CORE
Metadata, citation and similar papers at core.ac.uk
Provided by City Research Online

De Visscher, M. (2002). Extensions of modules for SL(2,K). Journal of Algebra, 254(2), 409 - 421.
doi: 10.1016/S0021-8693(02)00084-4 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0021-8693(02)00084-4>

CITY UNIVERSITY LONDON

City Research Online

Original citation: De Visscher, M. (2002). Extensions of modules for SL(2,K). Journal of Algebra, 254(2), 409 - 421. doi: 10.1016/S0021-8693(02)00084-4 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0021-8693(02)00084-4>

Permanent City Research Online URL: http://openaccess.city.ac.uk/970/

Copyright & reuse

City University London has developed City Research Online so that its users may access the research outputs of City University London's staff. Copyright © and Moral Rights for this paper are retained by the individual author(s) and/ or other copyright holders. Users may download and/ or print one copy of any article(s) in City Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. Users may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain. All material in City Research Online is checked for eligibility for copyright before being made available in the live archive. URLs from City Research Online may be freely distributed and linked to from other web pages.

Versions of research

The version in City Research Online may differ from the final published version. Users are advised to check the Permanent City Research Online URL above for the status of the paper.

Enquiries

If you have any enquiries about any aspect of City Research Online, or if you wish to make contact with the author(s) of this paper, please email the team at publications@city.ac.uk.

Extensions of modules for $SL(2, K)$

Maud De Visscher

University College, Oxford OX14BH, U.K.

devissch@maths.ox.ac.uk

Proposed running head:

Extensions of modules for $SL(2, K)$

Address for correspondence:

Maud De Visscher, University College, Oxford OX14BH, U.K.

In this paper, we consider the induced modules ∇ and the Weyl modules Δ for the algebraic group $G = SL(2, K)$ where K is an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$. We determine the G-modules $H^i(G_1, \nabla(s) \otimes \nabla(t))$ for all $i \geq 0$, where G_1 is the first Frobenius kernel of G. We then use it to find the Ext^1-spaces between twisted tensor products of Weyl modules and induced modules for G. Moreover, we describe explicitly the non-split extensions corresponding to ∇'s.

Key words: special linear group, symmetric powers, decomposition matrix.

Introduction

In the theory of highest weight categories, the classes of modules ∇ and Δ are of central interest. In particular, twisted tensor products of these modules occur as important subquotients of ∇ and Δ (see [12] and [13]).
Here we consider these modules for the group $G = SL(2, K)$,the special linear group of dimension 2 over an algebraically closed field K of characteristic $p > 0$. Suppose that $F : G \longrightarrow G$ is the corresponding Frobenius morphism and let G_1 denote the first Frobenius kernel of G. If V is a G-module then we denote by V^F its Frobenius twist. Considered as a G_1-module, V^F is trivial. Conversely, if W is a G-module on which G_1 acts trivially then $W \cong V^F$ for a unique G-module V and we write $W^{(-1)} := V$.

Consider the Borel subgroup B of G consisting of lower triangular matrices and for $\lambda \in N$, let K_λ denote the 1-dimensional B-module of weight λ. Define the *induced* G-module $\nabla(\lambda)$ by

$$\nabla(\lambda) := \mathrm{Ind}_B^G(K_\lambda).$$

This is isomorphic to the symmetric power $S^\lambda E$ where E is the natural 2-dimensional G-module. The *Weyl* G-modules, $\Delta(\lambda)$, are defined by

$$\Delta(\lambda) := \nabla(\lambda)^*.$$

Note that $\mathrm{soc}\nabla(\lambda) = \mathrm{top}\Delta(\lambda) = L(\lambda)$ is simple and $\{L(\lambda)\,,\, \lambda \in N\}$ form a complete set of non-isomorphic simple G-modules. For $0 \leq \lambda \leq p-1$ we have $L(\lambda) = \nabla(\lambda) = \Delta(\lambda)$ and in general Steinberg's tensor product theorem tells us that if $\lambda = \sum_{i\geq 0} \lambda_i p^i$ is the p-adic expansion of λ then $L(\lambda)$ is given by

$$L(\lambda) = \bigotimes_{i\geq 0} L(\lambda_i)^{F^i}.$$

The simple G-modules are thus self-dual.

The modules $\nabla(\lambda)$ and $\Delta(\lambda)$ have highest weight λ occuring with multiplicity 1 and all their other weights μ satisfy $\mu < \lambda$.

In order to prove our results, we use the Lyndon-Hochschild-Serre 5-term exact sequence relating the Ext^1-spaces of G and G_1. For a rational G-module V, we have the exact sequence (see [3])

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1(G, (V^{G_1})^{(-1)}) \longrightarrow H^1(G, V) \longrightarrow H^1(G_1, V)^G \longrightarrow H^2(G, (V^{G_1})^{(-1)}) \longrightarrow H^2(G, V).$$

In Section 1, we describe properties of G_1-modules and we compute $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{G_1}(\Delta, \nabla)$ for $i \geq 0$ as G-modules. In Section 2, we use the 5-term exact sequence above and the results of Section 1 to compute $\mathrm{Ext}^1_G(\nabla(r)^{F^n} \otimes \Delta(s), \nabla(k)^{F^n} \otimes \nabla(t))$ for $0 \leq k, r$ and $0 \leq s, t \leq p^n - 1$. In particular, we show that it has at most dimension 1. We also find explicitly the non-split extensions corresponding to a ∇. This filtration of ∇ by twisted tensor product of ∇'s and Δ's explains the symmetries observed in the decomposition matrix of G.

1 Computing $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{G_1}(\Delta, \nabla)$

The category of G_1-modules is equivalent to the category of U-modules where U is the restricted Lie algebra of G. In particular, U is a self-injective algebra (see [15]). This category is very well understood ([9],[14]). The simple U-modules are the restriction of the $L(i)$ for $0 \leq i \leq p-1$ and the corresponding projective U-modules $P(i)$ have the following structure: for $0 \leq i \leq p-2$, $\mathrm{soc}P(i) = \mathrm{top}P(i) = L(i)$ and $\mathrm{rad}P(i)/\mathrm{soc}P(i) = L(j) \oplus L(j)$ where $i+j = p-2$ and for $i = p-1$ the projective module $P(p-1) = L(p-1)$ is simple. Thus the projective module $P(p-1)$ is alone in its block and $P(i)$and $P(j)$ belong to the same block if and only if $i = j$ or $i + j = p-2$.

For an indecomposable non-projective U-module M, we denote by $\Omega(M)$ the kernel of the projective cover of M (and we define inductively $\Omega^k(M) = \Omega(\Omega^{k-1}(M))$. Similarly, we define $\Omega^{-1}(M)$ to be the cokernel of the injective hull of M (and we define inductively $\Omega^{-k}(M))$. The projective (injective) G_1-modules are restrictions of G-modules and for $n \geq 0$, we have an exact sequence of G-modules ([17], [4])

$$0 \longrightarrow \nabla(np+i) \longrightarrow P(i) \otimes \nabla(n)^F \longrightarrow \nabla((n+1)p+j) \longrightarrow 0.$$

The restriction of this sequence to G_1 gives the projective cover of $\nabla((n+1)p+j)$ and the injective hull of $\nabla(np+i)$.
The G_1-module $\nabla(np+i)$ has Loewy length 2 for $n \geq 1$. We have a sequence of G-modules ([17], [12])

$$0 \longrightarrow \nabla(n)^F \otimes \nabla(i) \longrightarrow \nabla(np+i) \longrightarrow \nabla(n-1)^F \otimes \Delta(j) \longrightarrow 0 \qquad (1)$$

and its restriction to G_1 gives the Loewy series of $\nabla(np+i)$ as a G_1-module.

Note finally that if V, W and X are G-modules and $n \geq 0$ then $\mathrm{Ext}^n_{G_1}(V, W)$

has a natural structure of G-module and

$$\mathrm{Ext}^n_{G_1}(V, W \otimes X^F) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^n_{G_1}(V, W) \otimes X^F$$

as G-modules.

W. van der Kallen proved in [16] that if V is a G-module with a good filtration (that is a filtration with quotients isomorphic to some ∇'s) then $H^0(G_1, V)^{(-1)}$ has a good filtration and hence, by dimension shifting (see [7]), $H^i(G_1, V)^{(-1)}$ has a good filtration for all $i \geq 0$. Note that the module $V = \nabla \otimes \nabla$ has a good filtration and the next two Propositions give the G-modules $H^i(G_1, V) = \mathrm{Ext}^i_{G_1}(\Delta, \nabla)$ for $i \geq 0$.

Write $t = t_1 p + t_0$ and $s = s_1 p + s_0$ where $0 \leq s_0, t_0 \leq p - 1$.

Proposition 1.1 *For $i \geq 1$ we have*

$$\mathrm{Ext}^i_{G_1}(\Delta(s), \nabla(t)) \cong \begin{cases} \nabla(s_1 + t_1 + i)^F & \textit{if } s_0 + t_0 = p - 2 \textit{ and } i \textit{ odd} \\ & \textit{or } s_0 = t_0 \leq p - 2 \textit{ and } i \textit{ even} \\ & \\ 0 & \textit{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof:

From the block structure of G_1 we only need to consider the cases $s_0 = t_0$ and $s_0 + t_0 = p - 2$. Note that if $s_0 = t_0 = p - 1$ then $\Delta(s)$ and $\nabla(t)$ are projective and so there is no non-split extension. Now suppose $s_0, t_0 \leq p - 2$.

$$\mathrm{Ext}^i_{G_1}(\Delta(s_1 p + s_0), \nabla(t_1 p + t_0) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^i_{G_1}(\Omega^{-s_1}(\Delta(s_1 p + s_0), \Omega^{-s_1}(\nabla(t_1 p + t_0)))$$

$$\cong \begin{cases} \operatorname{Ext}^i_{G_1}(\Delta(s_0), \nabla((s_1+t_1)p+t_0)) & \text{if } s_1 \text{ even} \\ \operatorname{Ext}^i_{G_1}(\Delta(p-2-s_0), \nabla((s_1+t_1)p+p-2-t_0)) & \text{if } s_1 \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

Now consider the exact sequence,

$$0 \to \nabla((s_1+t_1)p+t_0) \to P(t_0) \otimes \nabla(s_1+t_1)^F \to \nabla((s_1+t_1+1)p+p-2-t_0) \to 0$$

and apply $\operatorname{Hom}_{G_1}(\Delta(s_0), -)$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \to \operatorname{Hom}_{G_1}(\Delta(s_0), \nabla((s_1+t_1)p+t_0)) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{G_1}(\Delta(s_0), P(t_0) \otimes \nabla(s_1+t_1)^F) \\ \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{G_1}(\Delta(s_0), \nabla((s_1+t_1+1)p+p-2-t_0)) \\ \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^1_{G_1}(\Delta(s_0), \nabla((s_1+t_1)p+t_0)) \to 0 \qquad (2) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\operatorname{Ext}^{i+1}_{G_1}(\Delta(s_0), \nabla((s_1+t_1)p+t_0)) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^i_{G_1}(\Delta(s_0), \nabla((s_1+t_1+1)p+p-2-t_0)).$$

Thus, if we prove the case $i = 1$ then the result follows by induction. Now, observe that in the exact sequence (2) the first two terms are isomorphic ($\Delta(s_0)$ is simple and $P(t_0) \otimes \nabla(s_1+t_1)^F$ is the injective hull of $\nabla((s_1+t_1)p+t_0)$), hence the last two terms are isomorphic too and we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname{Ext}^1_{G_1}(\Delta(s_0), \nabla((s_1+t_1)p+t_0)) \\ &\cong \operatorname{Hom}_{G_1}(\Delta(s_0), \nabla((s_1+t_1+1)p+p-2-t_0)) \\ &\cong \operatorname{Hom}_{G_1}(\Delta(s_0), P(p-2-t_0) \otimes \nabla(s_1+t_1+1)^F) \\ &\cong \operatorname{Hom}_{G_1}(\Delta(s_0), P(p-2-t_0)) \otimes \nabla(s_1+t_1+1)^F \\ &\cong \begin{cases} \nabla(s_1+t_1+1)^F & \text{if } s_0+t_0 = p-2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

The proposition then follows by induction on i. QED

Proposition 1.2

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{G_1}(\Delta(s_1p+s_0),\nabla(t_1p+t_0)) \cong \begin{cases} (\nabla(s_1)\otimes\nabla(t_1))^F & \text{if } s_0=t_0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof:

Note that by the decomposition into blocks of G_1, we only need to consider the cases $s_0+t_0=p-2$ and $s_0=t_0$. Suppose for a start that $s_0,t_0\leq p-2$. Consider the exact sequence

$$0\longrightarrow\nabla(t_1)^F\otimes\nabla(t_0)\longrightarrow\nabla(t_1p+t_0)\longrightarrow\nabla(t_1-1)^F\otimes\Delta(p-2-t_0)\longrightarrow 0.$$

Apply $\mathrm{Hom}_{G_1}(\Delta(s_1p+s_0),-)$ to get the exact sequence

$$0\to\mathrm{Hom}_{G_1}(\Delta(s_1p+s_0),\nabla(t_1)^F\otimes\nabla(t_0))\to\mathrm{Hom}_{G_1}(\Delta(s_1p+s_0),\nabla(t_1p+t_0))$$

$$\to\mathrm{Hom}_{G_1}(\Delta(s_1p+s_0),\nabla(t_1-1)^F\otimes\Delta(p-2-t_0))\to\mathrm{Ext}^1_{G_1}(\Delta(s_1p+s_0),\nabla(t_1)^F\otimes\nabla(t_0))$$

$$\to\mathrm{Ext}^1_{G_1}(\Delta(s_1p+s_0),\nabla(t_1p+t_0)). \qquad (3)$$

Now,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{G_1}(\Delta(s_1p+s_0),\nabla(t_1)^F\otimes\nabla(t_0))\cong\mathrm{Hom}_{G_1}(\nabla(t_0),\nabla(s_1p+s_0))\otimes\nabla(t_1)^F$$

$$\cong\mathrm{Hom}_{G_1}(\nabla(t_0),P(s_0))\otimes\nabla(s_1)^F\otimes\nabla(t_1)^F$$

$$\cong\begin{cases}(\nabla(s_1)\otimes\nabla(t_1))^F & \text{if } s_0=t_0\\ 0 & \text{otherwise,}\end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Hom}_{G_1}(\Delta(s_1p+s_0), \nabla(t_1-1)^F \otimes \Delta(p-2-t_0)) \\
&\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{G_1}(\nabla(p-2-t_0), \nabla(s_1p+s_0)) \otimes \nabla(t_1-1)^F \\
&\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{G_1}(\nabla(p-2-t_0), P(s_0)) \otimes \nabla(s_1)^F \otimes \nabla(t_1-1)^F \\
&\cong \begin{cases} (\nabla(s_1) \otimes \nabla(t_1-1))^F & \text{if } s_0+t_0=p-2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Using Proposition 1.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Ext}^1_{G_1}(\Delta(s_1p+s_0), \nabla(t_1)^F \otimes \nabla(t_0)) &\cong \mathrm{Ext}^1_{G_1}(\nabla(t_0), \nabla(s_1p+s_0)) \otimes \nabla(t_1)^F \\
&\cong \begin{cases} (\nabla(s_1+1) \otimes \nabla(t_1))^F & \text{if } s_0+t_0=p-2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1_{G_1}(\Delta(s_1p+s_0), \nabla(t_1p+t_0)) \cong \begin{cases} \nabla(s_1+t_1+1)^F & \text{if } s_0+t_0=p-2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

So if $s_0+t_0=p-2$ and $p>2$ (i.e. $s_0 \neq t_0$), then the exact sequence (3) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
0 \quad \longrightarrow \quad & \mathrm{Hom}_{G_1}(\Delta(s_1p+s_0), \nabla(t_1p+t_0)) \longrightarrow (\nabla(s_1) \otimes \nabla(t_1-1))^F \\
\longrightarrow \quad & (\nabla(s_1+1) \otimes \nabla(t_1))^F \longrightarrow \nabla(s_1+t_1+1)^F.
\end{aligned}$$

As

$$\dim(\nabla(s_1+1) \otimes \nabla(t_1))^F = \dim(\nabla(s_1) \otimes \nabla(t_1-1))^F + \dim \nabla(s_1+t_1+1)^F,$$

we deduce that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{G_1}(\Delta(s_1p+s_0), \nabla(t_1p+t_0)) = 0.$$

If $s_0 = t_0$ and $p = 2$, the exact sequence (3) has the form

$$0 \longrightarrow (\nabla(s_1) \otimes \nabla(t_1))^F \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{G_1}(\Delta(s_1 2 + s_0), \nabla(t_1 2 + t_0))$$
$$\longrightarrow (\nabla(s_1) \otimes \nabla(t_1 - 1))^F \longrightarrow (\nabla(s_1 + 1) \otimes \nabla(t_1))^F \longrightarrow \nabla(s_1 + t_1 + 1)^F.$$

Hence,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{G_1}(\Delta(s_1 2 + s_0), \nabla(t_1 2 + t_0)) \cong (\nabla(s_1) \otimes \nabla(t_1))^F.$$

Finally if $s_0 = t_0$ and $p > 2$ then clearly

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{G_1}(\Delta(s_1 p + s_0), \nabla(t_1 p + t_0)) \cong (\nabla(s_1) \otimes \nabla(t_1))^F.$$

In the case where $s_0 = t_0 = p - 1$, we have the following

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(s_1 p + s_0) &\cong \Delta(s_1)^F \otimes \Delta(p - 1) \\ \nabla(t_1 p + t_0) &\cong \nabla(t_1)^F \otimes \nabla(p - 1), \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{Hom}_{G_1}(\Delta(s_1 p + (p - 1)), \nabla(t_1 p + (p - 1))) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{G_1}(\Delta(p - 1), \nabla(p - 1)) \otimes (\nabla(s_1) \otimes \nabla(t_1))^F \\ &\cong (\nabla(s_1) \otimes \nabla(t_1))^F. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. QED

2 Extensions of G-modules

In [5] and [8], Cox and Erdmann determined the Ext^1 and the Hom spaces between $\nabla(\lambda)$ and $\nabla(\mu)$ for arbitrary weights λ and μ. For completeness and to fix our notation, we state their result here.

For $0 \leq a \leq p-1$ denote by $\hat{a}$, the integer such that $a + \hat{a} = p-1$. For a weight μ, define

$$\psi^0(\mu) = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{u-1} \hat{\mu_i} p^i \ : \ u \geq 0 \right\}$$

and

$$\psi^1(\mu) = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{u-1} \hat{\mu_i} p^i + p^{u+a} \ : \ \hat{\mu_u} \neq 0, \ , a \geq 1, \ u \geq 0 \right\} \cup \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{u} \hat{\mu_i} p^i \ : \ \hat{\mu_u} \neq 0, \ u \geq 0 \right\}.$$

With this notation we have,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_G(\nabla(\lambda), \nabla(\mu)) \quad \cong \quad \begin{cases} K & \text{if} \quad \lambda = \mu + 2d, \ d \in \psi^0(\mu) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

and

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1_G(\nabla(\lambda), \nabla(\mu)) \quad \cong \quad \begin{cases} K & \text{if} \quad \lambda = \mu + 2e, \ e \in \psi^1(\mu) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

In [2], Cline determined all the Ext^1-spaces between simple G-modules. In particular, for simple modules $\nabla(r)^F \otimes \nabla(s)$ and $\nabla(k)^F \otimes \nabla(t)$, he proved that

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1_G(\nabla(r)^F \otimes \nabla(s), \nabla(k)^F \otimes \nabla(t)) \cong \begin{cases} K & \text{if } r = k \pm 1, \ s + t = p - 2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The following theorem extends this result.

Theorem 2.1 *Let $0 \leq k, r$ and $0 \leq s, t \leq p^n - 1$ then we have*

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1_G(\nabla(r)^{F^n} \otimes \Delta(s), \nabla(k)^{F^n} \otimes \nabla(t)) \cong \begin{cases} K \quad \text{if} & \begin{array}{l} r = k + 2e,\ e \in \psi^1(k) \\ s = t \end{array} \\ & \\ \quad\ \text{or} & \begin{array}{l} r = k \pm 1 + 2d,\ d \in \psi^0(k) \\ t = t_0 + t_1 p^i,\ 0 \leq t_0 \leq p^i - 1 \\ s = t_0 + (p^{n-i} - 2 - t_1)p^i \end{array} \\ & \\ 0 \quad \text{otherwise} & \end{cases}$$

Proof:

In order to prove this theorem, we use the five terms exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1(G, (V^{G_1})^{(-1)}) \longrightarrow H^1(G, V) \longrightarrow H^1(G_1, V)^G \longrightarrow H^2(G, (V^{G_1})^{(-1)}) \longrightarrow H^2(G, V),$$

with $V = \Delta(r)^{F^n} \otimes \nabla(k)^{F^n} \otimes \nabla(s) \otimes \nabla(t)$.

Write $s = s_1 p + s_0$ and $t = t_1 p + t_0$. Let us first compute $H^1(G, (V^{G_1})^{(-1)})$.

Using Proposition 1.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} V^{G_1} &= \mathrm{Hom}_{G_1}(\Delta(s), \nabla(t)) \otimes \Delta(r)^{F^n} \otimes \nabla(k)^{F^n} \\ &\cong \begin{cases} (\nabla(s_1) \otimes \nabla(t_1))^F \otimes \Delta(r)^{F^n} \otimes \nabla(k)^{F^n} & \text{if } s_0 = t_0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$(V^{G_1})^{(-1)} \cong \begin{cases} \nabla(s_1) \otimes \nabla(t_1) \otimes \Delta(r)^{F^{n-1}} \otimes \nabla(k)^{F^{n-1}} & \text{if } s_0 = t_0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Hence for $s_0 = t_0$ we have

$$H^1(G, (V^{G_1})^{(-1)}) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^1_G(\nabla(r)^{F^{n-1}} \otimes \Delta(s_1), \nabla(k)^{F^{n-1}} \otimes \nabla(t_1)),$$

and is zero in all other cases.

Let us now compute $H^1(G_1, V)^G$. Using Proposition 1.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H^1(G_1, V) &= \mathrm{Ext}^1_{G_1}(\nabla(r)^{F^n} \otimes \Delta(s), \nabla(k)^{F^n} \otimes \nabla(t)) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Ext}^1_{G_1}(\Delta(s), \nabla(t)) \otimes \Delta(r)^{F^n} \otimes \nabla(k)^{F^n} \\ &\cong \begin{cases} \nabla(s_1 + t_1 + 1)^F \otimes \Delta(r)^{F^n} \otimes \nabla(k)^{F^n} & \text{if } s_0 + t_0 = p - 2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$H^1(G_1, V)^G \cong \begin{cases} \mathrm{Hom}_G(\Delta(s_1 + t_1 + 1)^F, \Delta(r)^{F^n} \otimes \nabla(k)^{F^n}) & \text{if } s_0 + t_0 = p - 2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Note that all the weights of $\Delta(r)^{F^n} \otimes \nabla(k)^{F^n}$ are multiples of p^n, so to get non-zero homomorphisms, we must have $s_1 + t_1 + 1 = cp^{n-1}$ for some c. But $s, t \leq p^n - 1$ implies that $s_1 + t_1 \leq 2p^{n-1} - 2$, thus $c = 1$ and $s_1 + t_1 + 1 = p^{n-1}$. Observe that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_G(\Delta(p^{n-1})^F, \Delta(r)^{F^n} \otimes \nabla(k)^{F^n}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_G(\nabla(r)^{F^n}, \nabla(p^{n-1})^F \otimes \nabla(k)^{F^n})$$

and that all the weights of $\nabla(r)^{F^n}$ are multiple of p^n so the image of a homomorphism from $\nabla(r)^{F^n}$ to $\nabla(p^{n-1})^F \otimes \nabla(k)^{F^n}$ lies in the submodule $\nabla(1)^{F^n} \otimes \nabla(k)^{F^n} \leq \nabla(p^{n-1})^F \otimes \nabla(k)^{F^n}$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}_G(\Delta(p^{n-1})^F, \Delta(r)^{F^n} \otimes \nabla(k)^{F^n}) &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_G(\nabla(r)^{F^n}, \nabla(1)^{F^n} \otimes \nabla(k)^{F^n}) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_G(\nabla(r), \nabla(1) \otimes \nabla(k)). \end{aligned}$$

We claim that $\mathrm{Hom}_G(\nabla(r), \nabla(1) \otimes \nabla(k)) \cong K$ if $r = k \pm 1 + 2d$ where $d \in \psi^0(k)$ and zero otherwise. Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \nabla(z-1) \longrightarrow \nabla(1) \otimes \nabla(z) \longrightarrow \nabla(z+1) \longrightarrow 0. \tag{6}$$

This sequence splits if and only if $z \neq -1(\mathrm{mod}\ p)$. Note that for $\mathrm{Hom}_G(\nabla(r), \nabla(1) \otimes \nabla(k))$ to be non zero, we must have $r + k = 1(\mathrm{mod}\ 2)$. Now suppose $k = -1(\mathrm{mod}\ p)$ then we can assume $r \neq -1(\mathrm{mod}\ p)$ and so using (6) with $z = r$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}_G(\nabla(r), \nabla(1) \otimes \nabla(k)) &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_G(\nabla(1) \otimes \nabla(r), \nabla(k)) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_G(\nabla(r-1) \oplus \nabla(r+1), \nabla(k)). \end{aligned}$$

Now, using (4) we deduce that $\mathrm{Hom}_G(\nabla(r-1), \nabla(k)) \cong K$ if and only if $r - 1 = k + 2d$ where $d \in \psi^0(k)$ and it is zero otherwise, and $\mathrm{Hom}_G(\nabla(r+1), \nabla(k)) \cong K$ if and only if $r + 1 = k + 2d'$ where $d' \in \psi^0(k)$ and zero otherwise. Suppose they are both non-zero then $k + 1 + 2d = k - 1 + 2d'$. But this can only happen when $d = 0$, $d' = 1$ and $r = k + 1$. This means that $k = p - 2(\mathrm{mod}\ p)$ and $r = -1(\mathrm{mod}\ p)$ contradicting our assumption. Now if $k \neq -1(\mathrm{mod}\ p)$ we use (6) with $z = k$ and the claim follows by a similar argument.

Hence, we have proved the following

$$H^1(G_1, V)^G \cong \begin{cases} K & \text{if } s_0 + t_0 = p - 2,\ s_1 + t_1 = p^{n-1} - 1 \\ & r = k \pm 1 + 2d \text{ where } d \in \psi^0(k) \\ & \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let us now use the five term sequence to determine $H^1(G,V)$. We shall do this by induction on n. For $n=1$ we have $s,t \le p-1$ and

$$H^1(G,(V^{G_1})^{(-1)}) \cong \begin{cases} K & \text{if } r = k+2e,\ e \in \psi^1(k) \text{ and } s=t \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$H^1(G_1,V)^G \cong \begin{cases} K & \text{if } r = k \pm 1 + 2d,\ d \in \psi^0(k) \text{ and } s+t=p-2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

thus,

$$H^1(G,V) \cong \begin{cases} K & \text{if } r = k+2e,\ e \in \psi^1(k) \text{ and } s=t \\ & \text{or } r = k \pm 1 + 2d,\ d \in \psi^0(k) \text{ and } s+t=p-2 \\ & \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now we use induction. Note that if $p=2$ and $s_0=t_0=0$ and $s_1+t_1=2^{n-1}-1$ then $\Delta(s_1)$ and $\nabla(t_1)$ are in different blocks of G_1 and so

$$\mathrm{Ext}^i_G(\nabla(r)^{F^{n-1}} \otimes \Delta(s_1), \nabla(k)^{F^{n-1}} \otimes \nabla(t_1)) = 0 \quad \text{for all } i.$$

So for all prime p we get

$$
H^1(G,V) \cong \begin{cases} K \quad \text{if} \quad \begin{array}{l} r = k+2e,\ e \in \psi^1(k) \\ s = t \end{array} \\ \\ \qquad \text{or} \quad \begin{array}{l} r = k \pm 1 + 2d,\ d \in \psi^0(k) \\ t = t_0 + t_1 p^i,\ 0 \le t_0 \le p^i - 1 \\ s = t_0 + (p^{n-i} - 2 - t_1)p^i \end{array} \\ \\ 0 \quad \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

This completes the proof of our theorem. QED

Note that if we set $n = 0$ and $s = t = 0$ in Theorem 2.1 we get Erdmann and Cox's result given by equation (5).

The following proposition shows that when $r = k - 1$ and $s = p^n - 2 - t$, the extension is given by $\nabla(kp^n + t)$. By considering weights, it is easy to see that no other extension described in Theorem 2.1 can be isomorphic to an induced module $\nabla(\lambda)$.

Proposition 2.1 *For $k \in N$ and $0 \le t \le p^n - 2$, there is an exact sequence of G-modules*

$$
0 \longrightarrow \nabla(k)^{F^n} \otimes \nabla(t) \longrightarrow \nabla(kp^n + t) \longrightarrow \nabla(k-1)^{F^n} \otimes \Delta(p^n - 2 - t) \longrightarrow 0.
$$

Moreover, $\nabla(kp^n + t)$ is the only non-split extension, up to isomorphism, of $\nabla(k-1)^{F^n} \otimes \Delta(p^n - t - 2)$ by $\nabla(k)^{F^n} \otimes \nabla(t)$.

Dually, the only non-split extension, up to isomorphism, of $\Delta(k)^{F^n} \otimes \Delta(t)$ *by* $\Delta(k-1)^{F^n} \otimes \nabla(p^n - t - 2)$ *is given by* $\Delta(kp^n + t)$.

Remark 1: For $k \in N$ we have an isomorphism between $\nabla(k-1)^{F^n} \otimes St_n$ and $\nabla(kp^n - 1)$ given by multiplication of polynomials. It is known that there is an isomorphism between these modules more generally, see for example [11](II.3).

Proof of Proposition 2.1:

If $n = 1$ then we are done by (1) (Section 1). Suppose $n > 1$ and write $t = ap^{n-1} + d$, for $0 \leq a \leq p-1$ and $0 \leq d \leq p^{n-1} - 1$. Using induction we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \nabla(kp+a)^{F^{n-1}} \otimes \nabla(d) \longrightarrow \nabla((kp+a)p^{n-1} + d) \longrightarrow$$
$$\nabla(kp + (a-1))^{F^{n-1}} \otimes \Delta(p^{n-1} - d - 2) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Using the exact sequences (1) for $\nabla(kp+a)^{F^{n-1}}$ and $\nabla(kp+(a-1))^{F^{n-1}}$ we get a filtration of $\nabla(kp^n + ap^{n-1} + d)$ with quotients

$$\nabla(k-1)^{F^n} \otimes \Delta(p-a-1)^{F^{n-1}} \otimes \Delta(p^{n-1} - d - 2)$$
$$\nabla(k)^{F^n} \otimes \nabla(a-1)^{F^{n-1}} \otimes \Delta(p^{n-1} - d - 2)$$
$$\nabla(k-1)^{F^n} \otimes \Delta(p-a-2)^{F^{n-1}} \otimes \nabla(d)$$
$$\nabla(k)^{F^n} \otimes \nabla(a)^{F^{n-1}} \otimes \nabla(d)$$

Observe that the module $\nabla(kp^n + ap^{n-1} + d)$ is multiplicity-free, so that the four quotients have disjoint sets of weights. Hence, $\nabla(kp^n + t)/\nabla(k)^{F^n} \otimes \nabla(t)$

has a filtration with quotients

$$\nabla(k-1)^{F^n} \otimes \Delta(p-a-1)^{F^{n-1}} \otimes \Delta(p^{n-1}-d-2)$$
$$\nabla(k-1)^{F^n} \otimes \Delta(p-a-2)^{F^{n-1}} \otimes \nabla(d)$$

Note that for $a = p-1$ or $d = p^{n-1}-1$, we only have one factor appearing and so we are done by Remark 1 above. So suppose $a \leq p-2$ and $d \leq p^{n-1}-2$. Using a very similar argument to the proof of Theorem 2.1 we can show that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Ext}^1_G(\nabla(k-1)^{F^n} \otimes \Delta(p-a-1)^{F^{n-1}} \otimes \Delta(p^{n-1}-d-2),\\ \nabla(k-1)^{F^n} \otimes \Delta(p-a-2)^{F^{n-1}} \otimes \nabla(d)) \qquad \cong K.\end{aligned}$$

Now as $\nabla(kp^n+t)$ has simple top (see [1]), $\nabla(kp^n+t)/\nabla(k)^{F^n} \otimes \nabla(t)$ cannot be a direct sum of non-zero modules. By induction, we know that $\Delta(p^n - ap^{n-1} - d-2)$ has a filtration with quotients

$$\Delta(p-a-1)^{F^{n-1}} \otimes \Delta(p^{n-1}-d-2)$$
$$\Delta(p-a-2)^{F^{n-1}} \otimes \nabla(d)$$

We deduce that the quotient $\nabla(kp^n+t)/\nabla(k)^{F^n} \otimes \nabla(t)$ is isomorphic to

$$\nabla(k-1)^{F^n} \otimes \Delta(p^n - ap^{n-1} - d - 2) = \nabla(k-1)^{F^n} \otimes \Delta(p^n - 2 - t).$$

This completes the proof QED

Remark 2: S.Donkin suggested an alternative proof of Proposition 2.1. I shall sketch his argument here. Let us start with the exact sequence of B-modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \nabla(s-1) \otimes K_{-1} \longrightarrow \nabla(s) \longrightarrow K_s \longrightarrow 0 \qquad (7)$$

for any positive integer s. Apply the Frobenius morphism F^n to the sequence (7) and tensor it with K_r for some $0 \leq r \leq p^n - 1$. Then applying the induction functor from B-modules to G-modules and using the duality of induction (see [11],II.4)gives the required sequence.

Remark 3: The composition factors of the ∇'s are known for $SL(2, K)$ (use for example equation (1) repeatedly) but Proposition 2.1 gives a direct explanation of the symmetries observed by A.Henke in the decomposition matrix of SL(2,K) (see [10]). More precisely, if we write $\lambda = kp^n + t$ with $k \leq p - 1$ then our proposition tells us that

$$[\nabla(kp^n + t) : L(kp^n + a)] = [\nabla(t) : L(a)],$$

$$[\nabla(kp^n + t) : L((k-1)p^n + b)] = [\nabla(p^n - 2 - t) : L(b)].$$

Let us write the decomposition matrix of G with the ∇'s on the horizontal axis and the L's on the vertical axis (see figures 1 and 2 below). Then for each $n \geq 1$ and each $1 \leq k \leq p - 1$, the columns corresponding to $\nabla(kp^n + t)$ for $0 \leq t \leq p^n - 1$ are obtained from the left bottom $p^n \times p^n$ block by

1. Translation of length k along the diagonal,
2. Translation of length $k - 1$ along the diagonal and then reflection through the column corresponding to $\nabla(kp^n - 1)$ (shaded on the figures).

Hence, we can construct the decomposition matrix inductively starting with the left bottom $p \times p$ block which is just a diagonal matrix, as for $0 \leq r \leq p - 1$ we have $\nabla(r) = L(r)$.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank my DPhil supervisor Karin Erdmann for her help and encouragement. I also thank Stephen Donkin for his helpful comments. This research was supported by the Fondation Wiener-Anspach (Brussels).

References

[1] R.Carter, E.Cline, The submodule construction of Weyl modules for groups of type A_1, *Proc.Conf. Finite Groups*, ed. W.R.Scott, F.Gross, 303-311 (Utah 1975), Academic Press 1976.

[2] E.Cline, Ext^1 for SL_2, *Comm. in Algebra* **7**(1979), 107-111.

[3] E.Cline, B.Parshall, L.L.Scott, Cohomology, hyperalgebras and representations, *J.Algebra* **63**(1980), 98-123.

[4] A.Cox, Ext^1 for Weyl modules for $q - GL(2, k)$, *Math.Proc.Camb.Phil.Soc.* **124**(1998), 231-251.

[5] A.Cox, K.Erdmann, On Ext^2 between Weyl modules for quantum GL_n, *Math.Proc.Camb.Phil.Soc.* **128**(2000), 441-463.

[6] S.Donkin, "Rational representations of algebraic groups: Tensor Products and Filtrations", Lecture notes in Mathematics, Vol.1140, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg/New York, 1985.

[7] S.Donkin, Good filtrations and rational modules for reductive groups, Representations of finite groups and related topics, *Proc.Symp.Pure Math., Amer.Math.Soc.* **47**,vol.1(1987), 69-80.

[8] K.Erdmann, Ext^1 for Weyl modules of $SL_2(K)$, *Math.Z.* **218**(1995), 447-459.

[9] G.Fischer, "Darstellungstheorie des ersten Frobeniuskerns der SL_2", Dissertation Bielefeld, 1982.

[10] A.Henke, "Young modules and Schur Algebras", DPhil Thesis, University of Oxford, 1999.

[11] J.C.Jantzen, "Representations of algebraic groups", London Academic Press, 1987.

[12] J.C.Jantzen, Darstellungen halbeinfacher Gruppen und ihrer Frobenius-Kerne, *J. Reine Angew.Math.* **317**(1980),157-199.

[13] A.E.Parker, The Global Dimension of Schur Algebras for GL_2 and GL_3, *J.Algebra* **241**(2001), 340-378.

[14] W.Pfautsch, Ext^1 for Frobenius kernels of SL_2, *Comm. in Algebra* **13**(1985), 217-224.

[15] J.Schue, Symmetry for the enveloping algebra of a restricted Lie Algebra, *Proc.Amer.Math.Soc.* **16**(1965), 1123-1124.

[16] W. van der Kallen, Infinitesimal fixed points in modules with good filtration, *Math.Z.* **212**(1993), 157-159.

[17] S.Xanthopoulos, "On a question of Verma about indecomposable representations of algebraic groups and their Lie Algebras", PhD thesis, University of London (1992).