

### **RESEARCH REPOSITORY**

### This is the author's final version of the work, as accepted for publication following peer review but without the publisher's layout or pagination. The definitive version is available at:

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaip.2019.12.004

Stone, C.A., Choudhary, S., Patterson, M.F., Rukasin, C.R.F., Coleman, D.T., Phillips, E.J. and Commins, S.P. (2019) Tolerance of porcine pancreatic enzymes despite positive skin testing in Alpha-gal allergy. The Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology: In Practice

https://researchrepository.murdoch.edu.au/id/eprint/53968

Copyright: © 2019 Elsevier It is posted here for your personal use. No further distribution is permitted.

Tolerance of Porcine Pancreatic Enzymes Despite Positive Skin Testing in Alpha-gal Allergy

Cosby A. Stone, Jr., MD, MPH, Shailesh Choudhary, PhD, Megan F. Patterson, MD, Christine RF. Rukasin, MD, David T. Coleman, MD, Elizabeth J. Phillips, MD, Scott P. Commins, MD, PhD

PII: S2213-2198(19)31024-4

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaip.2019.12.004

Reference: JAIP 2588

To appear in: The Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology: In Practice

Received Date: 16 July 2019

Revised Date: 3 December 2019

Accepted Date: 4 December 2019

Please cite this article as: Stone CA Jr., Choudhary S, Patterson MF, Rukasin CR, Coleman DT, Phillips EJ, Commins SP, Tolerance of Porcine Pancreatic Enzymes Despite Positive Skin Testing in Alpha-gal Allergy, *The Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology: In Practice* (2020), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaip.2019.12.004.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2019 Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of the American Academy of Allergy, Asthma & Immunology



#### **Tolerance of Porcine Pancreatic Enzymes Despite Positive Skin Testing in** 1 2 **Alpha-gal Allergy**

- 3
- 4 Cosby A. Stone, Jr. MD, MPH<sup>+</sup>
- Shailesh Choudhary, PhD§ 5
- 6 Megan F. Patterson, MD<sup>+</sup>
- 7 Christine RF. Rukasin MD<sup>+</sup>
- 8 David T. Coleman, MD<sup>+</sup>
- 9 Elizabeth J. Phillips, MD<sup>¥>+^</sup>
- 10 Scott P. Commins, MD, PhD§
- 11
- 12
- 13 <sup>†</sup> Division of Allergy, Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Department of Medicine,
- 14 Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, Tennessee, USA
- 15 <sup>¥</sup> Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine, Vanderbilt University
- 16 Medical Center, Nashville, Tennessee, USA
- 17 >Department of Pharmacology, Vanderbilt University School of Medicine
- 18 <sup>+</sup>Department of Pathology, Microbiology and Immunology, Vanderbilt University
- 19 School of Medicine
- 20 <sup>^</sup>Institute for Immunology & Infectious Diseases, Murdoch University, Murdoch,
- 21 Western Australia 6150
- 22 <sup>§</sup> Division of Rheumatology, Allergy & Immunology, Department of Medicine,
- 23 University of North Carolina School of Medicine, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, USA
- 24
- 25 **Corresponding Author:**
- 26 Cosby A. Stone, Ir.
- 27 Vanderbilt University,
- 28 Division of Allergy, Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine
- 29 1161 21<sup>st</sup> Avenue South T-1218, MCN
- 30 Nashville, TN 37232-2650
- 31 Phone: 615-322-3412
- 32 Fax: 615-343-1809
- 33 Email: cosby.a.stone@vumc.org
- 34
- 35 Conflict of Interest: The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.
- 36 Funding Sources:
- Dr. Stone receives funding from NIH/NIGMS T32 HL87738. 37
- Dr. Phillips receives funding related to this project from: National Institutes of 38
- 39 Health (1P50GM115305-01, R21AI139021 and R34AI136815) and the National Health
- 40 and Medical Research Foundation of Australia.
- Dr. Commins receives funding related to this project from: NIH R56AI113095 & 41
- 42 R01AI135049
- 43 Abbreviations: alpha-gal syndrome= AGS, galactose-alpha-1,3-galactose = alpha-gal,
- 44 specific IgE =sIgE,
- 45

- 46 IRB: This study was done under IRB approved protocols from Vanderbilt University
- 47 and the University of North Carolina.

48

Journal Pre-proof

# 49 Tolerance of Porcine Pancreatic Enzymes Despite Positive Skin Testing in 50 Alpha-gal Allergy 51

Clinical Implications: Patients with alpha-gal syndrome (AGS) can have positive
 skin testing to porcine pancreatic enzyme replacement. We report two patients
 with drug tolerance despite positive skin testing, identifying that at least in some
 circumstances these drugs do not elicit a reaction.

57 To the Editor:

58

59 In endemic areas around the world, galactose-alpha-1,3-galactose (alpha-gal)

60 sensitivity has emerged as an etiology of mammalian meat allergy that is causally

61 associated with bites from sensitizing ticks.<sup>1</sup> Alpha-gal syndrome (AGS) typically

62 presents with delayed anaphylaxis after consumption of mammalian meat, and less

63 commonly with similar reactions to mammalian milks and gelatin.<sup>1</sup> Mammalian-

64 derived products are common in medications, both as main and excipient

65 ingredients, and are therefore a potential safety risk for patients with AGS. We have

66 previously reported that a subset of alpha-gal allergic patients will react to the

67 parenteral administration of alpha-gal contained in gelatin and gelatin containing

68 vaccines.<sup>2-4</sup> A recent report by Swiontek et al.<sup>5</sup> identified a group of 17 AGS patients

69 who demonstrated positive skin prick and *ex vivo* testing to porcine derived

70 pancreatic enzyme replacement. Since these patients had no need for pancreatic

enzyme replacement they were not orally challenged to confirm reactivity versus

tolerance. Their report, therefore, highlights the further need to determine the

73 safety of porcine pancreatic replacement in AGS patients.<sup>5, 6</sup>

74

To assess the safety of porcine pancreatic enzyme replacement in AGS patients, we

revaluated two patients (**Table 1**) with a history of AGS and an indication for

treatment with this class of medication. Skin prick testing to different commercially
available porcine pancreatic enzymes was followed by oral ingestion challenge to
determine tolerance. Finally, because of literature indicating increases in total IgE
after splenectomy,<sup>7</sup> we observed the effect of splenectomy on total IgE and alpha-gal
specific IgE (sIgE) in our second AGS case who was undergoing a planned
pancreatectomy/splenectomy.

83

84 The first patient was a 41-year-old female seen in 2016 at the University of North 85 Carolina, who developed recurrent heart racing, headache, and nightly 86 gastrointestinal distress following two tick bites in May 2011. In June 2014, she was 87 diagnosed with chronic pancreatitis when she presented with nausea, vomiting and 88 chronic diarrhea and an elevated serum lipase level 3 times the upper limit of 89 normal with evidence of pancreatic inflammation on CT scan. She was seen by 90 gastroenterology and started on pancrelipase (Creon<sup>™</sup>) which is a combination of 91 porcine-derived lipases, proteases and amylases. From the onset of treatment, she 92 experienced nausea, vomiting, and intermittent urticaria; thus, therapy was 93 discontinued after 4 months. In 2016, she was formally evaluated by an allergist 94 after the recognition of delayed symptoms that occurred 4-6 hours after 95 consumption of mammalian foods. A diagnosis of AGS was established by serologic 96 testing (alpha-gal sIgE= 6.18kU/L). Mammalian meat withdrawal led to resolution 97 of urticaria and improvement in her initial gastrointestinal symptoms, but she had 98 lingering chronic diarrhea consistent with chronic pancreatitis. She was therefore 99 referred for re-evaluation for reintroduction of porcine pancreatic enzyme

100	replacement. At that time, she was avoiding all mammalian meats with alpha-gal
101	sIgE = 1.24 kU/L, total IgE = 21.0 kU/L and porcine gelatin sIgE = $<0.10$ kU/L,
102	reference all tests <0.10 kU/L. Skin prick testing was performed to FDA approved
103	porcine derived pancreatic enzyme replacement products using a protocol similar
104	to Swiontek et al. <sup>5</sup> Testing was negative to pancrelipase (Creon <sup><math>M</math></sup> ) 24K lipase unit
105	capsule contents (no wheal and flare < 3mm) and positive to three other porcine
106	derived formulations of pancrelipase: Viokase $^{\mathrm{M}}$ (10mm wheal and 15 mm flare),
107	Zenpep <sup>™</sup> (8mm wheal and 10 mm flare), and Pertyze <sup>™</sup> (6mm wheal and 8mm flare).
108	(Figure 1) Gelatin skin testing was not performed. Due to the lesser reactivity on
109	skin prick, an oral challenge to Creon™ was performed. While on 5mg levocetirizine
110	twice daily, the patient tolerated an oral ingestion challenge to a Creon $^{\mathrm{M}}$ 6K lipase
111	unit gelcap inside the capsule, developing only itching without rash, and
112	subsequently tolerated Creon <sup>™</sup> 36K lipase unit gelcaps three times a day with meals
113	during 6 months of follow up.
114	
115	The second patient was a 58-year-old female with known AGS since 2014, who was
116	subsequently diagnosed with pancreatic cancer in 2018. She was seen in
117	consultation at the Vanderbilt Asthma, Sinus and Allergy Program drug allergy clinic
118	ahead of a planned pancreatectomy and splenectomy in April 2019 and the post-
119	operative need for porcine pancreatic enzyme replacement. She was avoiding all
120	mammalian meats, dairy, and gelatin, and had known symptoms of 2-3 hour delayed
121	onset urticaria, angioedema, gastrointestinal distress, and respiratory compromise
122	with beef or pork ingestion. Serologic evaluation at the time of initial consultation in

123 2018 was alpha-gal sIgE > 100 kU/L, beef sIgE = 41 kU/L, lamb sIgE = 16.5 kU/L, 124 pork sIgE = 16.5 kU/L, and porcine gelatin sIgE = 0.9 kU/L, reference all tests < 0.35125 kU/L. Skin prick testing was positive to Creon<sup>™</sup> 3K lipase unit capsule contents (4mm wheal and 20mm flare) and Zenpep<sup>™</sup> (5mm wheal and 25mm flare) prepared 126 127 as per the protocol described by Swiontek *et al.*<sup>5</sup> with appropriate positive and 128 negative controls. A gelatin skin prick test was negative.<sup>5, 10</sup> (Figure 1) The same 129 skin testing was negative in a healthy non-alpha-gal allergic control. The patient 130 subsequently tolerated a 4 hour in-office oral ingestion challenge to the contents of 131 a 3K lipase unit Creon<sup>™</sup> capsule removed from its gelcap mixed with water. Alpha-132 gal sIgE at the time of challenge had decreased to 93.9kU/L. Two months later, after 133 pancreatectomy with splenectomy, she was started on one 24K lipase unit capsule 134 of Creon<sup>™</sup> removed from its gelcap with meals upon resumption of enteral feeding. 135 She underwent a stepwise increase to one intact capsule with meals on day 2, then 136 to three intact capsules of 24K lipase unit Creon<sup>™</sup> on day 3 by adding one additional 137 capsule with every meal. By discharge she was tolerating three 24K lipase unit 138 capsules three times a day with meals and one capsule with snacks which she 139 continues to tolerate 8 months post-operatively. To examine IgE post-splenectomy,<sup>7</sup> 140 a 1 month post-splenectomy total IgE was compared to a baseline drawn 141 immediately after splenectomy, showing a 3.5 fold increase in total IgE to 7088 142 kU/L from 2088 kU/L. Alpha-gal sIgE obtained at the same time points also showed 143 an increase to 57.7 kU/L from 37.4 kU/L. During her surgery all porcine derived 144 hemostatic agents (Gelfoam<sup>™</sup>, Surgifoam<sup>™</sup>) were avoided, but in the preoperative 145 period she had tolerated parenteral porcine heparin flushes through an implanted

146	central venous access port one month prior to initial consultation, with ongoing
147	heparin use for central line maintenance following her surgery. <sup>8, 9</sup> The patient's
148	Creon $^{\mathrm{M}}$ and inadvertent exposure to porcine derived heparin therapies were her
149	only known exposure to mammalian products in the pre- and post-operative period
150	and they were both tolerated.

151

152 We next evaluated if alpha-gal sIgE containing sera would interact with components 153 of the porcine pancreatic enzymes. To do so, we performed an overnight incubation 154 at 4°C of alpha-gal sIgE containing sera with the capsule contents of three porcine 155 enzyme products (Creon 24K lipase, Zenpep 24K lipase, and Viokase 16K lipase) 156 diluted 1:100 in saline. Forty microliters of undiluted serum from Case 1 along with 157 two additional subjects with alpha-gal allergy were used, similar to previously 158 published methods.<sup>1,8</sup> We then compared pre-incubation measurements of serum 159 alpha-gal sIgE to post-incubation measurements. We performed the same assay in a 160 healthy control without alpha-gal, examining total IgE as a proxy measure, to check 161 for dilutional effects or non-specific IgE binding by the products.

162

Measured sIgE to alpha-gal from allergic patient sera decreased when incubated
overnight in the presence of any of the three pancreatic porcine enzyme products
selected, suggesting the presence of alpha-gal (**Online Table EIA**). In contrast, total
IgE from a non-allergic subject did not decrease in the presence of the same
products, suggesting that the observed decreases in alpha-gal specific IgE are not

because of dilution or non-specific IgE binding to these products (Online TableEIB).

170

Our case study of these two alpha-gal allergic patients therefore confirms the
presence of positive prick testing to porcine pancreatic enzyme replacement, and
that *in vitro* binding of alpha-gal sIgE to these products can be detected in the
laboratory.

175

176 We also demonstrate that the same two patients tolerated porcine pancreatic 177 enzymes despite positive skin prick testing and in vitro sIgE binding, suggesting that 178 oral provocation is still required to ascertain tolerance in these cases. Our report is 179 currently limited by diagnoses of alpha-gal allergy based upon clinical history, blood 180 testing, and the skin testing that we report here, whereas an oral challenge might 181 have more definitively proven the diagnosis for Case 1. We also do not currently 182 have any information on how much alpha-gal is present in pancreatic enzymes. 183 Future studies comparing the relative binding of alpha-gal sIgE to a suspect drug 184 with alpha-gal sIgE binding to standardized concentrations of alpha-gal containing 185 positive control substances (cetuximab, bovine thyroglobulin) may provide 186 important information about the concentrations of alpha-gal in a drug. However, we 187 postulate that there is sufficient alpha-gal to demonstrate a positive skin test in 188 these patients but that the amount was below the threshold to elicit a challenge 189 response. In keeping with this, the absolute reductions in alpha-gal sIgE binding 190 post-absorption were modest in comparison to binding seen with thyroglobulin or

191	gelatin-containing vaccines. <sup>3,4</sup> This may reflect a limited absorption of alpha-gal in
192	the setting of porcine pancreatic enzymes. It is possible that the slow post-operative
193	introduction of enzymes in Case 2 may have served as a desensitization, but this
194	patient was also challenged directly, twice, with no symptoms or pre-medication. In
195	Case 2, splenectomy appeared to increase circulating total IgE and alpha-gal sIgE,
196	but didn't change the outcome of subsequent tolerance. In terms of safety and
197	tolerability, the route of administration of medications (parenteral versus
198	gastrointestinal) is likely to be important in alpha-gal allergy. <sup>2, 4</sup> The amount of
199	alpha-gal that is absorbed from oral medications containing mammalian ingredients
200	is currently unknown and the safety of these products in patients with alpha-gal
201	allergy requires further prospective research with defined provocation protocols.
202	

Jonungy

- 203 **Acknowledgements:** The authors would like to acknowledge their appreciation of
- 204 the patients described in this report and also Dr. Kenneth Babe, MD, Dr. Dana
- 205 Cardin, MD, Dr. Marcus Tan, and Michelle Moore, PharmD.
- 206

### 207 **References**

- Levin M, Apostolovic D, Biedermann T, Commins S, Iweala O, Platts-Mills T, et
   al. Galactose alpha-1,3-galactose phenotypes: Lessons from various patient
- 210 populations. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol 2019.
- 2112.Mullins R, James H, Platts-Mills T, Commins S. Relationship between red meat212allergy and sensitization to gelatin and galactose- $\alpha$ -1,3-galactose. J Allergy213and Clin Immunology 2012; 129:1334-42.
- Stone C, Jr., Commins S, Choudhary S, Vethody C, Heavrin J, Wingerter J, et al.
   Anaphylaxis After Vaccination in a Pediatric Patient: Further Implicating
   Alpha-Gal Allergy. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract 2018.
- Stone C, Jr., Hemler J, Commins S, Schuyler A, Phillips E, Peebles R, Jr., et al.
   Anaphylaxis after zoster vaccine: Implicating alpha-gal allergy as a possible
   mechanism. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2017; 139:1710-3 e2.
- Swiontek K, Morisset M, Codreanu-Morel F, Fischer J, Mehlich J, Darsow U, et
  al. Drugs of porcine origin-A risk for patients with alpha-gal syndrome? J
  Allergy Clin Immunol Pract 2018.
- 223 6. Dunkman W, Rycek W, Manning M. What Does a Red Meat Allergy Have to Do
  224 With Anesthesia? Perioperative Management of Alpha-Gal Syndrome. Anesth
  225 Analg 2018.
- 7. Balsalobre B, Enguidanos M, Hernandez-Godoy J, Planelles D, Mir A. Changes
  in total serum IgE concentrations after splenectomy. J Investig Allergol Clin
  Immunol 1993; 3:268-70.
- 8. Kendall P, Marney SJ. Porcine Heparin Allergy in a Pork Allergic Patient: A
  Case Report. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2005; 115:S181.
- 9. Mawhirt S, Banta E. Successful intravenous heparin administration during
  coronary revascularization surgery in a patient with alpha-gal anaphylaxis
  history. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol 2019.
- Kelso J, Greenhawt M, Li J, Nicklas R, Bernstein D, Blessing-Moore J, et al.
  Adverse reactions to vaccines practice parameter update. J Allergy and Clin
  Immunology 2012; 130:25-43.

237

238

#### 239 **Figure Legend:**

- 240 Figure 1: Skin testing to porcine pancreatic enzymes in Case 1 (top panel), Case 2
- 241 (bottom left panel) and a healthy control (bottom right panel): In Case 1, skin testing
- 242 was negative to Creon™ (erythema only) and positive to Viokase™, Zenpep™, and
- Pertzye<sup>™</sup>. The image shown was taken at 30 minutes after test placement. In Case 2, 243
- skin testing was positive to Creon<sup>™</sup> and Zenpep<sup>™</sup> and negative to gelatin. The same 244
- 245 reagents tested simultaneously in a healthy control produced no response. The
- 246 images shown were taken at 15 minutes after placement.
- 247

.t.

Table 1: Comparison of two cases of alpha-gal syndrome (AGS) with a treatment indication for						
por cine panci eatic enzym	Case 1	(aso 2				
Vear of ACS symptom	2011	2014				
onset	2011	2014				
Vear of AGS diagnosis	2016	2014				
Year of consultation for	2016	2018				
porcine pancreatic						
enzyme replacement						
Timeline in order	<b>2011-</b> onset of symptoms:	<b>2014-</b> onset of symptoms:				
	episodic tachycardia,	overnight delayed anaphylaxis				
	gastrointestinal distress,	after steak consumption requiring				
	intermittent urticaria	ER treatment with epinephrine,				
	<b>2014-</b> evidence of pancreatitis on	leading to diagnosis of alpha-gal				
	CT scan	syndrome: alpha-gal sIgE =5.65				
	<b>2014-</b> failed treatment with	kU/L				
	pancrelipase, did not improve	March 2018- follow up for alpha-				
	symptoms (see below)	gal syndrome after four years of				
	April 2016- diagnosis of AGS,	meat and tick avoidance: alpha-gal				
	alpha-gal sIgE = 6.18kU/L	sIgE=1.83 kU/L				
	(evaluation prompted by systemic	July 2018- patient reports another				
	urticaria 4 hours after eating	tick bite with lone star tick				
	pepperoni pizza, other similar	August 2018- diagnosis of				
	triggering foods listed)	pancreatic cancer				
	May 2016- cessation of	Nov 2018- pre-clinic laboratory				
	mammalian meat consumption	testing: alpha-gal sigE >100 kU/L				
	with improvement of	January 2019- pancrelipase skin				
	gastrointostinal sumptoms with	<b>Each 2010</b> asymptomatic				
	some residual intermittent	red 2019- asymptomatic				
	diarrhea	in drug clinic: alpha-gal slgE -03.90				
	July 2016- ongoing concern for	kII/L				
	chronic pancreatitis due to	April 2019- 1 day pre-operative				
	residual diarrhea, allergy	for pancreatectomy/splenectomy:				
	consulted to resume pancrelipase	alpha-gal sIgE = $37.4 \text{ kU/L}$ total				
	September 2016 - asymptomatic	IgE=2,088 kU/L				
	tolerance of pancrelipase	April 2019- pancrelipase started				
	challenge and treatment, alpha-gal	on post-op day 3				
	sIgE = 1.24 kU/L	May 2019- 6 weeks post-operative				
	December 2016/2017- patient	alpha-gal sIgE : 57.70 kU/L, total				
	with continued tolerance of	IgE=7,088 kU/L				
	enzyme replacement.					
Previous receipt of	2014, discontinued because of	No				
porcine pancreatic	nausea, vomiting and intermittent					
enzymes	urticaria. Retrospectively,					
	symptoms possibly consistent with					
	undiagnosed AGS.					
AGS tood triggers	Beet, pork, dairy	Beet, pork, dairy				
Amount of alpha-gal	2 slices pepperoni pizza- urticaria	Small steak - anaphylaxis				
containing foods	and gastrointestinal symptoms	1 piece of pork bacon - anaphylaxis				

	Journal Pre-proof	
previously known to trigger symptoms AGS food avoidances Pre-challenge	cup of beef broth- urticaria and gastrointestinal symptoms ham sandwich - urticaria and gastrointestinal symptoms yogurt- urticaria and gastrointestinal symptoms Mammalian meats, dairy (at times) Alpha-gal sIgE = 1.24 kU/L	Butter - Flushing/urticaria Mammalian meats, dairy, gelatin Alpha-gal sIgE > 100 kU/L
serological testing	Total IgE = 21.0 kU/L Porcine gelatin IgE <0.10 kU/L Reference all tests <0.10 kU/L	Beef sIgE = 41 kU/L Lamb sIgE = 16.5 kU/L Pork sIgE = 16.5 kU/L Porcine gelatin sIgE = 0.9 kU/L Reference all tests <0.35 kU/L
Skin testing	Negative to Creon <sup>™</sup> 24K lipase unit capsule contents (erythema only) Positive to Viokase <sup>™</sup> (10mm wheal and 15 mm flare) Positive to Zenpep <sup>™</sup> (8mm wheal and 10 mm flare) Positive to Pertyze <sup>™</sup> (6mm wheal and 8mm flare).	Positive to Creon <sup>™</sup> 3K lipase unit capsule contents (4mm wheal and 20mm flare) Positive to Zenpep <sup>™</sup> (5mm wheal and 25mm flare) Gelatin skin test negative
Oral tolerance of porcine pancreatic enzymes	Tolerance of oral Creon <sup>™</sup> 6K lipase unit gelcap on outpatient challenge followed by immediate treatment initiation at 36K lipase unit gelcaps three times a day Asymptomatic tolerance during follow up.	Tolerance of oral Creon <sup>™</sup> 3K lipase units removed from gelcap on outpatient challenge and on hospital rechallenge with 24K lipase units followed by immediate treatment initiation and titration to 24K lipase unit capsules three times a day. Asymptomatic tolerance during follow up.
Unique features of patient presentation	<ul> <li>Greater number of agents skin tested.</li> <li>Previous exposure to enzymes during pre-diagnosis period made distinguishing AGS symptoms from chronic pancreatitis important.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Critical need for the drug after pancreatectomy.</li> <li>Higher quantitative AGS allergen specific IgE concentrations than Case 1.</li> <li>Post-splenectomy increases in total IgE and alpha-gal specific IgE observed.</li> <li>Tolerance of intravenous porcine heparins.</li> </ul>

**x 1 b 0** 

Histamine



Online Table EIA: Measurement of alpha-gal specific IgE from alpha-gal								
allergic patients, before and after overnight incubation with porcine								
pancreatic enzyme products.								
	Pre-	Alpha-gal	Alpha-gal	Alpha-gal				
	incubation	sIgE post-	sIgE post-	sIgE post				
	alpha-gal sIgE	incubation	incubation	incubation				
	baseline in	with Creon	with Zenpep	with Viokase				
	kU/L	in kU/L	in kU/L	in kU/L				
		(% change)	(% change)	(% change)				
Alpha-Gal	1.33	1.08	0.97	1.04				
positive Case		(19%	(27%	(22%)				
#1 from this		decrease)	decrease)	decrease)				
report								
Alpha-gal	25.6	23.2	22.8	22.5				
positive		(9% decrease)	(11%	(12%)				
control: UNC			decrease)	decrease)				
178								
Alpha-gal	11.7	11.3	9.91	9.74				
positive		(3% decrease)	(15%	(17%				
control: UNC			decrease)	decrease)				
218								
Online Table E	IB: Measuremen	t of total IgE from	n alpha-gal nega	tive control				
sera before and	d after overnight	t incubation with	n porcine pancre	eatic enzyme				
products.								
	Pre-	Total IgE	Total IgE	Total IgE post				
	incubation	post-	post-	incubation				
	total IgE	incubation	incubation	with Viokase				
	baseline	with Creon	with Zenpep	(kU/L)				
	(kU/L)	(kU/L)	(kU/L)					
Alpha-Gal	238	248	236	251				
Negative		(4% increase)	(1% decrease)	(5% increase)				
Control								