

Utah State University

DigitalCommons@USU

---

Ecology Center Publications

Ecology Center

---

10-17-2019

## Functional Traits Explain Amphibian Distribution in the Brazilian Atlantic Forest

Ricardo Lourenço-de-Moraes  
*Universidade Federal de Goiás*

Felipe S. Campos  
*Universitat de Barcelona*

Rodrigo B. Ferreira  
*Universidade Vila Velha*

Karen H. Beard  
*Utah State University*

Mirco Solé  
*Universidade Estadual de Santa Cruz*

Gustavo A. Llorente  
*Universitat de Barcelona*

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.usu.edu/eco\\_pubs](https://digitalcommons.usu.edu/eco_pubs)

 Part of the [Ecology and Evolutionary Biology Commons](#)

---

### Recommended Citation

Lourenço-de-Moraes, R, Campos, FS, Ferreira, RB, et al. Functional traits explain amphibian distribution in the Brazilian Atlantic Forest. *J Biogeogr.* 2019; 00: 1– 13. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.13727>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Ecology Center at DigitalCommons@USU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Ecology Center Publications by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@USU. For more information, please contact [digitalcommons@usu.edu](mailto:digitalcommons@usu.edu).



---

**Authors**

Ricardo Lourenço-de-Moraes, Felipe S. Campos, Rodrigo B. Ferreira, Karen H. Beard, Mirco Solé, Gustavo A. Llorente, and Rogério P. Bastos

1 **Functional traits explain amphibian distribution in the Brazilian Atlantic Forest**

2

3 **Functional traits explain amphibian distribution**

4

5 Ricardo Lourenço-de-Moraes<sup>1,2,3\*</sup>, Felipe S. Campos<sup>4,5</sup>, Rodrigo B. Ferreira<sup>6</sup>, Karen H.  
6 Beard<sup>7</sup>, Mirco Solé<sup>8</sup>, Gustavo A. Llorente<sup>4</sup>, Rogério P. Bastos<sup>3</sup>

7

8 <sup>1</sup>Programa de Pós-graduação em Ecologia e Monitoramento Ambiental (PPGEMA),  
9 Universidade Federal da Paraíba (UFPB), Campus IV, Litoral Norte, Av. Santa  
10 Elizabete s/n, Centro, 58297-000, Rio Tinto, PB-Brazil

11 <sup>2</sup>Programa de Pós-graduação em Ecologia de Ambientes Aquáticos Continentais (PEA),  
12 Universidade Estadual de Maringá, Av. Colombo 5790, Bloco G-90, 87020-900,  
13 Maringá, PR, Brazil

14 <sup>3</sup>Laboratório de Herpetologia e Comportamento Animal, Universidade Federal de  
15 Goiás, Campus Samambaia, 74001-970, Goiânia, GO, Brazil

16 <sup>4</sup>Departament de Biologia Evolutiva, Ecologia i Ciències Ambientals, Facultat de  
17 Biologia, Universitat de Barcelona, 08028, Barcelona, Spain

18 <sup>5</sup>NOVA Information Management School (NOVA IMS), Universidade Nova de Lisboa,  
19 Campus de Campolide, 1070-312 Lisboa, Portugal

20 <sup>6</sup>Laboratório de Ecologia da Herpetofauna Neotropical, Programa de Pós-graduação em  
21 Ecologia de Ecossistemas, Universidade Vila Velha, 29102-920, Vila Velha, ES, Brazil

22 <sup>7</sup>Department of Wildland Resources and the Ecology Center, Utah State University,  
23 84322-5230, Logan, UT, USA

24 <sup>8</sup>Departamento de Ciências Biológicas, Universidade Estadual de Santa Cruz, 45662-  
25 000, Ilhéus, BA, Brazil

26

27 \*Correspondence: Ricardo Lourenço-de-Moraes; Programa de Pós-graduação em  
28 Ecologia e Monitoramento Ambiental (PPGEMA), Universidade Federal da Paraíba,  
29 Campus IV, Litoral Norte Av. Santa Elizabete s/n, Centro, 58297-000, Rio Tinto, PB,  
30 Brazil

31 Email: ricardo\_lmoraes@hotmail.com; Phone: +(55)4432381821; Fax:  
32 (+55)4432381821

33

34 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

35 RLM, RPB, and RBF thank Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e  
36 Tecnológico for scholarships (CNPq 140710/2013-2; 152303/2016-2; 430195/2018-4).

37 RLM, FSC and RBF thank Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível  
38 Superior (CAPES 99999.001180/2013-04). We thank the Comissão Técnico-Científica

39 do Instituto Florestal, Estado de São Paulo (COTEC), Instituto Ambiental do Paraná-  
40 Estado do Paraná (IAP), and the Instituto Chico Mendes de Conservação da  
41 Biodiversidade for logistical support and collection licenses (ICMBio/SISBIO 30344  
42 and 44755).

43

#### 44 **Abstract**

45 **Aim:** Species distributions are one of the most important ways to understand how  
46 communities interact through macroecological relationships. The functional abilities of  
47 a species, such as its plasticity in various environments, can determine its distribution  
48 and beta diversity patterns. In this study, we evaluate how functional traits influence the  
49 distribution of amphibians, and hypothesize which functional traits explain the current  
50 pattern of amphibian species composition in the Atlantic Forest.

51 **Location:** Atlantic Forest, Brazil.

52 **Methods:** Using potential distributions of Brazilian Atlantic Forest of amphibian  
53 species, we analysed the relative importance of abiotic factors and species functional  
54 traits in explaining species richness, endemism (with permutation multivariate analysis),  
55 and beta diversity components (i.e. total, turnover and nestedness dissimilarities).

56 **Results:** Environmental variables explained 59.5% of species richness, whereas  
57 functional traits explained 15.8% of species distribution for Anuran and 88.8% for  
58 Gymnophiona. Body size had the strongest correlation with the species distribution.  
59 Results of nestedness dissimilarities showed that species with medium to large body  
60 size, and species that are adapted to living in open areas tended to disperse from west to  
61 east direction. Current forest changes directly affected beta diversity patterns (i.e. most  
62 species adapted to novel environments increased their ranges). Beta diversity  
63 partitioning between humid and dry forests showed decreased nestedness and increased  
64 turnover by increasing altitude in the southeastern region of the Atlantic Forest.

65 **Main conclusions:** Our study shows that functional traits directly influence the ability  
66 of the species to disperse. With the alterations of the natural environment, species more  
67 apt to these alterations have dispersed or increased their distribution, which  
68 consequently changes community structure. As result, there is nested species  
69 distribution patterns and homogenization of amphibian species composition throughout  
70 the Brazilian Atlantic Forest.

71

#### 72 **KEYWORDS**

73 Anura, beta diversity partitioning, conservation, functional abilities, Gymnophiona,  
74 spatial distribution

75

## 76 INTRODUCTION

77 Distribution patterns, dispersion processes, and permanence of species are some of the  
78 most studied topics by ecologists and biogeographers. The distribution of organisms is  
79 the basis of ecological studies and can be determined by biotic and abiotic factors  
80 (Hutchinson, 1957; Soberón, 2007). For example, current patterns of species  
81 distributions are linked to historical and contemporary dispersal, which is influenced by  
82 species characteristics (Ricklefs, 1987; Oberdorff et al., 1997; Svenning & Skov, 2007;  
83 Carnaval & Moritz, 2008; Carnaval et al., 2009; Baselga et al., 2012; Silva et al., 2014).  
84 On the other hand, habitat characteristics influence the spatial and temporal distributions  
85 of species (Hawkins, 2001; Ferreira et al., 2016; Figueiredo et al., 2019). Historical  
86 events can promote favourable environments for organisms, generating specializations,  
87 endemic species, high species richness and high phylogenetic and functional diversity  
88 (Pfrender et al., 1998; Batalha-Filho et al., 2013; Campos et al., 2017). Environmental  
89 variations generate habitat diversity, with different species assemblages determined by  
90 species dispersion capacity reflecting in the beta diversity patterns (Arnan et al., 2015).  
91 In the context, historical dispersal can be understood using environmental data of the  
92 localities at which species have been recorded and the geographical boundaries that  
93 restrict them (Gaston, 1991).

94 Because ectothermic species are largely limited by climatic zones (Pfrender et  
95 al., 1998), both dispersal limitation and climate variation can be critical determinants of  
96 species ranges (Baselga et al., 2012). Further, the distribution of a species is often  
97 related to species characteristics, such as body size and local abundance (Brown &  
98 Maurer, 1989; Gaston, 1990; Lawton, 1993). For example, small ectothermic species  
99 can dehydrate faster than large species (MacLean, 1985), and many are prey to  
100 vertebrates and invertebrates (Toledo et al., 2007; Wells, 2007). Thus, ectotherms rely  
101 upon their morphological and physiological adaptations to succeed in surviving and  
102 dispersing. In this sense, understanding functional traits (Jimenez-Valverde et al., 2015)  
103 may be key to understanding the potential distribution of the ectotherms (Díaz et al.,  
104 2007; Gómez-Rodrigues et al., 2015).

105 Geographical distributions of amphibians are strongly affected by the terrestrial  
106 and aquatic preferences of juveniles and adults, and their ability to disperse across the  
107 landscape (Patrick et al., 2008). Microclimate characteristics of forests and open areas  
108 can provide physiological and ecological constraints for many species because they  
109 influence forage, reproduction and survival (Huey, 1991). Such constraints strongly  
110 affect the causes and consequences of dispersal abilities as well as the nature of species  
111 interactions (McGill et al., 2006), including reproductive modes and antipredator  
112 mechanisms (Monkkonen & Reunanen, 1999; Fahrig, 2001; Ferreira et al., 2019).  
113 Given that short-term impacts of habitat loss increase with dispersal ability of

114 amphibians (Homan et al., 2004), there is a critical need to investigate the spatial  
115 mismatches between the distribution of species and environmental changes under  
116 functional-traits approaches (Cushman, 2006; Berg et al., 2010). Forest isolation is a  
117 critical factor in biological community structure and fundamentally important in a  
118 habitat fragmentation context (Dixo et al., 2009). Understanding beta diversity patterns  
119 and evaluating their different compositions (i.e. turnover or nested) along a latitudinal  
120 and longitudinal gradient can be an important tool for understanding the dispersal  
121 processes of these species (Baselga, 2008, 2010).

122         Knowing that amphibians are dispersal limited due to their morphological,  
123 physiological and ecological characteristics (Richter-Boix et al., 2007), we evaluated  
124 the beta diversity of amphibians in the Atlantic Forest, while assessing their potential  
125 dispersal based on functional traits. In this context, species typical in open areas can  
126 benefit from the alteration of forests due to the increase of their habitat area; and smaller  
127 species should be more associated with areas with milder temperatures (e.g. areas of  
128 high altitude) due to lower water loss rate to the environment. In this study, we tested  
129 the hypothesis that functional traits explain the current pattern of amphibian species  
130 composition in the Atlantic Forest. Depending on the functional trait (e.g. body size and  
131 ecological specializations) the species may have more ability to disperse and increase its  
132 distribution.

133

## 134 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### 135 **Study region**

136 The Brazilian Atlantic Forest has a latitudinal range extending into both tropical and  
137 subtropical regions (Myers et al., 2000). The longitudinal range extends from the coast  
138 to 1000 km inland, and the altitudinal range extends from 0 to 2000 m a.s.l. (Cavarzere  
139 & Silveira, 2012). Originally, this biome covered around 150 million ha with a wide  
140 range of climatic belts and vegetation formations (Tabarelli et al., 2005; Ribeiro et al.,  
141 2009). Currently only about 12% of the original biome remains (Ribeiro et al., 2009).  
142 This biome occurs across 14 states from the south to the northeast of Brazil (Fig. 1). To  
143 test the hypothesis that functional traits explain the current pattern of amphibian species  
144 composition (see Appendix 1, Fig 1.1) and understand the pattern of beta diversity in  
145 each study sites, we analysed differences in species compositions (richness and  
146 endemism) and mapped out potential dispersal routes. We delimited the study sites in  
147 relation to: i) geomorphological barriers (see Dominguez et al., 1987; Bittencourt et al.,  
148 2007); ii) abiotic barriers (Worldclim database; see below); iii) forest composition  
149 barriers (see Olson et al., 2001); iv) names based in political divisions; and v) size of  
150 area.

151           Given that each state has different environmental laws (e.g. IAP-Instituto  
152 Ambiental do Paraná- Paraná state, COTEC - Comissão Técnico-Científica do Instituto  
153 Florestal, São Paulo state, INEMA - Instituto do Meio Ambiente e Recursos Hídricos,  
154 Bahia state), we used spatial data that allow different conservation strategies at local  
155 scales (i.e. environmental state policies). Two states have all of their territory included  
156 in determining the composition of species, RJ (Rio de Janeiro) and ES (Espírito Santo),  
157 due to their smaller sizes, similar forest composition and abiotic features. Four states  
158 have all of their territory separated into eastern and western sections, because they are  
159 large and have different forest composition (eastern rain forest, western seasonal forest):  
160 EPR (eastern Paraná), WPR (western Paraná), ESC (eastern Santa Catarina), WSC  
161 (western Santa Catarina), ERS (eastern Rio Grande do Sul), WRS (western Rio Grande  
162 do Sul); and the “SMGM” refers to four connected states in seasonal forests (includes  
163 western of São Paulo-S, north Mato Grosso do Sul-M, south Goiás -G and extreme  
164 south Minas Gerais - M); MS refers to the south-western Mato Grosso do Sul . The  
165 Pernambuco, Sergipe, Ceará, Paraíba and Rio Grande do Norte states were included in  
166 region N (Northeast), due to their smaller territories inside this biome, and similar forest  
167 composition and abiotic features. We also separated two states in regions north and  
168 south, due to their large territory, and different forest composition and abiotic features –  
169 SBA (south Bahia), NBA (north Bahia), SMG (south Minas Gerais) and NMG (north  
170 Minas Gerais). In total, we assessed 16 study sites (see Fig. 2).

171

### 172 **Species distribution data**

173 We included species occurrence records available through the Global Biodiversity  
174 Information Facility (GBIF: <http://www.gbif.org>), and added range maps of each  
175 species from the IUCN Red List of Threatened Species (IUCN, 2017:  
176 <http://www.iucnredlist.org/technical-documents/spatial-data>). In addition, we conducted  
177 acoustic and visual nocturnal/diurnal amphibian survey (Crump & Scott Jr., 1994;  
178 Zimmerman, 1994) in 11 Protected Areas (PAs), from the southern to the northeastern  
179 Brazil (see Appendix 1, Fig. 1.2). We followed Frost (2019) for the amphibian  
180 nomenclature with exception of the species synonymized as *Allobates olfersioides*  
181 which we consider to be distinct species (*A. olfersioides*, *A. alagoanus* and *A. capixaba*  
182 see Forti et al., 2017).

183           We used ArcGIS 10.1 software (ESRI, 2011) to build presence/absence matrices  
184 from the species distribution data by superimposing a grid system with cells of 0.1  
185 latitude/longitude degrees, creating a network with 10,359 grid cells. We used the  
186 “Spatial Join” ArcGIS toolbox to transform species' spatial occurrences in matrices,  
187 matching rows from the join features to the target features based on their relative spatial  
188 locations. Then, we combined vector files based on expert knowledge of the species'

189 ranges and forest remnant polygons into an overall coverage for species distribution  
190 modelling. We only considered spatial occurrences by those species where the  
191 distribution data intersected at least a grid cell. We used forest remnant data to meet the  
192 habitat patch requirements based on visual interpretation at a scale of 1:50,000,  
193 delimiting more than 260,000 forest remnants with a minimum mapping area of 0.3  
194 km<sup>2</sup>. Therefore, we considered a species present in a cell if its spatial range intersected  
195 more than 0.3 km<sup>2</sup>. We also used the “Count Overlapping Polygons” ArcGIS toolbox to