic spider behaving like a male to normal males
209 and females, suggesting that its sexual identity was male. Our findings should encourage studies of
210 gynandromorphism and sexual identity in non-model invertebrates.

212 **References**

213 Baba YG, Suguro T, Naya N, Yamauchi T (2016) A gynandromorph of the funnel-web spider *Allagelena*
214 *opulenta* (Araneae: Agelenidae). *Acta Arachnol* 65(1):11–13
215 Bradley RA, Cutler B, Hodge M (2006) The first records of *Myrmarachne formicaria* (Araneae, Salticidae)
216 in the Americas. *J Arachnol* 34:483–484
217 Exline H (1938) Gynandromorph spiders. *J Morphol* 63:441–475
218 Foellmer M, Moya-Laraño J (2007) Sexual size dimorphism in spiders: Patterns and processes. I
219 n: Fairbairn DJ, Blackenhorn WU, Székely T (eds) *Sex, Size and Gender Roles: Evolutionary*

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

220 y Studies of Sexual Size Dimorphism. Oxford University Press. pp 71-81

221 Gack C, Helversen O von (1976) Zum Verhalten einer gynandromorphen Wolfspinne (Arachnida:
222 Araneae: Lycosidae). Ent Germ 3:109–118. Cited in: Yoshikura M (1987) The Biology of Sp
223 iders. Japan Scientific Society Press, Tokyo, Japan. 613 pp.

224 Kaston B (1961) Spider gynandromorphs and intersexes. J N Y Entomol Soc 69:177–190

225 Laborda Á, Pérez-Miles F (2017) The first case of gynandry in Mygalomorphae: *Pterinochilus murinus*,
226 morphology and comment on sexual behavior (Araneae, Theraphosidae). J Arachnol 45:235–237

227 Lim MLM, Li D (2006) Extreme ultraviolet sexual dimorphism in jumping spider (Araneae: Salticidae).
228 Biol J Linnean Soc 89:397–406

229 Maeno K, Tanaka S (2007) Morphological and behavioral characteristics of a gynandromorph of the desert
230 locust, *Schistocerca gregaria*. Physiol Entomol 32:294–299

231 Maekawa T, Ikeda H (1992) Sexual behavior of a gynandromorphic spider of *Carrhotus xanthogramma*
232 (Araneae: Salticidae). Acta Arachnol 41:103–108 (In Japanese with English summary)

233 Matsuo K, Kubo R, Sasaki T, Ono M, Ugajin A (2018) Scientific note on interrupted sexual behavior to
234 virgin queens and expression of male courtship-related gene fruitless in a gynandromorph of
235 bumblebee, *Bombus ignitus*. Apidologie 49:411–414

236 Morgan TH (1905) An alternative interpretation of the origin of gynandromorphous insects. Science
237 21(538):632–634

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

238 Narita S, Pereira RAS, Kjelleberg F, Kageyama D (2010) Gynandromorphs and intersexes: potential to
239 understand the mechanism of sex determination in arthropods. *Terr Arthropod Rev* 3:63–96

240 Nissani M (1977) Gynandromorph analysis of some aspects of sexual behavior of *Drosophila melanogaster*.
241 *Anim Behav* 25:555–566

242 Ono H, Ikeda H, Kono R (2009) Salticidae. In: Ono H (ed.) *The spiders of Japan with keys to the families*
243 *and genera and illustrations of the species*. Tokai University Press, Kanagawa, pp 558-588

244 Otto JC, Hill DE (2011) An illustrated review of the known peacock spiders of the genus *Maratus* from
245 Australia, with description of a new species (Araneae: Salticidae: Euophryinae). *Peckhamia* 96(1):1–
246 27

247 Peer B, Motz RW (2014) Observations of a Bilateral Gynandromorph Northern Cardinal (*Cardinalis*
248 *cardinalis*) Wilson J *Ornithol* 126(1264):778–781

249 Sakagami SF, Takahashi H (1956) Beobachtungen über die gynandromorphen Hönigbienen mit besonderer
250 Berücksichtigung ihrer Handlungen innerhalb des Volkes. *Insectes Soc* 3:513–529

251 Schneider J, Andrade, M (2011) Mating behaviour and sexual selection. In: Herberstein MEA (eds) *Spider*
252 *behaviour Flexibility and Versatility*. Cambridge University press, New York. pp 215-274

253 Stratton GE (1995) A gynandromorphic *Schizonosa* (Araneae: Lycosidae). *J Arachnol* 23:130–133

254 Suguro T (2017) [*Handbook of Jumping Spiders.*] Bun-ichi Co., Ltd. Tokyo. (In Japanese)

255 Taniyama K, Onodera K, Tanaka K (2018) Sexual identity and sexual attractiveness of a gynandromorph

1
2
3 256 of the lawn ground cricket, *Polionemobius mikado* (Orthoptera: Trigonidiidae). Entomol Sci 21:423–
4
5
6 257 427
7
8
9 258 Ugajin A, Matsuo K, Kubo R, Sasaki T, Ono M (2016) Expression profile of the sex determination gene
10
11
12 259 *doublesex* in a gynandromorph of bumblebee, *Bombus ignites*. Sci Nat 103:1. doi 10.1007/s00114-
13
14
15 260 016-1342-7
16
17
18 261 World Spider Catalog (2018) World Spider Catalog. Version 19.5. Natural History Museum Bern, online at
19
20
21
22 262 <http://wsc.nmbe.ch>, accessed on {2018/12/6}. doi: 10.24436/2
23
24
25 263
26
27
28 264
29
30
31 265
32
33
34 266
35
36
37 267
38
39
40
41 268
42
43
44 269
45
46
47 270
48
49
50 271
51
52
53 272
54
55
56 273
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

274 **Fig. 1** External morphology of a *Myrmarachne formicaria* gynandromorph (a–d) and normal individuals
275 (e–f). a, dorsal view; b, ventral view; c, enlarged dorsal view of gynandromorphic chelicera; d enlarged
276 ventral view of gynandromorphic chelicera; e, enlarged ventral view of normal male chelicera; f, enlarged
277 ventral view of normal female chelicera. Scale = 2 mm (a–b); 0.5 mm (c–f)

279 **Fig. 2** Boxplots of the chelicera and fang lengths. a, chelicera length of each sex and the gynandromorph;
280 b, fang length of each sex and the gynandromorph. Abbreviations: f, female; gf: left side of the
281 gynandromorph; gm: right side of the gynandromorph; m, normal male. n = 10 (f, m), 1 (gf, gm)

283 **Fig. 3** Sexual organs of the gynandromorph (a, c, e) and normal individuals (b, d, f). a–b, ventral view of
284 the right palp; c–d, ventral view of the left palp; e–f, epigyne. The white arrow indicates the spermatheca at
285 an abnormal position. Scale = 0.1 mm

287 **Fig. 4** Flow diagrams of the behavioral sequences of agonistic behavior (a, c) and courtship behavior (b, d)
288 performed by non-gynandromorphic individuals (a, b) and among gynandromorphic and normal individuals
289 (c, d). Abbreviations: g, gynandromorph. Numbers within parentheses indicate the observed number of
290 individuals that showed the behavioral elements per total number of observations.

292 **Table 1** Morphological measurements of the gynandromorph and normal individuals of *M. formicaria*.

293 Values of normal individuals indicate average and range within parentheses (in mm)

Body part ¹	Sexual type of the specimens				Mann–Whitney <i>U</i> test (normal female vs. normal male)	
	Gynandro-morph (left side)	Gynandro-morph (right side)	Normal female (N = 10)	Normal Male (N = 10)	Test statistic	p-value
Body length	4.49	4.49	4.56 (3.55–5.43)	4.12 (3.52–5.29)	72	0.10
Carapace length	2.12	2.12	2.00 (1.82–2.16)	1.92 (1.60–2.43)	65	0.28
Carapace width	1.12	1.12	1.03 (0.91–1.18)	1.02 (0.87–1.31)	59	0.53
Chelicera length	0.51	1.74	0.54 (0.40–0.69)	1.39 (0.98–2.16)	0	0.00
Fang length	0.40	1.90	0.35 (0.29–0.52)	1.26 (0.96–1.77)	0	0.00
Palp length	2.03	2.11	1.82 (1.56–2.29)	1.76 (1.39–2.24)	58	0.58
Leg I length	4.20	4.81	3.93 (3.37–4.90)	4.05 (3.20–4.99)	45	0.74
Leg II length	3.61	3.73	3.06 (2.43–4.02)	2.97 (2.27–4.18)	56	0.68
Leg III length	3.65	4.04	3.24 (2.46–4.22)	3.23 (2.56–4.56)	54	0.80
Leg IV length	5.80	5.66	4.81 (3.83–5.91)	4.68 (3.85–6.22)	58	0.57

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301 **Table 2** Summary of known cases of gynandromorphy and behavior in invertebrates

Class	Species	Pattern of distribution of external morphological characteristics	Observed sex-specific behavior	References
Arachnida	<i>Carrhotus xanthogramma</i> (Latreille 1819) (Araneae: Salticidae)	Bilateral gynandromorph (The left half female, the right half male)	Male-specific behavior (Antagonistic behavior to a normal male and courtship behavior to a normal female)	Maekawa and Ikeda (1992)
	<i>Myrmarachne formicaria</i> (De Geer 1778) (Araneae: Salticidae)	Bilateral gynandromorph (The left half female, the right half male)	Male-specific behavior (Antagonistic behavior to a normal male and courtship behavior to a normal female)	This study
	<i>Alopecosa pulverulenta</i> (Clerck 1757) (Araneae: Lycosidae)	Incomplete bilateral gynandromorph (The left half male and the right half female, but the right palp intersexual)	Male-specific behavior (Courtship and mating behavior to a normal female)	Gack and Helversen (1976) cited in Yoshikura (1987)
Insecta	<i>Bombus ignitus</i> Smith 1869 (Hymenoptera: Apoidae)	Bilateral gynandromorph (The left half male, the right half female)	Never showed male-specific behavior to a queen bee	Ugajin et al. (2016)
	<i>Bombus ignitus</i> Smith 1869 (Hymenoptera: Apoidae)	Partial bilateral gynandromorph (The left half of the abdominal tip male, the right half female)	Abnormal male-specific behavior (Mating behavior to a queen bee)	Matsuo et al. (2018)
	<i>Schistocerca gregaria</i> Forsskål 1775 (Orthoptera: Acrididae)	Partial bilateral gynandromorph (The left half of the abdominal tip male, the right half female)	Male-specific behavior (Mating behavior to a normal female)	Maeno and Tanaka (2007)
	<i>Polionemobius mikado</i> (Shiraki 1913) (Orthoptera: Trigonidiidae)	Patchily distributed gynandromorph (Complete male forewings and a female ovipositor at the end of the abdomen)	Male-specific behavior (Antagonistic behavior to a normal male but not a normal female)	Taniyama et al. (2018)

302

303

304

305

306

1	
2	
3	307 Supplemental data
4	
5	308
6	
7	
8	309 Video S1 Agonistic behavior (normal male vs. normal male)
9	
10	
11	310
12	
13	
14	311 Video S2 Courtship behavior (normal male vs. normal female)
15	
16	
17	312
18	
19	
20	
21	313 Video S3 Normal male vs. gynandromorph
22	
23	
24	314
25	
26	
27	315 Video S4 Normal female vs. gynandromorph
28	
29	
30	316
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	
61	
62	
63	
64	
65	







