



## Article (refereed) - postprint

---

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article:

De Boeck, Hans J.; Bloor, Juliette M.G.; Aerts, Rien; Bahn, Michael; Beier, Claus; **Emmett, Bridget A.**; Estiarte, Marc; Grünzweig, José M.; Halbritter, Aud H.; Holub, Petr; Jentsch, Anke; Klem, Karel; Kreyling, Juergen; Kröel-Dulay, György; Larsen, Klaus Steenberg; Milcu, Alexandru; Roy, Jacques; Sigurdsson, Bjarni D.; Smith, Melinda D.; Sternberg, Marcelo; Vandvik, Vigdis; Wohlgemuth, Thomas; Nijs, Ivan; Knapp, Alan K. 2020. **Understanding ecosystems of the future will require more than realistic climate change experiments – a response to Korell et al.** *Global Change Biology*, 26 (2). e6-e7, which has been published in final form at <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14854>

This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Use of Self-Archived Versions.

© 2019 John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

This version is available at <https://nora.nerc.ac.uk/id/eprint/526313/>

Copyright and other rights for material on this site are retained by the rights owners. Users should read the terms and conditions of use of this material at <https://nora.nerc.ac.uk/policies.html#access>

**This document is the authors' final manuscript version of the journal article, incorporating any revisions agreed during the peer review process. There may be differences between this and the publisher's version. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite from this article.**

The definitive version is available at <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/>

Contact UKCEH NORA team at  
[noraceh@ceh.ac.uk](mailto:noraceh@ceh.ac.uk)

DR. HANS J. DE BOECK (Orcid ID : 0000-0003-2180-8837)

PROF. JUERGEN KREYLING (Orcid ID : 0000-0001-8489-7289)

Article type : Response to Editor

**Understanding ecosystems of the future will require more than realistic climate change experiments – a response to Korell et al.**

Critical examination of the approaches ecologists employ to understand complex ecological systems is integral to advancing our science. Recently, Korell et al. (2019) argued that climate change experiments would yield more relevant information on future functioning of ecosystems if the treatments imposed more closely reflected model-projected climate scenarios. To reach this conclusion, the authors evaluated 76 studies and found that changes in (manipulated) precipitation and air temperature generally did not match site-specific changes projected by Global Circulation Models.

The picture painted by Korell and co-authors, that only experiments mimicking realistic climate change forecasts are truly helpful in determining the fate of plant communities and ecosystems, is too gloomy. A more comprehensive study by Song et al. (2019) found that across 1,119 experiments, the majority of mid-latitude warming experiments were actually within the projected warming range. For precipitation, imposed experimental changes do generally surpass mean rates of projected changes, as suggested by Korell et al. In part, this reflects extreme events research often focused on short-term variability, i.e. droughts and heavy precipitation, events which are realistic but cannot be readily extracted from projections of mean precipitation (Field et al. 2012). These extremes can affect community structure and ecosystem functioning more than average changes (Smith 2011), which makes experiments on short-term variability highly pertinent.

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the [Version of Record](#). Please cite this article as [doi: 10.1111/gcb.14854](https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14854)

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

But a broader question remains: are experiments that aim to mimic realistic climate change scenarios the best avenue to advance understanding and predictions? We disagree with Korell et al. in this regard. First, while there is value to experiments that apply specific future scenarios - how does one select the most realistic among a multitude of possible (and continually updated) scenarios? Korell et al. illustrate this problem in Fig. 1c and d, where depending on the RCP scenario, warming in the majority of experiments is either lower or higher than projections. Secondly, the goal of most manipulation experiments is not direct extrapolation of the study's findings, but to improve mechanistic understanding and the representation of biological processes in models, that in turn can predict outcomes under a range of potential future climates. Improving process understanding by focusing on continuous response surfaces rather than specific climate scenarios reduces context-dependency and allows extrapolation beyond the specifics of individual studies (Kreyling et al. 2018).

We believe that given the complexities, uncertainties and unknowns of climate change, we need a diverse portfolio of approaches. Conducting a wide range of experiments *is exactly what we should be doing*, particularly those that 1) push systems beyond historical and forecast extremes (Kayler et al. 2015), 2) manipulate multiple environmental drivers in factorial designs, 3) are designed to detect non-linearities, slow responses and legacy effects (Estiarte et al. 2016), as well as those that 4) can directly test model forecasts. The analyses of Korell et al. (2019) and Song et al. (2019) highlight this diversity of approaches in the existing literature. To understand ecosystems of the future, we need to embrace a wide array of experimental designs, including those that go beyond imposing the elusive realism of climate change scenarios.

**Authors:** Hans J De Boeck<sup>1\*</sup>, Juliette MG Bloor<sup>2</sup>, Rien Aerts<sup>3</sup>, Michael Bahn<sup>4</sup>, Claus Beier<sup>5</sup>, Bridget A Emmett<sup>6</sup>, Marc Estiarte<sup>7,8</sup>, José M Grünzweig<sup>9</sup>, Aud H Halbritter<sup>10</sup>, Petr Holub<sup>11</sup>, Anke Jentsch<sup>12</sup>, Karel Klem<sup>11</sup>, Juergen Kreyling<sup>13</sup>, György Kröel-Dulay<sup>14</sup>, Klaus Steenberg Larsen<sup>5</sup>, Alexandru Milcu<sup>15,16</sup>, Jacques Roy<sup>15</sup>, Bjarni D Sigurdsson<sup>17</sup>, Melinda D Smith<sup>18</sup>, Marcelo Sternberg<sup>19</sup>, Vigdis Vandvik<sup>10</sup>, Thomas Wohlgemuth<sup>20</sup>, Ivan Nijs<sup>1</sup>, Alan K Knapp<sup>18</sup>

\*corresponding author mail ID: hans.deboeck@uantwerp.be

## References

Accepted Article

Estiarte, M., Vicca, S., Peñuelas, J., Bahn, M., Beier, C., Emmett, B. A., ... & Kröel-Dulay, G. (2016). Few multiyear precipitation–reduction experiments find a shift in the productivity–precipitation relationship. *Global Change Biology*, 22(7), 2570-2581.

Field, C. B., Barros, V., Stocker, T. F., & Dahe, Q. (Eds.). (2012). *Managing the risks of extreme events and disasters to advance climate change adaptation: special report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change*. Cambridge University Press.

Kayler, Z. E., De Boeck, H. J., Fatichi, S., Grünzweig, J. M., Merbold, L., Beier, C., ... & Dukes, J. S. (2015). Experiments to confront the environmental extremes of climate change. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 13(4), 219-225.

Korell, L., Auge, H., Jonathan, M. C., Harpole, W. S., & Knight, T. M. (2019). We need more realistic climate change experiments for understanding ecosystems of the future. *Global Change Biology*. doi: 10.1111/gcb.14797

Keyling, J., Schweiger, A. H., Bahn, M., Ineson, P., Migliavacca, M., Morel-Journel, T., ... & Larsen, K. S. (2018). To replicate, or not to replicate—that is the question: how to tackle nonlinear responses in ecological experiments. *Ecology Letters*, 21(11), 1629-1638.

Smith, M. D. (2011). An ecological perspective on extreme climatic events: a synthetic definition and framework to guide future research. *Journal of Ecology*, 99(3), 656-663.

Song, J., Wan, S., Piao, S., Knapp, A. K., Classen, A. T., Vicca, S., ... & Kardol, P. (2019). A meta-analysis of 1,119 manipulative experiments on terrestrial carbon-cycling responses to global change. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, 1-12.

### Affiliations

<sup>1</sup>Centre of Excellence PLECO (Plants and Ecosystems), Department of Biology, Universiteit Antwerpen, Wilrijk, Belgium.

<sup>2</sup>UCA, INRA, VetAgro-Sup, UREP, Clermont-Ferrand, France.

<sup>3</sup>Department of Ecological Science, VU University, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

<sup>4</sup>Department of Ecology, University of Innsbruck, Innsbruck, Austria.

<sup>5</sup>Department of Geosciences and Natural Resource Management, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark.

<sup>6</sup>Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Environmental Centre Wales, Bangor, UK.

<sup>7</sup>CSIC, Global Ecology Unit CREAF-CSIC-UAB, Bellaterra, Catalonia, Spain.

<sup>8</sup>CREAF, Cerdanyola del Vallès, Catalonia, Spain.

<sup>9</sup>Robert H. Smith Faculty of Agriculture, Food and Environment, Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Rehovot, Israel.

<sup>10</sup>Department of Biological Sciences and Bjerknes Centre for Climate Research, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway

<sup>11</sup>Global Change Research Institute, Czech Academy of Sciences, Brno, Czech Republic.

<sup>12</sup>Disturbance Ecology, University of Bayreuth, Bayreuth, Germany.

<sup>13</sup>Experimental Plant Ecology, Institute of Botany and Landscape Ecology, University of Greifswald, Greifswald, Germany.

<sup>14</sup>MTA Centre for Ecological Research, Vácrátót, Hungary.

<sup>15</sup>Ecotron Européen de Montpellier, UPS 3248, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS), Montferrier-sur-Lez, France.

<sup>16</sup>Centre d'Ecologie Fonctionnelle et Evolutive, UMR 5175 (CNRS—Université de Montpellier—Université Paul-Valéry Montpellier—EPHE), Montpellier, France.

<sup>17</sup>Agricultural University of Iceland, Hvanneyri, Borgarnes, Iceland.

<sup>18</sup>Department of Biology and Graduate Degree Program in Ecology, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO, USA.

<sup>19</sup>School of Plant Sciences and Food Security, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel.

<sup>20</sup>Swiss Federal Institute for Forest, Snow and Landscape Research WSL, Birmensdorf, Switzerland.