



**Copenhagen
Business School**
HANDELSHØJSKOLEN

INSTITUT FOR AFSÆTNINGSØKONOMI

NYHEDSBREVET OM FORBRUGERADFÆRD NR. 32 – EFTERÅR 2019

Velkommen til den toogtredivte udgave af 'Nyhedsbrevet om Forbrugeradfærd'. I dette nummer af Nyhedsbrevet bringes to interessante artikler.

I den første artikel med titlen 'The Impact of Market Philosophies on the Analytical Practice of Marketing' beskæftiger lektor Henrik Johannsen Duus sig med markedsfilosofiers indflydelse på analysepraksis.

I den anden artikel med titlen 'The Interplay between Economic Healthiness, Consumer Confidence, and General Trust in Financial Institutions' ser professor Torben Hansen nærmere på, hvorledes forbrugerens generelle tillid til den finansielle sektor i samspil med forbrugerens 'økonomiske sundhed' vil kunne påvirke den økonomiske forbrugertillid.

The Impact of Market Philosophies on the Analytical Practice of Marketing

By Henrik Johannsen Duus, Associate Professor,
Department of Marketing, Copenhagen Business School

“Because the purpose of business is to create a customer, the business enterprise has two – and only two – basic functions: marketing and innovation. Marketing and innovation produce results; all the rest are costs. Marketing is the distinguishing, unique function of the business.” (Peter F. Drucker).

Introduction

Over the last 150 years firms have used many different approaches to the market, often referred to as market philosophies (Kotler et al., 2019). In a previous article, I identified seven such philosophies and argued that, despite the popular notion that many are outdated, they all still have a justification today (Duus, 2017).

The seven philosophies are the production concept, the product concept, the selling concept, the marketing concept, the societal marketing concept, the spiritual marketing concept and the innovative (or entrepreneurial) marketing concept (Duus, 2017).

A crucial question, then, is what influence the different philosophies have on the analytical practice of marketing? Put differently, how does the organization and practice of the analytics (i.e. the proper subset of marketing, based on theories, models and data) differ depending on the firms' choice of market philosophy?

The answer to this question is narrowed by the obvious fact that the choice of market philosophy – whether intentional or emergent – is to a large extent determined by the firm's situation (internally and externally). Hence, the situation of the firm indirectly influences the analytical practice of marketing.

As a starting point, the seven market philosophies can be subdivided into three main groups. We can call them market persuasion philosophies, market feeling philosophies and market adaptation philosophies (Duus, 1997).

Market Persuasion Philosophies

Companies that use the *production concept* focus on competing by maintaining low costs and prices achieved through production and distribution efficiency. The underlying premise is that increased sales will be achieved simply by providing customers with affordable and easily accessible products and services.

In this context, the marketing function is therefore fused with the organization of production and distribution. In this type of business, it is the engineers and technicians who dominate sales (in some cases with a relevant further education in selling). The production concept is typically used in large industrial companies with standardized mass production.

Companies using the *product concept* focus on the product as well as on its quality and uniqueness. The marketing function is here combined with quality management, design, R & D, product development, competence development, image development etc. These companies are often small-and medium-sized, producing and selling craft products (special tools, designs etc.) or services (e.g. accounting/auditing, plumbing, hairdressing, etc.). The sales work here will also be carried out by specialists who do not have a genuine marketing background.

Companies that follow the *selling concept* are of the opinion that extensive sales and advertising activity will have a positive impact on the company's sales and that this is the most valuable marketing activity. We often find this philosophy in the advertising and communications industry, as well as among some of their customers, not least those who sell less differentiated products (laundry detergent, shampoo, sugar, etc.).

In this case, marketing efforts are handled by specialists in advertising and communication. Not surprisingly, companies using the selling concept will typically undertake some analytical work, but this will usually be limited to sales and communication efforts.

Hence, the analytical efforts can be quite extensive and organized in a special department. However, there is an implicit and explicit violation of the basic Levittian principle that product development, along with other activities of the firm, should be directed by a thorough ex ante analytical attempt to identify customer needs and wants (Levitt, 1960).

Market Feeling Philosophies

Companies that follow the *societal marketing concept* practice 'virtue marketing' – the idea that a certain politically correct behaviour will increase sales. Examples are legion and areas such as 'ethical accounting', 'responsible management', 'sustainability', 'CSR' and 'diversity' have grown up around this. As I have previously pointed out, the underlying assumption that politically correct behaviour will increase sales is somewhat dubious (Duus, 2017).

Related to this is the *spiritual marketing concept*. This is, for many, an unknown bird in the marketing landscape, but it has left its mark on the discipline of marketing. In the late '80s and early '90s, the German marketing consultant and trend researcher, Gerd Gerken, identified several changes occurring

as a result of the increased use of information and communication technologies and changing consumption patterns (Gerken, 1992, 1993, 1994).

Gerken's *neo-Hegelian* view was that marketing would gradually be superseded by what he called "interfusion"; an amalgamation (or synthesis) of suppliers and customers, where it would be important for the supplier to find the 'spirit' of the market (or 'spirit' of the network as he preferred to call it). Many of Gerken's cases were picked up from the marketing of festivals, fashion, events, congresses, concerts and other such activities, where there would typically be a significant overlap between the criteria of supplier and customer groups.

According to Gerken, such cultural economic activities were likely to play an increasing role in the future and "interfusion" could eventually be emulated in other sectors. Gerken was ahead of his time and many of his ideas are part of today's academic research, but scandalously without crediting his contributions.

Market Adaptation Philosophies

A common feature of several of the philosophies mentioned above is that there is not always an independent and extensive analytical marketing function. This is because there exists a presumption that a certain kind of behaviour by the company will, by itself, increase sales.

This applies to the *product* and *production concept* and the *societal marketing concept*. In all these cases, we lack much of what we would normally understand by an analytical approach to market and customers. When it comes to the *spiritual marketing concept*, there is some analysis, but it is of a qualitative and highly interpretative nature. And as mentioned earlier, the selling concept embodies a somewhat manipulative analytical approach.

The two concepts that stand out are therefore the *marketing concept* and the *innovative (or entrepreneurial) marketing concept*.

The common marketing concept hardly requires a longer explanation. For many years, this has been the dominant paradigm in marketing. The idea is, of course, that the company's products and activities must be adapted and developed according to the needs and wants of customers, as uncovered, invariably, by classic market and customer analyses.

The idea behind the innovative (or entrepreneurial) marketing concept is a bit different. According to this concept, companies should actively develop their knowledge, technologies, competencies, products and activities towards a future strategic goal that will enable the firm to satisfy not yet existing customer needs and wants in a turbulent and complex future environment.

The analytical problem is therefore centred on revealing what such future customer needs and wants may be. This quest includes predicting which new customer groups may exist, and what kind of environment the company may operate in in the future, including which technologies, knowledge, competencies and products will be available (Doericht, 2013).

The Analytical Practice under the Two Marketing Concepts

When the ordinary marketing concept is used, the analytical practice largely follows the dominant paradigm laid out in mainstream marketing textbooks. Society, industries, markets, customers and their behaviour are thoroughly analyzed. The information and knowledge gathered is then used for adapting and developing the company's products and activities (Duus, 1997, Duus, 2017; Aaker & Moorman, 2017).

When the innovative (or entrepreneurial) marketing concept is used, the main problem is not that of analyzing current aspects of the firm's environment, but rather analyzing the future world in which the company will eventually find itself (Duus, 1997, 2013, 2016a, 2016b).

The problem here is not just that the future can be very changeable, which creates the need to predict its uncertain contours, but also that many companies are very capital-, knowledge- and competence- intensive and therefore slow to adapt to necessary changes.

Hence, where the traditional marketing concept presumes a relatively static environment that is subjected to short-term analysis and a company which is readily changeable and adaptable, the innovative (or entrepreneurial) marketing concept assumes the exact opposite; a turbulent/complex world and a company that is only able to change very gradually due to being bogged down by resources, competencies, culture, history, etc.

This implies that, just as it is possible to understand *market orientation* as the implementation of the marketing concept, it is possible, by analogy, to see *future orientation* as the implementation of the innovative (or entrepreneurial) marketing concept (Duus, 1997, 1999, 2013, 2016a, 2016b, 2017). Future orientation is about how companies anticipate and shape the future in order to compete. It means taking the long perspective, focusing on trends and cycles and using data to establish a long-term "strategic intent" toward which companies can gradually evolve.

An essential element of this is strategic forecasting, which is defined as that part "of business economics that deals with the study and practical application of methods, theories, models and techniques for long-term analysis of the non-proximate environment of the firm with the purpose of conducting strategic change" (Duus, 2013 pp. 364-365).

The area integrates areas such as market orientation, futures research, corporate strategy, organizational development, innovation management, technological forecasting, financial forecasting, industrial economics, macroeconomics, etc. (Duus, 2016a; Tvede, 2019). In recent years, extensive empirical work has been carried out, where the use of strategic forecasting in companies and trade associations in both Denmark and abroad has been mapped in close to 50 student projects. The projects showed a widespread use, particularly in larger companies.

Future Use

The material obtained allows for several conclusions about the future development of future orientation and the use of strategic forecasting.

In general, the area requires a lot of knowledge and attention for optimal use. Hence, in smaller companies, it can only be detected in analysis and/or consultancy firms. In medium-sized enterprises, it exists if there are one or more enthusiasts who promote its use. In large companies we see the existence of entire departments dedicated to the task.

There is a growing awareness of the area, which can be attributed to companies encountering increased challenges in their environment, and difficulties in adapting to change quickly enough.

There is a wide diversity in the methods used across companies, but not in the individual company. This indicates that each company works in its own idiosyncratic way, and that many companies could benefit from cross-disciplinary exchange of experiences and knowledge with other companies and from cooperation with researchers.

A probable scenario for the organizational development in larger companies is that the various departments that currently perform functions such as analysis, development, management, marketing, research, design etc. merge or at least cooperate much more closely than is currently the case.

In addition, we see a trend towards strategic forecasting being used to influence customers' expectations, as when companies reveal that certain technologies, products and services will be available to customers in the future.

Finally, it is observed that strategic forecasting can be used in a new practice of responsible management as is otherwise known from the *societal marketing* concept. This can, for example, be achieved by utilizing environmental and climate forecasts in corporate strategic planning.

Conclusion

We see from the above that several market philosophies exist and are in practical use in business firms, but that the vast majority does not make much use of that vast toolbox of

marketing, which inherently involves theories, models and data.

An obvious research implication is therefore that we must be extremely tolerant and recognize that not all companies are in a situation where it makes sense to use the extensive analytical apparatus obtained from mainstream marketing.

But another research implication is that we need to be more aware that the analytical practice of marketing can evolve in directions that are currently only partly covered by our traditional perception of what marketing is. One of these, as outlined above, is strategic forecasting.

Literature

- Aaker, D. & Moorman, C. (2017) *Strategic Market Management*, Wiley, London.
- Doericht, V. (2013) Strategic Visioning – Future of Business, in Moehrle, M., Isenmann, R. and Phaal, R. (eds.) *Technology Roadmapping for Strategy and Innovation*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, pp. 257-265.
- Duus, H. J. (1997) Economic Foundations for an Entrepreneurial Marketing Concept, *Scandinavian Journal of Management*, 13(3), pp. 287-305.
- Duus, H.J. (1999) Strategic Business Market Forecasting, *Journal of Strategic Change*, 8 (3), pp. 173-182.
- Duus, H.J. (2013) Strategic Forecasting: Theoretical Development and Strategic Practice, *International Journal of Business Innovation and Research*, 7(3), pp. 362-378.
- Duus, H.J. (2016a) Strategic Forecasting – The Management Perspective, *Management Research Review*, 39(9), pp. 998-1015.
- Duus, H.J. (2016b) Strategic Scenario Creation Made Easy, *International Journal of Foresight and Innovation Policy*, 11 (1/2/3), pp. 167-183.
- Duus, H.J. (2017), Do We Always have to Listen to the Customers, *Consumer Behaviour Newsletter*, 28, pp. 2-6.
- Gerken, G. (1992) Abschied vom Marketing – *Interfusion statt Marketing*, Econ Verlag, Leipzig.
- Gerken, G. (1993) Geist – Das Geheimnis der Neuen Führung, Econ Verlag, Leipzig.
- Gerken, G. (1994) Die Fraktale Marke – Eine Neue Intelligenz der Werbung, Econ Verlag, Leipzig.
- Kotler, P., Keller, K. L., Brady, M., Goodman, M., & T. Hansen (2019) Marketing Management, *Marketing Management*, 4th Edition, Pearson, New York.
- Levitt, T. (1960) Marketing Myopia, *Harvard Business Review*, 38 (Jul-Aug), pp. 45-56.
- Tvede, L. (2019) *Supertrends – 50 Things you Need to Know About the Future*, Wiley, London.

The Interplay between Economic Healthiness, Consumer Confidence, and General Trust in Financial Institutions¹

*By Torben Hansen, Professor, Department of Marketing,
Copenhagen Business School*

*Chairman of the Northern Europe Scientific Committee,
International Marketing Trends Conference*

Abstract

Consumer confidence (CC) is of particular interest to economic policy makers and societies at large since CC is often regarded as an indicator of near-term economic growth. While individuals' economic healthiness (EH) is known as an important predictor of CC, this study adds to previous research by (a) suggesting that this relationship may differ across levels of individuals' general trust in financial institutions (GTF) and (b) integrating EH, CC, socio-economic variables, and economic knowledge in one study to grasp their potential complex relationships. Based on a survey of 3,185 individuals, we found that GTF has a positive direct influence on CC and that GTF negatively moderates the relationship between EH and CC. Among other results, we also found that both economic knowledge and educational level negatively moderates the relationship between GTF and CC. The study results may be of high interest for policymakers, financial managers, academics and others seeking further insights into consumer confidence (CC).

Keywords: Consumer confidence; economic healthiness; general trust; financial institutions

Introduction

Consumer confidence (CC) measures individuals' perceptions about their current and future financial situation and economic climate (Ou et al., 2014; Van Dalen et al., 2016). CC is of particular interest to business managers, economic policy makers and societies at large since higher CC usually leads to higher spending, whereas lower CC usually leads to higher savings (Xiao, 2015). Indeed, CC is often regarded as an indicator of near-term economic growth (Demirel & Artan, 2017). An important predictor of CC is the individual's economic healthiness (EH), which can be defined as the extent to which the individual in the past have exhibited

¹ Paper to be presented at the IMT (International Marketing Trends) Conference, Paris, 2020.

positive economic behaviors, such as avoiding financial troubles caused by not having enough money and paying credit card bills in full each month (Joo and Grable, 2004; Perry & Morris, 2005; Xiao, 2015; Vuchelen, 2004). Economic policy makers and government authorities have in many years stressed the importance of the EH – CC link (e.g., Xiao, 2015), which most likely develops because individuals who previously have experienced positive behavioral outcomes and that ‘everything is under control’ are more likely than other people to develop confidence in their current and future economic situation (Siegrist et al., 2005).

While CC is affected by EH it may also be affected by external shocks such as changes in the economic environment (Ou et al., 2014). One prominent example of this was the financial crisis. While there is general agreement that the financial crisis has affected many dimensions of the economic and financial landscape (Bologna, 2015), the financial crisis has in particular elevated the focus on individuals’ general trust in financial institutions (GTF) (e.g., Jansen et al., 2014). GTF can be defined as the expectation held by the individual that financial institutions are generally dependable and can be relied on to deliver on their promises (Hansen, 2012).

While the positive link between EH and CC is empirically well supported, it remains unclear whether the effects of EH on CC will differ for different levels of GTF, which is particularly relevant during recessions when CC (and perhaps also GTF) is relatively low. Hence, the first aim of this study is to examine the possible direct effect of GTF on CC and whether and how GTF moderates the EH-CC link. The second aim is to examine whether the moderating role of GTF varies across individual characteristics such as gender, age, income, educational level, and economic knowledge, which past research has taken into account in analyses of individuals’ economic behavior, expectations, and/or trust (e.g., Jacobsen et al., 2014; Dominitz & Manski, 2004). This study is based on an online survey of 3,185 Danish individuals. The study results may be of high interest for policymakers, financial managers, academics and others seeking further insights into consumer confidence (CC).

General Trust in Financial Institutions (GTF)

Past research suggests that GTF may be applied as a heuristics (Siegrist et al. 2005; Sjöberg 2001), which can be regarded as ‘inferential rules of thumb’ (Allison et al., 1990). This is because individuals may rely on GTF to reduce the complexity they are faced with when making decisions and when evaluating their behavioral outcome (Siegrist & Cvetkovich, 2000). In that respect, cognitive consistency theory (Festinger, 1957; Heider, 1979) suggests that individuals will seek to establish mental justification in

relation to their future decision-making in order to avoid a state of cognitive dissonance (Todd & Gigerenzer, 2003). Individuals may easily gain mental justification when GTF is high, but may experience a mental imbalance when GTF is low since the outcome of their economic choices are associated with higher uncertainty. When facing circumstances with high uncertainty, cue utilization theory (Collins-Dodd & Lindley, 2003) predicts that individuals will be especially keen to use available choice heuristics in order to reduce their choice uncertainty and feelings of mental imbalances. This reasoning is supported by institutional theory (Scott, 2004), which suggests that GTF may act as a guiding norm, which can be applied by individuals when making assessments about their current and future economic situation. Hence, we hypothesize as follows.

H1: General trust in financial institutions (GTF) will positively influence consumer confidence (CC).

Using attribution theory (Weiner, 2000) as a theoretical platform, we suggest that the anticipated positive influence of EH on CC will be higher when GTF is on a low level than when GTF is on a high level. When GTF is regarded as low, individuals should be expected to be more likely to attribute negative economic expectations to external causes and less likely to attribute negative expectations to internal causes (i.e., poor economic behavior) than when GTF is regarded as high. In a similar vein, when GTF is regarded as low individuals should be expected to be less likely to attribute positive outcomes to external causes and more likely to attribute positive experiences to internal causes (i.e., economic healthiness) compared with when GTF is high. Also, when GTF is low it points to the existence of financial system failures, which means that the consumer risks that her/his interests are not being properly served. Hence, when faced with such circumstances, individuals could be expected to pay more attention to their previous behavior when determining the cause for their expectations, thereby reducing the reliance on trust (Dixon & Wilkinson, 1989). Based on our reasoning and past research, as outlined above, we expect that GTF will negatively moderate the relationship between EH and CC. We hypothesize as follows.

H2: General trust in financial institutions (GTF) will negatively moderate the relationship between economic healthiness (EH) and consumer confidence (CC) such that the positive influence of EH on CC is higher when GTF is low compared to high.

Individual Characteristics and EH, GTF, and CC

In order for the understanding of the interplay between EH, GTF, and CC to be useful in applied contexts, it is necessary to identify individual characteristics that potentially may determine these relationships. Based on previous research we include in our study economic knowledge, gender, age, income, and educational level. The potential influences of these characteristics on GTF and CC are discussed below.

Individual economic knowledge refers to the body of facts and principles (i.e., information and understanding) accumulated by a consumer (i.e., stored in memory) about an economic domain (Page & Uncles, 2004). In this study, we focus on objective knowledge in order to avoid the bias stemming from individuals' tendency to overestimate their level of knowledge when asked to report it subjectively (Pillai & Hofacker, 2007). Economic knowledge is likely to make individuals more aware of what behavior is possible and more able to make reliable predictions about future outcomes (Pučetaitė & Lämsä, 2008). Also, knowledgeable consumers may feel more comfortable and more in control, which may reduce their need for GTF as a predictor of CC (Siegrist et al., 2005).

Gender and age. Past research suggests that trust tends to be more important to women than to men (Ndubisi, 2006), largely because women are more eager to reduce risk and interested in finding secure, safe behavioral solutions (Schwartz & Rubel-Lifschitz, 2009). Research has produced mixed results regarding the influence of age on GTF and CC. For instance, while Dominitz and Manski, 2004 found that younger people show higher confidence than older ones, other studies have found that age is positively correlated with financial literacy and financial wellbeing, which in turn may lead to less future financial concerns (Taft et al., 2013). On the other hand, research also suggests that older individuals generally grow more conservative and risk adverse (Dellande & Saporoschenko, 2004), which in turn may negatively influence their propensity to show confidence in the future (Das & Teng, 2004).

Income and educational level. While high income does not necessarily leads to economic healthiness (i.e., even high income individuals may fail to show economic responsibility and healthiness), previous research suggests that income may positively influence GTF and CC (Moin et al., 2017). Also, education is likely to be positively correlated with both economic wellbeing and trust (Taft et al., 2013). We explore as follows.

RQ1: How will economic knowledge, gender, age, income, and educational level affect CC?

RQ2: How will economic knowledge, gender, age, income, and educational level affect the relationships between EH and CC and between GTF and CC, respectively?

Methodology

The data collection was carried out by the market research agency Capacent Epinion using its online consumer panel consisting of approx. 30,000 Danish consumers. 6,220 respondents were drawn to be representative of individuals aged 18+. 3,468 questionnaires were received from the respondents, corresponding to 55.8% of the 6,220 mailed out. After elimination of questionnaires due to incomplete responses, the final sample consisted of 3,185 respondents.

Of the final sample respondents, 50.7% were women and the average age was 46.8 years and ranged between 18-88 years. χ^2 -tests of differences between sample and population frequencies on each of these criteria produced p -values <0.05 for age, income level, and educational level. Hence, in order to avoid possible bias of our estimates post-stratification survey weights were utilized (Lance & Hattori, 2016). Survey weights control for some groups being over- or underrepresented in the sample. A comparison of the results with and without the weights revealed no substantial differences; all model relationships that were significant/non-significant with the weights remained significant/non-significant without the weights. The results section reports the weighted data results.

Measurements. Multiple items measures were applied for three latent constructs applied in this study (Table 1). Economic healthiness was measured using six items adapted from Joo and Grable (2004) while five items based on Tax et al. (1998) and Grayson et al. (2008) measured general trust in financial institutions (GTF). Four items based on (Ou et al., 2014) measured consumer confidence (CC). In accordance with the recommendations put forward by Lundmark et al. (2016), 7-point scales were used for measuring the latent constructs in this study (see Table 3 for the specific scales used). Economic knowledge (control variable) was measured by exposing respondents to a series of 'true/false' questions concerning economic and financial issues and counting the numbers of correct responses.

Analyses and Results

Validation of measurements. Confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) was conducted on the three latent variables (i.e., EH, GFT, and CC), with each indicator specified to load on its hypothesized latent factor. The measurement models yields a chi-square value of 1732.70 (d.f.=87, $p<0.01$). However, since the chi-square test is highly sensitive to sample size other fit measures are given greater prominence in evaluating model fit (e.g., Ye et al. 2007). The comparative fit index (CFI=0.92), the general fit index (GFI=0.92), the normed fit index (NFI=0.91), and the root mean square error of

approximation (RMSEA=0.077) all suggest an acceptable degree of fit of the measurement model (Bagozzi & Yi, 1988). In addition, all composite reliabilities exceed 0.80, indicating good reliability of measured constructs (Bagozzi & Yi 1988). Finally, extracted variance is greater than, or close to, 0.50 for all latent constructs, which satisfies the threshold value recommended by Fornell and Larcker (1981).

A CFA approach to Harmon's one-factor test was used as a diagnostic technique for assessing the extent to which common method bias may pose a serious threat to the analysis and interpretation of the data. The single latent factor accounting for all the indicator variables yielded a chi-square value of 11108.01 (d.f.=90, $p < 0.01$). A chi-square difference test suggested that the fit of the one-factor model was significantly worse than the fit of the three-factor measurement model ($\Delta\chi^2=9375.31$; $\Delta d.f.=3$, $p < 0.01$) indicating that the measurement model was robust to common method variance.

Results pertaining to hypotheses 1 and 2. A series of multivariate ordinary least squares regression models were estimated with CC as the dependent variable. Table 1 provides results from the conducted multiple regression analyses. Consistent with our expectations, general trust in financial institutions (GTF) positively influenced consumer confidence (CC). This result was stable across all four regression models (β -coefficients ranging from 0.23 to 0.48, p -values < 0.01 in all incidents). Hence, H1 was supported in the study. Providing support to H2, GTF negatively moderated the EH-CC relationship in all four estimated models (β -coefficients = -0.03, p -values < 0.01 ; in all incidents).

Results pertaining to research questions 1 and 2. According to model 2 (i.e., the baseline model with economic knowledge added), economic knowledge positively affects CC ($\beta=0.35$, p -value < 0.01) and negatively moderates the relationships between EH and CC ($\beta=0.03$, p -value < 0.01) and GTF and CC ($\beta=-0.04$, p -value < 0.01). Model 3 further adds socio-economic variables (i.e., gender, age, income, and educational level) to the analysis. In this model, gender and income both achieve statistical significance with gender negatively affecting CC ($\beta=-0.12$, p -value < 0.01 ; suggesting that females are likely to show a lower CC than males) and with income positively affecting CC ($\beta=0.07$, p -value < 0.01). While the interaction between GTF and economic knowledge remains significant in model 3 ($\beta=-0.04$, p -value < 0.01), the interaction between EH and economic knowledge no longer achieves significance with the socio-economic variables taken into account. In addition to model 3, model 4 includes interaction terms between EH and age, gender, income, and educational level and between GTF and age, gender, income, and educational level, respectively. Similar to the result found in model 3, income positively influences CC ($\beta=0.17$, p -value < 0.01).

Table 1 Estimated Standardized Coefficients

Variables	Model 1 b(S.E.)	Model 2 b(S.E.)	Model 3 b(S.E.)	Model 4 b(S.E.)
Economic healthiness (EH)	0.31**(0.04)	0.42**(0.06)	0.39**(0.07)	0.67**(0.09)
General trust in financial institutions (GTF)	0.26**(0.05)	0.42**(0.07)	0.48**(0.07)	0.23**(0.10)
EH * GTF	-0.03**(0.01)	-0.03**(0.01)	-0.03**(0.01)	-0.03**(0.01)
Economic knowledge		0.35**(0.07)	0.27**(0.07)	0.24**(0.07)
Gender			-0.12**(0.04)	0.01(0.18)
Age			-0.01(0.01)	-0.01(0.01)
Income			0.07**(0.01)	0.17**(0.04)
Educational level			-0.01(0.01)	0.08(0.05)
EH * Economic knowledge		-0.03**(0.01)	-0.02(0.01)	-0.02(0.11)
EH * Gender				-0.06**(0.03)
EH * Age				-0.01(0.01)
EH * Income				-0.01(0.01)
EH * Educational level				-0.02*(0.01)
GTF * Economic knowledge		-0.04**(0.01)	-0.04**(0.01)	-0.03**(0.01)
GTF * Gender				0.04(0.03)
GTF * Age				0.01(0.01)
GTF * Income				-0.01(0.01)
GTF * Educational level				0.01(0.01)
Constant	2.34	0.83	1.01	0.58
R ²	0.11**	0.11**	0.14**	0.15**

Notes. **=significant on the 0.01 level; *=significant on the 0.05 level.

Measurements.

Economic healthiness. EH1. I set money aside for savings. EH2. I reached the maximum limit on a credit card.* EH3. I spent more money than I had.* EH4. I had to cut living expenses.* EH5. I had to buy on credit.* EH6. I had financial troubles because I did not have enough money.*

General trust in financial institutions. GTF1. In general, I believe that financial institutions cannot be relied on to keep their promises.* GTF2. In general, I believe that financial institutions are trustworthy. GTF3. I'm confident with leaving economic affairs to the financial sector. GTF4. The financial sector has my best interests in focus. GTF5. Overall, I believe financial institutions are honest.

Consumer confidence. CC1. Financial situation compared with one year ago. CC2. Financial situation compared with the situation among Danish citizens in general. CC3. Expected financial situation in one year from now. CC4. Expected financial situation in one year from now compared with the expected situation for Danish citizens in general.

Economic knowledge: K1. Annual Percentage Rate (APR) is an overall indication of how much you pay on a loan only from the perspective of the establishment costs and commission fees. K2. A mortgage payment is a regularly scheduled payment, which includes principal and interest paid by borrower to lender of home loan. K3. If you buy one mutual fund certificate out of, for instance, one hundred certificates issued by a mutual fund it means that you own one per cent of that mutual fund's fortune. K4. Everyone who advertises prices on loans must disclose the APR in their publicly available materials. K5. There is usually no fee to set up a bank credit, and no ongoing management fees. K6. Stocks are bought and sold predominantly on stock exchanges.K7. A credit limit is the amount spent each month on your credit card.

*Item reverse coded.

Interestingly, while the direct effect of gender on CC is not significant in model 4, there is a significant interaction ($\beta=-0.06$, $p\text{-value}<0.01$) between EH and gender. Hence, the relationship between EH and CC is negatively moderated by gender such that this relationship is higher for males than for females. Similar to the results found in models 2 and 3 economic knowledge negatively moderates the relationship between GTF and CC ($\beta=-0.03$, $p\text{-value}<0.01$). In addition, model 4 also shows a negative interaction between EH and educational level ($\beta=-0.02$, $p\text{-value}=0.04$) indicating that the effect of EH on CC diminishes with increasing educational level.

Discussion and Conclusion

Drawing on cue utilization theory, institutional theory, and attribution theory, this research sought to increase our understanding of the relationship between economic healthiness (EH) and consumer confidence (CC). Though studying this relationship is not new we add to previous research by (a) integrating EH, socio-economic variables, economic knowledge, and CC in one study to grasp their potential complex relationships and (b) taking into account that these relationships may differ across levels of GTF. These additions are in particular relevant in a financial and economic policy context since CC is widely acknowledged as an important indicator of short-term economic growth. The results of the current research shed light on the development of CC in a number of ways.

Implications for theory. To our knowledge, this study is the first to investigate how GTF may moderate relationships between EH, and other consumer variables, and CC. To facilitate our study, we draw on cue utilization theory and institutional theory as backgrounds for hypothesizing and showing that GTF has a positive direct influence on CC. This effect was positive and significant irrespective of the number of other independent variables included in the regression models suggesting the viability of the theoretical backgrounds. Our finding is also consistent with previous theorizing and empirical research suggesting that consumer trust in the marketplace is likely to be positively correlated with economic growth (Zak & Knack, 2001). We also hypothesized and showed that GTF negatively moderates the relationship between EH and CC. This result supports attribution theory, which predicts that consumers will take into account contextual effects when determining the likelihood of future outcomes. In addition, it is demonstrated that our understanding of the interplay between EH, GTF, and CC may substantially benefit from taking into account personal characteristics. For instance, it was found that economic knowledge may negatively moderate the relationship between GTF and CC. This result supports

previous theorizing and research suggesting that knowledgeable consumers may feel more comfortable and more in control (Siegrist et al., 2005), which may reduce their need for trust as a predictor of CC.

Implications for practice. By showing that GTF positively influences CC, this study stresses that it is in the interest of societies that consumers have trust in financial institutions. Hence, it also underlines the importance of developing well-functioning financial regulations, which facilitates the development of GTF. Interestingly, when GTF is low, financial authorities and policy makers will gain a higher CC from focusing on increasing consumers' economic healthiness than when GTF is high. We also found that economic knowledge negatively moderates the relationship between GTF and CC. Hence, improving the public's economic knowledge and healthiness may make a society less vulnerable to situations with decreasing GTF. However, this is not without challenges. It is well-known that some individuals face particular difficulties and are often categorized as vulnerable (Brennan & Coppack, 2008). Vulnerable individuals are disadvantaged due to factors that are largely beyond their direct control, such as lack of knowledge, the young, and members of ethnic minorities. Our results suggest that when GTF is low consumers rely more on the evaluation of their economic healthiness in assessing CC. Hence, when GTF is low even higher burdens are put on cognitive and economic skills, which in turn may reduce the ability of vulnerable consumers to confidently determine CC. However, while EH and economic healthiness can hardly be strongly improved in the short-term vulnerable individuals may be even more difficult to educate by financial programs or other initiatives.

References

- Allison, S.T., Worth, L.T., & King, M.W.C. (1990). Group decisions as social inference heuristics. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 58(5), 801-811.
- Bagozzi, R.P., & Yi, Y. (1988). On the Evaluation of Structural Equation Models. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 16(1), 74-94.
- Bologna, P. (2015). Structural Funding and Bank Failures. *Journal of Financial Services Research*, 47(1), 81-113.
- Brennan, C., & Coppack, M. (2008). Consumer empowerment: global context, UK strategies and vulnerable consumers. *International J. of Cons. Stud*, 32(4), 306-313.
- Collins-Dodd, C., & Lindley, T. (2003). Store brands and retail differentiation: the influence of store image and store brand attitude on store own brand perceptions. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 10, 345-352.
- Das, T.K., & Teng, B-S. (2004). The Risk-based View of Trust: A Conceptual Framework. *Journal of Business and Psychology*, 19(1), 85-116.
- Dellande, S. & Saporoschenko, A. (2004). Factors in gaining compliance toward an acceptable level of personal unsecured debt. *Int. J. of Bank*

- Marketing*, 22(4), 279-290.
- Demirel, S.K., & Artan, S. (2017). The Causality Relationships between Economic Confidence and Fundamental Macroeconomic Indicators: Empirical Evidence from Selected European Union Countries. *International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues*, 7(5), 417-424.
- Dixon, D.F., & Wilkinson, I.F. (1989). An alternative paradigm for marketing theory. *European Journal of Marketing*, 23(8), 59-69.
- Dominitz, J., & Manski, C.F. (2004). How Should We Measure Consumer Confidence? *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 18(2), 51-66.
- Festinger, L. (1957). *A Theory of Cognitive Dissonance*. Stanford, CA: Stanford Uni. Press.
- Fornell, C., & Larcker, D.F. (1981). Evaluating structural equation models with unobservable variables and measurement error. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 18(1), 39-50.
- Grayson, K., Johnson, D., & Chen, D-F.R. (2008). Is Firm Trust Essential in a Trusted Environment? How Trust in the Business Context Influences Customers. *Journal of Marketing Research*, XLV(April), 241-256.
- Hansen, T. (2012). Understanding trust in financial services: The influence of financial healthiness, knowledge, and satisfaction. *Journal of Service Research*, 15(3), 280-295.
- Heider, F. (1979). On balance and attribution. In P.W. Holland & S. Leinhardt (eds.), *Perspectives on Social Network Research*. New York: Academic Press.
- Jacobsen, B., Lee, J.B., Marquering, W., & Zhang, C.Y. (2014). Gender differences in optimism and asset allocation. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Org.*, 107, 630-651.
- Jansen, D-J., Mosch, R.H.J., & Cruijssen, C.A.B. van der (2014). When Does the General Public Lose Trust in Banks? *Journal of Financial Services Research*, 48(2), 127-141.
- Joo, S-H., & Grable, J.E. (2004). An Exploratory Framework of the Determinants of Financial Satisfaction. *Journal of Family and Economic Issues*, 25(1), 25-50.
- Lance, P.M., & Hattori, A. (2016). Sampling and Evaluation. Chapel Hill, North Carolina: MEASURE Evaluation, University of North Carolina.
- Lundmark, S., Gilljam, M., & Dahlberg, S. (2016). Measuring generalized trust: An examination of question wording and the number of scale points. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 80(1), 26-43.
- Moin, S.M.A., Devlin, J.F., & McKechnie, S. (2017). Trust in financial services: the influence of demographics and dispositional characteristics. *Journal of Financial Services Marketing*, 22(2), 64-76.
- Ndubisi, N.O. (2006). Effect of gender on customer loyalty: A relationship marketing approach. *Marketing Intelligence and Planning*, 24(1), 48-61.
- Ou, Y-C., Vries, Lisette de, Wiesel, T., & Verhoef, P.C. (2014). The Role of Consumer Confidence in Creating Customer Loyalty. *Journal of Service Research*, 17(3), 339-354.
- Page, K., & Uncles, M. (2004). Consumer Knowledge of the World Wide Web: Conceptualization and Measurement. *Psychology & Marketing*, 21(8), 573-591.
- Perry, V.G., & Morris, M.D. (2005). Who Is in Control? The Role of Self Perception, Knowledge, and Income in Explaining Consumer Financial Behavior. *The Journal of Consumer Affairs*, 39(2), 299-313.
- Pieffer, J., & Salancik, G.R. (1978). *The external control of organizations*. Harper & Row.
- Pillai, K.G., & Hofacker, C. (2007). Calibration of consumer knowledge of the web. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, 24(3), 254-267.
- Pučetaité, R., & Lämsä, A-M. (2008). Advancing Organizational Trust in a Post-Structuralist Context: Role of Ethics Management Tools. *Economics and Management*, 13, 381-388.

- Schwartz, S.H., & Rubel-Lifschitz, T. (2009). Cross-national variation in the size of sex differences in values: effects of gender equality. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 97(1), 171–185.
- Scott, R.W. (2004). Institutional theory. In: *Encyclopedia of Social Theory*, George Ritzer, ed. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage: 408-414.
- Siegrist, M., Gutscher, H., & Earle, T.C. (2005). Perception of risk: the influence of general trust, and general confidence, *Journal of Risk Research*, 8(2), 145-156.
- Sjöberg, L. (2001). Limits of knowledge and the limited importance of trust. *Risk Analysis*, 21(1), 189-198.
- Taft, M.K., Hosein, Z.Z., Mohammad, S., Mehrizi, T., & Roshan, A. (2013). The Relation between Financial Literacy, Financial Wellbeing and Financial Concerns. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 8(11), 63-75.
- Tax, S.S., Brown, S.W., & Chandrashekar, D. (1998), Customer Evaluations of Service Complaint Experiences: Implications for Relationship Marketing. *Journal of Marketing*, 62(April), 60-76.
- Todd, P.M., & Gigerenzer, G. (2003), Bounded rationality to the world, *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 24(2), 143-165.
- Van Dalen, A., Vreese, C.H. de, & Albæk, E. (2016). Mediated Uncertainty: The Negative Impact of Uncertainty in Economic News on Consumer Confidence. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 81(1), 111-130.
- Vuchelen, J. (2004). Consumer sentiment and macroeconomic forecasts. *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 25, 493–506.
- Weiner, B. (2000). Attributional Thoughts about Consumer Behavior. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 27(December), 382-387.
- Xiao J.J. (2015). Consumer Economic Wellbeing. In: *Consumer Economic Wellbeing*. International Series on Consumer Science. Springer, New York, NY.
- Ye, J., Marinova, D., & Singh, J. (2007). Strategic change implementation and performance loss in the front lines. *Journal of Marketing*, 71(4), 156-171.
- Zak, P., & Knack, S. (2001), Trust and growth, *The Economic Journal*, 111(April), 295-321.

YDERLIGERE OPLYSNINGER

Tidligere numre af Nyhedsbrevet kan ses her:

<https://rauli.cbs.dk>

Ønsker du at modtage kommende numre af Nyhedsbrevet om Forbrugeradfærd?

Nyhedsbrevet udkommer hvert halve år, men kun i elektronisk form. Hvis du ikke allerede er tilmeldt, men ønsker at modtage kommende numre af Nyhedsbrevet, bedes du venligst sende os din e-mailadresse med overskriften 'Nyhedsbrev' til følgende e-mailadresse: tb.marktg@cbs.dk

Eventuel afmelding af Nyhedsbrevet foretages til samme e-mail adresse.

Redaktørgruppen

Redaktørgruppen for Nyhedsbrevet om Forbrugeradfærd omfatter for tiden ni medlemmer:

Flemming Cumberland, ekstern lektor, cand.polit
e-mail: fc.marktg@cbs.dk

Jens Geersbro, lektor, cand. polyt, MBA, Ph.D.
e-mail: jg.marktg@cbs.dk

Lars Grønholdt, lektor, Ph.D., cand.merc.
e-mail: lg.marktg@cbs.dk

Torben Hansen (chefredaktør), professor, Ph.D., cand.merc.
e-mail: th.marktg@cbs.dk

Hanne Pico Larsen, adjunkt, Ph.D.
e-mail: hpl.marktg@cbs.dk

Anne Martensen, ekstern lektor, Ph.D., cand.merc.
e-mail: am.marktg@cbs.dk

Hans Stubbe Solgaard, professor emeritus, Ph.D., MSc.,
cand.oecon. e-mail: hso@sam.sdu.dk

Diana Storm, ph.d-studerende, cand.negot.
e-mail: dst.marktg@cbs.dk

Thyra Uth Thomsen, professor mso., Ph.D., cand.merc.
e-mail: tt.marktg@cbs.dk

Klik her for yderligere info om medlemmerne:
<http://www.cbs.dk/en/research/departments-and-centres/department-of-marketing/staff>