

UDK 37

ISSN 2545 – 4439

ISSN 1857 - 923X

# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL

Institute of Knowledge Management

# KNOWLEDGE



Scientific Papers

Vol. 35. 5.

**SOCIAL SCIENCES**



KIJ

Vol. **35**

No. **5**

pp. **1399 - 1828**

Skopje, **2019**

Global Impact & Quality Factor

1.322 (2016)

<http://globalimpactfactor.com/knowledge-international-journal/>

**KNOWLEDGE**



**INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL**

**SCIENTIFIC PAPERS  
VOL. 35.5**

*Promoted in Bansko, Bulgaria  
2019*

**INSTITUTE OF KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT  
SKOPJE**



# **KNOWLEDGE**

## **International Journal Scientific papers Vol. 35.5**

### **ADVISORY BOARD**

Vlado Kambovski PhD, Robert Dimitrovski PhD, Siniša Zarić PhD, Maria Kavdanska PhD, Venelin Terziev PhD, Mirjana Borota – Popovska PhD, Cezar Birzea PhD, Veselin Videv PhD, Ivo Zupanovic, PhD, Savo Ashtalkoski PhD, Zivota Radosavljević PhD, Laste Spasovski PhD, Mersad Mujevic PhD, Nonka Mateva PhD, Rositsa Chobanova PhD, Predrag Trajković PhD, Dzulijana Tomovska PhD, Nedžad Korajlić PhD, Nebojsa Pavlović PhD, Nikolina Ognenska PhD, Baki Koleci PhD, Lisen Bashkurti PhD, Trajce Dojcinovski PhD, Jana Merdzanova PhD, Zoran Srzentić PhD, Nikolai Sashkov Cankov PhD, Marija Kostic PhD

**Print:** GRAFOPROM – Bitola

**Editor:** IKM – Skopje

**Editor in chief**

Robert Dimitrovski, PhD

**KNOWLEDGE - International Journal Scientific Papers Vol. 35.5**

**ISSN 1857-923X** (for e-version)

**ISSN 2545 – 4439** (for printed version)

**INTERNATIONAL EDITORIAL BOARD**

**President:** Academic, Prof. Vlado Kambovski PhD, Skopje (N. Macedonia)

**Vice presidents:**

Prof. Robert Dimitrovski PhD, Institute of Knowledge Management, Skopje (N. Macedonia)

Prof. Sinisa Zaric, PhD, Faculty of Economics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade (Serbia)

Prof. Venelin Terziev PhD, University of Rousse, Rousse (Bulgaria)

Prof. Mersad Mujevic PhD, Public Procurement Administration of Montenegro (Montenegro)

Prof. Tihomir Domazet PhD, President of the Croatian Institute for Finance and Accounting, Zagreb (Croatia)

**Members:**

- Prof. Aleksandar Korablev PhD, Dean, Faculty for economy and management, Saint Petersburg State Forest Technical University, Saint Petersburg (Russian Federation)
- Prof. Azra Adjajlic – Dedovic PhD, Faculty of criminology and security, Sarajevo (Bosnia & Herzegovina)
- Prof. Anita Trajkovska PhD, Rochester University (USA)
- Prof. Anka Trajkovska-Petkoska PhD, UKLO, Faculty of technology and technical sciences, Bitola (N. Macedonia)
- Prof. Alisabri Sabani PhD, Faculty of criminology and security, Sarajevo (Bosnia & Herzegovina)
- Prof. Ahmad Zakeri PhD, University of Wolverhampton, (United Kingdom)
- Prof. Ana Dzumalievva PhD, South-West University “Neofit Rilski”, Blagoevgrad (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Aziz Pollozhani PhD, Rector, University Mother Teresa, Skopje (N.Macedonia)
- Prof. Artan Nimani PhD, Rector, University of Gjakova “Fehmi Agani” (Kosovo)
- Prof. Branko Sotirov PhD, University of Rousse, Rousse (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Branko Boshkovic, PhD, College of Sports and Health, Belgrade (Serbia)
- Prof. Branimir Kampl PhD, Institute SANO, Zagreb (Croatia)
- Prof. Baki Koleci PhD, University Hadzi Zeka, Peja (Kosovo)
- Prof. Branislav Simonovic PhD, Faculty of Law, Kragujevac (Serbia)
- Prof. Bistra Angelovska, Faculty of Medicine, University “Goce Delcev”, Shtip (N.Macedonia)
- Prof. Cezar Birzea, PhD, National School for Political and Administrative Studies, Bucharest (Romania)
- Prof. Cvetko Andreevski, Dean, Faculty of Tourism, UKLO, Bitola (N.Macedonia)
- Prof. Drago Cvijanovic, PhD, Faculty of Hotel Management and Tourism, University of Kragujevac, Vrnjacka Banja (Serbia)
- Prof. Dusan Ristic, PhD Emeritus, College of professional studies in Management and Business Communication, Novi Sad (Serbia)
- Prof. Dimitar Radev, PhD, Rector, University of Telecommunications and Post, Sofia (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Daniela Todorova PhD, Rector of “Todor Kableshkov” University of Transport, Sofia (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Dragan Kokovic PhD, University of Novi Sad, Novi Sad (Serbia)
- Prof. Dragan Marinkovic PhD, High health – sanitary school for professional studies, Belgrade (Serbia)
- Prof. Daniela Ivanova Popova PhD, Faculty of Public Health and Sport, SWU Neofit Rilski, Blagoevgrad (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Dzulijana Tomovska, PhD, Dean, Faculty of Biotechnical sciences, Bitola(N.Macedonia)
- Prof. Evgenia Penkova-Pantaleeva PhD, UNWE -Sofia (Bulgaria)

- Prof. Fadil Millaku, PhD, Rector, University “Hadzi Zeka”, Peja (Kosovo)
- Prof. Fatos Ukaj, University “Hasan Prishtina”, Prishtina (Kosovo)
- Prof. Georgi Georgiev PhD, National Military University “Vasil Levski”, Veliko Trnovo (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Halit Shabani, PhD, University “Hadzi Zeka”, Peja (Kosovo)
- Prof. Halima Sofradzija, PhD, University of Sarajevo, Sarajevo (Bosnia and Herzegovina)
- Prof. Haris Halilovic, Faculty of criminology and security, University of Sarajevo, Sarajevo (Bosnia and Herzegovina)
- Prof. Helmut Shramke PhD, former Head of the University of Vienna Reform Group (Austria)
- Prof. Hristina Georgieva Yancheva, PhD, Rector, Agricultural University, Plovdiv (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Hristo Beloev PhD, Bulgarian Academy of Science, Rector of the University of Rousse (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Hristina Milcheva, Medical college, Trakia University, Stara Zagora (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Izet Zeqiri, PhD, Academic, SEEU, Tetovo (N.Macedonia)
- Prof. Ivan Marchevski, PhD, Rector, D.A. Tsenov Academy of Economics, Svishtov (Bulgaria)
- Doc. Igor Stubelj, PhD, PhD, Faculty of Management, Primorska University, Koper (Slovenia)
- Prof. Ivo Zupanovic, PhD, Faculty of Business and Tourism, Budva (Montenegro)
- Prof. Ivan Petkov PhD, Rector, European Polytechnic University, Pernik (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Isa Spahiu PhD, AAB University, Prishtina (Kosovo)
- Prof. Ivana Jelik PhD, University of Podgorica, Faculty of Law, Podgorica (Montenegro)
- Prof. Islam Hasani PhD, Kingston University (Bahrein)
- Prof. Jova Ateljevic PhD, Faculty of Economy, University of Banja Luka, (Bosnia & Herzegovina)
- Prof. Jove Kekenovski PhD, Faculty of Tourism, UKLO , Bitola (N.Macedonia)
- Prof. Jonko Kunchev PhD, University „Cernorizec Hrabar“ - Varna (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Jelena Stojanovic PhD, High medicine school for professional studies “Hipokrat”, Bujanovac (Serbia)
- Prof Karl Schopf, PhD, Akademie fur wissenschaftliche forchung und studium, Wien (Austria)
- Prof. Katerina Belichovska, PhD, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences, UKIM, Skopje (N. Macedonia)
- Prof. Krasimir Petkov, PhD, National Sports Academy “Vassil Levski”, Sofia (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Kamal Al-Nakib PhD, College of Business Administration Department, Kingdom University (Bahrain)
- Prof. Kiril Lisichkov, Faculty of Technology and Metallurgy, UKIM, Skopje (N.Macedonia)
- Prof. Krasimira Staneva PhD, University of Forestry, Sofia (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Lidija Tozi PhD, Faculty of Pharmacy, Ss. Cyril and Methodius University, Skopje (N.Macedonia)
- Prof. Laste Spasovski PhD, Vocational and educational centre, Skopje (N.Macedonia)
- Prof. Larisa Velic, PhD, Faculty of Law, University of Zenica, Zenica ( Bosnia and Herzegovina)
- Prof. Lujza Grueva, PhD, Faculty of Medical Sciences, UKIM, Skopje (N.Macedonia)
- Prof. Lazar Stosic, PhD, Association for development of science, engineering and education, Vranje (Serbia)
- Prof. Lulzim Zeneli PhD, University of Gjakova “Fehmi Agani” (Kosovo)
- Prof. Lisen Bashkurti PhD, Global Vice President of Sun Moon University (Albania)
- Prof. Lence Mircevska PhD, High Medicine School, Bitola, (N.Macedonia)

- Prof. Ljupce Kocovski PhD, Faculty of Biotechnical sciences, Bitola (N.Macedonia)
- Prof. Marusya Lyubcheva PhD, University “Prof. Asen Zlatarov”, Member of the European Parliament, Burgas (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Maria Kavdanska PhD, Faculty of Pedagogy, South-West University Neofit Rilski, Blagoevgrad (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Maja Lubenova Cholakova PhD, Faculty of Public Health and Sport, SWU Neofit Rilski, Blagoevgrad (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Mirjana Borota-Popovska, PhD, Centre for Management and Human Resource Development, Institute for Sociological, Political and Juridical Research, Skopje (N.Macedonia)
- Prof. Mihail Garevski, PhD, Institute of Earthquake Engineering and Engineering Seismology, Skopje (N.Macedonia)
- Prof. Misho Hristovski PhD, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Ss. Cyril and Methodius University, Skopje (N.Macedonia)
- Prof. Mitko Kotochevski, PhD, Faculty of Philosophy, UKIM, Skopje (N.Macedonia)
- Prof. Milan Radosavljevic PhD, Dean, Faculty of strategic and operational management, Union University, Belgrade (Serbia)
- Prof. Marija Topuzovska-Latkovikj, PhD, Centre for Management and Human Resource Development, Institute for Sociological, Political and Juridical Research, Skopje (N.Macedonia)
- Prof. Marija Knezevic PhD, Academic, Banja Luka, (Bosnia and Herzegovina)
- Prof. Margarita Bogdanova PhD, D.A.Tsenov Academy of Economics, Svishtov (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Mahmut Chelik PhD, Faculty of Philology, University “Goce Delchev”, Shtip (N.Macedonia)
- Prof. Marija Mandaric PhD, Faculty of Hotel Management and Tourism, University of Kragujevac, Vrnjacka Banja (Serbia)
- Prof. Marina Simin PhD, College of professional studies in Management and Business Communication, Sremski Karlovci (Serbia)
- Prof. Miladin Kalinic, College of professional studies in Management and Business Communication, Sremski Karlovci (Serbia)
- Prof. Marijan Tanushevski PhD, Macedonian Scientific Society, Bitola (N. Macedonia)
- Prof. Mitre Stojanovski PhD, Faculty of Biotechnical sciences, Bitola (N.Macedonia)
- Prof. Miodrag Smelcerovic PhD, High Technological and Artistic Vocational School, Leskovac (Serbia)
- Prof. Nadka Kostadinova, Faculty of Economics, Trakia University, Stara Zagora (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Natalija Kirejenko PhD, Faculty For economic and Business, Institute of Entrepreneurial Activity, Minsk (Belarus)
- Prof. Nenad Taneski PhD, Military Academy “Mihailo Apostolski”, Skopje (N.Macedonia)
- Prof. Nevenka Tatkovic PhD, Juraj Dobrila University of Pula, Pula (Croatia)
- Prof. Nedžad Korajlic PhD, Dean, Faculty of criminal justice and security, University of Sarajevo (Bosnia and Herzegovina)
- Prof. Nikolay Georgiev PhD, “Todor Kableskov” University of Transport, Sofia (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Nikolina Ognenska PhD, Faculty of Music, SEU - Blagoevgrad (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Nishad M. Navaz PhD, Kingdom University (India)
- Prof. Oliver Iliev PhD, Faculty of Communication and IT, FON University, Skopje (N.Macedonia)
- Prof. Oliver Dimitrijevic PhD, High medicine school for professional studies “Hipokrat”, Bujanovac (Serbia)
- Prof. Paul Sergius Koku, PhD, Florida State University, Florida (USA)
- Prof. Primoz Dolenc, PhD, Faculty of Management, Primorska University, Koper (Slovenia)
- Prof. Predrag Trajkovic PhD, JMPNT, Vranje (Serbia)

- Prof. Petar Kolev PhD, “Todor Kableshkov” University of Transport, Sofia (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Pere Tumbas PhD, Faculty of Economics, University of Novi Sad, Subotica (Serbia)
- Prof. Rade Ratkovic PhD, Faculty of Business and Tourism, Budva (Montenegro)
- Prof. Rositsa Chobanova PhD, University of Telecommunications and Posts, Sofia (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Rumen Valcovski PhD, Imunolab Sofia (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Rumen Stefanov PhD, Dean, Faculty of public health, Medical University of Plovdiv (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Rumen Tomov PhD, Rector, University of Forestry, Sofia (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Sasho Korunoski PhD, UKLO, Bitola (N.Macedonia)
- Prof. Sashko Plachkov PhD, Faculty of Pedagogy, University Neofit Rilski, Blagoevgrad (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Snezhana Lazarevic, PhD, College of Sports and Health, Belgrade (Serbia)
- Prof. Stojan Ivanov Ivanov PhD, Faculty of Public Health and Sport, SWU Neofit Rilski, Blagoevgrad (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Snezana Stoilova, PhD, High Medicine School, Bitola, (N. Macedonia)
- Prof. Stojna Ristevska PhD, High Medicine School, Bitola, (N. Macedonia)
- Prof. Suzana Pavlovic PhD, High health – sanitary school for professional studies, Belgrade (Serbia)
- Prof. Sandra Zivanovic, PhD, Faculty of Hotel Management and Tourism, University of Kragujevac, Vrnjacka Banja (Serbia)
- Prof. Shyqeri Kabashi, College “Biznesi”, Prishtina (Kosovo)
- Prof. Trayan Popkochev PhD, Faculty of Pedagogy, South-West University Neofit Rilski, Blagoevgrad (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Todor Krystevich, Vice Rector, D.A. Tsenov Academy of Economics, Svishtov (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Todorka Atanasova, Faculty of Economics, Trakia University, Stara Zagora (Bulgaria)
- Doc. Tatyana Sobolieva PhD, State Higher Education Establishment Vadiym Getman Kiyev National Economic University, Kiyev (Ukraine)
- Prof. Tzako Pantaleev PhD, NBUniversity, Sofia (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Violeta Dimova PhD, Faculty of Philology, University “Goce Delchev”, Shtip (N. Macedonia)
- Prof. Volodymyr Denysyuk, PhD, Dobrov Center for Scientific and Technological Potential and History studies at the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine (Ukraine)
- Prof. Valentina Staneva PhD, “Todor Kableshkov” University of Transport, Sofia (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Vasil Zecev PhD, College of tourism, Blagoevgrad (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Venus Del Rosario PhD, Arab Open University (Philippines)
- Prof. Vjollca Dibra PhD, University of Gjakova “Fehmi Agani” (Kosovo)
- Prof. Yuri Doroshenko PhD, Dean, Faculty of Economics and Management, Belgorod (Russian Federation)
- Prof. Zlatko Pejkovski, PhD, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences, UKIM, Skopje (N.Macedonia)
- Prof. Zivota Radosavljevik PhD, Dean, Faculty FORCUP, Union University, Belgrade (Serbia)
- Prof. Zorka Jugovic PhD, High health – sanitary school for professional studies, Belgrade (Serbia)

**REVIEW PROCEDURE AND REVIEW BOARD**

Each paper is reviewed by the editor and, if it is judged suitable for this publication, it is then sent to two referees for double blind peer review.

The editorial review board is consisted of 63 members, full professors in the fields 1) Natural and mathematical sciences, 2) Technical and technological sciences, 3) Medical sciences and Health, 4) Biotechnical sciences, 5) Social sciences, and 6) Humanities from all the Balkan countries and the region.



---

**CONTENTS**

MEMBERSHIP OF THE REPUBLIC OF NORTH MACEDONIA IN THE NATO ALLIANCE - OBLIGATION TO BUILD A COMPATIBLE AND INTEROPERABLE SECURITY AND DEFENSE SYSTEM.....	1417
Temelko Risteski .....	1417
CONCEPT OF RADICALIZATION .....	1423
Nenad Taneski .....	1423
Oliver Bakreski.....	1423
Aleksandar Grizhev .....	1423
Ana Fritzhand .....	1423
THE RISE OF LONE WOLF TERRORISM- NEW CHALLENGE TO MEDIA.....	1431
Tanja Miloshevska.....	1431
Rina Kirkova.....	1431
Tatjana Stojanoska Ivanova.....	1431
APPLICATION OF CONVENTION LAW WHEN EXPLAINING GROUNDS FOR CUSTODY: EUROPEAN STANDARDS AND BH ATTAINMENT .....	1437
Miodrag N. Simović .....	1437
Marina M. Simović.....	1437
Vladimir M. Simović.....	1437
THE CONCEPT OF THE “NATIONAL ARREST WARRANT” AND “JUDICIAL AUTHORITY” FOR EXECUTION OF THE EUROPEAN ARREST WARRANT .....	1443
Ivica Josifovic.....	1443
Igor Kambovski .....	1443
ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION OF LEGAL DISPUTES AND ADR METHODS .....	1449
Fjolla Kaprolli.....	1449
INTERNATIONAL STANDARDS FOR THE PROTECTION OF THE RIGHT TO MENTAL HEALTH .....	1455
Momchil Mavrov .....	1455
Mariya Hristozova .....	1455
RESOURCE WARS .....	1461
Mitko Kotovchevski .....	1461
Blagica Kotovchevska .....	1461
THE CLOSE RELEVANCE OF INTERNATIONAL MIGRATION AS A LEGAL PROCESS WITH THE CRIMINAL ACT OF MIGRANT SMUGGLING .....	1471
Ersin Sulejmani.....	1471
Ismail Zejneli.....	1471
TERRORISM - A CURRENT THREAT .....	1475
Hatidza Beriša.....	1475
Ivona Zenovic .....	1475
RULE AND TASKS OF POLICE ADMINISTRATION OF MONTENEGRO IN THE FIELD AGAINST TERRORISM .....	1483
Ivan Pekić .....	1483
PRACTICAL FOCUSED EDUCATIONAL STRATEGIES FOR THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION BEHAVIOR .....	1489
Valeria Vitanova.....	1489
INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION-A NECESSITY IN THE FIGHT AGAINST DRUG CRIME .	1495
Biljana Bogdanova-Smilevska .....	1495
ANALYSIS OF PROPERTY INHERITANCE NORMS, INSTITUTIONS AND PROCEDURES IN THE REPUBLIC OF NORTH MACEDONIA .....	1507
Lindita Neziri-Ceka .....	1507

---

CURRENT DIMENSIONS OF ELECTORAL CORRUPTION AND VOTE-BUYING IN BULGARIA .....	1513
Kosta Vlachkov .....	1513
ELECTORAL SYSTEMS IN THE REPUBLIC OF NORTH MACEDONIA .....	1519
Ilir Mustafa .....	1519
Jusuf Mustafai .....	1519
SPECIAL PROSECUTION AND ITS IMPACT ON THE EUROPEAN INTEGRATION PROCESSES OF THE REPUBLIC OF NORTH MACEDONIA .....	1525
Argëtim Saliu .....	1525
Avni Avdiu .....	1525
RULES FOR THE SUBMISSION OF ELECTRONIC DOCUMENTS AS EVIDENCE IN INTERNATIONAL ARBITRATION .....	1531
Irina Tzakova .....	1531
DIGITAL COMPETENCES OF PUBLIC NOTARIES .....	1537
Emruš Azizović .....	1537
Bilgaip Maznikar .....	1537
TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER OFFICE ACTIVITIES .....	1543
Elizabeta Spiroska .....	1543
Ivan Bimbilovski .....	1543
MARITAL BARRIERS ACCORDING TO FAMILY LAW IN THE REPUBLIC OF NORTH MACEDONIA .....	1551
Shemsije Demiri .....	1551
Driton Haruni .....	1551
Guximtar Rushani .....	1551
Rudina Kaja .....	1551
Senada Lacka .....	1551
ANALYSIS OF EUROPEAN AND NATIONAL LEGISLATION IN THE FEED PRODUCTION SECTOR .....	1557
Iliyan Kostov .....	1557
HISTORICAL AND SOCIAL ASPECTS OF ATATÜRK'S ACTIVITY IN MACEDONIA .....	1563
Andrej Iliev .....	1563
Aleksandar Grizhev .....	1563
THE BATTLE AT THE RIVER BREGALNICA IN THE BALKAN WARS - SHORT-TERM BUT BLOODY .....	1569
Oliver Cackov .....	1569
DISINTEGRATION OF SOCIALISAM IN CZECHOSLOVAKIA .....	1575
Gorancho Jakimov .....	1575
THE AUTHORITY OF PUBLIC NOTARIES IN THE LEGAL SYSTEM OF KOSOVO .....	1579
Bilgaip Maznikar .....	1579
THAILAND AT THE BEGINNING OF THE 21 CENTURY .....	1585
Iliyan Genov Mateev .....	1585
Petar Plamenov Petkov .....	1585
THE ARBITRATION COMMISSION AND THE DISSOLUTION OF THE YUGOSLAV FEDERATION .....	1593
Qazime Sherifi .....	1593
Arlinda Kadri Shahinovic .....	1593
Anita Gligorova .....	1593
RAPE AS CRIMINAL ACT DURING CONFLICT ACCORRDING TO INTERNATIONAL LAW - EVOLUTION AND PERSPECTIVES .....	1599
Aleksandra Valcheva .....	1599

---

PREVENTION OF SEXUAL ASSAULT ON A CHILD .....	1605
Trajkovska Daniela.....	1605
Ilija Zivotic .....	1605
THE ROLE OF SECONDARY AND TERTIARY NODES OF THE TRANS-EUROPEAN TRANSPORT SYSTEM FOR REGIONAL SECURITY .....	1609
Nikolay Kamarashev .....	1609
Sevdalina Dimitrova .....	1609
ANALYSES OF THE REGIONALIZATION PROCESS OF THE REPUBLIC OF NORTH MACEDONIA .....	1613
Kostadinka Panova .....	1613
Emilija Miteva-Kacarski.....	1613
ECOLOGICAL FOOTPRINT AS AN INDICATOR FOR SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT IN THE REPUBLIC OF MACEDONIA.....	1619
Tatjana Dimoska.....	1619
Slavica Dimoska .....	1619
THE FUNCTION OF LOBBYING AND PUBLIC AUTHORITY .....	1627
Aleksandar Ruzin .....	1627
FORMS OF STATE ADMINISTRATION- NOTING OF THE ESSENTIAL FEATURES AND IDENTITY ATTRIBUTES OF A UNITED STATE AND FEDERAL STATE .....	1633
Dejan Vitanski .....	1633
MANAGEMENT OF LOCAL COMMUNITIES .....	1641
Sasha Djordjevic.....	1641
THE IMPACT OF MODERN TECHNOLOGIES ON CUSTOMER SATISFACTION IN E- GOVERNMENT.....	1647
Mansur Jaba.....	1647
Najib Tanish .....	1647
MASS COMMUNICATION AN IMPORTANT ISSUE CONCERNING ITS SOCIETY AND ITS EVOLUTION .....	1653
Nuredin Çeçi.....	1653
THE HEALTH TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT IN THE EUROPEAN UNION: THE NECESSARY INSTRUMENT FOR THE GUARANTEE OF EFFECTIVE AND QUALITATIVE HEALTH .....	1661
Momchil Mavrov.....	1661
CHARACTERISTICS OF KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT IN MULTINATIONAL COMPANIES .....	1667
Nikaela Wilson .....	1667
EFFICIENT AND EFFECTIVE CORPORATE GOVERNANCE SYSTEM A PREREQUISITE FOR BUSINESS SUCCESS .....	1673
Zorica Siljanovska .....	1673
Marina Kantardjieva .....	1673
COMPANIES AND CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY .....	1681
Pavle Trpeski .....	1681
Liljana Pushova .....	1681
MAJOR FACTORS THAT INFLUENCE BUSINESS BUYER BEHAVIOR TOWARDS FSC COC CERTIFICATION IN BULGARIA.....	1689
Tsvetelina Simeonova-Zarkin.....	1689
Emil Kitchoukov.....	1689
Nikolay Neykov.....	1689
PRODUCTIVE EXPENDTIURES AND ECONOMIC GROWTH IN THE REPUBLIC OF NORTH MACEDONIA .....	1697
Liza Alili Sulejmani.....	1697

CORPORATE ASSET MANAGEMENT AND DIVDEND .....	1703
Gordana Stojmenović .....	1703
SUPPORTING SMALL AND MEDIUM ENTERPRISE AND ENTREPRENEURSHIP - THE CASE OF NORTH MACEDONIA .....	1709
Elmedina Shuajibi.....	1709
Merita Saliu .....	1709
Miranda Rahmani .....	1709
IMMEDIATE RISK ASSESSMENT NEEDS IN KOSOVO .....	1713
Sherif Sejdiu .....	1713
Lirije Krasniqi.....	1713
IMPLEMENTATION OF FISCAL POLICIES IN THE FUNCTION OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT OF KOSOVO.....	1719
Afërdita Shabani.....	1719
Halit Shabani .....	1719
RISK MANAGEMENT STRATEGY IN JKP „PARKING SERVIS” – NIS.....	1725
Dejan Dimitrijevic .....	1725
Maja Randjelovic Jovovic .....	1725
Marko Jankovic .....	1725
Jelena Petrovic.....	1725
MONETARY CONTROL.....	1733
Blerim Shehu .....	1733
FACTORS FOR BIOLOGICAL ENTREPRENEURSHIP DEVELOPMENT IN BULGARIA .....	1739
Valentina Nikolova -Alexieva .....	1739
Katina Valeva .....	1739
RESEARCH ON INNOVATIVE ACTIVITY OF FOOD INDUSTRY ENTERPRISES .....	1745
Iva Bichurova .....	1745
ANALYSIS OF EUROPEAN AND NATIONAL LEGISLATION IN THE FOOD PRODUCTION SECTOR .....	1753
Iliyan Kostov .....	1753
STRATEGIES FOR DEVELOPING THE CANNING INDUSTRY IN BULGARIA .....	1759
Georgi Toskov .....	1759
TRADITIONAL FOODS IN CULINARY PRODUCTION AS AN ELEMENT OF THE HORECA SECTOR IN BULGARIA .....	1765
Stanko Stankov .....	1765
Hafize Fidan .....	1765
INNOVATION AND SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT IN TRANSPORT .....	1771
Suzana Stojanović.....	1771
Gordana Mrdak.....	1771
THE ROLE AND IMPORTANCE OF FARM MANAGEMENT IN AGRICULTURAL DEVELOPMENT .....	1779
Husnija Bibuljica.....	1779
TOURISM DIVERSIFICATION THROUGH URBAN FARMING AS A CONSTITUENT OF CONTEMPORARY CULTURE AND EDUCATION .....	1787
Ivan Obreshkov.....	1787
IMPACT OF MOTIVATION AND SATISFACTION ON EMPLOYEE ENGAGEMENT AND DECISION MAKING PROCESS .....	1795
Baki Koleci.....	1795
Violeta Koleci.....	1795
Rina Koleci.....	1795

IMPORTANCE OF CONTEMPORARY MANAGEMENT THEORIES IN MANAGING EMPLOYEES .....	1801
Theranda Beqiri .....	1801
Merita Begolli Dauti .....	1801
Ibish Mazreku .....	1801
PROFESSIONAL QUALIFICATION WITHOUT BORDERS .....	1807
Denitsa Dimitrova.....	1807
Rumelina Vasileva.....	1807
MEANING AND ROLE OF EMPLOYEES IN THE TOURISM AND CATERING SECTOR .....	1813
Jasmina Risteska.....	1813
THE MODERN USER OF TOURIST SERVICES .....	1819
Deyan Andreyevich .....	1819
HUMAN RESOURCES STRATEGIC MANAGEMENT IN KOSOVO TOURISM BUSINESSES ..	1825
Bekë Kuqi.....	1825
Elvis Elezaj.....	1825

## CONCEPT OF RADICALIZATION

**Nenad Taneski**

Military Academy – Skopje, Republic of N. Macedonia, [nenoreal@yahoo.com](mailto:nenoreal@yahoo.com)

**Oliver Bakreski**

Faculty of Philosophy - Skopje, Republic of N. Macedonia, [oliverbakreski@yahoo.com](mailto:oliverbakreski@yahoo.com)

**Aleksandar Grizhev**

Ministry of defence, Republic of N. Macedonia, [grizo78@gmail.com](mailto:grizo78@gmail.com)

**Ana Fritzhand**

Faculty of Philosophy – Skopje, Republic of N. Macedonia, [anaf@zfz.ukim.edu.mk](mailto:anaf@zfz.ukim.edu.mk)

**Abstract:** The term radicalization is wide spread today, but the search for what exactly radicalization is, and how to de-radicalize those who are considered violent extremists or terrorists is still a challenge for the academic and professional community. In this paper, the key terms and literature focusing on radicalization will be explored in more detail. Political violence encompasses a wide range of political action - persuasive politics, pressure politics and violent politics - by those with state power, as well as by non-state militant actors. With this in mind, it should also be recognized that radicalization is not necessarily a one-sided phenomenon, as it is equally important to explore the role of state actors and their potential for radicalization. There are a number of factors that can lead to radicalization, as well as factors that may influence de-radicalization. It is a complex phenomenon, where particularly vulnerable category are young people with incomplete education, mostly from unstable social environments, who are easily susceptible to manipulation. Stimulated by political, economic or socio-cultural factors, these individuals find themselves and identify with the ideologies of extreme and radical structures. In the past, this problem of security has been of interest primarily to the intelligence services, which by their nature deal with the consequences, but not with the causes of radicalization. However, experience has indicated that repression is not the only solution. The growing trend of this phenomenon and the challenge of early prevention have emphasized the need for increasingly inclusive community engagement. Considering the complexity of radicalization and violent extremism leading to terrorism, these phenomena are among the top security priorities of contemporary democratic states. Nevertheless, without a clear definition of radicalization, intelligence security agencies will not be able to cope successfully and have a realistic perception of the driving force that lead the individual to extremism and terrorism.

**Keywords:** radicalization, security, terrorism, religious extremism

### 1. INTRODUCTION

There are numerous reasons for radicalization. The term itself is often inappropriately complex and controversially defined. The only aspect that holds a consensus, is that radicalization is a process. This concept was popularized after the terrorist attacks in Madrid in 2004 and London 2005, by European policy makers, who created the term "violent radicalization". Since 2004, the term "radicalization" has become a key term in policy-making and a concept in the "war on terror". After the 9/11 terrorist attacks, suddenly it became difficult to discuss about suppressing the "roots of terrorism", but through the idea of radicalization, such discussion became possible again.<sup>9</sup>

The causes of radicalization that can lead to terrorism have been analyzed at the micro, meso and macro levels:

1. Micro-level, ie the level of an individual, includes identity problems, failed integration, feelings of alienation, marginalization, discrimination, deprivation of rights, humiliation (directly or indirectly), social stigmatization and rejection, often combined with moral outrage and feelings of revenge. This approach is most dominant and explores the extent to which second- and third-generation Western democracies of Middle Eastern descent socialize ideologically and psychologically from terrorist propaganda or are recruited by terrorist organizations.

2. Meso-level, ie the wider supportive social environment - which serves as a gathering point that forces people to come together to support a person, a cause, or a link to terrorist organizations.<sup>10</sup> This approach highlights the developments in the environment of a particular extremist organization, ie the services and conveniences it offers within the organization.

---

<sup>9</sup> Sedgwick, M., The Concept of Radicalisation as a Source of Confusion, *Terrorism and Political Violence*, Vol. 22, No. 4. (2010)

<sup>10</sup> Sageman, M., *Understanding Terror Networks*, Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press, (2004)

3. Macro level, ie the role of the government and society, domestically and abroad, includes the radicalization of public opinion and party politics, tense majority-minority relations, especially when it comes to the diaspora abroad that is compressed between two cultures, the weak socio-economic opportunities of complete sectors in society leading to mobilization and radicalization of dissatisfaction, sometimes even in the form of terrorism.

Each of these levels of analysis are providing closer and more precise answers to the socio-psychological causes of radicalization, and extremism that leads to terrorism. More research suggests that there is no single reason, but rather a complex combination of internal and external factors that cause the radicalization of individuals, and even larger groups, to violent extremism. Thus, the answer regarding the circumstances that can increase or decrease the legitimacy of using certain types of violence for a particular political entity - a non-state actor or a state, will be obtained. These analyzes will examine the ways in which Western states and non-state actors have been "radicalized" after the 9/11 terrorist attacks, and are increasingly prepared to use a wide range of violence.<sup>11</sup> It is also necessary to analyze the circumstances under which state and non-state actors present themselves as legitimate fighters in the global conflict between the West and militant Islamism, as well as the circumstances under which each party uses tactics of violence. A recent study commissioned by the City of New York defines the ideology behind radicalization as follows: "Jihadist or jihadi-Salafi ideology is the driver that motivates young men and women, born or living in the West, to carry out 'autonomous jihad' via acts of terrorism against their host countries. It guides movements, identifies the issues, drives recruitment and is the basis for action."<sup>12</sup> The analyzes of the interactions among different conflicting parties and the interpretation of their actions, will explain why after 2001 and the beginning of conflicts in the Middle East the domestic terrorism in the Western democracies has been increased. No heavy socioeconomic variable is able to explain radicalization alone, no more than it is central in the involvement process.<sup>13</sup>

## 2. CONCEPT OF RADICALIZATION

What the concept of "radicalization" represents? There is no universally accepted definition of radicalization, nor is there a mutually accepted process in relevant literature that identifies how an individual decides to advance on the path to radicalization leading to terrorism. In other words, the concept of radicalization is still not so clearly defined today.

The Expert Group on Violent Radicalization, created by the European Commission in 2006, was tasked with analyzing the condition of radicalization of violence, particularly terrorism. Thus, in 2008 the Group noted that global, sociological and political factors are as important as ideological and psychological ones.<sup>14</sup> This expert group used a concise working definition of violent radicalization, explaining it as "socialization of extremism manifested in terrorism". Obviously, the term radicalization is used as a social description in order to analyze or debate about violent extremism, terrorism or terrorist activities, while the definitions of radicalization are predominantly in the context of terrorism.

However, radicalization is a special process that does not have to be equivalent to violent extremism or terrorism. Relevant literature clearly points out that radicalization is the process of transformation of an individual, by changing of his behavior and ideology over time. Time in this context will be considered irrelevant because as a result of individual circumstances, each individual advances in this process at a different speed.<sup>15</sup>

## 3. DEFINITION

The term radicalism refers to political principles that focus on changing of social structures through revolution and changing of value systems in fundamental ways. The word is derived from the Latin word *radix* (root). Although the meaning has undergone changes since the 18th century when it became coined, it still retains the connotation of "change in the root" that is fundamental to revolutionary social changes. There are many definitions of radicalization. The 2011 International Journal of Conflict and Violence provides seven different definitions:

---

<sup>11</sup> Kundnani, A. 'Radicalisation: the journey of a concept', *Race & Class*, Vol. 54, No. 2, Oct.-Dec. (2012)

<sup>12</sup> Mitchell, D. Silber and Arvin B., "Radicalization in the West: The Homegrown Threat", New York: OccupyBawlStreet.com Press, (2015)

<sup>13</sup> Brie, G. et Rambourg, C., *Radicalization: Scientific analyses versus Political Use*, Analytical synthesis, ENAP, (2015)

<sup>14</sup> Expert Group, *Radicalisation Processes Leading to Acts of Terrorism: A Concise Report prepared by the European Commission's Expert Group on Violent Radicalisation* (Submitted to the European Commission on 15 May 2008)

<sup>15</sup> Federal Bureau of Investigations. *The Radicalization Process: From Jihad to Conversation*, (2006)

- In the 1970s, the term radicalization came to emphasize the interactive (social movement / condition) and the processual (gradual escalation) dynamics of the formation of violent clandestine groups. In this approach, radicalization concerned the actual use of violence, with escalation in form and intensity;
- radicalization can be understood as a process leading to increased use of political violence ...;
- ... radicalization is understood as a process of escalation leading to violence;
- ... a process characterized by an increased likelihood of using violent means and strategies in political conflicts. Radicalization from this perspective implies a shift in perception towards certain definitions of a given situation and articulation of radical goals and objectives, which may evolve hostile to a particular social group or social institution and structure. It can also cause increased use of violent means.
- radicalization can be analyzed as a process of interaction between violent groups and their environment, or the effect of interaction between mutually hostile entities;
- political radicalization is increased activity and preparation for inter-group conflicts. In a descriptive way, radicalization means a change in beliefs, feelings, and behaviors in ways that increasingly justify group violence and seek victimhood in defense of the ingroup;
- Radicalization can be understood as the strategic use of physical force to influence a wider target audience.<sup>16</sup>

What are the roots of the word *radicalism*? Historically, the term "radical" has been in use since the 18th century and was often associated with the French and American revolution of that period, later to become widespread in the 19th century, and often referred to a political agenda advocating a thorough social and political reform. The conditions in which this term is defined often depend on whom the definition refers to, to whom and for what period of time. It should also be accented that not all entities to which the definition refers have the same reference point. For example, some entities move from a particular left-wing or right-wing political position, while others to ecological or religious ones.

Throughout history, the concept of radicalism has changed its meaning. The political parties that were called radical in the nineteenth century were radical, mainly on issues relating to republicanism<sup>17</sup>, not to royalism.<sup>18</sup> Some of them have argued for the introduction of a system of democracy in which the right to vote should not be a privilege for those who own property or are discriminated against on gender basis. Their activities were not revolutionary, but reformed. In the second half of the 19th century in England, the term *radical* was used to describe the wing of the Liberal Party. Their public live appearances in support of women having the right to vote were often illegal, but not illegitimate. In fact, some of the radical demands of the 19th century today represent major human rights. But for more than a century, the essence of the concept of "radical" has drastically changed: if in the 19th century it was primarily concerned with liberal, opposition, pro-democratic, progressive political positions, the contemporary use of "radical" such as in "radical Islamism" has the opposite direction and encompasses an anti-liberal, fundamentalist, anti-democratic and regressive agenda.

Based on the abovementioned, we can conclude that the term "radical" is a conditional concept. Therefore, it is difficult to precisely define the concept of radicalization, as well as of deradicalization and the fight against radicalization. Distinguishing between radicalism and radicalization and similar terms such as extremism, is important if we want the concept of radicalism to be analytic.

To achieve a clearer differentiation, it is necessary to distinguish between activism and radicalism. In other words, activism can be defined as "readiness to engage in legal and non-violent political activities", while radicalism as "readiness to engage in illegal and violent political activities".<sup>19</sup> However, at this point arises the question about according to which standards the legal and illegal activities will be measured. If these standards are not grounded in international law (human rights, humanitarian law, international criminal law), it leaves a possibility that both authoritarian and democratic governments can adopt and change national laws, so that the same activity can at one point be categorized as legal "activism", while at another it could be considered as illegal "radicalism".

---

<sup>16</sup> Della Porta, D., La Free, G., Guest Editorial: 'Processes of Radicalisation and De-Radicalisation', *IJCV*, Vol. 6, No. 1, (2012)

<sup>17</sup> Republicanism - a doctrine that social power should always serve the common good of all who are subject to its rules. It is a concept of freedom without domination, through the rule of law, sovereignty, controlled and well-balanced policy. Republicans strive for freedom and justice through a law and government that will work for the common good.

<sup>18</sup> Royalism - a political ideology that supports a certain monarch as head of state of a particular kingdom or certain dynasty.

<sup>19</sup> Moskalenko, S., McCauley, C., Measuring Political Mobilisation: The Distinction Between Activism and Radicalism, *Terrorism and Political Violence*, Vol. 21, (2010)



Considering the before mentioned, the concept of radicalism can be described in terms of two main elements that appropriately reflect thought - attitude and action - behavior:

1. Advocating a convincing political change based on the conviction that maintaining the status quo is unacceptable, in other words means that what is acceptable for radicals is the condition which is fundamentally different from the existing one;

2. The means of seeking a radical solution to the transformation of the system and society can be non-violent and democratic (through negotiations and reforms) or violent and undemocratic (through coercion and revolution).<sup>20</sup>

Western democracies have developed detailed definitions of the term radicalization. Its use is in the context of combating violent extremism and developing domestic and foreign policy to combat terrorism. As we have previously stated, there is no universally accepted definition of radicalization, since radicalization may have different meanings for different entities.

Following is an overview of the definitions that are in use in several modern democratic states:

Australia - Radicalization occurs when one person's thinking and behavior are significantly different from the majority of members of the community and he/she begin to act politically. Only a small number of people are radicalized and can come from different ethnic, national, political and religious groups.

Canada - A process by which certain individuals, usually young people, become acquainted with an obvious ideological system of messages and beliefs that encourages it to move from moderate to extreme ... while supporting, engaging in violence, or acting directly to promote political, ideological or religious extremism.

United Kingdom - A process by which people support terrorism and violent extremism, and in certain cases, join terrorist groups afterwards.

Denmark - A process by which a particular person to a greater extent accepts the use of undemocratic or violent means, including terrorism, in an attempt to achieve a particular political - ideological goal.

These definitions can be compared to the 2006 FBI definition that states that radicalization of the individual is a fluid process that has no specific schedule and does not always lead to action. However, according to analysts Frank Cilluffo and Gregory Saathoff, radicalization is the process of adopting an extremist belief system, including a willingness to use, support or facilitate the process of violence as a method of influencing social change.<sup>21</sup>

Namely, according to some theorists, the term radical "applies to the one who applies his theories or beliefs to their most extreme application", including extreme action. However, the word radical is a relative term used in the context of an individual only expressing a significant deviation from the social norms of society. It can be concluded that radicalization can occur in a number of different forms and that a person who is radical does not have to go through a radicalization process that leads to violence.

With this in mind, we can conclude that each of the above definitions explains that radicalization is a process that moves an individual's thinking from moderate to extreme, but they differ in that some assume that violent action is an essential part of this process, and others deny it.

#### **4. RADICALIZATION AS A PART OF THE REASONS FOR TERRORISM**

After the 9/11 terrorist attacks, many theorists focused on the root causes of terrorism in radicalization. The events of 9/11 were also commented at the UN General Assembly by the leaders of the states, and the blame for the terrorist attacks was differently interpreted:

- Communities affected by poverty, illness, illiteracy, hopelessness;
- social inequality, marginalization and exclusion from society;
- political oppression, extreme poverty and violation of fundamental human rights;
- injustice, misery, hunger, drugs, prejudice, despair due to the lack of perspective;
- oppression of people in several parts of the world, especially in Palestine;
- alienation of young people in situations of economic deprivation and political tension and uncertainty, injustice and lack of hope;
- rejecting the West with all its cultural dimensions;
- hunger, poverty, deprivation, fear, despair, lack of sense of belonging to the family of humans;
- situations leading to misery, injustices that lead to greater frustration, despair and harassment.

Nevertheless, some of these claims about the reasons for the 9/11 attacks speak more about the attitudes of certain countries in different parts of the world than about the motives for terrorism. However, consensus on the root causes of terrorism is difficult to reach, even in academic circles. According to the 2011 Handbook on Terrorism Research, some 50 causes of terrorism are presented, and a few of them are listed below:

---

<sup>20</sup> Scruton, R., A Dictionary of Political Thought, London: Macmillan, (1996)

<sup>21</sup> Cilluffo, F., Saathoff, G., NET worked Radicalization: A Counter-Strategy, (2006)

- terrorism is rooted in political dissatisfaction;
- the culture of alienation and humiliation of the individual can influence the initiation of the radicalization process and the development of virulent extremism;
- the collective or individual desire for revenge against acts of repression may be a sufficient motive for terrorist activity;
- failure to mobilize support for a radical political program may trigger terrorist activities in order to carry out a violent confrontation with the authorities;
- modern terrorism arises because modern circumstances make terrorist methods extremely easy;
- the choice to engage in terrorist activities is the result of the process of learning from one's own and others' experiences.

More generally, studies have shown that educated people are more prone to conflict than their less-educated counterparts because education creates expectations about professional and life standards, and, when these are not met, heightens dissatisfaction and frustration, which are often drivers of violence. This partly explains why, according to a recent study on the educational backgrounds of both violent and nonviolent Islamist activists in Muslim majority countries, engineers were more prone than others to become radicalized.<sup>22</sup>

These theories above have not been tested so far because they are based on very general formulations. What is missing here, is an explanation for the appearance of the leaders of terrorist organizations or their followers. This does not lead to the conclusion that the causes and roots of terrorism must be explored at different levels of analysis.

### 5. PSYCHOLOGY OF TERRORISM AND RADICALIZATION

Modern psychological research on terrorism explains this concept from a holistic point of view, emphasizing many factors at the macro and micro levels that influence political violence and terrorism. In this sense, the authors point out that political, historical, family, group, organic, and even purely coincidental factors should be taken into account in understanding the psychology of terrorism. As Morgan and Taylor<sup>23</sup> have argued, most of the involvements in terrorism are the result of the gradual and continuous exposure to and socialization of extremist behavior. Among the key psychological factors in understanding whether and how individuals become terrorists are motives and vulnerabilities. Thus, the ideology of the group is often assumed to be a motive for the individual to join a terrorist group. On the other side, some authors (for example, Post)<sup>24</sup> argue that terrorism is the final point in itself, regardless of the stated political or ideological goals.

One of the strongest motivation behind terrorism is revenge, especially the desire not to take revenge on yourself but on others. Revenge may be specific or diffuse, but it is an obsessive urge that is a powerful motive for violence against others, especially people who are held responsible for injustice. The identity is another strong factor that has the potential to motivate an individual to join a terrorist group. Particularly in seeking their identity, the individual may be attracted to extremist or terrorist organizations in various ways. A variant of this process is one in which the individual defines his or her identity simply through group membership, without a sense of (or need for) individuality. This escape from the real world combined with the search of a utopian world could consequently constitute an implicit motive for a specific engagement.<sup>25</sup> Finally, terrorism is usually perceived by many authors as a form of antisocial behavior. Existing research, however, reveals a significant absence of serious psychopathology in potential suicide bombers. Moreover, the existence of a so-called "terrorist personality" does not have strong empirical support and, according to some authors, the attempts to confirm the presence of a terrorist personality or profile have been relatively unsuccessful so far<sup>26</sup>. Personality traits "per se" are not very good indicators of behavior either. Therefore, a complex set of factors needs to be considered holistically in order to understand terrorist behavior as well as the motives and characteristics underlying it.

### 6. CONCLUSION

We can conclude that radicalism is a term that has undergone major changes in its meaning over the past 200 years. The concept spread from the famous Glorious Revolution in England, also known as the 1688 revolution that overthrew King James II of England, followed by the Enlightenment - a spiritual movement that began sometime in

---

<sup>22</sup> Diego, G., Steffen H., *Engineers of Jihad: The Curious Connection Between Violent Extremism and Education* (Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press), (2016)

<sup>23</sup> Borum, R., *Psychology of terrorism*. Tampa: University of South Florida, (2004)

<sup>24</sup> Ibidem

<sup>25</sup> Bouzar, D., Martin, M., *What motives bring youth to engage in the Jihad?* *Neuropsychiatr Enf Adolesc*, (2016)

<sup>26</sup> Ташковски, И., Танески, Н. *Разрешување конфликти и меѓународен тероризам*. КА ЕЛ ЕС Принт, Скопје, (2018)

the late 17th century and was associated with the French Revolution held in 1789, reaching Germany through radical parties in the 19th century.

In terms of the concept, it then represented enlightened, liberal leftist political principles, opposing right wing political institutions. Radicalism has become a political doctrine that inspires national movements dedicated to individual and collective freedom and emancipation, targeted against monarchist and aristocratic reign. At the time, radicalism was predominantly bilingual - directed against religious authorities, hostile to monarchies and monarchs, and definitely pro-democratic - political activism aimed at establishing a democratic government in one country. Some of their demands, such as women's rights, have become mainstream ideas and have been realized in most parts of the world during the 20th century. Political opponents have often sought to portray radicalism as a revolutionary and more recently a religious, subversive force. However, historically, in terms of political parties that adopt their principles, radicalism is much more associated with progressive reformism than with utopian extremism, whose celebration of radicals and of massive violence is generally rejected.

We can conclude that radicalism refers to a political doctrine accepted by socio-political movements that proclaim individual and collective freedom and emancipation in an attempt to achieve economic, social and political rights and equality. In this sense, radicalism is a concept that is opposed to the rule of authoritarian regimes and hierarchically structured societies, a concept that advocates political change. Historically, radical political parties have been key drivers of progress towards greater democracy in many states. Radicalism as an ideological way of thinking, tends to be very critical of the existing status quo, pursuing the goal of restructuring and / or overthrowing outdated political structures. According to their opponents, radicals are often portrayed as violent, but this is only partially true, since radicalism tends to be associated historically more with progressive reformism than with utopian extremism, an agglomeration of violence being rejected. Radicalism is emancipatory and does not try to subjugate them, as extremism does. Radical narratives contain utopian ideological elements, but they do not celebrate the distant past. Although unwilling to compromise their ideals, radicals are open to rational arguments about the means to achieve their goals. Unlike extremists, radicals are not necessarily extreme in choosing the means to achieve their goals. Unlike the extremists who reject the extremist label, radicals publicly proclaim themselves as radicals. Therefore, radicalism can be said to be on the verge of democratic consensus, while extremism is quite the opposite of democracy. Although the meanings of these two contested concepts can sometimes overlap to some extent, they should not be equated. Faced with radicalism, democratic political systems have shown the ability to absorb radical demands by reaching for reasonable compromises. Faced with extremism, however, democratic societies cannot make concessions to democratic values based on ideological constructions related to religion that have no firm basis in social reality.

The links between radicalism and terrorism are much weaker than those between extremism and terrorism. In this sense, the use of the term radicalization to denote a specific form of political violence, and in particular terrorism, is unsatisfactory. What is critical in determining the direction in which the term develops, is that all forms of radical rebellion - even legitimate resistance against corrupt and violent authoritarian regimes - are disqualified as illegitimate extremism.

Hence this phenomenon has the dangerous potential to become a tool in the hands of many endangered authoritarian regimes that tend to equate radicalism with extremism, as it allows them to claim that the only instantaneous choice of the people is the one between the relative stability they offer as government or extremism in the form of terrorism.

## **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

- Bjelopera, J. P., (2004). Radicalization and Violent Extremism“, Congressional Research Service: Report, 11-25. 2013
- Borum, R. (2004). Psychology of terrorism. Tampa: University of South Florida,
- Brie, G., Rambourg, C, (2015). Radicalization: Scientific analyses versus Political Use, Analytical synthesis, ENAP,
- Bouzar, D., Martin, M., (2016). What motives bring youth to engage in the Jihad? Neuropsychiatr Enf Adolesc,
- Cilluffo, F., Saathoff, G., (2006). NETworked Radicalization: A Counter-Strategy“, 2006
- Della Porta, D., La Free, G., (2012) Guest Editorial: ‘Processes of Radicalisation and De-Radicalisation’, IJCV, Vol. 6, No. 1,
- Diego, G., Steffen H., (2016) Engineers of Jihad: The Curious Connection Between Violent Extremism and Education (Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press)
- Federal Bureau of Investigations, (2006). The Radicalization Process: From Jihad to Conversation,
- Kundnani, A. (2012). ‘Radicalisation: the journey of a concept’, Race & Class, Vol. 54, No. 2, Oct.-Dec.

- Mandel, D. R. (2009). Radicalisation: What does it mean? In T.M. Pick, A. Speckhard, & B. Jacuch (Eds.), Home-grown terrorism understanding and addressing the root causes of radicalisation among groups with an Immigrant heritage in Europe. Amsterdam; Washington, DC: IOS Press,
- Moskalenko, S., McCauley, C. (2010). Measuring Political Mobilisation: The Distinction Between Activism and Radicalism, Terrorism and Political Violence, Vol. 21,
- Mitchell, D. S., Arvin B., (2015) "Radicalization in the West: The Homegrown Threat", New York: OccupyBawlStreet.com Press,
- Royal Canadian Mounted Police, (2011). Démystifier la radicalisation. Ottawa: Gendarmerie Royale du Canada,
- Sageman, M. (2004) Understanding Terror Networks, Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press,
- Schmid. P. A. (2012). The Revised Academic Consensus Definition of Terrorism (2011). Perspectives on Terrorism, Vol. 6, Issue 2,
- Scruton, R. (1996). A Dictionary of Political Thought, London: Macmillan,
- Sedgwick, M. (2010). „The Concept of Radicalisation as a Source of Confusion“, Terrorism and Political Violence, 22 (4),
- Ташковски, И., Танески, Н. (2018). Разрешување конфликти и меѓународен тероризам. КА ЕЛ ЕС Принт, Скопје,