The genetic variations associated with time to aseptic loosening after total joint arthroplasty

- 4
- 5 Sulev Koks, PhD, MD^{1,2}, David Wood, PhD, MD³, Ene Reimann PhD⁴, Friedemann Awiszus,
- 6 PhD⁵, Christoph H. Lohmann PhD, MD⁵, Jessica Bertrand PhD⁵, Ele Prans PhD⁴, Katre Maasalu
- 7 PhD, MD^{6,7}, Aare Märtson PhD, MD^{6,7}
- 8 ¹ Centre for Molecular Medicine and Innovative Therapeutics, Murdoch University, Murdoch,
- 9 WA, Australia
- 10 ² The Perron Institute for Neurological and Translational Science, Nedlands, WA, Australia;
- ³ The University of Western Australia, Nedlands, WA, Australia
- ⁴ Department of Pathophysiology, University of Tartu, Tartu, Estonia;
- ¹³ ⁵ Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Otto-von-Guericke University, Magdeburg, Germany;
- ⁶ Department of Traumatology and Orthopaedics, University of Tartu, Tartu, Estonia;
- 15 ⁷ Clinic of Traumatology and Orthopaedics, Tartu University Hospital, Tartu, Estonia
- 16
- 17
- 18 Corresponding author:
- 19 Sulev Koks
- 20 Centre for Molecular Medicine and Innovative Therapeutics
- 21 Murdoch University
- 22 90 South Street
- 23 Murdoch 6150, WA, Australia
- 24 sulev.koks@murdoch.edu.au
- 25 Phone +61 8 9360 6270
- 26

27 Abstract

28 Background

Total joint arthroplasty (TJA) is one of the most frequent surgical procedures performed in modern hospitals, and aseptic loosening is the most common indication for revision surgeries.
We conducted a systemic exploration of potential genetic determinants for early aseptic loosening.

33 Methods

34 Data from 423 patients undergoing TJA were collected and analysed. Three analytical groups 35 were formed based on joint replacement status. Group 1 were TJA patients without 36 symptoms of aseptic loosening of at least one year, group 2 were patients with primary TJA 37 and group 3 were patients receiving revision surgery because of aseptic loosening. Genome-38 wide genotyping comparing genotype frequencies between patients with and without aseptic 39 loosening (group 3 versus groups 1 and 2) was conducted. A case-control association analysis 40 and linear modelling was applied to identify the impact of the identified genes on implant 41 survival with time to the revision as an outcome measure.

42 Results

We identified 52 SNPs with a genome-wide suggestive p-value less than 10⁻⁵ to be associated with the implant loosening. The most remarkable odds ratios were found with the variations in the *IFIT2/IFIT3* (OR 21.6), *CERK* (OR 12.6) and *PAPPA* (OR 14.0) genes. Variations in the genotypes of four SNPs - rs115871127, rs16823835, rs13275667 and rs2514486 - predicted variability in the time to aseptic loosening. The time to aseptic loosening varied from 8 to 16 years depending on the genotype, indicating a substantial effect of genetic variance. **Conclusion**

50 Development of the aseptic loosening is associated with several genetic variations and we 51 identified at least four SNPs with a significant effect on the time for loosening. These data 52 could help to develop a personalised approach for TJA and loosening management.

53

54 Keywords: arthroplasty, replacement, osteoarthritis, joint prosthesis, aseptic loosening,
 55 GWAS

57 Introduction

58 Aseptic loosening is a significant complication following prosthetic arthroplasty, which 59 reduces implant survival and is a leading cause of revision surgery [1]. Aseptic loosening, or 60 adverse immune reaction (AIR), is a complex reaction thought to be driven by a chronic 61 immune activation that leads to osteolysis [2]. The probability of developing an osteolytic 62 response is likely to be a combination of environmental and genetic factors, since 63 susceptibility to osteolysis is variable between individuals with identical implant types [3]. 64 Environmental factors (such as implant material), in combination with genetic susceptibility, may trigger an immune response to the implant, resulting in implant-induced osteolysis. 65

The mechanism of immune system activation and osteolysis appears to differ depending on the implant material. Metal-on-metal (MoM) constructs are thought to generate small metallic wear debris, which typically triggers a lymphocyte-mediated immunological response [4, 5], although activation of innate immunity that involves Toll-like receptors has also been demonstrated [6]. Metal-on-polymer (MoP) devices generate both small and sizeable polymeric wear debris that triggers an innate immune response through the Toll-like receptor pathway and periprosthetic tissue activation [4, 7].

In particular, the level of polyethylene (PE) wear particles correlates strongly with the degree of osteolysis [7].Although the cross-linked bearing surface of MoP implants was designed to reduce the amount of wear debris, and are generally better tolerated than MoM implants, PE particles are still capable of stimulating an inflammatory, pro-catabolic phenotype that can result in the development of osteolysis in a similar manner to MoM implants [7, 8]. Therefore, according to our present understanding, wear debris from any type of implant induces a multifaceted immune response with the generation of osteolysis that leads to aseptic loosening [9]. This simplistic model does not account for genetic susceptibility and does not explain the individual differences between patients in their risk of developing aseptic loosening.

84 Only a few studies have addressed the role of genetic variability in the development 85 of aseptic loosening. In one of the early studies, SNPs in the OPG and RANK genes were found 86 to have a positive association [3]. Subsequent research also identified the positive 87 associations with MBL, MMP-1 and VDR genes [10, 11]. Significant associations with GNAS1 88 and TNF genes were initially described [12, 13], although additional analyses found no 89 association between aseptic loosening and GNAS1, or with BCL2, CALCA and P2RX7 genes [14-90 16]. These studies indicate that a genetic influence for aseptic loosening exists, but the results 91 are not yet convincing.

Taken together, the role of genetic susceptibility and detailed mechanisms of aseptic loosening are still unclear. The HypOrth consortium, consisting of 8 partners from 6 different EU member states and Switzerland, was established to develop a better understanding of the mechanisms underlying aseptic loosening and the development of predictive biomarkers. The present study is a part of the HypOrth project and aims to identify genetic markers associated with aseptic loosening.

98

100

101 Material and methods

102 Study design and participants

103 Ethical Review boards at the University of Magdeburg and the University of Tartu approved 104 the study protocols (IRB No 150/12 and Tartu No 227/T-14). Subjects participating in this 105 study provided informed consent and 423 patients were recruited between September of 106 2013 and December of 2015. General epidemiological data for the patient cohorts are 107 presented in Table 1. Participants were divided into three groups: patients with no symptoms 108 of aseptic loosening who received an endoprosthesis at least one year previously (Group 1 109 n=156); patients undergoing primary endoprosthesis surgery (Group 2 n=163); and patients 110 receiving revision surgery because of aseptic loosening (Group 3 n=104).

111 After quality control and data filtering, analysis was performed on the remaining 156 112 subjects in Group 1, 133 subjects in Group 2 and 97 subjects in Group 3. Blood samples were 113 collected from each patient before surgery. Study data was collected and managed using the 114 REDCap (Research Electronic Data Capture) electronic data capture tools hosted at the 115 University of Tartu [17]. REDCap is a secure, web-based application designed to support data 116 capture for research studies, providing: 1) an intuitive interface for validated data entry; 2) 117 audit trails for tracking data manipulation and export procedures; 3) automated export 118 procedures for seamless data downloads to common statistical packages; and 4) procedures 119 for importing data from external sources.

120

121 Sample preparation and genotyping

DNA was purified from the blood samples at the University of Tartu and the University of
 Magdeburg using standard protocols. Genotyping was performed with an Illumina Infinium

PsychArray v 1.3 array at the Genomics & Biomarker Core Facility at the Institute of Psychiatry,
 Maudsley Biomedical Research Centre. This array contains around 600,000 markers to
 provide high-throughput genotyping. After quality control, the association analysis was
 performed.

128

129 Genome-wide association analysis

A genome-wide association study of 97 cases and 289 controls was carried out using PLINK software. Survival analysis and Cow regression was performed with statistical environment R (https://www.r-project.org). Linear modelling was performed using SPSS. After quality control, a statistical analysis was performed in two stages: the association analysis was performed first, followed by linear modelling of implant survival. During the association analysis, Group 3 was tested against Groups 1 and 2, which were used as controls. In linear modelling of implant survival, only Group 3 data were used.

Survival analysis for the time from primary surgery until revision surgery was applied.
Kaplan-Meier survival curves were used to compare genotype effects on survival differences.
Cox proportional hazard model was used for genotype-related regression analysis of the
implant survival.

142 Results

143 We initially identified 52 SNPs to be associated with aseptic loosening with at least a 144 suggestive genome-wide significance (p-value below 10⁻⁵; Table 1 and Figure 1). Several hot 145 spots are visible on the Manhattan plot on chromosomes 2, 9, 14 and 22. The majority of SNPs 146 were intergenic or from genes with no known function. However, several SNPs were 147 identified in genes related to bone remodelling and inflammation. The highest odds ratio (OR) 148 was for interferon-induced protein with tetratricopeptide repeats 2 (IFIT2) and 3 (IFIT3) genes 149 (OR 21.6), followed by pappalysin 1 (PAPPA: OR 14.01) and ceramide kinase (CERK: OR 12.64). 150 We next analysed whether the identified SNPs were associated with implant survival. 151 We identified 32 SNPs related to time to revision surgery (Table 2). We used regression 152 modelling and survival analysis to determine the impact of genotype on time to revision 153 surgery. Statistically significant differences between genotype and time to revision were 154 found for SNPs rs115871127, rs16823835, rs13275667 and rs2514486 (Table 3, Figure 2). The 155 period of implant survival between genotypes AA and AG for SNP rs115871127 differed by 156 approximately ten years. For SNP rs16823835, the AA genotype was associated with an 157 average implant survival of 8.3 years, the AG genotype with a survival of 12.2 years and the 158 GG genotype with a survival of 15.5 years from primary surgery to revision, indicating a clear 159 linear increment in the survival of the implant. Implant survival times for SNP rs13275667 160 genotype AA was 13.2 years, genotype AG was 8.4 years and genotype GG was 8 years from 161 primary surgery. Finally, for SNP rs2514486, the implant lasted for 13 years in patients with a 162 GG genotype, 9 years with a GA genotype and 7 years for AA genotypes.

163 The statistically significant differences were also evident in the Kaplan-Meier survival 164 analysis, indicating the involvement of these four SNPs in implant survival (Figure 3). Cox 165 regression confirmed statistically significant differences in survival times were related to the

- 166 difference in the genotypes of the four SNPs with significantly different hazard ratios (HRs)
- 167 (Figure 4). Rs115871127, located on chromosome 4, has a HR of 19.8, indicating its potential
- 168 influence on development of aseptic loosening. The other three SNPs had lower, although still
- 169 statistically significant, HRs from 3.8 to 4.3.

171 Discussion

172 Aseptic loosening is the most common reason for the failure of an artificial joint prosthesis 173 and as such is a significant factor for requirement of revision surgery [18]. Different 174 pathogenetic models exist, indicating that autoimmunity, particle material and size, and bone 175 remodelling all play a role. Autoimmune responses to the implants have been found to be 176 strongly associated with genetic variations that can explain the TJA outcome differences 177 between patients [3]. However, whether a potential genetic predisposition to aseptic 178 loosening exists has not been well studied. Only a few studies have addressed the problem, 179 and these studies have only analysed associations with selected genes [12-15, 19].

In the present study, we performed a genome-wide association study to find genes and SNPs that may be associated with the development of aseptic loosening. We identified 52 SNPs with a suggestive genome-wide significance. Using linear modelling and survival analysis, we identified four SNPs with a highly significant effect on time to revision surgery. These four SNPs may be useful in the future as predictive genetic markers to identify patients with an increased risk for aseptic loosening after TJA.

186 The identification of several SNPs with high odds ratios related to aseptic loosening is 187 one of our main findings. While the function of the most of these genes is not known, the 188 finding itself is remarkable. These SNPs designate regions in the human genome that confer 189 susceptibility to aseptic loosening. The Manhattan plot (Figure 1) suggests the presence of 190 clusters of aseptic loosening susceptibility regions. The most prominent region seems to be 191 on chromosome 9 (6 SNPs), followed by chromosome 14 (at least 2 associated SNPs). 192 Clustering of these SNPs is indirect evidence that the genetic association with aseptic 193 loosening has a functional consequence.

194 Of the genes with a known function, CERK encodes ceramide kinase, an enzyme that 195 is involved in ceramide metabolism and inflammation [20]. The CERK protein is involved in a 196 newly identified pathway regulating the anti-proliferative action of vitamin D3 [21]. PAPPA 197 encodes pappalysin 1, a metalloprotease involved in the homeostasis of insulin-like growth 198 factors [22]. Pappalysin 1 is involved in bone formation and has been implicated in the 199 pathogenesis of Ewing sarcoma [23, 24]. The list of the most significant GWAS hits also 200 included the IFIT2 and IFIT3 genes that are involved in the regulation of innate immune 201 response and inflammation [25]. While there is no direct evidence that the genes identified 202 in this study have a role in the development of aseptic loosening, these genes do have a 203 function in bone remodelling and immune regulation and deserve attention as indicators of 204 potentially significant and undiscovered pathways that may be future targets for therapeutic 205 intervention.

206 Previous studies have identified associations with genetic variations in TGFB1, TNF, 207 BCL2, GNAS1, CALCA and other genes with pre-existing molecular evidence in bone metabolism or immune regulation [14, 15, 19]. These studies tested the hypothesis that 208 209 particular genes are involved in aseptic loosening and focused on the selected list of genes 210 based on existing information of their role in the regulation of osteogenesis [26, 27]. Selected 211 molecular targets are involved in the balance between osteolytic and osteogenic processes. 212 For instance, loss-of-function polymorphisms in the P2RX7 gene could impair osteogenesis, 213 and a significant association between genetic variation in P2RX7 and THA failure has been 214 found [16]. BCL2 regulates proliferation and apoptosis in normal tissues, but it is also involved 215 in osteolysis induced by wear particles [28]. The promoter of BCL2 has polymorphisms 216 regulating gene activity, and these polymorphisms have been studied in the context of aseptic 217 loosening [15, 29].

Similarly, *CALCA* encodes alpha-CGRP and calcitonin, which are involved in bone remodelling and particle-induced osteolysis [26, 30]. A previous study that tested whether both of these genes were associated with aseptic loosening did not find an association [15]. A more recent study identified a significant connection between *BCL2* polymorphisms and time to aseptic loosening [19]. *CALCA* and *BCL2* are good examples that even functionallyjustified genes do not provide unambiguous associations in genetic association paradigms, illustrating the complexity of genome function.

The second main finding of our study was the identification of statistically significant SNPs that have an impact on the time to revision surgery, or survival of the implant. The survival differences were remarkable, with differences of between 5 and 10 years. All these SNPs had very high hazard ratios (HR). Three SNPs had HRs of approximately 4, and the fourth SNP had a HR of 19. These numbers are indicative of the enormous impact that given SNPs have on the risk of development of aseptic loosening.

231 The present study has several limitations. One of the limitations is the small sample 232 size, which is not sufficiently powered to identify SNPs with smaller effects. Nevertheless, 233 larger genetic effects on aseptic loosening were still evident, with several SNPs identified that 234 were associated with a higher risk for the loss of implant. An additional study, with a larger 235 sample size and additional international partners, is necessary. A new study would also serve 236 as an independent validation of the findings presented here. The other limitation is the lack 237 of the functional validation of the results. SNPs with statistically significant effects should 238 have an apparent functional role, but a functional analysis was outside the scope of this study. 239 Finally, the small sample size did not allow stratification by implant material or by any other 240 clinically relevant characteristics that could be important in predicting implant survival.

241 Conclusions

In conclusion, in the current genome-wide association analysis, several genes were found to be significantly associated with aseptic loosening, with the SNPs identified in these genes showing a significant impact on implant survival. The results presented here suggest that genetic susceptibility may have a significant impact on the outcomes of the TJA and provide clear evidence for the existence of genotypes that could be utilised as markers for personalised management of TJA. However, further validation studies with independent samples are needed.

249

250 Acknowledgements

251 This work was supported by the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme

252 (FP7/2007-2013) under grant agreement no. 602398 (Hyporth), by institutional research

grants IUT20–46 of the Estonian Ministry of Education and Research and by the H2020 ERA-

chair grant (agreement 668989, project Transgeno).

255

256 Contribution of authors

SK performed analysis and wrote manuscript; DW helped with drafting of manuscript, FA helped to perform analysis; CHL conceived the study, organized clinical sampling in Germany, discussed results, helped with writing; JB helped with samples and analysis, discussed results; EP organised sample collection and purification, laboratory analysis; ER helped with laboratory analysis and sample collection; KM helped with clinical sampling; AM conceived the study and organised clinical sampling in Estonia

263

- 264 Data availability statement
- 265 Original data are available on request.

266 Competing interests

- 267 Authors do not have competing interests related to the present study.
- 268

269 Table 1. Demographics of the study groups.

Male : Female ratio	167 : 256
Group 1 : Group 2 : Group 3 ratio before QC	156 : 163 : 104
Group 1 : Group 2 : Group 3 ratio after QC	156 : 133 : 97
Magdeburg : Tartu sites	220 : 203
Mean age during revision ± SD	68.9 ± 10.3
Mean age during primary surgery ± SD	58.9 ± 11.9
Mean age during inclusion to study ± SD	68.16 ± 8.9
Mean duration to revision ± SD, years	10.1 ± 6.55

275 276

5	Table 2. Association with the aseptic loosening for 52 SNPs with suggestive p-values
6	

SNP	Chromo	Position	Major/Minor	Gene	Minor Allele	P-Value	Odds
	some		Allele		Frequency		Ratio
rs10131142	14	21231661	C/A	LOC107984671	0.09	4.08E-07	6.47
exm1619312	22	47108189	G/A	CERK	0.06	7.51E-07	12.64
rs10813300	9	30567014	A/C		0.44	1.53E-06	2.27
rs1885318	14	21244696	A/C	LOC107984671	0.29	2.00E-06	2.53
psy_rs72739140	9	85363649	G/A		0.08	2.32E-06	6.84
rs10969796	9	30619070	A/G		0.27	2.49E-06	2.57
1KG_2_39262640	2	39262640	D/I	SOS1	0.04	4.48E-06	NA
exm2259311	9	30647718	C/A		0.40	5.54E-06	2.21
rs1033216	9	30647718	C/A		0.40	5.54E-06	2.21
rs10962594	9	16791743	A/G	BNC2	0.21	6.97E-06	2.74
rs4699193	4	106601989	A/G	ARHGEF38	0.14	1.25E-05	3.30
psy_rs149989188	9	118954442	A/G	РАРРА	0.05	1.27E-05	14.01
rs13185834	5	10857683	C/A		0.42	1.43E-05	2.11
rs1538294	1	246146328	G/A	SMYD3	0.32	1.72E-05	2.22
rs10813260	9	30467975	G/A		0.35	1.94E-05	2.17
rs2687386	4	33288145	C/A		0.28	1.97E-05	2.30
rs2488552	9	136669004	A/G	VAV2	0.44	2.07E-05	2.06
rs3849892	9	30679704	A/G		0.25	2.33E-05	2.39
psy_rs72797226	5	151974836	C/A		0.34	3.59E-05	2.12
psy_rs72739291	9	101937605	G/A		0.06	3.94E-05	6.29
rs2377092	12	7960723	G/A		0.11	4.16E-05	3.57
exm840504	10	91066446	G/C	IFIT2	0.04	4.35E-05	21.60
exm840569	10	91099466	G/C	IFIT3	0.04	4.35E-05	21.60
rs7027645	9	30698142	G/A		0.24	4.66E-05	2.32
rs2795050	1	230504875	C/A	PGBD5	0.31	5.09E-05	2.14
rs2687463	4	33240041	A/G		0.29	5.15E-05	2.17
rs338935	1	58853893	A/C		0.25	5.55E-05	2.27
exm1387498	18	50683727	A/T	DCC	0.05	5.57E-05	7.80
kgp5187881	5	166543705	A/C		0.14	5.77E-05	2.95
rs9634217	12	95823231	A/C		0.22	5.79E-05	2.37
exm534227	6	32036788	G/A	TNXB	0.05	5.97E-05	9.32
exm2260300	14	25977770	C/A		0.05	5.97E-05	9.32
rs2280302	9	97349520	A/G	FBP2	0.07	6.03E-05	4.92
psy_rs182382303	19	29695986	A/G		0.07	6.03E-05	4.92
rs12486758	3	20907259	G/A		0.12	6.07E-05	3.25
rs4396955	4	169845720	G/A	PALLD	0.04	6.09E-05	0.24
kgp10945711	4	169850155	A/C		0.04	6.09E-05	0.24
rs17054604	4	169909593	G/A	CBR4	0.04	6.09E-05	0.24
rs6940071	6	22404476	A/G		0.31	6.12E-05	0.50
rs2059764	12	11503205	G/A		0.51	6.75E-05	1.94
kgp11611891	12	87552248	A/G		0.15	6.87E-05	2.75
rs7223173	17	18805887	G/A	PRPSAP2	0.30	7.11E-05	0.50
kgp971099	12	86996435	A/C	MGAT4C	0.18	7.33E-05	2.55
rs7966441	12	41981726	A/C		0.18	7.36E-05	2.58
rs562445	1	166730812	G/A		0.09	7.50E-05	0.36
exm2262088	6	165493183	A/G		0.20	7.52E-05	0.46
psy_rs13095942	3	65278571	A/G		0.11	7.56E-05	3.39
rs9671539	14	21203159	G/A		0.11	7.56E-05	3.39
rs920233	3	127295084	G/A	TPRA1	0.37	8.56E-05	2.01
rs16924281	8	59845715	G/A	ТОХ	0.11	8.98E-05	3.23
rs1029723	17	54767548	A/G		0.44	9.03E-05	1.94
rs9509986	13	22630930	C/A		0.26	9.20E-05	2.19

280
Table 3. SNPs predicting implant survival in linear model.

SNP	Chromo	Position	Gene	Beta	R ²	Т	P-Value
	some						
rs115871127	4	34860320		12.09	0.22	5.24	9.83E-07
rs16823835	2	145288341	LOC101928455	3.99	0.20	4.93	3.48E-06
rs13275667	8	5092970		-3.07	0.19	-4.69	9.19E-06
rs2514486	11	80975989		-3.01	0.19	-4.68	9.35E-06
rs10859419	12	93452175	LOC643339	4.82	0.18	4.59	1.37E-05
rs7190447	16	16289126	ABCC6	5.32	0.18	4.58	1.43E-05
rs6894296	5	179532944	RASGEF1C	3.53	0.18	4.55	1.58E-05
rs1393097	5	28286502		3.31	0.17	4.46	2.26E-05
rs6798584	3	8548827	LMCD1	3.12	0.17	4.42	2.64E-05
exm567347	6	97414949	KLHL32	7.21	0.17	4.40	2.84E-05
exm1066643	13	44411432	CCDC122	4.62	0.17	4.40	2.84E-05
rs7043949	9	2651809	VLDLR	9.42	0.17	4.38	3.04E-05
rs2063572	9	2663639		9.42	0.17	4.38	3.04E-05
rs6540172	16	88151971		3.37	0.17	4.37	3.13E-05
rs11126167	2	68115179		-3.22	0.16	-4.33	3.70E-05
rs1503236	2	68138786		-3.04	0.16	-4.29	4.27E-05
rs6704741	2	68149455		-3.08	0.16	-4.28	4.40E-05
rs429963	12	117170989	C12orf49	-3.01	0.16	-4.22	5.62E-05
rs4798656	18	8579817		2.93	0.16	4.21	5.71E-05
rs4959299	6	4492079		-3.39	0.16	-4.21	5.73E-05
rs9392718	6	5831567		-2.82	0.16	-4.21	5.86E-05
rs7203013	16	6964963	RBFOX1	3.50	0.16	4.20	6.10E-05
rs797827	7	83583757		2.83	0.16	4.19	6.33E-05
rs10515721	5	154527802		6.89	0.15	4.17	6.71E-05
rs67411719	19	3052907	AES	5.54	0.15	4.15	7.20E-05
rs2012125	19	1630341	TCF3	3.36	0.15	4.12	7.99E-05
rs768082	11	29037522		3.27	0.15	4.12	8.10E-05
exm1100436	14	50788213	ATP5S	-2.93	0.15	-4.10	8.82E-05
rs2275592	14	50788213	ATP5S	-2.93	0.15	-4.10	8.82E-05
exm1100483	14	50799126	CDKL1	-2.93	0.15	-4.10	8.82E-05
rs13282938	8	3196760	CSMD1	3.71	0.15	4.10	8.83E-05
rs1052651	12	96052721	NTN4	2.87	0.15	4.07	9.74E-05

282

281	
204	

285 Legends to the figures

- 286
- 287 **Figure 1**. Manhattan plot of the GWAS results. Ten of the most significant SNPs are labelled.
- 288 The majority of SNPs are in the chromosome 9.

289

- 290 Figure 2. Time to revision surgery (implant survival) in years, in relation to the genotypes of
- 291 SNPs rs16823835, rs2514486, rs13275667 and rs115871127. A clear linear relationship is
- 292 evident, and all SNPs had a statistically significant effect over the implant survival.

293

- 294 **Figure 3.** Kaplan-Meier survival graphs indicating relationship between genotype and time to
- 295 develop aseptic loosening.
- 296
- 297 **Figure 4.** The Cox regression modelling identified significant hazard ratios in development of
- aseptic loosening related to the genotypes of four SNPs.



Implant survival and rs2514486 genotype Implant survival and rs16823835 genotype 13 12 4 Implant survival, years Implant survival, years 7 12 10 10 б ω GG GA GG AA AG AA genotype genotype Implant survival and rs13275667 genotype Implant survival and rs115871127 genotype 13 20 12 Implant survival, years 18 Implant survival, years 7 16 10 4 12 ი 10 ω AA AG GG AA AG

genotype





300 References

- 301 1. Goodman SB. Does the immune system play a role in loosening and osteolysis of total
- 302 joint replacements? Journal of long-term effects of medical implants 6(2): 91, 1996
- 303 2. Farber A, Chin R, Song Y, Huie P, Goodman S. Chronic antigen-specific immune-system
- 304 activation may potentially be involved in the loosening of cemented acetabular
- 305 components. Journal of biomedical materials research 55(3): 433, 2001
- 306 3. Malik MH, Bayat A, Jury F, Ollier WE, Kay PR. Genetic susceptibility to hip arthroplasty
- failure--association with the RANK/OPG pathway. International orthopaedics 30(3): 177,2006
- 309 4. Veruva SY, Steinbeck MJ, Toth J, Alexander DD, Kurtz SM. Which design and biomaterial
- 310 factors affect clinical wear performance of total disc replacements? A systematic review.
- 311 Clinical orthopaedics and related research 472(12): 3759, 2014
- 312 5. Willert HG, Buchhorn GH, Fayyazi A, Flury R, Windler M, Koster G, Lohmann CH. Metal-on-
- 313 metal bearings and hypersensitivity in patients with artificial hip joints. A clinical and
- histomorphological study. The Journal of bone and joint surgery American volume 87(1): 28,2005
- 316 6. Pajarinen J, Jamsen E, Konttinen YT, Goodman SB. Innate immune reactions in septic and
- 317 aseptic osteolysis around hip implants. Journal of long-term effects of medical implants
- 318 24(4): 283, 2014
- 319 7. Atkins GJ, Haynes DR, Howie DW, Findlay DM. Role of polyethylene particles in peri-
- 320 prosthetic osteolysis: A review. World journal of orthopedics 2(10): 93, 2011
- 321 8. Ormsby RT, Solomon LB, Yang D, Crotti TN, Haynes DR, Findlay DM, Atkins GJ. Osteocytes
- 322 respond to particles of clinically-relevant conventional and cross-linked polyethylene and
- metal alloys by up-regulation of resorptive and inflammatory pathways. Acta biomaterialia87: 296, 2019
- 325 9. Cobelli N, Scharf B, Crisi GM, Hardin J, Santambrogio L. Mediators of the inflammatory
- 326 response to joint replacement devices. Nature reviews Rheumatology 7(10): 600, 2011
- 327 10. Malik MH, Bayat A, Jury F, Kay PR, Ollier WE. Genetic susceptibility to total hip
- 328 arthroplasty failure--positive association with mannose-binding lectin. The Journal of
- 329 arthroplasty 22(2): 265, 2007
- 330 11. Godoy-Santos AL, D'Elia CO, Teixeira WJ, Cabrita HB, Camanho GL. Aseptic loosening of
- total hip arthroplasty: preliminary genetic investigation. The Journal of arthroplasty 24(2):
- 332 297, 2009
- 333 12. Bachmann HS, Hanenkamp S, Kornacki B, Frey UH, Bau M, Siffert W, Wedemeyer C.
- 334 Gender-dependent association of the GNAS1 T393C polymorphism with early aseptic
- 335 loosening after total hip arthroplasty. Journal of orthopaedic research : official publication
- 336 of the Orthopaedic Research Society 26(12): 1562, 2008
- 13. Gallo J, Mrazek F, Petrek M. Variation in cytokine genes can contribute to severity of
- 338 acetabular osteolysis and risk for revision in patients with ABG 1 total hip arthroplasty: a
- 339 genetic association study. BMC medical genetics 10: 109, 2009
- 340 14. Aydin-Yuce T, Kurscheid G, Bachmann HS, Gehrke T, Dudda M, Jager M, Wedemeyer C,
- 341 Kauther MD. No Association of CALCA Polymorphisms and Aseptic Loosening after Primary
- 342 Total Hip Arthroplasty. BioMed research international 2018: 3687415, 2018
- 343 15. Wedemeyer C, Kauther MD, Hanenkamp S, Nuckel H, Bau M, Siffert W, Bachmann HS.
- 344 BCL2-938C>A and CALCA-1786T>C polymorphisms in aseptic loosened total hip arthroplasty.
- 345 European journal of medical research 14(6): 250, 2009

- 346 16. Mrazek F, Gallo J, Stahelova A, Petrek M. Functional variants of the P2RX7 gene, aseptic
- 347 osteolysis, and revision of the total hip arthroplasty: a preliminary study. Human
- 348 immunology 71(2): 201, 2010
- 349 17. Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research electronic data
- 350 capture (REDCap)--a metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing
- translational research informatics support. Journal of biomedical informatics 42(2): 377,2009
- 353 18. Hartmann ES, Kohler MI, Huber F, Redeker JI, Schmitt B, Schmitt-Sody M, Summer B,
- 354 Fottner A, Jansson V, Mayer-Wagner S. Factors regulating bone remodeling processes in
- 355 aseptic implant loosening. Journal of orthopaedic research : official publication of the
- 356 Orthopaedic Research Society 35(2): 248, 2017
- 357 19. Stelmach P, Wedemeyer C, Fuest L, Kurscheid G, Gehrke T, Klenke S, Jager M, Kauther
- 358 MD, Bachmann HS. The BCL2 -938C>A Promoter Polymorphism Is Associated with Risk for
- and Time to Aseptic Loosening of Total Hip Arthroplasty. PloS one 11(2): e0149528, 2016
- 20. Barth BM, Gustafson SJ, Hankins JL, Kaiser JM, Haakenson JK, Kester M, Kuhn TB.
- 361 Ceramide kinase regulates TNFalpha-stimulated NADPH oxidase activity and eicosanoid
 362 biosynthesis in neuroblastoma cells. Cellular signalling 24(6): 1126, 2012
- 363 21. Bini F, Frati A, Garcia-Gil M, Battistini C, Granado M, Martinesi M, Mainardi M, Vannini E,
- Luzzati F, Caleo M, Peretto P, Gomez-Munoz A, Meacci E. New signalling pathway involved
- 365 in the anti-proliferative action of vitamin D(3) and its analogues in human neuroblastoma
- 366 cells. A role for ceramide kinase. Neuropharmacology 63(4): 524, 2012
- 367 22. Garcia-Castellanos R, Tallant C, Marrero A, Sola M, Baumann U, Gomis-Ruth FX.
- 368 Substrate specificity of a metalloprotease of the pappalysin family revealed by an inhibitor
- and a product complex. Archives of biochemistry and biophysics 457(1): 57, 2007
- 23. Jayabal P, Houghton PJ, Shiio Y. EWS-FLI-1 creates a cell surface microenvironment
- 371 conducive to IGF signaling by inducing pappalysin-1. Genes & cancer 8(11-12): 762, 2017
- 24. Kirschner A, Thiede M, Grunewald TG, Alba Rubio R, Richter GH, Kirchner T, Busch DH,
- 373 Burdach S, Thiel U. Pappalysin-1 T cell receptor transgenic allo-restricted T cells kill Ewing
- 374 sarcoma in vitro and in vivo. Oncoimmunology 6(2): e1273301, 2017
- 25. Liu Y, Zhang YB, Liu TK, Gui JF. Lineage-specific expansion of IFIT gene family: an insight
- into coevolution with IFN gene family. PloS one 8(6): e66859, 2013
- 26. Neuerburg C, Wedemeyer C, Goedel J, Schlepper R, Hilken G, Schwindenhammer B,
- Schilling AF, Jager M, Kauther MD. The role of calcitonin receptor signalling in polyethylene
 particle-induced osteolysis. Acta biomaterialia 14: 125, 2015
- 380 27. Amirhosseini M, Madsen RV, Escott KJ, Bostrom MP, Ross FP, Fahlgren A. GSK-3beta
- 381 inhibition suppresses instability-induced osteolysis by a dual action on osteoblast and
- 382 osteoclast differentiation. Journal of cellular physiology 233(3): 2398, 2018
- 383 28. Kroemer G. The proto-oncogene Bcl-2 and its role in regulating apoptosis. Nature
- 384 medicine 3(6): 614, 1997
- 385 29. Bredow S, Juri DE, Cardon K, Tesfaigzi Y. Identification of a novel Bcl-2 promoter region
- that counteracts in a p53-dependent manner the inhibitory P2 region. Gene 404(1-2): 110,2007
- 388 30. Irie K, Hara-Irie F, Ozawa H, Yajima T. Calcitonin gene-related peptide (CGRP)-containing
- 389 nerve fibers in bone tissue and their involvement in bone remodeling. Microscopy research
- 390 and technique 58(2): 85, 2002
- 391