

Broom, M., Hadjichrysanthou, C., Rychtar, J. & Stadler, B. T. (2010). Two results on evolutionary processes on general non-directed graphs. Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences, 466(2121), 2795 - 2798. doi: 10.1098/rspa.2010.0067 <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspa.2010.0067">http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspa.2010.0067</a>



City Research Online

**Original citation**: Broom, M., Hadjichrysanthou, C., Rychtar, J. & Stadler, B. T. (2010). Two results on evolutionary processes on general non-directed graphs. Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences, 466(2121), 2795 - 2798. doi: 10.1098/rspa.2010.0067 < http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspa.2010.0067>

Permanent City Research Online URL: http://openaccess.city.ac.uk/972/

## **Copyright & reuse**

City University London has developed City Research Online so that its users may access the research outputs of City University London's staff. Copyright © and Moral Rights for this paper are retained by the individual author(s) and/ or other copyright holders. Users may download and/ or print one copy of any article(s) in City Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. Users may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain. All material in City Research Online is checked for eligibility for copyright before being made available in the live archive. URLs from City Research Online may be freely distributed and linked to from other web pages.

# Versions of research

The version in City Research Online may differ from the final published version. Users are advised to check the Permanent City Research Online URL above for the status of the paper.

# Enquiries

If you have any enquiries about any aspect of City Research Online, or if you wish to make contact with the author(s) of this paper, please email the team at <u>publications@city.ac.uk</u>.

# Two results on evolutionary processes on general non-directed graphs

BY M. BROOM<sup>1</sup>, C. HADJICHRYSANTHOU<sup>1</sup>, J. RYCHTÁŘ<sup>2</sup>, B.T. STADLER<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Centre for Mathematical Science, City University, London EC1V 0HB, UK

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics and Statistics, The University of North Carolina at Greensboro, NC 27402, USA

<sup>3</sup> Department of Computer Science, The University of North Carolina at Greensboro, NC 27402, USA

## 1. Introduction

The paper Broom & Rychtář (2008) analytically investigated the probability for mutants to fixate in an otherwise uniform population on two types of heterogeneous graphs (lines and stars) by evolutionary dynamics. The main motivation for concentrating on those two types of graphs only was the potentially exponential size of the system of linear equations (see (1.1) below) yielding the fixation probability on general heterogenous graphs. The size of the system was given by formula (4.1) from Broom & Rychtář (2008). It turns out that formula (4.1) is in fact only a lower bound for the size of the system and in this paper we correct this by deriving a formula for the exact size of the system (1.1). We also solve the system (1.1) for general heterogeneous graphs in the case of random drift.

Let G = (V, E) be an undirected graph, where V is the set of vertices and E is the set of edges. We assume that the graph is finite, connected and simple, i.e. no vertex is connected to itself and there are no parallel edges. The graph structure is represented by a matrix  $W = (w_{ij})$ , where

$$w_{ij} = \begin{cases} d_i^{-1}, & \text{if } i \text{ and } j \text{ are connected,} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where  $d_i$  is the degree of the vertex i, i.e. the number of edges incident to the vertex i.

The evolutionary dynamics on graphs is described e.g. in Lieberman *et al.* (2005) and is treated as a discrete time Markov chain. At the beginning, a vertex is chosen at random and replaced by a mutant with fitness r, all remaining vertices having fitness 1. At subsequent steps, a randomly chosen individual replicates with a probability proportional to its fitness and its offspring replaces an individual at a randomly chosen neighbouring vertex. The process stops when there are no mutants or no resident individuals in the graph. Each state of the dynamics is described by a set  $C \subseteq V$ , a set of vertices inhabited by mutants.

Let  $P_C$  denote the probability of mutant fixation given that mutants currently inhabit a set C. The rules of the dynamics yield (see Broom & Rychtář (2008);

Article submitted to Royal Society

Lieberman et al. (2005)),

$$P_C = \frac{\sum_{i \in C} \sum_{j \notin C} \left( rw_{ij} P_{C \cup \{j\}} + w_{ji} P_{C \setminus \{i\}} \right)}{\sum_{i \in C} \sum_{j \notin C} \left( rw_{ij} + w_{ji} \right)}$$
(1.1)

with  $P_{\emptyset} = 0$  and  $P_V = 1$ . This system has a unique solution following Broom & Rychtář (2008).

For what classes of graphs can the system (1.1) be solved explicitly? Lieberman *et al.* (2005) solved it for regular graphs (where  $w_{ij} = w_{ji}$ ). Broom & Rychtář (2008) solved it for stars and significantly reduced the size of the system for lines. Below we shall solve the system for general graphs and r = 1, but first we consider the size of the system.

### 2. The number of mutant-resident formations

At every vertex of a graph G there can be either a resident or a mutant; and thus up to  $2^{|V|}$  potential mutant-resident formations or patterns. Let a mutant-resident formation be represented by a function  $m: V \mapsto \{0, 1\}$  (0 for resident, 1 for mutant).

The (finite) automorphism group Aut(G) of the graph G acts on a set of formations  $M = \{m : V \mapsto \{0, 1\}\}$  by

$$(f \circ m)(v) = m(f^{-1}(v)) \tag{2.1}$$

for every vertex v whenever  $f \in Aut(G)$ ,  $m \in M$ . We say that two formations mand m' are equivalent, if there is an automorphism f such that  $m' = f \circ m$  (and thus  $m = f^{-1} \circ m'$ ). The number of unknowns in the system (1.1) is equal to the number of equivalence classes of mutant-resident formations |M/Aut(G)|. Burnside's orbit counting theorem (Tucker, 1994) yields

$$|M/Aut(G)| = \frac{1}{|Aut(G)|} \left( \sum_{f \in Aut(G)} |M^f| \right)$$
(2.2)

where  $|M^f|$  denotes the number of the elements of M fixed by f.

It is easy to see that if f is any permutation of vertices (this includes any automorphism of the graph), then  $f \circ m = m$  exactly for those m that are constant on the cycles of permutation f. Hence, if C(f) denotes the number of cycles of a permutation f (fixed points - as elements of V - of the permutation f count as one cycle), then every automorphism f fixes exactly  $2^{C(f)}$  formations m because one can have only all 0's or all 1's on every cycle of f. Thus, the total count of equivalence classes of m and thus the number of mutant-resident formations, MRF(G), is given by

$$MRF(G) = \frac{1}{|Aut(G)|} \left( \sum_{f \in Aut(G)} 2^{C(f)} \right).$$
(2.3)

Consequently, since the number given by formula (2.3) is at least as big as the number given by formula (4.1) from Broom & Rychtář (2008), the main point of

that paper is still valid as formula (4.1) was shown to emphasize the large size of the system (1.1) in a general heterogeneous graph.

#### 3. Random drift, the case when r = 1

For the case of random drift, r = 1, the solution of (1.1) is given by

$$P_C = \frac{\sum_{i \in C} {d_i}^{-1}}{\sum_{k \in V} {d_k}^{-1}}.$$
(3.1)

which can be checked by direct substitution. To derive the formula (3.1), assume that, for disjoint sets  $C, D \subset V$ ,

$$P_{C\cup D} = P_C + P_D. \tag{3.2}$$

By (3.2), the system (1.1) is equivalent to

$$\sum_{i \in C} \sum_{j \notin C} w_{ji} P_{\{i\}} = \sum_{i \in C} \sum_{j \notin C} w_{ij} P_{\{j\}}$$

which is satisfied if, for all i, j,

$$w_{ji}P_{\{i\}} = w_{ij}P_{\{j\}}.$$

Consequently, whenever vertices i and j are connected,

$$\frac{P_{\{i\}}}{P_{\{j\}}} = \frac{d_j}{d_i} \tag{3.3}$$

Since the graph is connected, the repeated application of (3.3) along a path between any two vertices i, j shows that (3.3) holds even when i, j are not connected by an edge. Since, by (3.2) and (3.3),

$$1 = P_V = \sum_{j \in V} P_{\{j\}} = P_{\{i\}} \sum_{j \in V} \frac{d_i}{d_j},$$
(3.4)

we get

$$P_{\{i\}} = \left(\sum_{k \in V} \frac{d_i}{d_k}\right)^{-1}.$$
 (3.5)

The formula (3.1) then follows from (3.5) and (3.2). The assumptions (3.2) and (3.3) can now be justified by the uniqueness of the solution of the system (1.1). Note that (3.2) cannot hold for general r. For example, if r is very large,  $P_{C\cup D} \approx P_C \approx P_D \approx 1$  which violates (3.2). Yet, as shown above, (3.2) holds when r = 1.

## 4. Discussion

The two results that we have presented apply for general graphs. Previous analytical work, including Broom & Rychtář (2008), only consider very special graphs. The large size of the system of equations (2.3) makes it very difficult to find analytical results in the general case, of which (3.1) is a very special example. In fact (2.3) is very useful in considering whether an analytical approach should be made, as the larger the value of MRF(G) the more difficult the system of equations is to deal with in general. Two of the simplest graphs that have received the most attention so far, the complete graph and the star, have values of MRF(G) of |V|+1 and 2|V|respectively. Interestingly the circle and the line, which have also been investigated, have much larger values of MRF(G), but many states cannot be accessed from the initial state of a single mutant (it was shown in Broom & Rychtář (2008) that these were the only graphs for which this was true).

#### References

- Broom, M. & Rychtář, J. 2008 An analysis of the fixation probability of a mutant on special classes of non-directed graphs. *Proc. R. Soc. A* 464 2609–2627. (doi: 10.1098/rspa.2008.0058)
- Lieberman, E., Hauert, C., Nowak, M.A. 2005 Evolutionary Dynamics on Graphs. Nature 433, 312–316. (doi: 10.1038/nature03204)

Tucker, A.C. 1994 Applied combinatorics, 3rd ed. New York: Wiley.