View metadata, citation and similar papers at core.ac.uk

Vertebrate limb development – the early stages in chick and mouse Cheryll Tickle* and Andrea Münsterberg[†]

More news this year about FGFs and their roles in vertebrate limb initiation; Wnt signalling is shown for the first time to be another component of the signalling cascade involved in early limb formation. Ectodermal compartments that control apical ridge formation were previously described in chick embryos and are now shown to exist in mouse embryos; *Engrailed 1* is expressed in the ventral ectodermal compartment but experiments in both chick and mouse show that it is not responsible for compartment specification.

Addresses

*Division of Cell and Developmental Biology, School of Life Sciences, MSI/WTB complex, University of Dundee, Dow Street, Dundee DD1 5EH, UK; e-mail: c.a.tickle@dundee.ac.uk †School of Biological Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich NR4 7TJ, UK

Current Opinion in Genetics & Development 2001, 11:476-481

0959-437X/01/\$ – see front matter © 2001 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Abbreviations

FGFfibroblast growth factorShhSonic hedgehog

Introduction

Considerable progress has been made in understanding mechanisms that lead to limb initiation and answering general questions about these structures, such as what controls number, type and position. Classic transplantation experiments in early chick embryos showed that regions of the embryo are determined to form limbs long before there are any signs of limb development. Furthermore, these transplanted regions contain sufficient information to generate a bud that can then go on to develop autonomously into a limb. Here, we discuss recent work that identifies new components of the FGF (fibroblast growth factor) signalling cascade that initiates limb formation and controls establishment of the apical ectodermal ridge — the signalling centre that mediates bud outgrowth and patterning. We consider the role of FGF signals produced by mesenchyme and ridge in early limb buds and finally discuss work that explores how the apical ectodermal ridge is positioned with respect to the dorsoventral axis of the body so that limbs grow out from the sides. We review data from both chick and mouse embryos, illustrating how information from these two model organisms can be synthesised to arrive at general principles of vertebrate limb development.

Limb initiation and apical ridge formation

FGFs comprise a family of growth factors that play key roles at several different stages of limb development, including initiation. Application of FGF to the flank of chick embryos can trigger development of an additional limb [1]. Fgf10 is expressed in mesenchyme of limbforming regions and is essential for limb formation [2,3]. FGF10 induces Fgf8 expression in overlying ectoderm, which forms the apical ectodermal ridge and FGF8 in turn maintains Fgf10 expression in mesenchyme (Figure 1a). Fgf8 and other Fgfs continue to be expressed in the ridge and beads soaked in FGFs can replace apical ridge function in limb outgrowth and patterning [4]. This year, ground-breaking work in chick embryos shows that Wnt signals are upstream of FGFs in limb initiation and also that Wnts intervene in the signalling loop between Fgf10and Fgf8 [5^{••}].

Local application of members of the Wnt family of growth factors (or cells expressing retroviruses containing activated β -catenin), like FGFs, have the spectacular property of inducing additional limbs [5..]. Two Wnt family members are reported to be expressed in limb-forming mesenchyme (Wnt2b in wing region and Wnt8c in leg). Furthermore, when β-catenin-mediated signalling in these regions is blocked by misexpressing axin, a negative regulator of the canonical Wnt pathway, limb development is impaired, thus pointing very clearly to a role for Wnt signalling in normal limb initiation. The effects of Wnt and β -catenin misexpression on expression of Fgf10 and Fgf8 lead the authors to propose a model whereby these respective Wnts maintain Fgf10 expression in the limbforming regions and thus lie upstream of FGFs in initiating limb formation.

The same paper [5••] also provides some evidence consistent with the idea that Wnt signalling (Wnt3a this time) intervenes in the signalling loop between FGF10 signalling in mesenchyme and FGF8 signalling in ridge. Other work also suggests that induction of *Fgf8* expression by FGF10 may be indirect on the basis of timing [6,7•]. Thus the picture emerging is that FGF10 induces *Wnt3a* expression in the ectoderm and then Wnt3a via β -catenin activates *Fgf8* expression, which then maintains *Fgf10* expression in a feedback loop (Figure 1b). It should be noted that *Wnt3a* transcripts cannot be detected in mouse limb ectoderm. Nevertheless the phenotype of the *Lef1/Tcf1* double knockout mouse is consistent with Wnt signalling being essential for ridge formation but presumably another Wnt is involved [8].

This unanticipated participation of Wnts in both signalling cascades leading to limb initiation and in regulation of *Fgf* expression in ectoderm underlines the synergy and intricate interactions between signalling pathways during development. This particular combination of growth factor signals has added significance because it has been reported that expression of a chick *spalt* homologue, *CSAL1*, at least in distal mesenchyme of later limb buds, is controlled by

ridge-derived FGFs and Wnts [9•]. In this case, Wnts acting through either β -catenin-dependent (Wnt3a) or β -catenin-independent (Wnt7a) pathways are effective. Very recent work on inner ear induction and early neural patterning have also demonstrated interaction of FGF and Wnt signalling pathways [10,11].

Signalling in early limb bud

The importance of FGF signalling for early limb development has been further tested in the past year by mouse knockouts of FGF ligands and their receptors. A dramatic phenotype was reported a few years ago in Fgf10 knockouts. Both forelimbs and hindlimbs are almost completely absent [2,3] and, depending on genetic background, no buds form at all or limb buds are initiated but do not grow out. However, importantly, in both cases, the apical ectodermal ridge does not form morphologically or molecularly (Fgf8 is never expressed). A strikingly similar limb phenotype was observed more recently in the knockout of FGF receptor 2-IIIb [12•], for which FGF10 had previously been suggested to be the major ligand [13]. Mice deficient for this particular receptor isoform show agenesis of limbs but, surprisingly, Fgf8 expression is still induced, indicating that FGF10 may act through a different receptor or receptor isoform; but even though both Fgf10 and Fgf8 are expressed, Shh (Sonic hedgehog), which also plays an essential role in maintaining outgrowth, is not.

In the past year, Fgf8 has been knocked-out in the apical ridge of mouse embryos with somewhat surprising results. As Fgf8 null mice die before limbs develop, conditional knockouts had to be made and this was done by two different groups using the Cre-LoxP system but with different ridge promoters driving Cre [14^{••},15^{••}]. Forelimbs are affected in one study because the $RAR\beta 2$ promoter used to drive Cre is only active in forelimb whereas hindlimbs are more affected in the other study because the Msx2 promoter driving Cre is active at much earlier stages in hindlimb compared to forelimb. Conditional ablation of Fgf8 in apical ridge of developing forelimbs [15**] or hindlimbs [14**] has substantial effects on development. In both studies, morphological changes are observed in skeletal structures along the entire proximodistal axis including upper part of forelimb/hindlimb (humerus/femur), lower part (radius and ulna/tibia and fibula) and digit region (fingers/toes). The upper part of the limb is most severely affected and humerus/femur is either very reduced or even absent. In the lower part of the limb and digital region, anterior structures (but not posterior) structures are missing. Thus, functional inactivation of Fgf8 in the mouse ridge seems to have almost the opposite effect to removing chick ridge, which leads to truncated limbs lacking distal structures.

Three other FGFs are also expressed in the apical ridge (*Fgf4*, *Fgf9*, *Fgf19*; Figure 2) and it is possible that some or all of these FGFs could compensate for absence of *Fgf8*. Indeed, limb development in *Fgf4* conditional mutants is





Regulatory interactions during limb initiation. (a) FG F10 derived from limb mesenchyme activates expression of *Fgt8* in ectodermal cells of the apical ridge. FG F8 maintains *Fgf10* gene expression in a positive feedback loop. (b) Model incorporating recent work. Wnt2b and Wnt8c, acting via β -catenin, induce *Fgf10* expression in limb mesenchyme. FG F10 activates *Wnt3a* in apical ridge, which in β -catenin dependent manner regulates *Fgf8* expression. FG F8 maintains *Fgf10* gene expression in a positive feedback loop.

normal, probably for this very reason [16,17]. These other FGFs are expressed somewhat later than *Fgf8* and in a more posteriorly restricted domain (Figure 2). This difference in extent of expression along the antero-posterior axis could go some way to explaining why posterior structures such as ulna and posterior digits are 'rescued' in conditional mutants whereas anterior structures are not. Similarly, the fact that these other Fgfs are expressed at a later stage in development could account for the 'rescue' of distal structures but not proximal structures.

The phenotype of these conditional Fgf8 knockout mice is stimulating a new debate about the mechanism of patterning along the proximo-distal axis. At present, the widely accepted model is the progress zone model which proposes that the length of time that cells spend in the region of undifferentiated mesenchyme at the tip of the limb bud determines whether they form proximal or distal structures. Thus, cells become progressively 'distalized' as limb buds grow out under the influence of the apical ridge [18]. The authors of both papers suggest that the progress zone model should be revisited because removal of the ridge outgrowth signal, FGF8, in these mice does not preferentially affect distal structures. Interestingly, however, the phenotype of these Fgf8 mutant mice in which proximal structures are most affected is reminiscent of that of chick limb buds which have





Expression of FGF family members in the apical ectodermal ridge. *Fgf8* is the first FGF to be expressed; its expression extends throughout the apical ectodermal ridge. *Fgf4*, *Fgf9* and *Fgf19* are expressed slightly later and are restricted to more posterior regions in normal embryos. Conditional ablation of *Fgf8* in apical ridge of fore- and hindlimbs results in an anterior expansion of *Fgf4* gene expression. The presence of other FGFs during limb development may explain the phenotype in these mice $[14^{++}, 15^{++}]$.

been irradiated and mesenchyme cells killed [19]. The explanation advanced in this old work is that, in irradiated wing buds, cells spend longer at the tip replacing the cells that were killed and therefore, according to the progress zone model, would form distal rather than proximal structures. In Fgf8 conditional mutants, there will be a lag period before the other FGFs are expressed, in which there is no FGF signalling to sustain mesenchyme cells in the early limb bud and indeed transient cell death was noted in one study [15^{••}]. Therefore, we wonder if the progress zone model should be discounted just yet on the basis of this evidence alone. We will have to wait and see what happens when several of the Fgfs expressed in the ridge are knocked-out together.

Compartmentalisation and ridge formation

One of the more surprising mechanisms for positioning vertebrate limbs was discovered in chick embryos and is based on compartmentalisation of ectoderm in both limb and inter-limb regions with respect to the dorso-ventral body axis [20,21]. This year, cell-lineage-restricted boundaries have been reported for the first time, in mouse embryos, again in ectoderm along both sides of the body where the thickened apical ridges of limb buds will form [22^{••}]. Furthermore an 'invisible' boundary along the sides of mouse embryos is also revealed by applying FGF beads

which induce a stripe of Fgf8 expression [23]. The fact that the apical ridge signalling centre arises at a compartment boundary in mouse and chicks confirms an unexpected parallel between vertebrate limb development and insect development.

In chick embryos, ectoderm compartmentalisation is revealed either by making chick-quail chimeras or by labelling small groups of cells with DiI [20,21]. In the work this year with mice, two different methods are used: a sophisticated Cre-LoxP system to mark permanently with LacZ those cells expressing at that time *Engrailed 1*, a gene expressed in the ventral compartment; and injection of replication-incompetent retroviruses expressing LacZ into the amniotic cavity of mouse embryos *in utero* using ultrasound [22^{••}].

Several consistent findings have emerged. All the studies in both chick and mouse agree that the boundary of the ventral compartment lies at the mid-point of the apical ridge (at least at early stages in ridge formation) and that this ventral compartment corresponds precisely with the domain of expression of the transcription factor Engrailed 1 (Figure 3). In contrast, the reported extent of the dorsal compartment differs. In chick-quail chimeras, the dorsal compartment boundary abuts the ventral compartment boundary at ridge midpoint whereas in chick DiI labelling studies, dorsal cells are found throughout the ridge (Figure 3). In mice, a dorso-ventral compartment boundary in mid-ridge is transient and disappears at later stages. There also appears to be a second cell-lineage restriction at the interface of dorsal ectoderm and ridge which has not been detected in chick and is present when the border in the middle of the apical ridge is deleted (at E8.5). Later, there is a third cell lineage restriction at the ventral apical ridge boundary [22.]. In chick embryos, the expression domain of radical fringe encompasses the dorsal ectoderm and entire ridge [24,25]. This year, a rash of papers reported that fringe is a glycosyltransferase [26-28] which modifies notch. Interestingly, notch-delta signalling is known to be important in ridge development [29].

The correspondence between the ventral cell lineage restricted compartment and domain of *Engrailed 1* expression has attracted attention with experiments in both mouse and chick embryos to test its significance $[22^{\bullet\bullet},30^{\bullet}]$. It is already established that in the *Engrailed* knock-out mouse the ridge is broad and flat instead of thickened [31]. Furthermore, in chick embryos, ectopic expression of *Engrailed 1* had been found either to induce additional ridges or to block ridge formation leading to the suggestion that an *Engrailed*-negative/*Engrailed*-positive boundary is necessary for proper ridge formation [24,32]. One possibility, therefore, is that *Engrailed* specifies the ventral compartment. To test this hypothesis in mice, *Engrailed 1* expression was driven throughout the ridge using the *Msx2* promoter, thus producing ectopic Engrailed 1 with exquisite precision in dorsal ridge. When the distribution of ventral cells was followed using the indelible LacZ marker, however, the compartment appears undisturbed [22..]. The same result was obtained in chick embryos when Engrailed 1 was misexpressed in ectoderm by a retroviral method followed by DiI labelling to monitor the extent of both dorsal and ventral compartments [30.]. Even though the ridge is absent and Engrailed 1 is expressed ectopically, cell-lineage restrictions appear to remain intact. All of this taken together suggests that Engrailed 1 does not specify the ventral compartment in either chick or mouse. The molecular mechanism that defines these ectodermal compartments, therefore, remains to be identified.

Even though Engrailed 1 does not specify the ventral compartment, it clearly plays an important role in ridge development although some features are still puzzling. Recent work on mice shows that ectopic ridges form when Engrailed 1 is misexpressed throughout the ridge at low levels, however, no ridge forms when Engrailed 1 is misexpressed at high levels [22...]. Thus it appears that it is only when a boundary of *Engrailed 1* expression falls within the presumptive ridge that ectopic ridges can be induced. One possible explanation is that the ability of ectoderm cells to form a ridge becomes restricted to cells already in the ridge and that other non-ridge ectoderm cells are inhibited from forming a ridge. Recently, there has been the intriguing report in chick embryos that the transcription factor, Cux1, which is expressed in non-ridge ectoderm next to the ridge (and next to induced ectopic ridges) may do just this and prevent non-ridge ectodermal cells from forming ridge [33[•]]. This idea of ridges inhibiting formation of other ridges could also explain why ectopic ridges, which form when Engrailed-1-expressing ectoderm is grafted to dorsal limb bud, seem to be composed of Engrailed-expressing cells [34].

Conclusions

We have concentrated on specific issues about limb initiation but it will be important to work out how these fit into the wider picture. For example, we have discussed signals that initiate limb development but a critical question is how these signals are produced at the correct time and place in vertebrate embryos. In addition, we have not discussed the relationship of these signals with genes that encode 'limbness' such as *Tbx* genes that appear to be responsible for determining forelimb versus hindlimb [35–37]. Other transcription factors, such as Snail, are also expressed in limb-forming regions. *Snail* expression is induced rapidly in response to FGF [7•], although its function needs to be elucidated. Last, as with all repeated structures, we also need to understand spacing and mechanisms that inhibit limb formation in flank.

Acknowledgements

We thank Juan Jose Sanz-Ezquerro for discussions and Angie Blake for help with the figures.

Figure 3



Compartment boundaries and gene expression within the apical ectodermal ridge. Experiments in chick and mouse have defined the extent of cell lineage restricted compartments. The dorsal-ventral midpoint of the apical ridge is represented as a dashed line. In chick-quail chimæras, the midpoint of the ridge is the compartment boundary whereas Dil labelling studies in chick suggest that the dorsal compartment extends throughout the ridge. In mouse, ventral cells, which express *Engrailed 1*, permanently marked with Lac-Z respect a mid-ridge boundary (at least during early stages). Cells labelled with a Lac-Z retrovirus in mouse show cell-lineage restricted boundaries at mid-ridge and at the dorsal and ventral edges of the ridge. The expression of *Engrailed 1* correlates with the extent of the ventral compartment, *Fgf8* is expressed throughout the ridge, *Wnt7a* is expressed in dorsal ectoderm only whereas *radical fringe* is expressed in dorsal ectoderm and throughout the ridge. d, dorsal; v, ventral.

References and recommended reading

Papers of particular interest, published within the annual period of review, have been highlighted as:

- of special interest
- . of outstanding interest
- 1. Cohn M, Izpisua-Belmonte JC, Abud H, Heath JK, Tickle C: Fibroblast growth factors induce additional lib development from the flank of the chick embryos. *Cell* 1995, 80:739-746.
- Min HS, Danilenko DM, Scully SA, Bolon B, Ring BD, Tarpley JE, DeRose M, Simonet WS: Fgf-10 is required for both limb and lung development and exhibits striking functional similarity to *Drosophila* branchless. *Genes Dev* 1998, 12:3156-3161.

- Sekine K, Ohuchi H, Fujiwara M, Yamasaki M, Yoshizawa T, Sato T, Yagishita N, Matsui D, Koga Y, Itoh N, Kato S: Fgf10 is essential for limb and lung formation. Nat Genet 1999, 21:138-141.
- Niswander L, Tickle C, Vogel A, Booth I, Martin G R: FGF4 replaces the apical ectodermal ridge and directs outgrowth and patterning of the limb. *Cell* 1993, 75:579-587.
- Kawakami Y, Capdevilla J, Büscher D, Itoh T, Rodriguez Estaban C, Izpizua-Belmonte JC: Wnt signals control FGF-dependent limb initiation and AER induction in the chick embryo. *Cell* 2001, 104:891-900.

Ground-breaking work that identifies *Wnt2b* and *Wnt8c* in lateral plate mesoderm functioning upstream of *Fgf10* in limb initiation. Misexpression of either Wnts or β -catenin using RCAS retrovirus results in the formation of an ectopic limb in chick flank (inter-limb region). Antagonising β -catenin signalling using RCAS-axin inhibits endogenous limbs. The suggestion is that Wnt3a regulates *Fgf8* expression in the ridge.

- Ohuchi H, Nakagawa T, Yamamoto A, Araga A, Ohata T, Ishimaru Y, Yoshioka H, Kuwana T, Nohno T, Yamasaki M et al.: The mesenchymal factor, FGF10, initiates and maintains the outgrowth of the chick limb bud through interaction with FGF8, an apical ectodermal factor. *Development* 1997, 124:2235-2244.
- Isaac A, Cohn MJ, Ashby P, Ataliotis P, Spicer DB, Cooke J, Tickle CA:
 FGF and genes encoding transcription factors in early limb specification. *Mech Dev* 2000, 93:41-48.

A thorough analysis of timing of ectopic *snail*, *twist*, *Fgf10*, *Tbx4* and *Tbx5* expression in response to FGF beads implanted in chick flank. Expression of the zinc finger transcription factor snail is induced within 1 hour but is not a direct response. T-box genes are induced in 1-1.5 hours by FGF2/FGF8 beads; ectopic limbs express either *Tbx4* or *Tbx5*, indicating that limb identity is specified very early in limb initiation.

- Galceran J, Farinas I, Depew MJ, Clevers H, Grosschedl R: Wnt3a^{-/-}like phenotype and limb deficiency in Lef1(^{-/-})Tcf1(^{-/-}) mice. *Genes Dev* 1999, 13:709-717.
- 9. Farrell ER, Munsterberg AE: CSAL1 is controlled by a combination
 of FGF and Wnt signals in developing limb buds. *Dev Biol* 2000, 225:447-458.

Description of expression and regulation of *CSAL1*, the first chick homologue of *Drosophila spalt*, which encodes a zinc-finger-containing protein during limb development. *CSAL1* expression depends on ridge-derived signals and is also regulated by signals from dorsal ectoderm. Experiments suggest that FG F and Wnt signals act together to activate and maintain normal expression of *CSAL1* in chick limb buds.

- Ladher RK, Anakwe KU, Gurney AL, Schoenwolf GC, Francis-West PH: Identification of synergistic signals initiating inner ear development. Science 2000, 290:1965-1967.
- Wilson S, Rydstrom A, Trimborn T, Willert K, Nusse R, Jessell TM, Edlund T: The status of Wnt signalling regulates neural and epidermal fates in the chick embryo. *Nature* 2001, 411:325-330.
- Revest JM, Spencer-Dene B, Kerr K, DeMoerlooze L, Rosewell I,
 Dickson C: Fibroblast growth factor receptor 2-IIIb acts upstream of Shh and Fgf4 and is required for limb bud maintenance but not for the induction of Fgf8, Fgf10, Msx1 or Bmp4. *Dev Biol* 2001, 231:47-62.

Alternatively spliced receptor isoform FgfR2–*IIIb* was specifically knocked out in mice. This resulted in a phenotype reminiscent of the Fgf10 knockout [2,3] and revealed an essential role for FgfR2–*IIIb* in ridge maintenance. Shh and Fgf4 are not induced in these mice, indicating that they are downstream targets of this receptor isoform.

- Ohuchi H, Hori Y, Yamasaki M, Harade H, Sekine K, Kato S, Itoh N: FGF10 acts as a major ligand for FGF receptor 2 IIIb in mouse multi-organ development. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2000, 277:643-649.
- 14. Lewandoski M, Sun X, Martin G R: Fgf8 signalling from the AER is essential for normal limb development. *Nat Genet* 2000, 26:460-463.

To circumvent lethality of *Fgf8* null mice, two groups $[14^{\bullet\bullet}, 15^{\bullet\bullet}]$ produced conditional alleles in order to investigate the role of FGF8 in limb development. This study describes the conditional knockout of *Fgf8* using the *Msx2* promoter driving Cre-recombinase. As a result, *Fgf8* activity is never seen in the apical ectodermal ridge of hindlimb buds. The authors observed a substantial reduction in limb bud size, a delay in *Shh* expression, misregulation of *Fgf4* expression, and hypoplasia or aplasia of anterior and proximal skeletal elements.

15. Moon AM, Capecchi MR: Fgf8 is required for outgrowth and •• patterning of the limbs. *Nat Genet* 2000, 26:455-459.

This study describes a conditional knockout of *Fgt8* using the retinoic acid receptor $\beta 2$ promoter driving Cre-recombinase. The *RAR* $\beta 2$ promoter is active in the apical ridge of forelimb and thus *Fgt8* transcripts are never seen in developing forelimb buds. The authors report defects similar to those seen in [14**], increased apoptosis and, similar to [14**], increased *Fgt4* expression; in contrast, Fgf10 expression was downregulated.

- 16. Moon AM, Boulet AM, Capecchi MR: Normal limb development in conditional mutants of Fgf4. *Development* 2000, 127:989-996.
- Sun X, Lewandoski M, Meyers EN, Liu Y-H, Maxson REJ, Martin G R: Conditional inactivation of Fgf4 reveals complexity of signaling during limb bud development. Nat Genet 2000, 25:83-86.
- Summerbell D, Lewis JH, Wolpert L: Positional information in chick limb morphogenesis. *Nature* 1973, 224:492-496.
- Wolpert L, Tickle C, Sampford M: The effect of cell killing by x-irradiation on pattern formation in the chick limb. J Embryol Exp Morphol 1979, 50:175-193.
- Michaud JL, Lapointe F, Le Douarin NM: The dorsoventral polarity of the presumptive limb is determined by signals produced by the somites and by the lateral somatopleure. *Development* 1997, 124:1453-1463.
- Altabef M, Clarke JD, Tickle C: Dorso-ventral ectodermal compartments and origin of apical ectodermal ridge in developing chick limb. *Development* 1997, 124:4547-4556.
- Kimmel RA, Turnbull DH, Blanquet V, Wurst W, Loomis CA, Joyner AL:
 Two lineage boundaries coordinate vertebrate apical ectodermal ridge formation. *Genes Dev* 2000, 14:1377-1389.

A very comprehensive study of cell lineage restrictions in the ectoderm of limb-forming regions in mice using two different techniques. The authors establish that the ventral compartment has a boundary at the ridge mid-point, as does the dorsal compartment. This mid-ridge compartment boundary is transient. An additional compartment boundary is found at the dorsal edge of the apical ridge. When *Engrailed 1* is misexpressed throughout the ridge, this affects ridge formation but not compartmentalisation.

- Tanaka M, Cohn MJ, Ashby P, Davey M, Martin P, Tickle C: Distribution of polarizing activity and potential for limb formation in mouse and chick embryos and possible relationships to polydactyly. *Development* 2000, 127:4011-4021.
- Laufer E, Dahn R, Orozco OE, Yeo CY, Pisenti J, Henrique D, Abbott UK, Fallon JF, Tabin C: Expression of *radical fringe* in limbbud ectoderm regulates apical ectodermal ridge formation. *Nature* 1997, 386:366-373.
- Rodriguez-Esteban C, Schwabe JW, De La Pena J, Foys B, Eshelman B, Belmonte JC: *Radical fringe* positions the apical ectodermal ridge at the dorsoventral boundary of the vertebrate limb. *Nature* 1997, 386:360-366.
- Bruckner K, Perez L, Clausen H, Cohen S: Glycosyltransferase activity of fringe modulates notch-delta interactions. *Nature* 2000, 406:411-415.
- 27. Munro S, Freeman M: The notch signalling regulator fringe acts in the *Golgi* apparatus and requires the glycosyltransferase signature motif DXD. *Curr Biol* 2000, 10:813-820.
- Moloney DJ, Panin VM, Johnston SH, Chen J, Shao L, Wilson R, Wang Y, Stanley P, Irvine KD, Haltiwanger RS, Vogt TF: Fringe is a glycosyltransferase that modifies notch. *Nature* 2000, 406:369-375.
- 29. Myat A, Henrique D, Ish-Horowicz D, Lewis J: A chick homologue of *serrate* and its relationship with *notch* and *delta* homologues during central neurogenesis. *Dev Biol* 1996, 174:233-247.
- Altabef M, Logan C, Tickle C, Lumsden A: *Engrailed-1* misexpression in chick embryos prevents apical ridge formation but preserves segregation of dorsal and ventral ectodermal compartments. *Dev Biol* 2000, 222:307-316.

Here the authors show in double-labelling experiments in chick embryos that ventral cells lie within the *Engrailed* expression domain; misexpression of *Engrailed* throughout the ectoderm abolishes ridge formation but cell-lineage restricted compartment remains intact.

 Loomis CA, Harris E, Michaud J, Wurst W, Hanks M, Joyner AL: The mouse Engrailed-1 gene and ventral limb patterning. Nature 1996, 382:360-363.

- Logan C C, Hornbruch A, Campbell I, Lumsden A: The role of Engrailed in establishing the dorso-ventral axis in chick limb. Development 1997, 124:2317-2324.
- 33. Tavares AT, Tsukui T, Izpisua Belmonte JC: Evidence that members
- of the Cut/Cux/CDP family may be involved in AER positioning and polarizing activity during chick limb development. Development 2000, 127:5133-5144

Two chick homologues of the *Drosophila* transcription factor *cut* were isolated, named *Cux1* and *Cux2*. The homologue Cut is an effector of notch signalling in the wing margin in fly. In chick, *Cux1* overexpression suggests that it may play a role in limiting the extent of apical ridge by preventing ectodermal cells around it from differentiating into apical ridge. *Cux2* expression in posterior limb mesenchyme and its regulation by retinoic acid and Shh indicates that it may mediate polarising activity.

- Tanaka M, Shigetani Y, Sugiyama S, Tamura K, Nakamura H, Ide H: Apical ectodermal ridge induction by the transplantation of *En-1*over-expressing ectoderm in the chick limb bud. *Dev Growth Differ* 1998, 40:423-429.
- Rodriguez-Esteban C, Tsukui T, Yonei S, Magallon J, Tamura K, Izpisua Belmonte JC: The T-box genes *Tbx4* and *Tbx5* regulate limb outgrowth and identity. *Nature* 1999, 398:814-818.
- Takeuchi JK, Koshiba-Takeuchi K, Matsumoto K, Vogel-Hopker A, Naitoh-Matsuo M, Ogura K, Takahashi N, Yasu da K, Ogura T: *Tbx5* and *Tbx4* genes determine the wing/leg identity of limb buds. *Nature* 1999, 398:810-814.
- 37. Logan M, Tabin CJ: Role of *Pitx1* upstream of *Tbx4* in specification of hindlimb identity. *Science* 1999, **283**:1736-1739.