# 1 Emulsification properties of polysaccharides from *Dioscorea*

# *opposita* Thunb.

- 3 Fanyi MA<sup>a</sup>, Yun ZHANG<sup>a</sup>, Yurong WEN<sup>a</sup>, Yanna YAO<sup>a</sup>, Jinhua ZHU<sup>a</sup>, Xiuhua Liu<sup>a</sup>\*,
- 4 Alan Bell<sup>b</sup>, Carina Tikkanen-Kaukanen<sup>c</sup>
- 5 a. Key Laboratory of Natural Medicine and Immune-Engineering of Henan Province,
- 6 Key Laboratory for Special Functional Materials of Ministry of Education,
- 7 Pharmaceutical College, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Henan
- 8 University, Kaifeng, 475004, China.
- 9 b. Department of Food and Nutritional Science, University of Reading, Whitenights,
- 10 Reading, RG6 6AP, UK
- 11 c. Ruralia Institute, University of Helsinki, Lönnrotinkatu 7, 50100 Mikkeli, Finland
- 12

2

- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17 \*Corresponding Author
- 18 Prof. Xiuhua LIU,
- 19 Key Lab. of Natural Medicine and Immune-Engineering of Henan Province,
- 20 College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering,
- 21 Henan University, Kaifeng, 475004, China.
- 22 Email: <u>liuxiuhua@henu.edu.cn</u>
- 23 Telephone: +86-371-22199505.

# 24 Abstract

25 This study investigated the emulsification properties of polysaccharides from 26 Dioscorea opposita Thunb.. Graded alcohol precipitation was used to extract Dioscorea 27 oppositapolysaccharides fractions (4 samples) in different ranges of molecular weight. 28 Sample 3 contained more glucose and protein (80.13% and 0.34%, respectively), and 29 molecular weight was approximately 34,790 Da, distributing narrowly. The droplet 30 sizes and stabilities of emulsions made ofgum arabic (GA) and polysaccharide samples 31 at different concentrations and ratios were measured, specifically the emulsions of GA 32 and medium-chain-triglycerides (MCT); polysaccharides and MCT; and 33 polysaccharides, GA and MCT (1:1:1). The results indicated that sample 2 and 3 had 34 emulsifying properties, and the emulsions made with sample 2, GA and MCT (1:1:1) 35 presented the best emulsification properties. Therefore, polysaccharides of Dioscorea 36 opposita could be utilised as a natural emulsifier that can be improved synergistically 37 with other emulsifiers, such as gum arabic.

38 Key Words: Chinese yam, *Dioscorea opposita* Thunb., polysaccharides, emulsification

39 properties

#### 40 Abbreviations:

CY	Chinese yam;	CYP	Chinese yam polysaccharides;
DOP	Dioscorea opposita Polysacch	arides;	
GA	Gum arabic;	MCT	Medium-chain-triglycerides;
MW	Molecular weight;	Mw	Weight-average molecular weight;
PDI	Polydispersity index;	Mn	Number-average molecular weight;
S1, S2, S	S3 and S4 Sample 1, Sample	2, Sampl	le 3 and Sample 4 of DOP;

# 42 **1. Introduction**

43 Currently, there is considerable interest in using the food grade polysaccharides 44 from natural plants in functional foods, dietary supplements, and health products 45 (Harding et al., 2011). Various yam species of the genus Dioscorea have been widely 46 used for health benefits in Asia for more than 2000 years. Dioscorea opposita Thunb., 47 one type of Chinese yam (CY), is listed as both an edible plant and a traditional herbal 48 medicine in China (Chang et al., 2004). Dioscorea opposita has been traditionally used 49 to treat anorexia, chronic diarrhea, diabetes, seminal emission and excessive leucorrhea, 50 as recorded in SHEN NONG BEN CAO JING, the earliest Chinese medicinal documents 51 (Gao et al., 2007; Zheng et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2011; Shi & Pan, 2010; Ye et al., 52 2010). The antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, neuro-protective and anti-cancer properties 53 of Chinese yam polysaccharides (CYP) have been investigated to understand the 54 scientific basis of their use as a functional food (Liu et al., 2008; Chan & Ng, 2013; 55 Chiu et al., 2013; Son et al., 2014).

According to Zhang et al. (2016), the high molecular weight (MW) of CYP could seriously affect its food applications and functions. Thus, this study was performed to extract polysaccharides from *Dioscorea opposita* (DOP) by the gradedalcohol precipitation. Zhao et al. (2005) analysed the structures of Chinese yam polysaccharides (CYP) and determined the water-soluble polysaccharide was a heteropolysaccharide containing  $(1\rightarrow 3)-\alpha$ -glucopyranose as a main chain and  $-\beta$ -galactopyranose-[ $(1\rightarrow 2)-\alpha$ -Mannopyranose]<sub>3</sub>- $(1\rightarrow 2)-\alpha$ -Mannopyranose-(1-6)- as a side chain. The MW was

3

42,000 Da. Yang et al. (2015) characterised structures of CYP and measured the MW
as 16,619 Da. The differences in the MW of CYP reported in literature may be caused
by species diversity from different locations and origins.

66 Nowadays, healthy and natural food products attracted concerns from consumers, who require food with better texture, taste, and other organoleptic properties (Li & Nie, 67 68 2016). Functional food products require scientific studies of dispersions, gels, and 69 emulsions that can be organised and arranged in complex internal microstructures 70 (Garti, 1999). Dickinson (2003) stated that one type of widely used hydrocolloid 71 emulsifier in food applications is galactomannans. Protein emulsifiers are also 72 traditionally excellent emulsifiers because they rapidly adsorb and rearrange molecular 73 structures at the interface to provide a coherent macromolecular protective layer 74 (Chanamai & McClements, 2002).

75 McClements (2005) illustrates that the droplet sizes and zeta-potential play an important role in determining the stability, appearance, texture and taste of the 76 77 emulsions in the final product. Therefore, in order to control the properties of emulsions, 78 it is required to obtain detailed quantitative information on the droplet size distribution 79 on the changes occurring (Horne, 1995). Medium-chain-triglycerides (MCT) are used 80 as a fat/lipid carrier to food flavours, essences, and pigments, which are widely used in 81 food industry (Télessy et al., 2009). Hence, the droplet diameters and zeta-potential 82 values of the oil/water (O/W) emulsions made by emulsifier with MCT were measured 83 and analysed in this study.

Therefore, DOP could be recognised as an emulsifier in food due to its compositions of glucose, galactose and mannose as main monosaccharides and protein fractions. This study investigated the emulsification properties of DOP, with gum arabic (GA) as the control emulsifier. Gum arabic is one of the most extensively used exudate gums and a food hydrocolloid that displays both emulsifying and emulsion stabilising properties(Nakauma et al., 2008; Yadav et al., 2007; Ma et al., 2015).

#### 90 **2. Materials and Methods**

#### 91 2.1. Materials

92 Dried slices of *Dioscorea opposita* Thunb. were purchased from Bao He Tang (Jiaozuo) 93 Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd. in Jiaozuo city, Henan provincewhereis located in the central 94 part of China and is famous for growingDioscorea opposita for nearly 2000 years. All 95 the chemicals and standard samples were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Co. Ltd, USA 96 and Tianjin Kemiou Chemical Reagent Co. Ltd, China. Analytical grade chemicals 97 were used.

#### 98 **2.2. Extraction of Dioscorea opposita polysaccharides (DOP)**

99 Four DOP samples (S1, S2, S3 and S4) were extracted and the flowchart is shown in 100 Fig. 1. According to the extraction method of Zhang et al. (2011) with modification, the 101 dried slices of *Dioscorea opposita* were grounded in a high speed disintegrator and 102 sifted through a 40-mesh sieve. 1.0 kg of the dried powder was extracted twice for 3 103 hrs at 80 °C water bathwith 8.0 L of ethanol (EtOH/H<sub>2</sub>O, 95% v/v) and then filtrated. 104 The precipitation was extracted twice for 3 hrs at 80 °C water bath with 8.0 L of 105 deionised water. The extracted solution was centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 10 min to 106 remove precipitation. 1/4 volume of ethanol was added and precipitated the residue III (discarded) for 24 hrs, which was approximately equal to 20% v/v ethanol 107 108 (concentration of ethanol, Ce). The supernatant I was concentrated to a 1/3 volume of 109 primary extracted solution, and ethanol (in amount equal to four times the volume of the concentrated solution) was added and crude polysaccharide (S1) was centrifuged to 110 111 obtain after 24 hrs.

112 The same process was operated until supernatant I, and subsequently, the crude 113 polysaccharides were collected by grading alcohol precipitation. Firstly, the supernatant 114 I was also concentrated to a 1/3 volume of primary extracted solution, and ethanol was 115 added for precipitating the polysaccharides II (S2) until Ce was about to 40% v/v for 24 116 hrs. The polysaccharides III (S3,  $C_e = 60\%$  v/v) and IV (S4,  $C_e = 80\%$  v/v) were 117 obtained by the same manner. The four samples were freeze dried for 3 days to the 118 constant weight to determine the DOP yield and stored in vacuum desiccators over P2O5 119 for further study.

120 **2.3. Analyses** 

#### 121 **2.3.1. Determination of glucose and protein content**

122 Protein content was detected using Coomassie brilliant blue (Bradford, 1976) and

the glucose content was determined using a phenol-sulphuric acid method (Dubois etal., 1956).

# 125 **2.3.2. Determination of molecular weight**

126	The weight-average molecular weight (Mw) and MW distributions (polydispersity
127	= Mw/Mn) of the DOP samples were measured using high performance size exclusion
128	chromatography attached to multiangle laser light scattering and refractive index
129	detector (HPSEC-MALLS-RID, Wyatt Technology Co., USA) with an OHpak SB-
130	802.5 HQ column (8.0 mm $\times$ 300 mm, Shodex Co., Japan). The mobile phase (0.1 M

- 131 NaNO<sub>3</sub>), was pumped (Waters, 515 HPLC Pump, USA) at the flow rate of 0.5 mL/min.
- 132 50.0  $\mu L$  of sample solution (1.8 mg/mL) was injected and the chromatogram was
- 133 analysed using ARTRAV software (Wyatt Technology Co., USA).

# 134 2.3.3. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM)

- TEM (JEM-2100, JEOL Ltd., Japan) was used to inspect the size and shape of the
  particles in the DOP sample solutions.
- 137 **2.4. Emulsification properties of DOP**

## 138 **2.4.1. Sample preparation**

139 (a) DOP samples were dissolved in deionised water (pH 7.0, conductance:  $18 \text{ m}\Omega$ ) 140 at different concentrations with gentle stirring at room temperature (20 °C)until 141 dispersed. The droplet distribution and zeta-potential were subsequently measured and 142 compared to find the appropriate concentration (x% w/v).

(b) The dispersions of DOP (x% w/v) and GA (x% w/v) were prepared at the ratios
of 1 : 1, 1 : 2, 1 : 3, 1 : 4, 2 : 3, 2 : 5 and 2 : 7.

145 (c) The medium-chain-triglyceride (MCT) was used as oil sample, and the ratio of

147 weight ratio of approximately 1:1" (Dickinson, 2003). Therefore, the ratio of DOP, GA

GA: MCT = 1:1 was used according to a source which defined a "high gum-to-oil"

148 and MCT were confirmed.

146

#### 149 **2.4.2. Emulsification measurements**

The droplet diameters (z-average/polydispersity index (PDI)) and stabilities (zeta-potential) of the emulsions were investigated using Malvern zeta-potential (Malvern-NanoZS90, Malvern Ltd., UK). In order to obtain comparable and representative data, the results were recorded as the averages plus or minus the standard deviation ( $n = 6, \pm SD$ ).

## 155 **3. Results and Discussion**

#### 156 **3.1. Yield, glucose contents, protein contents, and MW of DOP**

The yields (Y<sub>S</sub>) of the samples, theglucose and protein content in DOP samples
(%) are shown in Table 1(a). The extraction of crude polysaccharides (S1) was 4.66%,
consisting of 63.25% glucose and 0.21% protein. S2, S3, and S4 were extracted by the

160 graded alcohol precipitation, and the  $Y_s$  were 2.14%, 0.48% and 1.70%, respectively. 161 Although  $Y_{s3}$  was collected the lowest, S3 obtained the highest content of glucose and 162 protein (80.13% and 0.34% respectively). In S4, there was only approximately 56.45% 163 glucose and protein. The colour of S4 was pale in ethanol, and quickly changed to 164 brown as soon as exposing to air (it was the darkest in DOP samples, shown in Fig. 1), 165 which was considered to be catecholamine and leucoanthocyanidins (Martin & Ruberté, 166 1976).

167 Table 1(a) also shows the Mw and polydispersity of DOP samples. Since the DOP 168 samples are mixed macromolecular compounds, the values of weight-average 169 molecular weight (Mw) were considered to be more reliable compared to number-170 average molecular weight (Mn) (Rochas & Lahaye, 1989). The Mw of each DOP 171 sample was listed in descending order S1, S2, S3, and S4: 51,250 Da, 35,230 Da, 34,790 172 Da and 3,631 Da respectively. This suggested that the graded alcohol precipitation 173 separated the MW into small ranges. Mw was only one criterion. The polydispersity 174 (Mw/Mn) was another value to consider. Mw/Mn values close to 1 (1.5-2) mean the 175 distribution is narrow and the molecular weight is in a relatively small range (Xu et al., 176 2016).

The detailed molecular weight distributions were shown in Table 1(b). The ranges
of DOP samples were 5-500 kDa, 5-200 kDa, 10-200 kDa, and 0.5-20 kDa for S1, S2,
S3 and S4, respectively. Each sample was distributed differently, summarised into five
ranges as follow:

181 (i) 0-10 kDa, 37.89% (S1), 46.82% (S2), 0% (S3) and 99.61% (S4);

9

182 (	(ii) 10-20 kDa,	17.62% (S1	), 12.32% (	S2), 48.06%	(S3) and 0.39% (	S4);
		<b>`</b>			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

183 (iii) 20-100 kDa, 33.35% (S1), 33.32% (S2), and 46.95% (S3);

184 (iv) 100-200 kDa, 5.84% (S1), 5.20% (S2), 4.60% (S3).

185 (v) 200-500 kDa, 3.88% (S1).

As the results shown in Table 1(b), the crude polysaccharides (S1) had the widest MW range, as expected. The MW distribution of S3 was a relatively narrow range because the Mw/Mn was 1.671 (Table 1(a)) and in the range of 10-100 kDa (Table 1(b)). The distribution of S4 was 2.881 (Table 1(a)) and in the range of 0.5-20 kDa (Table 1(b)). The difference in Mw/Mn between S3 and S4 may be the results of the impurities of S4 substances containing catecholamine and leucoanthocyanidins.

#### 192 **3.2. Transmission Electron Microscope (TEM)**

Fig. 2 shows the morphology of DOP solutions by TEM. The crude 193 194 polysaccharides (S1) showed two different structures. S1-1 showed spherical particles 195 surrounded by feather-like structures, and S1-2 showed carbohydrate branches, which proves the various structures in crude polysaccharides and explains the wide range of 196 197 molecular weight. Both S2 and S3 showed globular particles, but the particles in S2 198 were coagulated and flocculated together while the granules in S3 were scattering and 199 distributed. There were two different structures in Fig. 2-S4: (a) the branches of 200 carbohydrate consisting of many small granules and (b) straight stick-like structures 201 linked by the small granules. The micrograph of S4 showed two obvious distinctive 202 structures and explained why the molecular weight distributions were wider than S3.

The MW of S4 was smaller than S3, which indicates the substances in S4, such as catecholamine and leucoanthocyanidins had smaller molecular weight than polysaccharides. Results demonstrated that the extraction, purification and preparation may affect the surface topography and structure of a polysaccharide (Nep & Conway, 207 2010).

#### 208 **3.3. Emulsification properties of DOP**

# 209 3.3.1. Particle sizes and zeta-potential of DOP

210 Table 2(a) shows the droplet diameters, PDI and zeta-potential of GA and DOP 211 solutions in different concentrations of 0.2%, 0.4%, 0.6%, 0.8% and 1.0% w/v. 212 Although the droplet diameters of GA were approximately from 0.16 µm to 0.28 µm, 213 there was significant difference between 0.2%, 0.4% and 0.6%. The droplet sizes of S1 214 and S2 generally increased with the concentration, but the diameters of S1 droplets were decreased from 2.21 $\mu$ m to 1.84  $\mu$ m(0.2% to 0.4% w/v, respectively). The droplet 215 216 diameters of S3 from 1.57µm to 1.63 µm to 1.70µm(0.2% to 0.4% to 0.6% w/v, respectively) dropped slightly to 1.40  $\mu$ m (0.8% w/v) and went up again until 1.43  $\mu$ m 217 218 at concentration of 1.0% w/v. The droplet diameters of S4 with high PDI were variable, 219 and zeta-potential ranged from -16.40 mV to -20.50 mV which was also variable. The 220 results tended to show slightly higher mean values for S1 due to the impurity. The appropriate concentration for the following study was determined to be 0.8% w/v. 221 222 Overall, the droplet diameters of DOP samples showed significant differences with GA.

223 Zeta-potential is an indicator to consider the stabilities of emulsions (Williams& 224 Phillips, 2009). According to the results shown in Table 2(a), most of samples were close to  $|\pm 30|$ . If the absolute values of zeta-potential are over 30, hydrocolloids are 225 considered to be stable; if the value of zeta-potential are less than  $|\pm 30|$ , hydrocolloids 226 227 tent to coagulated or flocculate (O'Brien et al., 1990). Therefore, GA, S1, S2 and S3 228 were considered to be stable solution with the exception of S4. The native pH values of 229 S1, S2, S3 and S4 were 6.88, 6.31, 6.71, and 6.86, respectively (data not shown). The zeta-potentials for all the samples were negative which may be caused by the acidic 230 231 environment and by the charges of the main amino acids, aspartic acid and glutamic 232 acid.

#### 233 **3.3.2.** Droplet diameters of DOP and GA dispersions at different ratios

Table 2(b) shows the droplet diameters, PDI and zeta-potential values of the 234 235 emulsions made of DOP and GA in different ratios (1:1, 2:3, 1:2, 2:5, 1:3, 2:7, 1)236 and 1: 4, respectively). Considering both droplet diameters and zeta potential, the 237 results showed the best ratio was 1 : 1. Arabinogalactan protein complex (AGP) 238 contributes to the emulsifications of GA and consists essentially of a protein fraction 239 and about five carbohydrate "blocks" (Al-Assaf et al., 2009; Dickinson, 2003). 240 According to Zhao et al. (2005), CYP is a heteropolysaccharide with  $(1\rightarrow 3)-\alpha$ glucopyranose as a main chain and  $-\beta$ -galactopyranose-[(1 $\rightarrow$ 2)- $\alpha$ -Mannopyranose]<sub>3</sub>-241 242  $(1\rightarrow 2)$ - $\alpha$ -Mannopyranose-(1-6)- as a side chain. According to Williams & Phillips 243 (2009), the high-molecular-weight-polysaccharide-protein complex improves the 12 overall solubility with consequent benefits for emulsification properties. Thus, the
combination of DOP and GA may improve the emulsification properties of both. The
proper ratio was measured and proposed.

247

# 3.3.3. Emulsification properties of DOP, GA and MCT

248 Table 3 shows the droplet diameters (µm), PDI and zeta-potential values (mV) of freshly prepared emulsions made by DOP and GA with medium chain triglycerides 249 (MCT). The distributions of peaks are shown in Fig. 3. The ratio of DOP : GA = 1 : 1250 251 was chosen due to previous work (section 3.3.2), and the ratio of GA : MCT = 1 : 1 was 252 used according to research which defined a "high gum-to-oil weight ratio of approximately 1:1" (Dickinson, 2003). The droplet diameter of emulsions made by GA: 253 254 MCT = 1 : 1 was approximately 1.78  $\mu$ m, smaller than the droplet sizes of MCT (0.8% 255 w/v, 2.44  $\mu$ m, data not shown). The droplet sizes of emulsions made by DOP samples 256 (S1, S2, S3 and S4) and MCT (1:1) were 2.17 µm, 1.22 µm, 1.55 µm and 1.38 µm, 257 respectively, which were also smaller than the size of MCT (0.8% w/v, 2.44  $\mu$ m). 258 Compared to the droplet diameters of GA and MCT (1.78 µm), S2, S3 and S4 had better 259 emulsification properties with MCT. However, the zeta-potential value of S2 was -27 260 mV, which was relatively low compared to other DOP samples, but not significantly different. Therefore, S2, S3 and S4 could be used as emulsifiers. 261

The glucose contents in S2 and S3 were approximately 64.43% and 80.13% respectively, and the molecular weight of S2 and S3 were around 35 kDa and 34 kDa, respectively (Table 1(a)). According to previous study, polysaccharide of Chinese yam 265 contained glucose, galactose and mannose and xylose (Zhao et al., 2005; Alves et al., 266 2002). Results suggested that not only protein and main chains of polysaccharides 267 (containing glucose) contributed to the emulsifying properties, side chains (containing galactose and mannose) also contributed. Therefore, emulsions of S2 with higher 268 269 molecular weight, less glucose content and protein content resulted in smaller droplet 270 sizes. S4 (precipitation at  $C_e = 80\%$ , MW  $\approx 3.5$  kDa) contained 56.45% glucose and 271 protein, and other chemical substance, such as catecholamine and leucoanthocyanidins, 272 which ultimately affected negatively on the emulsification properties of S4.

273 In order to investigate the emulsification properties of combinations (GA and DOP 274 samples), the emulsification properties of DOP : GA : MCT = 1 : 1 : 1 (0.8% w/v) were studied. Table 3(III) shows the droplet diameters of emulsions made of DOP, GA and 275 276 MCT (1 : 1 : 1, respectively), and only S2 showed smaller droplet sizes (0.94  $\mu$ m). The 277 droplet diameters of emulsions made of S4, GA and MCT was extremely large (18.42) 278  $\mu$ m) which may be resulted by the larger amount of small molecular weight impure 279 chemical substances. The results showed the best emulsification properties were from 280 S2 : GA : MCT (1 : 1 : 1), which suggests that the combination and synergistic effects 281 of S2 and GA could improve the emulsification of both components.

# 282 **4. Conclusion**

283 Considering the tremendous focus on healthy and natural food products and the 284 sensory evaluations required of consumers, the emulsification properties of 285 polysaccharides from *Dioscorea opposita* Thunb. were studied to identify a potential 14 286 emulsifier. In addition to glucose content, protein content and MW distributions were 287 also studied. The droplet diameters and zeta-potential of solutions made by GA and 288 DOP in different concentrations and ratios were studied, especially the emulsions of GA and MCT (1 : 1); DOP and MCT (1 : 1); and DOP, GA and MCT (1 : 1: 1). The S2 289 290 and S3 had emulsifying properties and the emulsions made by S2 : GA : MCT (1 : 1 : 291 1) showed the best emulsification properties. While the beverage industry has keen 292 interest in high quality and natural emulsifiers, DOP could be utilised as a natural emulsifier that can be improved synergistically with other emulsifiers, such as GA. 293

294

# 295 Acknowledgments

We are grateful for financial support from College Science and Technology Innovation Team Program of Henan Province (No. 14IRTSTHN030), and the Joint Fund for Fostering Talents of National Natural Science Foundation of China and Henan province (No. U1204304).

# 300 **References**

- 301 Al-Assaf, S., Sakata, M., McKenna, C., Aoki, H. & Phillips, G.O. (2009). Molecular
- 302 associations in acacia gums. *Structural Chemistry*, 20(2),325-336.
- 303 Alves, R.M., Grossmann, M.V., Ferrero, C., Zaritzky, N.E., Martino, M.N. & Sierakoski,
- 304 M.R. (2002). Chemical and functional characterization of products obtained from
  305 yam tubers. *Starch Stärke*, *54*(10), 476-481.

306	Bradford, M.M. (1976). A refined and sensitive method for the quantification of
307	microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding.
308	Analytical Biochemistry, 72.248-254.

- 309 Chan, Y.S. & Ng, T.B.(2013). A lectin with highly potent inhibitory activity toward
- 310 breast cancer cells from edible tubers of *Dioscorea opposita* cv. Nagaimo. *PLOS*,

3118(1),523-532.

- 312 Chang, S., Lee, Y., Liu, S. & Chang, T. (2004). Chinese yam (Dioscorea alata cv.
- 313 Tainung No. 2) feeding exhibited antioxidative effects in hyperhomocysteinemia

rats. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 52(6), 1720-1725.

- 315 Chanamai, R. & McClements, D.J. (2002). Comparison of gum arabic, modified starch,
- and whey protein isolate as emulsifiers: influence of pH, CaCl<sub>2</sub> and temperature. *Journal of Food Science*, 67(1), 120-125.
- 318 Chiu, C., Deng, J., Chang, H., Chen, Y., Lee, M., Hou, W., Lee, C., Huang, S. & Huang,
- 319 G.(2013). Antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties of Taiwanese yam
- 320 (Dioscorea japonica Thunb. var. pseudojaponica (Hayata) Yamam.) and its
- 321 reference compounds. *Food Chemistry*, *141*(2), 1087-1096.
- 322 Dickinson, E. (2003). Hydrocolloids at interfaces and the influence on the properties of
  323 dispersed systems. *Food Hydrocolloids*, *17*(1), 25-39.
- 324 Dubois, M., Gilles, K.A., Hamilton, J.K., Rebers, P.A. & Smith, F. (1956). Colorimetric
- 325 method for determination of sugars and related substances. *Analytical Chemistry*,
- 326 **28(3)**, 350-356.

- 327 Gao, X.P., Li, B.G., Jiang, H.W., Liu, F.L., Xu, D.Y. & Liu, Z.R. (2007). Dioscorea
- 328 *opposita reverses* dexamethasone induced insulin resistance. *Fitoterapia*, 78(1),
  329 12-15.
- Garti, N. (1999). What can nature offer from an emulsifier point of view: trends and
  progress?*Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects, 152*(1),125-146.
- 333 O'Brien, R.W., Midmore, B.R., Lamb, A., & Hunter, R.J. (1990). Electroacoustic
- studies of moderately concentrated colloidal suspensions. *Faraday Discussions of the Chemical Society*, 90(6),301-312.
- Harding, S.E., Smith, I.H., Lawson, C.J., Gahler, R.J. & Wood, S. (2011). Studies on
- macromolecular interactions in ternary mixtures of konjac glucomannan, xanthan
  gum and sodium alginate. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, *83*, 329-338.
- Li, J. & Nie, S. (2016). The functional and nutritional aspects of hydrocolloids in foods.
- *Food Hydrocolloids*, *53*, 46-61.
- Liu, J., Yang, F., Lu, C., Yang, Y., Wen, C., Hua, K. & Wu, S.(2008). Polysaccharides
- from Dioscorea batatas induce tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$  secretion via toll-like receptor 4-mediated protein kinase signaling pathways. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, *56*(21), 9892-9898.
- 345 Ma, F., Bell, A.E. & Davis, F.J. (2015). Effects of high-hydrostatic pressure and pH
- 346 treatments on the emulsification properties of gum arabic. *Food Chemistry*, 184,
- 347 114-121.

348	Martin, F.W. & Ruberté, R. (1976). The polyphenol of Dioscorea alata (yam) tubers
349	associated with oxidative browning. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry,
350	<b>24</b> (1), 67-70.

- 351 Nakauma, M., Funami, T., Noda, S., Ishihara, S., Al-Assaf, S., Nishinari, K., & Phillip,
- G.O. (2008). Comparison of sugar beet pectin, soybean soluble polysaccharide,
  and gum arabic as food emulsifiers. 1. Effect of concentration, pH and salts on the
  emulsifying properties. *Food Hydrocolloids*, 22(7), 1254-1267.
- Nep, E.I. & Conway, B.R. (2010). Characterization of grewia gum, a potential
  pharmaceutical excipient. *Journal of Excipients and Food Chemicals*, 1(1), 30-40.
- 357 Rochas, C. & Lahaye, M. (1989). Average molecular weight and molecular weight
- distribution of agarose and agarose-type polysaccharides. *Carbohydrate Polymers*,
  10(4), 289-298.
- Shi, Y. & Pan, T. (2010). Anti-diabetic effects of monascus purpureus NTU 568
  fermented products on streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 58(13), 7634-7640.
- Son, I.S., Lee, J.S., Lee, J.Y. & Kwon, C.S.(2014). Antioxidant and anti-inflammatory
  effects of yam (Dioscorea batatas Decne.) on azoxymethane-induced colonic
  aberrant crypt foci in F344 rats. *Preventive Nutrition and Food Science*, *19*(2),8288.
- 367 Télessy, I.G., Balogh, J., Csempesz, F., Szente, V., Dredán, J. & Zelkó, R. (2009).
- 368 Comparison of the physicochemical properties of MCT-containing fat emulsions
- 369 in total nutrient admixtures. *Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces*, 72(1),75-79.

- 370 Williams, P.A. & Phillips, G.O. (2009). Handbook of Hydrocolloids (2<sup>nd</sup> Edition).
- 371 Cambridge: Woodhead Publishing Limited.
- 372 Xu, Z., Li, X., Feng, S., Liu, J., Zhou, L., Yuan, M. & Ding, C. (2016). Characteristics
- 373 and bioactivities of different molecular weight polysaccharides from camellia seed

374 cake. International Journal of Biological Macromolecules, 91, 1025-1032.

- 375 Yadav, M.P., Igartuburu, M., Yan, Y. & Nothangel, E.A. (2007). Chemical investigation
- of the structural basis of the emulsifying activity of gum arabic. *Food Hydrocolloids*, *21*(2), 297-308.
- 378 Yang, W., Wang, Y., Li, X. & Yu, P. (2015). Purification and structural characterization
- of Chinese yam polysaccharide and its activities. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, *117*(5),
  1021-1027.
- Ye, X., Song, C., Yuan, P.& Mao, R. (2010). α-Glucosidase and α-amylase inhibitory
  activity of common constituents from traditional Chinese medicine used for
  diabetes mellitus. *Chinese Journal of Natural Medicines*, 8(5),349-352.
- Zhang, Z., Wang, X., Liu, C. & Li, J. (2016). The degradation, antioxidant and
   antimutagenic activity of the mucilage polysaccharide from Dioscorea opposita.
   *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 150, 227-231.
- Zhang, L., Bai, B., Liu, X., Wang, Y., Li, M. & Zhao, D. (2011). α-Glucosidase
  inhibitors from Chinese yam (*Dioscorea opposita* Thunb.) Food Chemistry, *126*(1),203-206.

- Zhao, G., Kan, J., Li, Z. & Chen, Z. (2005).Structural features and immunological
  activity of a polysaccharide from *Dioscorea opposita* Thunb roots. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 61(2), 125-131.
- 393 Zheng, K.Y., Zhang, Z., Zhou, W., Cao, H. & Xiang, F. (2014). New phenanthrene
- 394 glycosides from Dioscorea opposita. Journal of Asian Natural Products Research,
- 395 *16*(2), 148-152.

 Table 1. The yield, glucose content, protein content, molecular weight and molecular

 weight distributions of *Dioscorea opposita* polysaccharides (DOP)

(a) Values for the yield, glucose content, protein content, molecular weight and

	<b>S1</b>	<b>S2</b>	<b>S</b> 3	<b>S4</b>
Yield (%)	$4.66\pm0.15$	$2.14\pm0.12$	$0.48\pm0.01$	$1.70 \pm 0.10$
Glucose Content (%)	$63.25\pm3.01$	$64.43 \pm 5.18$	$80.13 \pm 3.61$	$56.37\pm6.09$
Protein Content (%)	$0.21\pm0.01$	$0.12\pm0.04$	$0.34\pm0.01$	$0.08\pm0.007$
Polydispersity				
Mw/Mn	4.344	3.278 1.671		2.881
Molar mass moments (	(g/mol)			
Mn	11,800	10,750	20,820	1,260
Mw	51,250	51,250 35,230		3,631

molecular polydispersity of DOP

Note: The results were recorded as average  $\pm$  SD; Mn = number-average molecular

weight; Mw = weight-average molecular weight.

	Molecular weight Distributions (kDa)								
<b>S1</b>	5-10	10-20	20-	40 40	-100	100-200	200-500		
%	37.89	17.62	20.4	6 1	2.89	5.84	3.88		
		<b>–</b> 10	10 00	20 40	40 (0	(0 100	100 000		
<b>S</b> 2	5-7	7-10	10 - 20	20 - 40	40-60	60-100	100 - 200		
%	32.5	14.32	12.32	18.71	8.34	6.27	5.2		
<b>S3</b>	10-15	15-20	20-	40 40	0-60	60-100	100-200		
%	26.24	21.82	28.5	4	9.47	8.67	4.6		
<b>S4</b>	0.5-1	1-	-2	2-5	5	-10	10-20		
%	40.4	20.	.96	21.21	1	7.04	0.39		

(b) The molecular weight distributions of DOP

**Table 2.** Droplet diameters (z-average,  $\mu$ m), polydispersity index (PDI), and zeta-potential (mV) of the solutions made of GA/MCT/DOP samples at different concentrations (a), and different ratios of DOP with GA (b)

<b>Droplet diameters</b> (z-average $\mu$ m ± standard deviation and mean PDI in parentheses)									
	Concentrations (% w/v)								
	0.2%	0.4%	0.6%	0.8%	1.0%				
GA	$0.16 \pm 0.02^{a} (0.43)$	$0.28 \pm 0.04^{ab} \ (0.53)$	$0.20 \pm 0.01^{\rm bc} (0.54)$	$0.28 \pm 0.03^{acd} (0.57)$	$0.29 \pm 0.01^{\mathrm{ace}} \ (0.38)$				
<b>S1</b>	$2.21 \pm 0.06^{\mathrm{af}}  (0.53)$	$1.84 \pm 0.08^{bfg} \ (0.49)$	$1.85 \pm 0.08^{\rm cfh} \ (0.93)$	$1.86 \pm 0.02^{\rm dfi} \ (0.28)$	$1.87 \pm 0.04^{efj} (0.21)$				
<b>S2</b>	$0.61 \pm 0.07^{afk} \ (0.39)$	$0.63 \pm 0.06^{\text{bgl}} \ (0.52)$	$0.67 \pm 0.07^{\text{chklm}} (0.39)$	$0.73 \pm 0.02^{diklmn}$ (0.30)	$0.74 \pm 0.07^{ejklmo} \ (0.28)$				
<b>S</b> 3	$1.57 \pm 0.06^{afkp} (0.41)$	$1.63 \pm 0.03^{\text{bglq}}  (0.18)$	$1.70 \pm 0.10^{\rm cmr} \ (0.28)$	$1.40 \pm 0.06^{dinpqrs}$ (0.23)	$1.43 \pm 0.06^{ejopqrt} (0.16)$				
<b>S4</b>	$0.76 \pm 0.10^{afkpu} (0.77)$	$0.67 \pm 0.04^{bgqv} (0.75)$	$1.52 \pm 0.01^{\text{chmuvw}} (0.51)$	$0.87 \pm 0.06^{\text{dinsvwx}} (0.68)$	$1.32 \pm 0.01^{\text{ejotuvwx}} (0.35)$				
Zeta-p	otential (mV)								

			Concentrations (% w/v)		
	0.2%	0.4%	0.6%	0.8%	1.0%
GA	$-27.70 \pm 3.27$	$-28.70 \pm 0.66$	$-24.47 \pm 0.56$	$-21.90 \pm 0.53$	$-22.80 \pm 0.53$
<b>S1</b>	$-27.60 \pm 0.46$	$-24.88 \pm 0.43$	$-23.97 \pm 0.57$	$-22.77 \pm 0.86$	$-21.63 \pm 0.25$
<b>S2</b>	$-30.30 \pm 0.95$	$-26.70 \pm 1.25$	$-26.33 \pm 0.57$	$-24.87\pm0.83$	$-23.60 \pm 0.54$
<b>S3</b>	$-29.95 \pm 0.82$	$-27.83 \pm 0.75$	$-27.28 \pm 0.67$	$-25.40 \pm 0.22$	$-23.92 \pm 0.65$
<b>S4</b>	$-18.43 \pm 1.21$	$-20.50 \pm 0.26$	$-22.20 \pm 1.05$	$-16.57 \pm 0.55$	$-16.40 \pm 1.18$

(a) Droplet diameters (µm), PDI and zeta-potential (mV) of GA and DOP solutions at different concentrations

Note: Data are reported as the mean of 6 replicates, and the results are presented as the mean  $\pm$  SD. Paired symbols a to x showed significant difference (P < 0.05)

(b) Droplet diameters (z-average,  $\mu$ m), PDI and zeta-potential (mV) of the emulsion made by DOP and GA at different ratios (Concentrations of DOP = 0.80% w/v)

Dro	<b>Droplet diameters</b> (z-average $\mu$ m ± standard deviation and mean PDI in parentheses)									
				Ratios of DOP : GA						
	1:1	2:3	1:2	2:5	1:3	2:7	1:4			
<b>S1</b>	$1.65 \pm 0.04 \ (0.30)$	$2.12 \pm 0.07 \ (0.59)$	$2.06 \pm 0.07 \ (0.35)$	3.20 ± 0.03 (0.58)	4.33 ± 0.07 (0.54)	$5.30 \pm 0.07 \ (0.37)$	4.34 ± 0.07 (0.45)			
<b>S2</b>	<b>S2</b> $1.52 \pm 0.04 (0.47)$ $2.30 \pm 0.12 (0.57)$ $3.92 \pm 0.09 (0.54)$ $1.71 \pm 0.000 (0.54)$		$1.71 \pm 0.06 \ (0.50)$	$2.32 \pm 0.06 \ (0.57)$	$1.22 \pm 0.09 \ (0.40)$	1.85 ± 0.03 (0.44)				
<b>S</b> 3	$1.87 \pm 0.07 (0.44)$ $2.15 \pm 0.05 (0.17)$ $1.89 \pm 0.06 (0.17)$		1.89 ± 0.06 (0.30)	5.08 ± 0.09 (0.38)	6.12 ± 0.03 (0.46)	4.51 ± 0.10 (0.73)	4.46 ± 0.05 (0.19)			
<b>S4</b>	$0.29 \pm 0.10 (0.73)$ $0.33 \pm 0.09 (0.67)$ $0.56 \pm 0.03 (0.94)$		$0.29 \pm 0.00 \ (0.57)$	$0.26 \pm 0.04 \ (0.69)$	$0.26 \pm 0.02 \ (0.66)$	$0.55 \pm 0.02 \; (0.87)$				
Zeta	-potential (mV)									
				<b>Ratios of DOP : GA</b>						
	1:1	2:3	1:2	2:5	1:3	2:7	1:4			
<b>S1</b>	$-29.33 \pm 0.40$	$-29.01 \pm 0.55$	$-27.30 \pm 0.17$	$-26.67 \pm 0.38$	$-25.07 \pm 0.29$	$-23.8 \pm 0.95$	$-22.70 \pm 0.30$			
<b>S2</b>	$-29.40 \pm 0.30$	$\textbf{-29.01} \pm 0.58$	$\textbf{-28.30} \pm 0.20$	$-28.13 \pm 0.55$	$-28.13 \pm 0.31$	$-29.83\pm0.95$	$-28.30 \pm 0.26$			
<b>S3</b>	$-24.23 \pm 0.42$	$\textbf{-28.47} \pm 0.42$	$-23.73 \pm 0.45$	$-27.60 \pm 0.66$	$-27.60 \pm 0.66$	$-29.67\pm0.70$	$-22.50 \pm 0.72$			
<b>S4</b>	$-23.63 \pm 0.50$	$-21.17 \pm 0.55$	$-21.30 \pm 0.17$	$-21.00 \pm 0.85$	$-20.37 \pm 0.85$	$-20.17 \pm 0.35$	$-19.90 \pm 0.52$			

Note: Data are reported as the mean of 6 replications, and the results are presented as the mean  $\pm$  SD

Table 3. Droplet diameters (z-average, µm), polydispersity index (PDI), and zeta-potential (mV) of emulsions made of GA and MCT,

	(I) GA		(II)	МСТ	(III) GA + MCT	
	z-average (d. μm) (mean PDI)	zeta-potential (mV)	z-average (d. μm) (mean PDI)	zeta-potential (mV)	z-average (d. μm) (mean PDI)	zeta-potential (mV)
МСТ	$1.78 \pm 0.09^{a} (0.16)$	$-29.08\pm0.97$				
<b>S1</b>	$1.65 \pm 0.04^{b} (0.30)$	$-29.33 \pm 0.40$	$2.17 \pm 0.08^{abf} (0.56)$	$\textbf{-27.00} \pm 0.40$	$2.33 \pm 0.06^{abj} \ (0.40)$	$-31.47 \pm 0.81$
<b>S2</b>	$1.52 \pm 0.04^{abc} \ (0.47)$	$\textbf{-29.40} \pm 0.30$	$1.22 \pm 0.06^{\text{acfg}} (0.57)$	$\textbf{-29.90} \pm 0.75$	$0.94 \pm 0.05^{acgjk} (0.49)$	$-29.47 \pm 1.27$
<b>S3</b>	$1.87 \pm 0.07^{bcd} \ (0.44)$	$\textbf{-24.23} \pm 0.42$	$1.55 \pm 0.06^{adfgh} (0.28)$	$\textbf{-29.20} \pm 0.36$	$2.48 \pm 0.05^{adhkl} (0.53)$	$-29.30 \pm 0.30$
<b>S4</b>	$0.29 \pm 0.10^{abcde} \ (0.73)$	$-23.63 \pm 0.50$	$1.38 \pm 0.02^{\text{aefghi}} (0.84)$	$\textbf{-29.00} \pm 0.96$	$18.42 \pm 0.44^{\text{aeijkl}} (0.34)$	$-27.53 \pm 0.12$

DOP and MCT, and DOP, GA and MCT

Note: The concentration of each sample was 0.8% w/v. The samples consisted of : (i) GA and MCT; (ii) GA : MCT = 1 : 1; (iii) DOP :

GA = 1 : 1; (iv) DOP : MCT = 1 : 1; and (v) DOP : GA: MCT = 1 : 1 : 1. Data are reported as the mean of 6 replicates, and the results are

presented as the mean  $\pm$  standard deviation. Paired symbols a to 1 showed significant difference (P < 0.05)



Fig. 1. Flowchart describing the extraction of Dioscorea opposita polysaccharides



**Fig. 2.** Micrographs of *Dioscorea opposita* polysaccharide solutions by TEM. S1, S3 and S4 are shown at a magnification of  $\times$ 5000 and S2 is shown at a magnification of  $\times$ 20,000



**Fig. 3.** Droplet sizes and distributions of the freshly prepared emulsions. The concentration of each sample was 0.8% w/v, including MCT, GA, GA : MCT = 1 : 1; DOP : MCT = 1 : 1, and DOP : GA : MCT = 1 : 1 : 1. The droplet diameter of the emulsion made by S4 : GA : MCT = 1 : 1 : 1 was too large (not shown). N/A = not available; Data was used as mean from 6 replications