ID Design Press, Skopje, Republic of Macedonia Open Access Macedonian Journal of Medical Sciences. 2019 Nov 15; 7(21):3716-3720. https://doi.org/10.3889/oamjms.2019.757 eISSN: 1857-9655 Review Article



The Prognostic Significance of Phosphatase and Tensin Homolog Loss in Breast Cancer

Indri Windarti^{1*}, Wirsma Arif Harahap², Ricvan Dana Nindrea³, Eti Yerizel⁴, Primariadewi Rustamadji⁵

¹Faculty of Medicine, Andalas University, Padang, Indonesia; ²Department of Surgical, Oncology Division, Faculty of Medicine, Andalas University, Dr M Djamil General Hospital, Padang, Indonesia; ³Department of Public Health and Community Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Andalas University, Padang, Indonesia; ⁴Department of Biomolecular and Biochemistry, Faculty of Medicine, Andalas University, Padang, Indonesia; ⁵Department of Anatomical Pathology, Faculty of Medicine, Indonesia University, Cipto Mangunkusumo General Hospital, Jakarta, Indonesia

Abstract

Citation: Windarti I, Harahap WA, Nindrea RD, Yerizel E, Rustamadji P. The Prognostic Significance of Phosphatase and Tensin Homolog Loss in Breast Cancer. Open Access Maced J Med Sci. 2019 Nov 15; 7(21):3716-3720. https://doi.org/10.3889/oamjms.2019.757

Keywords: PTEN; Immunohistochemical; Breast cancer; Prognosis

*Correspondence: Indri Windarti. Faculty of Medicine, Andalas University, Padang, Indonesia. E-mail: indriwindarti29@gmail.com

Received: 19-May-2019; Revised: 30-Sep-2019; Accepted: 01-Oct-2019; Online first: 15-Oct-2019

Copyright: © 2019 Indri Windarti, Wirsma Arif Harahap, Riovan Dana Nindrea, Eti Yerizel, Primariadewi Rustamadji. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0) Funding: This research did not receive any financial

support Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no

competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist

AIM: This study aims to determine the prognostic significance of phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN) loss in breast cancer.

METHODS: We conducted a meta-analysis study. Sample of this study were research articles that evaluated PTEN loss and prognosis in breast cancer patients. We searched for relevant studies published in PubMed and Proquest from January 2010 to July 2018. We reviewed studies that examined the association between immunohistochemical expression of PTEN and breast cancer prognosis using meta-analysis methods. Pooled risk ratios (RR) were calculated using fixed and random-effect models. Data were processed using Review Manager 5.3 (RevMan 5.3).

RESULTS: There were 7 studies conducted a systematic review then continued to evaluate the association of PTEN loss and breast cancer prognosis by meta-analysis. There was a significant association of PTEN loss with poor prognosis of breast cancer (RR = 0.76 [95% CI 0.59-0.98 p <0.07), and there was not any significant publication bias for studies included.

CONCLUSION: This study confirmed PTEN loss is an important independent factor for breast cancer prognosis.

Introduction

Breast cancer is the most common malignancies in women worldwide. Breast cancer incidents rapidly increased from 641,000 cases in 1980 to 1.6 million cases in 2010 [1]. GLOBOCAN's 2012 International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) data notes that there are approximately 1.67 million new cases of BC diagnosed in 2012 or 25% of all cancers [2].

In Asia Pacific region breast cancer was the most common type of cancer among females,

accounting for 18% of all cases in 2012, and was the fourth most common cause of cancer-related deaths (9%). Globally, one of three women (33%) diagnosed with breast cancer in a woman under 50 years old during 2008. The proportion of female breast cancer diagnosed among women under 50 years of age ranged from 21% to 58% in the Asia Pacific region [3].

Prognosis of breast cancer influenced by many factors. Prognostic factors such as tumour size, lymph node involvement and metastasis play a big role in prognosis. Standard adjuvant treatment reduces the risk of disease recurrence and improves prognosis [4]. Most relapses of breast cancer occurred in the second year of treatment. About 62.71% of patients with primary tumour \geq 5 cm, 79.65% of patients with \geq 4 involved of axillary lymph nodes, and 72.41% of hormone receptor-negative tumours could relapse. Large tumour size, number axillary lymph nodes metastasis, and hormone receptor status were strongly associated with risk of relapse [5].

One biomarker of breast cancer growth is phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN). PTEN dysfunction on tumour cells causes increasing of NFkB activity resulting in growth, proliferation, survival, and metabolism of tumour cells [6], [7], [8]. Previous studies revealed tumour cells with PTEN loss of function have a poor prognosis [9], [10], [11], [12]. But there are also studies showed different results [13]. Changes of PTEN expression can be accurately identified by Immunohistochemical analysis (IHC). IHC analysis is quicker and more cost-effective than molecular genetic techniques to detect PTEN status. Therefore, this study aims to determine the prognostic significance of PTEN loss in breast cancer by IHC methods using meta-analysis.

Material and Methods

Study design and research sample

This study was quantitative with metaanalysis study design. The meta-analysis followed PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis) Statement. Metaanalysis was used to determine the association between PTEN loss expression and breast cancer prognosis. The result of published articles on the internet through databased on PubMed and Proquest that published from January 2010 to August 2018 were analysed. The inclusion criteria of this study were cohort studies. Exclusion criteria of this study were articles which are not available in full-text form.

Operational definitions

The variables of this study are PTEN loss expression as an independent variable, and a dependent variable is a prognosis.

Research procedure

This study was conducted by collecting data through the identification of published research articles on the association between PTEN loss expression and breast cancer prognosis by using the PubMed and Proquest search engines (Figure 1).



Figure 1: Flow diagram research procedure

Identification of 5,725 articles, reviewed through the title of the articles, continued by reviewing the abstract, and then the full-text form. The article was excluded if: (a) not the relevant subject outcome, (b) the information provided in the results was insufficient for data extraction and (c) duplicate studies.

Data collection technique

The search was limited to English language articles. The article type was limited to research articles. The research subject was limited to a human subject. The time of publication was limited from January 2010 to August 2018 period. The abstract of articles with relevant title continued to review process, and the articles with the irrelevant title were excluded. After that, articles with relevant abstract were continued to be reviewed in full-text, while the others excluded. The articles were that used immunohistochemistry method only and the relevant outcome will be reviewed and analysed.

Data analysis

The analysis was conducted to obtain the value of pooled risk ratio as the combined risk ratio value from the collected researches. Data analysis was performed using the Mantel-Haenszel method with a fixed-effect model and the DerSimonian-Laird random-effect model. Publication bias was visually evaluated by using funnel plots and statistically assessed through Egger's and Begg's tests. Meta-analysis was carried out by using *Review Manager* 5.3 (RevMan 5.3).

Results

The selection of studies was conducted to identify 7 studies related to the PTEN loss expression. The characteristic of eligible studies (Table 1). These publications estimate association between PTEN loss expression and prognosis with various sample size, staining methods, cut off and methods of interpretation.

Table	1.	Α	systematic	review	of	the	role	of	loss	of	PTEN
expression in breast cancer prognosis											

First Author	Procedure	Histology typing	Cut off	Staining methods	Number of patients	Scoring	Outcome
Jensen et al., [11]	IHC	mixed	> 40	Cytoplasmic	235	IRS	OS
Wu et al., [14]	IHC	TN	> 5	Cytoplasmic	65	IRS	OS
Perez et al., [15]	IHC	mixed	> 0	Nuclear / cytoplasmic	1286	SI	DFS/OS
Tang et al., [16]	IHC	mixed	> 0	inti	68	SI	OS
Beelen et al., [17]	IHC	mixed	> 3	Cytoplasmic	436	SI	OS
Stern et al., [18]	IHC	mixed	> 5	Cytoplasmic	2354	SI	DFS/OS
Beg et al., [19]	IHC	Mixed	> 90	Nuclear and cvtoplasmic	957	H-score	OS

Abbreviation: IHC: Immunohistochemistry; TN: Triple-negative; IRS; immunoreactive score; SI: Staining intensity; OS: overall survival; DFS: disease-free survival.

Forest plots of PTEN loss expression in breast cancer prognosis (Figure 2). Figure 2 revealed the significance association of PTEN loss expression with prognosis of breast cancer (RR = 0.76 [95% CI = 0.59-0.98, p < 0.07]).

				Risk Ratio		Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	log[Risk Ratio]	SE	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	Year	IV, Random, 95% Cl
Jensen et al., 2012	0.22	0.32	11.4%	1.25 [0.67, 2.33]	2012	
Wu et al., 2013	-0.69	0.57	4.6%	0.50 [0.16, 1.53]	2013	
Perez et al., 2013	-0.1	0.1	29.4%	0.90 (0.74, 1.10)	2013	+
Tang et al., 2014	-0.03	0.49	5.9%	0.97 [0.37, 2.54]	2014	
Beelen et al., 2014	-0.54	0.27	14.1%	0.58 (0.34, 0.99)	2014	
Stern et al., 2015	-0.16	0.32	11.4%	0.85 (0.46, 1.60)	2015	
Beg et al., 2015	-0.61	0.16	23.2%	0.54 [0.40, 0.74]	2015	+
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	0.76 [0.59, 0.98]		•
Heterogeneity: Tau² =	: 0.05; Chi ² = 11.4					
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.09 (P = 0.04	U.UI U.I I 10 100 Cases Control				

Figure 2: Forest plots the association of PTEN loss and breast cancer prognosis

Funnel plot association of PTEN loss expression with breast cancer prognosis (Figure 3).



Figure 3: Funnel plots the association of PTEN loss and breast cancer prognosis

Figure 3 showed the association of PTEN loss expression with the prognosis of breast cancer has a variety of homogeneous research on breast cancer. It means that if the analysis is done on the population, time and place and different conditions the results will be consistent. There was not any significant publication bias for the association of PTEN loss expression with breast cancer prognosis, Egger's test (P = 0.784) and Begg's test (P = 0.881).

Discussion

Identification of biomarkers and gene expressions are needed to improve early diagnosis and prognosis, as well as to provide the most effective drug suitable with molecular characteristics of the patients. Studies over the years have indicated that prognostic and predictive biomarkers are molecules involved in the regulation of cellular mechanisms, apoptosis. including proliferation. angiogenesis. metastasis and therapeutic resistance. Evidence has shown that PTEN is an important factor in many processes related to cancer progression [20], [21].

PTEN is one of the tumour suppressor genes that play a role in breast cancer development. PTEN is an antagonist of phosphatidvlinositol 3-kinase (PI3K) and plays a role in dephosphorylation of PIP3 into PIP2. Loss of PTEN can cause overgrowth, proliferation, survival, and metabolism of tumour cells. PTEN consists of phosphatase homolog and cytoskeletal tensin protein. PTEN protein contains 403 amino acids. The crystal structure of PTEN consists of two main functional domains (phosphatase domain and C2 domain) and three structural PIP2 binding domains, C-terminal tail [22]. PTEN loss can also cause phosphorylation mediated by Akt and increase of NF-kB activity which promotes P53 degradation. P53 degradation reduced the apoptotic ability and induced cell cycle progression [8]. PTEN gene located on chromosome 10q23. Loss of heterozygosity on chromosome 10q23 often occurs in advanced sporadic tumours, including breast cancer [23]. PTEN dysfunction, especially its inactivity in tumour cells, causes PIP3 accumulation in cells and regulate Akt recruitment. Furthermore, it can activate downstream kinases, increasing NF-kB activity resulting in growth, proliferation, survival, and metabolism of tumour cells [6], [7], [24].

Some studies suggested PTEN loss in tumour cells are associated with drug resistance [6,15]. Other studies showed a significant association between PTEN immunoscoring and mRNA expression. A previous study also revealed that PTEN loss has a significantly worse prognosis than PTEN positive breast cancer cells [17], [18]. PTEN loss is also associated with aggressive behaviour and poor prognosis [14], [19]. Changes of PTEN expression can be identified by IHC analysis, Fluorescent In situ Hybridization (FISH) and PCR. There were previous meta-analyses of PTEN loss, but it did not elaborate on the methods. The previous study found IHC analysis is quicker and more cost-effective than molecular genetic techniques to detect PTEN expression [25], [26]. FISH and PCR is limited to sophisticated laboratories; it cannot be done routinely. In a developing country, not all laboratories have FISH and PCR services. This study answers the need for an analysis of the association of PTEN with prognosis in the use of IHC staining methods.

This study has identified the heterogeneity of published articles (p = 0,04, $I^2 = 45\%$), then used the random effect models. The analysis revealed significance association of PTEN loss with prognosis of breast cancer (RR = 0.76 [95% CI = 0.59-0.98, p < 0.07]). The pooled finding of these seven articles provides evidence of the significance of PTEN loss of expression with prognosis. This meta-analysis showed that PTEN could provide prognostic information for the clinician during the decision-making process.

Although we try to make our study as good as possible, the limitation of this study should be considered. First, data suitability of several studies included in this meta-analysis showed different sample sizes (65-2354 samples). Second, there are different scoring methods for PTEN status with different numbers of positivity of tumour cells. The study was using immunohistochemical analysis and could be carried out with different evaluation methods using IS (intensity staining), histoscore (H-score) and percentage of positive cells. Different studies with different PTEN classifications and different patient sets are hardly comparable.

Cut off points are varying from > 0% to > 90%. These differences in the method could be responsible for various PTEN expression observed in tumour cells. Although the robustness of PTEN antibodies has been controversy, optimal IHC conditions including concentration of anti-PTEN antibody as well as different antigen retrieval conditions should be considered too. Scoring methods against PTEN's requires a more valid and reliable standard methodology to assess PTEN expression. In conclusion, the result of this meta-analysis showed that loss PTEN expression is associated with poor prognosis of breast cancer.

References

1. Siegel RKM, Jemal A. Cancer Statistics 2015. CA Cancer J Clin. 2015; 65(1):29-32. <u>https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21254</u> PMid:25559415 2. International Agency for Research on Cancer. Globacon 2012: estimated cancer incidence, mortality and prevalence worldwide in 2012, 2012.

3. Youlden DR, Cramb SM, Cheng Har Yip CH and PD. Incidence and mortality of female breast cancer in the Asia-Pacific region. Cancer Biol Med. 2014; 11(2):101-115.

4. Strasser-Weippi K, Horich N, Smith IE, O'Shaughnessy J, Ejlertsen B, Boyle F et al. Long-term hazard of recurrence in HER2+ breast cancer patients untreated with antiHER2 therapy. Breast Cancer Res. 2015; 17:56. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s13058-015-0568-1</u> PMid:25888246 PMCid:PMC4423419

5. Albayati MR, Alhilfi HS, Almohammadawi KO, Althaalibi SM, et al. An Outcome of Localized HER2 Positive Breast Cancer Treated with Adjuvant Trastuzumab in Clinical Practice: Retrospective Observational Study. Cancer Ther Oncol Int J. 2017; 7(3):1-5. https://doi.org/10.19080/CTOIJ.2017.07.555712

6. DeBerardinis RJ, Lum J, Hatzivassiliou G, Thompson KPD. The Biology of Cancer: Metabolic Reprogramming Fuels Cell Growth and Proliferation. Cell Metab. 2008; 7(1):11-20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2007.10.002 PMid:18177721

7. Di Cosimo S. Controversies in breast cancer: the mammalian target of rapamycin as a target for breast cancer therapy. Breast Cancer Res. 2009; 11(3):S25. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/bcr2444</u> PMid:20030877 PMCid:PMC2797705

8. Kurose K, Hoshaw-Woodard S, Adeyinka A, Lemeshow S, Watson PH, Eng C. Genetic Model of Multi-Step Breast Carcinogenesis Involving the Epithelium and Stroma: Clues to Tumour-Microenvironment Interactions. Hum Mol Genet. 2001; 10(18):1907-13. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/10.18.1907</u> PMid:11555627

9. Barbareschi M, Buttitta, F, Felicioni L. Cotrupi S, Barassi F, Grammastro MD, et al. Different Prognostic Roles of Mutations in the Helical and Kinase Domains of the PIK3CA Gene in Breast Carcinomas. Clin Cancer Res. 2007; 13(20):6064-9. https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-07-0266 PMid:17947469

10. O'Brien NA, Browne BC, Chow L, Wang Y, Ginther C, Arboleda J, et al. Activated Phosphoinositide 3-Kinase / AKT Signaling Confers Resistance to Trastuzumab but not Lapatinib. Mol Cancer Ther. 2010; 9(6):1489-502. <u>https://doi.org/10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-09-1171</u> PMid:20501798

11. Jensen JD, Knoop A, Laenkholm AV, Grauslund M, Jensen MB, Santoni-Rugiu E, et al. PIK3CA mutations, PTEN, and pHER2 expression and impact on outcome in HER2-positive early-stage breast cancer patients treated with adjuvant chemotherapy and trastuzumab. Ann Oncol. 2012; 23(8):2034-42. https://doi.org/10.1093/annonc/mdr546 PMid:22172323

12. Arsenic R, Lehmann A, Budczies J, Koch I, Prinzler J, Kleine-Tebbe A, et al. Analysis of PIK3CA mutations in breast cancer subtypes. Appl Immunohistochem Mol Morphol. 2014; 22(1):50-6. https://doi.org/10.1097/PDM.0b013e318297afea PMid:24471188

13. Perez-Tenorio G, Alkhori L, Olsson B, Waltersson MA, Nordenskjöld B, Rutqvist LE, et al. PIK3CA Mutations and PTEN Loss Correlate with Similar Prognostic Factors and Are Not Mutually Exclusive in Breast Cancer. Clin Cancer Res. 2007; 13(12):3577-84. <u>https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-06-1609</u> PMid:17575221

14. Wu Y, Sarkissyan M, Elshimali Y, Vadgama JV. Triple negative breast tumors in African-American and Hispanic/Latina women are high in CD44+, low in CD24+, and have loss of PTEN. PLoS One. 2013; 8(10):e78259. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0078259</u> PMid:24167614 PMCid:PMC3805609

15. Perez EA, Dueck AC, McCullough AE, B, Geiger XJ, Jenkins RB, et al. Impact of PTEN Protein Expression on Benefit From Adjuvant Trastuzumab in Early-Stage Human Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor 2-Positive Breast Cancer in the North Central Cancer Treatment Group N9831 Trial. J Clin Oncol. 2013; 31(17):2115-22. https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2012.42.2642 PMid:23650412 PMCid:PMC3731983

16. Tang Y, Xie L, XF. Expression and clinical significance of PTEN, p53 and EGFR in breast cancer. Modern Oncology. 2014;

22:345-9.

17. Beelen K, Opdam M, Severson TM, Koornstra RH, Vincent AD, Wesseling J, et al. PIK3CA mutations, phosphatase and tensin homolog, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2, and insulinlike growth factor 1 receptor and adjuvant tamoxifen resistance in postmenopausal breast cancer patients. Breast Cancer Res 2014; 16(1):2-10. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/bcr3606</u> PMid:24467828 PMCid:PMC3978618

18. Stern HM, Gardner H, Burzykowski T, Elatre W, O'Brien NA, Lackner MR, et al. PTEN loss is associated with worse outcome in HER2-amplified breast cancer patients but is not associated with trastuzumab resistance. Clin Cancer Res 2015; 21(9):2065-74. <u>https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-14-2993</u> PMid:25649019 PMCid:PMC4417419

19. Beg S, Siraj AK, Prabhakaran S, Jehan Z, Ajarim D, Al-Dayel F, et al. PTEN lossis associated with aggressive behavior and poor prognosis in Middle Eastern triple-negative breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2015; 151(3):541-53. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10549-015-3430-3 PMid:25981902

20. Oldenhuis CN, Oosting SF, Gietema JA, de Vries EG.

Prognostic versus predictive value of biomarkers in oncology. Eur J Cancer. 2008; 44:946-953.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejca.2008.03.006 PMid:18396036

21. Milella M, Falcone I, Conciatori F, Cesta Incani U, Del Curatolo A, Inzerilli N, et al. PTEN: Multiple functions in human malignant tumors. Front Oncol. 2015; 5:24.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2015.00024 PMid:25763354 PMCid:PMC4329810

22. Zhang S and Yu D. PI(3)King Apart PTEN's Role in Cancer. Clin Cancer Res 2010; 16(17):4325-30. https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-09-2990 PMid:20622047

23. Adamczyk A, Niemiec J, Janecka A, Harazin-Lechowska A, Ambicka A, Grela-Wojewoda A, et al. Prognostic Value of PIK3CA Mutation Status, PTEN and Androgen Receptor Expression for Metastasis free Survival in HER 2-Positive Breast Cancer Patients Treated with Trastuzumab in Adjuvant Setting. Pol J Pathol. 2015; 66(2):133-41. <u>https://doi.org/10.5114/pjp.2015.53009</u> PMid:26247526

24. Nindrea RD, Aryandono T, Lazuardi L, Dwiprahasto I. Family History of Breast Cancer and Breast Cancer Risk between Malays Ethnicity in Malaysia and Indonesia: A Meta-Analysis. Iran J Public Health. 2019; 48(2):198-05. <u>https://doi.org/10.18502/ijph.v48i2.814</u> PMid:31205873 PMCid:PMC6556193

25. Li S, Shen Y, Wang M, Yang J, Lv M, Li P, et al. Loss of PTEN expression in breast cancer: association with clinicopathological characteristics and prognosis. Oncotarget. 2017; 8:32043-54. https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.16761 PMid:28410191 PMCid:PMC5458267

26. Xu F, Zhang C, Cu J, Liu J, Li J, Jiang H. The prognostic value and potential drug target of phosphatase and tensin homolog in breast cancer patients. Medicine. 2017; 96:1-7. https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000000000000 PMid:28885360 PMCid:PMC6392695