1	North by northwest: climate change and directions of density shifts in birds
2	
3	Running head: Climate change and direction of density shift
4	
5	Aleksi Lehikoinen ^{1*} & Raimo Virkkala ²
6	
7	¹ The Helsinki Lab of Ornithology, Finnish Museum of Natural History, University of
8	Helsinki, P. O. Box 17, FI-00014 University of Helsinki, Finland.
9	² Finnish Environment Institute, Natural Environment Centre, Mechelininkatu 34 a,
10	P.O. Box 140, FI-00251 Helsinki, Finland.
11	* corresponding author: email-address: <u>aleksi.lehikoinen@helsinki.fi</u> , Tel.
12	+358451375732.
13	
14	Abstract
15	
16	There is increasing evidence that climate change shifts species distributions towards
17	poles and mountain tops. However, most studies are based on presence-absence data,
18	and either abundance or the observation effort have rarely been measured. In addition,
19	hardly any studies have investigated the direction of shifts and factors affecting them.
20	Here we show using count data on a 1000 km south-north gradient in Finland, that
21	between 1970–1989 and 2000–2012, 128 bird species shifted their densities, on
22	average, 37 kilometres towards the north northeast. The species-specific directions of
23	the shifts in density were significantly explained by migration behaviour and habitat
24	type. Although the temperatures have also moved on average towards the north
25	northeast (186 kilometres), the species-specific directions of the shifts in density and

26	temperature did not correlate due to high variation in density shifts. Findings highlight
27	that climate change is unlikely the only driver of the direction of species density
28	shifts, but species-specific characteristics and human land use practices are also
29	influencing the direction. Furthermore, the alarming results show that former climatic
30	conditions in the northwest corner of Finland have already moved out of the country.
31	This highlights the need for an international approach in research and conservation
32	actions to mitigate the impacts of climate change.
33	
34	Keywords: distribution changes, ecological traits, global warming, habitat selection,
35	monitoring censuses, species distribution models.
36	

40 Understanding the impact of climate change on species populations is a fundamental 41 question to mitigate the effects of changing climate. There is an increasing body of 42 literature showing that species of various taxonomic groups including plants, 43 invertebrates and vertebrates in both northern and southern hemisphere of the globe 44 have shifted their distribution during both summer and winter season likely due to 45 climate change (Parmesan et al., 1999; Parmesan & Yohe, 2003; Hickling, et al., 46 2006; Kelly & Goulden, 2008; Zuckerberg et al., 2009; Booth et al., 2011; Chen et 47 al., 2011; La Sorte & Jetz, 2012; Breed et al., 2013; Pearce-Higgins & Green, 2014). 48 Although there is some evidence that species-specific characteristics, such as habitat 49 preferences, affect how species are responding to climate change (Pöyry et al., 2009) 50 it is still largely poorly known what factors drive the variation in species-specific 51 responses. 52 Distribution changes are typically examined at the edges of the distribution 53 using presence-absence data often ignoring the importance of survey effort (Kujala et 54 al., 2013), whereas changes in the central gravity of the populations using abundance 55 data has much less frequently been examined (Maclean et al., 2008; Lehikoinen et al., 56 2013; Virkkala & Lehikoinen, 2014). Furthermore, majority of the studies have only 57 investigated shifts directly towards the poles despite the fact that isotherms are rarely 58 placed linearly along latitudes in nature (Huntley et al., 2007, see also Fig. 1). 59 Therefore, predicted distribution changes of species have also been suggested to occur 60 nonlinearly towards the poles (Huntley et al., 2007; Burrows et al., 2013). 61 Interestingly, the direction of the distribution changes has often been neglected 62 (Gillings *et al.*, 2015). This could underestimate the distance in species distribution

changes (Gillings *et al.*, 2015) as most of the earlier studies have often looked at only
on one dimension of the shift (e.g. Thomas & Lennon, 1999; Thomas, 2010).

65 Hockey *et al.* (2011) studied the direction of the species distribution changes in 66 South Africa using only cardinal directions. On the other hand, Gillings et al. (2015) 67 investigated the actual direction of the shift using presence-absence data from UK. In 68 view of conservation, it is more important to investigate the changes in species 69 abundance than occurrence only, as presence-absence data can mask changes in 70 species abundance (Virkkala & Lehikoinen, 2014). To our knowledge, the directions 71 of the species' density shifts using long-term abundance data over decades have not 72 been investigated before. However, Tayleur et al. (2015) investigated directions of density shifts of Swedish birds in 21st century and found that shifts were poorly 73 74 connected with climatic variables. Furthermore, the impact of potential other 75 ecological factors than climate on the species-specific variation in the direction of the 76 density shifts have not been examined.

77 Here we investigate, based on 128 Finnish birds species, the general direction in 78 shifts of species' central gravity of abundance (hereafter density shift), and whether it 79 is linked with the direction of the temperature change. Our hypothesis is that 80 directions of the species-specific temperature and density changes would correlate to 81 support the impact of climate change as the key driver of distribution changes. 82 Furthermore, we investigate whether the direction of the shift differs between 83 functional ecological species groups. We compare density shifts in 147 50-km grid 84 cells between two periods: 1970–1989 and 2000–2012.

We used three different categorizations of species: (i) distribution type, (ii) habitat type and (iii) migration strategy. We used these groups, because (i) northern species have shifted their densities toward the north at a faster rate than southern

88 species (Virkkala & Lehikoinen, 2014). We therefore predict that northern species 89 would show density shifts towards more northerly directions than southern ones, and 90 the more diverse distribution of directions among southern species would thus explain 91 the slower speed of density shifts. (ii) Potential habitats for farmland, urban and 92 montane species are highly restricted, and the first two ones are highly influenced by 93 human activities, as e.g. farmland practices have strongly affected farmland bird 94 population trends in Europe including Finland in recent decades (Donald et al., 2001; 95 Laaksonen & Lehikoinen, 2013). Our hypothesis is that forest species would show 96 density shifts to more northerly directions than farmland and urban species. Arable 97 land in Central Finland is concentrated especially on western part of the country 98 (Ostrobothnia area), which could cause a more western direction of density shifts in 99 farmland species compared to other species groups. (iii) In residents and partial 100 migrants a substantial proportion of the individuals remain in the breeding areas and 101 can thus better track directly changes in their breeding environment compared to 102 migratory birds, which are also affected by changes on their migratory route and 103 wintering grounds. European long-distance migrants especially have declined 104 substantially in recent decades (Sanderson et al., 2006; Laaksonen & Lehikoinen, 105 2013). In addition to migration distance, the migration direction may affect the 106 direction of the density shift. Finnish birds have several migration directions from 107 West Africa to East Asia, and since species are migrating from different directions, 108 this can affect their direction of the density shift. We predict that southwest, south and 109 southeast migrants would show density shifts towards northeast, north and northwest, 110 respectively.

111

115 Census data and calculation of relative densities

117	Line transects have been conducted in Finland regularly since the 1970's (Virkkala &
118	Lehikoinen, 2014). The line transects are one-visit censuses in which birds are
119	counted along a transect with a length typically 3–6 km, and the locations of the
120	transects have been placed on a map in advance (Lehikoinen et al, 2014; Virkkala &
121	Lehikoinen, 2014). The methodology is suitable for counting birds over large areas,
122	and the line transect census can be used to investigate relative densities of species
123	(Järvinen & Väisänen, 1975, 1981). The census period is June and the counts are
124	carried out earlier in southern Finland (between June 1-20) compared to northern
125	Finland (between June 10–30) due to later breeding phenology in northern latitudes.
126	Transects were censused during early morning, when the singing activity of birds is
127	highest in dry weather conditions. The observer walks alone at a speed of 45-60
128	min/km depending on the density of birds along the route using a map, compass or
129	GPS. Each observation is classified as one of the five following categories: (i) singing
130	or displaying, (ii) other calls, (iii) sightings (male, female, pair, brood or nest), (iv)
131	flying bird and (v) flying flock. Flocks are transformed into pairs, normally by
132	dividing by two (male and female) plus the mean species-specific brood size in case
133	of brood flocks. The census unit is a pair of birds, not an individual; thus a male and a
134	female seen separately or together, or a parent with offspring, is transformed into one
135	pair (see Järvinen et al., 1991). The line transect is divided into a main belt and a
136	supplementary belt. The main belt is 50 m wide (25 m on both sides of the transect
137	line) and the supplementary belt is beyond the main belt as far as birds can be

138 detected. Every observation is placed either on the main belt or on the supplementary 139 belt. Birds crossing the main belt belong to the supplementary belt even if first 140 observed above the main belt. Together, the main belt and the supplementary belt 141 form the survey belt. An earlier study showed that species-specific annual proportions 142 of displaying birds and birds in the main belt were stable during 1987–2010, which 143 indicates that there are no major changes in species detectability (Lehikoinen, 2013). 144 We divided Finland into 50-km grids and calculated how many kilometres of 145 line transects have been conducted in each grid during the two different periods, 146 1970–1989 and 2000–2012 (on average 25 years apart). We omitted the 1990s, since 147 this period has slightly poorer coverage than other decades in some parts of the 148 country. Nevertheless, the decadal data has shown that abundances of the 94 most 149 common species shifted progressively toward higher latitudes (Virkkala & 150 Lehikoinen, 2014). Altogether 147 grid cells, covering most parts of the country, 151 included at least 10 kilometres of line transects during both the periods (see Fig. 1a). 152 During our study periods of 1970–1989 and 2000–2012, altogether 303,647 and 153 490,474 pairs of birds were observed, respectively. 128 species having at least 20 154 observations during both periods were included in the analyses (Supplementary 155 Table 1). 156 We calculated species-specific densities for each grid, based on the number of 157 pair observations and the length of the line transects in each block and species-158 specific correction coefficients (Järvinen & Väisänen, 1983). The relative density of a

species (D, pairs/km², hereafter density) based on the Finnish line transect census was
calculated as:

 $161 \quad \mathbf{D} = \mathbf{K} \times \mathbf{N}/\mathbf{L},\tag{1}$

162	where $K =$ species-specific correction coefficient, $N =$ numbers of pair observations
163	of a species on the whole survey belt, and $L =$ transect length (in km). Species-
164	specific correction coefficients are based on distance sampling, where ratios of bird
165	observations on the main belt to those on the supplementary belt are used to calculate
166	densities of species in a larger (survey belt) line transect (Järvinen & Väisänen, 1983).
167	We used the earlier published correction coefficients (Virkkala & Lehikoinen, 2014,
168	Supplementary Table 1) and, using the same data, calculated correction coefficients
169	for the additional 34 species that were not included in the earlier study
170	(Supplementary Table 1).
171	Järvinen & Väisänen (1983) have presented correction coefficients based on
172	data prior to the 1980s. However, since then a lot of new data have been gathered, so
173	we have revised the coefficients. We calculated species-specific correction
174	coefficients for southern and northern Finland (divided by the 710 latitude in the
175	Finnish coordinate system) and for the whole country based on the whole of the
176	Finnish monitoring data since the 1970s, when both the main belt and the
177	supplementary belt had been used. The species-specific correction coefficient (K) was
178	calculated as (Järvinen & Väisänen, 1983):
179	$K = 40 - 40 \sqrt{(1-p)}, \tag{2}$
180	where $p = proportion$ of main belt observations (range $0-1$); for details of the
181	calculations, see Järvinen (1976) and Järvinen & Väisänen (1975, 1976a, 1983).
182	Observability of species is also affected by the overall density of bird specimens. The
183	higher the total bird density, the lower the observability on the supplementary belt
184	(see Järvinen & Väisänen, 1976b). This can be corrected by studying the number of
185	observations on the main belt. Therefore we also used density dependent correction

186 coefficient (y) calculated as (see Järvinen & Väisänen, 1983):

 $187 \quad y = 0.0320x + 0.684$

(3)

where x is the number of main belt observations of all species per km. The correction
coefficient (y) receives values above one in regions of high main belt density and
below one in regions of low density. Thus, the density values calculated (equation 1)
were multiplied by the density dependent correction coefficient (y) (Järvinen &
Väisänen, 1983). The density dependent correction coefficient was calculated
separately for each 50-km square for both periods.

194 We used these grid-specific densities to calculate arithmetic central gravity of 195 densities for each species during both the periods. This was done by first calculating 196 the northern latitude using mean densities per each latitude grid row (Virkkala & 197 Lehikoinen, 2014) and then calculating the longitude using mean densities per each 198 grid column (species-specific density maps and central gravity of densities are shown 199 in Supplementary Figs 1–128). In addition, gravity of densities was affected by the 200 location of censuses inside each grid. The point of each grid cell used in the analyses 201 was calculated based on mean coordinates of all line transects conducted in the grid 202 during that particular period. We preferred arithmetic mean instead of median or 203 geometric mean, since using median the shift would be occurring mainly on grid level 204 in compass points and intercardinal directions, whereas arithmetic mean allows higher 205 resolution in direction of the shifts. We declined to use geometric mean, since many 206 grid cells have zero values which complicates the calculation of geometric mean. 207 Based on latitude and longitude it was possible to calculate direction and 208 distance of the density shift of species between the two periods. Direction in degrees 209 could be calculated using inverse hyperbolic tangent and distance using Pythagoras' 210 Theorem.

211 We divided species into groups using four different classifications 212 (Supplementary Table 1). First, we divided species into groups based on their general 213 distribution (southern edge and northern edge species and species which occur in the 214 larger part of country). This was done using the bird atlas data from Finland from 215 2006–2010 (see Valkama et al., 2011). Southern and northern species, which had 216 been observed in less than 20% of the grid cells in Finland during this atlas period, 217 were classified as edge species. Rest were classified into one group that inhabit larger 218 geographic areas. Second, we divided species into four categories based on their 219 habitat use: farmland-urban, forest, wetlands (including rocky outcrops) and montane 220 (Virkkala et al., 1994; Väisänen et al., 1998; Laaksonen & Lehikoinen, 2013). Third, 221 species were classified into four groups based on their migration distance (residents, 2.2.2 partial migrants, short- and long-distance migrants (Cramp et al., 1977–1994; 223 Valkama et al., 2014). We first divided species into two groups, residents-partial 224 migrants and true migrants (short- and long-distance migrants) and later performed a 225 more detailed analysis where all four groups were included. Last, we divided species 226 into three groups based on their main migration direction: southwest (wintering in 227 West Europe and West Africa), south (South and Central Europe, South and East 228 Africa) and southeast (Southeast Europe and Asia (Cramp et al., 1977–1994, Valkama 229 *et al.*, 2014). There was no strong collinearity between groups (all $|\mathbf{r}| < 0.27$). 230 The general direction of density shifts could also be caused by the geographical 231 shape of Finland, since the shape of the country is not a rectangle. We investigated how this could affect the direction of the density shifts by moving densities of the grid 232 233 cells directly towards north. We moved the densities of the first period one grid 234 northwards (except the most southernmost grids which remained similar) to mimic the 235 northwards density shifts. In cases where the grid did not have density value on the

southern side, we used mean density values of the nearest southwest and southeast
side of the grid (Supplementary Fig. 129). We did these movements of densities for
each species and calculated the direction of density shifts similarly as in the observed
density shifts of species, and thus calculated the hypothetical density shift of each
species.

241 The temperature data originates from the Finnish Meteorological Institute and 242 included daily values in 10-km grid cells (Fig. 1b). We calculated mean temperatures 243 for 50-km grids used in the analyses of bird data during the two study periods. 244 Furthermore, we searched, using temperature of the first period for each 50-km grid, 245 where the nearest as cold grid cell within Finland was located during the second 246 period. Based on this information we could calculate which direction the climate has 247 shifted regionally (Fig. 1c), and thus what would be the direction that species should 248 have shifted to remain in the same climatic conditions. Last, for each species we 249 calculated the mean direction and distance of the temperature change from the grid 250 cells where the species had been observed in the first study period.

251

252 Statistical analyses

253

All the statistical analyses were conducted in Matlab R2014a. Significance of the

255 species-specific density shifts along compass directions and impact of sampling effort

and temperature were tested using log-linear Poisson regression model

257 N = L + Temp + Latitude + Longitude (4),

258 where the grid specific change in the number of observed pairs in grid cell (N) is

259 explained by corresponding change in the length of the line transect (L), temperature

260 (Temp), latitude and longitude of the same grid cell.

261 The species-specific directions of the density shifts were calculated by using 262 inverse tangent (Matlab function atand) and by using the values of the Finnish 263 uniform coordinate system, where a change of one unit corresponds distance of 10 264 kilometres (see Fig. 1). For circular analyses, Circular Statistics Toolbox was used. 265 All the functions of the toolbox and their codes are freely available on the web 266 (Berens, 2009). The mean direction was calculated using the function circ mean and 267 the 95 % confidence intervals were calculated using the function circ confinean. 268 Rayleigh's test (function circ rtest; test for the significance of the mean direction in 269 the cycle histogram) was used to test whether the directions differ from an even 270 distribution. Furthermore, the Harrison-Kanji test (function circ hktest; circular 271 analog of two-factor ANOVA) was used to analyse whether the direction of different 272 groups differed. The Watson-Williams test (function circ wwtest; circular analog of 273 the one-factor ANOVA) was used to test whether the direction of temperature and 274 density shifts differed, and the function circ corrcc was used to test whether the 275 species-specific directions in temperature and density correlate. Last, because closely 276 related species may show similar type of responses, we investigated whether the 277 findings were linked to the phylogeny of the species. We tested whether the residuals 278 of results were correlated with the relative phylogenetic distance on the order and 279 family level. We did this by correlating the differences in residuals of a pair of species 280 with the corresponding relative phylogenetic distance between the species. The 281 phylogeny was based on the taxonomy of AERC TAC (http://www.aerc.eu/tac.html). 282 Function circ corrcl was used to test the potential influence of phylogeny by 283 correlating the differences in residuals of each pair of species with the corresponding 284 relative phylogenetic distance between the species. In addition, the average direction

- and distance of shifts (vector) was calculated by using the arithmetic mean of a
- 286 species' latitude and longitude change.
- 287 Since the analyses of the significance of the density shifts (128 tests per
- variable) and the investigation of impacts of different groups required multiple testing
- 289 (5 tests) we used a sequential Bonferroni correction to adjust the P-values (Rice,
- 290 1989).
- 291
- 292

293 Results

295	The findings show that the mean direction of the density shift was on average towards
296	the north northeast ($\alpha = 12^{\circ}$, 0° is north with rotation clockwise). The distribution of
297	directions differed significantly from an even distribution (Rayleigh's test, $z = 17.7$,
298	n = 128, $P < 0.001$), but not from the direct north direction (Fig. 2a). On average,
299	species densities shifted 35.9 kilometres towards the north and 7.0 kilometres toward
300	the east in 25 years (36.6 km in total, 1.5 km / year). However, there was a large
301	variation in the density shift between species. Among the 128 study species, 96
302	species shifted their densities northward (mean $\alpha = 17^{\circ}$, north northeast, c.i. 7–27°)
303	with an average of 60.2 kilometres shift north and 18.9 km shift east (mean average
304	total length of density shift of 82.1 km, 3.3 km / year). About half of these species, 49
305	species, shifted their densities northward more than 50 kilometres, and for only 25
306	species the shift towards north was less than 20 kilometres (Supplementary Table 1).
307	Correspondingly, 32 species shifted their densities in the southern directions
308	(mean $\alpha = 216^{\circ}$, c.i. 197–235°) with an average of 33.8 km shift south and of 34.7 km
309	shift west (mean average total length of density shift of 72.7 km, 2.9 km/ year). Half
310	of these species (16) shifted their densities less than 20 kilometres towards south and
311	only seven species shifted their densities more than 50 kilometres southwards
312	(Supplementary Table 1). The glm test revealed that 63 of the species shifted their
313	densities significantly towards north and 17 significantly towards south
314	(Supplementary Table 1). Correspondingly 11 species shifted their densities
315	significantly towards east and ten towards west. Grid-specific changes in temperature
316	explained only shifts of four species, and changes in length of line transects did not
317	showed significant results.

The modelled northwards movement of densities of species produced on average density shift towards the north ($\alpha = 9^\circ$, c.i. 358–20°), which did not differ either from the direct north or the corresponding observed density shift of species (α 321 = 12°).

322 The mean direction of the temperature shift was north northeast ($\alpha = 13^\circ$, Fig. 323 2a) and did not differ from mean direction of the species' density shift (Watson-324 Williams test, $F_{1,254} = 0.02$, P = 0.90). There was however a clear geographical pattern 325 in the direction of the temperate shift. In the southern half of Finland, the direction 326 was on average toward the northeast, whereas in the northern part of the country the 327 temperatures shifted westward toward the mountainous region in the northwest (Fig. 328 1c). In the northwest corner, the climatic conditions of the 1970's and 1980's, in the 329 resolution of 50-km grids, have already moved outside the borders of Finland (Fig. 330 1c). Despite the similar mean direction of both the temperature and density shifts of 331 species, the species-specific directions did not correlate significantly (rho = -0.03, n = 332 128, P = 0.71). Furthermore, the distance of the temperature change was on average 333 186 km (min-max 143–301 km), which is more than five times the mean distance that 334 all species have shifted toward the north.

Habitat type and migration distance significantly explained the difference in

distribution of directions (Harrison-Kanji test, $\chi^2_2 = 17.92$, P = 0.0064, $\chi^2_6 = 8.84$,

P = 0.012, respectively, Fig. 2b-c). Despite the high variation in the directions,

farmland-urban, wetland and montane species shifted their densities towards the north

northwest ($\alpha = 335^\circ$, $\alpha = 339^\circ$, and $\alpha = 350$, respectively; Fig. 2b-c), whereas forest

- 340 species moved towards the north northeast ($\alpha = 32^\circ$, Fig. 2b, Supplementary Fig.
- 341 130). For instance typical farmland species like Lapwing Vanellus vanellus, Skylark
- 342 Alauda arvensis, Barn Swallow Hirundo rustica and Eurasian Starling Sturnus

343 *vulgaris* shifted their densities more than 40 km westward. In contrast, Eurasian

344 Sparrowhawk Accipiter nisus, Osprey Pandion haliaetus, Merlin Falco columbarius,

345 Red-breasted Flycatcher Ficedula parva, Willow Tit Poecile montanus, Coal Tit

346 Periparus ater and Common Crossbill Loxia curvirostra showed the strongest shifts

347 among forest birds towards north and east (all shifted more than 150 km,

348 Supplementary Table 1). Among montane birds, the strongest shifts were observed in

349 Long-tailed Skua Stercorarius longicaudus and Lapland Longspur Calcarius

350 *lapponicus* which shifted towards northeast more than 100 kilometres and Snow

351 Bunting Plectrophenax nivalis whose densities shifted towards the highest mountains

in the northwest more than 80 kilometres.

353 Furthermore, when grouping species into residents-partial migrants and true

354 migrants, there was a significant difference in the directions between groups (Fig. 2d).

355 Almost all resident and partial migratory species shifted their densities towards the

356 northeast ($\alpha = 39^\circ$, Fig. 2d), whereas many migratory species showed density shifts

towards the west and southwest ($\alpha = 358^\circ$, Fig. 2d, Supplementary Fig. 131).

358 However, a more complicated model with the four migration type categories did not

359 explain species-specific variation in the shift of the densities (H-K test, $\chi^2_6 = 9.54$,

360 P = 0.15). This was likely because both residents and partial migrants moved towards

361 the northeast and short- and long-distance migrants towards the north (Supplementary

362 Fig. 132a–d). In the case of either habitat type or the migration distance the residuals

363 of the models were not correlated with the phylogeny either at the order or family

364 level (all P's > 0.26).

There was some evidence that migration direction explains part of the variation in density shifts (H-K test, $\chi^2_4 = 11.1$, P = 0.086). Species wintering in the southwest shifted their densities on average towards the north northeast direction ($\alpha = 13^\circ$), 368 whereas species wintering in the south and southeast shifted their densities towards

north northwest ($\alpha = 339^{\circ}$ and $\alpha = 335^{\circ}$, respectively, Fig. 2e), as would have been

370 expected based on their migration routes. The distribution of the directions did not

- 371 differ between southern edge ($\alpha = 323^{\circ}$) or northern edge ($\alpha = 72^{\circ}$) species or species
- 372 that occur in the large part of the country ($\alpha = 8^\circ$, H-K test, $\chi^2_4 = 7.12$, P = 0.13;
- 373 Fig. 2f). In general, the group of species that shifted their densities westwards
- 374 included several types of species, such as migrants wintering in Asia (e.g. Blyth's
- 375 Reed Warbler Acrocephalus dumetorum and Greenish Warbler Phylloscopus
- 376 *trochiloides*), farmland species (mentioned above), and other species with declining
- 377 population dynamics (e.g. Common Buzzard Buteo buteo, Honey Buzzard Pernis
- 378 apivorus, Hen Harrier Circus cyaneus and Sedge Warbler Acrocephalus
- 379 *schoenobaenus*) (see Supplementary Table 1).
- 380
- 381

385	The findings reveal that directions of species' density and temperature shifts are not
386	directly towards the north, but most often towards the north northeast and north
387	northwest. Although these directions can partly be driven by the geographical shape
388	of the country, this underlines that the rate of species density shifts are
389	underestimated, if the shift is only measured using one north-south dimension.
390	However, the speed of the temperature change has been much faster than
391	corresponding speed in the change of bird species densities, which has also been
392	found in some earlier studies both in Europe and North America (Devictor et al.,
393	2008, 2012; La Sorte & Jetz, 2012). Importantly, although the species and
394	temperature had on average the same direction, the species-specific values were not
395	significantly correlated as we predicted. This is probably due to the large variation in
396	the species density shifts, since 25% of the species show a move towards southern
397	directions. In a recent work, Tayleur et al. (2015) showed that only 20% of the
398	Swedish bird species had shifted their densities towards the expected direction during
399	21 th century according to the temperature change and changes in rainfall had hardly
400	any impact on density changes. On the other hand, Virkkala et al. (2014) showed that
401	observed changes in Finnish bird distributions between 1974-89 and 2006-2010 were
402	largely in the same direction as predicted range shifts by 2051-2080 based on
403	bioclimatic envelope models. Understanding causes of the variation in species-
404	specific directions and the speed of change is crucial for making predictions in species
405	distributions and for conservation actions.
406	This study shows that forest bird species have on average shifted towards a

407 northeastern direction, whereas species of other habitats have on average shifted their

408 densities towards northwestern directions. Finland is situated on the western edge of 409 the Eurasian boreal forest zone (see e.g. Huntley et al., 2007; Virkkala et al., 2008), 410 which is why it is logical that forest species show density shift towards the northeast. 411 Nevertheless, management of forest can also affect species ability to move their 412 distributions (Felton et al., 2014). On the other hand, declining montane species 413 (Lehikoinen et al., 2014) were moving on average towards the north northwest, where 414 the highest and coldest montane areas are situated in Finland. Thus, the physiography 415 of Finland explains some of the shifts. Northwards density shifts of bird species have 416 also been observed in Finnish protected areas, where human-caused land use is 417 prohibited, suggesting that climate change was the main cause for species density 418 shifts in natural habitats (forests, wetlands and montane habitats) (Virkkala & 419 Rajasärkkä, 2011). In addition, land use changes also influence our results. Farmland 420 birds have generally declined in Finland since the 1970's, mainly due to changes in 421 farmland practices (Rintala & Tiainen, 2007; Laaksonen & Lehikoinen, 2013). Mean 422 density shifts of farmland species towards a northwestern direction is supported by 423 our predictions, as the largest arable land areas in the northern and central part of the 424 country are situated on the western side. Moreover, densities of several farmland 425 species have shifted towards the southwest indicating that these species have retracted 426 towards their core breeding areas. In the UK, land use changes have been shown to be 427 more important drivers of the farmland bird species than climatic factors (Eglington & 428 Pearce-Higgins, 2012).

Furthermore, migration behaviour, especially the comparison between residents (including partial migrants) and true migrants explained the differences in speciesspecific variation in the shift direction. Residents and partial migrants shifted their densities very strongly toward the northeast, whereas among migrants, many species showed western or southwestern density shifts. This could indicate that residents and
partial migrants could better track climatic changes than migratory species, which are
away for the winter (Pearce-Higgins & Green, 2014).

436 Many south-eastern and southern migrants tend to spread in western directions, 437 whereas southwestern migrants tend to spread towards the north northeast. Although 438 these groups did not differ between each other significantly, this kind of pattern would 439 make sense as species migration direction classifies the angle along which birds tend 440 to move most during their life cycle. One of the widely known impact of climate 441 change is advanced spring arrival dates of many bird species (Jonzén et al., 2006; 442 Lehikoinen & Sparks, 2010, Vaitkuviene et al. 2015). The advanced spring migration 443 potentially together with more favourable migration conditions could be one of the 444 mechanisms behind climate induced distribution shifts due prolonged to migration 445 distances (Otterlind, 1954; Berthold, 2001). This emphasizes that the migration 446 direction and route of dispersal should be investigated in more detail. However, since 447 our study showed that residents and partial migrants shifted more towards northeast 448 than true migrants other mechanisms are also acting here.

449 Although this study is based on large-scale data covering over 1000 km in a 450 north-south direction through the boreal zone and over 600 kilometres in a west-east 451 direction, it is still conducted within one country and does not cover the whole 452 population of any of the study species. Such analyses dealing with abundance shifts at 453 a whole population level are very rare (see, however, Lehikoinen et al., 2013: Pavón-454 Jordan et al., 2015), and require harmonious monitoring schemes. Furthermore, the 455 conservation decisions and management actions are typically done on a national level, 456 which is why these borders need to be taken into account although species do not 457 recognize border lines (Pouzols et al., 2014).

458	Unfortunately corresponding long-term census data from the border countries,
459	especially from the Russian side, are lacking. Furthermore, the alarming results show
460	that the former climatic conditions in the northwest corner of Finland have already
461	moved out of Finland at least on the 50-km grid scale. Although in this mountainous
462	region, in the short-term species could cope with climate change by shifting their
463	densities uphill, in the long-term their currently declining populations (Lehikoinen et
464	al., 2014) may become extirpated from northwestern Europe (Huntley et al., 2007;
465	Virkkala et al., 2008). This underlines that international collaboration should be
466	increased to improve our understanding of species abundance and distribution
467	changes (Jiguet et al., 2010; Lehikoinen et al., 2014) and to design management
468	actions to conserve biodiversity in the face of changing climate (Pearce-Higgins &
469	Green, 2014; Pouzols et al., 2014).

471 Acknowledgements

472

We thank the hundreds of birdwatchers who participated in the data collection. Risto
A. Väisänen coordinated the censuses at the Finnish Museum of Natural History for
decades. The Ministry of the Environment provided financial support for the counts
and A.L. received financial support from the Academy of Finland (project 275606).
The detailed comments by three anonymous reviewers are greatly acknowledged.
Benjamin Steele kindly checked the language.

479

480

481 References

482	Berens P	(2009)) CircStat: A	Matlab	Toolbox f	or Circul	ar Statistics.	Journal	of
		· /							

- 483 *Statistica Software*, **31**, 10. (available at <u>www.jstatsoft.org/v31/i10</u>)
- 484 Berthold P (2001) Bird migration. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- 485 Booth DJ, Bond N, Maccreadie P (2011) Detecting range shifts among Australian
- 486 fishes in response to climate change. *Marine and Freshwater Research*, 62,
 487 1027–1042.
- Breed GA, Stichter S, Crone EE (2013) Climate-driven changes in northeastern US
 butterfly communities. *Nature Climate Change*, 3, 142–145.
- 490 Burrows, MT, Schoeman DS, Richardson AJ et al. (2014) Geographical limits to
- 491 species-range shifts are suggested by climate velocity. *Nature*, **507**, 492–495.
- 492 Chen I-C, Hill JK, Ohlemüller R, Roy DB, Thomas CD (2011) Rapid range shifts of
- 493 species associated with high levels of climate warming. *Science*, 333, 1024–
 494 1026.
- 495 Cramp S, Simmons KEL, Perrins CM (1977–1994) Handbook of the birds of Europe,
 496 Middle East and North America: Birds of the Western Palaearctic. Oxford
- 497 University Press.
- 498 Devictor V, Julliard R, Couvet D, Jiguet F (2008) Birds are tracking climate change,
- 499 but not fast enough. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences*,
- **254**, 2743–2748.
- 501 Devictor V, van Swaay C, Brereton T et al. (2012). Differences in the climate debt of
- 502 birds and butterflies at a continental scale. *Nature Climate Change*, **2**, 121–124.
- 503 Donald PF, Green RE, Heath MF (2001) Agricultural intensification and the collapse
- 504 of Europe's farmland bird populations. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*-
- 505 *Biological Sciences*, **268**, 25–29.

506	Eglington SM, Pearce-Higgins JW (2012) Disentangling the relative importance of
507	changes in climate and land-use intensity in driving recent bird population
508	trends. PLoS One, 7, e30407.
509	Felton A, Lindbladh M, Elmberg J, Felton AM, Andersson E, Sekercioglu CH,
510	Collingham Y, Huntley B (2014) Projecting impacts of anthropogenic climatic
511	change on the bird communities of southern Swedish spruce monocultures: will
512	the species poor get poorer? Ornis Fennica 91, 1-13.
513	Gillings S, Balmer DE, Fuller RJ (2015) Directionality of recent bird distribution
514	shifts and climate change in Great Britain. Global Change Biology 21, 2155-
515	2168.
516	Hickling R, Roy DB, Hill JK, Fox R, Thomas CD (2006) The distributions of a wide
517	range of taxonomic groups are expanding polewards. Global Change Biology,
518	12 , 450–455.
519	Hockey PAR, Sirami C, Ridley AR , Midgley GF, Babiker HA (2011) Interrogating
520	recent range change in South African birds: confounding signals from land use
521	and climate change present a challenge for attribution. Diversity and
522	Distributions, 17, 254–261.
523	Huntley B, Green RE, Collingham YC, Willis SG (2007) A climatic atlas of European
524	breeding birds. Durham University, The RSPB and Lynx Edicions, Barcelona.
525	Järvinen O (1976) Estimating relative densities of breeding birds by the line transect
526	method. II. Comparison between two methods. Ornis Scandinavica, 7, 43-48.
527	Järvinen O, Väisänen, RA (1975) Estimating relative densities of breeding birds by the
528	line transect method. Oikos, 26, 316–322.
529	Järvinen O, Väisänen, RA (1976a) Finnish line transect censuses. Ornis Fennica, 53,
530	115–118.

- Järvinen O, Väisänen, RA (1976b) Estimating relative densities of breeding birds by
- the line transect method. IV. Geographical constancy of the proportion of main
 belt observations. *Ornis Fennica*, **53**, 87–91.
- Järvinen O, Väisänen, RA (1981) Methodology for censusing land bird faunas in large
 regions. *Studies in Avian Biology*, 6, 146–151.
- Järvinen O, Väisänen RA (1983) Correction coefficients for line transect censuses of
 breeding birds. *Ornis Fennica*, **60**, 97–104.
- 538 Järvinen O, Koskimies P, Väisänen RA (1991) Line transect census of breeding land
- 539 birds. In: Monitoring bird populations: a manual of methods applied in Finland
- (eds Koskimies P, Väisänen RA). Zoological Museum, Finnish Museum of
 Natural History, pp. 33–40.
- 542 Jiguet F, Devictor V, Ottvall R, Van Turnhout C, Van der Jeugd H, Lindström, Å
- 543 (2010) Bird population trends are linearly affected by climate change along
- 544 species thermal ranges. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences*,
- **277**, 3601–3618.
- Jonzén N, Lindén A, Ergon, T *et al.* (2006) Rapid advance of spring arrival dates in
 long-distance migratory birds. *Science*, **312**, 1959–1961
- 548 Kelly AE, Goulden ML (2008) Rapid shifts in plant distribution with recent climate
- change. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States
 of America, 105, 11823-11826.
- 551 Kujala H, Vepsäläinen V, Zuckerberg B, Brommer JE (2013) Range margin shifts of
- birds revisited the role of spatiotemporally varying survey effort. *Global*
- 553 *Change Biology*, **19**, 420–430.

- Laaksonen T, Lehikoinen A (2013) Population trends in boreal birds: Continuing
- declines in agricultural, northern, and long-distance migrant species. *Biological Conservation*, **168**, 99–107.
- 557 La Sorte FA, Jetz W (2012) Tracking of climatic niche boundaries under recent
- climate change. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, **81**, 914–925.
- 559 Lehikoinen A (2013) Climate change, phenology and species detectability in a

560 monitoring scheme. *Population Ecology*, **55**, 315–323.

- Lehikoinen A, Green M, Husby M, Kålås JA, Lindtröm, Å (2014). Common montane
- birds are declining in northern Europe. *Journal of Avian Biology*, **45**, 3–14.
- 563 Lehikoinen A, Jaatinen K, Vähätalo AV et al. (2013) Rapid climate-driven shifts in
- 564 wintering distributions of three common waterbird species. *Global Change*

565 *Biology*, **19**, 2071–2081.

566 Lehikoinen E, Sparks TH (2010) Changes in migration. In *Effects of climate change*

567 on birds (A. Møller, W. Fiedler, & P. Berthold, eds), Oxford Univ. Press,

- 568 Oxford, UK, pp. 89–112.
- 569 Maclean IMD, Austin GE, Rehfisch MM et al. (2008) Climate change causes rapid
- 570 changes in the distribution and site abundance of birds in winter. *Global Change*571 *Biology*, 14, 2489–2500.
- 572 Otterlind G (1954) Flyttning och utbredning. Vår Fågelvärld, 13, 1–261.
- 573 Parmesan C, Ryrholm N, Stefanescu C, et al. (1999) Poleward shifts in geographical
- 574 ranges of butterfly species associated with regional warming. *Nature*, **399**, 579–
 575 583.
- 576 Parmesan C, Yohe G (2003) A globally coherent fingerprint of climate change
- 577 impacts across natural systems. *Nature*, **421**, 37–42.

- 578 Pavón-Jordán D, Fox AD, Clausen P et al. (2015). Climate driven changes in winter
- abundance of a migratory waterbird in relation to EU protected areas. *Diversity and Distributions*, **21**, 571–582
- Pearce-Higgins J, Green, RE (2014) *Birds and Climate Change: impacts and conservation responses.* University of Cambride.
- 583 Pouzols FM, Toivonen T, Di Minin E *et al.* (2014) Global protected area expansion is
- 584 compromised by protected land-use and parochialism. *Nature*, **516**, 383–386.
- 585 Pöyry J, Luoto M, Heikkinen RK, Kuussaari M, Saarinen K (2009) Species traits
- 586 explain recent range shifts of Finnish butterflies. *Global Change Biology*, 15,
 587 732–743.
- 588 Rice WR (1989) Analyzing tables of statistical tests. *Evolution*, **43**, 223–225.
- 589 Rintala J, Tiainen J (2007) A model incorporating a reduction in carrying capacity
- 590 translates brood size trends into a population decline: the case of Finnish

591 starlings, 1951–2005. *Oikos*, **117**, 47–59.

- 592 Sanderson FJ, Donald PF, Pain DJ, Burfield, IJ, van Bommel FPJ (2006) Long-term
- 593 population declines in Afro-Palearctic migrant birds. *Biological Conservation*,
 594 **131**, 93–105.
- 595 Tayleur C, Caplat P, Massinino D, Johnston A, Jonzén N, Smith HG, Lindström Å
- 596 (2015) Swedish birds are tracking temperature but not rainfall: evidence from a
- 597 decade of abundance changes. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, **24**, 859–872.
- 598 Thomas CD (2010) Climate, climate change and range boundaries. *Diversity and*
- *Distributions*, **16**, 488–495.
- 600 Thomas CD, Lennon JJ (1999) Birds extend their ranges northwards. Nature, 399,
- 601 213–213.

- Väisänen RA, Lammi E, Koskimies P (1998) *Distribution, numbers and population changes of Finnish breeding birds*. Otava.
- Vaitkuviene D, Gagys M, Bartkeviciene G, Romanovskaja D (2015) The effect of
 weather variables on the White Stork (*Ciconia ciconia*) spring migration
- 606 phenology. Ornis Fennica 92, 43–52.
- 607 Valkama J, Vepsäläinen V, Lehikoinen A (2011) The Third Finnish Breeding Bird
- Atlas. Finnish Museum of Natural History and Ministry of Environment
 (http://atlas3.lintuatlas.fi/english), Helsinki.
- 610 Valkama J, Saurola P, Lehikoinen A, Lehikoinen, E, Piha, M, Sola, P, Velmala, W
- 611 (2014) *The Finnish Bird Ringing Atlas. Vol. II.* Finnish Museum of Natural
 612 History and Ministry of Environment.
- 613 Virkkala R, Heikkinen RK, Leikola N, Luoto M (2008) Projected large-scale range
- 614 reductions of northern-boreal land bird species due to climate change.
- 615 *Biological Conservation*, **141**, 1343–1353.
- 616 Virkkala R, Lehikoinen A (2014) Patterns of climate-induced density shifts of
- 617 species: poleward shifts faster in northern boreal birds than in southern birds.
- 618 *Global Change Biology*, **20**, 2995–3003.
- 619 Virkkala R, Pöyry J, Heikkinen RK, Lehikoinen A, Valkama J (2014) Protected areas
- alleviate climate change effects on northern bird species of conservation
- 621 concern. *Ecology and Evolution*, **4**, 2991–3003.
- 622 Virkkala R, Rajasärkkä A (2011) Northward density shift of bird species in boreal
- 623 protected areas due to climate change. *Boreal Environment Research*, **16** (suppl.
- 624 B), 2–13.

- 625 Virkkala R, Rajasärkkä A, Väisänen RA, Vickholm M, Virolainen E (1994) The
- 626 significance of protected areas for the land birds of southern Finland.
- 627 *Conservation Biology*, **8**, 532–544
- 628 Zuckerberg B, Woods AM, Porter WF (2009) Poleward shifts in breeding bird
- 629 distributions in New York State. *Global Change Biology*, **15**, 1866-1883.
- 630
- 631
- 632
- 633



636 Fig. 1. Map of Finland showing a) 50-km grid cells in grey and individual census sites

- 637 in circles in the 1970s, 1980s and 2000s, b) isoterms in annual temperature during
- 638 1970–1989 (darker colour indicate warmer tempeature) and c) directions that

- temperature has changed in Finland between 1970–1989 and 2000–2012. Longer
- 640 arrows indicate stronger temperature shifts. 'x'-marks show grids where climate in the
- 641 1970s and 1980s no longer exists in Finland during the second study period.

643



644 Fig. 2. Distribution of directions of density shifts. (a) Densities of all species (95% c. 645 i. of the mean direction $358-30^\circ$) and corresponding temperature (95 % c. i. $8-17^\circ$) in 646 their same breeding range from 1970–1989 to 2000–2012. Distribution of directions 647 of density shifts according to main habitat type of the species [b) farmland and forest 648 species and c) wetland and montane species], migration behaviour [d) residents -649 partial migrants and fully migratory species], spring migration direction [e) southwest, 650 south or southeast] and general distribution of the species [f) southern edge, large part 651 of the country and northern edge]. The colour lines show the distribution of directions 652 in 15° intervals and the colour arrows next to the degrees show the mean direction of 653 the particular distribution.

657	Supplementary Table 1. Species-specific data with sample sizes, habitat, migration
658	and distribution classifications, central gravity of density expressed as latitudes and
659	longitudes during both study periods, direction of the density shift, total distance of
660	shift density shift along latitude and longitude directions and direction of the species-
661	specific temperature shift.
662	
663	Supplementary Figs. 1–128. Species-specific density maps during the first (1970-
664	1989, left panel) and second (2000-2012, right panel) study period.
665	
666	Supplementary Fig. 129. Illustration of moved densities of species to estimate the
667	potential effect of shape of the country on the direction of density shifts.
668	
669	Supplementary Fig. 130. Grid specific changes of relative densities of groups of
670	species classified based on their main habitat type.
671	
672	Supplementary Fig. 131. Grid specific changes of relative densities of groups of
673	species classified based on their migration type.
674	
675	Supplementary Fig. 132. Distribution of directions of changes in densities based on
676	four migration groups.
677	

Supplementary figs 1–128. Species-specific density maps during the first (1970-1989, left panel) and second (2000-2012, right panel) study period. The 50-km grid-specific densities are shown in grey scale illustrated by three values on the up left corned or each panel. Large asterisk shows the central gravity of the densities and small black crosses are grids that did not have enough data (excluded from the analyses).





Supplementary fig. 1. Tetrastes bonasia



Supplementary fig. 2. Lagopus lagopus



Supplementary fig. 3. Lagopus muta



Supplementary fig. 4. Tetrao tetrix



Supplementary fig. 5. Tetrao urogallus



Supplementary fig. 6. Phasianus colchicus



Supplementary fig. 7. Pernis apivorus



Supplementary fig. 8. Circus cyaneus



Supplementary fig. 9. Accipiter gentilis



Supplementary fig. 10. Accipiter nisus


Supplementary fig. 11. Buteo buteo



Supplementary fig. 12. Buteo lagopus



Supplementary fig. 13. Pandion haliaetus



Supplementary fig. 14. Falco tinnunculus



Supplementary fig. 15. Falco columbarius



Supplementary fig. 16. Falco subbuteo



Supplementary fig. 17. Grus grus



Supplementary fig. 18. Haematopus ostralegus



Supplementary fig. 19. Charadrius hiaticula



Supplementary fig. 20. Pluvialis apricaria



Supplementary fig. 21. Vanellus vanellus



Supplementary fig. 22. Calidris pugnax



Supplementary fig. 23. Calidris falcinellus



Supplementary fig. 24. Lymnocryptes minumus



Supplementary fig. 25. Gallinago gallinago



Supplementary fig. 26. Scolopax rusticola



Supplementary fig. 27. Numenius phaeopus



Supplementary fig. 28. Numenius arquata



Supplementary fig. 29. Tringa erythropus



Supplementary fig. 30. Tringa totanus



Supplementary fig. 31. Tringa nebularia



Supplementary fig. 32. Tringa ochropus



Supplementary fig. 33. Tringa glareola



Supplementary fig. 34. Actitis hypoleucos



Supplementary fig. 35. Phalaropus lobatus



Supplementary fig. 36. Stercorarius longicaudus



Supplementary fig. 37. Columba livia



Supplementary fig. 38. Columba oenas



Supplementary fig. 39. Columba palumbus



Supplementary fig. 40. Cuculus canorus



Supplementary fig. 41. Surnia ulula



Supplementary fig. 42. Asio flammeus



Supplementary fig. 43. Apus apus



Supplementary fig. 44. Jynx torquilla



Supplementary fig. 45. Picus canus



Supplementary fig. 46. Dryocopus martius



Supplementary fig. 47. Dendrocopos major



Supplementary fig. 48. Dendrocopos minor



Supplementary fig. 49. Picoides tridactylus



Supplementary fig. 50. Lullula arborea



Supplementary fig. 51. Alauda arvensis



Supplementary fig. 52. Riparia riparia



Supplementary fig. 53. Hirundo rustica



Supplementary fig. 54. Delichon urbicum



Supplementary fig. 55. Anthus trivialis



Supplementary fig. 56. Anthus prantensis



Supplementary fig. 57. Motacilla flava



Supplementary fig. 58. Motacilla alba



Supplementary fig. 59. Bombycilla garrulus



Supplementary fig. 60. Troglodytes troglodytes



Supplementary fig. 61. Prunella modularis



Supplementary fig. 62. Erithacus rubecula



Supplementary fig. 63. Luscinia luscinia



Supplementary fig. 64. Luscinia svecica



Supplementary fig. 65. Phoenicurus phoenicurus



Supplementary fig. 66. Saxicola rubetra



Supplementary fig. 67. Oenanthe oenanthe



Supplementary fig. 68. Turdus torquatus



Supplementary fig. 69. Turdus merula



Supplementary fig. 70. Turdus pilaris



Supplementary fig. 71. Turdus philomelos



Supplementary fig. 72. Turdus iliacus



Supplementary fig. 73. Turdus viscivorus



Supplementary fig. 74. Locustella naevia



Supplementary fig. 75. Acrocephalus schoenobaenus



Supplementary fig. 76. Acrocephalus dumetorum



Supplementary fig. 77. Acrocephalus palustris



Supplementary fig. 78. Acrocephalus scirpaceus



Supplementary fig. 79. Hippolais icterina



Supplementary fig. 80. Sylvia curruca



Supplementary fig. 81. Sylvia communis



Supplementary fig. 82. Sylvia borin


Supplementary fig. 83. Sylvia atricapilla



Supplementary fig. 84. Phylloscopus trochiloides



Supplementary fig. 85. Phylloscopus sibilatrix



Supplementary fig. 86. Phylloscopus collybita



Supplementary fig. 87. Phylloscopus trochilus



Supplementary fig. 88. Regulus regulus



Supplementary fig. 89. Muscicapa striata



Supplementary fig. 90. Ficedula parva



Supplementary fig. 91. Ficedula hypoleuca



Supplementary fig. 92. Cyanistes caeruleus



Supplementary fig. 93. Parus major



Supplementary fig. 94. Periparus ater



Supplementary fig. 95. Lophophanes cristatus



Supplementary fig. 96. Poecile montanus



Supplementary fig. 97. Poecile cinctus



Supplementary fig. 98. Certhia familiaris



Supplementary fig. 99. Oriolus oriolus



Supplementary fig. 100. Lanius collurio



Supplementary fig. 101. Lanius excubitor



Supplementary fig. 102. Garrulus glandarius



Supplementary fig. 103. Perisoreus infaustus



Supplementary fig. 104. Pica pica



Supplementary fig. 105. Corvus monedula



Supplementary fig. 106. Corvus corone



Supplementary fig. 107. Corvus corax



Supplementary fig. 108. Sturnus vulgaris



Supplementary fig. 109. Passer domesticus



Supplementary fig. 110. Fringilla coelebs



Supplementary fig. 111. Fringilla montifringilla



Supplementary fig. 112. Carduelis chloris



Supplementary fig. 113. Carduelis spinus



Supplementary fig. 114. Carduelis cannabina



Supplementary fig. 115. Carduelis flammea



Supplementary fig. 116. Loxia leucoptera



Supplementary fig. 117. Loxia curvirostra



Supplementary fig. 118. Loxia pytyopsittacus



Supplementary fig. 119. Carpodacus erythrinus



Supplementary fig. 120. Pinicola enucleator



Supplementary fig. 121. Pyrrhula pyrrhula



Supplementary fig. 122. Calcarius lapponicus



Supplementary fig. 123. Plectrophenax nivalis



Supplementary fig. 124. Emberiza citrinella



Supplementary fig. 125. Emberiza hortulana



Supplementary fig. 126. Emberiza rustica



Supplementary fig. 127. Emberiza pusilla



Supplementary fig. 128. Emberiza schoeniclus



Supplementary Fig. 1. Illustration of how we moved densities of species one grid northwards to estimate the potential effect of shape of the country on the direction of density shifts. In a normal situation (a), the densities were moved one grid northwards. In situations, where the density value was missing from the southern side (b), we used mean of the nearest southwest and southeast grids, or only one of them (c) if both values were not available. The dashed line grids in the figure illustrate the former situation and the solid line grids represents the corresponding grids after the moved densities in Brambling *Fringilla montifringilla* (see also Supplementary Figs 1-129). In case of examples b and c, the densities in the dashed grids were also moved directly northwards like in case a.



Supplementary Fig. 130. Grid specific changes of relative densities of groups of species classified based on their main habitat type: (a) farmland species, (b) forest species, (c) wetland species and (d) montane species. In graphs the species-specific relative densities have been scaled into the same unit in all species so that all species would have equal impact (in contrast to a situation where the most abundant species would dominate the change) corresponding direction analyses (Fig. 1). These grid specific relative densities of species in the first period were subtracted from the corresponding densities

of the latter period. These density changes of the same habitat category were combined gridspecifically and are expressed in colours. Red colour means increasing relative densities and blue declining relative densities. The black crosses show grids without data.



Supplementary Fig. 131. Grid specific changes of relative densities of groups of species classified based on their migration type: (a) residents and partial migrants and (b) short- and long-distance migrants. In graphs the species-specific relative densities have been scaled into the same unit in all species so that all species would have equal impact (in contrast to a situation where the most abundant species would dominate the change) corresponding direction analyses (Fig. 1). These grid-specific relative densities of species in the first period were subtracted from the corresponding densities of the latter period. These density changes of the same migration category were combined grid-specifically

and are expressed in colours. Red colour means increasing relative densities and blue declining relative densities. The black crosses show grids without data.



Supplementary Fig. 132. Distribution of directions of changes in densities based on four migration groups (resident: $\alpha = 43$, partial: $\alpha = 35$, short-distance: $\alpha = 359$, and long-distance migrants: $\alpha = 357$),

Supplementary Table 1. Species-specific sample sizes (N 70-80, N 2000), habitat (Hab), migration (Mig, Mdir) and distribution (Dist) classifications, central gravity of density expressed as latitudes (La70-80, La00) and longitudes (Lo70-80, La00) during both study periods, direction of the density shift (Dir in degrees), total distance of shift (Dist2), density shift along latitude (Ndist) and longitude (Edist) directions (negative values mean shifts towards south and west, respectively) and direction of the species-specific temperature shift. Bolded values in density shifts along latitude and longitude mean that separate Poisson regression analyses of the particular species revealed significant shift in latitude or longitude after Bonferroni correction (note that this is different than changes in the mean central gravity, see more accurately in the text).

Species	N 70-80	N 2000	Hab	Mig	Mdir	Dist	La70-80	La00	Lo70-80	Lo00	Dir (Deg)	Dist2	Ndist	Edist	Tdir (Deg)
Tetrastes bonasia	462	868	2	0	4	2	692.9	701.4	342.9	347.9	30.3	99.1	85.6	50.1	25.2
Lagopus lagopus	267	293	2	0	4	2	751.0	756.4	343.8	343.2	353.4	54.8	54.4	-6.3	350.1
Lagopus muta	43	41	4	0	4	3	766.2	763.5	324.9	326.1	155.6	29.7	-27.0	12.3	325.9
Tetrao tetris	1048	1916	2	0	4	2	699.0	708.9	334.2	344.1	45.3	139.8	98.4	99.4	21.3
Tetrao urogallus	228	418	2	0	4	2	714.6	714.9	347.9	352.1	85.9	42.3	3.0	42.2	14.1
Phasianus colchicus	384	515	1	0	4	2	671.6	683.5	330.3	330.2	359.4	119.5	119.5	-1.3	38.0
Pernis apivorus	22	36	2	1	2	2	689.9	690.8	351.4	340.9	274.6	105.9	8.6	-105.5	19.4
Circus cyaneus	45	44	3	1	1	2	725.5	713.7	350.2	341.2	217.6	148.0	-117.3	-90.3	9.5
Accipiter gentilis	60	59	2	0	4	2	699.1	702.2	334.9	335.6	11.5	31.4	30.8	6.3	30.3
Accipiter nisus	40	70	2	1	1	2	698.7	701.3	323.9	338.8	80.1	151.0	25.9	148.7	24.6
Buteo buteo	98	66	2	1	4	2	698.9	684.1	348.7	334.3	224.1	206.1	-148.1	-143.3	22.2
Buteo lagopus	96	66	2	0	3	3	763.0	767.0	341.4	346.5	51.9	64.8	40.0	51.0	309.6
Pandion haliaetus	41	54	2	1	2	2	685.8	704.2	333.3	347.2	37.0	230.3	183.9	138.7	18.3
Falco tinnunculus	39	121	1	1	1	2	701.3	728.1	338.7	343.5	10.0	271.9	267.7	47.4	22.8
Falco columbarius	21	32	2	1	1	2	745.3	763.9	333.1	339.2	18.2	195.5	185.7	61.2	333.2
Falco subbuteo	21	52	2	1	2	2	697.8	690.4	352.7	342.1	235.6	129.4	-73.1	-106.7	22.5
Grus grus	330	1696	3	1	2	2	710.2	708.4	344.0	333.7	260.3	105.2	-17.8	-103.7	20.8
Haematopus ostralegus	31	102	3	1	1	1	674.1	689.5	312.4	317.3	17.7	161.6	153.9	49.1	33.8
Charadrius hiaticula	34	69	4	1	1	2	754.8	758.8	330.2	337.5	61.1	82.9	40.0	72.6	310.7
Pluvialis apricaria	1139	1237	4	1	1	2	760.6	759.5	347.8	342.5	258.8	54.3	-10.6	-53.3	355.1
Vanellus vanellus	1308	2201	1	1	1	2	691.8	691.6	338.3	331.9	267.9	64.0	-2.3	-64.0	25.6
Calidris pugnax	407	156	3	1	1	3	746.3	750.2	344.5	345.6	15.6	40.2	38.8	10.8	351.0
Calidris falcinellus	114	206	3	1	3	3	751.1	752.1	339.4	343.4	76.3	41.4	9.8	40.2	328.1
Lymnocryptes minumus	205	172	3	0	1	3	754.1	752.6	346.5	345.7	207.8	17.0	-15.0	-7.9	334.4
Gallinago gallinago	1836	2146	3	1	1	2	723.7	729.8	338.2	342.2	33.3	72.5	60.6	39.7	12.6
Scolopax rusticola	158	440	2	1	1	2	690.7	691.5	327.3	334.1	83.4	67.6	7.8	67.2	28.9
Numenius phaeopus	1130	755	3	1	1	2	744.7	755.3	353.9	350.1	340.2	112.5	105.9	-38.2	352.0
Numenius arquata	1734	2744	1	1	1	2	703.5	705.6	341.4	341.5	4.1	21.1	21.0	1.5	26.5
Tringa erythropus	222	135	3	1	2	3	758.8	756.6	345.7	347.1	148.2	26.0	-22.1	13.7	332.2
Tringa totanus	313	342	3	1	1	2	701.5	712.6	316.0	320.9	23.5	121.3	111.3	48.4	35.6
Tringa nebularia	737	1284	3	1	2	2	733.1	730.1	355.1	352.8	217.3	38.8	-30.8	-23.5	4.0

Tringa ochropus	683	2394	2	1	1	2	689.6	697.4	345.6	338.5	317.9	105.6	78.3	-70.8	23.2
Tringa glareola	2970	3803	3	1	2	2	740.9	747.5	347.8	346.2	346.4	67.2	65.3	-15.8	7.0
Actitis hypoleucos	303	356	3	1	2	2	707.6	722.5	336.1	339.1	11.2	151.5	148.6	29.5	16.5
Phalaropus lobatus	85	34	3	1	3	3	758.9	762.1	341.0	338.1	317.1	43.3	31.7	-29.5	326.1
Stercorarius longicaudus	33	49	4	1	1	3	767.2	773.4	338.6	346.9	53.2	104.0	62.3	83.3	326.4
Columba livia	102	561	1	0	4	1	674.9	687.5	347.3	329.6	305.4	216.9	125.7	-176.8	20.9
Columba oenas	382	302	1	1	1	1	670.1	670.3	314.2	319.1	88.0	48.4	1.7	48.4	41.9
Columba palumbus	3682	8195	1	1	1	2	686.7	686.4	330.9	330.2	240.2	7.7	-3.8	-6.7	28.2
Cuculus canorus	4650	7760	2	1	2	2	710.5	711.0	339.5	345.2	85.5	57.5	4.5	57.4	14.0
Surnia ulula	27	25	2	0	4	3	740.5	744.3	345.9	349.9	46.8	55.6	38.1	40.5	327.5
Asio flammeus	66	69	3	1	1	2	740.4	753.8	343.5	340.6	347.5	137.2	134.0	-29.7	357.5
Apus apus	1231	2086	1	1	2	2	684.3	688.8	331.7	335.7	41.6	60.0	44.9	39.8	26.9
Jynx torquilla	376	206	2	1	1	2	696.7	707.1	337.7	334.1	340.9	110.2	104.1	-36.1	17.2
Picus canus	29	36	2	0	4	2	669.1	673.7	317.6	327.6	64.9	109.5	46.5	99.1	38.2
Dryocopus martius	270	1043	2	0	4	2	688.6	694.0	330.5	334.1	33.7	64.8	53.9	35.9	18.1
Dendrocopos major	1326	3648	2	0	4	2	694.8	702.4	341.8	338.3	335.3	83.5	75.8	-34.9	26.1
Dendrocopos minor	30	37	2	0	4	2	688.9	680.8	321.4	334.3	122.0	152.1	-80.5	129.0	27.8
Picoides tridactylus	74	148	2	0	4	2	735.7	730.6	351.5	349.9	197.2	53.4	-51.0	-15.8	337.5
Lullula arborea	31	101	2	1	1	1	668.1	666.3	325.4	316.1	259.2	95.2	-17.8	-93.5	34.6
Alauda arvensis	3912	3581	1	1	1	2	684.8	685.5	327.9	323.2	278.2	46.7	6.7	-46.2	25.7
Riparia riparia	341	532	3	1	2	2	714.5	713.3	353.7	348.5	256.9	52.5	-11.9	-51.2	16.2
Hirundo rustica	1552	1761	1	1	2	2	693.3	693.3	339.7	334.9	270.9	47.5	0.7	-47.5	26.9
Delichon urbicum	1031	758	1	1	2	2	687.2	697.1	338.1	336.0	347.8	101.6	99.4	-21.4	26.0
Anthus trivialis	15580	18958	2	1	2	2	700.5	701.3	340.2	342.6	71.0	25.9	8.4	24.4	16.4
Anthus prantensis	5147	4754	3	1	1	2	750.6	755.3	343.4	340.6	329.7	55.2	47.7	-27.8	8.7
Motacilla flava	4301	2805	3	1	1	2	735.2	748.7	349.0	345.5	345.6	139.4	135.0	-34.7	12.0
Motacilla alba	2283	3315	3	1	2	2	696.7	695.2	335.2	332.6	241.2	30.6	-14.7	-26.8	16.9
Bombycilla garrulus	73	611	2	0	4	2	737.8	741.9	353.6	355.0	18.8	43.3	41.0	14.0	326.3
Troglodytes troglodytes	289	1371	2	1	1	2	678.4	684.0	347.0	330.3	288.4	176.9	55.9	-167.8	22.5
Prunella modularis	2260	4949	2	1	1	2	686.7	693.0	340.3	343.3	25.5	69.8	63.0	30.1	23.2
Erithacus rubecula	5127	16046	2	1	1	2	688.4	695.8	338.7	336.7	344.9	77.2	74.5	-20.1	23.1
Luscinia luscinia	288	574	3	1	2	2	673.3	672.3	325.5	334.0	96.3	86.2	-9.5	85.7	31.5
Luscinia svecica	862	930	4	1	3	3	764.7	768.4	335.2	336.6	20.8	39.5	36.9	14.0	312.3
Phoenicurus phoenicurus	4109	7841	2	1	1	2	730.3	735.8	346.2	350.2	35.6	68.1	55.4	39.6	14.1
Saxicola rubetra	1687	1601	1	1	1	2	703.4	711.2	342.2	341.0	351.2	78.6	77.7	-12.1	16.8
Oenanthe oenanthe	1467	594	3	1	1	2	738.1	747.3	336.7	338.7	12.5	94.1	91.9	20.4	12.0
Turdus torquatus	25	35	4	1	1	3	769.9	768.5	326.1	326.4	169.0	14.0	-13.8	2.7	331.0

Turdus merula	2108	6764	2	0	1	2	672.8	677.8	319.0	324.9	49.4	77.5	50.5	58.8	32.2
Turdus pilaris	5173	13779	1	1	1	2	694.5	697.9	339.4	338.9	351.6	33.5	33.1	-4.9	16.1
Turdus philomelos	6892	13336	2	1	1	2	695.8	701.4	335.4	339.8	38.1	70.3	55.3	43.3	17.3
Turdus iliacus	12476	14609	2	1	1	2	713.8	724.3	341.4	342.7	7.1	106.2	105.4	13.2	13.7
Turdus viscivorus	448	1675	2	1	2	2	705.4	706.7	333.2	336.4	68.6	34.5	12.6	32.1	16.2
Locustella naevia	20	39	3	1	1	2	681.0	685.3	337.2	337.8	8.0	43.4	43.0	6.0	22.4
Acrocephalus schoenobaenus	1234	1691	3	1	2	2	701.6	701.9	339.9	332.8	273.0	71.1	3.8	-71.0	18.5
Acrocephalus dumetorum	51	176	3	1	3	2	686.6	682.4	363.6	352.0	249.8	124.2	-42.9	-116.6	17.1
Acrocephalus palustris	39	86	3	1	2	2	672.5	671.3	331.0	316.7	265.3	144.0	-11.8	-143.5	19.8
Acrocephalus scirpaceus	349	265	3	1	1	1	668.7	668.6	315.5	316.9	97.0	14.0	-1.7	13.9	33.7
Hippolais icterina	164	182	2	1	2	2	667.2	670.2	321.2	324.9	50.8	47.7	30.1	36.9	25.9
Sylvia curruca	1467	2885	2	1	2	2	689.0	689.9	338.7	334.7	283.3	41.7	9.6	-40.6	27.4
Sylvia communis	1939	3147	1	1	2	2	677.9	678.0	329.0	328.3	273.3	7.5	0.4	-7.5	30.1
Sylvia borin	6484	9260	2	1	2	2	685.9	687.4	337.2	340.5	66.6	35.5	14.1	32.6	26.9
Sylvia atricapilla	628	1334	2	1	2	2	672.3	671.9	317.4	316.8	229.1	7.3	-4.8	-5.5	31.6
Phylloscopus trochiloides	88	216	2	1	3	2	681.8	679.1	348.1	341.9	246.9	67.8	-26.6	-62.3	22.4
Phylloscopus sibilatrix	2475	1966	2	1	1	2	680.1	682.8	342.1	343.9	33.0	32.1	26.9	17.4	26.7
Phylloscopus collybita	2430	3336	2	1	2	2	685.7	687.8	330.9	332.3	34.2	25.4	21.0	14.3	29.3
Phylloscopus trochilus	58187	76890	2	1	2	2	711.8	716.5	343.2	342.3	349.0	47.9	47.0	-9.1	13.7
Regulus regulus	3122	5344	2	0	1	2	684.5	689.5	330.2	331.0	9.0	50.7	50.1	8.0	26.0
Muscicapa striata	4340	6815	2	1	2	2	700.1	701.5	337.3	340.4	65.8	33.6	13.8	30.6	16.9
Ficedula parva	43	125	2	1	3	2	669.0	680.5	330.2	353.8	64.0	262.6	115.2	235.9	31.4
Ficedula hypoleuca	3157	6607	2	1	1	2	702.9	698.2	337.1	338.2	166.7	48.6	-47.3	11.2	16.7
Poecile montanus	2744	3700	2	0	4	2	697.4	706.2	333.4	346.2	55.7	155.0	87.4	128.0	18.7
Poecile cinctus	223	152	2	0	4	3	757.1	758.6	345.6	348.1	59.4	28.7	14.6	24.7	316.0
Lophophanes cristatus	1071	2169	2	0	4	2	681.8	683.4	329.8	342.0	82.8	122.6	15.3	121.6	28.8
Periparus ater	398	690	2	0	1	2	670.9	673.8	312.6	327.7	79.1	154.1	29.0	151.3	34.5
Cyanistes caeruleus	442	4257	2	0	1	2	671.0	681.3	319.1	327.7	39.7	134.6	103.6	85.9	25.6
Parus major	3815	13145	2	0	1	2	685.4	690.5	329.0	332.6	34.5	62.2	51.2	35.2	21.1
Certhia familiaris	523	1245	2	0	1	2	676.0	684.5	323.7	330.5	39.0	109.2	84.8	68.8	25.9
Oriolus oriolus	98	37	2	1	2	1	680.1	680.3	352.3	350.1	274.2	22.1	1.6	-22.0	25.3
Lanius collurio	352	335	1	1	2	2	676.0	677.8	329.9	336.3	74.6	67.0	17.8	64.6	27.9
Lanius excubitor	38	51	2	1	1	2	729.9	731.1	346.8	348.9	60.4	23.7	11.7	20.6	359.3
Garrulus glandarius	534	936	2	0	4	2	686.1	687.1	334.6	338.1	74.0	36.5	10.1	35.1	31.3
Perisoreus infaustus	264	339	2	0	4	2	743.7	752.0	351.8	348.8	340.0	88.3	83.0	-30.1	334.6
Pica pica	1397	2978	1	0	4	2	700.0	698.6	337.4	333.2	252.1	43.6	-13.4	-41.5	19.6
Corvus monedula	452	2663	1	0	1	2	676.9	682.9	316.8	322.7	44.8	84.8	60.2	59.7	36.6

Corvus corone	4179	5956	1	0	1	2	698.8	697.8	331.4	331.6	166.5	10.0	-9.7	2.3	15.6
Corvus corax	448	1129	2	0	4	2	734.2	715.8	336.1	336.9	177.3	184.5	-184.3	8.8	11.4
Sturnus vulgaris	2120	1165	1	1	1	2	680.7	675.2	328.9	319.2	240.3	111.2	-55.0	-96.6	29.2
Passer domesticus	1291	3970	1	0	4	2	683.6	697.8	333.4	331.8	353.7	142.4	141.5	-15.7	25.2
Fringilla coelebs	40860	72779	2	1	1	2	688.0	691.5	335.4	338.5	42.1	46.6	34.5	31.2	20.3
Fringilla montifringilla	17398	13105	2	1	1	2	747.8	754.1	348.1	347.4	353.7	64.0	63.6	-7.0	8.2
Carduelis chloris	575	5110	1	0	1	2	679.7	689.9	320.2	328.2	37.8	129.8	102.5	79.6	27.5
Carduelis spinus	7562	20079	2	1	1	2	695.7	705.7	341.2	341.2	359.9	100.4	100.4	-0.2	19.7
Carduelis cannabina	101	180	1	1	1	1	679.0	674.5	321.9	323.0	166.7	47.1	-45.8	10.8	33.6
Carduelis flammea	6215	5976	2	0	3	2	749.3	756.5	348.8	343.9	325.5	87.0	71.7	-49.2	4.4
Loxia leucoptera	123	113	2	0	4	3	742.5	749.8	352.5	348.5	331.8	82.8	73.0	-39.2	338.5
Loxia curvirostra	1868	4196	2	0	4	2	694.9	710.3	334.2	344.8	34.3	186.7	154.2	105.2	17.8
Loxia pytyopsittacus	213	295	2	0	4	2	712.2	713.6	337.4	342.0	72.7	47.9	14.2	45.7	13.2
Carpodacus erythrinus	2177	1952	3	1	3	2	693.6	697.4	347.0	343.0	313.5	54.8	14.2	45.7	28.9
Pinicola enucleator	107	62	2	0	4	3	757.1	753.1	347.3	351.8	131.5	60.8	37.7	-39.7	319.5
Pyrrhula pyrrhula	1169	2121	2	0	4	2	701.7	710.7	341.9	348.1	34.8	109.4	-40.3	45.5	21.0
Calcarius lapponicus	337	497	4	1	3	3	771.5	768.2	343.9	336.0	247.0	86.4	89.8	62.4	307.2
Plectrophenax nivalis	92	97	4	1	3	3	763.8	765.6	328.8	326.6	308.9	28.5	-33.8	-79.5	325.9
Emberiza citrinella	6044	9415	1	0	1	2	687.0	687.9	330.4	327.6	286.8	30.2	17.9	-22.2	21.9
Emberiza hortulana	1119	182	1	1	1	2	690.8	703.6	338.3	333.7	340.1	135.6	8.7	-28.9	29.2
Emberiza rustica	916	554	3	1	3	2	722.3	730.5	353.1	354.9	12.2	84.3	127.5	-46.2	12.2
Emberiza pusilla	77	106	3	1	3	3	744.2	742.6	354.4	354.4	180.5	16.5	82.4	17.8	319.6
Emberiza schoeniclus	1907	2490	3	1	1	2	727.8	735.2	338.2	339.5	9.6	74.8	-16.5	-0.1	10.3