# Journal Pre-proof

Last deglaciation in the central Balkan Peninsula: Geochronological evidence from the Jablanica Mt. (North Macedonia)

Zsófia Ruszkiczay-Rüdiger, Zoltán Kern, Marjan Temovski, Balázs Madarász, Ivica Milevski, Régis Braucher, Didier Bourlès, Georges Aumaître, Karim Keddadouche, ASTER Team

Please cite this article as: Z. Ruszkiczay-Rüdiger, Z. Kern, M. Temovski, et al., Last deglaciation in the central Balkan Peninsula: Geochronological evidence from the Jablanica Mt. (North Macedonia), *Geomorphology*(2019), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2019.106985

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Last deglaciation in the central Balkan Peninsula: geochronological evidence from the Jablanica Mt. (North Macedonia)

Zsófia Ruszkiczay-Rüdiger[1]*, Zoltán Kern[1], Marjan Temovski[1, 2], Balázs Madarász[3], Ivica Milevski[4], Régis Braucher[5], ASTER Team[5,6]

[1]   Institute for Geological and Geochemical Research, Research Centre for Astronomy and Earth Sciences; Budaörsi út 45. 1112 Budapest, Hungary

[2]   Isotope Climatology and Environmental Research Centre, Institute for Nuclear Research, Bem tér 18/c, 4026, Debrecen, Hungary

[3]   Geographical Institute, Research Centre for Astronomy and Earth Sciences; Budaörsi út 45. 1112 Budapest, Hungary

[4]   Institute of Geography, Faculty of Natural Sciences and Mathematics, Ss. Cyril and Methodius University, Arhimedova 3. 1000 Skopje, Macedonia

[5]   CEREGE, Aix-Marseille Univ., CNRS-IRD UM34, Collége de France, INRA, BP 80, 13545 Aix-en-Provence Cedex 4, France

[6]   Didier Bourlès, Georges Aumaître, Karim Keddadouche

*   corresponding author: rrzsofi@geochem.hu

Abstract

Several studies applied numerical age determination methods to examine glacial phases of the central Balkan Peninsula. However, the resulting datasets are contradictory, meaning that further discussion is needed. This study provides [10]Be cosmic ray exposure (CRE) ages of a succession of glacial landforms in the Jablanica Mt. (North Macedonia), aiming at a better understanding of Late Pleistocene glacier development in the area. On the basis of the mapped glacial landforms, six glacial

stages were identified and their mean equilibrium line altitudes (ELAs) were estimated. The CRE ages of five glacial stages - from the second oldest to the youngest - were determined between $16.8^{+0.8}/_{-0.5}$ ka and $13.0^{+0.4}/_{-0.9}$ ka. Accordingly, the most extensive glaciation in the Jablanica Mt. occurred before ~17 ka. The average ELA of the glaciers was 1792±18 m a.s.l. during the largest ice extent, and 2096±18 m during the last phase of the deglaciation.

Independent reconstructions of key climatic drivers of glaciological mass balance suggest that glacial re-advances during the deglaciation were associated to cool summer temperatures before ~15 ka. The last glacial stillstand apparently resulted from a modest drop in summer temperature coupled with increased winter snow accumulation. In the study area no geomorphological evidence for glacier advance after $\sim13^{+0.4}/_{-0.9}$ ka could be found. On the basis of independent climate proxies we propose that the last glacier advance occurred no later than ~13 ka, and glaciers were withdrawing during the Younger Dryas when low temperatures were combined with dry winters.

Keywords: cosmogenic nuclide, glacial geomorphology, paleoclimate, Mediterranean

1. Introduction

An impressive Alpine landscape characterizing the elevated terrains of the highest ranges on the Balkan Peninsula was already reported a century ago and interpreted as a result of Quaternary glaciations (Cvijič, 1889, 1917). However, only some small glacierets or permanent firn patches are currently present in the highest ranges of the area (Gachev et al., 2016).

Since the 19th century, geomorphological studies in the currently unglaciated central Balkan Peninsula described extended glacial landforms and evidence for repeated glaciations (e.g., Menković et al., 2004; Milivojević et al., 2008; Djurović, 2009; Temovski et al., 2018). The most extended glaciers in the Balkan Peninsula formed in the Orjen, Durmitor and Prokletije Mountains (Hughes et al., 2010a, 2011, Hughes and Woodward, 2016) (Fig. 1A,B). The described ice caps in the coastal

ranges along the Adriatic Sea and the apparently limited extent of inland glaciations suggest that large ice-fields along the coastal ranges blocked the inland penetration of humid air masses from south to north, resulting in significantly drier conditions in the Balkan interior and in the Pannonian Basin compared to the coastal margin of the Balkan Peninsula (Hughes et al., 2010a).

Existing geochronological studies provide limited insights in the chronology of the glaciations of the area. Determination of cosmic ray exposure (CRE) ages of glacial landforms was performed in the Šar Mts, (Kosovo-Macedonia; Kuhlemann et al., 2009), in the Rila Mts (Bulgaria; Kuhlemann et al., 2013) and in the Pelister Mt. (Macedonia; Ribolini et al., 2017) using in situ-produced cosmogenic ^{10}Be, in the Galičica Mt (Macedonia; Gromig et al., 2018), Velež and Crvanj Mts (Bosnia and Herzegovina; Žebre et al., 2019) and in the Chelmos and Olympus Mountains (Greece, Pope et al., 2017; Styllas et al., 2018) using in situ produced cosmogenic ^{36}Cl. Minimum ages for moraine formation in limestone mountains of Montenegro and Greece are provided by U-series ages of the carbonate cement of the morainic material (Hughes et al., 2007, 2010, 2011).

Considering the available numerical ages, repeated glaciations affected the region since the Middle Pleistocene (Hughes, 2010; Hughes et al., 2011) up to the Lateglacial (in this study the period between the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) and the Holocene: ~18-11.7 ka) (Hughes, 2010; Ribolini et al., 2017). The terminal moraines of the most extensive glaciations were considered as LGM in age (22.1 ± 4.3 ka in the Northern Hemisphere; Shakun and Carlson, 2010) in the central Balkan Peninsula (Kuhlemann et al., 2009, 2013), while they very likely were produced by pre-LGM glaciations along the Adriatic Coastal Ranges (Hughes et al., 2007, 2010, 2011). As a further complication, a recent study on the Adriatic coast placed the largest glaciers to the Lateglacial (Žebre et al., 2019). Such ambiguous data encourage further investigations. In this context, it is of key interest to constrain the chronology of the glacio-geomorphological evidence of Jablanica Mt. (Temovski et al., 2018) since this range is situated at the transition zone between these sub-regions of the Balkan Peninsula apparently characterized by distinct Late Pleistocene glacial history. Indeed, these quantified differences between the obtained glacial chronologies might be useful indicators of spatial and temporal paleoclimatic variability in SE Europe.

The present study aims at the age determination of the subsequent deglaciation phases of the Jablanica Mt. (North Macedonia; Fig. 1), including the locally largest and smallest glacier extents. Equilibrium line altitudes (ELAs) are estimated using the reconstructed glaciers for each phase. Based on the original data obtained, this study brings new information to the large puzzle of the Quaternary climate history of SE Europe. In addition, these new data will enable a better understanding of the climate pattern in the transition zone between the Mediterranean and Central European areas.

2. The study area

2.1. Geological and geomorphological setting

Jablanica Mt. is a NNW-SSE oriented mountain range, located along the border between Albania and North Macedonia in the central part of the Balkan Peninsula (Fig. 1A,B). It belongs to the Dinaride-Hellenide mountain belt and is composed mostly of Mesozoic sediments overlying Paleozoic metamorphic rocks that are intruded by Jurassic mafic-ultramafic magmatic rocks, with foothills partly covered by Neogene sediments. Morphologically, Jablanica Mt is divided into three parts, of which the middle part is the highest (Crn Kamen, 2257 m), with a glacially sculptured plateau-like crest from which glacial valleys descend mostly eastward.

Primary and secondary cirques were identified in the upper valley parts, which are usually wide and not well developed (Temovski et al., 2018). The glacial valleys are generally steep with several glacial steps and wide U-shaped cross-sections. This suggests that during the most extended glacial phases, the glacial erosion was most effective in the valleys closer to the former ELA (Barr and Spagnolo, 2015). Lateral moraines are the best preserved glacial accumulation landforms. Terminal moraines of the younger phases are well preserved, but those related to the older, more extended glaciations were mostly destroyed by subsequent fluvial erosion.

The Jurassic conglomerate crops out only at the crest of the Jablanica Mt. (Fig. 1C). Further down-valley, boulders of this lithology are erratic and prove the glacial origin of a sediment or landform

(Dumurdžanov and Ivanovski, 1978; Temovski et al., 2018). Glacial landforms are best preserved in the Vevčani valley and are almost absent in the Pupuljak valley, the northern branch of the upper Labuništa valley, where the presence of Jurassic conglomerates in the cirque area is very limited (Fig. 1C). Glacial sediments were mapped and classified into six chronostratigraphic members (Temovski et al., 2018). The deglaciation phases of this study were named after these chronostratigraphic units.

The Vevčani Member is best preserved in the Vevčani valley at elevations of ~1100 m a.s.l. where large (meter-scale) boulders of Jurassic conglomerate are present on the valley side. In the other valleys, blockfields with large angular or subrounded conglomerate boulders are present at similar elevations, suggesting the former extent of the glaciers. The Vevčani Phase is the most extensive glacial stage that could be mapped in the study area.

Lateral moraines of the Kutel Member were mapped in the Vevčani valley and the position of glacier terminations in all three valleys could be tentatively mapped using geomorphological indices like changes in valley slope, and shape. Remnants of the lateral moraines belonging to the Leništa member were mapped in all valleys, but locations of glacier terminations were estimated as for the previous phase.

Moraines belonging to the subsequent Golina, Lokva and Lincura Phases are better preserved, with the highest moraines located at elevations of 2020-2070 m a.s.l. (Fig. 2).

Fig. 1. The study area. A. location of Macedonia; B location of the Jablanica Mt. (red rectangle). Mountain ranges mentioned in the text are shown by numbers: 1- Velež Mt., 2 – Crvanj Mt., 3 – Durmitor Mt., 4 – Orjen Mt., 5 – Prokletije Mt., 6 – Šar Mts, 7 – Rila Mts, 8 – Pelister Mt., 9 – Galičica Mt., 10 – Pindus Mt., 11 – Olympus Mt., 12 – Chelmos Mt. O – Ohrid Lake, P – Prespa Lake, M – Maliq Lake C. Main glacial-geomorphological features of the studied valleys with the distribution of glacial sediments (modified after Temovski et al., 2018). Green numbers indicate the locations of photos on Figure 2.

Fig.2. Typical landscapes in the upper part of the Pupuljak (A), Labuništa (B), Podgorci (C) and Vevčani (D) valleys. Yellow dashed lines show moraine ridges, yellow triangles indicate the position of the sampled boulders. Bold text is the sample code and normal text is the exposure duration (ka). For position of the photos refer to Fig 1B. Plateau-like morphologies are visualized in above the Labuništa Lakes and to the northwest of the Podgorci Lake (B and C photos, respectively)

2.2. Tectonic setting

The Jablanica Mt is located westward of the still actively developing Ohrid graben that initiated during the Late Miocene and hosts the 285 m deep Ohrid Lake (Lindhorst et al., 2015). It has uplifted, together with the Neogene deposits found along the footwall of the normal faults bounding it to the east, indicating faster uplift during the Pleistocene (Dumurdžanov et al., 2004). As there are no detailed studies on the uplift rates of Jablanica Mt, it is difficult to provide a reliable estimation of the uplift rate during the Quaternary. Earlier measurements of current uplift rates on Macedonian mountains report values of greater than 5 mm/a (Lilienberg, 1968), but those values appear to be overestimated. Valley incision rates obtained from dated cave deposits in terrains located at topographically much lower elevations and further to the east, in the Crna Reka drainage, range from 0.96 mm/a over the last 83 ka to much lower values of 0.23 mm/a for base levels older than 350 ka (Temovski et al., 2016). At Menikio Mt. in NE Greece, an uplift rate of ~0.5 mm/a for the last 77 ka was estimated based on radiometrically dated cave levels (Pennos et al., 2019). Estimates on Quaternary uplift from Olympus Mt. provide uplift rates between 1.25 and 1.6 mm/a (Smith et al. 1997, last 220-125 ka). Significantly higher uplift rates of more than 1.8 mm/a over the last ~300 ka were estimated for the Corinth Rift in Greece (Palyvos et al., 2007), albeit this area is located further to the south and in a tectonically more active area. Considering the available regional data, we consider an uplift rate of ~1 mm/a to be a reasonable estimation for Jablanica Mt. at least since the Last Pleistocene.

3. Methodology

3.1. Age determination using in-situ produced cosmogenic ^{10}Be

3.1.1. Sample collection

Fig. 3. Photos of sampled quartz veins on moraine boulders. Samples were taken from the inclined flat face of the boulders (gentle dip (A), steep (B)) Note that the exposure duration is not a function of the boulder size (large (C), small (D)) and neither of the appearance (massive (E); granular (F)) of the sampled quartz vein. The length of the red and white coloured sections on the tape is 20 cm.

Samples were collected from quartz veins exposed on moraine boulders of Jurassic conglomerate using hammer and chisel and a battery-operated angle grinder. The sampled boulders were on or close to the crest of the moraine ridges. Their edges were angular or only slightly blunted. Due to the uncertainties inherent in CRE dating (Balco et al., 2011), we attempted to collect at least three samples per landform. However, the sampling possibilities were limited by the availability of proper

boulders with suitable quartz veins on their upper part. The quartz veins were mostly exposed by fracture surfaces parallel to the vein or by cut surfaces perpendicular to the vein. They were usually standing few cm above the conglomerate surface of the boulder and were frequently lichen covered (Fig. 3). The quartz veins were sampled on flat horizontal or inclined top surfaces of the boulders, with strike and dip data recorded (Table S1). Although some flaking of the quartz could not be excluded, the sampled quartz veins were considered to be representative of the exposure duration of the boulder. The sample position was measured by a hand-held GPS (Garmin eTrex 30). Strike and dip of the samples were measured using hand-held device (Suunto Tandem compass and clinometer). Sample positions and boulder size are presented in Table 1.

Despite that Jurassic conglomerate boulders were observed down to 1100 m in all Vevčani-, Podgorci and Labuništa valleys, sampling from all moraine generations was possible only in the Vevčani valley due to the lack of suitable quartz veins on moraine boulders below ~1900 m a.s.l. in the Podgorci and Labuništa valleys. Moraines were better preserved in the northern part of the Podgorci cirque. However, the lack of suitable boulders hindered the sampling. The southern part of the cirque is covered by glacial sediments, but these have been entirely reworked by rock glaciers. The highest nested cirques of the Labuništa valley hosted small moraines and protalus ramparts with boulders suitable for [10]Be exposure dating. However, no samples could be taken from between the Strižak and Pupuljak peaks due to the lack of Jurassic conglomerate and poorly preserved glacial landforms (Fig. 1B).

Sample ID	Latitude	Longitude	Elevation	Thickness	Boulder size (cm)		
	(°)	(°)	(m, a.s.l.)	(cm)	length	width	height
Ja-17-01	41.24642	20.52931	2040	2.5	170	105	45
Ja-17-02	41.24637	20.52929	2047	2.0	510	290	170
Ja-17-03	41.24623	20.52938	2043	1.0	120	95	100
Ja-17-04	41.24515	20.53309	1985	1.7	540	500	180

Ja-17-05	41.24495	20.53343	1981	2.0	110	90	30
Ja-17-06	41.23889	20.53345	2042	1.4	280	240	200
Ja-17-07	41.24614	20.54216	1769	1.0	320	295	70
Ja-17-08	41.2454	20.53955	1808	1.0	395	255	155
Ja-17-09	41.24369	20.54015	1797	2.0	110	100	50
Ja-17-10a	41.24455	20.54183	1781	0.5	255	180	150
Ja-17-10b	41.24458	20.54189	1776	1.0	170	120	100
Ja-17-11*	41.24648	20.54497	1737	0.6	270 (240)	210 (240)	110 (150)
Ja-17-12	41.2466	20.54527	1729	1.3	220	140	105
Ja-17-13	41.24544	20.55548	1543	0.9	900	480	280
Ja-17-14a	41.24562	20.55622	1547	0.3	850	250	600
Ja-17-14b	41.24575	20.55601	1548	0.2	500	500	320
Ja-17-15	41.25518	20.51802	2027	0.6	230	180	130
Ja-17-16	41.26883	20.52012	1904	2.2	190	160	110
Ja-17-17	41.27422	20.51482	2040	1.3	260	170	120
Ja-17-18	41.27422	20.51482	2040	3.0	140	130	100
Ja-17-19	41.27396	20.51475	2045	0.7	160	165	100
Ja-17-20	41.27348	20.51338	2069	1.1	170	170	80
Ja-17-21	41.27308	20.51343	2080	0.7	240	160	110
Ja-17-22	41.27121	20.51544	2037	1.5	250	110	50
Ja-17-23	41.271	20.51554	2035	0.5	290	255	155
Ja-17-24	41.27112	20.51553	2035	0.9	180	155	65
Ja-17-25	41.24298	20.57626	1115	3.2	275	225	215

Table 1. Sample data for cosmogenic ^{10}Be exposure age determination. All samples were uncovered, except for Ja17-25. This boulder was covered by a 0.5 cm thick organic-rich soil, whose density was estimated at 0.9 g/cm^3. In addition, we considered that it was present during half of the exposure duration of the block. * The sample represents the mixture of quartz from 2 adjacent boulders. For more sample data refer to Table S1.

3.1.2. Laboratory procedures

Sample processing was performed at the Cosmogenic Nuclide Sample Preparation Laboratory of the Institute for Geological and Geochemical Research (Budapest, Hungary). Samples were crushed and sieved, and grain size between 0.25-1.00 mm was used for age determination. Quartz was first separated using heavy liquids. Chemical sample processing followed the procedures of Merchel and Herpers (1999) and Merchel et al. (2019). The obtained 90-120 g quartz-enriched sample was chemically etched using $HCl-H_2SiF_6$. Pure quartz was dissolved in HF in the presence of 450 μg ^9Be carrier (0.980 mg/g ^9Be Scharlab Be standard solution BE0350100). After having substituted HF by HCl, ion exchange columns (Dowex 1x8 and 50Wx8) were used to extract ^{10}Be (Merchel and Herpers, 1999). Purified BeO was mixed with Nb powder and targets were prepared for AMS (Accelerator Mass Spectrometry) measurement of their $^{10}Be/^9Be$ ratios at ASTER, the French national AMS facility located at CEREGE, Aix en Provence (Arnold et al., 2010). The beryllium measurements were calibrated against the ASTER in-house STD-11 standard ($^{10}Be/^9Be$ = $(1.191\pm0.013)\times10^{-11}$), equivalent to NIST_27900 (Braucher et al., 2015). Analytical uncertainties (reported as 1σ) include uncertainties on AMS counting statistics, on the $^{10}Be/^9Be$ ratios of the standards and on the chemical blank measurements, an external AMS error of 0.5% (Arnold et al., 2010) and the uncertainty of the half-life of ^{10}Be. External uncertainties include the uncertainty of the production rate.

3.1.3. Calculation of ^{10}Be exposure durations

^{10}Be exposure ages were calculated using the time-independent Lal (1991)/Stone (2000) scaling following the equation of Braucher et al., (2011) using a sea level high latitude ^{10}Be production rate (P_{SLHL}) of 4.01±0.33 at/gr/yr (Borchers et al., 2016) using the 1.387±0.012 Ma half-life of ^{10}Be (Chmeleff et al., 2010; Korschinek et al., 2010).

Site-specific production rates were corrected for topographic shielding and self-shielding. Topographic shielding was calculated using a 9 m resolution digital elevation model (DEM) in ArcGIS environment (Codilean, 2006; Li, 2013). The DEM was generated from the 5 m (2009) and

from the 20 m (2005) DEM model of the Agency for Real Estate and Cadastre of the Republic of Macedonia (Milevski et al., 2013).

Production rates are also corrected for local denudation and uplift rate and snow cover throughout the calculations of CRE durations. Ignoring these factors introduces a bias of the ages (non-systematic in case of snow cover), and makes comparability of the exposure durations questionable. Accordingly, we consider that it is important to use best possible estimates of the snow cover, uplift and denudation in order to calculate the best estimate exposure age of the dated landforms.

Snow-shielding was calculated based on snow thickness and duration of snow cover derived from a modelled values based on present day meteorological data (Milevski and Ristevski, 2018) (see details is Supplement 1.2 and Table S1). Production rates were not corrected for vegetation cover.

The sampled surfaces exhibited no sign of considerable surface denudation. Rock surface denudation rate of 1 mm/ka was considered as an intermediate estimate between 3 mm/ka suggested for granitic boulders (Ivy-Ochs et al., 2004) and 0.2 mm/ka value suggested for homogeneous crystalline rocks (André, 2002) in mid-latitude Alpine environment. An uplift rate of 1 mm/a was considered as the best estimate of vertical deformation of the area (see section 2.2).

More details on the theory and technical details of age determination and parameters used throughout this study are described in Supplement 1.1 and 1.2. Sample parameters and calculated [10]Be production scaling factors appear in Table S1.

For a comparison, all CRE durations were calculated using the time dependent Lal/Stone scaling (Lm; Balco et al., 2008) and the Lifton-Sato-Dunai scaling models (LSD) and its nuclide dependent version (LSDn; Lifton et al., 2014), using three online calculators: the Cosmic Ray Exposure Program (CREp; Martin et al., 2017), the Cronus-Earth online calculator version 3 (CronusV3; Balco et al., 2008) and the Cronus-Earth Web Calculator version 2.0 (CronusWeb; Marrero et al., 2016). All calculators were set to use the most recent calibration dataset and the ERA-40 atmosphere model, and the Geomagnetic database of Lifton et al. (2014 or 2016; for more details refer to the Tables S3A-C). All calculators were accessed in July 2019.

Previously published [10]Be exposure ages from Šar and Rila Mts (Kuhlemann et al., 2009, 2013) and Pelister Mt. (Ribolini et al., 2017) were re-calculated applying the same scaling scheme and reference production rate, as those applied for the calculation of CRE durations of samples from the Jablanica Mt., for maximum comparability. For details refer to Supplement 1.3 and Table S4.

3.1.4. Calculation of the most probable age of deglaciation phases

Individual CRE durations were grouped according to the mapped morphostratigraphical units (Temovski et al., 2018) (Fig. 1B, 4). Where several samples belong to a group the coherence of their estimated CRE age was tested using the reduced χ^2 test (Ward and Wilson, 1978). This method enables the identification of outliers until the examined group of data contains only CRE durations that are not significantly different considering associated 1σ uncertainties (68% confidence interval). The CRE age groups that satisfied the reduced χ^2 test were analysed using cumulative probability distribution function (PDF) plots (or camelplots) of the sum of the individual Gaussian distributions ("Camelplot" MATLAB code; Balco, 2009). This method was applied to provide the most probable CRE age of the landform and to quantify the scatter of individual CRE durations of the boulders. The [10]Be exposure ages of the moraine stabilization correspond to the most probable values of the studied distributions and the associated uncertainties to the 68% confidence interval (±1σ) of each PDF plot.

3.2. Glacier reconstruction and calculation of the equilibrium-line altitudes (ELAs)

Former glaciers were reconstructed based on the previously mapped glacial landforms and sediments (Temovski et al., 2018). Where glacial landforms were fragmentary or missing (especially terminal moraines), the estimated position of glacier terminations relied on the valley topography and extent of glacial sediments. The glacier thickness was estimated using a semi-automated GIS-based method (GlaRe, Pellitero et al., 2016), using the 9 m Digital Elevation Model (DEM) of the study area

(Milevski et al., 2013). The GlaRe toolbox generates the ice thickness from the modern bed topography applying physical laws of ice flow along a user defined flowline (Benn and Hulton, 2010). The glacier thickness can be adjusted to geomorphic markers by varying the basal shear stress value (τ_b). The most accepted values of the τ_b vary within the ~50-150 kPa range with possible increase to 190 kPa (Nye, 1952; Weertman, 1971; Vieira, 2008). In this study, glacier thickness was tuned by τ_b values of 160 kPa for the most extended phase and down to 30 kPa for the smallest glaciers (Table S5).

In order to best reproduce their surface morphology, the glaciers were reconstructed using a combination of the manual and semi-automated methods (Zasadni and Kłapyta, 2014). The glacier thickness along the flowline calculated by the GlaRe toolbox was extended manually to the valley sides taking into account their typical, slightly concave and slightly convex shape above and below the ELA, respectively (Sissons, 1974).

The reconstructed glaciers were used for the calculation of the former ELAs by means of the ELA Calculation toolbox (Pellitero et al., 2015) and applying the accumulation-ablation balance ratio (AABR) method. This method relies on the accumulation and ablation gradients of the reconstructed glacier. The balance ratio of 1.6, the best fitting value for the current conditions in the European Alps (Rea, 2009), was adopted for this study, assuming as potentially representative for the glaciated Jablanica Mt. The paleo-ELAs estimated by AABR method enable regional comparisons with other studies, where the same ELA calculation method was applied.

4. Results

4.1. Results of Cosmic Ray Exposure dating and outlier identification

The measured ^{10}Be concentrations ranged from $(100.9\pm3.6)\times10^3$ at/gr$_{SiO_2}$ to (447.3 ± 12.7) $\times10^3$ at/gr$_{SiO_2}$ with one exceptionally high value at $(1\ 271.2\pm30.8)\times10^3$ at/gr$_{SiO_2}$. The calculated CRE

durations with corrections for surface denudation, uplift and snow shielding are considered as the best estimates of the exposure age of the dated landforms. In the following these ages will be discussed, and those calculated with no corrections are shown in Tables 2 and S2.

The calculated CRE ages varied between 8.2±0.2 ka and 95.4±2.4 ka (Table 2). Most of the 25 CREdurations were between 17.4±0.4 ka and 12.0±0.4 ka, two samples leading to significantly younger and two others to significantly older exposure ages. The underestimated CRE durations are probably due to unnoticed chipping of the quartz veins, or boulder toppling after moraine stabilization (Ja17-18 and -25). Unfortunately, the single sample representing the most extended glacial stage (Vevčani Phase) must be considered as a young outlier regarding its morphostratigraphic position (Table 2, Figs. 1C, 4).

The significantly older CRE ages of Ja17-09 and Ja17-24 compared to the relevant set of CRE results suggest the presence of inherited cosmogenic [10]Be nuclides (Table 2). These samples were omitted from the age determination of deglaciation phases.

Three samples (Ja17-13, -14A, -14B) were taken from large boulders of the lateral moraine of the second largest glacial phase (Kutel Phase) in the Vevčani valley providing [10]Be exposure durations between 17.4±0.5 ka and 16.6±0.5 ka (Figs. 3, 4)

Four boulders were sampled on the well-preserved lateral moraine interpreted as the subsequent deglaciation phase (Leništa Phase, Ja17-07, -08, -11, -12). Three CRE durations are clustered in the range from 16.5±0.6 ka to 15.8±0.5 ka while one boulder leads to a significantly younger exposure age (Ja17-07; 12.8±0.4 ka). It is subsequently considered as an outlier.

In the Vevčani valley, the next deglaciation phase (Golina Phase) is represented by a well-expressed moraine ridge, interpreted as a medial moraine. The left lateral moraine of this phase has apparently been merged with the lateral moraine of the previous phase (Fig. 4). Two samples from two adjacent boulders at the lower end of the medial moraine (Ja17-10A,-10B) that could be used to constrain its stabilization age lead to CRE durations of 16.4±0.5 ka and 15.1±0.5 ka.

Based on their morphostratigraphic position the terminal moraines of two small nested cirques (~2040 m a.s.l.) in the Labuništa valley (Pupuljak valley; Figs. 2A, 4) were assigned to the last

deglaciation stage (Temovski et al., 2018). The determined CRE durations argue against this preliminary geomorphological classification. Four out of six samples taken on these moraines (Ja17-17, -19, -22, -23) provide [10]Be CRE ages between 16.7±0.6 ka and 14.9±0.5 ka, corresponding to the age range of the Golina Phase and Lenista Phases in the Vevčani valley.

The type locality of the Lokva Member is the frontal moraine at the Vevčani Lake at 1980 m a.s.l (Figs. 2D, 4) Two samples (Ja17-04, -05) taken from this landform lead to CRE durations of 13.9±0.4 ka and 14.0±0.4 ka, respectively.

At the Lower Labuništa Lake (~1930 m) a single boulder (Ja17-16) from the lower part of the frontal slope of the terminal moraine yield a CRE duration of 13.8±0.4 ka (Figs. 2B, 4).

In the nested cirques of the Vevčani and Podgorci valleys, the [10]Be exposure data quantified the stabilization age of the moraines between 13.2±0.4 ka and 12.0±0.4 ka (~2030-2050 m a.s.l.; Ja17-01, -02, -03, -06, -15), that is during to the youngest Lincura Phase. In the Labuništa valley, two samples from a protalus rampart (Ja17-20, -21, ~2075 m a.s.l.) lead to similar ages (Figs. 2A, D, 4, Table 2).

Sample ID	[10]Be concentration (at/gr$_{SiO_2}$) (Blank corrected)	Exposure duration (ka) No correction	Exposure duration (ka) Corrected for surface denudation (1 mm/ka) and uplift (1 mm/ka) and snow shielding	Morpho-stratigraphy
Ja-17-01	233476 ± 7150	12.8 ± 0.4 (1.1)	13.2 ± 0.4 (1.2)	Lincura
Ja-17-02	214622 ± 6173	11.7 ± 0.3 (1.3)	12.0 ± 0.4 (1)	Lincura
Ja-17-03	219295 ± 7252	12.4 ± 0.4 (1.4)	12.8 ± 0.4 (1.1)	Lincura
Ja-17-04	244929 ± 7446	13.6 ± 0.4 (1.5)	13.9 ± 0.4 (1.2)	Lokva
Ja-17-05	229200 ± 6943	13.5 ± 0.4 (1.5)	14.0 ± 0.4 (1.2)	Lokva
Ja-17-06	238800 ± 6992	12.9 ± 0.4 (1.4)	13.2 ± 0.4 (1.2)	Lincura
Ja-17-07	191568 ± 5896	*12.4 ± 0.4 (1.4)*	*12.8 ± 0.4 (1.1)*	Leništa
Ja-17-08	199817 ± 5870	15.4 ± 0.5 (1.7)	15.8 ± 0.5 (1.4)	Leništa

Ja-17-09	1271173 ± 30762	*83.4 ± 2.0 (9.2)*	***95.4 ± 2.4 (8.2)***	Golina
Ja-17-10a	242114 ± 6779	15.8 ± 0.4 (1.7)	**16.4 ± 0.5 (1.4)**	Golina
Ja-17-10b	222602 ± 6689	14.7 ± 0.4 (1.6)	**15.1 ± 0.5 (1.3)**	Golina
Ja-17-11*	239779 ± 7966	16.0 ± 0.5 (1.8)	**16.5 ± 0.6 (1.5)**	Leništa
Ja-17-12	225509 ± 6279	15.9 ± 0.4 (1.8)	**16.4 ± 0.5 (1.4)**	Leništa
Ja-17-13	182311 ± 5611	16.3 ± 0.5 (1.8)	**16.7 ± 0.5 (1.5)**	Kutel
Ja-17-14a	202431 ± 5730	16.9 ± 0.5 (1.9)	**17.4 ± 0.5 (1.5)**	Kutel
Ja-17-14b	196330 ± 5776	16.0 ± 0.5 (1.8)	**16.6 ± 0.5 (1.5)**	Kutel
Ja-17-15	204462 ± 6096	12.1 ± 0.4 (1.3)	**12.5 ± 0.4 (1.1)**	Lincura
Ja-17-16	194776 ± 6072	13.2 ± 0.4 (1.5)	**13.8 ± 0.4 (1.2)**	Lokva
Ja-17-17	298875 ± 10957	16.0 ± 0.6 (1.8)	**16.7 ± 0.6 (1.5)**	Golina
Ja-17-18	147582 ± 4904	*8.0 ± 0.3 (0.9)*	***8.3 ± 0.3 (0.7)***	Golina
Ja-17-19	248427 ± 6606	14.8 ± 0.4 (1.6)	**15.4 ± 0.4 (1.3)**	Golina
Ja-17-20	235657 ± 7877	12.6 ± 0.4 (1.4)	**13.1 ± 0.4 (1.2)**	Lincura
Ja-17-21	238539 ± 7028	12.7 ± 0.4 (1.4)	**13.2 ± 0.4 (1.2)**	Lincura
Ja-17-22	267907 ± 8108	14.7 ± 0.4 (1.6)	**15.3 ± 0.5 (1.3)**	Golina
Ja-17-23	246606 ± 8656	14.4 ± 0.5 (1.6)	**14.9 ± 0.5 (1.3)**	Golina
Ja-17-24	447312 ± 12696	*25.0 ± 0.7 (2.8)*	***26.5 ± 0.8 (2.3)***	Golina
Ja-17-25	100927 ± 3578	*11.2 ± 0.4 (1.2)*	***11.4 ± 0.4 (1)***	Vevčani

Table 2. Measured ^{10}Be concentrations and calculated surface exposure durations. Outliers are shown in italic. The measured AMS ratios were corrected for full processed blank ratios: $(2.03\pm0.15)\times10^{-14}$ for samples Ja17-01 to -09; $(3.86\pm0.49)\times10^{-15}$ for samples Ja17-10a to -16 and $(3.41\pm0.39)\times10^{-15}$ for samples Ja17-17 to -25. Age uncertainties: the 1st number is the analytical and half-life uncertainty (1σ) and the 2nd number (in parenthesis) includes the uncertainty of the reference production rate. For more cosmogenic nuclide data refer to Table S2.

Fig.4. Glacial landforms of the middle part of the Jablanica Mt. [10]Be exposure durations and sample sites. CRE durations in white boxes were accepted, and those with grey shadow were omitted from further interpretation of the deglaciation of the study area as outliers.

4.1.1. Cosmic Ray Exposure age estimates using online calculators and time dependent scaling models

Using the time dependent Stone scaling (Lm) the online calculators provided 1% older (CREp, Table S3c) to 3% younger (CronusV3, Table S3a) exposure durations on average, compared to the values calculated using the time independent Lal/stone scaling and eq.(1) of Braucher et al. (2011) as described in Section 3.1.3 (Tables 2, S2). Estimations using the LSD scaling provided 2-4% older exposure age on average (CREp and CronusWeb, Table S3c,b). Exposure durations using the LSDn

scaling scheme were 1% younger (CronusV3, Table S3a) or 4% older (CronusWeb, Table S3b) on average, compared to our calculations. When exposure durations were calculated using the time-invariant Lal/Stone scaling, the difference between our estimates and the calculators' remained under 1% (CronusV3; CronusWeb; Tables S3a,b). As these results remain within uncertainties the exposure ages calculated following Braucher et al. (2011) and using the time independent Lal/Stone scaling are discussed in this study (Table 2)

4.2. Recalculated [10]Be exposure ages of glacial landforms in the central Balkan Peninsula

The recalculated CRE ages of the Pelister Mt. resulted to be 2-4% older, those of the Šar Mts 6-15% older compared to the ages in the original studies. For the Rila Mts (Bulgaria), the recalculated [10]Be exposure ages resulted to be 2-14% older than the originally published values (Table S4).

However, the applicability of the datasets from the Šar and Rila Mts (Kuhlemann et al., 2009; 2013) for a regional comparison is limited due to the poorly described geomorphological settings and large age discrepancies within the datasets. Therefore from the Šar Mts only the recalculated CRE age of 22.1 ±1.6 ka (Table S4) corresponding to the sample S11 of the most extended glacial phase is used for further discussion in this study.

The unusually high uncertainties (13-44%) of the measured [10]Be concentrations of the dataset from the Rila Mts and poorly documented geomorphological setting of the dated landforms allows only tentative conclusions to be drawn. The recalculated dataset suggests that the most extended glacial phase occurred between 24.6±8.1 ka and 16.9±4.4 ka, thus it can be attributed most probably to the LGM.

4.3. Glacier reconstruction and ELAs

In the following, a brief summary of the most important parameters for the glacier reconstruction are provided. At some locations, the preliminary morphostratigraphic classification of the moraines

based on geomorphic position and stratigraphy (Temovski et al., 2018) had to be modified in the light of the obtained CRE exposure durations. Apparently, the glaciers in the Labuništa valley were shrinking more rapidly than those of the Podgorci and Vevčani valleys. In the Pupuljak valley glaciers retreated to their cirques already during the Golina Phase (~15 ka) while in the other valleys such a retreat occurred with 1-2 thousand years delay. These diachronous ages of the moraines of the cirque glaciers could not have been revealed based on geomorphological and sedimentological observations.

Glaciers in the studied valleys of the central Jablanica Mt. reached their maximum extent, down to ~1100 m a.s.l. during the Vevčani Phase. The reconstructed glacier systems occupied an area of 20.7 km^2, the longest glacier in the Labuništa system being of 8.7 km long. The estimated ELAs at this phase varied between 1786 m and 1812 m, averaging at 1792 m (all elevations are above sea level) (Fig. 5A, Table S5).

The area covered by glaciers shrank to 12.4 km^2 by the subsequent Kutel Phase, with a maximum glacier length being 4.2 km. The former large glacier systems were disintegrated into smaller glaciers. The calculated ELAs of the reconstructed glaciers varied between 1908 and 1931 m, with a mean ELA of 1918 m (Fig. 5B, Table S5).

Fig. 5. Reconstructed glaciers and probability density distributions of CRE ages relevant for each glacial phase. (A) Vevčani Phase, (B) Kutel Phase, (C) Leništa Phase, (D) Golina Phase, (E) Lokva Phase, (F) Lincura Phase. The red lines on the reconstructed glaciers indicate the contour line corresponding to the estimated ELAs. Most probable [10]Be

exposure ages are presented on the camelplots. The calculated mean ELAs (using AABR 1.6) are plotted under the camelplots. The red dots indicate the sample sites. The legend for the glacial landforms as in Fig. 4. Note that the light to dark blue colouring of the glaciers is just for better visualization, the colours do not indicate a specific elevation.

The fast retreat of the glaciers continued and the glacier surface during the Leništa Phase was reduced to 5.6 km^2. Seven glaciers were present in the studied valleys during this phase, among which the largest was 2.5 km long. The mean ELA was 1983 m, with ELAs of the individual valleys varying between 1948 m and 2035 m (Fig. 5C, Table S5).

During the Golina and Lokva Phases, glaciers occupied an area of 3.2 and 1.3 km^2, respectively. Some glaciers have disappeared and others were further divided during these stages. Seven glaciers were present in the Golina Phase with a maximum length of 1.64 km. The largest among the six glaciers of the Lokva Phase was 0.97 km long. The mean ELA of the Golina Phase was 2054 m, with ELAs between 2003 m and 2104 m. The ELAs varied between 2050 and 2078 during the Lokva Phase, averaging at 2065 m (Fig. 5D,E, Table S5).

During the Lincura Phase, glaciers on the northern part of the study area have disappeared, or were tiny ice masses retreated to the nested cirques. Their area was only 0.5 km^2, and their length did not exceed 0.54 km. The calculated ELAs vary between 2077 m and 2121 m, with a mean of 2096 m (Fig. 5F, Table S5).

5. Discussion

5.1. Last deglaciation of the central Jablanica Mt. in the light of the regional data

5.1.1. The most extensive glaciation

Glaciers of the central Jablanica Mt. reached their maximum extent during the Vevčani Phase (Fig. 5A). Unfortunately, the single boulder that could be sampled at its termination in the Vevčani valley (~1100 m a.s.l.) led to an anomalously young age. However, the morphostratigraphic position under the moraines of the subsequent Kutel Phase allowed to assign a minimum age of ~17 ka for this stage. Based on a preliminary evaluation of the regional paleo-ELA pattern, a MIS 6 age was proposed for the Vevčani Phase (Temovski et al., 2018) because its ELA estimate of 1792±18 m a.s.l. is close to the ELA values calculated for MIS 6 in the Durmitor (1784 m, Hughes et al., 2011) and Prokletije Mts (1750 m, Milivojević et al., 2008).

However, the ~1792 m ELA of the Vevčani Phase is between the values published for the coastal and inland areas for the LGM. The lowest LGM ELA of 1456 m was reported in the coastal Orjen Mt. (Hughes et al., 2010a), which like today probably received the largest amount of precipitation. For the inner ranges, the LGM ELA was estimated between 1942 m (Prokletije Mts, Milivojević et al., 2008) and ~2200 m a.s.l. (Rila Mt., Kuhlemann et al., 2013). These ranges are located at a distance from the coast comparable to that of Jablanica Mt. Accordingly; on the one hand, the lower ELA estimated for the Vevčani Phase could be used to argue for its older, MIS 6 age. On the other hand, the validity of this link based solely on ELA estimates is questionable for at least two reasons: (1) the ELAs can be highly variable in space, even at a local scale (Boxleitner et al., 2019) and (2) in several cases the geochronological constraints of the glaciations are weakly defined (geomorphological considerations only (e.g., Prokletije Mt, Milivojević et al., 2008), CRE duration from a single boulder on a single landform extrapolated to the entire range (Šar Mts, Kuhlemann et al., 2009), poorly constrained chronostratigraphic framework (Šar, Rila Mts Kuhlemann et al., 2009, 2013). These shortcomings make glacier age estimation based merely on calculated ELAs problematic. Additionally, the diverse ELA calculation methods (mostly AAR and AABR) used by the cited studies, may increase the scatter of the reported ELAs. Nevertheless, while the chronology of the glacier advances is weakly constrained, the uncertainties introduced by the different ELA calculation methods remain of secondary importance, and thus are not accounted for in this study.

Based on previous studies, the timing of the maximum ice extent in and around the central Balkan Peninsula is widely variable. The oldest estimates place the largest glaciers to MIS 12 (Pindus, Orjen, Durmitor Mts; U-Th dating; Hughes et al., 2007, 2010, 2011) with the lowest ELA estimates at the Adriatic coast, in the Orjen (1256 m; a.s.l), and somewhat higher values were estimated in the Durmitor (1607 m) and Pindus (1741 m) mountains. The maximum ice extent was attributed to the MIS 8 in the Olympus Mt. (U-Th dating; Smith et al., 2006) and to MIS 4 in the Prokletije Mt. (geomorphological mapping; Milivojević et al., 2008). Other studies suggested that glaciers reached their maximum extent during the LGM (Šar, Rila; Mts; [10]Be exposure dating; Kuhlemann et al., 2009, 2013).

The geochemical data from the Pleistocene sedimentary sequence of Ohrid Lake imply that more severe glacial conditions prevailed in the catchment of the lake during MIS 16, 12, 10 and 6 compared to MIS 2 (Francke et al., 2016). Therefore, it still remains an open question whether MIS 2 (LGM) was the most extensive glaciation in the central Balkan Peninsula (Kuhlemann et al., 2009, 2013), or MIS 6 or older glaciations, as reported along the western coast of the peninsula (Pindus, Orjen, Durmitor Mts).

Two geomorphological arguments might support the LGM age of the most extensive glaciation (Vevčani Phase) in the Jablanica Mt.: (1) An LGM glacier advance was reported in studies, which proposed to assign the largest phase to Middle Pleistocene glaciations (Hughes et al., 2007, 2010, 2011). Therefore, an LGM glacier advance most probably occurred in the Jablanica Mt. as well. If the Vevčani Member belonged to MIS 4 or an older glaciation, there would be no space left for an LGM glacier advance (Fig. 5A,B). (2) The limited number and extent of mapped glacial features of the second largest Kutel Phase suggest that postglacial denudation has efficiently destroyed the majority of the glacial landforms over the last ~17 ka. Accordingly, it is highly probable that remnants of glacial landforms at ~1100 m a.s.l. in the narrow lower valleys of the Jablanica Mt. would have been completely destroyed if exposed to erosion for several tens of thousands of years.

Nevertheless, despite that apparently no geomorphological evidence of past glaciers overriding the moraines of the Vevčani Phase was preserved in the Jablanica Mt., it is possible that glaciers of pre-

LGM glaciations had a similar or larger maximum extent compared to the ice streams of the Vevčani Phase. Conversely, it cannot be excluded that moraines of the Vevčani Phase are older than LGM. In this case, LGM moraines must have been overridden by glaciers of the Kutel Phase and therefore are absent from the current geomorphological record.

Finally, it is worth mentioning that the locally largest ice extent of the nearby Velež and Crvanj Mts in the southeastern part of the coastal Dinarides was dated at 14.9 ± 1.1 ka and 11.9 ± 0.9 ka, respectively ([36]Cl exposure dating; Žebre et al., 2019). Their estimated ELAs were among the lowest reported values: 1388 m and 1541 m, respectively. The recalculation of these [36]Cl ages using the [36]Cl production rate by spallation of Ca from the calibration site at Mt Etna (Schimmelpfennig et al., 2011) would result in ~10% older ages. These relatively young ages (even if recalculated) for the maximum ice extent are unusual in the region and cannot be synchronized neither with the deglaciation stages of the Jablanica Mt., or with any other regional record.

5.1.2. Glacier retreat during the first part of the Lateglacial

The most probable CRE age of the second largest, Kutel Phase is $16.8^{+0.8}/_{-0.5}$ ka and the moraines belonging to the subsequent Leništa Phase stabilized at $16.3^{+0.6}/_{-0.6}$ ka (Fig. 5B, C). These glacial phases of the Jablanica Mt. may correspond to the colder glacial conditions during the late Greenland Stadial 2 (GS-2; Fig.6. A, B). A glacial stage corresponding to the Kutel Phase is currently not known from the surrounding mountains, however, extensive glaciation phases reported from the Iberian mountains show remarkable coincidence (Palacios et al., 2017).

The PDF curve of the CRE ages of moraines assigned to the Golina Phase shows a bimodal pattern (Fig. 5D). Therefore, the samples belonging to the two peaks were treated separately. The older peak at $16.5^{+0.6}/_{-0.5}$ ka is in agreement with the most probable age assigned to the preceding Leništa Phase suggesting that development of these landforms was initiated already during the previous glacier advance. The younger peak at $15.2^{+0.5}/_{-0.5}$ ka is considered as the stabilization age related to the Golina

Phase. The landforms connected to these phases are situated in close proximity in the Vevčani valley, supporting that they might have been active during both glacial phases. The most probable CRE age (from recalculated data of Ribolini et al., 2017; Table S4) of a single dated moraine, locally the youngest in the Pelister Mt. is $15.8^{+0.5}/_{-0.6}$ ka. This age is between, overlapping within error with both the Leništa and Golina Phases identified in Jablanica Mt. (Fig. 6). The most probable age of the stabilization of theGolina Phase corresponds to the first Lateglacial moraine stabilization dated at ~15.5 ka in Mt Olympus (Styllas et al., 2018). Some of the data from the Šar and Rila Mts (Kuhleman et al., 2009, 2013) also fall in this period. However, the poorly described geomorphological context hinders more advanced interpretation.

During the first part of the Lateglacial the estimated ELA values increase from 1918±10 m to above 2000 m (Fig. 5B-D). The largest scatter of the ELA values was observed during the Leništa and Golina Phases, for which the glacier retreat in some valleys was apparently faster than in other valleys (Fig. 4, Table S5). Our CRE dating results suggest that the elevation of glacier termination, the size of the reconstructed glaciers and the calculated ELAs can be variable at a local scale. These scatter well within the range found for stratigraphically correlated moraines in the central Alps for the Lateglacial and Early Holocene stages (Boxleitner et al. 2019). Similar results were published for the Western Alps, where several hundred meters of difference in the calculated ELAs of glaciers occupying cirques of the same or neighbouring glacier systems was reported (Hofmann et al., 2019).

Fig. 6. CRE dated deglaciation stages in Macedonian mountains during the Lateglacial compared to regional paleoclimate indicators. (A): The δ^{18}O stratigraphy of the Greenland Ice Sheet (GICC05ext chronological framework; Andersen et al., 2006; Rasmussen et al., 2006). B: event stratigraphy (stadial-interstadial) in the North Atlantic region for the Last Glacial-Interglacial Transition (Lowe et al., 2008) (C): CRE ages of glacial landforms in North Macedonia; (D) and (E): mean winter precipitation and mean temperature of the warmest month inferred from pollen records from Maliq Lake (Bordon et al., 2009), respectively. For comparability, all ages were converted to BP timescale (meaning before 1950 AD).

5.1.3. The final deglaciation

In the Jablanica Mt. the most probable CRE age of the last Lokva and Lincura Phases is ~13.9$^{+0.4}$/$_{-0.4}$ ka and 13.0$^{+0.4}$/$_{-0.9}$ ka, respectively (Fig. 5E, F). The CRE durations of two boulders

(Ja17-21 and -21; 13.1±0.5 ka and 13.2±0.4 ka) sampled on a protalus rampart suggest that this landform most likely co-existed with the small cirque glaciers during the Lincura Phase. The estimated ELAs during these phases are 2065±15 m and 2096±18 m, respectively (Fig.5E, F, Table S5)).

Determining the age of the last glacier advance was attempted at several locations in the region and resulted in contradictory results for the chronology of the last deglaciation. It was dated to the LGM in the Pindus Mt. (Hughes et al., 2007), to the Lateglacial in the Pelister Mt. (Ribolini et al., 2017) and around the Younger Dryas in the Orjen Mts (Hughes et al., 2010a), Šar Mts (Kuhlemann et al., 2009), Galičica Mt; (Gromig et al., 2018). In the highest ranges of the Balkan Peninsula small glacier advances were recorded even during the Holocene (Olympus Mt: Styllas et al., 2016, 2018; Prokletije: Hughes et al., 2010b; Durmitor: Hughes, 2007; Pirin: Gachev et al., 2016).

A moraine at 2230 m in the Pelister Mt., geomorphologically belonging to the locally last glacial phase, was dated to $15.8^{+0.5}/_{-0.6}$ ka (Ribolini et al., 2017, recalculated by this study, Table S4). This re-evaluated age is more comparable to the earlier stages of the deglaciation, while the ELA estimated for this glacier seems to be surprisingly high (2250 m a.s.l), more like the younger glacial stages in the area. Due to the lack of information about ages of the other glacial landforms in the Pelister Mt., deciphering a more detailed glacial history is impossible.

The recalculated ^{36}Cl exposure age of 14.0±1.8 ka (Styllas et al., 2018) associated to the second to outmost moraine ridge of the landform attributed to the last glacial stage of Galičica Mt. (Ribolini et al., 2011; Gromig et al., 2018) coincides with the Lokva Phase (Fig. 6C). This recalculation was done using the ^{36}Cl production rate by spallation of Ca from the calibration site at Mt Etna (Schimmelpfennig et al., 2011), the closest ^{36}Cl calibration site. This ^{36}Cl production rate is ~20% lower than the one applied by the CronusWeb calculator used in the original study (Gromig et al., 2018). As a result, the recalculated age is ~14% older than the previously published age (12.0±0.6 ka) from which a Younger Dryas glacial stabilization was suggested for the Galičica Mt. The critical evaluation of the ^{36}Cl production rates is beyond the scope of this study. However, considering the geographical proximity and similar orientation of the dated moraines in Pelister and Galičica Mts, the

~12 ka age of the moraine in the Galičica Mt. appears to be less likely than the recalculated value of ~14 ka. Similarly, the geomorphological position and ~14 ka age of the dated moraine in the Galičica Mt. seems to be in agreement with the penultimate, Lokva Phase recorded on the Jablanica Mt. (Fig. 6).

5.2. Implications for paleoclimate

The reconstructed sequence of glaciological events in the Jablanica Mt. can be examined in relation to the paleoclimatic context in order to find a link between past temperature and precipitation conditions and phases of glacier stabilization during the deglaciation. The reconstruction of climatic events on millennial or sub-millennial timescale using [10]Be exposure ages might be problematic due to the relatively large uncertainties of the method. Here the paleoclimate record is used to find the period favourable for ice accumulation within the time interval of CRE dated glacial phases. Using this information in some occasions it was possible to narrow of the CRE age range, and link certain glacial stages to a certain climate phase, which would not have been possible using merely the CRE data.

Between 17.1 ka and 15.7 ka typical stadial conditions were reconstructed using sedimentological and geochemical proxies in the nearby Lake Prespa Lake (Aufgebauer et al., 2012). Over the same period, the pollen record in the MD90-917 core from the Adriatic Sea (Combourieu-Nebout et al., 1998) evidenced an increased proportion of steppe vegetation with a decrease of temperate vegetation, also suggesting stadial conditions (Bazin et al., 2019). In the Jablanica Mt. the glacier stillstands or re-advances of the Kutel and Leništa Phases were dated to this period. These glacial phases were most probably associated to periods of glaciologically stable mass balance regulated by cool summer temperatures (Fig. 6). This cold period corresponds to the GS-2(Fig. 6).

Snow accumulation and thermal conditions during the ablation season are the key climatic drivers of the glaciological mass balance (Ohmura et al., 1992). Past fluctuations of winter precipitation and

mean temperature of the warmest month were derived from the pollen assemblages along sedimentary sequences from the Maliq Lake, located in close proximity to the Jablanica Mt. (<70 km, Fig. 1) (Bordon et al., 2009). They provide independent information about the local fluctuation of the key climatic drivers of the glaciological mass balance in the region after ~16 ka (Fig. 6. D, E). The trend of the changes of these parameters is considered as good estimates of the conditions also in the Jablanica Mt.

Although the GS-2 stadial lasted until ~14.5 ka, the local, pollen-based record implies that warming in the region of the Jablanica Mt. already started at ~15 ka (Fig. 6B, E). Glacier stabilization during the Golina Phase (~15.2 ka) can be explained by a positive glaciological mass balance due to the relatively low summer temperatures and increasing winter accumulation as reconstructed for this time period (Fig. 6D, E). This is suggested to be the last glacier re-advance that corresponds to GS-2 in the region. Although the pollen records of Maliq Lake do not show changes in the local climatic drivers that would lead to increased snow accumulation around the Lokva Phase (~14 ka), it might correspond to a slight cooling related to a colder stage within the Greenland Interstadial-1 (GI-1d; Fig. 6A).

According to the pollen records of Maliq Lake, there was a period between ~13.3-13.0 ka during which a small drop in temperature occurred with the winter precipitation remaining unchanged (Fig. 6D,E). The moraines of the Lincura Phase are interpreted as resulting from the halt in the glacier recession caused by these cooler and wetter climate conditions. This period might be the regional paleoclimate response corresponding to the large-scale cooling during GI-1b.

The climate deterioration during GS-1 (also known as Younger Dryas) initiated a well-known glacial advance across the Atlantic realm (Carlson et al., 2013). The pollen-based local paleoclimate reconstructions (Bordon et al., 2009) suggest that during this period the remarkable temperature drop was coupled with dry winters, which is unfavourable for glacier expansion. The $13.0^{+0.4}/_{-0.9}$ ka most probable exposure age of moraines of the last glacier advance in the Jablanica Mt. does not enable to differentiate between GS-1 and GI-1b. However, the local paleoclimate conditions were more favourable for glacier expansion during the GI-1b, assigning this period more probable for the last

glacier advance in the study area. Despite the cold temperatures, the strong decrease of winter precipitation in the area during the GS-1 (Fig.6. D,E) rendered this phase climatically unfavourable for snow accumulation. This might explain the lack of a noticeable phase of glacier stabilization following 13ka in the Jablanica Mt. However, in more coastal settings moraines attributed to the Younger Dryas were reported (Orjen, Durmitor: Hughes et al., 2010a, 2011; Prokletije Mts: Milivojevic et al., 2008).

6. Conclusions

Despite the suboptimal lithological conditions, the geochronological results validate the assumption that quartz veins represent pristine boulder surfaces relevant for determining the age of moraines stabilization in the Jablanica Mt.

The age of the locally most extended glaciation is >~17 ka, as inferred from the age of the second largest glacial phase in the Jablanica Mt. The LGM age of this glacier advance is supported by geomorphological and geochronological considerations, although it is probable that glaciers of the same size or larger existed during previous glaciations (MIS 6, 12). The CRE ages of the dated landforms connected to five subsequent deglaciation phases suggest that the glacier recession took place between ~17 ka and ~ 13 ka.

The chronology of the reconstructed deglaciation phases of the Jablanica Mt. compared to independent paleoclimate reconstructions suggest that thermal conditions during the ablation season were the key drivers of glacial dynamics before ~15 ka. The last glacier stabilization phase was apparently a response of locally increased winter precipitation and a slight summer cooling during the GI-1b.

The morphostratigraphy of the landforms and estimated ELA of the relevant glaciers can be highly variable for co-existing glaciers, hence numerical age determinations are required for a creditable glacial chronology. The evaluation of the exposure dating results from Jablanica Mt. in the light of the

available glacial geochronological data in the central Balkan Peninsula suggests that more systematic work is necessary. Analysis of multiple samples at several subsequent glacial stages in each mountain range is recommended to achieve a solid local glacier chronology, which can be used for regional comparisons. Besides, a recalculation of the exposure durations on a common basis is necessary, including the best possible estimate of the snow cover and local denudation rates. Ignoring these factors introduces a non-systematic bias of the CRE ages from site to site, and makes comparability of the recalculated results questionable.

Acknowledgements

Declarations of interest: none

References

Andersen, K. K., Svensson, A., Johnsen, S. J., Rasmussen, S. O., Bigler, M., Röthlisberger, R., Ruth, U., Siggaard-Andersen, M.L., Steffensen, J.P., Dahl-Jensen, D., Vinther, B. M., Clausen, H.B.,

2006. The Greenland ice core chronology 2005, 15–42 ka. Part 1: constructing the time scale. Quat. Sci. Rev., 25(23-24), 3246-3257.

André, M.-F., 2002: Rates of Postglacial rock weathering on glacially scoured outcrops (Abisko-Riksgränsen area, 68°N). Geogr. Ann., 84 A (3–4), 139–150.

Arnold, M., Merchel, S., Bourlès, D.L., Braucher, R., Benedetti, L., Finkel, R.C., Aumaître, G., Gottdang, A., Klein, M., 2010. The French accelerator mass spectrometry facility ASTER: improved performance and developments. Nucl. Instrum. and Methods in Phys. Res. B 268, 1954–1959.

Aufgebauer, A., Panagiotopoulos, K., Wagner, B., Schaebitz, F., Viehberg, F. A., Vogel, H., Zanchetta, G., Sulpicio, R., Leng, M.J. Damaschke, M., 2012. Climate and environmental change in the Balkans over the last 17 ka recorded in sediments from Lake Prespa (Albania/FYR of Macedonia/Greece). Quat. Int.. 274, 122-135.

Balco, G., Stone, J.O., Lifton, N., Dunai, T.J., 2008. A complete and easily accessible means of calculating surface exposure ages or erosion rates from ^{10}Be and ^{26}Al measurements. Quat. Geochronol. 3, 174-195.

Balco, G., 2009. MATLAB Code for Camel Diagrams. http://cosmognosis.wordpress.com/2009/07/13/matlab-code-for-camel-diagrams.

Balco, G., 2011. Contributions and unrealized potential contributions of cosmogenic nuclide exposure dating to glacier chronology, 1990–2010. Quat. Sci. Rev. 30, 3–27.

Barr, I.D.; Spagnolo, M., 2015. Glacial cirques as palaeoenvironmental indicators: their potential and limitations. Earth-Sci. Rev. 151, 48-78.

Bazin, L., Lemieux-Dudon, B., Siani, G., Govin, A., Landais, A., Genty, D., Michel, E., Nomade, S., 2019. Construction of a tephra-based multi-archive coherent chronological framework for the last deglaciation in the Mediterranean region. Quat. Sci. Rev. 216, 47-57.

Benn, D.I., Hulton, N.R.J.. 2010. An Excel^{TM} spreadsheet program for reconstructing the surface profile of former mountain glaciers and ice caps. Computers & Geosci. 36, 605-610.

Borchers, B., Marrero, S., Balco, G., Caffee, M., Goehring, B., Lifton, N., Nishiizumi, K., Phillips, F., Schaefer, J., Stone, J., 2016. Geological calibration of spallation production rates in the CRONUS-Earth project. Quat. Geochronol. 31, 188–198.

Bordon, A., Peyron, O., Lézine, A. M., Brewer, S., & Fouache, E. (2009). Pollen-inferred late-glacial and Holocene climate in southern Balkans (Lake Maliq). Quat. Int. 200(1-2), 19-30.

Boxleitner, M., Ivy-Ochs, S., Brandova, D., Christl, M., Maisch, M. 2019. Lateglacial and Early Holocene glacier stages - New dating evidence from the Meiental in central Switzerland. Geomorphology 340. 15–31.

Braucher, R., Guillou, V., Bourlès, D.L., Arnold, M., Aumaître, G., Keddadouche, K., Nottoli, E. 2015. Preparation of ASTER in-house ^{10}Be/^{9}Be standard solutions. Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Phys. Res. Sect. B: Beam Interact. with Mater. and At. 361. 335-340.

Carlson, A.E., 2013. The younger Dryas climate event. In: Elias, S.A. (Ed.), The Encyclopedia of Quat. Sci. 3. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 126-134.

Chmeleff, J., von Blanckenburg, F., Kossert, K., and Jakob, D., 2010, Determination of the ^{10}Be half-life by multicollector ICP-MS and liquid scintillation counting. Nuclear Instruments & Methods in Phys. Res. Sect. B, Beam Interact. with Mater. and Atoms 268, 192–199.

Codilean, A. T., 2006. Calculation of the cosmogenic nuclide production topographic shielding scaling factor for large areas using DEMs. Earth Surf. Proc. and Landf. 31(6), 785-794.

Combourieu-Nebout, N., Paterne, M., Turon, J.L., Siani, G., 1998. A high-resolution record of the last deglaciation in the Central Mediterranean sea: palaeovegetation and palaeohydrological evolution. Quat. Sci. Rev. 17, 303-317.

Cvijič, J., 1889. Glacijalne i morfoloske studije o planinama Bosne, Hercegovine i Crne Gore. Glas Srpske Kraljevske Akademije Nauka, LVII 1/21,Beograd.

Cvijič, J., 1917. L'époque glaciaire dans la péninsule des Balkanique. Annales de Géographie, 26, 189-218.

Djurović, P., 2009. Reconstruction of the Pleistocene glaciers of Mt. Durmitor in Montenegro. Acta Geographica Slovenica 49-2, 263-289.

Dumurdžanov, N.; Ivanovski, T., 1978. Explanatory Notes for the General Geological Map of Ohrid and Podgradec, 1:100000; Federal Geological Survey: Belgrade, Serbia, p. 51. (In Macedonian)

Dumurdžanov, N.; Serafimovski, T.; Burchfiel, B.C., 2004. Evolution of the Neogene-Pleistocene basins of Macedonia. Geol Soc Am Digit Map Chart Ser, 1, 1–20.

Francke, A., Wagner, B., Just, J., Leicher, N., Gromig, R., Baumgarten, H.,Vogel, H., Sadori, L., Wonik, T., Leng, M.J., Zanchetta, G., Sulpicio, R., Giaccio, B., 2016. Sedimentological processes and environmental variability at Lake Ohrid (Macedonia, Albania) between 637 ka and the present. Biogeosci. 13, 1179-1196.

Gachev, E., Stayanov, K., Gikov, A., 2016. Small glaciers on the Balkan Peninsula: State and changes in the last several years. Quat. Int. 415, 33-54.

Gromig, R.; Mechernich, S.; Ribolini, A.; Wagner, B.; Zanchetta, G.; Isola, I.; Bini, M.; Dunai, T. 2018. Evidence for a Younger Dryas deglaciation in the Galicica Mountains (FYROM) from cosmogenic ^{36}Cl. Quat. Int. 464. 352-363

Hofmann, F. M., Alexanderson, H., Schoeneich, P., Mertes, J. R., Léeanni, L. & ASTER Team, 2019. Post-Last Glacial Maximum glacier fluctuations in the southern Écrins massif (westernmost Alps): insights from ^{10}Be cosmic ray exposure dating. Boreas. https://doi.org/10.1111/bor.12405.

Hughes, P.D., Woodward, J.C., Gibbard, P.L., 2007. Middle Pleistocene cold stage climates in the Mediterranean: new evidence from the glacial record. Earth and Planet. Sci. Lett. 253, 50-56.

Hughes, P.D., 2007. Recent behaviour of the Debeli Namet glacier, Durmitor, Montenegro. Earth Surface Proc. and Landf. 10, 1593-1602.

Hughes, P.D.; Gibbard, P.L.; Woodward, J.C., 2007. Geological controls on Pleistocene glaciation and cirque form in Greece. Geomorphology 88, 242-253.

Hughes, P.D.; Woodward, J.C.; van Calsteren, P.C.; Thomas, L.E.; Adamson, K., 2010a. Pleistocene ice caps on the coastal mountains of the Adriatic Sea: palaeoclimatic and wider palaeoenvironmental implications. Quat. Sci. Rev. 29, 3690-3708.

Hughes, P.D., 2010b. Little Ice Age glaciers in Balkans: low altitude glaciation enabled by cooler temperatures and local topoclimatic controls. Earth Surface Proc. and Landf. 35, 229-241.

Hughes, P.D.; Woodward, J.C.; van Calsteren, P.C.; Thomas, L.E,. 2011. The glacial history of the Dinaric Alps, Montenegro. Quat. Sci. Rev. 30, 3393–3412.

Hughes, P.D; Woodward, J.C., 2016. Quaternary Glaciation in the Mediterranean Mountains. a new synthesis. In: Quaternary Glaciation in the Mediterranean Mountains; Hughes, P.D.; Woodward, J.C. Eds.; Geological Society, London, Spec. Publ. 433, 1-23.

Ivy-Ochs, S., Schaefer, J., Kubik, P.W., Synal, H.A., Schlüchter, C., 2004. The timing of deglaciation on the northern Alpine foreland (Switzerland). Eclogae geologicae Helvetiae 97, 47–55.

Korschinek, G., Bergmaier, A., Faestermann, T., Gerstmann, U.C., Knie, K., Rugel, G., Wallner, A., Dillmann, I., Dollinger, G., Lierse von Gostomski, Ch., Kossert, K., Maiti, M., Poutivtsev, M., and Remmert, A., 2010. A new value for the half-life of ^{10}Be by heavy-ion elastic recoil detection and liquid scintillation counting. Nuclear Instr. Methods in Phys. Res., Sect. B, Beam Interact. with Mater. and At. 268, 187–191.

Kuhlemann, J., Milivojevic, M., Krumrei, I., Kubik, P.W., 2009. Last glaciation of the Sara range (Balkan peninsula): Increasing dryness from the LGM to the Holocene. Austrian J. of Earth Sci. 102, 146-158.

Kuhlemann J. Gachev E., Gikov A., Nedkov S., Krumrei I., Kubik P., 2013. Glaciation in the Rila mountains (Bulgaria) during the Last Glacial Maximum. Quat. Int. 293, 51-62

Lal, D., 1991. Cosmic-ray labelling of erosion surfaces e in-situ nuclide production rates and erosion models. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 104, 424-439.

Li, Y. K., 2013. Determining topographic shielding from digital elevation models for cosmogenic nuclide analysis: a GIS approach and field validation. J. of Mount. Sci. 10(3), 355-362.

Lifton, N.A., Sato, T., Dunai, T.J., 2014. Scaling in situ cosmogenic nuclide production rates using analytical approximations to atmospheric cosmic-ray fluxes. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 386, 149-160.

Lifton, N.A., 2016. Implications of two Holocene time-dependent geomagnetic models for cosmogenic nuclide production rate scaling. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 433, 257-268.

Lilienberg D.A., 1968. The main regularities in recent movements in the central parts of the Balkan peninsula (On the example of Macedonia). Studia Geophys. et Geodaetica, 12, 163-178.

Lindhorst, K., Krastel, S., Reicherter, K., Stipp, M., Wagner, B., Schwenk, T., 2015. Sedimentary and tectonic evolution of Lake Ohrid (Macedonia/Albania). Basin Res. 27, 84–101.

Lowe, J.J., Rasmussen, S.O., Björck, S., Hoek, W.Z., Steffensen, J.P., Walker, M.J., Yu, Z.C., Intimate Group., 2008. Synchronisation of palaeoenvironmental events in the North Atlantic region during the Last Termination: a revised protocol recommended by the INTIMATE group. Quat. Sci. Rev. 27(1-2), 6-17.

Marrero, S.M., Phillips, F.M., Borchers, B., Lifton, N., Aumer, R., Balco, G., 2016. Cosmogenic nuclide systematics and the CRONUScalc program, Quat. Geochron., 31, 160-187,

Martin, L.C.P., Blard, P.-H., Balco, G., Lavé, J., Delunel, R., Lifton, N., Laurent, V., 2017. The CREp program and the ICE-D production rate calibration database: A fully parameterizable and updated online tool to compute cosmic-ray exposure ages, Quat. Geochron. 38. 25-49.

Menković , L., Marković , M., Čupković , T., Pavlović , R., Trivić , B., Banjac, N., 2004. Glacial morphology of Serbia (Yugoslavia), with comments on the Pleistocene glaciation of Montenegro, Macedonia and Albania. In: Ehlers, J., Gibbard, P.L. (Eds.), Quaternary Glaciations—Extent and Chronology. Part I: Europe. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 379–384.

Merchel, S., Herpers, U., 1999. An Update on Radiochemical Separation Techniques for the Determination of Long-Lived Radionuclides via Accelerator Mass Spectrometry, Radiochim. Acta 84, 215-219.

Merchel, S., Gärtner, A., Beutner, S., Bookhagen, B., Chabilan, A., 2019. Attempts to understand potential deficiencies in chemical procedures for AMS: Cleaning and dissolving quartz for ^{10}Be and ^{26}Al analysis. Nucl. Instr. and Methods in Phys. Res. Sect. B: Beam Interact. with Mater. and At. (in press)

Milevski, I.; Gorin, S.; Markoski, M.; Radevski, I., 2013. Comparison of Accuracy of DEM's Available for the Republic of Macedonia. Proceedings from the 3rd International Geographic Symposium - GEOMED 2013, Antalya, 2013, 165-172.

Milevski, I., Ristevski, P., 2018. GIS and RS based estimation of snow cover and snow depth of North Macedonia. Geographical Rev. 51. (in press)

Milivojević, M., Menković, L., Ćalić, J., 2008. Pleistocene glacial relief of the central part of Mt. Prokletije (Albanian Alps). Quat. Int. 190, 112-122.

Nye, J.F., 1952. The mechanics of glacier flow. J.Glaciol. 2, 82-93.

Ohmura, A., Kasser, P. & Funk, M., 1992. Climate at the equilibrium line of glaciers. J. Glaciol., 38, 397-411.

Palacios, D., de Andrés, N., Gómez-Ortiz, A., & García-Ruiz, J. M., 2017. Evidence of glacial activity during the Oldest Dryas in the mountains of Spain. Geol. Soc. London, Spec. Publ. 433(1), 87-110.

Palyvos, N., Sorel, D., Lemeille, F., Mancini, M., Pantosti, D., Julia, R., Triantaphyllou, M., De Martini, P.M., 2007. Review and new data on uplift rates at the W termination of the Corinth Rift and the NE Rion graben area (Achaia, NW Peloponnesos). Bull. of the Geol. Soc. of Greece, 40(1), 412-424. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.12681/bgsg.16631

Pellitero, R.; Rea, B.R.; Spagnolo, M.; Bakke, J.; Hughes, P.; Ivy-Oches, S.; Lukas, S.; Ribolini, A., 2015. A GIS tool for automatic calculation of glacier equilibrium-line altitudes. Comput. Geosci. 82, 55–62.

Pellitero, R.; Rea, B.R.; Spagnolo, M.; Bakke, J.; Ivy-Oches, S.; Frew, C.R.; Hughes, P.; Ribolini, A.; Lukas, S.; Renssen, H., 2016. GlaRe, a GIS tool to reconstruct the 3D surface of paleoglaciers. Comput. Geosci. 94, 77–85.

Pennos, C., Lauritzen, S.E., Vouvalidis, K., Cowie, P., Pechlivanidou, S., Gkarlaouni, C., Styllas, M., Tsourlos, P., and Mouratidis, A., 2019. From subsurface to surface: a multidisciplinary approach to decoding uplift histories in tectonically-active karst landscapes. Earth Surf. Process. Landforms, 44, 1710– 1721. https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.4605

Pope, R.J., Hughes, P.D., Skoutsos, E., 2017. Glacial history of Mt Chelmos, Peloponnesus, Greece. In: Quaternary Glaciation in the Mediterranean Mountains; Hughes, P.D.; Woodward, J.C. Eds.; Geol. Soc. London, Spec. Publ., 433, 211-236.

Rasmussen, S. O., Andersen, K. K., Svensson, A. M., Steffensen, J. P., Vinther, B. M., Clausen, H. B., Siggaard-Andersen, M.L., Johnsen, S.J., Larsen, L.B., Dahl-Jensen, D., Bigler, M., Röthlisberger, R., Fischer, H., Goto-Azuma, K., Hansson, M.E., Ruth, U., 2006. A new Greenland ice core

chronology for the last glacial termination. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 111, D06102.

Rea, B., 2009, Defining modern day Area-Altitude Balance Ratios (AABRs) and their use in glacier-climate reconstructions. Quat. Sci. Rev. 28, 237-248.

Ribolini, A., Isola, I., Zanchetta, G., Bini, M., Sulpizio, R., 2011. Glacial features on the Galicica Mountains, Macedonia: Preliminary report. Geografia Fisica e Dinamica Quat. 34, 247-255.

Ribolini, A.; Bini, M.; Isola, I.; Spagnolo, M.; Zanchetta, G.; Pellitero, R.; Mechernich, S.; Gromig, R.; Dunai, T.J.; Wagner, B.; Milevski, I., 2017. An Oldest Dryas glacier expansion on Mount Pelister (Former Yugoslavian Republic of Macedonia) according to ^{10}Be cosmogenic dating. J. Geol. Soc. Lond. 175, 100-110.

Shakun, J.D., Carlson, A.E., 2010. A global perspective on Last Glacial Maximum to Holocene climate change. Quat. Sci. Rev. 29, 1801–1816.

Schimmelpfennig, I., Benedetti, L., Garreta, V., Pik, R., Blard, P.-H., Burnard, P., Bourles, D., Finkel, R., Ammon, K., Dunai, T., 2011. Calibration of cosmogenic ^{36}Cl production rates from Ca and K spallation in lava flows from Mt. Etna (38° N, Italy) and Payun Matru (36° S, Argentina. Geochem. Cosmochim. Acta 75, 2611-2632.

Sissons, J.B., 1974. A late-glacial ice-cap in the central Grampians, Scotland. Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers 62, 95-114.

Stone, J.O., 2000. Air pressure and cosmogenic isotope production. J. Geophys. Res. 105, 23753.

Styllas, M. N., Schimmelpfennig, I., Ghilardi, M., & Benedetti, L., 2016. Geomorphologic and paleoclimatic evidence of Holocene glaciation on Mount Olympus, Greece. The Holocene, 26(5), 709-721.

Styllas, M.N., Schimmelpfennig, I., Benedetti, L., Ghilardi, M., ASTER Team, 2018. Late-glacial and Holocene history of the northeast Mediterranean mountains - New insights from in situ-produced ^{36}Cl-based cosmic ray exposure dating of paleo-glacier deposits on Mount Olympus, Greece. Quat. Sci. Rev. 193. 244-265.

Temovski, M., Pruner, P., Hercman, H., Bosák, P., 2016. Cave response to environmental changes in Late Pleistocene: study of Budimirica Cave sediments, Macedonia. Geologica Croatica, 69(3), 307–316. https://doi.org/10.4154/gc.2016.29

Temovski, M., Madarász, B., Kern, Z., Milevski, I., Ruszkiczay-Rüdiger, Zs., 2018. Glacial Geomorphology and Preliminary Glacier Reconstruction in the Jablanica Mountain, Macedonia, Central Balkan Peninsula. Geosci. 8, 270, doi:10.3390/geosciences8070270

Vieira, G., 2008. Combined numerical and geomorphological reconstruction of the Serra da Estrela plateau icefield, Portugal. Geomorphology, 2008, 97, 190-207.

Ward, G. K., Wilson, S. R., 1978. Procedures for Comparing and Combining Radiocarbon Age-Determinations - Critique. Archaeometry, 20, 19-31.

Weertman, J., 1971. Shear stress at the base of a rigidly rotating cirque glacier. J. Glaciol. 10, 31–37.

Zasadni, J. and Kłapyta, P., 2014. The Tatra Mountains during the last glacial maximum. Journal of Maps, 10(3), 440-456.

Žebre, M., Sarıkaya, M. A., Stepišnik, U., Yıldırım, C., Çiner, A., 2019. First [36]Cl cosmogenic moraine geochronology of the Dinaric mountain karst: Velež and Crvanj Mountains of Bosnia and Herzegovina. Quat. Sci. Rev. 208, 54-75.

Declaration of interests

☒ The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

☐The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests:

Key driver of glacier stabilization:

Graphical abstract

Last deglaciation in the central Balkan Peninsula: geochronological evidence from the Jablanica Mt. (North Macedonia)

Zsófia Ruszkiczay-Rüdiger[1]*, Zoltán Kern[1], Marjan Temovski[1, 2], Balázs Madarász[3], Ivica Milevski[4], Régis Braucher[5], ASTER Team[5,6]

[1] Institute for Geological and Geochemical Research, Research Centre for Astronomy and Earth Sciences; Budaörsi út 45. 1112 Budapest, Hungary

[2] Isotope Climatology and Environmental Research Centre, Institute for Nuclear Research, Bem tér 18/c, 4026, Debrecen, Hungary

[3] Geographical Institute, Research Centre for Astronomy and Earth Sciences; Budaörsi út 45. 1112 Budapest, Hungary

[4] Institute of Geography, Faculty of Natural Sciences and Mathematics, Ss. Cyril and Methodius University, Arhimedova 3. 1000 Skopje, Macedonia

[5] CEREGE, Aix-Marseille Univ., CNRS-IRD UM34, Collége de France, INRA, BP 80, 13545 Aix-en-Provence Cedex 4, France

[6] Didier Bourlès, Georges Aumaître, Karim Keddadouche

* corresponding author: rrzsofi@geochem.hu

*Highlights***:**

Five deglaciation phases identified between ~17 ka and ~13 ka in Macedonia

The maximum glacier extent is LGM or older

Parallelization of moraines barely on morphological basis is problematic

Summer temperature/winter accumulation drove glacier stabilization before/after ~15ka

Dry conditions.probably hindered glacier advance during the Younger Dryas