

## THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

### Edinburgh Research Explorer

# Underestimated ecosystem carbon turnover time and sequestration under the steady state assumption: a perspective from longterm data assimilation

#### Citation for published version:

Ge, R, He, H, Ren, X, Zhang, L, Yu, G, Smallman, TL, Zhou, T, Yu, S, Luo, Y, Xie, Z, Wang, S, Wang, H, Zhou, G, Zhang, Q, Wang, A, Fan, Z, Zhang, Y, Shen, W, Yin, H & Lin, L 2018, 'Underestimated ecosystem carbon turnover time and sequestration under the steady state assumption: a perspective from longterm data assimilation', *Global Change Biology*. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14547

#### **Digital Object Identifier (DOI):**

10.1111/gcb.14547

#### Link: Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

**Document Version:** Peer reviewed version

Published In: Global Change Biology

#### General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

#### Take down policy

The University of Édinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



1

Underestimated ecosystem carbon turnover time and sequestration under the steady

2

state assumption: a perspective from long-term data assimilation

3 **Running head:** underestimated turnover time at equilibrium

4 Rong Ge<sup>1,2</sup>, Honglin He<sup>1,3\*</sup>, Xiaoli Ren<sup>1\*</sup>, Li Zhang<sup>1,3</sup>, Guirui Yu<sup>1,3</sup>, T. Luke Smallman<sup>4</sup>, Tao Zhou<sup>5</sup>, Shi-

5 Yong Yu<sup>6</sup>, Yiqi Luo<sup>7</sup>, Zongqiang Xie<sup>8</sup>, Silong Wang<sup>9</sup>, Huimin Wang<sup>1</sup>, Guoyi Zhou<sup>10</sup>, Qibin Zhang<sup>8</sup>,

6 Anzhi Wang<sup>9</sup>, Zexin Fan<sup>11</sup>, Yiping Zhang<sup>11</sup>, Weijun Shen<sup>10</sup>, Huajun Yin<sup>12</sup>, Luxiang Lin<sup>11</sup>

7 <sup>1</sup>Key Laboratory of Ecosystem Network Observation and Modeling, Institute of Geographic Sciences and Natural Resources Research, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China, <sup>2</sup>University of Chinese 8 Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China, <sup>3</sup>College of Resources and Environment, University of Chinese 9 Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China, <sup>4</sup>School of GeoSciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK, 10 <sup>5</sup>State Key Laboratory of Earth Surface Processes and Resource Ecology, Beijing Normal University, 11Beijing, China, <sup>6</sup>Large Lakes Observatory, University of Minnesota Duluth, Duluth, Minnesota, USA, 12 <sup>7</sup>Center for Ecosystem Science and Society (Ecoss) and Department of Biological Sciences, Northern 13 Arizona University, Flagstaff, Arizona, USA, <sup>8</sup>Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 14 China, <sup>9</sup>Institute of Applied Ecology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shenyang, China, <sup>10</sup>South China 15 Botanical Garden, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Guangzhou, China, <sup>11</sup>Key Laboratory of Tropical 16 17 Forest Ecology, Xishuangbanna Tropical Botanical Garden, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Mengla, China, <sup>12</sup>Chengdu Institute of Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Chengdu, China 18

19

<sup>20</sup> \* Corresponding authors: H. L. He (hehl@igsnrr.ac.cn) and X. L. Ren (renxl@igsnrr.ac.cn)

21 Tel.: +86 10 64889599

22 Fax: +86 10 64889399

Keywords: steady state, non-steady state, turnover time, climate sensitivity, carbon
sequestration

25 **Type of paper:** Primary Research Articles

#### 26 Abstract

It is critical to accurately estimate carbon (C) turnover time as it dominates the 27 uncertainty in ecosystem C sinks and their response to future climate change. In the absence 28 of direct observations of ecosystem C losses, C turnover times are commonly estimated under 29 the steady-state assumption (SSA), which has been applied across a large range of temporal 30 and spatial scales including many at which the validity of the assumption is likely to be 31 32 violated. However the errors associated with improperly applying SSA to estimate C turnover time and its covariance with climate as well as ecosystem C sequestrations have yet to be 33 fully quantified. Here we developed a novel model-data fusion (MDF) framework and 34 35 systematically analyzed the SSA-induced biases using time-series data collected from 10 permanent forest plots in the eastern China monsoon region. The results showed that (1) the 36 SSA significantly underestimated mean turnover times (MTTs) by 29%, thereby leading to a 37 4.83-fold underestimation of the net ecosystem productivity (NEP) in these forest ecosystems, 38 a major C sink globally; (2) the SSA-induced bias in MTT and NEP correlates negatively 39 with forest age, which provides a significant caveat for applying the SSA to young-aged 40 ecosystems; and (3) the sensitivity of MTT to temperature and precipitation was 22% and 42% 41 lower, respectively, under the SSA. Thus, under the expected climate change, spatiotemporal 42 changes in MTT are likely to be underestimated, thereby resulting in large errors in the 43 variability of predicted global NEP. With the development of observation technology and the 44 accumulation of spatiotemporal data, we suggest estimating MTTs at the disequilibrium state 45 via long-term data assimilation, thereby effectively reducing the uncertainty in ecosystem C 46 sequestration estimations and providing a better understanding of regional or global C cycle 47 dynamics and C-climate feedback. 48

#### 49 Introduction

The terrestrial carbon (C) cycle is among the largest uncertainties affecting global C-50 climate feedback (Le Quéré et al., 2018). Ecosystem C input (gross primary productivity, 51 GPP) and C mean turnover time (MTT) are two key factors in determining the C 52 sequestration capacity of terrestrial ecosystems (Xia et al., 2013; Luo et al., 2017). Terrestrial 53 GPP has been well studied and exhibits a relatively strong convergence in global modelling 54 studies (Anav et al., 2013), whereas the C turnover time has become the dominant uncertainty 55 in terrestrial ecosystem C sequestration and its response to climate change (Carvalhais et al., 56 2014; Friend et al., 2014; He et al., 2016). Therefore, accurately quantifying the ecosystem 57 MTT and its relationship with climate is crucial for understanding the present and future C 58 59 budget dynamics in terrestrial ecosystems.

60 Ecosystem MTT refers to the average time required for atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> to enter the ecosystem via plant photosynthesis and return to the atmosphere via C loss pathways, such as 61 62 ecosystem respiration (RE) and fire (Barrett, 2002). As the current understanding of these C cycle processes is mainly based on first-order kinetics (Manzoni and Porporato, 2009), MTT 63 is commonly defined as the ratio of the C pool to the flux (Bolin and Rodhe, 1973; 64 Friedlingstein et al, 2006; Koven et al., 2015). Therefore, the flux used for MTT estimation 65 (i.e., influx or efflux), the state of C pools, as well as the C allocation and turnover rates that 66 control the C flow in various pools, are all key states and processes that collectively determine 67 for the overall ecosystem turnover time (Sitch et al., 2003; Trumbore et al., 2006). 68

69 Currently, MTT estimations are mainly based on two assumptions, the steady-state 70 assumption (SSA) and the non-steady-state assumption (NSSA), with each corresponding to 71 specific ecological principles and applicable conditions. Without changes in external driving 72 forces, such as disturbances and climate change, the internal processes of an ecosystem will 73 gradually drive the ecosystem C cycle toward equilibrium (Luo and Weng, 2011), at which C

influx equals efflux, C pools are stabilized and the long-term net ecosystem C exchange 74 becomes zero (i.e.,  $\overline{\Delta C} = 0$ ); therefore, the MTT under the SSA can be defined as 75 "stock/influx" (Rodhe, 1978). When ecosystems are subject to natural (e.g., insect outbreaks 76 and fire) and anthropogenic (e.g., land-use change) disturbances as well as global changes 77 (e.g., increasing atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>, climate warming, and nitrogen deposition), ecosystem C 78 cycling processes become destabilized (Luo and Weng, 2011; Bellassen et al., 2011). 79 Therefore, C pools in ecosystems vary dynamically over time (i.e.,  $dC/dt \neq 0$ ), the C influx is 80 not equal to the C efflux, and the MTT under the NSSA should be defined as "stock/efflux" 81 82 (Schwartz, 1979).

An exact equilibrium is almost impossible to observe in reality; but when the relative 83 difference between input and output is negligible, it is justified and valid to apply SSA (Odum, 84 1969), usually occurring at large or coarse spatial scales where sufficient variation in the 85 sink/source distribution could balance the gross influx and efflux, or occurring at long-time 86 87 scales where the effects of transient changes in climate or atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> could be ignored. Specifically, at the global or continental scale near steady state, the more readily obtained 88 influx can be used to estimate MTT instead of the efflux (Carvalhais et al., 2014; Yan et al., 89 90 2014). In addition, key process parameters, such as the allocation and turnover rates, can be optimized and then incorporated into an analytical expression under the SSA to quantify the 91 spatial patterns of ecosystem MTT (e.g., Barrett, 2002; Xia et al., 2013). Furthermore, the 92 state of C pools in global models can be initialized via the spin-up process by iterating from 93 hundred to thousand years in preindustrial period until equilibrium (Taylor et al., 2012), 94 which determines the C pool size used in the analysis of MTT (Todd-Brown et al., 2013; 95 Exbrayat et al., 2014; Koven et al., 2015). 96

In addition to these aforementioned applications, the SSA has also been widely invoked
in MTT research over a considerable range of temporal and spatial scales (e.g., Zhou & Luo,

2008; Galbraith et al., 2013; Thurner et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2018), over which non-steady 99 behaviour may exist. This appears to be an imperative choice in the absence of direct 100 measurement of C effluxes, such as heterotrophic respiration, or current or past-historical 101 ecosystem states for constraining the dynamic ecosystem C cycle processes. Specifically, at 102 the regional scale with considerable C sinks, (1) the MTTs are still obtained based on influx, 103 which is much higher than efflux, e.g., in the forest ecosystems in eastern China (Wang et al., 104 2018) and the tropics (Galbraith et al., 2013) that have been shown to be major C sinks 105 globally (Piao et al., 2009; Pan et al., 2011; Yu et al., 2014); (2) C turnover rates and 106 allocation coefficients are still retrieved under the SSA but further used in a transient 107 108 simulation of the regional MTT and net ecosystem productivity (NEP) (Zhou & Luo., 2008; Zhou et al., 2010, 2013b); (3) As global C models have been developed to fine spatial scales, 109 the SSA is also widely used for C pool state initialization at local scales with dynamic C 110 111 sources or sinks (e.g., young-aged forests) (Law et al., 2001; Morales et al., 2005; Carvalhais et al., 2008, 2010; Huang et al., 2011). Previous studies have reported the uncertainty in C 112 pool states and C cycle parameters induced by the SSA (e.g., Carvalhais et al., 2008, 2010), 113 which may further affect the validity of MTT estimation via the "stock/flux" approach. 114 Therefore, a better understanding of the mismatch between the ideal SSA and realistic 115 disequilibrium state in C turnover time estimation is needed and the effect of such 116 inconsistencies on C sequestration should be determined. 117

With the development of observational technology and the accumulation of multiple and time-series C cycle datasets over the past decade, our understanding of terrestrial C dynamics has improved; accordingly, C effluxes can be better constrained to return to the definition of MTT at the realistic disequilibrium state (e.g., Bloom *et al.*, 2016). On this basis, researchers have attempted to develop the model-data fusion (MDF) method to estimate ecosystem MTT under the NSSA, which integrates the process-based model and observational data to estimate these C cycle dynamics in better agreement with the actual disequilibrium state (Luo *et al.*,
2003; Xu *et al.*, 2006; Zhang *et al.*, 2010; Zhou *et al.*, 2013b; Bloom *et al.*, 2016). Moreover,
the uncertainty in allocation and turnover parameters as well as C pool states have largely
been reduced based on the time-series observations under the NSSA, thereby significantly
enhancing the model's ability to predict MTT and NEP (Safta *et al.*, 2015; Smallman *et al.*,
2017).

Regardless, a detailed comparative analysis of ecosystem MTT estimations under the 130 NSSA and SSA has not been conducted based on multi-source and long-term continuous 131 observational data. In this study, we systematically examined differences in ecosystem C 132 133 cycle states and processes estimated under the two assumptions as well as the underlying mechanisms within a robust analytical framework, using large amounts of long-term 134 continuous observational soil, biology, and climate data for 10 typical forest ecosystems from 135 the Chinese Ecosystem Research Network (CERN) that represent the East Asian monsoon 136 region, a large C sink accounting for 8% of the global forest NEP (Yu et al., 2014). Our 137 analysis mainly focuses on the mismatch between the two assumptions with regard to (1) the 138 magnitude and spatial pattern of the ecosystem MTT, (2) the relationship between the 139 ecosystem MTT and climate, and (3) the ecosystem C sink in these forest ecosystems. These 140 quantitative comparisons using the proposed framework could provide a reference for future 141 MTT research in terms of SSA/NSSA method selection and facilitate an awareness of the 142 corresponding uncertainty. 143

144 Materials and Methods

#### 145 **2.1 Site description**

146 The eastern China monsoon region covers tropical, subtropical, warm temperate, and 147 temperate climate zones from south to north, and subhumid and humid areas from north-west to south-east. The large precipitation and temperature gradients support diverse forest ecosystems ranging from evergreen broad-leaved and coniferous forests to deciduous coniferous and broad-leaved forests. Here we selected 10 permanent plots with long-term observational data from CERN to cover the typical forest types with various ages in this region (Fig. 1; Table S1). All 10 sites are well protected and subject to minimal disturbance.

#### 154 **2.2 Data**

The collected data are divided into four meteorological driving data, five stock-related constraint datasets of soil, foliage, root, wood, and leaf area index (LAI), and three fluxrelated constraint datasets of litterfall, net ecosystem exchange (NEE) and soil respiration (Rs). The time-series observations at most of the sites cover the period from 2005 to 2015, but those of SNF, which was incorporated into CERN later, are from 2010 to 2015 (Table S2).

#### 160 2.2.1 Biometric data

161 At each site, the biomass of leaves, branches, stems, and roots were estimated from the measured diameters at breast height (DBHs) and tree heights using the allometric method. 162 The biomass inventory was performed at least once every five years. To split fine and coarse 163 root biomass, the ratio of the fine root biomass to the entire root biomass in typical Chinese 164 forests was obtained from Zhang et al. (2001), and the coarse root biomass was then 165 combined with the branch and stem biomasses to constitute the woody biomass. Estimates of 166 leaf, fine root, and woody biomass were used to constrain the corresponding C pools in the 167 inverse analysis. 168

The aboveground litterfall biomass was measured by 10 replicates of 100 cm  $\times$  100 cm baskets monthly during the growing season or once during the non-growing season. All collected litter was dried at 70 °C for 24 h and weighed. We used annual litterfall biomass data for the inverse analysis to avoid the effect of wind on the measurement of litterfallbiomass within an individual month.

The LAI at each site was measured optically with a LAI-2000 plant canopy analyser (LI-COR, Lincoln, NE, USA) at least quarterly every year and corrected by the foliage clumping index, which was set for plant functional type (PFT)-specific empirical values as reported in Zhu *et al.* (2012). The seasonal variation in the LAI combined with the leaf C mass per leaf area (LCMA) parameter constrained the dynamic trajectory of the leaf C pool in the MDF analysis.

180 2.2.2 Soil data

Soil C content was calculated from soil organic matter (SOM) measured by the potassium dichromate oxidation titrimetric method and soil bulk density measured by the cutting ring method in each field campaign at 10 forest sites. At least three samples were collected from each of five soil layers (0–10, 10–20, 20–40, 40–60, and 60–100 cm) once every five years. We calculated the soil organic C (SOC) as follows (Post *et al.*, 1985; Eqn. 1).

$$SOC = \sum_{i=1}^{n} 0.58 \times H_i \times B_i \times O_i \times 100 \quad (1)$$

where *SOC* is soil organic C density (g C/m<sup>2</sup>) of all *n* layers,  $H_i$  is soil thickness (cm),  $B_i$  is soil bulk density (g/cm<sup>3</sup>), and  $O_i$  is SOM content of the *i*<sub>th</sub> layer (%).

189 2.2.3 Flux data

NEE data were obtained from ChinaFLUX, covering CBF, QYF, ALF, and BNF. The data were aggregated to the daily time step from half-hourly CO<sub>2</sub> flux data measured by the eddy covariance technique and processed by quality control and gap filling (Li *et al.*, 2008). To reduce the impact of gap-filled data on parameter estimations, we only aggregated NEE data for the days with at least 50% observed half-hourly fluxes, which were relatively evenlydistributed in the daytime and nighttime.

Rs data were measured using static chamber-gas chromatography techniques at CBF, QYF, DHF, HSF, and BNF (Zheng, 2010). A total of 4–6 replicates were measured 2–3 times per month with sampling times between 9:00 am and 11:00 am. In this study, the monthly averaged heterotrophic respiration (Rh) was obtained according to the ratio of root respiration to Rs in the typical Chinese forest ecosystem to constrain the seasonal variation of C efflux from litter and soil in the inverse analysis (Chen *et al.*, 2008).

202 2.2.4 Meteorological data

In situ observations of daily air temperature (T), photosynthetically active radiation (PAR), relative humidity (RH), and saturated vapour pressure difference (VPD) at the 10 sites from 2005 to 2015 were obtained from the CERN scientific and technological resources service system (http://www.cnern.org.cn/) and processed by standardized quality control and gap filling (Li *et al.*, 2008; Liu *et al.*, 2017a).

208 **2.3 Model** 

Data Assimilation Linked Ecosystem Carbon (DALEC) has been applied extensively in 209 the MDF framework (Richardson et al., 2010; Bloom et al., 2016). It is a box model of C 210 pools connected via fluxes running at a daily time step, and its main structure (i.e., C cycle, C 211 allocation, and turnover process) is generally consistent with the state-of-the-art process-based 212 models (Fig. 2). Here, we used two versions of DALEC, an evergreen forest-specific version 213 (DALEC-E; Williams et al 2005) with five pools (i.e., foliage, fine root, woody (including 214 branch, stem, and coarse root), litter and SOM) and a deciduous forest-specific version 215 (DALEC-D; Fox et al., 2009) with an additional labile pool of stored C that supports leaf 216 flushing. 217

The detailed C cycle of forest ecosystems can be characterized by several properties (Xia 218 et al., 2013): (1) the C cycle is usually initiated with the canopy C influx GPP. Specifically, 219 GPP is estimated herein using a canopy photosynthesis model (Ji, 1995; Appendix S1), which 220 is a function of LAI, PAR, T, and RH. Note that the daily LAI is estimated as the ratio of the 221 simulated foliar C pool and optimized LCMA parameter. (2) GPP is consumed in a certain 222 fraction  $(f_{auto})$  as autotrophic respiration (Ra) and partitioned into various plant pools (i.e., 223 foliar, labile, wood, and fine roots); then, the degraded C from biomass pools goes to two 224 dead organic matter pools with temperature-dependent losses (Rh). (3) C transfers are 225 dominated by the donor pools (e.g., the litter decomposing into soil). (4) C exiting from C 226 reservoirs is based on the first order differential equation. These properties of the forest C 227 cycle in DALEC can be mathematically described by a matrix model as Eqn. 2 and 228 determined as a function of key C cycle parameters (Table S3). All these parameters will be 229 optimized based on the stock- and flux-related observations. 230

231 
$$\frac{d\mathbf{C}}{d\mathbf{t}} = BI(t) - A\xi kC(t) \quad (2)$$

where C(t) is a vector of C pool sizes at time t;  $B = (f_{fol} \ f_{roo} \ f_{woo} \ 0 \ 0)^T$  represents the 232 partitioning fractions from photosynthetically fixed C input to the foliage  $(f_{fol})$ , root  $(f_{roo})$ , 233 woody  $(f_{woo})$ , litter, and soil pools; I(t) is the input flux of fixed C via plant photosynthesis; 234  $k = diag(\theta_{fol}, \theta_{roo}, \theta_{woo}, \theta_{min} + \theta_{lit}, \theta_{som})$ , a diagonal matrix of exit rates to quantify the 235 fraction of C left from the foliage  $(\theta_{fol})$ , root  $(\theta_{roo})$ , woody  $(\theta_{woo})$ , soil  $(\theta_{som})$ , litter  $(\theta_{lit})$  pool, 236 and the litter mineralization rate into soil  $(\theta_{min})$ ; and  $\xi = diag(1, 1, 1, f(T), f(T))$ , a 237 diagonal matrix of temperature scalar f(T) to quantify response of C decay rate to changes in 238 temperature. The response to soil moisture was not considered in DALEC given the overall 239 good moisture condition in these forest ecosystems (MAP=1160.18±413.79 mm). A is a 240 square matrix of transfer coefficients to quantify C movement among pools as follows: 241

242 
$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & -\frac{\theta_{min}}{\theta_{min} + \theta_{lit}} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

#### 243 **2.4 Estimation of ecosystem MTT and NEP based on the MDF framework**

The analytical framework developed here systematically considered the C pool initial state, cost function, observational and forcing data involved in the inverse analysis, and formula for estimating MTT to diagnose the SSA-induced bias in contrast to the NSSA, which affected parameter retrieval and the estimation of MTT and NEP (Fig. 3). Note that models were the same in the NSSA and SSA setups. The temporal domains for model simulation were from 2005 to 2015.

#### 250 2.4.1 Parameter estimation under the SSA and NSSA

Under the NSSA, C pools are time-variant, i.e., C influx is not equal to the C efflux, thus not restricted to NEP ~0; the dynamic long-term observations of C stocks and fluxes were used to constrain the DALEC model. As an important factor that may affect the estimated MTT and NEP, the initial state of the C pools was determined by the initial observation of C stocks or optimized (i.e., the labile pool, which cannot be directly observed) to avoid the uncertainty arising from the spin-up process. Then, the turnover and allocation parameters were inverted under the disequilibrium state (Eqn. 3) with dynamic environmental forcing.

258 
$$\begin{cases} \frac{dC}{dt} \neq 0\\ C_i(t+1) = C_i(t) + I_i(t) - k_i C_i(t), i = 1, 2 \dots n\\ C_i(t=0) = C_i 0 \end{cases}$$
(3)

where  $C_i$ ,  $I_i$ ,  $k_i$  represent the size, input and turnover rate of the  $i_{th}$  C reservoir, respectively; C<sub>i</sub>0 represent the initial state of the  $i_{th}$  C reservoir; and *t* represent the daily step. According to the Bayesian theory, the posterior distributions of parameters are calculated by maximizing
the likelihood function (Eqn. 4).

263 
$$L_{NSSA} = \prod_{j=1}^{m} \prod_{i=1}^{n_j} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_j}} e^{-\left(x_{j,i} - \mu_{j,i}(\boldsymbol{P}_{NSSA})\right)^2 / 2\sigma_j^2}, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots 8 \quad (4)$$

where  $L_{\text{NSSA}}$  is the integrated likelihood function under the NSSA; *m* is the number of multiple data types; *n* is the number of data points in the *j*<sub>th</sub> data type; *x*<sub>j,i</sub> is the measured value composed of eight dynamic C stock and flux observations;  $\mu_{j,i}(p_{\text{NSSA}})$  represents the modeled fluxes and stocks based on parameters under the NSSA (*P*<sub>NSSA</sub>); and  $\sigma_j$  is the standard deviation of each data point in the *j*<sub>th</sub> data type.

Under the SSA, C pools are stabilized such that an additional constraint of long-term NEP ~ 0 was used to constrain the initial state of C pools at steady state, in addition to the observed C stock and flux constraints. As the meteorological forcing spans 2005 to 2015, we averaged total ecosystem C pools ( $C_{TOT}$ ) over each 10-year segment to obtain  $\overline{C_{TOT}}$ , and determined steady-state criterion by which changes in  $\Delta \overline{C_{TOT}}$  (Eqn. 6) between two neighbouring segments are within a threshold of 0.5 g C m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> (as one criterion in Thornton and Rosenbloom, 2005 and Xia *et al.*, 2012).

276 
$$\Delta \overline{C_{\text{TOT}}} = \left| \overline{C_{\text{TOT}}(t+1)} - \overline{C_{\text{TOT}}(t)} \right| \le 0.5$$
(5)

where *t* represents the period for parameter optimization during 2005 to 2015.

The C turnover and allocation parameters were retrieved under the repeated 10 year (2005–2015) cycle of meteorological forcing until the initial state of C pools were stationary at the annual time scales (i.e., long term NEP  $\sim$  0, Eqn. 5), and the likelihood function was maximized compared to the observations (Eqn. 6).

282 
$$L_{SSA} = \prod_{j=1}^{m} \prod_{i=1}^{n_j} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_j} e^{-\left(x_{j,i} - \mu_{j,i}(\boldsymbol{P}_{SSA})\right)^2 / 2\sigma_j^2}, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots 8$$
(6)

where  $\mu_{j,i}(P_{SSA})$  represents the modelled values based on parameters under the SSA ( $P_{SSA}$ ), and  $L_{SSA}$  is the integrated likelihood under the SSA and consists of five stock-related observations, two efflux observations (litterfall and Rs), and the tolerance of long-term NEE described in Eqn. 5.

Specifically, we applied the Metropolis simulated annealing algorithm, a variation of the Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) technique, for parameter estimation (Zobitz *et al.*, 2011). Besides, ecological and dynamic constraints were imposed on the DALEC parameters and pool dynamics (Appendix S2) which can significantly reduce uncertainty in model parameters and simulations (Bloom and Williams, 2015).

#### 292 2.4.2 Estimation of ecosystem MTT under the SSA and NSSA

Here, we use the MTT\_(MDF scheme, i.e., SSA/NSSA)\_(flux term used, i.e., Input (I) /Output (O) ) to consistently define the C turnover times in different analyses. Under the SSA, long-term NEP = 0; i.e., the C influx equalizes the efflux, such that the ecosystem MTT can be defined as the ratio of retrieved total ecosystem C stocks to the ecosystem influx (Sanderman *et al.*, 2003, Eqn. 7).:

298 
$$MTT\_SSA\_I = \frac{\overline{C_{pool\_SSA}}}{\overline{I_{SSA}} - \Delta \overline{C_{pool}}} = \frac{\overline{C_{pool\_SSA}}}{\overline{I_{SSA}}}$$
(7)

where  $MTT\_SSA\_I$  is the ecosystem MTT under the SSA as estimated from C influx,  $\overline{C_{pool\_SSA}}$ is the mean annual ecosystem C pool,  $\overline{I_{SSA}}$  is the mean annual ecosystem C input (GPP), and  $\Delta \overline{C_{pool}}$  is the change in the ecosystem C pool.

302 We have further derived an analytical expression for MTT\_SSA\_I(Eqn. 8):

303 
$$MTT\_SSA\_I = \frac{\sum_{i}^{n} \overline{C_{pool}\_SSA}}{\overline{I_{SSA}}} = \frac{\overline{I_{\bot}SSA}}{\overline{I_{SSA}}} \times \frac{\sum_{i}^{n} \overline{C_{pool}\_SSA}}{\overline{I_{\bot}SSA}}$$
(8)

$$304 \qquad \qquad = \left(\frac{f_{fol}}{\theta_{fol}} + \frac{f_{roo}}{\theta_{roo}} + \frac{f_{woo}}{\theta_{woo}} + \frac{f_{fol} + f_{roo}}{(\theta_{min} + \theta_{lit}) \times \xi} + \frac{f_{woo} + (f_{fol} + f_{roo}) \times \frac{\theta_{min}}{\theta_{min} + \theta_{lit}}}{\theta_{som} \times \xi}\right) \times (1 - f_{auto})$$

305 = 
$$(1 \ 1 \ \dots \ 1)(A\xi k)^{-1}B(1 - f_{auto})$$

where  $\overline{C_{pool_{i}\_SSA}}$  and  $\overline{I_{i}\_SSA}$  represent the mean annual size and influx of the *i*th C pool, respectively, which are simulated based on the site-specific SSA-optimized parameters at each site.

This form is compatible with the inverse matrix composed of the optimized allocation, turnover, and transit parameters (Xia *et al.*, 2013; Luo *et al.*, 2017), which consider the ecosystem MTT to be aggregated from the sum of turnover times for pools in series and the influx-weighted turnover time of pools in parallel (Barrett, 2002). The inherent consistency is theoretically supported by Sierra *et al.* (2017), because both forms are based on the hypothesis that the size of the C pool is equivalent to the product of C input flux and C turnover time in the equilibrium state (Bolin and Rodhe, 1973).

Under the NSSA, each C pool is an instantaneous state variable; thus, the effluxweighted turnover time of pools is also time-varable and cannot be parameterized. Therefore, constructing an inverse matrix explicitly composed of the turnover and allocation parameters to represent the MTT is difficult. In this case, the ratio of the total ecosystem C stock to the efflux simulated based on these optimized parameters under NSSA is used to estimate ecosystem MTT (Schwartz, 1979; Bloom *et al.*, 2016; Eqn. 9).

322 
$$MTT_NSSA_O = \frac{\overline{C_{pool_NSSA}}}{\overline{I_{NSSA}} - \Delta C_{pool}} = \frac{\overline{C_{pool_NSSA}}}{\overline{O_{NSSA}}}$$
(9)  
323 
$$= \frac{\sum_{i}^{n} \overline{C_{pool_NSSA}}}{\overline{O_{NSSA}}} = \frac{\overline{O_{i_NSSA}}}{\overline{O_{NSSA}}} \times \frac{\sum_{i}^{n} \overline{C_{pool_NSSA}}}{\overline{O_{i_NSSA}}}$$

324 =  $(1 \ 1 \ \dots \ 1)(\xi k)^{-1} w$ 

325 where 
$$W = \left(\frac{\overline{O_{fol}_{NSSA}}}{\overline{O_{NSSA}}}, \frac{\overline{O_{woo}_{NSSA}}}{\overline{O_{NSSA}}}, \frac{\overline{O_{roo}_{NSSA}}}{\overline{O_{NSSA}}}, \frac{\overline{O_{lut}_{NSSA}}}{\overline{O_{NSSA}}}, \frac{\overline{O_{som}_{NSSA}}}{\overline{O_{NSSA}}}\right);$$

MTT\_NSSA\_O is the ecosystem MTT under the NSSA based on C output;  $\overline{C_{pool_{NSSA}}}$  is the 326 mean annual ecosystem C pool;  $\overline{I_{NSSA}}$  is the mean annual ecosystem C input (GPP);  $\overline{O_{NSSA}}$  is 327 the mean annual ecosystem C output (RE);  $\overline{C_{pool_{l_{NSSA}}}}$  and  $\overline{O_{l_{NSSA}}}$  represent the mean annual 328 size and output of the *i*th C pool, respectively; *w* represents the output-dependent weight of C 329 pools; and  $\overline{O_{fol_{NSSA}}}$ ,  $\overline{O_{woo_{NSSA}}}$ ,  $\overline{O_{roo_{NSSA}}}$ ,  $\overline{O_{lut_{NSSA}}}$ , and  $\overline{O_{som_{NSSA}}}$  represent the mean annual 330 output of the foliage, wood, root, litter and soil pools, respectively. All C stocks and fluxes 331 were simulated based on the site-specific NSSA-optimized parameters at each site. Because 332 the C reservoirs, fluxes, and turnover times are instantaneous values, we used the average of 333 the fluxes and reservoirs for multiple years to reflect the average turnover time during a 334 specific period (i.e., 2005-2015). Note that with few natural and anthropogenic disturbances at 335 these well-protected CERN sites (Zhou et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2010), the total ecosystem 336 output was approximately equivalent to the RE. 337

#### 2.4.3 Estimation of ecosystem NEP based on the SSA- and NSSA-inverted parameters

The optimized parameter values under the NSSA and SSA along with the initial observations of corresponding C pool sizes were used in forward modeling driven by the dynamic environmental variables from 2005 to 2015 (Zhou *et al.*, 2008). NEP was further derived from the difference between the ecosystem C influx and RE to examine the effects of retrieved parameters on C sequestration under different hypotheses.

#### 344 **2.5 Estimation of ecosystem MTT based on observation**

To test the robustness of MTT\_SSA\_I based on SSA-inversion at the 10 sites, the MTT under the SSA based on observed influx (MTT\_OBS\_I) was calculated from the ratio of mean annual total ecosystem stock measurements in CERN and the mean annual GPP observed from moderate resolution imaging spectroradiometer (MODIS) (Carvalhais *et al.*, 2014). MODIS products of GPP (MOD17A2H, 500m) at each site were downloaded from the University of Oklahoma Data Center (http://www.eomf.ou.edu/visualization/manual/) and then accumulated to the annual time step from the 8-day observational data. Because the annual MODIS GPP values are consistent with the tower-based GPP at the flux sites (Fig. S1,  $R^2 = 0.90, p < 0.01$ , mean absolute error (MAE) = 37.39 g C m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>), it is reasonable to use this high-resolution product as a reliable observation at the site scale.

#### 355 **Results**

#### 356 **3.1 Key parameters retrieved under the SSA and NSSA**

Under the NSSA, the ratio of Ra to GPP ( $f_{auto}$ ) varied from 0.3 to 0.7, with a mean 357 value of 0.53, showing a trend of first decreasing and then increasing with decreasing 358 latitude (Figs. 4a and S2). The proportion of NPP allocated to wood ( $f_{woo}$ ) ranged from 0.5 359 to 0.9, with a mean value of 0.67, showing an increasing trend with decreasing latitude (Figs. 360 4d and S2). The MTTs (i.e., the inverse of the turnover rate) of wood, soil, foliage, fine root, 361 and litter at the 10 sites were 48.54, 86.55, 3.12, 2.40, and 1.13 years, respectively. 362 Specifically, the turnover rate of wood and soil ( $\theta_{woo}$  and  $\theta_{som}$ ), the two largest C pools in 363 living vegetation and dead organic matter, respectively, showed obvious increasing trends 364 with decreasing latitude (Figs. 4g, 4i, and S2). The temperature sensitivity of soil 365 decomposition ( $Rh_{temp}$ ) exhibited a spatial pattern of tropical forest > temperate forest > 366 subtropical forest (Figs. 4k, and S2). However, compared to the key C-cycle parameters 367 under the NSSA, the allocation to faster-turnover C pools under the SSA was mostly 368 overestimated  $(f_{auto}, f_{fol})$ , but to slow-turnover pools  $(f_{woo})$  was underestimated; turnover 369 rate of major pools ( $\theta_{woo}$  and  $\theta_{som}$ ) were overestimated; furthermore, the sensitivity to 370

371 climate ( $Rh_{temp}$ ) was underestimated; and these parameters lacked obvious spatial patterns 372 (Figs. 4a, 4c, 4d, 4g, 4i, and 4k).

We compared the modelled and observed datasets to validate the inverted parameters 373 based on multi-source data. Under the NSSA, the simulated and observed vegetation and soil 374 C stocks and C fluxes agreed well, with the scatter points falling along the 1:1 line (Fig. 5). 375 Specifically, the determination coefficients  $(R^2)$  for C stocks varied between 0.94 and 0.99, 376 and the root-mean-square errors (RMSEs) were small relative to their magnitudes (Fig. 5a-e). 377 In contrast,  $R^2$  for C fluxes (NEE and Rs) were slightly lower (0.45–0.50), but the RMSEs 378 were only 1.37 and 0.67 g C m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>, respectively (Fig. 5 g, h). Under the SSA, the model 379 performance regarding the C stocks was comparable with that under the NSSA (Fig. 5a-e), 380 but due to the overestimation of C turnover rates (Fig. 4e-j), simulated C effluxes, such as 381 litterfall and Rs, were markedly overestimated, which in turn overestimated NEE (Fig. 5f-h). 382

#### 383 3.2 Magnitude of MTT and its relationship with forest age under the SSA and NSSA

At the 10 sites, the MDF-based ecosystem MTT under NSSA (MTT\_NSSA\_O) and SSA (MTT\_SSA\_I) and the observation-based ecosystem MTT under SSA (MTT\_OBS\_I) ranged from 9.64 to 38.23, 7.29 to 33.59, and 8.73 to 36.31 years, with averages of 24.44, 17.27, and 17.20 years, respectively. As MTT\_SSA\_I and MTT\_OBS\_I were nearly identical (Fig. 6b, MAE = 0.25,  $R^2 = 0.86$ , p < 0.001), MTT\_SSA\_I was selected to represent the estimated MTT under SSA in the ensuing analyses.

The ecosystem MTT\_SSA\_I was significantly lower (with an average of 29%) than the MTT\_NSSA\_O (Fig. 6a, p < 0.05). Because wood and soil are the two largest C pools in forest ecosystems, the differences in their turnover rates estimated under the SSA and NSSA and the relative contributions to the difference between the whole-ecosystem MTT\_SSA\_I and MTT\_NSSA\_O ( $\Delta$ MTT) deserved further analysis. Both the  $\theta_{woo}$  and  $\theta_{som}$  were significantly overestimated under the SSA (Figs. 4g, 4i) with the magnitude of the overestimation for  $\theta_{woo}$  being greater than that for  $\theta_{som}$  (1.24E-04 vs 5.02E-05), which largely accounted for the ecosystem  $\Delta$ MTT. Meanwhile, less C was allocated to slowturnover structural C pools under the SSA (Fig. 4d,  $f_{woo}_{SSA} = 0.46$  vs  $f_{woo}_{NSSA} = 0.68$ ), thus leading to underestimations of the vegetation MTT and ecosystem MTT.

The ecosystem  $\Delta$ MTT varied among different ecosystems (Fig. 6a), and these 400 differences should be closely associated with how far the ecosystems deviate from the 401 402 equilibrium state, as most likely reflected by the age-related growth. Thus, forest age was used as a proxy of the gap between the actual and equilibrium state. We found that the forest 403 age accounted for more than 50% of the variation in ecosystem  $\Delta$ MTT with a significantly 404 negative correlation (Fig. 7a, p < 0.005). Further analysis revealed that rather than the 405 overestimation of  $\theta_{som}$ , the overestimation of  $\theta_{woo}$  under the SSA ( $\Delta \theta_{woo}$ ), which exhibited a 406 significant power function relationship with forest age, dominated the age-dependent  $\Delta MTT$ 407 in the entire ecosystem (Figs. 7b, S3). 408

## 3.3 Latitudinal pattern of MTT and its covariance with climate under the SSA and NSSA

The ecosystem MTT NSSA O and MTT SSA I exhibited similar latitudinal patterns, 411 both of which decreased with decreasing latitude (Fig. 8a), showing a pattern of temperate 412 MTT > subtropical MTT > tropical MTT (Fig. 6a). ALF appears to be an outlier, mainly due 413 to its high elevation (2488 m) and special vertical zonality. We further analysed the 414 relationship between MTT and climate, which is recognized as an important factor regulating 415 416 the latitudinal MTT gradient (Carvalhais et al., 2014). Both the ecosystem MTT SSA I and MTT NSSA O were negatively correlated with temperature and precipitation (Figs. 8b, 8c), 417 but the sensitivity of the MTT SSA I to these two climatic variables was significantly lower 418

than that of the MTT\_NSSA\_O, which decreased from 1.02 yr/°C to 0.80 yr/°C (by 22%) for
temperature and from 1.34 yr/100 mm to 0.78 yr/100 mm (by 42%) for precipitation.

#### 421 **3.4 Ecosystem C sequestration based on the SSA- and NSSA-inverted parameters**

Under the dynamic environmental conditions, all 10 forests were net C sinks based on 422 both the SSA- and NSSA-inverted parameters (Fig. 9). However, with respect to actual eddy 423 covariance observations, the NEP was obviously underestimated with the SSA-inverted 424 parameters, whereas the NEP based on NSSA parameters was highly consistent (Fig. 5g); for 425 example, the mean annual NSSA-estimated and observed NEP were 347.4 and 306.6 g C m<sup>-2</sup> 426 yr<sup>-1</sup> at CBF, respectively, and 465.9 and 469.3 g C m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> at QYF. Overall, the mean annual 427 NEP for 10 typical forest ecosystems in eastern China monsoon region reached 325.2 g C m<sup>-2</sup> 428 yr<sup>-1</sup> based on NSSA-inverted parameters, which was 4.83 times that estimated with SSA-429 inverted parameters (67.3 g C m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>). Furthermore, the SSA-induced bias in NEP was 430 significantly greater (p < 0.05) in young and middle-aged forests (7.3-fold) than that in mature 431 forests (3.8-fold). The underestimation of NEP in SSA analysis was largely due to the 432 overestimation of RE, which is closely associated with the overestimation of C turnover rates 433 and allocations to fast-turnover pools (Fig. 4); whereas GPP was comparable to that under the 434 NSSA (Fig. S4). 435

#### 436 **Discussion**

#### 437 4.1 Robustness of MTT estimations under SSA and NSSA

The robustness of MTT\_SSA\_I estimations in the 10 ecosystems has been assessed with respect to MTT\_OBS\_I, which is generally recognized as a benchmark in current research (e.g., Thurner *et al.*, 2017). Although eddy covariance measurements for MTT\_OBS\_I estimation are lacking for some of the sites, the MOD17A2H product performed as a suitable alternative for GPP observations because its spatial resolution is finer that the footprint of the

flux towers (Mi et al., 2006; Zhao et al., 2005). Furthermore, we found the magnitude and 443 spatial pattern of ecosystem MTT SSA I in eastern China monsoon forests were consistent 444 with various MTT SSA estimations by observation or inversion approach in regional or global 445 forest ecosystems (Table S4). A negative correlation of MTT SSA I with both temperature 446 and precipitation was observed in this study, which was supported by research on 447 MTT SSA I based on forest inventory and remote sensing observations (Gill and Jackson, 448 2000; Sanderman et al., 2003; Carvalhais et al., 2014). The high consistency and robustness 449 of MTT SSA calculated by various methods indicated that the deviation in MTT SSA 450 identified in this study has broad implications for various SSA applications in C cycle 451 research. 452

Due to the complexity of ecosystem C emission processes and the scarcity of ecosystem 453 efflux data, it remains challenging to validate the inverted MTT NSSA O of whole-454 ecosystem with respect to observation-based estimates at disequilibrium state. However, the 455 magnitude of the key process parameters regulating the ecosystem MTT under the NSSA as 456 inferred in this study was broadly consistent with a number of empirical studies on C 457 allocations, vegetation turnover rates and mortality, and soil decomposition rates (Table S5). 458 The turnover times of fine roots measured from  $\delta^{13}C$  signals tend to be systematically 459 overestimated due to sampling biases, with the finest and most ephemeral roots being missed 460 (Strand et al., 2008). Regarding the pattern of these key processes,  $f_{auto}$  first decreased and 461 then increased as temperature increased at the turning point of approximately 11°C, which 462 was highly congruent with the synthetic analysis based on the global forest database and 463 could be ascribed to the asymmetric response of RE and GPP to rising temperature (Piao et al., 464 2010). The decrease in  $f_{woo}$  with increasing latitude and decreasing temperature was supported 465 by the inventory-based synthesis in Chinese forests (Li et al., 2009), and this pattern may be 466 explained by the adaptive strategies of forest trees to temperature (Reich et al., 2014) as well 467

as the age-structure-related strategy (Zhou et al., 2013b), which tends to allocate less C to the 468 structural pool in old forests mainly distributed in cold, high-latitude regions in China (Zhang 469 et al., 2014).  $\theta_{woo}$  and  $\theta_{som}$  both increased with rising temperature, which agrees well with 470 the variation in the plant mortality rate based on forest inventory (Mantgem et al., 2009; Zhou 471 et al., 2013a) and the variation in soil C decomposition based on Rs observations from the 472 chamber or isotope method (Karhu et al., 2010; Frank et al., 2012; Chen et al., 2013). In 473 addition,  $Rh_{temp}$  was higher in tropical and temperate forests than subtropical forests, which is 474 consistent with the regional variation in temperature sensitivity in Chinese forests based on 475 field sampling and incubation experiments (Liu et al., 2017b; Zhou et al., 2009). 476

Overall, the robustness of estimations under the NSSA compared to the empirical research indicates that the C cycle dynamics estimated by NSSA method match the realistic observations well. Thus, the SSA-induced bias in MTT estimation and the underlying mechanism can be reliably quantified in contrast to our estimations under NSSA.

#### 481 **4.2 Identification of the uncertainty in MTT under SSA**

Under the background of global environmental changes, extensively distributed 482 disturbances drive the ecosystems far from a steady state at local scales (Luo and Weng, 483 2011), which makes the spatially-specific research a great challenge. Although the spatial 484 aggregation of regional/global may approximately estimate the MTT under the SSA (Odum, 485 1969), identifying the explanatory mechanism is difficult because the aggregation also merges 486 some spatially heterogeneous influencing factors, such as temperature and terrain, that 487 nonlinearly impact the MTT. In addition, previous studies have challenged the inherent 488 concept behind SSA for the ecosystem C cycle (Lugo & Brown, 1986; Cannell & Thornley, 489 2003), e.g., whether SSA-applicable old-growth forests are quasi-neutral or large C sinks 490 (Zhou et al., 2006; Luyssaert et al., 2008). Moreover, some uncertainties from the SSA have 491 been revealed in C cycle studies; e.g., model initialization until equilibrium systematically 492

overestimated the C pools (Pietsch & Hasenauer, 2006), exhibiting a 6-fold range among 493 various global C models (Exbrayat et al., 2014). This further led to compensatory biases in 494 NEP simulation, whereas relaxing the SSA in initialization made a 92% decrease in NEP 495 errors (Carvalhais et al., 2008, 2010). Besides, key turnover parameters determined under the 496 SSA were overestimated, e.g., the decay rate of recalcitrant pools (Wutzler & Reichstein, 497 2007); this further resulted in underestimation of NEP in transient simulation, which may be 498 up to 30% even when C sinks only account for 10% of the C input in disequilibrium 499 ecosystems (Zhou et al., 2013b). It is noteworthy that these biases in pool initialization and 500 parameter inversion will propagate into the MTT estimation via the "pool/flux" method and 501 need to be determined. 502

Our study provides a new MDF framework to trace the uncertainty in turnover time 503 induced by traditional SSA through direct comparison with the realistic disequilibrium state 504 rather than conducting sensitivity experiments as reported in Carvalhais et al. (2008) or Zhou 505 et al. (2014). Additionally, we collectively consider the factors resulting in the mismatch 506 between MTT SSA I and MTT NSSA O, i.e., the pool initialization, the turnover and 507 allocation parameter inversions as well as the formulas for estimating MTT used under two 508 assumptions (Fig. 3). Via this framework, a significant underestimation in MTT SSA I was 509 observed in these sites, which may be partly explained by the overestimated turnover rates and 510 underestimated allocation to structural pools under SSA (Fig. 4). Moreover, in ecosystems 511 with substantial sinks where GPP is much higher than RE, the input-based MTT SSA I 512 should be smaller than the output-based MTT NSSA O, which might be more evident in 513 younger forests due to the intrinsic relationship between age and forest growth (Zaehle et al., 514 2006; Goulden et al., 2011). 515

To further distinguish the SSA-induced biases arising from the parameterization or the MTT estimation, we contrasted MTT\_NSSA\_O vs MTT\_SSA\_O ( $R^2 = 0.76$ , RMSE = 9.01 yr)

and MTT NSSA I vs MTT SSA I ( $R^2 = 0.74$ , RMSE = 4.41 yr) to obtain the biases that only 518 519 stem from the improper use of SSA in parameterization. We found that these biases were much higher than those induced by only using SSA in MTT estimation, i.e., MTT NSSA I vs 520 MTT NSSA O, or MTT SSA I vs MTT SSA O (Table S6). This indicated that the effect 521 of the improper SSA on parameterization was deeper than that on MTT estimation, which 522 provides a significant caveat for SSA applied especially in model optimization (e.g., Barret et 523 al., 2002; Zhou & Luo, 2008; Zhou et al., 2010, 2013b). In the future, with the accumulation 524 of spatiotemporal observations (Le Toan et al., 2011), we suggest evaluating the dynamic 525 disequilibrium state of C cycle (e.g., Bloom et al., 2016), and further quantifying and 526 reducing the SSA-induced uncertainty at large scales, especially with non-steady-state 527 528 behavior, using this proposed framework.

#### 529 4.3 Implications of SSA-induced uncertainty in MTT for C cycle research

As a key factor determining the ecosystem C sequestration capacity, the uncertainty of 530 MTT tends to dominate the uncertainty in terrestrial ecosystem C sequestration (Friend et al., 531 2014; He et al., 2016). Thus, identifying the relative contribution of this highly uncertain 532 533 ecosystem trait to C sequestration has become a hot topic in C cycle research (Todd-Brown et al., 2013; Carvalhais et al., 2014; Yan et al., 2017). We employed a systematic framework 534 and quantified that the deviation in MTT when improperly invoking SSA directly results in a 535 pronounced underestimation of ecosystem NEP (4.83-fold) in this large C uptake region. The 536 substantial underestimation of NEP found is supported by Yu et al. (2014), who revealed that 537 state-of-the-art process-based models under the SSA tended to underestimate NEP by five- to 538 seven-fold relative to eddy covariance observations in eastern Asia monsoon subtropical 539 forests. Moreover, process-based models significantly underestimated NEP compared to other 540 approaches, e.g., biomass and soil inventory, and atmospheric inversion (Piao et al., 2009). 541 542 This is mainly because the models consistently assume that the ecosystem has approached an

equilibrium state, which obviously neglects age-structure-related effects and underestimates
the turnover times at regional and global scales (Carvalhais *et al.*, 2014; Yan *et al.*, 2014;
Thurner *et al.*, 2017).

Here, we firstly reveal that the deviation in ecosystem MTT induced by SSA has a 546 clearly decreasing relationship with increasing forest age. Furthermore, the biases in 547 vegetation allocation and turnover, rather than those in soil turnover, dominate the magnitude 548 of the deviation in MTT and its dependency on forest age. This finding is most likely due to 549 the significantly stronger relationship between vegetation C turnover and stand age, whereas 550 soil C turnover is mostly affected by climatic factors (Wang et al., 2018). In addition, the 551 vegetation C partition scheme varies with stand age (Zhou et al., 2013b). The decisive role of 552 whole-vegetation turnover time in determining the uncertainty in ecosystem C storage 553 capacity has been supported by recent modelling and experimental research (Friend et al., 554 2014; Medlyn et al., 2015; Xue et al., 2017). Therefore, our results further highlight the need 555 to focus on the deviation in vegetation C turnover time under the SSA to avoid considerable 556 bias in ecosystem MTT and thus the C sequestration estimation. 557

The East Asian monsoon forest ecosystems represent one of the highest C uptake regions 558 worldwide, including mid- and high-latitude European and North American forests. 559 Particularly, the young age structure of forest stands in this region has been identified as a 560 major driver of the large NEP (Yu et al., 2014). Therefore, our result offers a significant 561 caveat for applying SSA in regions with a large portion of young ecosystems. We expect that 562 improved representations of forest age-driven growth and mortality into calibrated process-563 based models will help reduce the aforementioned biases for the C balance of ecosystems 564 regionally and globally. Additionally, our finding on the age-dependent deviation of MTT 565 could also offer an opportunity to correct the MTT OBS I at regional or global scales (e.g., 566

567 Thurner *et al.*, 2016) with spatially-explicit forest age information, thereby providing a better 568 benchmark to inform or parameterize C cycle models.

In addition to the uncertainty in the magnitude of C storage capacity, previous studies 569 have revealed that the major uncertainty in the response of ecosystem C storage to climate 570 arises from the uncertainty in the response of MTT to climate, which is 30% higher than that 571 caused by NPP (Friend et al., 2014). However, to our knowledge, this is the first attempt to 572 quantify the relationship between climate and ecosystem MTT in the disequilibrium state and 573 to discuss the differences with that at the equilibrium state. Theoretically, an ecosystem at 574 equilibrium is stable for a long time under the local climate (Luo and Weng, 2011); thus, a 575 576 relatively strong correlation can be expected between the ecosystem MTT and climate. However, it is inappropriate to invoke the ideal SSA in ecosystems at dynamic disequilibrium, 577 with the MTTs underestimated to a greater extent in young and middle-aged forests (by more 578 579 than 50%) than mature forests (less than 20%). This age-induced inconsistency in MTT underestimations disturbs the actual spatial pattern of MTT and its covariance with climate, 580 thereby leading to a decreased sensitivity of MTT to climate under the SSA. In contrast, the 581 MTT NSSA estimation based on long-term observational data in this study implicitly 582 incorporated the age-structure-related effect on C cycle dynamics, thus providing a proper 583 perspective on the actual correlation between MTT and climate. Currently, the contributions 584 of climate-driven changes in C turnover times to C storage are usually underestimated in 585 modelling studies (Hararuk et al., 2015; Koven et al., 2015, 2017). Therefore, the substantial 586 underestimation we revealed in sensitivities of MTT to temperature and precipitation induced 587 by the SSA calls for more attention in future C-climate feedback research. Under global 588 warming and changes in precipitation regimes (IPCC, 2013), the underestimated response of 589 MTT to climate will apparently underestimate the spatial and temporal changes in MTT, 590 thereby underestimating the change in predicted global NEP. Here the exchange of space for 591

time to interpret the sensitivity of MTT to climate could cause some degree of bias, as such inference cannot include certain processes like acclimation of microbial respiration to warming or shifts in plant species over time (e.g., Koven *et al.*, 2017; Yan *et al.*, 2017). Nonetheless, the present-day spatial correlation between climate and MTT approximated the temporal correlation between these variables (Fig. S5) and well supported this inference.

#### 597 4.4 Advantages and challenges of C cycle MDF based on long-term data

Carbon turnover times and C cycle dynamics are always model-dependent because of the difficulty obtaining them from observations alone under the NSSA (Sierra *et al.*, 2017). However, even the state-of-the-art models fail to accurately capture the observed C allocations and turnover processes, resulting in high uncertainties in C dynamic simulations (De Kauwe *et al.*, 2014; Negrón-Juárez *et al.*, 2015). Therefore, applying MDF technology to constrain these C states and processes becomes important for accurately estimating MTT and C sequestration in the disequilibrium state (Bloom *et al.*, 2016).

The uncertainties in the current ecosystem MTT and C sequestration estimates mainly 605 result from the lack of initial state of the C pools and inaccurate model parameters (Bellassen 606 et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2011), because C cycle modelling typically relies on pre-arranged 607 parameters retrieved from literature, prescribed PFT or spin-up processes (Exbrayat et al., 608 2014; Zhou et al., 2013b). In this study, the long-term and multi-source observations 609 combined with a series of experimental constraints directly provided the initial values of the 610 corresponding C pools and better constrained the NSSA parameters and dynamic C pool 611 trajectories (Smallman et al., 2017; Bloom and Williams, 2015), thus substantially reducing 612 the uncertainties arising from the SSA and limited data. Furthermore, insights into the 613 underlying mechanisms that regulate the ecosystem C cycle can be provided based on the key 614 process parameters, which are difficult to obtain from observations without SSA. For example, 615

we might explore how the C allocation and turnover in live and dead C respond to climate,
thereby regulating the response of the whole-ecosystem MTT to climate (Fig. S6).

The uncertainty from the model structure and observational data also induce errors in the 618 estimations of ecosystem MTT and C sequestration (Ahlström et al., 2012). However, as this 619 study aimed to compare the differences in MTTs estimated under different hypotheses with 620 the same model and data, these two factors would not undermine the main conclusions. When 621 applied at the regional scale, some external disturbances such as fire and land-use change (Erb, 622 2016); vegetation mortality dynamics affected by drought, insect pests, and frost (Thurner et 623 al., 2016); as well as the dynamic scheme of C allocation limited by resources availability 624 (Xia et al. 2015) should be added to the model. Although the model without moisture effect 625 did not significantly affect the results in these forests (Table S7), the explicit representation of 626 moisture effect may improve the model simulation when applied at large scales. Besides, 627 more underground process observations should be added in future research to better constrain 628 the corresponding parameters, e.g.,  $\theta_{min}$ , reflecting the decomposition of litter into soil. 629

In this study, we provided insights into the large biases associated with the improper 630 application of the SSA, causing considerable underestimation in the magnitudes of MTT and 631 its sensitivities to climate, and spatiotemporal variations in ecosystem C sequestration. Our 632 findings on the age-dependent uncertainty in MTT provide significant implications for the 633 implementation of mitigation policies for regional to global ecosystems with substantial 634 young plantations. Moreover, the MDF framework we developed has the potential to facilitate 635 future model intercomparisons, benchmarking and optimization at large scales, as well as to 636 effectively quantify and then reduce the uncertainty in ecosystem C sequestration by 637 estimating MTT in the disequilibrium state with long-term and multi-source observations. 638

#### 639 Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Jianyang Xia for helpful comments on the manuscript. This study was supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (grant no. 2016YFC0500204) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant no. 41571424 and 31700417). We thank the CERN staff for their dedication to observation and data processing.

645

#### 646 **References**

- Ahlström, A., Schurgers, G., Arneth, A., & Smith, B. (2012). Robustness and uncertainty in terrestrial
   ecosystem carbon response to CMIP5 climate change projections. *Environmental Research Letters*, 7(4), 044008.
- Anav, A., Friedlingstein, P., Kidston, M., Bopp, L., Ciais, P., Cox, P., ... & Zhu, Z. (2013). Evaluating
  the land and ocean components of the global carbon cycle in the CMIP5 Earth System
  Models. *Journal of Climate*, 26(18), 6801-6843.
- Barrett, D. J. (2002). Steady state turnover time of carbon in the Australian terrestrial
   biosphere. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 16(4), 55-1–55-21.
- Bellassen, V., Delbart, N., Le Maire, G., Luyssaert, S., Ciais, P., & Viovy, N. (2011). Potential
  knowledge gain in large-scale simulations of forest carbon fluxes from remotely sensed biomass
  and height. *Forest ecology and management*, 261(3), 515-530.
- Bloom, A. A., & Williams, M. (2015). Constraining ecosystem carbon dynamics in a data-limited
  world: integrating ecological" common sense" in a model-data fusion framework. *Biogeosciences*, 12(5), 1299-1315.
- Bloom, A. A., Exbrayat, J. F., Ir, V. D. V., Feng, L., & Williams, M. (2016). The decadal state of the
  terrestrial carbon cycle: global retrievals of terrestrial carbon allocation, pools, and residence
  times. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 113*(5), 1285-1290.
- Bolin, B., & Rodhe, H. (1973). A note on the concepts of age distribution and transit time in natural
   reservoirs. *Tellus*, 25(1), 58-62.
- Carvalhais, N., Forkel, M., Khomik, M., Bellarby, J., Jung, M., Migliavacca, M., ... & Weber, U.
  (2014). Global covariation of carbon turnover times with climate in terrestrial
  ecosystems. *Nature*, 514(7521), 213-217.
- Carvalhais, N., Reichstein, M., Ciais, P., Collatz, G. J., Mahecha, M. D., Montagnani, L., ... & Seixas,
   J. (2010). Identification of vegetation and soil carbon pools out of equilibrium in a process model
   via eddy covariance and biometric constraints. *Global Change Biology*, *16*(10), 2813-2829.
- Carvalhais, N., Reichstein, M., Seixas, J., Collatz, G. J., Pereira, J. S., Berbigier, P., ... & Rambal, S.
  (2008). Implications of the carbon cycle steady state assumption for biogeochemical modeling
  performance and inverse parameter retrieval. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 22(2), 1081-1085.
- Chen, G. S., Yang, Y. S., Ping-Ping, L., & Zhang, Y. P. (2008). Regional patterns of soil respiration in
   china's forests. *Acta Ecologica Sinica*, 28(4):1748-1761.
- Chen, S., Huang, Y., Zou, J. and Shi, Y. (2013). Mean residence time of global topsoil organic carbon
   depends on temperature, precipitation and soil nitrogen. *Global and Planetary Change*, 100, 99 108.
- Galbraith, D., Malhi, Y., Affum-Baffoe, K., Castanho, A. D., Doughty, C. E., Fisher, R. A., ... &
  Sonké, B. (2013). Residence times of woody biomass in tropical forests. *Plant Ecology & Diversity*, 6(1), 139-157.
- De Kauwe, M. G., Medlyn, B. E., Zaehle, S., Walker, A. P., Dietze, M. C., Wang, Y. P., ... & Wårlind,
   D. (2014). Where does the carbon go? A model-data intercomparison of vegetation carbon
- allocation and turnover processes at two temperate forest free air CO2 enrichment sites. *New Phytologist*, 203(3), 883-899.
- Exbrayat, J. F., Pitman, A. J., & Abramowitz, G. (2014). Response of microbial decomposition to
  spin-up explains CMIP5 soil carbon range until 2100. *Geoscientific Model Development*, 7(6),
  2683-2692.
- Fox, A., Williams, M., Richardson, A. D., Cameron, D., Gove, J. H., Quaife, T., ... & Van Wijk, M. T.
   (2009). The REFLEX project: comparing different algorithms and implementations for the
   inversion of a terrestrial ecosystem model against eddy covariance data. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 149(10), 1597-1615.
- Frank, D. A., Pontes, A. W. and McFarlane, K. J. (2012). Controls on soil organic carbon stocks and
   turnover among North American ecosystems. *Ecosystems*, 15(4), 604-615
- Friedlingstein, P., Cox, P., Betts, R., Bopp, L., von Bloh, W., Brovkin, V., ... & Bala, G. (2006).
   Climate–carbon cycle feedback analysis: results from the C<sup>4</sup>MIP model intercomparison. *Journal* of climate, 19(14), 3337-3353.

- Friend, A. D., Lucht, W., Rademacher, T. T., Keribin, R., Betts, R., Cadule, P., ... & Ito, A. (2014).
  Carbon residence time dominates uncertainty in terrestrial vegetation responses to future climate
  and atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 111(9), 3280-3285.
- Gill, R. A. and Jackson, R. B. (2000). Global patterns of root turnover for terrestrial ecosystems. *The New Phytologist*, 147(1), 13-31.
- Goulden, M. L., McMillan, A. M. S., Winston, G. C., Rocha, A. V., Manies, K. L., Harden, J. W., &
- Bond Lamberty, B. P. (2011). Patterns of NPP, GPP, respiration, and NEP during boreal forest
   succession. *Global Change Biology*, *17*(2), 855-871.
- Hararuk, O., Smith, M. J., & Luo, Y. (2015). Microbial models with data-driven parameters predict
   stronger soil carbon responses to climate change. *Global Change Biology*, *21*(6), 2439–2453.
- He, Y., Trumbore, S. E., Torn, M. S., Harden, J. W., Vaughn, L. J., Allison, S. D., & Randerson, J. T.
  (2016). Radiocarbon constraints imply reduced carbon uptake by soils during the 21st century. *Science*, 353(6306), 1419-1424.
- Huang, S., Arain, M. A., Arora, V. K., Yuan, F., Brodeur, J., & Peichl, M. (2011). Analysis of
   nitrogen controls on carbon and water exchanges in a conifer forest using the CLASS-CTEMN+
   model. *Ecological modelling*, 222(20-22), 3743-3760.
- IPCC, 2013: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group 1 to
  the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Stocker, T. F., D.
  Qin, G. K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S. K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex, and P. M.
  Midgley (Eds.). Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- Ji, J. J. (1995). A climate-vegetation interaction model: Simulating physical and biological processes
   at the surface, *Journal of Biogeography*, 22, 2063 2069, doi:10.2307/2845941.
- Karhu, K., Fritze, H., Tuomi, M., Vanhala, P., Spetz, P., Kitunen, V., & Liski, J. (2010). Temperature
   sensitivity of organic matter decomposition in two boreal forest soil profiles. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 42(1), 72-82.
- Koven, C. D., Chambers, J. Q., Georgiou, K., Knox, R., Negron-Juarez, R., Riley, W. J., ... & Jones,
  C. D. (2015). Controls on terrestrial carbon feedbacks by productivity versus turnover in the
  CMIP5 Earth System Models. *Biogeosciences*, 12(17), 5211-5228.
- Koven, C. D., Hugelius, G., Lawrence, D. M., & Wieder, W. R. (2017). Higher climatological
  temperature sensitivity of soil carbon in cold than warm climates. *Nature Climate Change*, 7(11),
  817-822.
- Law, B. E., Thornton, P. E., Irvine, J., Anthoni, P. M., & Van, T. S. (2001). Carbon storage and fluxes
  in ponderosa pine forests at different developmental stages. *Global Change Biology*, 7(7), 755777.
- Le Quéré, C., Andrew, R. M., Friedlingstein, P., Sitch, S., Pongratz, J., Manning, A. C., ... & Boden,
   T. A. (2018). Global Carbon Budget 2017. *Earth System Science Data*, 10(1), 405-448.
- Le Toan, T., Quegan, S., Davidson, M. W. J., Balzter, H., Paillou, P., Papathanassiou, K., ... &
  Ulander, L. (2011). The BIOMASS mission: Mapping global forest biomass to better understand
  the terrestrial carbon cycle. *Remote sensing of environment*, *115*(11), 2850-2860.
- Li, C., He, H. L., Liu, M., Su, W., Fu, Y. L., Zhang, L. M., ... & Yu, G. R. (2008). The design and
  application of CO2 flux data processing system at ChinaFLUX. *Geo-Information Science*, 10(5),
  557-565.
- Li, X. J., Zhou, T., & He, X. Z. (2009). Carbon sink of forest ecosystem driven by NPP increasing in
   China. *Journal of Natural Resources*, 24(3), 491-497.
- Liu, H., Tang, L. Q., Hu. B., Liu, G. R., Wang, Y. S., Bai, F., ... & Zhu, Y. J. (2017a).
  Photosynthetically active radiation dataset in Chinese Ecosystem Research Network (2005 2015). *China Scientific Data*, 2(1), 1-10.
- Liu, Y., He, N., Zhu, J., Xu, L., Yu, G., Niu, S., ... & Wen, X. (2017b). Regional variation in the temperature sensitivity of soil organic matter decomposition in China's forests and grasslands. *Global change biology*, 23(8), 3393-3402.
- Lugo, A. E., & Brown, S. (1986). Steady state terrestrial ecosystems and the global carbon cycle.
   *Vegetatio*, 68(2), 83-90.

- Luo, Y., & Weng, E. (2011). Dynamic disequilibrium of the terrestrial carbon cycle under global
   change. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 26(2), 96-104.
- Luo, Y., Shi, Z., Lu, X., Xia, J., Liang, J., Jiang, J., ... & Chen, B. (2017). Transient dynamics of
   terrestrial carbon storage: mathematical foundation and numeric examples. *Biogeosciences*,
   14(1), 145-161.
- Luo, Y., White, L. W., Canadell, J. G., DeLucia, E. H., Ellsworth, D. S., Finzi, A., ... & Schlesinger,
  W. H. (2003). Sustainability of terrestrial carbon sequestration: a case study in Duke Forest with
  inversion approach. *Global biogeochemical cycles*, *17*(1), 1021. DOI: 10.1029/2002GB001923.
- Luyssaert, S., Schulze, E. D., Börner, A., Knohl, A., Hessenmöller, D., Law, B. E., ... & Grace, J.
  (2008). Old-growth forests as global carbon sinks. *Nature*, 455(7210), 213-215.
- Mantgem, P. J. V., Stephenson, N. L., Byrne, J. C., Daniels, L. D., Franklin, J. F., Fulé, P. Z., ... &
  Veblen, T. T. (2009). Widespread increase of tree mortality rates in the western united states. *Science*, 323(5913), 521-524.
- Manzoni, S., and A. Porporato (2009). Soil carbon and nitrogen mineralization: Theory and models
   across scales. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 41(7), 1355-1379.
- Medlyn, B. E., Zaehle, S., De Kauwe, M. G., Walker, A. P., Dietze, M. C., Hanson, P. J., ... &
   Prentice, I. C. (2015). Using ecosystem experiments to improve vegetation models. *Nature Climate Change*, 5(6), 528-534.
- Mi, N., Yu, G., Wang, P., Wen, X. and Sun, X., 2006. A preliminary study for spatial representiveness
   of flux observation at ChinaFLUX sites. *Sci. China Ser. D-Earth Sci.*, 49(2): 24-35.
- Morales, P., Sykes, M. T., Prentice, I. C., Smith, P., Smith, B., Bugmann, H., ... & Sánchez, A. (2005).
   Comparing and evaluating process based ecosystem model predictions of carbon and water
   fluxes in major European forest biomes. *Global Change Biology*, *11*(12), 2211-2233.
- Negrón-juárez, R. I., Koven, C. D., Riley, W. J., Knox, R. G., & Chambers, J. Q. (2015). Observed allocations of productivity and biomass, and turnover times in tropical forests are not accurately represented in cmip5 earth system models. *Environmental Research Letters, 10*(6), 064017.
- Odum, E. P. (1966). The strategy of ecosystem development. *Science*, 164, 262–270.
- Pan, Y. D., Birdsey, R. A., Fang, J. Y., Houghton, R., Kauppi, P. E., Kurz, W. A., ...Hayes, D. (2011).
  A large and persistent carbon sink in the world's forests. *Science*, *333*, 988–993.
- Piao, S., Fang, J., Ciais, P., Peylin, P., Huang, Y., Sitch, S., & Wang, T. (2009). The carbon balance of
   terrestrial ecosystems in china. *Nature*, 458(7241), 1009-1013.
- Piao, S., Luyssaert, S., Ciais, P., Janssens, I. A., Chen, A., Cao, C., ... & Wang, S. (2010). Forest annual carbon cost: a global-scale analysis of autotrophic respiration. *Ecology*, *91*(3), 652-661.
- Pietsch, S. A., & Hasenauer, H. (2006). Evaluating the self initialization procedure for large scale
   ecosystem models. *Global Change Biology*, *12*(9), 1658-1669.
- Post, W. M., J. Pastor, P. J. Zinke, and A. G. Stangenberger (1985). Global patterns of soil nitrogen storage, *Nature*, *317*(6038), 613 616, doi:10.1038/317613a0.
- Reich, P. B., Luo, Y. J., Bradford, J. B., Poorter, H., Perry, C. H., & Oleksyn, J.(2014). Temperature
   drives global patterns in forest biomass distribution in leaves, stems, and roots. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 111(38), 13721–13727.
- Richardson, A. D., Williams, M., Hollinger, D. Y., Moore, D. J., Dail, D. B., Davidson, E. A., ... &
   Rodrigues, C. (2010). Estimating parameters of a forest ecosystem c model with measurements of
   stocks and fluxes as joint constraints. *Oecologia*, 164(1), 25-40.
- Rodhe, H. (1978). Budgets and turn-over times of atmospheric sulfur compounds. Sulfur in the
   Atmosphere, 12(1-3), 671-680.
- Safta, C., Ricciuto, D. M., Sargsyan, K., Debusschere, B., Najm, H. N., Williams, M., & Thornton, P.
   E. (2015). Global sensitivity analysis, probabilistic calibration, and predictive assessment for the
   data assimilation linked ecosystem carbon model. *Geoscientific Model Development Discussions*,
   800 8(7), 6893-6948.
- Sanderman, J., Amundson, R. G. and Baldocchi, D. D. (2003). Application of eddy covariance
   measurements to the temperature dependence of soil organic matter mean residence time. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 17(2), 30-1.
- Schwartz, S. E. (1979). Residence times in reservoirs under non-steady-state conditions: application to
   atmospheric so2 and aerosol sulfate1. *Tellus*, 31(6), 530-547.

- Sierra, C. A., Müller, M., Metzler, H., Manzoni, S., & Trumbore, S. E. (2017). The muddle of ages,
  turnover, transit, and residence times in the carbon cycle. *Global Change Biology*, 23(5), 17631773.
- Sitch, S., Smith, B., Prentice, I. C., Arneth, A., Bondeau, A., Cramer, W., ... & Thonicke, K. (2003).
  Evaluation of ecosystem dynamics, plant geography and terrestrial carbon cycling in the LPJ
  dynamic global vegetation model. *Global Change Biology*, 9(2), 161-185.
- Smallman, T. L., Exbrayat, J. F., Mencuccini, M., Bloom, A. A., & Williams, M. (2017). Assimilation
   of repeated woody biomass observations constrains decadal ecosystem carbon cycle uncertainty
   in aggrading forests. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences, 122*(3), 528-545.
- Strand, A. E., Pritchard, S. G., McCormack, M. L., Davis, M. A., & Oren, R. (2008). Irreconcilable
   differences: fine-root life spans and soil carbon persistence. *Science*, *319*(5862), 456-458.
- Taylor, K. E., Stouffer, R. J., & Meehl, G. A. (2012). An overview of cmip5 and the experiment
   design. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 93(4), 485-498.
- Thurner, M., Beer, C., Carvalhais, N., Forkel, M., Santoro, M., Tum, M., & Schmullius, C. (2016).
  Large-scale variation in boreal and temperate forest carbon turnover rate is related to climate. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 43(9), 4576-4585.
- Thurner, M., Beer, C., Ciais, P., Friend, A. D., Ito, A., Kleidon, A., ... & Tum, M. (2017). Evaluation
  of climate-related carbon turnover processes in global vegetation models for boreal and temperate
  forests. *Global Change Biology*, 23(8), 3076-3091.
- Todd-Brown, K. E. O., Randerson, J. T., Post, W. M., Hoffman, F. M., Tarnocai, C., Schuur, E. A. G.,
  & Allison, S. D. (2013). Causes of variation in soil carbon simulations from CMIP5 Earth system
  models and comparison with observations. *Biogeosciences*, 10(13), 1717–1736.
- Trumbore, S. (2006). Carbon respired by terrestrial ecosystems-recent progress and challenges.
   *Global Change Biology*, *12*(2), 141-153.
- Wang, J., Sun, J., Xia, J., He, N., Li, M., & Niu, S. (2018). Soil and vegetation carbon turnover times
  from tropical to boreal forests. *Functional Ecology*, *32*(1), 71-82.
- Wang, W., Dungan, J., Hashimoto, H., Michaelis, A. R., Milesi, C., Ichii, K., & Nemani, R. R. (2011).
  Diagnosing and assessing uncertainties of the carbon cycle in terrestrial ecosystem models from a
  multi-model ensemble experiment. *Global Change Biology*, *17*(3), 1350-1366.
- Williams, M., Schwarz, P. A., Law, B. E., Irvine, J., & Kurpius, M. R. (2005). An improved analysis
  of forest carbon dynamics using data assimilation. *Global Change Biology*, 11(1), 89-105.
- Wutzler, T., & Reichstein, M. (2007). Soils apart from equilibrium? consequences for soil carbon
  balance modelling. *Biogeosciences*, 4, 125–136.
- Xia, J., Chen, Y., Liang, S., Liu, D., & Yuan, W. (2015). Global simulations of carbon allocation
  coefficients for deciduous vegetation types. *Tellus Series B-chemical & Physical Meteorology*,
  67(2), 28016.
- Xia, J., Luo, Y., Wang, Y. P., & Hararuk, O. (2013). Traceable components of terrestrial carbon
  storage capacity in biogeochemical models. *Global Change Biology*, 19(7), 2104-2116.
- Xu T, White L, Hui D, Luo Y. (2006). Probabilistic inversion of a terrestrial ecosystem model:
   Analysis of uncertainty in parameter estimation and model prediction. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 20*(2), doi:10.1029/2005GB002468.
- Xue, B. L., Guo, Q., Hu, T., Xiao, J., Yang, Y., Wang, G., ... & Zhao, X. (2017). Global patterns of
  woody residence time and its influence on model simulation of aboveground biomass. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, *31*(5), 821-835.
- Yan, Y., Luo, Y., Zhou, X., & Chen, J. (2014). Sources of variation in simulated ecosystem carbon
  storage capacity from the 5th Climate Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP5). *Tellus B: Chemical and Physical Meteorology*, 66(1), 22568.
- Yan, Y., Zhou, X., Jiang, L., & Luo, Y. (2017). Effects of carbon turnover time on terrestrial
   ecosystem carbon storage. *Biogeosciences*, 14(23), 5441.
- Yu, G., Chen, Z., Piao, S., Peng, C., Ciais, P., Wang, Q., ... & Zhu, X. (2014). High carbon dioxide
  uptake by subtropical forest ecosystems in the East Asian monsoon region. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 111*(13), 4910-4915.

- Zaehle, S., Sitch, S., Prentice, I. C., Liski, J., Cramer, W., Erhard, M., ... & Smith, B. (2006). The
  importance of age related decline in forest NPP for modeling regional carbon balances. *Ecological Applications*, 16(4), 1555-1574.
- Zhang, C., Ju, W., Chen, J. M., Li, D., Wang, X., Fan, W., ... & Zan, M. (2014). Mapping forest stand
  age in China using remotely sensed forest height and observation data. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, 119(6), 1163-1179.
- Zhang, L., Luo, Y., Yu, G., & Zhang, L. (2010). Estimated carbon residence times in three forest
   ecosystems of eastern China: Applications of probabilistic inversion. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences, 115*(G1):137-147.
- Zhang, X., & Wu, K. (2001). Fine-root production and turnover for forest ecosystems. *Scientia Silvae Sinicae*, *37*(3), 126-138.
- Zheng, Z. M., Yu, G. R., Sun, X. M., Li, S. G., Wang, Y. S., Wang, Y. H., ... & Wang, Q. F. (2010).
  Spatio-temporal variability of soil respiration of forest ecosystems in China: influencing factors and evaluation model. *Environmental management*, 46(4), 633-642.
- Zhou, G., Liu, S., Li, Z., Zhang, D., Tang, X., Zhou, C., ... & Mo, J. (2006). Old-growth forests can
  accumulate carbon in soils. *Science*, *314*(5804), 1417-1417.
- 874 Zhou, G., Peng, C., Li, Y., Liu, S., Zhang, Q., Tang, X., ... & Chu, G. (2013a). A climate change -
- induced threat to the ecological resilience of a subtropical monsoon evergreen broad leaved
  forest in Southern China. *Global Change Biology*, *19*(4), 1197-1210.
- Zhou, T., & Luo, Y. (2008). Spatial patterns of ecosystem carbon residence time and NPP driven
  carbon uptake in the conterminous United States. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 22(3), 34113434.
- Zhou, T., Shi, P., Jia, G., & Luo, Y. (2013b). Nonsteady state carbon sequestration in forest
  ecosystems of china estimated by data assimilation. *Journal of Geophysical Research Biogeosciences*, 118(4), 1369-1384.
- Zhou, T., Shi, P., Jia, G., Li, X., & Luo, Y. (2010). Spatial patterns of ecosystem carbon residence
  time in Chinese forests. *Science China Earth Sciences*, 53(8), 1229-1240.
- Zhu, G., Ju, W., Chen, J. M., Gong, P., Xing, B., & Zhu, J. (2012). Foliage clumping index over
  China's landmass retrieved from the MODIS BRDF parameters product. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 50(6), 2122-2137.
- Zobitz, J., A. Desai, D. Moore, and M. Chadwick (2011), A primer for data assimilation with
   ecological models using Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC). *Oecologia*, 167(3), 599–611.

#### 890 **Figure captions**

- 891 Figure 1. Map showing the distribution of 10 forest ecosystems in the Chinese Ecosystem Research Network (CERN). BNF: Xishuangbanna tropical seasonal rainforest, HSF: Heshan subtropical 892 evergreen broad-leaved forest, DHF: Dinghu Mountain subtropical evergreen coniferous and 893 894 broad-leaved mixed forest, ALF: Ailao subtropical evergreen broad-leaved forest, QYF: Qianyanzhou subtropical evergreen artificial coniferous mixed forest, HTF: Huitong subtropical 895 evergreen broad-leaved forest, SNF: Shennongjia subtropical evergreen deciduous broad-leaved 896 mixed forest, MXF: Maoxian warm temperate deciduous coniferous mixed forest, BJF: Beijing 897 warm temperate deciduous broad-leaved mixed forest, CBF: Changbai Mountain temperate 898 deciduous coniferous and broad-leaved mixed forest. 899
- Figure 2. Structures of the Data Assimilation Linked Ecosystem Carbon (DALEC)-evergreen model
   (grey) and the DALEC-deciduous model (grey and black). Dotted arrows show the inputs into the
   photosynthesis model.
- Figure 3. Flow chart of the model data fusion framework under the steady state assumption (SSA) and
   non-steady state assumption (NSSA).
- Figure 4. Optimized key parameters involved in the allocation and turnover processes under the non steady state assumption (NSSA) and steady state assumption (SSA) at 10 sites along a decreasing
   latitudinal gradient. The black and grey boxes denote NSSA and SSA, respectively.

- Figure 5. Comparisons between the observed and modelled values at all sites under the non-steady
   state (NSSA: black dots) and steady state (SSA: red dots) assumptions
- Figure 6. Magnitude of ecosystem C turnover times under the equilibrium and disequilibrium
  hypotheses. The black, light-grey, and dark grey boxes denote the inversion-based MTT under
  non-steady state (MTT\_NSSA\_O), inversion-based MTT under steady state (MTT\_SSA\_I), and
  observation-based MTT under steady state (MTT\_OBS\_I), respectively.
- Figure 7. Relationships between forest age and differences of the entire-ecosystem MTT ( $\Delta$ MTT) as well as wood turnover rates ( $\Delta \theta_{woo}$ ) estimated under the steady state assumption (SSA) and nonsteady state assumption (NSSA) hypotheses.
- Figure 8. Associations of mean carbon turnover times with temperature and precipitation under the steady state assumption (SSA, grey triangles) and non-steady state assumption (NSSA, black dots).
- Figure 9. Comparison of net ecosystem productivity (NEP) estimated with the parameters inverted under the steady state assumption (SSA) and non-steady state assumption (NSSA) in 10 forest ecosystems of different ages