

Fetal oxygen and glucose consumption in human pregnancy complicated by fetal growth restriction

Irene Cetin^{1*}, MD; Emanuela Taricco¹, MD, PhD; Chiara Mandò¹, PhD; Tatjana Radaelli², MD, PhD; Simona Boito², MD, PhD; Anna Maria Nuzzo³, PhD; Dino A. Giussani⁴, PhD, ScD

¹Department of Biomedical and Clinical Sciences Luigi Sacco, Università degli Studi di Milano, Italy;

² Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology “L. Mangiagalli”, Fondazione IRCCS Ca’ Granda, Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Milan, Italy

³Department of Surgical Sciences, University of Turin, Turin, Italy

⁴Department of Physiology Development & Neuroscience, University of Cambridge, UK

Short title: Fetal oxygen and glucose in FGR

*Corresponding Author:

Irene Cetin

Department of Biomedical and Clinical Sciences Luigi Sacco, Hospital Buzzi

Università degli Studi di Milano

via Castelvetro 32 - 20154 Milan (Italy)

tel +39 02 6363 5369

email: irene.cetin@unimi.it

Total word count: 5973 words

1 **Abstract**

2 In healthy pregnancy, glucose and oxygen availability are essential for fetal growth and wellbeing.
3 However, how substrate delivery and fetal uptake are affected in human pregnancy complicated
4 by fetal growth restriction (FGR) is still unknown. Here we show that the human FGR fetus has a
5 strikingly reduced umbilical uptake of both oxygen and glucose. In 30 healthy term and 32 FGR
6 human pregnancies umbilical volume flow (Q_{umb}) and parallel umbilical vein (uv) and artery (ua)
7 blood samples were obtained at elective Caesarean section to calculate fetal glucose and oxygen
8 uptake as $Q_{umb} \cdot \Delta (uv-ua)$ differences. Umbilical blood flow was significantly lower in FGR
9 pregnancy (-63%, $P < 0.001$) but not when normalized for fetal body weight. FGR pregnancy had
10 significantly lower umbilical oxygen delivery and uptake, both as absolute values (delivery: -78%;
11 uptake: -78%) and normalized (delivery: -50%; uptake: -48%) for fetal body weight (all $P < 0.001$).
12 Umbilical glucose absolute delivery and uptake were significantly reduced (delivery: -68%;
13 uptake: -72%) but only glucose uptake was decreased when normalized for fetal body weight (-
14 30%, $P < 0.05$). The glucose/oxygen quotient was significantly increased (+100%, $P < 0.05$) while
15 glucose clearance was significantly decreased (71%, $P < 0.001$) in FGR pregnancy (both $P < 0.05$).
16 The human fetus in FGR pregnancy triggers compensatory mechanisms to reduce its metabolic
17 rate, matching the proportion of substrate consumption relative to oxygen delivery as a survival
18 strategy during complicated pregnancy.

19 **Key words:** fetus, oxygen consumption, glucose consumption, oxygen delivery, glucose delivery,
20 FGR

21 **Introduction**

22 Fetal growth restriction (FGR) is associated with poor placentation and incomplete remodeling of
23 the uteroplacental spiral arteries (1). This implies reduction of utero-placental blood flow, thereby
24 impairing oxygen and substrate delivery to the fetus and slowing its growth trajectory (2).
25 Progressive severity of FGR based on increased utero-placental vascular resistance and fetal heart
26 rate trace abnormalities is associated with increasing fetal hypoxia and potential fetal brain
27 damage (3,4). Individuals who are born following FGR are known to be at increased risk of
28 cardiovascular diseases (5). This is likely due to fetal metabolic adaptations essential to allow fetal
29 survival within the adverse intrauterine environment, but also increases the risk of pathology in
30 the offspring in later life. However, the nature of these fetal compensatory metabolic adaptations
31 in FGR pregnancy remains very unclear, particularly for the human fetus.

32 Fetal conversion of energy into mass can most easily be determined by measurement of the rate of
33 fetal oxygen uptake (6). Since there is no long-term storage of oxygen, its uptake and utilization
34 are almost identical over short periods of time. Over the years, the chronically catheterized
35 pregnant sheep model has permitted the estimation of fetal oxygen consumption by measuring the
36 rate of umbilical blood flow together with the umbilical venous-arterial difference in oxygen
37 content (7). Similarly, glucose uptakes have been measured in the pregnant sheep model (7) and
38 recently in human pregnancy (8). Glucose represents the most important fetal nutrient and in
39 human pregnancies the glucose/oxygen quotient has revealed that the utilization of glucose
40 accounts for approximately 80% of oxygen uptake (9).

41 More recently, the technical improvement in the accuracy of measurement of umbilical venous
42 blood flow in the human fetus from the second half of gestation (10; 11) has made it possible to
43 estimate the fetal uptake of oxygen in human pregnancy (12). However, for human pregnancy, any
44 information on fetal oxygen consumption in complicated pregnancy is still very limited compared

45 with measurements in normal pregnancies at term. Further, no information exists for the
46 consumption of glucose in the human fetus.

47 Therefore, the objective of this study was to determine changes in the umbilical uptake of both
48 oxygen and glucose in human fetuses of FGR compared to healthy term pregnancy. The study
49 tested the hypothesis that the human fetus in FGR pregnancy reduces its metabolic rate, changing
50 the proportion of substrate consumption relative to oxygen.

51 **Methods**

52 The study was performed at the Department of Mother and Child of the Luigi Sacco Hospital and
53 at the Department of Mother, Child and Neonate “L. Mangiagalli”. The protocol of the study was
54 approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University of Milan. Informed consent was
55 obtained from all pregnant women prior to inclusion in the study.

56 The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon
57 reasonable request.

58 **Study population.** Thirty healthy control pregnancies (Controls) and thirty-two pregnancies
59 complicated by fetal FGR were studied at the time of elective Caesarean section. Gestational age
60 was calculated from the last menstrual period and confirmed by routine ultrasonography
61 performed between 11 and 13 weeks. Exclusion criteria were maternal chronic diseases,
62 gestational diabetes, alcohol abuse, drug addiction, any maternal therapy interfering with fetal
63 growth, labour, abnormal fetal karyotype, and fetal malformations or infections. All pregnancies
64 were singleton and none of the women smoked during pregnancy.

65 **Controls:** Normal healthy pregnancies were studied at term (37-41 weeks of gestation). All
66 patients had a normal pre gravid Body Mass Index (Table 1) and none had medical or obstetric

67 pathologies. Indications for Caesarean section were breech presentation (n = 9), maternal request
68 (n = 11) and repeat Caesarean section (n = 10). None of the babies showed signs of distress at
69 delivery. Neonatal weight was appropriate for gestational age according to Italian standards for
70 birth weight and gestational age (13).

71 **FGR:** Fetuses with FGR were identified by ultrasound through repeated longitudinal measurements
72 that demonstrated a reduction in fetal growth velocity. FGR was defined by measurements of
73 abdominal circumferences below the 10th percentile of reference values for fetuses of similar ages
74 (14), together with a shift in the growth curve by greater than 40 centiles (14). This definition is
75 now also included in a recent consensus document (15). None of the fetuses were affected with
76 abnormal karyotype, genetic syndromes, viral infection or major malformations. FGR was
77 confirmed at birth by neonatal weight below the 10th percentile according to Italian standards for
78 birth weight and gestational age (16).

79 FGR pregnancies were further classified into three groups, according to increasing severity, which
80 were defined by Doppler velocimetry of the umbilical artery and by fetal heart rate tracings (FHR),
81 as previously described (3). Type 1 FGR showed both normal pulsatility index (PI) and FHR (n =
82 14); Type 2 FGR showed abnormal PI and normal FHR (n = 7). Type 3 FGR showed both abnormal
83 PI and abnormal FHR (n = 11). All pregnancies complicated by FGR underwent a Caesarean section
84 in the interest of the mother and the fetus, according to our clinical protocol, and none were in
85 labour.

86 **Study protocol.** On the day of study, umbilical blood flow measurements were taken by ultrasound
87 before the induction of anaesthesia, as previously described (12). All patients underwent spinal
88 anaesthesia and none had any secondary effects, such as maternal hypotension. We previously
89 reported no significant differences in mean umbilical venous blood flow measured before and after

90 the induction of anaesthesia in control pregnancies (12). Umbilical arterial and venous blood
91 samples were withdrawn from a doubly clamped segment of the cord. Placentas were cleaned from
92 excess blood and weighed after removing fetal membranes and the umbilical cord.

93 **Umbilical blood flow measurement.** All ultrasound exams were performed using a 5 MHz convex
94 probe (Voluson 730 Expert-GE Medical Systems), as previously described (17). Briefly, cross-
95 sectional area (cm^2) of the umbilical vein was determined on a free-loop of the umbilical cord by
96 tracing the inner circumference of the vessel (17). The time-averaged peak velocity was measured
97 positioning the Doppler sample volume in the maximum velocity spot on a longitudinal vessel view.
98 Umbilical vein mean velocity was calculated as (time-averaged maximum velocity) \cdot 0.5, assuming
99 a parabolic velocity profile (17). The average of three consecutive measurements of the above
100 variables was calculated. Umbilical venous blood flow (Q_{umb}) was calculated as: $Q_{\text{umb}} (\text{ml}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}) =$
101 mean velocity ($\text{cm}\cdot\text{sec}^{-1}$) \cdot vessel area (cm^2) \cdot 60 (17).

102 **Oxygenation and metabolic data.** Both umbilical venous (uv) and arterial (ua) blood were
103 immediately sampled from a doubly clamped segment of the cord. All samples were collected in
104 heparinized syringes that were sealed and stored on ice. Blood gases (pO_2 and pCO_2), pH,
105 haemoglobin concentration and O_2 saturation, lactate and glucose concentrations were measured
106 using a GEM Premier 3000 portable system (Instrumentation Laboratory). Oxygen (O_2) Content
107 was calculated as: Oxygen (O_2) Content (mmol/l) = Haemoglobin (g/l) \cdot O_2 saturation (%) \cdot
108 0.05982.

109 **Calculations.** Umbilical O_2 uptake was calculated according to the Fick principle:

110 Umbilical O_2 Uptake ($\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$) = $Q_{\text{umb}} (\text{ml}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}) \cdot D (\text{uv-ua}) \text{O}_2 \text{ Content } (\text{mmol}\cdot\text{l}^{-1})$

111 Q_{umb} adjusted to individual neonatal weights ($Q_{\text{umb}}\cdot\text{Kg}^{-1}$) was also calculated ($\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}\cdot\text{Kg}^{-1}$).

112 Fetal O_2 delivery and the coefficient of fetal O_2 extraction were respectively calculated as:

113 Fetal O_2 delivery ($\text{mmol}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$) = $Q_{\text{umb}} (\text{ml}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}) \cdot \text{uv } \text{O}_2 \text{ Content } (\text{mmol}\cdot\text{l}^{-1})/1000$

114 Fetal O₂ extraction (%) = [D (uv-ua)/uv] O₂ Content • 100

115 Glucose/O₂ metabolic quotient was calculated as:

116 [D (uv-ua) glucose concentration (mmol·l⁻¹) / D (uv-ua) O₂ Content (mmol·l⁻¹)] • 6

117 Umbilical Glucose delivery and Uptake were calculated as for oxygen.

118 Fetal glucose clearance (ml·min⁻¹) = Umbilical Glucose Uptake (μmol·min⁻¹) / umbilical arterial
119 glucose concentration (mmol·l⁻¹).

120 **Statistical analysis**

121 Data are presented as mean ± standard error (SE). The coefficient of variation for mean umbilical
122 venous volume flow was 8.1%, as previously reported (17). Differences between groups were
123 assessed using the Student's *t* test for independent-samples, with applied correction when equality
124 of variances assumption was violated (Levene's test). Differences among variables, according to
125 severity of FGR, were compared by One-way ANOVA, with an appropriate *post-hoc* test.
126 Correlations describing the strength and direction of the relationships between two variables were
127 assessed using the Pearson Product-Moment correlation. Linear regression analyses were
128 performed by the least squares method. Umbilical blood flow, O₂ and glucose utilization were also
129 compared statistically using a General Linear Model (GLM) test with repeated measures when
130 appropriate. For all comparisons, a *p* value < 0.05 was considered significant. All tests were
131 performed using the statistical package SPSS (IBM SPSS Statistics, v. 21.00, Armonk, NY).

132

133 **Results**

134 Maternal and fetal characteristics for Control and FGR are presented in Table 1. Maternal age, BMI
135 and the fetal sex ratio were not significantly different between groups. Gestational age, neonatal
136 and placental weight and the feto/placental weight ratio were significantly lower in the FGR group.

137 When the weight of fetuses of Control pregnancy was estimated at the corresponding mean
138 gestational age at delivery for FGR fetuses (32.7 weeks) (Est FW ^{32.7wks}), fetuses of FGR pregnancy
139 remained significantly lighter (Est FW ^{32.7wks}, Control: 2083.2 ± 69.8 g vs. FGR: 1313.3 ± 90.2 g,
140 p<0.001). When considering the severity of FGR, no significant differences were found for maternal
141 characteristics between FGR 1, FGR 2 and FGR 3 (data not shown).

142 Gestational age, and fetal and placental weight were significantly lower in FGR 2 and 3 compared
143 to FGR 1 (Table S1 in the online-only Data Supplement). However, no significant differences in fetal
144 characteristics were found between FGR 2 and FGR 3 (data not shown). Therefore, for further
145 analysis, severity groups for FGR 2 and 3 were combined.

146 **Umbilical oxygenation and blood lactate levels.** Umbilical venous and arterial values for pH, pO₂,
147 pCO₂, SatO₂, Hb, O₂ Content, glucose and lactate concentrations are presented in Table S2 in the
148 online-only Data Supplement. Compared to Controls, fetuses of human FGR pregnancy showed
149 lower values for umbilical vein and artery pH, pO₂, SatO₂, O₂ Content and uv glucose concentration,
150 as well as higher values for umbilical vein and artery pCO₂, lactate and ua Hb. Significant differences
151 were observed between FGR 1 and FGR 2+3 for umbilical artery pH and lactate, and umbilical vein
152 lactate concentration (Table S3).

153 **Umbilical blood flow measurements.** Both mean umbilical venous cross-sectional area and mean
154 umbilical blood flow velocity were significantly lower in fetuses of FGR compared to Control
155 pregnancy. Therefore, calculated mean umbilical blood flow was also significantly lower for fetuses
156 of FGR relative to Control pregnancy (Table 2) and with increasing FGR severity (Table S4).
157 However, when umbilical blood flow was normalized for fetal weight, there were no differences
158 between Control and FGR and no differences were found according to the severity of FGR (Table 2
159 and S4). Umbilical blood flow was positively related to gestational age, placental weight and fetal
160 weight in all groups, showing FGR 2+3 fetuses to the left of the relationship, FGR 1 in the middle

161 and Control fetuses to the right of the relationship (Figure 1 A-C).

162 **Umbilical O₂ delivery, uptake and extraction.** Fetal **O₂ delivery** resulted significantly lower in
163 FGR compared to Control pregnancy, also when normalized for fetal body weight (Table 2).
164 Since the mean values of umbilical veno-arterial O₂ Content decreased in FGR, **umbilical O₂ uptake**
165 was significantly lower in FGR compared to Control, either when expressed as absolute values or
166 when normalized for fetal body weight. Assuming constant umbilical oxygen consumption/kg, the
167 calculated umbilical O₂ uptake/kg at 32.7 weeks (FW^{32.7wks}) for fetuses of Control pregnancy was
168 398.8 ± 38.4 compared to $126.5 \pm 18.3 \mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ in the FGR group, reflecting a reduction of
169 ca. 68% in FGR pregnancy.

170 Values for **oxygen delivery and uptake** per kg body weight were also significantly lower when
171 FGR 1 and FGR 2+3 fetuses were separately compared to Controls, with no significant difference
172 between the two FGR groups (Figure 2 A-B).

173 The average coefficient of **fetal O₂ extraction** was similar in fetuses of Control and FGR pregnancy
174 (Table 2) and there was no significant relationship between fetal O₂ extraction and delivery in
175 fetuses of Control or FGR pregnancy (Figure 3A).

176 However, for both Control and FGR pregnancies, a significant negative correlation between fetal O₂
177 extraction and umbilical artery pO₂ was found, meaning fetuses with lower pO₂ values in the
178 umbilical artery extracted more O₂ (Figure 3B).

179 No significant difference was observed for any of the above parameters in relation to fetal sex.

180 **Umbilical glucose delivery, uptake, clearance and metabolic quotient.** Glucose concentration
181 in the umbilical vein, fetal **glucose delivery** and mean umbilical veno-arterial glucose
182 concentration difference were significantly lower in fetuses of FGR compared to Control pregnancy
183 (Table 2 and Table S2 in the on line-only Data Supplement). When FGR fetuses were evaluated
184 according to severity, significant differences persisted for glucose concentration in the umbilical

185 vein and for fetal glucose delivery (Table S3 and S4). Fetal glucose delivery per kg body weight was
186 not significantly different in FGR compared to Controls (Table 2) nor when different severity
187 groups of FGR were considered (Table S4). Umbilical **glucose uptake** was significantly lower in
188 fetuses of FGR compared to Control pregnancy, either when expressed as absolute values or when
189 normalized for fetal body weight (Table 2). When evaluated according to FGR severity, umbilical
190 glucose uptake was significantly different among the 3 groups but this difference was not
191 significant when normalized for fetal weight (Table S4). Fetal glucose clearance was also
192 significantly decreased in fetuses of FGR pregnancy compared to Controls (Table 2). When
193 evaluated according to severity, FGR 1 and FGR 2+3 had significantly lower fetal glucose clearance
194 compared with Controls but the difference was not significant between the two groups of FGR
195 (Table S4). The **glucose/O₂ metabolic quotient** was significantly increased in fetuses of FGR
196 compared to Controls (Table 2). When analyzed according to FGR severity, one-way ANOVA
197 comparisons revealed no significant difference between FGR groups (Table S4). Significant positive
198 relationships occurred between umbilical glucose uptake and umbilical oxygen uptake normalized
199 for fetal body weight (Figure 4A), and between umbilical glucose uptake and umbilical oxygen
200 delivery (Figure 4B) in all fetuses, independent of Control or FGR pregnancy, with no significant
201 differences between the two groups.

202 No significant difference was observed for any of the above parameters in relation to fetal sex.

203 **Discussion**

204 In the present study, we found significantly lower umbilical venous and umbilical veno-arterial pO₂
205 differences, with O₂ delivery and utilization decreased by 50% in growth-restricted human fetuses.
206 We also report significantly lower umbilical venous and umbilical veno-arterial glucose differences,

207 together with a significant reduction of approximately 1/3 in umbilical glucose uptake in FGR
208 compared with healthy term pregnancy. These novel data support the hypothesis tested in this
209 study that the human fetus in FGR pregnancy triggers compensatory mechanisms to reduce its
210 metabolic rate, decreasing the proportion of substrate consumption relative to oxygen delivery as
211 a survival strategy.

212 **Oxygen delivery and consumption.** Since fetal growth is accompanied by a progressive increase
213 in both the fetal demand and the placental supply of nutrients with advancing gestation, it is
214 striking that fetal oxygen consumption is significantly reduced on a per kg body weight basis in
215 human FGR compared to fetuses of Control pregnancy, in spite of very low oxygen delivery rates,
216 and increased levels of mitochondrial content in placenta and cord blood (18,19).

217 These data are novel for human pregnancy and are in good agreement with data obtained from
218 experimental animal models of FGR. In an ovine hyperthermic pregnancy model of FGR, Regnault
219 *et al.* (20) reported a 25% reduction in fetal oxygen uptake and also suggested that placental
220 oxygen utilization may represent a limiting step in fetal growth restriction, restricting oxygen
221 delivery to the fetus (20). Similarly, we have previously reported an increase in the uterine-
222 umbilical oxygen gradient in human FGR pregnancy (21), together with increased placental
223 mitochondrial DNA content and changes in the mitochondrial function of cytotrophoblast and
224 mesenchymal stromal cells in FGR pregnancy (18;22), confirming that placental oxygen
225 consumption may have a limiting role in the delivery of oxygen to the fetus.

226 Although growth restriction will likely limit long term survival, it seems to provide opportunities
227 for metabolic compensations, which increase hypoxia tolerance (23). This is similar to what
228 happens in small immature neonates that are able to reduce their oxygen demand in response to
229 impaired supply, a condition known as “hypoxic hypometabolism” (24).

230 The present data and results reported by Regnault *et al.* (20) are different from data reported by

231 Zamudio *et al.* (25) in a comprehensive study of human pregnancy at high altitude. They reported
232 that human fetuses during high altitude pregnancy were able to extract sufficient oxygen to result
233 in similar fetal oxygen delivery and consumption rates. In an experimental study (26), growth
234 restricted ovine fetuses obtained by reduction in uterine blood flow were normoxic and
235 normoglycemic, i.e. showing an adaptive response to the reduced uterine blood flow. These data
236 illustrate differential fetal strategies to withstand reductions in oxygenation of varying magnitude.
237 The chronic fetal hypoxia at high altitude is likely much milder compared to the significant chronic
238 fetal hypoxia associated with severe FGR. Therefore, the capacity of the human fetus to extract
239 oxygen to maintain oxygen delivery as an adequate compensatory response may have a threshold
240 set by the severity of impaired oxygenation, beyond which it must also recruit reduced oxygen
241 consumption as a survival strategy to maintain viability.

242 **Glucose consumption and metabolic quotient.** Additional data in the present study show
243 reduced glucose consumption in the FGR human fetus, even when correcting for fetal body weight.
244 These data are also consistent with results from an ovine model of hyperthermic fetal growth
245 restriction, that reported a reduced substrate uptake as a consequence of decreased fetal oxidative
246 metabolism, and thereby lower fetal substrate demand (27). In human high altitude pregnancy,
247 Zamudio, Illsley and colleagues also reported that the preferential anaerobic placental
248 consumption of glucose spares oxygen but limits glucose availability for fetal growth (28). In a
249 recent paper, Michelsen *et al* employed a four-vessel sampling technique in term human
250 pregnancies and showed that uteroplacental glucose uptake correlates with placental glucose
251 consumption which modulates maternal to fetal glucose transfer and fetal glucose consumption
252 such that high placental use of glucose limits fetal glucose delivery and consumption (8).

253 Interestingly, in the present study, while umbilical glucose uptake corrected for fetal body weight
254 was significantly lower in FGR fetuses, the glucose/oxygen metabolic quotient was increased in

255 FGR pregnancy. This is consistent with human (29) and experimental animal data (30) in FGR
256 pregnancy that show a reduced glucose transport capacity proportionally lower than the rates of
257 placental and fetal glucose utilization (29, 30). These findings combined suggest that the utilization
258 of glucose also depends on the availability of oxygen, which is reduced in the FGR fetus. This is
259 confirmed in the present study by the significant positive relationship between fetal glucose
260 consumption and oxygen uptake per kg body weight, irrespective of healthy or complicated
261 pregnancy. In addition, in the present study, values for fetal glucose clearance, the rate at which
262 fetal metabolism clears glucose from the fetal circulation, was significantly reduced in fetuses from
263 FGR compared to those of control pregnancy. This would tend to increase the fetal maternal ratio
264 for glucose, possibly further contributing to impaired glucose placental transfer in human FGR
265 pregnancy.

266 **Impact of decreased oxygen and glucose metabolism in the human FGR fetus.** The human FGR
267 fetus is likely to be delivered much earlier than at term, when higher fetal metabolic rates are
268 expected due to the higher rates of protein synthesis when fetal growth demands are maximal (31).
269 In addition, the FGR fetus is likely to trigger a circulatory brain sparing effect, in relation to chronic
270 fetal hypoxia, preferentially redistributing oxygen and glucose delivery to the central nervous
271 system (32). However, considering that glucose is the main substrate utilized by the fetal brain, and
272 that the fetus is not able to increase its own blood glucose concentration by gluconeogenesis (33), the
273 fetal brain, with proportionally higher nutritional demands, may be particularly affected by these
274 reductions in oxygen and glucose availability.

275 Placental transfer of other relevant energy sources, such as amino acids and lipids, has also been
276 shown to be altered in FGR (2), further reducing fetal nutrient availability.

277 **Study Limitations.** Limitations are mostly inherent to the impossibility of complete
278 standardization of the experimental conditions in the human clinical situation. The first is related

279 to the estimation of umbilical blood flow by Doppler velocimetry. A second limitation is the
280 potential confounding effects of anesthesia on the maternal cardiovascular physiology. Finally,
281 differences in gestational age between Control and FGR human pregnancies could also limit the
282 interpretation of results. This potential bias is difficult to overcome in human pregnancy, since
283 preterm delivery of babies from compromised FGR pregnancy is needed to prevent potential severe
284 morbidity and/or intrauterine demise. Potential 'control' subjects delivered prematurely are not
285 likely to be "electively" delivered by cesarean section (in the absence of labor) and their clinical
286 condition would also introduce other confounding factors.

287 Experimental animal models suggest that fetal metabolism is higher in the preterm compared to
288 the term fetus, likely associated with accelerated rates of protein synthesis (34,35). In the present
289 study, although we did not find significant differences in umbilical oxygen or glucose consumption
290 in human FGR fetuses of different severity, we cannot exclude that differences may have been
291 masked by the differences in gestational age between FGR groups. However, if we were able to
292 correct for gestational age by using the mean flow value reported for 31 weeks in healthy
293 pregnancy (36), multiplied by the mean umbilical veno-arterial O₂ or glucose difference measured
294 in our term fetuses from Control pregnancy, the hypothetical, "corrected for gestational-age" values
295 would make the difference between fetuses of Control and FGR human pregnancy even more
296 striking. Therefore, the potential error in this study is likely in the under-estimation of differences
297 between human infants of Control and FGR pregnancy related to oxygen and glucose umbilical
298 uptake.

299 **Perspective.** We provide novel insight into the nature of fetal metabolic compensations in human
300 pregnancy complicated by FGR. The human FGR fetus shows a strikingly reduced umbilical uptake
301 of both oxygen and of glucose independent of fetal body weight. Therefore, the human fetus in FGR
302 pregnancy triggers compensatory mechanisms to reduce its metabolic rate, matching glucose

303 consumption to glucose delivery in relation to oxygen availability.

304

305 **Acknowledgments**

306 **This study is dedicated to the memory of Giorgio Pardi and his continuous interest in FGR.**

307 We thank the pregnant women who took part in the study and our colleagues and midwives in the

308 Luigi Sacco and Mangiagalli Hospitals.

309 **Sources of funding**

310 Financial support was obtained by grants from Fondazione Giorgio Pardi, by ASM (Associazione

311 Italiana per lo Studio delle Malformazioni), and by a Grant of the Italian Ministry of University and

312 Research PRIN 2010-2011 prot. 20102chst5_005.

313 **Conflict of interest statement:**

314 The authors declare no conflict of interest.

315

References

1. Khong TY, De Wolf F, Robertson WB, Brosens I. Inadequate maternal vascular response to placentation in pregnancies complicated by pre-eclampsia and by small-for-gestational age infants. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol.* 1986;93(10):1049-59.
2. Cetin I, Alvino G. Intrauterine growth restriction: implications for placental metabolism and transport. A review. *Placenta.* 2009;30:Suppl A:S77-82.
3. Pardi G, Cetin I, Marconi AM, Lanfranchi A, Bozzetti P, Ferrazzi E, Buscaglia M, Battaglia FC. Diagnostic value of blood sampling in fetuses with growth retardation. *N Engl J Med.* 1993; 328(10):692-696.
4. Cetin I, Barberis B, Brusati V, Brighina E, Mandia L, Arighi A, Radaelli T, Biondetti P, Bresolin N, Pardi G, Rango M. Lactate detection in the brain of growth-restricted fetuses with magnetic resonance spectroscopy. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2011; 205(4):350.e1-7.
5. Barker DJ. Adult consequences of fetal growth restriction. *Clin Obstet Gynecol.* 2006;49(2):270-283.
6. Abrams RM. Energy metabolism. *Seminars in Perinatology.* 1979;3:109-119.
7. Battaglia FC, Meschia G. An introduction to fetal physiology. *Academic Press London,* 1986
8. Michelsen TM, Holme AM, Holm MB, Roland MC, Haugen G, Powell TL, Jansson T, Henriksen T. Uteroplacental Glucose Uptake and Fetal Glucose Consumption: A Quantitative Study in Human Pregnancies. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2019;104; 873-882.

9. Morriss FH Jr, Makowski EL, Meschia G, Battaglia FC. The glucose/oxygen quotient of the term human fetus. *Biol Neonate*. 1975;25(1-2):44-52.
10. Acharya G, Wilsgaard T, Rosvold Berntsen GK, Maltau JM, Kiserud T. Reference ranges for umbilical vein blood flow in the second half of pregnancy based on longitudinal data. *Prenat Diagn*. 2005;25(2):99-111.
11. Figueras F, Fernandez S, Hernandez-Andrade E, Gratacos E. Umbilical venous blood flow measurement: accuracy and reproducibility. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol*. 2008;32(4):587-591.
12. Radaelli T, Boito S, Taricco E, Cozzi V, Cetin I. Estimation of fetal oxygen uptake in human term pregnancies. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med*. 2012;25(2):174-179.
13. Parazzini F, Cortinovis I, Bortolus R & Fedele L. Standard of birth weight in Italy. *Ann Ostet Ginecol Med Perinat*. 1991;112:203-246.
14. Todros T, Ferrazzi E, Groli C, Nicolini U, Parodi L, Pavoni M, Zorzoli A, Zucca S. Fitting growth curves to head and abdomen measurements of the fetus: a multicentric study. *J Clin Ultrasound*. 1987;15: 95-105.
15. Gordijn SJ, Beune IM, Thilaganathan B, Papageorghiou A, Baschat AA, Baker PN, Silver RM, Wynia K, Ganzevoort W. Consensus definition of fetal growth restriction: a Delphi procedure. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 2016; 48: 333-339
16. Parazzini F, Cortinovis I, Botulus R, Fedele L & Decarli A . Weight at birth by gestational age in Italy. *Hum Reprod*. 1995;10:1862-1863.

17. Boito S, Struijk PC, Ursem NT, Stijnen T & Wladimiroff JW. Umbilical venous volume flow in the normally developing and growth-restricted human fetus. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol.* 2002;19:344-349.
18. Mandò C, de Palma C, Stampalija T, Anelli GM, Figus M, Novielli C, Parisi F, Clementi E, Ferrazzi E, Cetin I. Placental mitochondrial content and function in intrauterine growth restriction and preeclampsia. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab.* 2014;306(4):E404-413.
19. Novielli C, Mandò C, Tabano S, Anelli GM, Fontana L, Antonazzo P, Miozzo M, Cetin I. Mitochondrial DNA content and methylation in fetal blood of pregnancies with placental insufficiency. *Placenta.* 2017;55:63-70.
20. Regnault TR, De Vrijer B, Galan HL, Wilkening RB, Battaglia FC, Meschia G. Development and Mechanisms of Fetal Hypoxia in Severe Fetal Growth Restriction. *Placenta.* 2007;28(7):714-723.
21. Pardi G, Cetin I, Marconi AM, Bozzetti P, Buscaglia M, Makowski EL, Battaglia FC. Venous drainage of the human uterus: respiratory gas studies in normal and fetal growth-retarded pregnancies. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 1992;166(2):699-706.
22. Mandò C, Razini P, Novielli C, Anelli GM, Belicchi M, Erratico S, Banfi S, Meregalli M, Tavelli A, Baccarin M, Rolfo A, Motta S, Torrente Y, Cetin I. Impaired Angiogenic Potential of Human Placental Mesenchymal Stromal Cells in Intrauterine Growth Restriction. *Stem Cells Transl Med.* 2016; 5(4):451-463
23. Singer D. Metabolic adaptation to hypoxia: cost and benefit of being small. *Respir Physiol Neurobiol.* 2004;141(3):215-228.

24. Singer D, Mühlfeld C. Perinatal adaptation in mammals: the impact of metabolic rate. *Comp Biochem Physiol A Mol Integr Physiol.* 2007;148(4):780-784.
25. Zamudio S, Postigo L, Illsley NP, Rodriguez C, Heredia G, Brimacombe M, Echalar L, Torricos T, Tellez W, Maldonado I, Balanza E, Alvarez T, Ameller J, Vargas E. Maternal oxygen delivery is not related to altitude- and ancestry-associated differences in human fetal growth. *J Physiol.* 2007;582(Pt 2):883-895.
26. Lang U, Baker RS, Khoury J, Clark KE. Fetal umbilical vascular response to chronic reductions in uteroplacental blood flow in late-term sheep. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2002;187:178-186.
27. Regnault TR, de Vrijer B, Galan HL, Wilkening RB, Battaglia FC, Meschia G. Umbilical uptakes and transplacental concentration ratios of amino acids in severe fetal growth restriction. *Pediatr Res.*2013;73(5):602-611.
28. Zamudio S, Torricos T, Fik E, Oyala M, Echakar L, Pullockaran J, Tutino E, Martin B, Bellippa S, Blanza E, Illsley NP. Hypoglycemia and the origin of hypoxia-induced reduction in human fetal growth. *PLoS One.* 2010;5(1):e8551.
29. Marconi AM, Paolini C, Buscaglia M, Zerbe G, Battaglia FC, Pardi G. The impact of gestational age and fetal growth on the maternal-fetal glucose concentration difference. *Obstet Gynecol.* 1996;87(6):937-942.
30. Thureen PJ, Trembler KA, Meschia G, Makowski EL, Wilkening RB. Placental glucose transport in heat-induced fetal growth retardation. *Am J Physiol.* 1992;263(3 Pt 2):R578-585.

31. Bell AW, Battaglia FC, Meschia G. Relation between metabolic rate and body size in the ovine fetus. *J Nutr.* 1987;117(6):1181-1186.
32. Brain KL, Allison BJ, Niu Y, Cross CM, Itani N, Kane AD, Herrera EA, Giussani DA. Induction of controlled hypoxic pregnancy in large mammalian species. *Physiol Rep.* 2015;3(12). pii: e12614.
33. Marconi AM, Cetin I, Davoli E, Baggiani AM, Fanelli R, Fennessey PV, Battaglia FC, Pardi G. An evaluation of fetal gluconeogenesis in intrauterine growth retarded pregnancies by a comparison of steady state fetal and maternal enrichments of plasma glucose at cordocentesis. *Metabolism.* 1993;42(7):860-864.
34. Fowden AL, Forhead AJ, White KL, Taylor PM. Equine uteroplacental metabolism at mid- and late gestation. *Exp Physiol.* 2000;85(5):539-545.
35. Bell AW, Kennaugh JM, Battaglia FC, Makowsky EL, Meschia G. Metabolic and circulatory studies of fetal lamb at midgestation. *Am J Physiol.* 1986;250(5 Pt 1):E538-E544.
36. Di Naro E, Raio L, Ghezzi F, Franchi M, Romano F, Addario VD. Longitudinal umbilical vein blood flow changes in normal and growth-retarded fetuses. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand.* 2002;81(6):527-533.

317 **Novelty and Significance**

318 *1. What Is New*

319 - the human FGR fetus has a strikingly reduced umbilical delivery of both oxygen and glucose

320 - umbilical oxygen and glucose delivery and uptake are reduced both as absolute values and normalized
321 for fetal body weight

322 - The glucose/oxygen quotient is significantly increased while glucose clearance is significantly
323 decreased in FGR pregnancies

324 *2. What Is Relevant?*

325 - this study highlights potential compensatory intrauterine mechanisms predisposing to metabolic
326 syndrome and hypertension in later life

327 *3. Summary*

- The human FGR fetus triggers compensatory mechanisms to reduce its metabolic rate, matching the proportion of substrate consumption relative to oxygen delivery as a survival strategy

328

329 **Figure 1.** Relationship between umbilical venous blood flow and gestational age (A), placental
330 weight (B) and fetal weight (C) in Control (white rhombuses) and FGR human pregnancy according
331 to severity (FGR1: grey squares; FGR 2+3: black triangles).

332 **Figure 2.** Fetal O₂ delivery/kg and umbilical O₂ uptake/kg in Control (white) and FGR human
333 pregnancy according to severity (FGR1, grey; FGR 2+3, black). (A) Fetal O₂ delivery/kg; (B)
334 umbilical O₂ uptake/kg. Bars represent mean ± SEM. p<0.001 for umbilical oxygen delivery per-
335 kg: F (2, 57) = 7.9. Post-hoc comparisons using the Tukey HSD test: mean score for the Control
336 group (0.35 ± 0.03 mmol·min⁻¹·kg⁻¹) significantly different from both FGR 1 (0.19 ± 0.04 mmol·min⁻¹·kg⁻¹)
337 and FGR 2+3 (0.17 ± 0.04 mmol·min⁻¹·kg⁻¹). FGR 1 not significantly different from FGR 2+3.
338 p<0.001 for umbilical oxygen uptake per-kg: F (2, 55) = 8.5. Post-hoc comparisons using the Tukey
339 HSD test: mean score for the Control group (248.1 ± 21.9 μmol·min⁻¹·kg⁻¹) significantly different
340 from both FGR 1 (138.3 ± 32.7 μmol·min⁻¹·kg⁻¹) and FGR 2+3 (123.1 ± 20.5 μmol·min⁻¹·kg⁻¹). FGR
341 1 not significantly different from FGR 2+3.

342 **Figure 3.** Relationship between umbilical O₂ extraction and fetal O₂ delivery (A) and between
343 umbilical O₂ extraction and umbilical artery pO₂ (B) in Controls (white) and FGR (black) human
344 pregnancy. Linear regression lines refer to controls (solid) and to FGR (broken). The slope and
345 intercept of regression lines for controls and FGR pregnancies are not significantly different.

346 **Figure 4.** (A) Relationships between umbilical glucose uptake/kg and umbilical O₂ uptake/kg and
347 (B) between umbilical glucose uptake/kg and fetal O₂ delivery in Controls (white) and FGR (black)
348 human pregnancy. The slope and intercept of regression lines for controls and FGR pregnancies are
349 not significantly different. Linear regression lines refer to the total population.

350

Table 1. Maternal and fetal characteristics for control and FGR pregnancies.

Variable	Controls (n=30)	FGR (n=32)	p value
Maternal age (years)	35.3 ± 0.7	35.3 ± 1.1	n.s.
Body mass index (Kg/m²)	21.1 ± 0.4	22.3 ± 0.8	n.s.
Gestational age at birth (weeks)	38.8 ± 0.1	32.7 ± 0.5	p<0.001
Neonatal weight (g)	3340.8 ± 52.9	1313.3 ± 90.2	p<0.001
Neonatal weight centile (°)	50.6 ± 3.0	7.3 ± 0.8	p<0.001
Placental weight (g)	520.0 ± 23.7	252.1 ± 20.1	p<0.001
Feto/placental ratio	6.6 ± 0.2	5.6 ± 0.3	p<0.01

Fetal sex (female/male)	17 / 13	17 / 15	n.s.
------------------------------------	---------	---------	------

Values are presented as mean \pm SE

Independent-samples *t*-test: FGR vs controls: n.s. not significant

Table 2. Umbilical blood flow, O₂ and glucose utilization in control and FGR fetuses.

Variable	Controls (n=30)	FGR (n=32)	p value
uv flow (ml·min⁻¹)	263.3 \pm 15.8	98.2 \pm 10.9	p< 0.001
uv flow/Kg (ml·min⁻¹·Kg⁻¹)	78.4 \pm 4.3	74.8 \pm 5.6	n.s.
O₂ delivery (μmol·min⁻¹)	1182.5 \pm 117.8	254.8 \pm 45.3	p< 0.001
O₂ delivery/Kg (μmol·min⁻¹·Kg⁻¹)	354.9 \pm 35.1	179.7 \pm 26.1	p< 0.001
O₂ cont D (uv-ua) (mmol·l⁻¹)	3.1 \pm 0.2	1.7 \pm 0.2	p< 0.001

umb O₂ uptake ($\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$)	808.5 \pm 70.6	181.9 \pm 35.3	p< 0.001
umb O₂ uptake/Kg ($\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}\cdot\text{Kg}^{-1}$)	243.1 \pm 21.7	126.5 \pm 18.3	p< 0.001
Fetal O₂ extraction (%)	71.0 \pm 2.8	70.7 \pm 4.2	ns
Glucose delivery ($\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$)	942.1 \pm 70.6	305.8 \pm 35.1	p< 0.001
Glucose delivery/kg ($\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}\cdot\text{Kg}^{-1}$)	281.6 \pm 18.5	237.4 \pm 20.7	ns
Glucose D (uv-ua) ($\text{mmol}\cdot\text{l}^{-1}$)	0.79 \pm 0.06	0.61 \pm 0.05	p<0.05

umb glucose uptake ($\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$)	210.9 \pm 23.4	58.6 \pm 9.3	p<0.001
umb glucose uptake/kg ($\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}\cdot\text{Kg}^{-1}$)	63.2 \pm 6.7	44.1 \pm 4.9	p<0.05
Glucose clearance ($\text{ml}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$)	81.84 \pm 11.13	24.12 \pm 3.71	p<0.001
glucose D (uv-ua) / O₂ cont D (uv-ua)	0.27 \pm 0.03	0.57 \pm 0.11	p<0.05
Glucose/O₂ metabolic quotient	1.65 \pm 0.18	3.45 \pm 0.70	p<0.05

Values are presented as mean \pm SE

Unpaired *t* test and GLM FGR vs. controls: n.s. not significant







