1	Elvira S. de Lange
2	Department of Entomology and Nematology
3	University of California Davis
4	1 Shields Avenue, 367 Briggs Hall
5	Davis, CA 95616
6	Phone: (530) 752-6278
7	E-mail: esdelange@ucdavis.edu
8	
9	Drones: innovative technology for use in precision pest management
10	
11	Fernando H. Iost Filho ¹ , Wieke B. Heldens ² , Zhaodan Kong ³ , Elvira S. de Lange ⁴
12	
13	¹ Department of Entomology and Acarology, University of São Paulo, Piracicaba, São Paulo,
14	Brazil
15	² German Aerospace Center (DLR), Earth Observation Center, German Remote Sensing Data
16	Center (DFD), Oberpfaffenhofen, D-82234 Wessling, Germany
17	³ Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, University of California Davis, Davis,
18	California, USA
19	⁴ Department of Entomology and Nematology, University of California Davis, Davis, California,
20	USA

21 **Running title:** Drones and precision pest management

22

23 Keywords: Biological control, integrated pest management, precision agriculture, remote

24 sensing, unmanned aerial systems.

25

26 Abstract

27 Arthropod pest outbreaks are unpredictable and not uniformly distributed within fields. Early 28 outbreak detection and treatment application are inherent to effective pest management, allowing 29 management decisions to be implemented before pests are well-established and crop losses accrue. Pest monitoring is time-consuming and may be hampered by lack of reliable or cost-30 31 effective sampling techniques. Thus, we argue that an important research challenge associated 32 with enhanced sustainability of pest management in modern agriculture is developing and 33 promoting improved crop monitoring procedures. Biotic stress, such as herbivory by arthropod 34 pests, elicits physiological defense responses in plants, leading to changes in leaf reflectance. Advanced imaging technologies can detect such changes, and can therefore be used as non-35 invasive crop monitoring methods. Furthermore, novel methods of treatment precision 36 37 application are required. Both sensing and actuation technologies can be mounted on equipment 38 moving through fields (e.g. irrigation equipment), on (un)manned driving vehicles, and on small 39 drones. In this review, we focus specifically on use of small unmanned aerial robots, or small 40 drones, in agricultural systems. Acquired and processed canopy reflectance data obtained with 41 sensing drones could potentially be transmitted as a digital map to guide a second type of drone, 42 actuation drones, to deliver solutions to the identified pest hotspots, such as precision-releases of 43 natural enemies and/or precision-sprays of pesticides. We emphasize how sustainable pest

44 management in 21st century agriculture will depend heavily on novel technologies, and how this
45 trend will lead to a growing need for multi-disciplinary research collaborations between
46 agronomists, ecologists, software programmers, and engineers.

47

48 Introduction

49 Arthropod pest outbreaks in field crops and orchards often show non-uniform spatial 50 distributions. For some pests, such as cabbage aphids [Brevicorvne brassicae L. (Hemiptera: Aphididae)] in canola fields (*Brassica* spp.), and Asian citrus psyllids [*Diaphorina citri* 51 52 Kuwayama (Hemiptera: Liviidae)] in citrus orchards (*Citrus* spp.) there is evidence of highest population densities along field edges (Sétamou and Bartels 2015, Severtson et al. 2015, Nguyen 53 54 and Nansen 2018). For other pests, such as soybean aphids [Aphis glycines Matsumura 55 (Hemiptera: Aphididae)] in soybean (Glycine max (L.) Merrill), and two-spotted spider mites 56 [Tetranychus urticae Koch (Acari: Tetranychidae)] in cowpea (Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp.), 57 parts of fields that are exposed to abiotic stress, such as drought or nutrient deficiencies, tend to be more susceptible (Mattson and Haack 1987, Abdel-Galil et al. 2007, Walter and DiFonzo 58 59 2007, Amtmann et al. 2008, West and Nansen 2014). Thus, as pests are spatially aggregated, precision agriculture technologies can offer important opportunities for integrated pest 60 management (IPM) (Lillesand et al. 2007). 61

Precision pest management is twofold: first, reflectance-based crop monitoring (using ground-based, airborne, or orbital remote sensing technologies) can be used to identify pest hotspots. Second, precision control systems, such as distributors of natural enemies and pesticide spray rigs, can provide localized solutions. Both technologies can be mounted on equipment 66 moving through fields (such as irrigation equipment), on manned or unmanned vehicles driving67 around in fields, or on aerial drones.

In this review, we focus specifically on use of small drones in IPM. Small drones are here 68 69 defined as remotely controlled, unmanned flying robots that weigh more than 250 g but less than 70 25 kg, including payload (FAA 2018a). These types of drones typically have flight-times of a 71 few minutes to hours and limited ranges (Hardin and Jensen 2011). We will also briefly discuss 72 the larger drones that are typically used for pesticide sprays. Discussion of smaller and larger drones is beyond the scope of this review, but see Watts et al. (2012), and Anderson and Gaston 73 74 (2013) for more information. Drones used for detection of pest hotspots are here referred to as 75 sensing drones, while drones used for precision distribution of solutions are referred to as actuation drones. Both types of drones could communicate to establish a closed-loop IPM 76 solution (Figure 1). Importantly, use of drones in precision pest management could be cost-77 effective and reduce harm to the environment. Sensing drones could reduce the time required to 78 79 scout for pests, while actuation drones could reduce the area where pesticide applications are 80 necessary, and reduce the costs of dispensing natural enemies.

Reports of drones in agriculture started appearing around 1998, and increased 81 82 dramatically in the last decade (Figure 2). According to the abstract of a licensed report, the 83 worldwide drone market value is currently estimated about \$6.8 billion and is anticipated to reach \$36.9 billion by 2022 (WinterGreen Research 2016b). Another paid report predicts that 84 85 drones will reach a value of \$14.3 billion by 2028 (Teal Group, 2019). Agricultural small drones currently account for about \$500 million, and their value is expected to reach \$3.7 billion by 86 2022 (WinterGreen Research 2016a). A different paid report predicts similar values (ABI 87 88 Research, 2018), while a freely available resource predicts the value of drone-based solutions for

agriculture at \$32 billion (PwC 2016). Recently, the United Nations published a report on the use
of drones for agriculture, stressing its potential benefits for food security (Sylvester, 2018). A
text message poll among ca. 900 growers based in the United States of America (USA) showed
that around 30% use drone-based technology for farming practices (Farm Journal Pulse, 2019).
Thus, although there is a big margin among predictions of future drone use, an increasing
number of growers is expected to use and/or own a drone within the next decade.

95 There are various ways to classify drones (Watts et al. 2012). For our purpose, we currently distinguish two major types of small drones: rotary wing and fixed wing. Each of these 96 97 has its own advantages and limitations (Hogan et al. 2017). Multi-rotor and single-rotor (helicopter) drones do not require specific structures for take-off and landing. Moreover, they 98 99 can hover and perform agile maneuvering, making them suitable for applications (e.g., inspection 100 near crops and orchards or pesticide applications) where precise maneuvering or the ability to 101 maintain a visual of a target for an extended period of time is required. Especially multi-rotor 102 drones tend to be easy to use, and relatively cheap to obtain. Fixed-wing systems are usually 103 faster than rotor-based systems, and generally larger in size, allowing for higher payloads (Stark 104 et al. 2013b, Dalamagkidis 2015). Both have been used for precision agriculture (Barbedo 2019). 105 Since drone technology quickly improves, we will refrain from discussing drone types in further 106 detail, but see Dalamagkidis (2015) and Stark et al. (2013b) for more information.

A number of reviews discuss the use of drones in precision agriculture, focusing on
airborne remote sensing for various applications, such as predicting yield and characterizing soil
properties (Hardin and Jensen 2011, Prabhakar et al. 2012, Zhang and Kovacs 2012, Mulla 2013,
Gago et al. 2015, Nansen and Elliott 2016, Pádua et al. 2017, Hunt and Daughtry 2018, Aasen et
al. 2018, Gonzalez et al. 2018, Barbedo 2019, Maes and Steppe 2019). In this review, we focus

on precision management of arthropod pests, and describe the use of both sensing and actuation drones. First, we provide an update about airborne remote sensing-based detection of pest problems. Then, we evaluate the possibilities of actuation drones for precision distribution of pesticides and natural enemies. Also, we discuss the possibilities of sensing and actuation drones for novel functions in pest management. Lastly, we discuss challenges and opportunities in the adoption of drone technology in modern agriculture.

118

Sensing Drones to Monitor Crop Health

120 Traditional field scouting for pest infestations is often expensive and time consuming (Hodgson 121 et al. 2004, Severtson et al. 2016b, Dara 2019). It may be practically challenging, such as when a 122 large acreage is involved, when the arthropod pests are too small to see with the naked eye, or 123 when they reside in the soil or in tall trees. In some cropping systems, effective scouting is 124 hampered by lack of reliable pest sampling techniques. Hence, one of the main drivers for the 125 implementation of drone-based remote sensing technologies into agriculture is the potential time saved by automatizing crop monitoring, making the technology cost-effective for growers 126 127 (Carrière et al. 2006, Backoulou et al. 2011a, Dara 2019).

Compared to conventional platforms for remote sensing, such as ground-based, aerial (with manned aircraft) and orbital [with satellites such as Landsat (30 m spatial resolution), Sentinel 2 (10 m) or RapidEye (5 m) (Mulla 2013)], sensing drones present several advantages that make them attractive for use in precision agriculture. Sensing drones potentially allow for coverage of larger areas than ground-based, handheld devices. They can fly at lower altitudes than manned aircraft and orbital systems, increasing images' spatial resolution and reducing the number of mixed pixels (pixels representing reflectance of both plant and soil, discussed in more detail below). Also, they cost less to obtain and deploy than manned aircraft and satellites, and
don't have long revisiting times like satellites, allowing for higher monitoring frequencies
(Zhang and Kovacs 2012, Mulla 2013, Matese et al. 2015, Aasen and Bolten 2018, Barbedo
2019, Maes and Steppe 2019).

139

140 Remote Sensing in Precision Agriculture

141 Remote sensing is the detection of energy emitted or reflected by various objects, either in the 142 form of acoustical energy or in the form of electromagnetic energy (including ultraviolet (UV) 143 light, visible light, and infrared light) (Usha and Singh 2013). It is a non-invasive, relatively 144 labor-extensive method that could be used to detect plant stress before changes are visible by 145 eve. For crops, remote sensing equipment generally assesses the spectral range of visible light or 146 photosynthetically active radiation (PAR, 400-700 nm) and near-infrared light (NIR, 700-1400 147 nm), with most studies referring to the 400-1000 nm range (Nansen 2016). Particular stressors, 148 such as arthropod infestations, induce physiological plant responses, causing changes in the 149 plants' ability to perform photosynthesis, which leads to changes in leaf reflectance in parts of 150 this spectral range. For aerial remote sensing, a drone can be equipped with an RGB (red green 151 blue) sensor, a multispectral sensor with between 3 and 12 broad spectral bands, or a 152 hyperspectral sensor with hundreds of narrow spectral bands.

An RGB sensor is low-cost, but results in limited spectral information. A multispectral sensor results in more spectral information, but a hyperspectral sensor is generally much better at differentiating subtle differences in canopy reflectance than a multispectral sensor (Yang et al. 2009a). However, since hyperspectral sensors are generally larger, they would require mounting on drones adapted for heavier payloads. Also, they are generally more expensive, and data

analysis requires more time and experience, limiting use for individual growers. A
comprehensive review of the sensor types compatible with drones has been written by Aasen et
al. (2018).

161

162 Remote Sensing and Arthropod Pests

163 Remote sensing technologies have been used in precision agriculture for the last few decades, 164 with various applications, such as yield predictions and evaluation of crop phenology (Mulla 165 2013). Also, these techniques are being used to monitor different abiotic plant stressors, such as 166 drought (Gago et al. 2015, Katsoulas et al. 2016, Zhao et al. 2017, Jorge et al. 2019) and 167 nutritional deficiencies (Quemada et al. 2014), and biotic plant stressors, such as pathogens 168 (Calderón et al. 2013, Mahlein et al. 2013, Zarco-Tejada et al. 2018), nematodes (Nutter et al. 169 2002), and weeds (Rasmussen et al. 2013, Peña et al. 2015). Likewise, remote sensing 170 technologies have been successfully used to detect stress caused by various arthropod pests on a 171 wide variety of field and orchard crops (Riley 1989, Nansen 2016, Nansen and Elliott 2016; 172 Tables 1-4). A limited amount of studies concerning arthropod-induced stress detection used 173 drone-based aerial remote sensing (Table 1), manned aircraft-based aerial remote sensing (Table 174 2), or orbital remote sensing (Table 3), while most studies used ground-based remote sensing 175 (Table 4).

In these tables, optical sensors are grouped, in addition to the platform they are mounted on, into RGB, multispectral, and hyperspectral sensors. As stated above, generally, multispectral sensors have 3-12 broad spectral bands at selected wavelength ranges, whereas hyperspectral sensors have many (usually >20, but up to several hundreds) narrow, contiguous spectral bands, acquiring the spectrum within the selected spectral region with many measurement points.

However, there is no clear agreed on definition. Therefore, the tables include multispectral sensors acquiring more than 12 spectral bands. While grouping the sensors, we adhered to the authors' classifications (Tables 1-4).

Tables 1-4 focus on detection of arthropod pests; we did not address diseases caused by arthropod vectors (e.g. Garcia-Ruiz et al. 2013). Also, these tables only contain studies related to crops and orchards. We did not address forestry studies, as the body of literature on pest detection involves multi-species forests, adding an additional layer of complexity as opposed to crops and orchards in monoculture. More information about remote sensing in forestry settings can be found elsewhere (Dash et al. 2016, Pádua et al. 2017, Stone and Mohammed 2017, Dash et al. 2018).

191 It is important to note that with remote sensing, not the pests themselves are detected, but 192 patterns of canopy reflectance that are indicative of arthropod-induced plant stress. Field 193 observations to confirm the presence of specific stressors remain necessary, but field scouting 194 can be more efficiently focused with the *a priori* knowledge from remote sensing.

195

196 Analysis of Reflectance Spectra

For the detection of plant stress using remote sensing, the spectral reflectance (the spectral signature or spectrum) of the vegetation is analyzed. Figure 3 shows a spectrum of healthy soybean leaves as recorded by a hyperspectral field spectrometer, together with the same spectrum resampled to the spectral resolution of a hyperspectral imaging spectrometer, and a multispectral sensor for drones. The figure shows the large loss of information between a hyperspectral sensor and a multispectral sensor. With higher spectral resolutions (i.e., more spectral bands), detailed spectral characteristics become visible and can be used to analyze

vegetation spectra. This analysis can be done in various ways, e.g. by analyzing spectral reflectance features (e.g. absorption bands or reflectance peaks) that can be directly related to plant physiology, or indirectly by building vegetation indices (VIs). These two techniques are addressed below exemplarily. An overview of techniques to quantify vegetation biophysical variables using imaging spectroscopy is given in Verrelst et al. (2019).

209

210 Spectral Features and Vegetation Indices (VIs)

211 An important spectral feature light region is the red edge, i.e. the slope between the red and near 212 infrared region of the spectrum, around 700 nm. This spectral region relates to the chlorophyll 213 concentration (Horler et al. 1983, Delegido et al. 2011, Huang et al. 2015b) and the Leaf Area 214 Index (LAI). The LAI is defined as the area of green leaves per unit of ground area (Delegido et 215 al. 2013). The red edge position (REP), the point of maximum slope in the red edge region, is a 216 valuable indicator of stress and senescence (Das et al. 2014, Verrelst et al. 2019), possibly 217 because various stresses decrease leaf chlorophyll concentrations (Carter and Knapp 2001). For 218 instance, an increased reflectance around 740 nm is associated with spider mite susceptibility in 219 corn (Zea mays L.) (Nansen et al. 2013). Also, the overall reflection level of the spectrum might 220 be characteristic.

It should be noted that a spectrum of an imaging spectrometer, such as those mounted on drones, always describes an area, not a point. This area, or pixel size, depends on the flight height of the drone and can range from less than 1 cm^2 to more than 10 cm^2 . With larger pixels, the recorded spectrum consists of reflectance of both the plant and the soil (mixed pixels). This should be considered when analyzing the spectrum. Wherever possible, pixels that represent soil or other types of non-canopy area are excluded from data analysis.

227 Various vegetation indices (VIs) assist in interpreting remote sensing data (Roberts et al. 228 2011, Xue & Su 2017, Verrelst et al. 2019). These are mainly ratios between multiple spectral 229 bands (Glenn et al. 2008). An often-used index is the Normalized Difference Vegetation Index 230 (NDVI), which incorporates the ratio of NIR and visible red light. Compared to a healthy plant, 231 an unhealthy plant will generally reflect more visible red(?) light and less NIR light. In farming, 232 the NDVI can be used as a predictor of plant physiological status, as well as potential yield 233 (Peñuelas and Filella 1998). NDVI has its limitations, for example when there is a lot of soil in 234 the background. To solve that issue, other VIs have been developed, such as the Soil Adjusted 235 Vegetation Index (SAVI) (Huete et al. 1988). Where these two indices are broad band indices 236 (i.e., they can be calculated with multispectral data), hyperspectral data allows for narrow band 237 VIs that can more precisely focus on a specific aspect. An example is the Modified Chlorophyll 238 Absorption in Reflectance Index (MCARI), which is defined to be maximally sensitive to 239 chlorophyll content (Daughtry et al. 2000). Xue and Su (2017) provide a review of over 100 VIs 240 for vegetation analysis.

241

242 Classification Accuracy

Classification algorithms, which could be based on the red edge and/or VIs, can be developed to group plants based on spectral data by relating field observations to spectral measurements (e.g. "healthy" and "pest-infested" plants). The algorithms can be based on various statistical approaches (Lowe et al. 2017). Classification accuracy is high if data has high robustness or repeatability. Different remote sensing studies report different classification accuracies (Lowe et al. 2017). A recent study with drone-based remote sensing to detect susceptibility against green peach aphid [*Myzus persicae* Sulzer (Hemiptera: Aphididae)] in canola, using a multispectral

250 sensor mounted on an octocopter, a drone with eight rotors, reported a classification accuracy of 251 69-100%. These values depended on experimental day, drone height above the canopy, and 252 whether or not non-leaf pixels were removed. In this study, aphid infestations happened 253 naturally, and aphids were counted on selected plants for ground verification of infestations 254 (Severtson et al. 2016a). A study involving two-spotted spider mite-induced stress in cotton 255 (Gossypium spp.), using a multispectral sensor mounted on a quadcopter, a drone with four 256 rotors, reported a classification accuracy of 74-95%. These values depended on classification 257 methods. Spider mite infestation levels were estimated based on plant damage (Huang et al. 258 2018). As it is hard to reach 100% accuracy, especially when data are obtained on different days, 259 in most studies, there are certain numbers of false positives (plants are classified as infested 260 while they are healthy) and/or false negatives (plants are classified as healthy while they are 261 infested) (Congalton 1991, Lowe et al. 2017). Nevertheless, multiple robust classifications have 262 been developed to detect pest problems in different agro-ecosystems, which provide good 263 indicators for field scouting (Tables 1-4).

264

265 Drones, Remote Sensing, and Arthropod Pests

Everitt and co-authors (2003) provided an overview of the potential use of remote sensing data collected in a manned aircraft for pest management. The authors mapped four different pest-host systems (citrus orchards, cotton crops, forests, and rangelands), and concluded that aerial photography and videography could be used to detect arthropod infestations in both agricultural and natural environments (Everitt et al. 1994, Everitt et al. 1996). With the development of unmanned aircrafts, it has become more affordable and practically feasible to collect aerial remote sensing data. A recent study with drone-based remote sensing to detect crop pests 273 includes stress induced by sugarcane aphid [Melanaphis sacchari Zehntner (Hemiptera: 274 Aphididae)] in sorghum (Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench), using a multispectral sensor mounted on a fixed-wing drone. Aphids were counted throughout the growing season for ground 275 276 verification of infestations, and damage was assessed as coverage with sooty mold, a fungus not 277 infesting the plant, but growing on the aphids' sugary honeydew secretions (Stanton et al. 2017). 278 Colorado potato beetle [Leptinotarsa decemlineata Say (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae)] damage in 279 potato (Solanum tuberosum L.) has been assessed using a multispectral sensor mounted on a 280 hexacopter, a drone with six rotors. Plants were infested with different numbers of beetles, and 281 insects were counted and plant damage was visually assessed for ground verification of pest 282 infestations (Hunt and Rondon 2017, Hunt et al. 2016). A study by F. Iost Filho, MSc, Dr. P. 283 Yamamoto, and collaborators at the University of São Paulo, Brazil, is analyzing the effects of 284 stress induced by several arthropod pests in soybean fields, including silverleaf whitefly 285 [Bemisia tabaci Gennadius (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae)], stink bugs (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae), and caterpillars (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). The system is composed of a drone-based 286 287 multispectral sensor and a ground-based hyperspectral sensor (Iost Filho 2019) (Table 1). 288 Researchers at the University of Wisconsin, WI, USA are currently using a quadcopter equipped 289 with a multispectral sensor to detect caterpillar damage in cranberry (Vaccinium macrocarpon 290 Aiton) (Seely 2018). An ongoing study by Dr. E. de Lange, Dr. C. Nansen and collaborators at 291 the University of California Davis, CA, USA involves detection of stress induced by two-spotted 292 spider mite in strawberry (*Fragaria* \times *ananassa* Duchesne), using an octocopter equipped with a 293 hyperspectral sensor (Figure 4). Furthermore, aerial remote sensing can help distinguish between 294 different non-crop plant species. If these plant species were differentially preferred as alternate

hosts by important pests, remote sensing could contribute to vegetation management decisions(Sudbrink et al. 2015).

Barbedo (2019) compiled a list of drone-based remote sensing studies for various applications, including detection of pests, pathogens, drought, and nutrient deficiencies. Drones are increasingly used for remote sensing studies, and are particularly cost efficient for inspections of smaller fields (Matese et al. 2015). As technology improves and costs decrease, they may also become more competitive for use in larger fields. Ultimately, usefulness of dronebased remote sensing for detection of pest problems will depend on individual grower needs.

303

304 Distinguishing Multiple Stressors with Remote Sensing

305 Most of the above-mentioned studies are based on a system composed of one arthropod pest 306 species and one specific crop. However, when multiple arthropod pests are present, more 307 advanced methods of data calibration and analysis are necessary. Prabakhar and co-authors 308 (2012) inferred that damage by different pests on the same host plant requires a combination of 309 multiple spectral bands for accurate detection. Indeed, a greenhouse study in wheat (Triticum 310 aestivum L.) showed that reflectance data could be used to differentiate between two different 311 pests. Plants were experimentally infested with greenbugs [Schizaphis graminum Rondani 312 (Hemiptera: Aphididae)] or Russian wheat aphids [Diuraphis noxia Kurdjumov (Hemiptera: 313 Aphididae)], and insects were counted on a regular basis. The authors did mention that additional 314 field studies would be needed, as other stresses could result in similar symptoms as aphid 315 infestations (Yang et al. 2009b). A field study in wheat used reflectance data to differentiate between arthropod [wheat aphid, Sitobion avenae Fabricius (Hemiptera: Aphididae)] and 316 317 pathogen (yellow rust and powdery mildew) infestations. Aphids occurred naturally in the field,

and pathogens were inoculated; for all three stressors, damage levels were estimated. Overall
classification accuracy was 76% (Yuan et al. 2014). Another field study in wheat used
reflectance data to distinguish between arthropod infestations (Russian wheat aphid) and abiotic
stresses (drought and agronomic conditions, possibly poor tillage, germination, or fertilization).
The different stresses were verified onsite (Backoulou et al. 2011b).

323 However, laboratory and field studies on cotton plants exposed difficulties distinguishing 324 two arthropod pests, cotton aphid [Aphis gossypii Glover (Hemiptera: Aphididae)] and two-325 spotted spider mite, based on spectral signatures. In these studies, plants were experimentally 326 infested, and insects were counted, or their presence or absence was assessed, over time (Reisig 327 and Godfrey 2007). It also proved difficult to separate nitrogen deficiencies and aphid 328 infestations in cotton field studies. In these studies, aphids were naturally present, and plots were 329 treated with pesticides to increase aphid populations, presumably by killing natural enemies. 330 Aphids were counted throughout the experimental period. Different amounts of nitrogen were 331 applied, which was verified with soil samples and analysis of plant nitrogen uptake (Reisig and 332 Godfrey 2010).

An overview of the few studies on hyperspectral and multispectral sensors to distinguish various biotic and abiotic stressors can be found in Table 5. Spectral indices that accurately predict the presence of various arthropod pests, as well as distinguish arthropod-induced stress from other sources of stress, are required for a large number of crops in order to be widely used in precision agriculture (Mulla 2013).

338

Actuation Drones for Precision Application of Pesticides

340 While sensing drones could help detect pest hotspots, actuation drones could help control the 341 pests at these hotspots. Pest hotspots could potentially be managed through variable rate 342 application of pesticides. Aircrafts have been used for decades for pesticide sprays, but products 343 are deposited over large areas, and a large amount is lost to drift (Pimentel 1995, Bird et al. 344 1996). This is a concern for neighboring terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems, as well as for human 345 health (Damalas 2015). Major factors determining spray drift are droplet size (influenced by 346 nozzle type and product formulation), weather conditions (e.g. wind speed and direction), and 347 application method (e.g. spray height above the canopy) (Hofman and Solseng 2001, Heidary et 348 al. 2014). Empirical and modeling studies showed that spray drift into non-target areas can be 349 considerable (Woods et al. 2001, Sánchez-Bayo et al. 2002, Teske et al. 2002, Tsai et al. 2005, 350 Heidary et al. 2014). Therefore, improved methods of pesticide application are highly needed 351 (Lan et al. 2010), and there is potential for the use of drones in precision application of 352 insecticides and miticides (Costa et al. 2012, Faiçal et al. 2014a, Faiçal et al. 2014b, Faiçal et al. 353 2016, Faical et al. 2017, Brown and Giles 2018). Some of the aspects that give drones a 354 competitive edge over manned crop dusters are their relative ease of deployment, reduction in 355 operator exposure to pesticides, and potential reduction of spray drift (Faiçal et al. 2014b).

Indeed, in Japan, where drones have been used in agriculture since the 1980s, drones are widely used to spray pesticides on rice, *Oryza sativa* L., crops. These drones are mostly heavier than 25 kg, but we discuss them here, as they are among the most widely used drones in pest management. Development of unmanned aerial vehicles for crop dusting started at the Japanese Agriculture, Forestry and Fishery Aviation Association, an external organization of the Japanese Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries. A prototype was completed in 1986 by Yamaha, a Japanese multinational corporation with a wide range of products and services, and the R-50

363 appeared on the market in 1987: the world's first practical-use unmanned helicopter for pesticide 364 applications, with a payload of 20 kg (Miyahara 1993, Sato 2003, Yamaha 2014a, Xiongkui et al. 365 2017). A few successors have launched since, with greater payload capacities and simplicity of 366 use (Yamaha 2014b, 2016). In Japan alone, as of March 2016, about 2,800 unmanned helicopters 367 are registered for operation, spraying more than a third of the country's rice fields. The use of 368 unmanned crop dusters has also spread to other crops, such as wheat, oats, and soybean, and the 369 number of crops continues to expand (Yamaha 2016). Japanese unmanned crop dusters are also 370 employed in South Korea (Xiongkui et al. 2017) and are currently being tested for spraying of 371 pesticides in California vineyards (Bloss 2014, Giles and Billing 2015, Gillespie 2015). On a 372 small but increasing scale, unmanned crop dusters are used in China, for crops such as rice, 373 mango, and plantain (Zhou et al. 2013, Tang et al. 2016, Xiongkui et al. 2017, Lan and Cheng 374 2018, Yang et al. 2018, Zhang et al. 2019). Novel types of unmanned crop dusters and/or novel 375 spray rigs fitting commercially available drones are currently being developed in China (Ru et al. 376 2011, Xue et al. 2016, Xiongkui et al. 2017), South Korea (Shim et al. 2009), the USA (Huang et 377 al. 2009), Ukraine (Pederi and Cheporniuk 2015, Yun et al. 2017), and Spain (Martinez-Guanter 378 et al. 2019), among other places.

Recently, smaller drone-based crop dusters appeared on the market, such as the DJI AGRAS MG-1S with a 10 kg payload (DJI 2019). A collaboration between Japan's Saga University, Saga Prefectural Government Department of Agriculture, Forestry, and Fisheries, and OPTiM Corporation resulted in AgriDrone, a small drone that can pinpoint pesticide application. Interestingly, AgriDrone is also equipped with an UV bug zapper, recognizing and killing over 50 varieties of nocturnal agricultural pests at nighttime (OPTiM 2016). However, no peer-reviewed literature on this system has appeared since its announcement. Current research focuses on improved spray coverage, to enable large-scale adoption of drones for application of pesticides (Qin et al. 2016, Wang et al. 2019a, Wang et al. 2019b). In combination with precision monitoring, precision application of pesticides could reduce the overall number of sprays, contributing to reduced pesticide use and decreased development of resistance, as well as increased presence of natural enemies (Midgarden et al. 1997).

391

392 Actuation Drones for Precision Releases of Natural Enemies

393 Biological control is a potential sustainable alternative to pesticide use. It is the use of a 394 population of one organism to decrease the population of another, unwanted, organism (Van Lenteren et al. 2018). Biological control organisms include, but are not limited to, parasitoids, 395 396 predators, entomopathogenic nematodes, fungi, bacteria, and viruses. A large variety is 397 commercially available. Drones may be a particularly useful tool for augmentative biological 398 control, which relies on the large-scale release of natural enemies for immediate control of pests 399 (Van Lenteren et al. 2018). They could distribute the natural enemies in the exact locations 400 where they are needed, which may increase biocontrol agent efficacy and reduce distribution 401 costs.

Some natural enemies, such as insect-killing fungi and nematodes, can conveniently be
applied with conventional spray application equipment (Shah and Pell 2003, Shapiro-Ilan et al.
2012). Therefore, these biocontrol agents could potentially be applied by drones as described
above for pesticides (Berner and Chojnacki 2017).

However, application of other natural enemies is often costly and time-consuming. For
example, the predatory mite *Phytoseiulus persimilis* Athias-Henriot (Acari: Phytoseiidae), an
important natural enemy of the worldwide pest two-spotted spider mite, is available in bottles

409 mixed with the mineral substrate vermiculite, and the recommended way of dispersal is by 410 sprinkling contents onto individual plants (e.g. Koppert 2017a, Biobest 2018). P. persimilis has 411 such a high level of specialization that populations succumb when no prey is present (McMurtry 412 and Croft 1997, Cakmak et al. 2006, Gerson and Weintraub 2007, Dara 2014). Various 413 mechanical distribution systems have been developed to facilitate predator dispersal, such as the 414 Mini-Airbug, a hand-held appliance with a fan (Koppert 2017b), as well as other devices (Giles 415 et al. 1995, Casey and Parrella 2005, Opit et al. 2005), but adoption has not been widespread. 416 Growers in Brazil are known to use dispensers attached to motorbikes (Parra 2014, Agronomic 417 Nordeste 2015), but this could potentially damage the crop. Release of natural enemies by 418 aircraft was proposed in the 1980s (Herren et al. 1987, Pickett et al. 1987), but small drones 419 would offer myriad possibilities. Coverage of larger areas compared to manual distribution, 420 reducing application costs per acre, potentially increases the use of natural enemies in favor of 421 pesticide sprays. Development of drone-mounted dispensers has mainly focused on two types of 422 natural enemies: predatory mites such as the above-mentioned P. persimilis, and parasitoid 423 wasps such as the egg-parasitoid *Trichogramma* spp. (Hymenoptera: Trichogrammatidae).

424 To combat two-spotted spider mite, an important pest of a large number of crops 425 worldwide, a California-based company is offering services to distribute predatory mites using 426 drones, on crops such as strawberry (Parabug 2019). An Australia-based company also uses 427 drones to distribute predatory mites on strawberry crops (Drone Agriculture 2018). At the 428 University of Queensland in Australia, a drone-mounted device is being developed to distribute 429 predatory mites in corn (Pearl 2015). At the University of California Davis, Dr. Z. Kong and Dr. C. Nansen, in collaboration with aerospace engineering students, have developed a platform for 430 431 drone-based distribution of predatory mites, BugBot (Figure 5). They are currently testing the

prototype and accompanying software, to optimize natural enemy releases. We propose that
collaboration between growers, agricultural scientists, aerospace engineers, and software
programmers is key in developing a product that is effective and user-friendly.

435 Trichogramma spp. parasitoids are important biocontrol agents of European corn borer 436 [Ostrinia nubilalis Hübner (Lepidoptera: Crambidae)], a major pest of sweet corn in the USA 437 and Europe (Smith 1996). Various companies and research institutes all over the world have 438 started *Trichogramma* drone applications, including Austria, Germany, France, Italy, and Canada 439 (e.g. Chaussé et al. 2017, Airborne Robotics 2018). Drone-released Trichogramma parasitoids 440 are also deployed in China for control of pests in sugarcane (Saccharum spp.) (Li et al. 2013, Yang et al. 2018). In Brazil, drone applications of Trichogramma spp., as well as the parasitoid 441 442 Cotesia flavipes Cameron (Hymenoptera: Braconidae), are employed to combat the sugarcane 443 borer [Diatraea saccharalis Fabricius (Lepidoptera: Crambidae)] in sugarcane. Trichogramma 444 spp. are also employed against various other lepidopteran pests in other crops (Parra 2014, 445 Rangel 2016, Xfly Brasil 2017).

446 While we did not address pest management in forestry settings in this review, a recent 447 report by Martel et al. (2018) deserves to be mentioned, as it is the first to compare drone release 448 and ground release of natural enemies. The report evaluated the efficacy of *Trichogramma* spp. 449 to combat spruce budworm [Choristoneura fumiferana Clemens (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae)], an 450 important pest of fir and spruce trees in Canada and the USA. Drone releases, using 451 Trichogramma-parasitized host eggs mixed with vermiculite, were compared to ground releases, 452 using commercially available cards containing parasitized eggs of Mediterranean flour moth 453 *[Ephestia kuehniella* Zeller (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae)]. Data were collected in two locations in 454 Quebec, Canada. In one of these locations, drone release resulted in similar spruce budworm egg

455 parasitism rates as ground release of natural enemies. Results for the other location were 456 inconclusive, as egg parasitism rates were negligible. Drone releases were reportedly faster than 457 ground releases of natural enemies. Although more studies are necessary, these preliminary 458 results show the high potential of drone-based *Trichogramma* distribution in forests, especially 459 on small scales, and in conditions under which insecticide applications are not appropriate 460 (Martel et al. 2018). It is important to perform similar studies in field crops and orchards, to 461 evaluate the efficacy of drone-released natural enemies.

462 Other types of natural enemies can be drone-applied as well, such as green lacewing, 463 [Chrysoperla spp. (Neuroptera: Chrysopidae)] and minute pirate bug [Orius insidiosus Say (Hemiptera: Anthocoridae)] to control aphids and thrips, and mealybug destroyer [Cryptolaemus 464 465 montrouzieri Mulsant (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae)] to control mealybugs (Parabug 2019). 466 Researchers at the University of Southern Denmark, in collaboration with Aarhus University, are 467 currently developing a dispensing mechanism for ladybirds and other important natural enemies 468 of aphids (SDU 2018). EWH BioProduction, a producer of beneficial organisms (EWH 469 BioProduction 2019), is also involved in this EcoDrone project, as well as Ecobotix, a company 470 offering drone-based services, which is developing a separate solution for dispensing natural 471 enemies (Ecobotix 2018). Drone-based dispensers could be adapted or newly developed for other 472 types of beneficial arthropods as well.

Thus far, little to no peer-reviewed research exists on the efficacy of these operations. Therefore, this is a call for additional research. It is of utmost importance to verify that natural enemies distributed by drones are not damaged during transport and distribution and are still effective as biological control agents. Also, it is necessary to develop hardware and software mechanisms that can precisely distribute the natural enemies in different weather conditions,

particularly considering that wind is a crucial factor for the distribution. Individual dronemounted dispensers all use different technologies, which could be compared to optimize natural
enemy distribution. This could pave the way for larger-scale operations of this promising
resource.

482

Novel Uses for Drones in Precision Pest Management

484 Pest Outbreak Prevention

485 Sensing and actuation drones could potentially contribute to the prevention of pest outbreaks. 486 Plants exposed to abiotic stresses, such as drought and nutrient deficiencies, are often more 487 susceptible to biotic stressors. This holds true for a large variety of arthropod pests, such as 488 spider mites (Garman and Kennedy 1949, Rodriguez and Neiswander 1949, Rodriguez 1951, 489 Perring et al. 1986, Stiefel et al. 1992, Machado et al. 2000, Abdel-Galil et al. 2007, Chen et al. 490 2007, Nansen et al. 2013, Ximénez-Embún et al. 2017), aphids (Myers and Gratton 2006, Walter 491 and Difonzo 2007, Lacoste et al. 2015), and lepidopteran larvae (Gutbrodt et al. 2011, Gutbrodt 492 et al. 2012, Grinnan et al. 2013, Weldegergis et al. 2015). Due to this well-established 493 association between abiotic stressors and risk of arthropod pest outbreaks, it may be argued that 494 precision application of abiotic stress relief, such as application of water and fertilizer, represents 495 a meaningful approach to reducing the risk of outbreaks by some arthropod pests (Nansen et al. 496 2013, West and Nansen 2014). Indeed, pest management focus could shift from being based 497 mainly on responsive insecticide applications to a more preventative approach in which 498 maintaining crop health is the main focus (Culliney and Pimentel 1986, Altieri and Nicholls 499 2003, Zehnder et al. 2007, Amtmann et al. 2008, West and Nansen 2014). Use of sensing and 500 actuation drones could contribute to this shift, by assessing plant stress status, and preventative

applications of water and fertilizers. To the best of our knowledge, drones have thus far not been deployed for precision irrigation purposes, and although drones are on the market that advertise the capacity to apply liquid or granular fertilizers, there is no peer-reviewed literature on their use. Many current spray tractors contain options for variable rate applications of nutrients, for an adequate response to deficiencies detected with remote sensing (Raun et al. 2002). However, there would be myriad opportunities for use of drones in this respect, due to their maneuverability and capacity to treat small areas.

508

509 Reducing Pest Populations: Sterile Insect Technique (SIT) and Mating Disruption

510 A potential new area for use of drones in pest management is the release of sterile insects. 511 Codling moth [Cydia pomonella L. (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae)] is a major problem in apple 512 orchards (Malus domestica Borkh.) (Judd and Gardiner 2005), and pilot programs to release 513 sterile insects with drones have been successful in controlling codling moth populations in New 514 Zealand, Canada, and the USA (DuPont 2018, M3 Consulting Group 2018, Seymour 2018, 515 Timewell 2018). Furthermore, pilot programs for control of pink bollworm [Pectinophora 516 gossypiella Saunders (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae)] in cotton, and Mexican fruit fly [Anastrepha 517 *ludens* Loew (Diptera: Tephritidae)] in citrus, with drone-released sterile insects proved effective 518 for control of these pests in the USA (Rosenthal 2017). Similarly, false codling moth 519 [Thaumatotibia leucotreta Meyrick (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae)] could successfully be controlled 520 in citrus orchards in South Africa (FlyH2 Aerospace 2018, Greenfly 2018). The sterile insect 521 technique (SIT) produces sterile or partially sterile insects through irradiation. After mating with wild insects, there is either no offspring, or the resulting offspring is sterile, resulting in reduced 522 523 pest populations. SIT is environmentally friendly, species specific, and compatible with other

524 management methods such as biological control, making it an important IPM tool (Simmons et 525 al. 2010). Drone release of the sterile insects may be cheaper and faster than ground release, which occurs for instance by means of all-terrain vehicles (ATVs), or release by manned aircraft 526 527 (Tan and Tan 2013). For sterile codling moth, drone-dispersal may also improve moth 528 performance. Drones release the moths above the canopy whereas ATVs release them on the 529 orchard floor. Codling moth prefer to mate in the upper one-third of the canopy, thus drone 530 release may facilitate the moths reaching their preferred habitat, while minimizing biotic and 531 abiotic mortality factors. Irradiated moths must be kept chilled during transportation prior to 532 orchard dispersal to prevent damage and scale loss. An optimized delivery system from the 533 rearing facility to the orchard may increase the sterile moths' effectiveness in mating with wild 534 moths (DuPont 2018, Dr. E. Beers, personal communication). Therefore, drone releases may 535 make SIT more widely available.

536 Drones could also be deployed to place mating disruptors such as SPLAT (specialized 537 pheromone & lure application technology) in commercial fields (Greenfly 2018). SPLAT is an 538 inert matrix which can be infused with pheromones and/or pesticides and is applied as dollops 539 (ISCA 2019a, ISCA 2019b). Mating disruption relies on the release of pheromones, which 540 interferes with mate finding (Miller and Gut 2015), while attract-and-kill involves an attractant 541 and a killing agent (Gregg et al. 2018). A combination of these methods effectively control 542 various pests in a number of cropping systems, including blueberry (Vaccinium corymbosum L.) 543 and cranberry (Rodriguez-Saona et al. 2010, Steffan et al. 2017). Researchers from the 544 University of Wisconsin are currently developing a drone release mechanism for SPLAT, to 545 improve IPM practices in cranberry (Chasen and Steffan 2017, Seely 2018).

547 Pest Population Monitoring

548 Drones could also be used to track populations of mobile insects that can be equipped with 549 transponders, such as locusts (Tahir and Brooker 2009). A recent paper by Stumph et al. (2019) 550 described the use of drones equipped with a UV light source and a video camera to detect 551 fluorescent-marked insects. Brown marmorated stink bugs [Halyomorpha halys Stål (Hemiptera: 552 Pentatomidae)], 13-16 mm long, were coated in red fluorescent powder, and placed in a grass 553 field. Drone data were obtained at night, and specific software was developed to visualize 554 individual insects. This system provides a relatively fast alternative for manual, time-consuming, 555 mark-release-recapture studies. Although insects still need to be coated initially, the method 556 eliminates the need to physically recapture the insects. Also, it removes the need for destructive 557 sampling, so that insects could potentially be sampled over a longer time period. Thus, use of 558 this novel, drone-based system could improve efficiency and cost-effectiveness of mark-release-559 recapture studies of insect migration (Stumph et al. 2019).

560 Furthermore, drones could be used to collect pest specimens for monitoring (Shields and 561 Testa 1999, Kim et al. 2018), or to survey for pests, such as Asian longhorned beetles 562 [Anoplophora glabripennis Motschulsky (Coleoptera: Cerambycidae)], in tall trees, assisting tree 563 climbers (Rosenthal, 2017). A recent review has even suggested the use of drones for collection 564 of plant volatiles (Gonzalez et al. 2018). Indeed, plant volatiles induced in response to herbivory 565 could indicate the presence of specific pests (Turlings and Erb 2018, De Lange et al. 2019), and 566 drone-based volatile collections have been deployed for air quality measurements (Villa et al. 567 2016). Development of novel sensors and technology will undoubtedly open the door to various 568 other uses of drones in agricultural pest management.

570 Technical and Cultural Challenges and Opportunities

Major challenges for the use of drones in precision agriculture are the costs of drones and associated sensors and material, limited flight time and payload, and continuously changing regulations. For a more comprehensive review of challenges and opportunities of drones in precision agriculture and environmental studies, two fields that share similar uses of drones, see Hardin and Jensen (2011), Zhang and Kovacs (2012), Whitehead and Hugenholtz (2014), and Whitehead et al. (2014). We here focus specifically on the technical challenges for use of drones in precision pest management, and highlight recent changes in regulations.

578

579 Costs

580 A major challenge for the use of drones in precision pest management is the initial steep costs of 581 the material: the drone itself, the various sensors or application technologies, mounting 582 equipment, and analysis software. Although costs are decreasing with improving technology, 583 sums are still relatively high. In 2017, costs of a fixed-wing drone with hyperspectral sensor 584 were estimated at $\in 120,000$ (\$144,000), while costs of a multi-rotor drone with a multispectral 585 sensor were estimated at €10,000 (\$12,000) (Pádua et al. 2017). Therefore, various companies 586 are offering drone-related services, such as renting out drones with remote sensing equipment 587 (e.g. Blue Skies 2018) or offering predator dispersal services (e.g. Parabug 2019). Also, 588 consulting companies offer remote sensing and data analysis services for a reasonable fee, even 589 combined with other agriculture-related services, to provide one platform for efficient record 590 keeping and planning (e.g. UAV-IQ 2018).

591

592 Data Collection, Analysis, and Interpretation

593 Concerning sensing drones, repeatability of remote sensing data is a recurring issue. Canopy 594 reflectance varies depending on solar angle, cloud coverage, and various other factors. Therefore, 595 it is difficult to compare data obtained on a specific day with data obtained the next day, even the 596 next hour. Novel methods for calibration and processing of drone-based remote sensing data are 597 continuously being developed (Singh and Nansen 2017, Aasen et al. 2018). Improved 598 repeatability will render these data more useful for precision detection of pest problems.

599 Data analysis is also an important challenge. Each mission with a hyperspectral sensor 600 typically results in multiple terabytes of data, which must be properly stored, processed with 601 specific software, and analyzed by experts with years of experience. As a result, there is an 602 important time lag between data collection and the visibility of results. Processing of 603 multispectral data is currently much faster than processing of hyperspectral data, but the results are less precise in terms of detection of pest problems (Yang et al. 2009a). Ultimately, 604 605 automation of data analysis will improve the usability of detailed hyperspectral datasets by 606 growers directly, leading to a timelier detection and possible response to the discovery of pest 607 hotspots. Also, automated data analysis will facilitate communication between sensing and 608 actuation drones, so that an actuation drone can immediately be deployed to provide solutions. 609 Or, a single drone could function simultaneously as sensor and actuator, and directly apply 610 solutions where necessary (Figure 1).

611 Concerning actuation drones, peer-reviewed research has just started to emerge, with 612 many challenges to be overcome. One major challenge is that, in order to develop an effective 613 actuation drone system, knowledge and expertise from multiple fields must be integrated. First, 614 knowledge from agricultural scientists will be needed to answer research questions such as 615 where, when, and how much of the solutions (e.g. pesticides and natural enemies) should be applied in an agricultural field. Second, engineers and software developers will need to convert such knowledge into the design of hardware and software components for the effective and efficient distribution of the solutions. Another technical challenge is the automation of the distribution of solutions. Considering the complicated and varied field and weather conditions, preferentially, users shouldn't be asked to set up all the software parameters by themselves. Instead, the drone should be able to compute and implement the optimal distribution strategy automatically (potentially being given a digital map built by sensing drones).

623

624 Flight Time and Payload

625 Concerning both sensing and actuation drones, flight time and payload are among the most 626 limiting factors for use of drones in agriculture. Although individual drones can have payloads of 627 24 kg and up (Yamaha 2016), it would be challenging, though not impossible to develop a drone 628 that can both detect pest hotspots and apply solutions. Indeed, the above-mentioned AgriDrone 629 can both detect pest hot spots and apply localized solutions (OPTiM 2016). However, to cover 630 large areas, using a network of communicating drones, or swarm, may eventually be most 631 efficient (Stark et al. 2013a, Faiçal et al. 2014a, Gonzalez-de-Santos et al. 2017). Ultimately, one 632 or multiple sensing drones detecting pest hotspots will communicate with one or multiple 633 actuation drones dispensing biological control organisms or agrochemicals exactly where 634 needed; they can also autonomously fly back to their base stations to recharge, without further 635 human intervention. Establishing drone swarms is an active research area in the drone 636 community (Bertuccelli et al. 2009, Alejo et al. 2014, Ponda et al. 2015). However, how to 637 translate these techniques into the pest management application domain is still an open question.

639 Adverse Weather Conditions and Other Environmental Factors

640 Adverse weather conditions could limit sensing and actuation drone activity. Most drones have 641 an optimal operating temperature range. Strong wind could interfere with obtaining aerial remote 642 sensing data, as well as with pesticide or biocontrol dispersal. Ideally, remote sensing 643 measurements should be taken all under the same solar and sensor angle geometry, to avoid differences due to the effect that natural surfaces scatter radiation unequally into all directions 644 645 (Weyermann et al. 2014). Data acquisition with a clear, cloudless sky, at solar noon reduces 646 shadow influences as well as variations between measurements due to changing light intensity 647 resulting from cloud cover (Souza et al. 2010). However, these conditions cannot be easily 648 obtained in farms all over the world. Clouds and fog limit drone flights, and it is not 649 recommended to fly a drone in rain or snow conditions, or during thunderstorms. Other 650 environmental factors limiting drone activity are differences in elevation within fields or 651 orchards, and presence of wildlife, such as birds (Park et al. 2012).

652

653 Rules and Regulations

In the USA, Federal Aviation Regulations (FARs) are in place for the commercial and research use of drones, prescribed by the FAA. Until 2016, a manned aircraft pilot license was necessary to fly a drone, which is costly to obtain and maintain. As of August 2016, a less stringent remote pilot license became available to operate small drones, which made commercial drone use much more readily available (FAA 2016). However, the regulations are regularly updated, which requires that pilots keep continuous track of current regulations.

A few basic rules in the USA include that the pilot in command must keep a visual line ofsight (VLOS) on the drone at all times. Consequently, flying is only allowed at daylight hours.

662 Drones must fly at an altitude at or below 400 feet (122 m), at a speed at or below 100 mph (161 663 km/h). They are not allowed to fly over people that are not involved in the specific drone operation, and must always yield right of way to larger aircraft, including manned aircraft. 664 665 Waivers from these regulations, for instance to fly at nighttime, can be requested through the 666 FAA. Importantly, the pilot in command must perform a pre-flight check before each flight, to 667 ascertain that the drone is in good condition for safe operation (FAA 2018b). In the USA, drones 668 for both commercial and private use must be registered through the FAA. Regulations for 669 operating and registering a drone may vary in different countries, so international collaborators 670 must make sure to follow the proper rules (Cracknell 2017, Stöcker et al. 2017). In Brazil, where 671 drones are regularly used in precision agriculture (Jorge et al. 2014, Parra 2014), the use of 672 drones for civil and agricultural means was regulated as recently as May 2017 by the National 673 Agency of Civil Aviation (ANAC) (Agência Nacional de Aviação Civil 2017). Ultimately, when 674 drones become more mainstream, general rules may become more standardized.

675

676 Communication with Growers

677 Importantly, increased use of drones in commercial agricultural operations will not happen 678 without adoption of the technology by growers, and they will only adopt technology that is 679 proven to work, cost-effective, and compatible with established practices (Aubert et al. 2012, 680 Pierpaoli et al. 2013). Extensive communication and collaboration between scientists, industry 681 professionals, and commercial growers is needed to provide the best performing technology that 682 tailors to growers' needs (Larson et al. 2008, Lindblom et al. 2017). Extension agents, dedicated 683 to the translation of scientific research to practical applications, may facilitate these connections, 684 through training and dialogue.

685

686 **Conclusion**

687 Drones are becoming increasingly adopted as part of precision agriculture and IPM. Drones with 688 remote sensing equipment (sensors) are deployed to monitor crop health, map out variability in crop performance, and detect outbreaks of pests. They could serve as decision support tools, as 689 690 early detection and response to suboptimal abiotic conditions may prevent large pest outbreaks. 691 When outbreaks do occur, different drones (actuators) could be deployed to deliver swift 692 solutions to identified pest hotspots. Automating pesticide applications and/or release of 693 biological control organisms, through communication between sensing and actuation drones, is 694 the future. This approach requires multi-disciplinary research in which engineers, ecologists, and 695 agronomists are converging, with enormous commercial potential.

696

697 Acknowledgements

698 We thank April Van Hise and Kevin Goding for critical comments on an earlier version of this 699 manuscript. Thanks to Eli Borrego for help creating Figure 2. We thank the commercial growers 700 who made their fields available for research activities. FHIF is supported by the Coordenação de 701 Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior - Brasil (CAPES) - Finance Code 001. ZK is 702 supported by the California Department of Pesticide Regulation (project 18-PML-R004). ESdL 703 is supported by Western Sustainable Agriculture Research and Education (project SW17-060, 704 http://www.westernsare.org/). This study was also supported by the American Floral 705 Endowment, the Gloeckner Foundation, and USDA/ARS Floriculture and Nursery Research 706 Initiative.

708 **References**

709	Aasen, H., and A. Bolten. 2018. Multi-temporal high-resolution imaging spectroscopy with
710	hyperspectral 2D imagers – From theory to applicaton. Remote Sens. Environ. 205: 374–
711	389.
712	Aasen, H., E. Honkavaara, A. Lucieer, and P. Zarco-Tejada. 2018. Quantitative remote
713	sensing at ultra-high resolution with UAV spectroscopy: a review of sensor technology,
714	measurement procedures, and data correction workflows. Remote Sens. 10: 1091.
715	Abdel-Galil, F. A., M. A. M. Amro, and A. S. H. Abdel-Moniem. 2007. Effect of drought
716	stress on the incidence of certain arthropod pests and predators inhabiting cowpea
717	plantations. Arch. Phytopathology Plant. Protect. 40: 207–214.
718	Abdel-Rahman, E. M., F. B. Ahmed, M. van den Berg, and M. J. Way. 2010. Potential of
719	spectroscopic data sets for sugarcane thrips (Fulmekiola serrata Kobus) damage
720	detection. Int. J. Remote Sens. 31: 4199-4216.
721	Abdel-Rahman, E. M., T. Landmann, R. Kyalo, G. Ong'amo, S. Mwalusepo, S. Sulieman,
722	and B. Le Ru. 2017. Predicting stem borer density in maize using RapidEye data and
723	generalized linear models. Int. J. Appl. Earth Obs. Geoinf. 57: 61–74.
724	Abdel-Rahman, E. M., M. Van den Berg, M. J. Way, and F. B. Ahmed. 2009. Hand-held
725	spectrometry for estimating thrips (Fulmekiola serrata) incidence in sugarcane, pp. 268-
726	271. In IEEE International Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium, 12-17 July
727	2009, Cape Town, South Africa.
728	Abdel-Rahman, E. M., M. Way, F. Ahmed, R. Ismail, and E. Adam. 2013. Estimation of
729	thrips (Fulmekiola serrata Kobus) density in sugarcane using leaf-level hyperspectral
730	data. S. Afr. J. Plant & Soil 30: 91–96.

- 731 ABI Research. 2018. Drones in agriculture: undeniable value and plenty of growth, but not the
- 732 explosion others predict. <u>https://www.abiresearch.com/press/drones-agriculture-</u>
- 733 <u>undeniable-value-and-plenty-gro/</u> (accessed 18 June 2019).
- 734 Agência Nacional de Aviação Civil. 2017. Regas da ANAC para uso de drones entram em
- vigor. http://www.anac.gov.br/noticias/2017/regras-da-anac-para-uso-de-drones-entram-
- 736 <u>em-vigor/release_drone.pdf</u> (accessed 7 January 2019).
- 737 Agronomic Nordeste. 2015. Trichobug (Trichogramma).
- 738 <u>http://agromicnordeste.com.br/produtos</u> (accessed 7 January 2019).
- 739 Airborne Robotics. 2018. Agriculture & Forestry.
- 740 <u>https://www.air6systems.com/portfolio/agriculture-forestry/</u> (accessed 8 January 2019).
- 741 Alejo, D., J. Cobano, G. Heredia, and A. Ollero. 2014. Optimal reciprocal collision avoidance
- with mobile and static obstacles for multi-UAV systems, pp. 1259–1266. *In* IEEE
- 743 International Conference on Unmanned Aircraft Systems (ICUAS), 27-30 May 2014,
- 744 Orlando, FL.
- 745 Al Heidary, M., J. P. Douzals, C. Sinfort, and A. Vallet. 2014. Influence of spray
- characteristics on potential spray drift of field crop sprayers: a literature review. CropProt. 63: 120–130.
- Altieri, M. A., and C. I. Nicholls. 2003. Soil fertility management and insect pests: harmonizing
 soil and plant health in agroecosystems. Soil Tillage Res. 72: 203–211.
- 750 Alves, T. M., I. V. Macrae, and R. L. Koch. 2015. Soybean aphid (Hemiptera: Aphididae)
- affects soybean spectral reflectance. J. Econ. Entomol. 108: 2655–2664.

752	Alves, T. M., R. D. Moon, I. V. MacRae, and R. L. Koch. 2019. Optimizing band selection for
753	spectral detection of Aphis glycines Matsumura in soybean. Pest Manag. Sci. 75: 942-
754	949.
755	Amtmann, A., S. Troufflard, and P. Armengaud. 2008. The effect of potassium nutrition on
756	pest and disease resistance in plants. Physiol. Plant. 133: 682-691.
757	Anderson, K., and K. J. Gaston. 2013. Lightweight unmanned aerial vehicles will
758	revolutionize spatial ecology. Front. Ecol. Environ. 11: 138–146.
759	Aubert, B. A., A. Schroeder, and J. Grimaudo. 2012. IT as enabler of sustainable farming: an
760	empirical analysis of farmers' adoption decision of precision agriculture technology.
761	Decis. Support Syst. 54: 510–520.
762	Backoulou, G. F., N. C. Elliott, and K. L. Giles. 2016. Using multispectral imagery to compare
763	the spatial pattern of injury to wheat caused by Russian wheat aphid and greenbug.
764	Southwest. Entomol. 41: 1–8.
765	Backoulou, G., N. Elliott, K. Giles, T. Alves, M. Brewer, and M. Starek. 2018a. Using
766	multispectral imagery to map spatially variable sugarcane aphid infestations in sorghum.
767	Southwest. Entomol. 43: 37–44.
768	Backoulou, G. F., N. C. Elliott, K. L. Giles, M. J. Brewer, and M. Starek. 2018b. Detecting
769	change in a sorghum field infested by sugarcane aphid. Southwest. Entomol. 43: 823-
770	832.
771	Backoulou, G. F., N. C. Elliott, K. L. Giles, and M. Mirik. 2015. Processed multispectral
772	imagery differentiates wheat crop stress caused by greenbug from other causes. Comput.
773	Electron. Agric. 115: 34–39.

774	Backoulou, G. F., N. C. Elliott, K. Giles, M. Phoofolo, and V. Catana. 2011a. Development
775	of a method using multispectral imagery and spatial pattern metrics to quantify stress to
776	wheat fields caused by <i>Diuraphis noxia</i> . Comput. Electron. Agric. 75: 64–70.
777	Backoulou, G. F., N. C. Elliott, K. Giles, M. Phoofolo, V. Catana, M. Mirik, and J. Michels.
778	2011b. Spatially discriminating Russian wheat aphid induced plant stress from other
779	wheat stressing factors. Comput. Electron. Agric. 78: 123-129.
780	Backoulou, G. F., N. C. Elliott, K. L. Giles, and M. N. Rao. 2013. Differentiating stress to
781	wheat fields induced by Diuraphis noxia from other stress causing factors. Comput.
782	Electron. Agric. 90: 47–53.
783	Barbedo, J. G. A. 2019. A review on the use of unmanned aerial vehicles and imaging sensors
784	for monitoring and assessing plant stresses. Drones 3: 40.
785	Berner, B., and J. Chojnacki. 2017. Influence of the air stream produced by the drone on the
786	sedimentation of the sprayed liquid that contains entomopathogenic nematodes. J. Res.
787	Appl. Agric. Eng. 62: 26–29.
788	Bertuccelli, L., HL. Choi, P. Cho, and J. How. 2009. Real-time multi-UAV task assignment
789	in dynamic and uncertain environments, p. 1–16. In AIAA Guidance, Navigation, and
790	Control Conference, 10-13 August 2009, Chicago, IL.
791	Bhattarai, G. P., R. B. Schmidt, and B. P. McCornack. 2019. Remote sensing data to detect
792	hessian fly infestation in commercial wheat fields. Sci. Rep. 9: 6109.
793	Biobest. 2018. Phytoseiulus-System.
794	https://www.biobestgroup.com/en/biobest/products/biological-pest-control-
795	4463/beneficial-insects-and-mites-4479/phytoseiulus-system-4668/ (accessed 8 January
796	2019).

797	Bird, S. L., D. M. Esterly, and S. G. Perry. 1996. Off-target deposition of pesticides from
798	agricultural aerial spray applications. J. Environ. Qual. 25: 1095–1104.
799	Bloss, R. 2014. Robot innovation brings to agriculture efficiency, safety, labor savings and
800	accurary by plowing, milking, harvesting, crop tending/picking and monitoring. Ind. Rob.
801	41: 493–499.
802	Blue Skies. 2018. Parrot Sequoia and Phantom 3 integrated kit - rental.
803	https://www.blueskiesdronerental.com/product/parrot-sequoia-phantom-3-integrated-kit/
804	(accessed 7 January 2019).
805	Bourgeon, MA., JN. Paoli, G. Jones, S. Villette, and C. Gée. 2016. Field radiometric
806	calibration of a multispectral on-the-go sensor dedicated to the characterization of
807	vineyard foliage. Comput. Electron. Agric. 123: 184–194.
808	Brown, C. R., and D. K. Giles. 2018. Measurement of pesticide drift from unmanned aerial
809	vehicle application to a vineyard. Trans. ASABE 61: 1539–1546.
810	Çakmak, I., A. Janssen, and M. W. Sabelis. 2006. Intraguild interactions between the
811	predatory mites Neoseiulus californicus and Phytoseiulus persimilis. Exp. Appl. Acarol.
812	38: 33–46.
813	Calderón, R., J. A. Navas-Cortés, C. Lucena, and P. J. Zarco-Tejada. 2013. High-resolution
814	airborne hyperspectral and thermal imagery for early detection of Verticillium wilt of
815	olive using fluorescence, temperature and narrow-band spectral indices. Remote Sens.
816	Environ. 139: 231–245.
817	Carrière, Y., P. C. Ellsworth, P. Dutilleul, C. Ellers-Kirk, V. Barkley, and A. L. 2006. A

819 movements to cotton from alfalfa, weeds, and cotton. Entomol. Exp. Appl. 118: 203–210.
820	Carroll, M. W., J. A. Glaser, R. L. Hellmich, T. E. Hunt, T. W. Sappington, D. Calvin, K.
821	Copenhaver, and J. Fridgen. 2008. Use of spectral vegetation indices derived from
822	airborne hyperspectral imagery for detection of European corn borer infestation in Iowa
823	corn plots. J. Econ. Entomol. 101: 1614–1623.
824	Carter, G. A., and A. K. Knapp. 2001. Leaf optical properties in higher plants: linking spectral
825	characteristics to stress and chlorophyll concentration. Am. J. Bot. 88: 677-684.
826	Casey, C. A., and M. P. Parrella. 2005. Evaluation of a mechanical dispenser and interplant
827	bridges on the dispersal and efficacy of the predator, Phytoseiulus persimilis (Acari:
828	Phytoseiidae) in greenhouse cut roses. Biol. Control 32: 130–136.
829	Chasen, E., and S. Steffan. 2017. Update on mating disruption in cranberries: the story of
830	SPLAT®. Proceedings of the Wisconsin Cranberry School 25: 23–25.
831	https://fruit.wisc.edu/wp-content/uploads/sites/36/2017/03/2017-Cranberry-School-
832	Proceedings-Final.pdf (accessed January 29, 2019).
833	Chaussé, S., L. Jochems-Tanguay, T. Boislard, D. Cormier, and J. Boisclair. 2017. Lâchers
834	de trichogrammes par drones, une nouvelle approche pour lutter contre la pyralide du
835	maïs dans le maïs sucré de transformation. Poster presented at Congrès Annuel de la
836	Société d'Entomologie du Québec, 23-24 November 2017, Longueuil, Canada.
837	https://www.irda.qc.ca/assets/documents/Publications/documents/simon_chausse_seq201
838	7.pdf (accessed 23 January 2019).
839	Chen, Y., G. P. Opit, V. M. Jonas, K. A. Williams, J. R. Nechols, and D. C. Margolies. 2007.
840	Twospotted spider mite population level, distribution, and damage on ivy geranium in
841	response to different nitrogen and phosphorus fertilization regimes. J. Econ. Entomol.
842	100: 1821–1830.

843 Unen, I., K. Zeng, W. Guo, A. Hou, Y. Lan, and L. Zhang. 2018. Detection of	1 of stress in cotto	on
---	----------------------	----

- 844 (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) caused by aphids using leaf level hyperspectral measurements.
 845 Sensors 18: 2798.
- 846 Congalton, R. G. 1991. A review of assessing the accuracy of classifications of remotely sensed
 847 data. Remote Sens. Environ. 37: 35–46.
- 848 Costa, F. G., J. Ueyama, T. Braun, G. Pessin, F. S. Osório, and P. A. Vargas. 2012. The use
- of unmanned aerial vehicles and wireless sensor network in agricultural applications, pp.
- 850 5045–5048. *In* IEEE International Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium, 22-27
- 351 July 2012, Munich, Germany.
- 852 Cracknell, A. P. 2017. UAVs: regulations and law enforcement. Int. J. Remote Sens. 38: 3054–
 853 3067.
- 854 Culliney, T. W., and D. Pimentel. 1986. Ecological effects of organic agricultural practices on
 855 insect populations. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 15: 253–266.
- **Dalamagkidis, K. 2015.** Classification of UAVs, pp. 83–91. *In* K. P Valavanis, G. J.
- 857 Vachtsevanos (eds.), Handbook of Unmanned Aerial Vehicles. Springer, Dordrecht,858 Netherlands.
- 859 Damalas, C. A. 2015. Pesticide drift: seeking reliable environmental indicators of exposure

assessment. *In* R. H. Armon, O. Hönninen (eds.), Environmental Indicators. Springer,
Dordrecht, Netherlands.

- Bara, S. K. 2014. Predatory mites for managing spider mites on strawberries. UC ANR eJournal
 of Entomology and Biologicals.
- 864 <u>https://ucanr.edu/blogs/blogcore/postdetail.cfm?postnum=14065</u> (accessed 7 January
- 865 2019).

Bara, S. K. 2019. The new integrated pest management paradigm for the modern age. J. Int. Pest
Manag. 10: 12.

B68 Das, P. K., K. K. Choudhary, B. Laxman, S. V. C. K. Rao, and M. V. R. Seshasai. 2014. A

- 869 modified linear extrapolation approach towards red edge position detection and stress
- 870 monitoring of wheat crop using hyperspectral data. Int. J. Remote Sens. 35: 1432–1449.
- Bash, J. P., G. D. Pearse, and M. S. Watt. 2018. UAV multispectral imagery can complement
 satellite data for monitoring forest health. Remote Sens. 10: 1216.
- **Dash, J. P., D. Pont, R. Brownlie, A. Dunningham, M. Watt, and G. Pearse. 2016.** Remote
- sensing for precision forestry. NZ J. Forestry 60: 15–24.
- B75 Daughtry, C. S. T., C. L. Walthall, M. S. Kim, E. Brown de Colstoun, J. E. McMurtrey III.
- 876 2000. Estimating corn leaf chlorophyll concentration from leaf and canopy reflectance.
 877 Remote Sens. Environ. 74: 229–239.
- B78 De Lange, E. S., J. Salamanca, J. Polashock, and C. Rodriguez-Saona. 2019. Genotypic
- variation and phenotypic plasticity in gene expression and emissions of herbivore-
- induced volatiles, and their potential tritrophic implications, in cranberries. J. Chem.

881 Ecol. 45: 298–312.

882 Del-Campo-Sanchez, A., R. Ballesteros, D. Hernandez-Lopez, J. F. Ortega, and M. A.

883 Moreno. 2019. Quantifying the effect of *Jacobiasca lybica* pest on vineyards with UAVs

by combining geometric and computer vision techniques. PLoS One 14: e0215521.

Delegido, J., J. Verrelst, L. Alonso, and J. Moreno. 2011. Evaluation of Sentinel-2 red-edge

bands for empirical estimation of green LAI and chlorophyll content. Sensors 11: 7063–
7081.

888	Delegido, J., J. Verrelst, C. M. Meza, J. P. Rivera, L. Alonso, and J. Moreno. 2013. A red-
889	edge spectral index for remote sensing estimation of green LAI over agroecosystems.
890	Europ. J. Agronomy 46: 42–52.
891	DJI. 2019. AGRAS MG-1S. https://www.dji.com/mg-1s (accessed 22 January 2019).
892	Do Prado Ribeiro, L., A. L. S. Klock, J. A. Wordell Filho, M. A. Tramontin, M. A. Trapp,
893	A. Mithöfer, and C. Nansen. 2018. Hyperspectral imaging to characterize plant-plant
894	communication in response to insect herbivory. Plant Methods 14: 54.
895	Drone Agriculture. 2018. Formerly Aerobugs. <u>https://www.droneagriculture.com.au/</u> (accessed
896	23 January 2019).
897	DuPont, T. 2018. Adding to the codling moth IPM tool box. WSU Tree Fruit.
898	http://treefruit.wsu.edu/article/adding-to-the-codling-moth-ipm-tool-box/ (accessed 7
899	January 2019).
900	Ecobotix. 2018. https://www.ecobotix.com/ (accessed 25 January 2019). In Danish.
901	Elliott, N., G. Backoulou, M. Brewer, and K. Giles. 2015. NDVI to detect sugarcane aphid
902	injury to grain sorghum. J. Econ. Entomol. 108: 1452-1455.
903	Elliott, N. C., M. Mirik, Z. Yang, T. Dvorak, M. Rao, J. Michels, T. Walker, V. Catana, M.
904	Phoofolo, K. L. Giles, and T. Royer. 2007. Airborne multi-spectral remote sensing of
905	Russian wheat aphid injury to wheat. Southwest. Entomol. 32: 213–219.
906	Elliott, N., M. Mirik, Z. Yang, D. Jones, M. Phoofolo, V. Catana, K. Giles, and G. J.
907	Michels. 2009. Airborne remote sensing to detect greenbug stress to wheat. Southwest.
908	Entomol. 34: 205–211.

909	Everitt, J., D. Escobar, K. Summy, M. Alaniz, and M. Davis. 1996. Using spatial information
910	technologies for detecting and mapping whitefly and harvester ant infestations in south
911	Texas, Southwest, Entomol. 21: 421–432.

- 912 Everitt, J., D. Escobar, K. Summy, and M. Davis. 1994. Using airborne video, global
- 913 positioning system, and geographical information system technologies for detecting and
- 914 mapping citrus blackfly infestations. Southwest. Entomol. 19: 129–138.
- 915 Everitt, J. H., K. R. Summy, D. E. Escobar, and M. R. Davis. 2003. An overview of aircraft
- remote sensing in integrated pest management. Subtrop. Plant Sci. 55: 59–67.
- 917 **EWH BioProduction. 2019.** <u>https://bioproduction.dk/?lang=en</u> (accessed 25 January 2019).
- 918 FAA. 2016. Press release New FAA rules for small unmanned aircraft systems go into effect.
- 919 <u>https://www.faa.gov/news/press_releases/news_story.cfm?newsId=20734</u> (accessed 7
 920 January 2019).
- 921 FAA. 2018a. Unmanned Aircraft Systems frequently asked questions.
- 922 <u>https://www.faa.gov/uas/resources/faqs/</u> (accessed 7 January 2019).
- 923 FAA. 2018b. Unmanned Aircraft Systems getting started.
- 924 <u>https://www.faa.gov/uas/getting_started/</u> (accessed 7 January 2019).
- 925 Faiçal, B. S., F. G. Costa, G. Pessin, J. Ueyama, H. Freitas, A. Colombo, P. H. Fini, L.
- 926 Villas, F. S. Osório, P. A. Vargas, and T. Braun. 2014a. The use of unmanned aerial
- 927 vehicles and wireless sensor networks for spraying pesticides. J. Syst. Architect. 60: 393–
 928 404.
- 929 Faiçal, B. S., H. Freitas, P. H. Gomes, L. Y. Mano, G. Pessin, A. C. P. L. F. de Carvalho, B.
- 930 Krishnamachari, and J. Ueyama. 2017. An adaptive approach for UAV-based pesticide
- 931 spraying in dynamic environments. Comput. Electron. Agric. 138: 210–223.

932	Faiçal, B. S., G. Pessin, G. P. R. Filho, A. C. P. L. F. Carvalho, G. Furquim, and J. Ueyama.
933	2014b. Fine-tuning of UAV control rules for spraying pesticides on crop fields, pp. 527–
934	533. In IEEE International Conference on Tools with Artificial Intelligence (ICTAI),
935	Limassol, Cyprus.
936	Faiçal, B. S., G. Pessin, G. P. R. Filho, A. C. P. L. F. Carvalho, P. H. Gomes, and J.
937	Ueyama. 2016. Fine-tuning of UAV control rules for spraying pesticides on crop fields:
938	an approach for dynamic environments. Int. J. Artif. Intell. Tools 25: 1660003.
939	Fan, Y., T. Wang, Z. Qiu, J. Peng, C. Zhang, and Y. He. 2017. Fast detection of striped stem-
940	borer (Chilo suppressalis Walker) infested rice seedling based on visible/near-infrared
941	hyperspectral imaging system. Sensors 17: 2470.
942	Farm Journal Pulse. 2019. Results: Will you use a drone on your farm this year?
943	http://pulse.farmjournalmobile.com/index.php?campaign_id=476 (accessed 18 June
944	2019).
945	Fitzgerald, G. J., S. J. Maas, and W. R. Detar. 2004. Spider mite detection and canopy
946	component mapping in cotton using hyperspectral imagery and spectral mixture analysis.
947	Precis. Agric. 5: 275–289.
948	FlyH2 Aerospace. 2018. Agriculture - Greenfly Aviation. https://flyh2.com/agriculture-
949	greenfly-aviation/ (accessed 7 January 2019).
950	Fraulo, A. B., M. Cohen, and O. E. Liburd. 2009. Visible/near infrared reflectance (Vnir)
951	spectroscopy for detecting twospotted spider mite (Acari: Tetranychidae) damage in
952	strawberries. Environ. Entomol. 38: 137-142.

954	H. Medrano. 2015. UAVs challenge to assess water stress for sustainable agriculture.
955	Agric. Water Manag. 153: 9–19.
956	Garcia-Ruiz, F., S. Sankaran, J. M. Maja, W. S. Lee, J. Rasmussen, and R. Ehsani. 2013.
957	Comparison of two aerial imaging platforms for identification of Huanglongbing-infected
958	citrus trees. Comput. Electron. Agric. 91: 106–115.
959	Garman, P., and B. H. Kennedy. 1949. Effect of soil fertilization on the rate of reproduction of

Gago, J., C. Douthe, R. Coopman, P. Gallego, M. Ribas-Carbo, J. Flexas, J. Escalona, and

960 the two-spotted spider mite. J. Econ. Entomol. 42: 157–158.

961 Genc, H., L. Genc, H. Turhan, S. Smith, and J. Nation. 2008. Vegetation indices as indicators

- 962 of damage by the sunn pest (Hemiptera: Scutelleridae) to field grown wheat. Afr. J.
 963 Biotechnol. 7: 173–180.
- Gerson, U., and P. G. Weintraub. 2007. Mites for the control of pests in protected cultivation.
 Pest Manag. Sci. 63: 658–676.
- 966 Giles, D. K., and R. C. Billing. 2015. Deployment and performance of a UAV for crop
- 967 spraying. Chem. Eng. Trans. 44: 307–312.
- 968 Giles, D. K., J. Gardner, and H. Studer. 1995. Mechanical release of predacious mites for

biological pest control in strawberries. Trans. Am. Soc. Agric. Eng. 38: 1289–1296.

- 970 **Gillespie, A. 2015.** Dispatches FAA gives approval to pesticide-spraying drone. Front. Ecol.
- **971** Environ. 13: 236–240.
- 972 Glenn, E. P., A. R. Huete, P. L. Nagler, and S. G. Nelson. 2008. Relationship between
- 973 remotely-sensed vegetation indices, canopy attributes and plant physiological processes:
- 974 what vegetation indices can and cannot tell us about the landscape. Sensors 8: 2136–
- 975 2160.

953

976	Gonzalez, F., A. Mcfadyen, and E. Puig. 2018. Advances in unmanned aerial systems and
977	payload technologies for precision agriculture, pp. 133–155. In G. Chen (ed.), Advances
978	in Agricultural Machinery and Technologies. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL.
979	Gonzalez-de-Santos, P., A. Ribeiro, C. Fernandez-Quintanilla, F. Lopez-Granados, M.
980	Brandstoetter, S. Tomic, S. Pedrazzi, A. Peruzzi, G. Pajares, G. Kaplanis, M. Perez-
981	Ruiz, C. Valero, J. del Cerro, M. Vieri, G. Rabatel, and B. Debilde. 2017. Fleets of
982	robots for environmentally-safe pest control in agriculture. Precis. Agric. 18: 574-614.
983	Greenfly. 2018. Aerial sterile insect technique. <u>https://greenflyaviation.com/</u> (accessed 7 January
984	2019).
985	Gregg, P. C., A. P Del Socorro, and P. J. Landolt. 2018. Advances in attract-and-kill for
986	agricultural pests: beyond pheromones. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 63: 453-470.
987	Grinnan, R., T. E. Carter, and M. T. J. Johnson. 2013. Effects of drought, temperature,
988	herbivory, and genotype on plant-insect interactions in soybean (Glycine max). Arthropod
989	Plant Interact. 7: 201–215.
990	Gutbrodt, B., S. Dorn, S. B. Unsicker, and K. Mody. 2012. Species-specific responses of
991	herbivores to within-plant and environmentally mediated between-plant variability in
992	plant chemistry. Chemoecology 22: 101–111.
993	Gutbrodt, B., K. Mody, and S. Dorn. 2011. Drought changes plant chemistry and causes
994	contrasting responses in lepidopteran herbivores. Oikos 120: 1732-1740.
995	Hardin, P. J., and R. R. Jensen. 2011. Small-scale unmanned aerial vehicles in environmental
996	remote sensing: challenges and opportunities. GISci. Remote Sens. 48: 99-111.

997	Hart, W. G., S. J. Ingle, M. R. Davis, and C. Mangum. 1973. Aerial photography with
998	infrared color film as a method of surveying for citrus blackfly. J. Econ. Entomol. 66:
999	190–194.

1000 Hart, W. G., and V. I. Meyers. 1968. Infrared aerial color photography for detection of

1001 populations of brown soft scale in citrus groves. J. Econ. Entomol. 61: 617–624.

Herren, H. R., T. J. Bird, and D. J. Nadel. 1987. Technology for automated aerial release of
natural enemies of the cassava mealybug and cassava green mite. Int. J. Trop. Insect Sci.
8: 883–885.

Herrmann, I., M. Berenstein, T. Paz-Kagan, A. Sade, and A. Karnieli. 2015. Early detection
of two-spotted spider mite damage to pepper leaves by spectral means, pp. 661–666. *In*

1007 European Conference on Precision Agriculture, 12-16 July 2015, Volcani Center, Israel.

1008 Herrmann, I., M. Berenstein, T. Paz-Kagan, A. Sade, and A. Karnieli. 2017. Spectral

assessment of two-spotted spider mite damage levels in the leaves of greenhouse-grown
pepper and bean. Biosyst. Eng. 157: 72–85.

1011 Herrmann, I., M. Berenstein, A. Sade, A. Karnieli, D. J. Bonfil, and P. G. Weintraub. 2012.

Spectral monitoring of two-spotted spider mite damage to pepper leaves. Remote Sens.
Lett. 3: 277–283.

1014 Hodgson, E. W., E. C. Burkness, W. D. Hutchison, and D. W. Ragsdale. 2004. Enumerative

- and binomial sequential sampling plans for soybean aphid (Homoptera: Aphididae) in
 soybean. J. Econ. Entomol. 97: 2127–2136.
- **Hofman, V., and E. Solseng. 2001.** Reducing spray drift. AE-1210. North Dakota State
- 1018 University Extension Service, Fargo, ND, USA.

- 1019 https://library.ndsu.edu/ir/bitstream/handle/10365/5111/ae1210.pdf?sequence=1
- 1020 (accessed 19 June 2019).
- Hogan, S. D., M. Kelly, B. Stark, and Y. Chen. 2017. Unmanned aerial systems for agriculture
 and natural resources. Calif. Agric. 71: 5–14.
- 1023 Horler, D. N. H., M. Dockray, and J. Barber. 1983. The red edge of plant leaf reflectance. Int.
- **J.** Remote Sens. 4: 273–288.
- 1025 Huang, H., J. Deng, Y. Lan, A. Yang, X. Deng, L. Zhang, S. Wen, Y. Jiang, G. Suo, and P.
- 1026 Chen. 2018. A two-stage classification approach for the detection of spider mite-infested
- 1027 cotton using UAV multispectral imagery. Remote Sens. Lett. 9: 933–941.
- 1028 Huang, W., Q. Guan, J. Luo, J. Zhang, J. Zhao, D. Liang, L. Huang, and D. Zhang. 2014.
- 1029 New optimized spectral indices for identifying and monitoring winter wheat diseases.
- 1030 IEEE J. Sel. Topics Appl. Earth Observ. Remote Sens. 7: 2516–2524.
- 1031 Huang, Y., W. C. Hoffmann, Y. Lan, W. Wu, and B. K. Fritz. 2009. Development of a spray
- 1032 system for an unmanned aerial vehicle platform. Appl. Eng. Agric. 25: 803–809.
- 1033 Huang, J., H. Liao, Y. Zhu, J. Sun, Q. Sun, and X. Liu. 2012a. Hyperspectral detection of rice
- 1034 damaged by rice leaf folder (*Cnaphalocrocis medinalis*). Comput. Electron. Agric. 82:
 1035 100–107.
- 1036 Huang, W., J. Luo, Q. Gong, J. Zhao, and J. Zhang. 2013. Discriminating wheat aphid
- damage level using spectral correlation simulating analysis, pp. 3722–3725. *In* IEEE
- 1038 International Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium, 21-26 July 2013, Melbourne,
- 1039 VIC, Australia.

1040	Huang.	W.,	J.L	uo. J.	Zhang.	J.	Zhao.	C .	Zhao.	J.	Wang.	G.	Yang.	M.	Huang.	L	. Huang.
								· · ·		•••		\sim				_	,,

- **and S. Du. 2012b.** Crop disease and pest monitoring by remote sensing. *In* B. Escalante
- 1042 (ed.) Remote Sensing Applications. InTech, Rijeka, Croatia.
- 1043 Huang, W., J. Luo, J. Zhao, J. Zhang, and Z. Ma. 2011. Predicting wheat aphid using 2-
- dimensional feature space based on multi-temporal Landsat TM, pp. 1830–1833. *In* IEEE
- 1045 International Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium, 24-19 July 2011, Vancouver,
 1046 BC, Canada.
- 1047 Huang, J.-R., J.-Y. Sun, H.-J. Liao, and X.-D. Liu. 2015a. Detection of brown planthopper
- infestation based on SPAD and spectral data from rice under different rates of nitrogen
 fertilizer. Precis. Agric. 16: 148–163.
- 1050 Huang, J., C. Wei, Y. Zhang, G. A. Blackburn, X. Wang, C. Wei, and J. Wang. 2015b.
- Meta-analysis of the detection of plant pigment concentrations using hyperspectral
 remotely sensed data. PLoS One 10: e0137029.
- Huete, A. R. 1988. A soil-adjusted vegetation index (SAVI). Remote Sens. Environ. 25: 295–
 309.
- 1055 Hunt, E. R., and C. S. T. Daughtry. 2018. What good are unmanned aircraft systems for

agricultural remote sensing and precision agriculture? Int. J. Remote Sens. 39: 5345–
5376.

Hunt, J. E. R., and S. I. Rondon. 2017. Detection of potato beetle damage using remote sensing
from small unmanned aircraft systems. J. Appl. Remote Sens. 11: 026013.

1060 Hunt, J. E. R., S. I. Rondon, P. B. Hamm, R. W. Turner, A. E. Bruce, and J. J. Brungardt.

1061 **2016.** Insect detection and nitrogen management for irrigated potatoes using remote

- sensing from small unmanned aircraft systems, p. 98660N. *In* SPIE Commercial +
- 1063 Scientific Sensing and Imaging, 17-21 April 2016, Baltimore, MD.
- 1064 Iost Filho, F. H. 2019. Remote sensing for monitoring whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci* biotype B
- 1065 (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) in soybean. Master's thesis. University of São Paulo,
- 1066 Piracicaba, São Paulo, Brazil (in Portuguese with English abstract).
- 1067 ISCA. 2019a. Mating disruption. <u>https://www.iscatech.com/solutions/mating-disruption/</u>
 1068 (accessed 29 January 2019).
- **ISCA. 2019b.** Attract & kill: the hybrid IPM solution.
- 1070 <u>https://www.iscatech.com/solutions/attract-kill/</u> (accessed 29 January 2019).
- 1071 Jorge, L. A. C., Z. N. Brandão, and R. Y. Inamasu. 2014. Insights and recommendations of
- 1072 use of UAV platforms in precision agriculture in Brazil, p. 18. *In* SPIE Remote Sensing,
- 1073 22-25 September 2014, Amsterdam, Netherlands.
- 1074 Jorge, J., M. Vallbé, and J. A. Soler. 2019. Detection of irrigation inhomogeneities in an olive
- 1075 grove using the NDRE vegetation index obtained from UAV images. Eur. J. Remote
 1076 Sens. 52: 169–177.
- 1077 Judd, G. J. R., and M. G. T. Gardiner. 2005. Towards eradication of codling moth in British
- 1078 Columbia by complimentary actions of mating disruption, tree banding and sterile insect
 1079 technique: five-year study in organic orchards. Crop Prot. 24: 718–733.
- 1080 Katsoulas, N., A. Elvanidi, K. Ferentinos, T. Bartzanas, and C. Kittas. 2016. Calibration
- 1081 methodology of a hyperspectral imaging system for greenhouse plant water stress
- **1082** estimation. Acta Hortic. 1142: 119–126.
- 1083 Kim, H. G., J.-S. Park, and D.-H. Lee. 2018. Potential of unmanned aerial sampling for
 1084 monitoring insect populations in rice fields. Fla. Entomol. 101: 330–334.

- 1085 Koppert. 2017a. Spidex *Phytoseiulus persimilis*. <u>https://www.koppert.com/products/products-</u>
 1086 pests-diseases/spidex/ (accessed 7 January 2019).
- 1087 Koppert. 2017b. Mini-Airbug. <u>https://www.koppert.com/products/distribution-appliances/mini-</u>
 1088 airbug/ (accessed 7 January 2019).
- 1089 Lacoste, C., C. Nansen, S. Thompson, L. Moir-Barnetson, A. Mian, M. McNee, and K. C.
- Flower. 2015. Increased susceptibility to aphids of flowering wheat plants exposed to
 low temperatures. Environ. Entomol. 44: 610–618.
- Lan, Y., and S. Chen. 2018. Current status and trends of plant protection UAV and its spraying
 technology in China. Int. J. Precis. Agric. Aviat. 1: 1–9.
- 1094 Lan, Y., S J. Thomson, Y. Huang, W. C. Hoffmann, and H. Zhang. 2010. Current status and
- 1095 future directions of precision aerial application for site-specific crop management in the1096 USA. Comput. Electron. Agric. 74: 34–38.
- Lan, Y., H. Zhang, J. W. Hoffmann, and J. D. Lopez. 2013. Spectral response of spider mite
 infested cotton: mite density and miticide rate study. Int. J. Agric. Biol. Eng. 6: 48–52.
- 1099 Larson, J. A., R. K. Roberts, B. C. English, S. L. Larkin, M. C; Marra, S. W. Martin, K. W.
- 1100 Paxton, and J. M. Reeves. 2008. Factors affecting farmer adoption of remotely sensed
- imagery for precision management in cotton production. Precis. Agric. 9: 195–208.
- 1102 Lestina, J., M. Cook, S. Kumar, J. Morisette, P. J. Ode, and F. Peairs. 2016. MODIS
- imagery improves pest risk assessment: a case study of wheat stem sawfly (*Cephus*
- *cinctus*, Hymenoptera: Cephidae) in Colorado, USA. Environ. Entomol. 45: 1343–1351.
- 1105 Li, H., W. A. Payne, G. J. Michels, and C. M. Rush. 2008. Reducing plant abiotic and biotic
- 1106 stress: drought and attacks of greenbugs, corn leaf aphids and virus disease in dryland
- 1107 sorghum. Environ. Exp. Bot. 63: 305–316.

- Li, D., X. Yuan, B. Zhang, Y. Zhao, Z. Song, and C. Zuo. 2013. Report of using unmanned
 aerial vehicle to release *Trichogramma*. Chin. J. Biol. Control 29: 455–458 (in Chinese
 with English abstract).
- 1111 Lillesand, T. M., R. W. Kiefer, and J. W. Chipman. 2007. Remote sensing and image
- interpretation, p. 736. Wiley, Hoboken, NJ.
- Lindblom, J., C. Lundström, M. Ljung, and A. Jonsson. 2017. Promoting sustainable
 intensification in precision agriculture: review of decision support systems development
 and strategies. Precis. Agric. 18: 309–331.
- 1116 Liu, Z., J.-A. Cheng, W. Huang, C. Li, X. Xu, X. Ding, J. Shi, and B. Zhou. 2012.
- 1117 Hyperspectral discrimination and response characteristics of stressed rice leaves caused
- 1118 by rice leaf folder, pp. 528–537. *In* D. Li, Y. Chen (eds.), Computer and Computing
- 1119 Technologies in Agriculture V. CCTA 2011. IFIP Advances in Information and
- 1120 Communication Technology, vol. 369. Springer, Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany.
- 1121 Liu, Z.-Y., J.-G. Qi, N.-N. Wang, Z.-R. Zhu, J. Luo, L.-J. Liu, J. Tang, and J.-A. Cheng.
- 1122 **2018.** Hyperspectral discrimination of foliar biotic damages in rice using principal
- 1123 component analysis and probabilistic neural network. Precision Agric. 19: 973–991.
- Liu, X.-D., and Q.-H. Sun. 2016. Early assessment of the yield loss in rice due to the brown
 planthopper using a hyperspectral remote sensing method. Int. J. Pest Manag. 62: 205–
 213.
- 1127 Lobits, B., L. Johnson, C. Hlavka, R. Armstrong, and C. Bell. 1997. Grapevine remote
- sensing analysis of phylloxera early stress (GRAPES): remote sensing analysis summary.
- 1129 NASA Tech. Memo. 112218.

1130	Lowe, A., N. Harrison, and A. P. French. 2017. Hyperspectral image analysis techniques for
1131	the detection and classification of the early onset of plant disease and stress. Plant
1132	Methods 13: 80.

1133 Luedeling, E., A. Hale, M. Zhang, W. J. Bentley, and L. C. Dharmasri. 2009. Remote

- sensing of spider mite damage in California peach orchards. Int. J. Appl. Earth Obs.
- **1135** Geoinf. 11: 244–255.
- 1136 Luo, J., W. Huang, Q. Guan, J. Zhao, and J. Zhang. 2013a. Hyperspectral image for
- discriminating aphid and aphid damage region of winter wheat leaf, pp. 3726–3729. *In*
- 1138 IEEE International Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium, 21-26 July 2013,
- 1139 Melbourne, VIC, Australia.
- Luo, J., W. Huang, L. Yuan, C. Zhao, S. Du, J. Zhang, and J. Zhao. 2013b. Evaluation of
 spectral indices and continuous wavelet analysis to quantify aphid infestation in wheat.
 Precis. Agric. 14: 151–161.
- Luo, J., W. Huang, J. Zhao, J. Zhang, R. Ma, and M. Huang. 2014. Predicting the probability
 of wheat aphid occurrence using satellite remote sensing and meteorological data. Optik
- 1145 125: 5660–5665.
- 1146 Luo, J., W. Huang, J. Zhao, J. Zhang, C. Zhao, and R. Ma. 2013c. Detecting aphid density of
- winter wheat leaf using hyperspectral measurements. IEEE J. Sel. Top. Appl. Earth Obs.Remote Sens. 6: 690–698.
- 1149 Luo, J., D. Wang, Y. Dong, W. Huang, and J. Wang. Year. 2011. Developing an aphid
- 1150 damage hyperspectral index for detecting aphid (Hemiptera: Aphididae) damage levels in
- 1151 winter wheat, pp. 1744–1747. *In* IEEE International Geoscience and Remote Sensing
- 1152 Symposium (IGARSS), 2-29 July 2011, Vancouver, BC, Canada.

1153	M3 Consulting Group. 2018. Codling moth sterile in	nsect release. https://www.m3cg.us/sir/
1154	(accessed 7 January 2019).	

1155 Ma, H., W. Huang, Y. Jing, C. Yang, L. Han, Y. Dong, H. Ye, Y. Shi, Q. Zheng, L. Liu, and

- 1156 C. Ruan. 2019. Integrating growth and environmental parameters to discriminate
- powdery mildew and aphid of winter wheat using bi-temporal Landsat-8 imagery.
- **1158** Remote Sens. 11: 846.
- 1159 Machado, S., E. D. Bynum, T. L. Archer, R. J. Lascano, L. T. Wilson, J. Bordovsky, E.
- 1160 Segarra, K. Bronson, D. M. Nesmith, and W. Xu. 2000. Spatial and temporal
- 1161 variability of corn grain yield: site-specific relationships of biotic and abiotic factors.
- 1162 Precis. Agric. 2: 359–376.
- Maes, W. H., and K. Steppe. 2019. Perspectives for remote sensing with unmanned aerial
 vehicles in preciison agriculture. Trends Plant Sci. 24: 152–164.

1165 Mahlein, A. K., T. Rumpf, P. Welke, H. W. Dehne, L. Plümer, U. Steiner, and E. C. Oerke.

- **2013.** Development of spectral indices for detecting and identifying plant diseases.
- 1167 Remote Sens. Environ. 128: 21–30.
- 1168 Martel, V., S. Trudeau, R. Johns, E. Owens, S. M. Smith, and G. Bovin. 2018. Testing the
- efficacy of *Trichogramma minutum* in the context of an 'Early Intervention Strategy'
- against the spruce budworm using different release methods. SERG-i Annual Reports, pp.276–283.
- Martin, D. E., and M. A. Latheef. 2017. Remote sensing evaluation of two-spotted spider mite
 damage on greenhouse cotton. J. Vis. Exp. 122: 54314.
- 1174 Martin, D. E., and M. A. Latheef. 2018. Active optical sensor assessment of spider mite
- damage on greenhouse beans and cotton. Exp. Appl. Acarol. 74: 147–158.

Martin, D. E., and M. A. Latheef. 2019. Aerial application methods control spider mites on
corn in Kansas, USA. Exp. Appl. Acarol. 77: 571–582.

1178 Martin, D. E., M. A. Latheef, and J. D. López. 2015. Evaluation of selected acaricides against

- 1179 twospotted spider mite (Acari: Tetranychidae) on greenhoues cotton using multispectrla
- 1180 data. Exp. Appl. Acarol. 66: 227–245.
- Martinez-Guanter, J., P. Agüera, J. Agüera, and M. Pérez-Ruiz. 2019. Spray and economics
 assessment of a UAV-based ultra-low-volume application in olive and citrus orchards.
- 1183 Precision Agric. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11119-019-09665-7

1184 Matese, A., P. Toscano, S. F. Di Gennaro, L. Genesio, F. P. Vaccari, J. Primicerio, C. Belli,

- 1185 A. Zaldei, R. Bianconi, and B. Gioli. 2015. Intercomparison of UAV, aircraft and
- satellite remote sensing platforms for precision viticulture. Remote Sens. 7: 2971–2990.
- 1187 Mattson, W. J., and R. A. Haack. 1987. The role of drought in outbreaks of plant-eating

1188 insects. BioScience 37: 110–118.

- 1189 McMurtry, J., and B. Croft. 1997. Life-styles of phytoseiid mites and their roles in biological
- 1190 control. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 42: 291–321.
- 1191 Miller, N. 2015. CALS researchers deploy insect 'birth control' to protect cranerries. University
- of Wisconsin-Madison News. <u>https://news.wisc.edu/cals-researchers-deploy-insect-birth-</u>
 control-to-protect-cranberries/ (accessed 22 January 2019).

1194 Midgarden, D., S. J. Fleischer, R. Weisz, and Z. Smilowitz. 1997. Site-specific integrated pest

- 1195 management impact on development of Esfenvalerate resistance in Colorado potato
- 1196 beetle (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) and on densities of natural enemies. J. Econ.
- 1197 Entomol. 90: 855–867.

Miller, J. R., and L. J. Gut. 2015. Mating disruption for the 21st century: matching technology
with mechanism. Environ. Entomol. 44: 427–453.

1200 Mirik, M., R. Ansley, G. Michels, and N. Elliott. 2012. Spectral vegetation indices selected for

- 1201 quantifying Russian wheat aphid (*Diuraphis noxia*) feeding damage in wheat (*Triticum*
- 1202 *aestivum* L.). Precis. Agric. 13: 501–516.
- 1203 Mirik, M., R. J. Ansley, K. Steddom, C. M. Rush, G. J. Michels, F. Workneh, S. Cui, and N.
- 1204 C. Elliott. 2014. High spectral and spatial resolution hyperspectral imagery for
- 1205 quantifying Russian wheat aphid infestation in wheat using the constrained energy
- 1206 minimization classifier. J. Appl. Remote Sens. 8: 083661.
- 1207 Mirik, M., G. Michels, S. Kassymzhanova-Mirik, and N. Elliott. 2007. Reflectance
- 1208 characteristics of Russian wheat aphid (Hemiptera: Aphididae) stress and abundance in
 1209 winter wheat. Comput. Electron. Agric. 57: 123–134.
- 1210 Mirik, M., G. J. Michels, S. Kassymzhanova-Mirik, N. C. Elliott, and R. Bowling. 2006a.
- 1211 Hyperspectral spectrometry as a means to differentiate uninfested and infested winter
- 1212 wheat by greenbug (Hemiptera: Aphididae). J. Econ. Entomol. 99: 1682–1690.
- 1213 Mirik, M., G. J. Michels, S. Kassymzhanova-Mirik, N. C Elliott, V. Catana, D. B. Jones,
- **and R. Bowling. 2006b.** Using digital image analysis and spectral reflectance data to
- 1215 quantify damage by greenbug (Hemiptera: Aphididae) in winter wheat. Comput.
- 1216 Electron. Agric. 51: 86–98.
- Miyahara, M. 1993. Utilization of helicopter for agriculture in Japan. Korean J. Weed Sci. 13:
 1218 185–194.
- 1219 Mohite, J., A. Gauns, N. Twarakavi and S. Pappula. 2018. Evaluating the capabilities of
- 1220 Sentinel-2 and Tetracam RGB+ 3 for multi-temporal detection of thrips on capsicum. *In*:

- Autonomous Air and Ground Sensing Systems for Agricultural Optimization and
 Phenotyping III (Vol. 10664, p. 106640U). International Society for Optics and
 Photonics.
- Mulla, D. J. 2013. Twenty five years of remote sensing in precision agriculture: key advances
 and remaining knowledge gaps. Biosyst. Eng. 114: 358–371.
- Myers, S. W., and C. Gratton. 2006. Influence of potassium fertility on soybean aphid, *Aphis glycines* Matsumura (Hemiptera: Aphididae), population dynamics at a field and regional scale. Environ. Entomol. 35: 219–227.
- 1229 Nansen, C. 2012. Use of variogram parameters in analysis of hyperspectral imaging data
- acquired from dual-stressed crop leaves. Remote Sens. 4: 180–193.
- Nansen, C. 2016. The potential and prospects of proximal remote sensing of arthropod pests.
 Pest Manag. Sci. 72: 653–659.
- 1233 Nansen, C., and N. Elliott. 2016. Remote sensing and reflectance profiling in entomology.

1234 Annu. Rev. Entomol. 61: 139–158.

- 1235 Nansen, C., T. Macedo, R. Swanson, and D. K. Weaver. 2009. Use of spatial structure
- analysis of hyperspectral data cubes for detection of insect-induced stress in wheat plants.
- 1237 Int. J. Remote Sens. 30: 2447–2464.
- 1238 Nansen, C., A. J. Sidumo, and S. Capareda. 2010. Variogram analysis of hyperspectral data to
- 1239 characterize the impact of biotic and abiotic stress of maize plants and to estimate biofuel1240 potential. Appl. Spectrosc. 64: 627–636.
- 1241 Nansen, C., A. J. Sidumo, X. Martini, K. Stefanova, and J. D. Roberts. 2013. Reflectance-
- based assessment of spider mite "bio-response" to maize leaves and plant potassium
- 1243 content in different irrigation regimes. Comput. Electron. Agric. 97: 21–26.

1244	Nebiker, S., N. Lack, M. Abächerli, and S. Läderach. 2016. Light-weight multispectral UAV
1245	sensors and their capabilities for predicting grain yield and detecting plant diseases.
1246	ISPRS Int. Arch. Photogramm. Remote Sens. Spat. Inf. Sci. XLI-B1: 963–970.
1247	Nguyen, H. D. D., and C. Nansen. 2018. Edge-biased distributions of insects. A review. Agron
1248	Sustain. Dev. 38: 11.
1249	Nigam, R., R. Kot, S. S. Sandhu, B. K. Bhattacharya, R. S. Chandi, M. Singh, J. Singh, and
1250	K. Manjunath. 2016. Ground-based hyperspectral remote sensing to discriminate biotic
1251	stress in cotton crop, p. 98800H. In Multispectral, Hyperspectral, and Ultraspectral
1252	Remote Sensing Technology, Techniques and Applications VI. SPIE Asia-Pacific
1253	Remote Sensing Symposium, 4-7 April 2016, New Delhi, India.
1254	Nutter, F. W., G. L. Tylka, J. Guan, A. J. D. Moreira, C. C. Marett, T. R. Rosburg, J. P.
1255	Basart, and C. S. Chong. 2002. Use of remote sensing to detect soybean cyst nematode-
1256	induced plant stress. J. Nematol. 34: 222–231.
1257	Opit, G. P., J. R. Nechols, D. C. Margolies, and K. A. Williams. 2005. Survival, horizontal
1258	distribution, and economics of releasing predatory mites (Acari: Phytoseiidae) using
1259	mechanical blowers. Biol. Control 33: 344–351.
1260	OPTiM. 2016. OPTiM's AgriDrone undergoes the world's first successful trials for insect
1261	extermination by drone. https://en.optim.co.jp/news-detail/11172 (accessed 7 January
1262	2019).
1263	Pádua, L., J. Vanko, J. Hruška, T. Adão, J. J. Sousa, E. Peres, and R. Morais. 2017. UAS,
1264	sensors, and data processing in agroforestry: a review towards practical applications. Int.

- 1265 J. Remote Sens. 38: 2349–2391.
- 1266 **Parabug. 2019.** Parabug. <u>https://www.parabug.solutions/</u> (accessed 22 January 2019).

1267	Park, C. Y., BW. Jang, J. H. Kim, CG. Kim, SM. Jun. 2012. Bird strike event monitoring
1268	in a composite UAV wing using high speed optical fiber sensing system. Compos. Sci.
1269	Technol. 72: 498–505.

- **Parra, J. R. P. 2014.** Biological control in Brazil: an overview. Sci. Agric. 71: 420–429.
- 1271 Pearl, E. 2015. Drone used to drop beneficial bugs on corn crop. The University of Queensland,
- Australia, News (UQ News). <u>https://www.uq.edu.au/news/article/2015/04/drone-used-</u>
 drop-beneficial-bugs-corn-crop (accessed 7 January 2019).
- 1274 Pederi, Y. A., and H. S. Cheporniuk. 2015. Unmanned aerial vehicles and new technological
- 1275 methods of monitoring and crop protection in precision agriculture, pp. 298–301. *In* IEEE
- 1276 International Conference Actual Problems of Unmanned Aerial Vehicles Developments,
- 1277 13-15 October 2015, Kiev, Ukraine.
- 1278 Peña, J. M., J. Torres-Sánchez, A. Serrano-Pérez, A. I. de Castro, and F. López-Granados.
- **2015.** Quantifying efficacy and limits of unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV) technology for
- 1280 weed seedling detection as affected by sensor resolution. Sensors 15: 5609–5626.
- 1281 **Peñuelas, J., and I. Filella. 1998.** Visible and near-infrared reflectance techniques for
- diagnosing plant physiological status. Trends Plant Sci. 3: 151–156.
- 1283 **Peñuelas, J., I. Filella, P. Lloret, F. Mun Oz, and M. Vilajeliu. 1995.** Reflectance assessment
- 1284 of mite effects on apple trees. Int. J. Remote Sens. 16: 2727–2733.
- 1285 Perring, T. M., T. O. Holtzer, J. L. Toole, and J. M. Norman. 1986. Relationships between
- 1286 corn-canopy microenvironments and banks grass mite (Acari: Tetranychidae) abundance.
- 1287 Environ. Entomol. 15: 79–83.

1288 Pickett, C. H., F. E. Gilstrap, R. K. Morrison, and L. F. Bouse. 1987. Release of predatory

mites (Acari: Phytoseiidae) by aircraft for the biological control of spider mites (Acari:
Tetranychidae) infesting corn. J. Econ. Entomol. 80: 906–910.

1291 Pierpaoli, E., G. Carli, E. Pignatti, and M. Canavari. 2013. Drivers of precision agriculture

- technologies adoption: a literature review. Proc. Technol. 8: 61–69.
- Pimentel, D. 1995. Amounts of pesticides reaching target pests: environmental impacts and
 ethics. J. Agric. Environ. Ethics 8: 17–29.

1295 Ponda, S. S., L. B. Johnson, A. Geramifard, and J. P. How. 2015. Cooperative mission

1296 planning for multi-UAV teams, pp. 1447–1490. *In* K. P. Valavanis, G. J. Vachtsevanos

1297 (eds.), Handbook of Unmanned Aerial Vehicles. Springer, Dordrecht, Netherlands.

- 1298 Prabhakar, M., Y. G. Prasad, and M. N. Rao. 2012. Remote sensing of biotic stress in crop
- plants and its applications for pest management, pp. 517–545. *In* B. Venkateswarlu, A. K.
- 1300 Shanker, C. Shanker, M. Maheswari (eds.), Crop Stress and its Management:
- 1301 Perspectives and Strategies. Springer, Dordrecht, Netherlands.
- 1302 Prabhakar, M., Y. Prasad, M. Thirupathi, G. Sreedevi, B. Dharajothi, and B.
- 1303 Venkateswarlu. 2011. Use of ground based hyperspectral remote sensing for detection
- 1304 of stress in cotton caused by leafhopper (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae). Comput. Electron.
- 1305 Agric. 79: 189–198.

1306 Prabhakar, M., Y. G. Prasad, S. Vennila, M. Thirupathi, G. Sreedevi, G. R. Rao, and B.

- 1307 **Venkateswarlu. 2013.** Hyperspectral indices for assessing damage by the solenopsis
- 1308 mealybug (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae) in cotton. Comput. Electron. Agric. 97: 61–70.

1309	Prasannakumar, N., S. Chander, and R. Sahoo. 2014. Characterization of brown planthopper
1310	damage on rice crops through hyperspectral remote sensing under field conditions.
1311	Phytoparasitica 42: 387–395.
1312	Prasannakumar, N., S. Chander, R. Sahoo, and V. Gupta. 2013. Assessment of brown
1313	planthopper, (Nilaparvata lugens)[Stål], damage in rice using hyperspectral remote
1314	sensing. Int. J. Pest Manag. 59: 180–188.
1315	PwC. 2016. Clarity from above. PwC global report on the commercial applications of drone
1316	technology. https://www.pwc.pl/pl/pdf/clarity-from-above-pwc.pdf (accessed 18 June
1317	2019).
1318	Qin, WC., BJ. Qiu, XY. Xue, C. Chen, ZF. Xu, and QQ. Zhou. 2016. Droplet
1319	deposition and control effect of insecticides sprayed with an unmanned aerial vehicle
1320	against plant hoppers. Crop Prot. 85: 79–88.
1321	Quemada, M., J. Gabriel, and P. Zarco-Tejada. 2014. Airborne hyperspectral images and
1322	ground-level optical sensors as assessment tools for maize nitrogen fertilization. Remote
1323	Sens. 6: 2940–2962.
1324	Rangel, R. K. 2016. Development of an UAVS distribution tools for pest's biological control
1325	"Bug Bombs!", pp. 1–8. In IEEE Aerospace Conference, 5-12 March 2016, Big Sky, MT.
1326	Rasmussen, J., J. Nielsen, F. Garcia-Ruiz, S. Christensen, J. C. Streibig, and B. Lotz. 2013.
1327	Potential uses of small unmanned aircraft systems (UAS) in weed research. Weed Res.
1328	53: 242–248.
1329	Raun, W. R., J. B. Solie, G. V. Johnson, M. L. Stone, R. W. Mullen, K. W. Freeman, W. E.
1330	Thomason, and E. V. Lukina. 2002. Improving nitrogen use efficiency in cereal grain
1331	production with optical sensing and variable rate application. Agron. J. 94: 815–820.

- 1332 Reisig, D., and L. Godfrey. 2006. Remote sensing for detection of cotton aphid- (Homoptera:
 1333 Aphididae) and spider mite- (Acari: Tetranychidae) infested cotton in the San Joaquin
- 1334 Valley. Environ. Entomol. 35: 1635–1646.
- 1335 Reisig, D., and L. Godfrey. 2007. Spectral response of cotton aphid- (Homoptera: Aphididae)
- and spider mite- (Acari: Tetranychidae) infested cotton: controlled studies. Environ.
- **1337** Entomol. 36: 1466–1474.
- 1338 Reisig, D. D., and L. D. Godfrey. 2010. Remotely sensing arthropod and nutrient stressed
- 1339 plants: a case study with nitrogen and cotton aphid (Hemiptera: Aphididae). Environ.
- 1340 Entomol. 39: 1255–1263.
- 1341 Riedell, W. E., and T. M. Blackmer. 1999. Leaf reflectance spectra of cereal aphid-damaged
 1342 wheat. Crop Sci. 39: 1835–1840.
- **1343 Riley, J. R. 1989.** Remote sensing in entomology. Ann. Rev. Entomol. 43: 247–271.
- 1344 Roberts, D. A., K. L. Roth, and R. L Perroy. 2001. Hyperspectral vegetation indices, pp 309–
- 1345 327. *In* P. S. Thenkabail, J. G. Lyon, A. Huete (eds.), Hyperspectral Remote Sensing of
 1346 Vegetation. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL.
- 1347 **Rodriguez, J. G. 1951.** Mineral nutrition of the two-spotted spider mite, *Tetranychus*
- 1348 *bimaculatus* Harvey. Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am. 44: 511–526.
- Rodriguez, J. G., and R. B. Neiswander. 1949. The effect of soil soluble salts and cultural
 practices on mite populations on hothouse tomatoes. J. Econ. Entomol. 42: 56–59.
- 1351 Rodriguez-Saona, C., D. Polk, R. Holdcraft, D. Chinnasamy, and A. Mafra-Neto. 2010.
- 1352 SPLAT-OrB reveals competitive attraction as a mechanism of mating disruption in
- 1353 oriental beetle (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae). Environ. Entomol. 39: 1980–1989.

1354	Rosenthal, G. 2017. PPQ explores the tantalizing promise of unmanned aircraft systems. USDA
1355	APHIS. https://www.aphis.usda.gov/aphis/ourfocus/planthealth/ppq-program-
1356	overview/plant-protection-today/articles/unmanned-aircraft-systems (accessed 7 January
1357	2019).
1358	Ru, Y., H. Zhou, Q. Fan, and X. Wu. 2011. Design and investigation of ultra-low volume

centrifugal spraying system on aerial plant protection, no. 1110663. *In* ASABE Annual
International Meeting, 7-10 August 2011, Louisville, KY.

1361 Sánchez-Bayo, F., S. Baskaran, and I. R. Kennedy. 2002. Ecological relative risk (EcoRR):

- another approach for risk assessment of pesticides in agriculture. Agric. Ecosyst.
- **1363** Environ. 91: 37–57.
- 1364 Sato, A. 2003. The RMAX helicopter UAV. Yamaha Moter Co., LTD., Shizuoka, Japan.
- 1365 <u>https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/5d80/faae7d1ffd27422df3ad6e3d08dc6bdb1920.pdf</u>
 1366 (accessed 8 January 2019).
- (docessed o building 2019).
- 1367 SDU. 2018. EcoDrone. University of Southern Denmark (SDU).
- 1368 <u>https://www.sdu.dk/en/om_sdu/institutter_centre/sduuascenter/researchprojects</u> (accessed
 1369 7 January 2019).
- 1370 Seely, R. 2018. Drones, joysticks, and data-driven farming. Grow 3: 16–21. University of
- 1371 Wisconsin-Madison College of Agricultural and Life Sciences.
- 1372 <u>https://grow.cals.wisc.edu/wp-content/uploads/sites/14/2018/06/Grow-Summer2018-</u>
- 1373 <u>web.pdf</u> (accessed 30 January 2019).
- 1374 Sétamou, M., and D. W. Bartels. 2015. Living on the edges: spatial niche occupation of Asian
- 1375 citrus psyllid, *Diaphorina citri* Kuwayama (Hemiptera: Liviidae), in citrus groves. PLoS
- 1376 One 10: e0131917.

1377	Severtson, D.,	, N. Callow	, K. Flower	, A. Neuhaus,	M. Olejı	nik, and (C. Nansen. 2016a
------	----------------	-------------	-------------	---------------	----------	------------	------------------

- 1378 Unmanned aerial vehicle canopy reflectance data detects potassium deficiency and green1379 peach aphid susceptibility in canola. Precis. Agric. 17: 659–677.
- 1380 Severtson, D., K. Flower, and C. Nansen. 2015. Nonrandom distribution of cabbage aphids
- 1381 (Hemiptera: Aphididae) in dryland canola (Brassicales: Brassicaceae). Environ. Entomol.
 1382 44: 767–779.
- 1383 Severtson, D., K. Flower, and C. Nansen. 2016b. Spatially-optimized sequential sampling plan
- 1384 for cabbage aphids *Brevicoryne brassicae* L. (Hemiptera: Aphididae) in canola fields. J.
- **1385** Econ. Entomol. 109: 1929–1935.
- 1386 Seymour, R. 2018. Drones tested for moth drops in Okanagan orchards. Kelowna Daily Courier.

1387 <u>http://www.kelownadailycourier.ca/news/article_abc959f2-3376-11e8-8de7-</u>

- 1388 <u>efac785fe8d1.html</u> (accessed 7 January 2019).
- 1389 Shah, P., and J. Pell. 2003. Entomopathogenic fungi as biological control agents. Appl.
- 1390 Microbiol. Biotechnol. 61: 413–423.
- 1391 Shapiro-Ilan, D. I., R. Han, and C. Dolinksi. 2012. Entomopathogenic nematode production
- and application technology. J. Nematol. 44: 206–217.
- 1393 Shi, Y., W. Huang, J. Luo, L. Huang, and X. Zhou. 2017. Detection and discrimination of
- pests and diseases in winter wheat based on spectral indices and kernel discriminantanalysis. Comput. Electron. Agric. 141: 171–180.
- 1396 Shi, Y., J. A. Thomasson, S. C. Murray, N. A. Pugh, W. L. Rooney, S. Shafian, N. Rajan, G.
- 1397 Rouze, C. L. S. Morgan, H. L. Neely, A. Rana, M. V. Bagavathiannan, J.
- 1398 Henrickson, E. Bowden, J. Valasek, J. Olsenholler, M. P. Bishop, R. Sheridan, E. B.
- 1399 Putman, S. Popescu, T. Burks, D. Cope, A. Ibrahim, B. F. McCutchen, D. D.

- 1400Baltensperger, R. V. Avant, M. Vidrine, and C. Yang. 2016.Unmanned aerial
- vehicles for high-throughput phenotyping and agronomic research. PLoS One 11:e0159781.

1403 Shields, E. J., and A. M. Testa. 1999. Fall migratory flight initiation of the potato leafhopper,

- Empoasca fabae (Homoptera: Cicadelliade): observations in the lower atmosphere using
 remote piloted vehicles. Agric. For. Meteorol. 97: 317–330.
- Shim, D. H., J.-S. Han, and H.-T. Yeo. 2009. A development of unmanned helicopters for
 industrial applications. J. Intell. Robot. Syst. 54: 407–421.

1408 Simmons, G. S., D. M. Suckling, J. E. Carpenter, M. F. Addison, V. A. Dyck, and M. J. B.

1409 Vreysen. 2010. Improved quality management to enhance the efficacy of the sterile

insect technique for lepidopteran pests. J. Appl. Entomol. 134: 261–273.

- 1411 Singh, K., and C. Nansen. 2017. Advanced calibration to improve robustness of drone-acquired
- 1412 hyperspectral remote sensing data, pp. 1–6. *In* IEEE International Conference on Agro-

1413 Geoinformatics, 7-10 August 2017, Fairfax, VA.

- 1414 Smith, S. 1996. Biological control with *Trichogramma*: advances, successes, and potential of
- 1415 their use. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 41: 375–406.
- 1416 Souza, E. G., P. C. Scharf, and K. A. Sudduth. 2010. Sun position and cloud effects on

reflectance and vegetation indices of corn. Agron. J. 102: 734–744.

1418 Stanton, C., M. J. Starek, N. Elliott, M. Brewer, M. M. Maeda, and T. Chu. 2017.

- 1419 Unmanned aircraft system-derived crop height and normalized difference vegetation
- index metrics for sorghum yield and aphid stress assessment. J. Appl. Remote Sens. 11:
- **1421** 026035.

1422	Stark, B., S. Rider, and Y. Chen. 2013a. Optimal pest management by networked unmanned
1423	cropdusters in precision agriculture: a cyber-physical system approach. IFAC
1424	Proceedings 46: 296–302. IFAC Workshop on Research, Education and Development of
1425	Unmanned Aerial Systems, 20-22 November 2013, Compiegne, France.
1426	Stark, B., B. Smith, and Y. Chen. 2013b. A guide for selecting small unmanned aerial systems
1427	for research-centric applications. IFAC Proceedings 46: 38-45. IFAC Workshop on
1428	Research, Education and Development of Unmanned Aerial Systems, 20-22 November
1429	2013, Compiegne, France.
1430	Steffan, S. A., E. M. Chasen, A. E. Deutsch, and A. Mafram-Neto. 2017. Multi-species
1431	mating disruption in cranberries (Ericales: Ericaceae): early evidence using a flowable
1432	emulsion. J. Insect Sci. 17: 54.
1433	Stiefel, V. L., D. C. Margolies, and P. J. Bramel-Cox. 1992. Leaf temperature affects
1434	resistance to the banks grass mite (Acari: Tetranychidae) on drought-resistant grain
1435	sorghum. J. Econ. Entomol. 85: 2170–2184.
1436	Stöcker, C., R. Bennett, F. Nex, M. Gerke, and J. Zevenbergen. 2017. Review of the current
1437	state of UAV regulations. Remote Sens. 9: 459.
1438	Stumph, B., M. Hernandez Virto, H. Medeiros, A. Tabb, S. Wolford, K. Rice, and T.
1439	Leskey. 2019. Detecting invasive insects with unmanned aerial vehicles. In IEEE
1440	International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA), 20-24 May 2019,
1441	Montreal, Canada.
1442	Stone, C., and C. Mohammed. 2017. Application of remote sensing technologies for assessing
1443	planted forests damaged by insect pests and fungal pathogens: a review. Curr. For. Rep.
1444	3: 75–92.

1445	Sudbrink, D., F. Harris, J. Robbins, P. English, and J. Willers. 2003. Evaluation of remote
1446	sensing to identify variability in cotton plant growth and correlation with larval densities
1447	of beet armyworm and cabbage looper (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Fla. Entomol. 86: 290-
1448	294.
1449	Sudbrink, D. L., S. J. Thomson, R. S. Fletcher, F. A. Harris, P. J. English, and J. T.
1450	Robbins. 2015. Remote sensing of selected winter and spring host plants of tarnished
1451	plant bug (Heteroptera: Miridae) and herbicide use strategies as a management tactic.
1452	Am. J. Plant Sci. 6: 1313–1327.
1453	Sylvester, G. 2018. E-agriculture in action: drones for agriculture. Food and Agriculture
1454	Organization of the United Nations and International Telecommunication Union,
1455	Bangkok, Thailand. http://www.fao.org/3/i8494en/i8494en.pdf (accessed 18 June 2019).
1456	Tahir, N., and G. Brooker. 2009. Feasibility of UAV based optical tracker for tracking
1457	Australian plague locust, pp. 1–10. In Australasian Conference on Robotics and
1458	Automation, 2-4 December 2009, Sydney, Australia.
1459	Tan, Y, JY. Sun, B. Zhang, M. Chen, Y. Liu, and XD. Liu. 2019. Sensitivity of a ratio
1460	vegetation index derived from hyperspectral remote sensing to the brown planthopper
1461	stress on rice plants. Sensors 19: 375.
1462	Tan, L. T., and K. H. Tan. 2013. Alternative air vehicles for sterile insect technique aerial

- 1463 release. J. Appl. Entomol. 137: 126–141.
- 1464 Tang, Z., Y. Li, J. Zhao, and D. Hu. 2016. Research on trajectory planning algorithm of plant-
- 1465 protective UAV, pp. 110–113. *In* IEEE International Conference on Aircraft Utility
- 1466 Systems, 10-12 October 2016, Beijing, China.

1467	Teal Group. 2019. Teal Group predicts worldwide civil drone production will almost triple over
1468	the next decade. https://www.tealgroup.com/index.php/pages/press-releases/60-teal-
1469	group-predicts-worldwide-civil-drone-production-will-almost-triple-over-the-next-decade
1470	(accessed 18 June 2019).
1471	Teske, M. E., S. L. Bird, D. M. Esterly, T. B. Curbishley, S. L. Ray, and S. G. Perry. 2002.
1472	AgDRIFT®: a model for estimating near-field spray drift from aerial applications.
1473	Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 21: 659–671.
1474	Timewell, E. 2018. Dropped in for fruitless sex. The New Zealand Institute for Plant and Food
1475	Research. https://www.plantandfood.co.nz/page/news/media-release/story/dropped-in-
1476	for-fruitless-sex/ (accessed 8 January 2019).
1477	Tsai, MY., K. Elgethun, J. Ramaprasad, M. G. Yost, A. S. Felsot, V. R. Hebert, and R. A.
1478	Fenske. 2005. The Washington aerial spray drift study: modeling pesticide spray drift
1479	deposition from an aerial application. Atmos. Environ. 39: 6194–6203.
1480	Turlings, T. C. J., and M. Erb. 2018. Tritrophic interactions mediated by herbivore-induced
1481	plant volatiles: mechanisms, ecological relevance, and application potential. Annu. Rev.
1482	Entomol. 63: 433–452.
1483	UAV-IQ. 2018. An efficient approach to sustainable farming. <u>http://www.uaviq.farm/en/home/</u>
1484	(accessed 7 January 2019).
1485	Usha, K., and B. Singh. 2013. Potential applications of remote sensing in horticulture - a
1486	review. Sci. Hort. 153: 71–83.
1487	Van Lenteren, J. C., K. Bolckmans, J. Köhl, W. J. Ravensberg, and A. Urbaneja. 2018.
1488	Biological control using invertebrates and microorganisms: plenty of new opportunities.
1489	BioControl 63: 39–59.

1490 Vanegas, F., D. Bratanov, K. Powell, J. Weiss, and F. Gonzalez. 2018a. A novel me	ethodolog
--	-----------

- 1491 for improving plant pest surveillance in vineyards and crops using UAV-based
- 1492 hyperspectral and spatial data. Sensors 18: 260.
- 1493 Vanegas, F., D. Bratanov, J. Weiss, K. Powell, and F. Gonzalez. 2018b. Multi and
- 1494 hyperspectral UAV remote sensing: grapevine phylloxera detection in vineyards, pp. 1–9.
- 1495 *In* IEEE Aerospace Conference, 3-10 March 2018, Big Sky, MT.

1496 Verrelst, J., Z. Malenovský, C. Van der Tol, G. Camps-Valls, J.-P. Gastellu-Etchegorry, P.

- 1497 Lewis, P. North, and J. Moreno. 2019. Quantifying vegetation biophyscal variables
- from imaging spectroscopy data: a review on retrieval methods. Surv. Geophys. 40: 589–
 629.
- Villa, T., F. Gonzalez, B. Miljievic, Z. Ristovski, and L. Morawska. 2016. An overview of
 small unmanned aerial vehicles for air quality measurements: present applications and
 future prospectives. Sensors 16: 1072.
- Walter, A. J., and C. D. Difonzo. 2007. Soil potassium deficiency affects soybean phloem
 nitrogen and soybean aphid populations. Environ. Entomol. 36: 2–33.
- 1505 Wang, G., Y. Lan, H. Qi, P. Chen, A. Hewitt, and Y. Han. 2019a. Field evaluation of an
- unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV) sprayer: effect of spray volume on deposition and the
 control of pests and disease in wheat. Pest Manag. Sci 75: 1546–1555.

Wang, G., Y. Lan, H. Yuan, H. Qi, P. Chen, F. Ouyang, and Y. Han. 2019b. Comparison of
 spray deposition, control efficacy on wheat aphids and working efficiency in the wheat

- 1510 field of the unmanned aerial vehicle with boom sprayer and two conventional knapsack
- 1511 sprayers. Appl. Sci. 9: 218.

1512	Watts, A. C., V. G. Ambrosia, and E. A. Hinkley. 2012. Unmanned aircraft systems in remote
1513	sensing and scientific research: classification and considerations of use. Remote Sens. 4:
1514	1671.

Weldegergis, B. T., F. Zhu, E. H. Poelman, and M. Dicke. 2015. Drought stress affects plant
 metabolites and herbivore preference but not host location by its parasitoids. Oecologia

1517 177: 701–713.

West, K., and C. Nansen. 2014. Smart-use of fertilizers to manage spider mites (Acari:
Tetrachynidae) and other arthropod pests. Plant Sci. Today 1: 161–164.

1520 Weyermann, J., A. Damm, M. Kneubühler, and M. E. Schaepman. 2014. Correction of

- reflectance anisotropy effects of vegetation on airborne spectroscopy data and derived
 products. IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens. 52: 616–627.
- 1523 Whitehead, K., and C. H. Hugenholtz. 2014. Remote sensing of the environment with small

unmanned aircraft systems (UASs), part 1: a review of progress and challenges. J.

1525 Unmanned Veh. Syst. 2: 69–85.

1526 Whitehead, K., C. H. Hugenholtz, S. Myshak, O. Brown, A. LeClair, A. Tamminga, T. E.

1527 Barchyn, B. Moorman, and B. Eaton. 2014. Remote sensing of the environment with

small unmanned aircraft systems (UASs), part 2: scientific and commercial applications.

1529 J. Unmanned Veh. Syst. 2: 86–102.

1530 Willers, J. L., J. N. Jenkins, W. L. Ladner, P. D. Gerard, D. L. Boykin, K. B. Hood, P. L.

- 1531 McKibben, S. A. Samson, and M. M. Bethel. 2005. Site-specific approaches to cotton
- 1532 insect control. Sampling and remote sensing analysis techniques. Precis. Agric. 6: 431–
- 1533 452.

1534	Willers, J. L., M. R. Seal, and R. G. Luttrell. 1999. Remote sensing, line-intercept sampling
1535	for tarnished plant bugs (Heteroptera: Miridae) in mid-south cotton. J. Cotton Sci. 3:
1536	160–170.

- WinterGreen Research. 2016a. Agricultural drones market shares, strategies, and forecasts,
 worldwide, 2016 to 2022.
- WinterGreen Research. 2016b. Drones market shares, strategies, and forecasts, worldwide,
 2016 to 2022.
- 1541 Woods, N., I. P. Craig, G. Dorr, and B. Young. 2001. Spray drift of pesticides arising from
- aerial application in cotton. Journal of Environmental Quality 30: 697–701.
- 1543 Xfly Brasil. 2017. Lançador de Trichogramma Granel.
- 1544 <u>https://www.xflybrasil.com/trichogramma</u> (accessed 7 January 2019).
- 1545 Ximénez-Embún, M. G., P. Castañera, and F. Ortego. 2017. Drought stress in tomato
- 1546 increases the performance of adapted and non-adapted strains of *Tetranychus urticae*. J.
- 1547 Insect Physiol. 96: 73–81.
- 1548 Xiongkui, H., J. Bonds, A. Herbst, and J. Langenakens. 2017. Recent development of
- unmanned aerial vehicle for plant protection in East Asia. Int. J. Agric. Biol. Eng. 10: 18–
 30.
- 1551 Xu, H., Y. Ying, X. Fu, and S. Zhu. 2007. Near-infrared spectroscopy in detecting leaf miner
 1552 damage on tomato leaf. Biosyst. Eng. 96: 447–454.
- 1553 Xue, X., Y. Lan, Z. Sun, C. Chang, and W. C. Hoffmann. 2016. Develop an unmanned aerial
- vehicle based automatic aerial spraying system. Comput. Electron. Agric. 128: 58–66.
- 1555 Xue, J., and B. Su. 2017. Significant remote sensing vegetation indices: a review of
- developments and applications. J. Sensors 1353691.

1557	Yamaha. 2014a. Development of the R-50 industrial-use unmanned helicopters.
1558	https://global.yamaha-motor.com/about/history/stories/0028.html (accessed 7 January
1559	2019).
1560	Yamaha. 2014b. Industrial-use unmanned helicopters draw attention as solutions.
1561	https://global.yamaha-motor.com/about/history/stories/0044.html (accessed 7 January
1562	2019).
1563	Yamaha. 2016. Evolution from the RCASS - The original model that led to multipurpose
1564	capability. https://global.yamaha-motor.com/about/technology/electronic/010/ (accessed
1565	7 January 2019).
1566	Yang, CM., CH. Cheng, and RK. Chen. 2007. Changes in spectral characteristics of rice
1567	canopy infested with brown planthopper and leaffolder. Crop Sci. 47: 329–335.
1568	Yang, C., J. H. Everitt, J. M. Bradford, and D. Murden. 2009a. Comparison of airborne
1569	multispectral and hyperspectral imagery for estimating grain sorghum yield. Trans. Am.
1570	Soc. Agric. Eng. 52: 641–649.
1571	Yang, Z., M. N. Rao, N. C. Elliott, S. D. Kindler, and T. W. Popham. 2005. Using ground-
1572	based multispectral radiometry to detect stress in wheat caused by greenbug (Homoptera
1573	Aphididae) infestation. Comput. Electron. Agric. 47: 121–135.
1574	Yang, Z., M. N. Rao, N. C. Elliott, S. D. Kindler, and T. W. Popham. 2009b. Differentiating
1575	stress induced by greenbugs and Russian wheat aphids in wheat using remote sensing.
1576	Comput. Electron. Agric. 67: 64–70.
1577	Yang, S., X. Yang, and J. Mo. 2018. The application of unmanned aircraft systems to plant
1578	protection in China. Precis. Agric. 19: 278–292.

1579	Yuan, L., Y. Huang, R. W. Loraamm, C. Nie, J. Wang, and J. Zhang. 2014. Spectral analysis
1580	of winter wheat leaves for detection and differentiation of diseases and insects. Field
1581	Crops Res. 156: 199–207.
1582	Yuan, L., H. Zhang, Y. Zhang, C. Xing, and Z. Bao. 2017. Feasibility assessment of multi-
1583	spectral satellite sensors in monitoring and discriminating wheat diseases and insects.
1584	Optik 131: 598–608.
1585	Yun, G., M. Mazur, and Y. Pederii. 2017. Role of unmanned aerial vehicles in precision
1586	farming. Proc. Natl. Aviat. Univ. N1: 106–112.
1587	Zarco-Tejada, P. J., C. Camino, P. S. A. Beck, R. Calderon, A. Hornero, R. Hernández-
1588	Clemente, T. Kattenborn, M. Montes-Borrego, L. Susca, M. Morelli, V. Gonzalez-
1589	Dugo, P. R. J. North, B. B. Landa, D. Boscia, M. Saponari, and J. A. Navas-Cortes.
1590	2018. Previsual symptoms of Xylella fastidiosa infection revealed in spectral plant-trait

alterations. Nat Plants 4: 432–439.

Zehnder, G., G. Gurr, S. Kühne, M. Wade, S. Wratten, and E. Wyss. 2007. Arthropod pest
 management in organic crops. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 52: 57–80.

1555 management in organic crops. Anna. Rev. Entomor. 52. 57–60.

1594 Zhang, M., A. Hale, and E. Luedeling. 2008. Feasibility of using remote sensing techniques to

1595 detect spider mite damage in stone fruit orchards, pp. I323–I326. *In* IEEE International

1596 Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium, 7-11 July 2008, Boston, MA.

1597 Zhang, J., Y. Huang, L. Yuan, G. Yang, L. Chen, and C. Zhao. 2016. Using satellite

- 1598 multispectral imagery for damage mapping of armyworm (*Spodoptera frugiperda*) in
- 1599 maize at a regional scale. Pest Manag. Sci. 72: 335–348.

1600 Zhang, C., and J. M. Kovacs. 2012. The application of small unmanned aerial systems for

1601 precision agriculture: a review. Precis. Agric. 13: 693–712.

1602	Zhang, XO.	. YJ. Liang. Z.	-O. Oin	. DW. Li.	CY. Wei.	JJ. Wei. Y	YR. Li. and XP
1001	, X	, 1 , 0, 11, 11, 12, 12,	~· ~···	, ,,			I V I W III V III V I

- 1603 **Song. 2019.** Application of multi-rotor unmanned aerial vehicle application in
- 1604 management of stem borer (Lepidoptera) in sugarcane. Sugar Tech
- 1605 <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s12355-018-0695-y</u>
- 1606 Zhang, C., D. Walters, and J. M. Kovacs. 2014. Applications of low altitude remote sensing in
- agriculture upon farmers' request a case study in northeastern Ontario, Canada. PLoS
 One 9: e112894.
- 1609 Zhang, J., N. Wang, L. Yuan, F. Chen, and K. Wu. 2017. Discrimination of winter wheat
- 1610 disease and insect stresses using continuous wavelet features extracted from foliar
- spectral measurements. Biosyst. Eng. 162: 20–29.
- 1612 Zhao, T., B. Stark, Y. Chen, A. L. Ray, and D. Doll. 2017. Challenges in water stress
- quantification using small unmanned aerial system (sUAS): lessons from a growing
 season of almond. J. Intell. Robot. Syst. 88: 721–735.
- 1615 Zhao, J., D. Zhang, J. Luo, D. Wang, and W. Huang. 2012. Identifying leaf-scale wheat
- aphids using the near-ground hyperspectral pushbroom imaging spectrometer, pp. 275–
- 1617 282. *In* International Conference on Computer and Computing Technologies in
- 1618 Agriculture, 29-31 October 2011, Beijing, China.
- 1619 Zhou, Z., Y. Zang, X. Luo, Y. Lan, and X. Xue. 2013. Technology innovation development
- 1620 strategy on agricultural aviation industry for plant protection in China. Trans. Chin. Soc.
- 1621 Agric. Eng. 29: 1–10 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- 1622 Zhou, Z., Y. Zang, Z. Zhao, X. Luo, and X. Zhou. 2010. Canopy hyperspectral reflectance
- 1623 feature of rice caused by brown plant-hopper (*Nilaparvata lugens*) infestation, no.
- 1624 1009569. *In* ASABE Annual International Meeting, 20-23 June 2010, Pittsburgh, PA.
| 1625 | Tables |
|------|--------|
|------|--------|

1626	Table 1. Studies on drone-based hyperspectral, multispectral, and RGB (red green blue) remote
1627	sensing to detect arthropod-induced stress in crops and orchards.
1628	
1629	Table 2. Studies on aerial (manned aircraft) hyperspectral and multispectral remote sensing to
1630	detect arthropod-induced stress in crops and orchards.
1631	
1632	Table 3. Studies on orbital hyperspectral and multispectral remote sensing to detect arthropod-
1633	induced stress in crops and orchards.
1634	
1635	Table 4. Studies on ground-based hyperspectral and multispectral remote sensing to detect
1636	arthropod-induced stress in crops and orchards.
1637	
1638	Table 5. Studies on hyperspectral and multispectral remote sensing to distinguish various biotic
1639	and abiotic stressors in crops.
1640	
1641	Figures
1642	Figure 1. (a) State-of-the-art open-loop remote sensing paradigm and (b) closed-loop integrated
1643	pest management (IPM) paradigm envisioned in this paper.
1644	
1645	Figure 2. Number of articles published between 1998 and 2018 on the use of drones in
1646	agriculture. Shown is the number of publications for each year mentioning "drone", "UAV"
1647	(Unmanned Aerial Vehicle), or "UAS" (Unmanned Aerial System) and "agriculture". The words

1648 "bee", "honeybee", and "hive" were explicitly excluded from the search, to avoid including1649 publications on drones defined as male bees. Source: Web of Science.

1650

Figure 3. Spectra of soybean leaves at different spectral resolutions. (a) As recorded by a
handheld spectrometer with 1 nm spectral resolution (e.g. FieldSpec, ASD Inc., Boulder, CO).
(b) Resampled to the spectral resolution of a hyperspectral imaging spectrometer (3-4 nm
spectral resolution, e.g. OCI Imager, BaySpec, San Jose, CA). (c) Resampled to the spectral
resolution of a multispectral sensor (4 spectral bands, e.g. Parrot Sequoia, Parrot, Paris, France).

1656

Figure 4. Airborne remote sensing in California strawberry. Researchers from the University of
California Davis obtain canopy reflectance data of arthropod-infested plants with a dronemounted hyperspectral sensor in a commercial strawberry field.

1660

Figure 5. Prototype of BugBot predatory mite dispenser. BugBot, developed by mechanical and aerospace engineering students at the University of California Davis, is a drone-mounted dispenser that can distribute predatory mites, important biological control agents of spider mites. In the picture, the BugBot dispenses vermiculite, the mineral substrate the predators can be obtained in.