



## European Journal of Physical Education and Sport Science

ISSN: 2501 - 1235 ISSN-L: 2501 - 1235

Available on-line at: www.oapub.org/edu

doi: 10.5281/zenodo.1451721

Volume 4 | Issue 11 | 2018

# EFFECT OF A TWO-DAY AWARENESS PROGRAMME ON THE SAFETY KNOWLEDGE OF PRIMARY SCHOOL PUPILS IN MODAKEKE, OSUN STATE, NIGERIA

R. B. Ayodele<sup>1</sup>,
O. O. Akinbiola<sup>1i</sup>,
M. M. Mamudu<sup>2</sup>,
I. D. Fasugba<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Dr. Department of Physical and Health Education,
Obafemi Awolowo University,
Ile-Ife, Nigeria

<sup>2</sup>Department of Physical and Health Education,
Obafemi Awolowo University,
Ile-Ife, Nigeria

#### **Abstract:**

The study examined the effect of a two-day awareness programme on the safety knowledge of primary school pupils in Modakeke, Osun State. It compared the safety knowledge of pupils by gender and type of school. The study adopted the pretest-posttest experimental research design. A total of 200 pupils (110 Males and 90 females) were selected using stratified random sampling technique. Stratification was based on school type (Public and Private). A self-developed questionnaire was used to gather information on the safety knowledge of pupils before and after a 2-day intervention programme which exposed them to information on accident prevention measures at different settings in the school including road, laboratory, school garden, classrooms and staircase and playground. The pretest and posttest scores were compared and the Pearson Product Moment Correlation Coefficient analysis yielded r=1 p > 0.05. It was concluded that the awareness programme improved the school safety knowledge of primary school pupils at all settings. Regular short-term safety awareness programmes were recommended in schools.

**Keywords:** awareness, accident, safety, intervention, pupils

### 1. Introduction

Schools provide the most effective way of influencing any population especially the children who are at the growing stage. The school children are at a stage when

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Correspondence: email <u>oluwabusayoakinbiola@gmail.com</u>

behaviours can be shaped easily, reshaped and modified to reflect and conform to the norms and values of the community and the society at large in order to help them cultivate and maintain healthy and safe lifestyles as they interact with their environment. However, the Nigeria school-age population (±6 – 11) years who comprises about 20% of the total population (Nwankwo, 2004) and especially those in the primary school age have been found to be highly susceptible to many unfavourable conditions that predispose them to dangers including accidents and injuries. In their study, Nkere, Ajibola and Ibe (2017), found that 5,369 (24.4%) of patients that visited the Accidents and Emergency unit of a teaching hospital in Calabar, between 2012 and 2015 were injured patients, and 15.6% of the injured were children less than 10 years. Adoga and Ezoilo (2014) in their earlier study in Jos found a higher injury prevalence of 31.1%. It was also revealed in the review of Ayodele, Olubayo-Fatiregun & Olawale (2017) that school accidents were commonest among this age group and the consequences were found to be more serious as compared to home accidents.

There are many predisposing factors in accident occurrence among children. In his submission, John (2006) indicated childhood-based factors which include curious, exploratory and imitative natures of the child. Apart from their inquisitive nature, children' developmental stage influences their abilities to deal with hazards, the more reason why school children within the primary level (that is pupils at the first six years of school) require high level supervision to perform many activities safely. The age of the child has therefore been linked to the type of accident or injury that they involved in. For instance, it was established that children of less than one year who die from injuries are predominantly victims of unintended suffocation or accidental strangulation, while drowning is a main cause of injuries and deaths among the children aged 1-4 years, and in ages up to 10 years, falling was the mechanism of injury whereas, traffic injuries were found to be responsible for most injuries among persons aged between 11 and 19 years (Nkere, Ajibola & Ibe (2017). Observations have indicated that these children often try to explore and attempt new activities without having the capability of assessing the level of risks that may be involved, which makes them more susceptible to injuries.

Also, childhood injuries were closely linked with social and economic deprivations (Lynn, 2016). Corroborating this assertion, the World Report on Child Injury Prevention (2008) revealed that children from poorer backgrounds are five times more likely to die as a result of accidents than children from better-off families and the gap is widening. Childhood is a social construction, whose boundaries shift with time and place and this has implications for vulnerability to injury. Other specific socioeconomic factors associated with injury among children include; low household income, increased number of persons in household, increased number of children under 16 years, lower maternal education, multi-family dwelling, and overcrowding.

As regards the relatedness of gender to accident vulnerability, the National Action Plan for Child Injury Prevention (NAP, 2012) indicated that some children are at greater risks than others for an injury, based on gender. For example, the author noted

that injury-related deaths and disabilities are more likely to occur among male children of those living in specific geographic regions and in certain racial/ethnic groups and vulnerability in each category varies according to age and gender. According to Nkere, Ajibola and Ibe (2017), the gender distribution of injured patients in their study was 59.9% males as against 40.1% females. This was earlier found by Chalya, Kanumba, Mabula & Gilyoma (2011), Toluse, Idowu, Ogundele & Egbewole (2016) and Ayodele, Olubayo-Fatiregun & Olawale (2017).

A separate study carried out by the Benard van Leer Foundation (2011) indicated that environment is a key factor affecting the occurrence and severity of accidents. The author stated that the extent of supervision in and outside the school and the presence of open hazard sites (including narrowness of corridor, unguarded railings, poor illumination, poorly arranged furniture etc.) where probability of accidents is high make children more vulnerable though, many times, these environmental factors could be a contributory factor and not an immediate cause.

In addition to these factors, poor safety knowledge of pupils especially in the school environment have been found to be a highly contributory factor in accident causation and there are evidences that the knowledge of these pupils about keeping safe is shallow. For instance, the boy who climbed the tree to pluck fruits; the girl who turns the handle of a frying pot on fire to herself or that who uses broom stick to pick dirt from his or her ears has demonstrated either lack of safety knowledge or non-challant attitude towards safety. Corroborating these statements, Ibhafidon, Onuzulike and Ewusie (2017) found that students in their study were generally unaware or had low level of awareness about safety in times of disasters. The author confirmed that there was no significant difference in the awareness level of males and females when it comes to safety during these times. These results contradicted those of Vijayakumari and Sabitha (2015) who found that more girls than boys at the secondary school level had greater safety awareness during disasters. As stated by the authors, females are more concerned with family matters than their male counterparts, hence, she may gather more information regarding the protection of the family than the males.

To reduce the rate and cost of accidents therefore, researchers like Okafor (2000), Ejifugha (2002), Vacca and Boscher (2003), Fakeye, Oyinlola and Salaam (2017) have suggested formal school-based safety education as a means of creating safety awareness. Unfortunately, schools do contend separately with multiples of other uncoordinated projects and programmes which places burden on school authorities thereby making the formal school-based intervention programme unproductive to an extent. To worsen the situation, the haphazard way in which the safety education subject is handled in schools especially at the primary school level, where the subject of safety is not included as a main subject in the curriculum; where the Health Education subject (which houses safety education as one of its content areas) has an underdog status, where it is only included in the school curriculum as a correlated subject; handled by non-qualified personnel, with poor funding and inadequate teaching facilities and equipment threatens the efficacy of the school safety education

However, what readily comes to mind as a complementary programme to the school-based safety education is the use of regular safety awareness/campaign programmes and interventions, which researchers like Okafor (2000) and Onyezere (2017) have suggested as effective in mass knowledge creation and building. Although, studies on the efficacy of these awareness programmes abound, researches have not confirmed the efficacy of such short-term interventions among the primary school pupils in Modakeke, Ile-Ife; hence this study.

The specific objectives of this study were to:

- a. examine the safety knowledge of males and female primary school pupils in Modakeke;
- b. compare safety knowledge between the pupils in private and public schools in the study area; and
- c. investigate the effect of the 2-day accident prevention awareness on safety knowledge of primary school pupils at different settings.

## 1.1 Hypothesis

- 1. There is no significant difference between the safety knowledge of male and female primary school pupils in Modakeke, Ile-Ife, sun State.
- 2. There is no significant difference between the safety knowledge of Public and Private primary school pupils in the study area:
- 3. The 2-day Accident Prevention Awareness programme will not have significant effect on the safety knowledge of primary school pupils at different locations in the school.

## 2. Methodology

The pretest-posttest experimental research design was employed for this study. The population for the study comprised the entire public and private primary schools in Modakeke, Osun State. A total of 200 pupils were selected using stratified random sampling technique with the type of school (Public or private) as stratum. From each type of school, 100 pupils were selected using convenient sampling technique. There were 110 males and 90 females. Study was delimited to primaries 4 – 6. A validated questionnaire titled 'Effect of Awareness Programme on Safety Knowledge' (EAP-SA) was used to collect pre and post intervention data from the pupils while an Accident Prevention Education Package was used to disseminate adequate information on how to keep safe at all settings (home, school and road) to the pupils for 2 days. Data were collected before and after intervention. The pre-post data were correlated using Pearson Product Moment Correlation Coefficient.

#### 3. Results

**Table 1:** Chi-square Summary Table on Male and Female Knowledge of Safety Measures at Different Settings

Settings	Sex	No	df	(x <sup>2</sup> Calc)	(x <sup>2</sup> Calc)	P	Sig
Dani	Public	100	1 3	1.24	3.84	0.05	NS
Road	Private	90					
School Farm	Public	100	1	2.46	3.84	0.05	NS
School Falli	Private	90					
Classrooms and Staircase	Public	100	1	0.30	3.84	0.05	NS
Classicoms and Stancase	Private	90					
I all a mata ma	Public	100	1	3.41	3.84	0.05	NS
Laboratory	Private	90					
Dlavaraund	Pubic	100	1	0.22	3.84	0.05	NS
Playground	Private	90					

Table 1 showed that when the safety knowledge of males and females was compared, the Cal. $x^2$  scores for pupil's knowledge of safety measures on the road ( $x^2$ =1.24); School farm ( $x^2$ =2.46); Staircase and classrooms ( $x^2$ =0.30); Laboratory ( $x^2$ =3.41) and Playground ( $x^2$ =0.22) were less than the  $tabx^2$ =3.84 at 0.05 level of significance. This means that the hypothesis that states that there is no significant difference between the safety knowledge of male and female pupils was upheld.

**Table 2:** Chi-square Summary Table Comparing Knowledge of Safety Measures between Public and Private School Pupils

Settings	Sex	No	df	(x <sup>2</sup> Calc)	(x <sup>2</sup> Calc)	P	Sig
Road	Public	100	1 3	.78	3.84	0.05	NS
Road	Private	100					
Colo o 1 Forms	Public	100	1	10.34	3.84	0.05	S
School Farm	Private	100					
Classrooms and Staircase	Public	100	1	10.62	3.84	0.05	S
Classicollis and Stancase	Private	100					
Laboratory	Public	100	1	25.54	3.84	0.05	S
Laboratory	Private	100					
Dlavaround	Pubic	100	1	0.63	3.84	0.05	NS
Playground	Private	100					

Table 2 showed that when the road and playground safety knowledge of public and private school pupils were compared, data showed that the  $calcx^2$  scores for pupil's knowledge of safety measures on the road ( $x^2$ =3.78) and Playground ( $x^2$ =0.63) were less than the  $tabx^2$ =3.84 at 0.05 level of significance, while  $x^2$ values for knowledge of safety measures in the school farm ( $x^2$ =10.34); Staircase and classrooms ( $x^2$ =10.62) and Laboratory ( $x^2$ =25.24) were greater than the  $tabx^2$ =3.84 at 0.05 level of significance. This means that the hypothesis that states that there is no significant difference in safety knowledge between the public and private school pupils was upheld for road and playground safety, but rejected for farm, stairway/classroom and laboratory safety measures.

Tables 3 – 7 show the correlation analysis of the pre and post intervention scores for different settings

**Table 3:** Correlation Analysis of Pupils' Safety Knowledge on the Road (Pre and Post Intervention)

Correlations			(			,		
	Walking on the left side of the road	Facing on- coming vehicle when walking on the road	Crossing the road at zebra crossings	Not playing on the road	Waiting for vehicles to stop before crossing the road	Riding bicycle without complying with safety rules	Not sleeping when carried on motorcycle	Looking to the right, left and right again before crossing the road
Walking on	1.000							
the left side								
of the road	0							
Facing on-	.345	1.000						
coming	.000							
vehicle	197	0						
when walking on								
the road								
Crossing	219	119	1.000					
the road at	.002	.094	1.000					
zebra	197	197	0					
crossings								
Not playing	.282	.147	.021	1.000				
on the road	.000	.038	.767					
	197	197	197	0				
Waiting for	.045	.088	.057	.171	1.000			
vehicles to	.528	.219	.428	.016				
stop before crossing the	197	197	197	197	0			
road								
Riding	.283	.374	223	.002	015	1.000		
bicycle	.000	.000	.002	.976	.836			
without	197	197	197	197	197	0		
complying with safety								
rules	007	122	246	0.60	016	020	1 000	
Not	.007 .918	133 .061	.246 .000	.068 .342	016 .817	.029 .685	1.000	
sleeping when	.918 197	197	.000 197	.342 197	.817 197	.083 197	0	
carried on	197	197	197	197	197	197	U	
motorcycle								
Looking to	.165	.182	179	.058	.187	.044	296	1.000
the right,	.020	.010	.011	.415	.008	.535	.000	1.000
left and	197	197	197	197	197	197	197	0
right again before crossing the								
road								

Data in Table 3 indicated that there was no correlation in pupils' pre and post intervention responses to questions on safety measures on the road (r=1: p > 0.05)

**Table 4:** Correlation Analysis of Pupils' safety knowledge on the Farm (Pre and Post Intervention)

(Pre and Post Intervention)							
Correlations	Not	Not	Not putting	Wearing	Maintaining	Washing	Using farm
	playing with insects and snakes	holding gardening tools on their edges	hands or legs into holes at school/garden	gloves when handling waste	adequate workspace during gardening	hands with soap and water after gardening	tools as prescribed by the teachers during gardening
Not playing	1.000						
with insects	•						
and snakes	0						
Not holding	.215	1.000					
gardening	.002						
tools on their edges	197	0					
Not putting	.378	.137	1.000				
hands or legs	.000	.054					
into holes at school/garden	197	197	0				
Wearing	.389	.297	.514	1.000			
gloves when	.000	.000	.000				
handling waste	197	197	197	0			
Maintaining	.373	.088	.443	.426	1.000		
adequate	.000	.217	.000	.000			
workspace during gardening	197	197	197	197	0		
Washing	.415	.194	.501	.502	.578	1.000	
hands with	.000	.006	.000	.000	.000		
soap and water after gardening	197	197	197	197	197	0	
Using farm	.116	.141	.258	.189	.168	.182	1.000
tools as	.104	.047	.000	.007	.017	.010	1.000
prescribed by	197	197	197	197	197	197	0
the teachers during gardening	171	171	177	171	171	171	v

Table 4 showed that there were disparities in pupils pre and post intervention responses to items on accident prevention measures in the school farm (r=1 p > 0.05). This means that hypothesis that states that the two-day safety awareness programme will not have significant effect on the farm safety knowledge of pupils was rejected.

**Table 5**: Correlation Analysis of Pupils' Safety Knowledge in the Classrooms and on Stairways (Pre and Post Intervention)

Correlations			<i>y</i>		,	
	Not engaging in rough play	Sharing sharp objects like blades with friends	Avoiding chasing mates on the stairs	Running on wet stairways	Avoiding sitting on damaged chair	Avoiding gliding/hanging on stairs handrails
Not engaging	1.000					
in						
rough play	0					
Sharing sharp	.151	1.000				
objects like	.033	•				
blades with	197	0				
friends						
Avoiding chasing	.255	.168	1.000			
mates on the	.000	.017				
stairs	197	197	0			
Running on wet	.398	.226	.278	1.000		
stairways	.000	.001	.000			
	197	197	197	0		
Avoiding sitting	.313	.189	.247	.246	1.000	
on damaged chair	.000	.008	.000	.000	•	
	197	197	197	197	0	
Avoiding	.096	.107	.140	.200	.125	1.000
gliding/hanging	.178	.133	.048	.005	.078	
on stairs handrails	197	197	197	197	197	0

Table 5 showed that there were disparities in pupils' pre and post intervention responses to items on accident prevention measures on the classrooms and stairways ( r=1 p > 0.05). This means that hypothesis that states that the two-day safety awareness programme will not have significant effect on the Classroom and staircase safety knowledge of pupils was rejected.

**Table 6:** Correlation Analysis of Pupils' Safety Knowledge in the Laboratory (Pre and Post Intervention)

Correlations					
	Avoiding skin contacts with chemicals	Not lighting matches or candles inside laboratory	Avoiding eating in the laboratory	Not inserting metals or hands into electrical sockets in the laboratory	Wearing gloves in the laboratory
Avoiding skin	1.000				
contacts with					
chemicals	0				
Not lighting matches	022	1.000			
or candles inside	.754				
laboratory	197	0			
Avoiding eating in the	.530	021	1.000		
laboratory	.000	.768			
	197	197	0		
Not inserting metals	.489	027	.564	1.000	
or hands into electrical	.000	.706	.000		
sockets in the	197	197	197	0	
laboratory					
Wearing gloves	.451	023	.526	.379	1.000
in the	.000	.752	.000	.000	
laboratory	197	197	197	197	0

Table 6 showed that there were disparities in pupils' pre and post intervention responses to items on accident prevention measures on the laboratory (r=1 p > 0.05). This means that hypothesis that states that the two-day safety awareness programme will not have significant effect on the laboratory safety knowledge of pupils was rejected.

**Table 7:** Correlation Analysis of Pupils' safety knowledge on the Playground (Pre and Post Awareness Intervention)

Correlations Control Variables	Playing on dry surfaces	Using appropriate shoe-size for training	Using sports equipment only when teachers/ spotter is around	Picking sharp objects like broken bottles, needles and nails off the playground	Not playing or walking on wet floors	Not playing or sitting close to dilapidated buildings
Playing on dry surfaces	1.000			p.m./g. vaa	110015	<i>&gt;</i>
	0					
Using	064	1.000				
appropriate	.373					
shoe-size for training	197	0				
Using sports	.084	170	1.000			
equipment only	.238	.016	•			
when teachers/ spotter is around	197	197	0			
Picking sharp	.343	.026	.146	1.000		
objects like	.000	.715	.040			
broken bottles, needles and nails off the playground	197	197	197	0		
Not playing or	.047	.106	320	.015	1.000	
walking on wet	.514	.138	.000	.836		
floors	197	197	197	197	0	
Not playing or	.480	112	.063	.386	074	1.000
sitting close to	.000	.116	.376	.000	.297	
dilapidated buildings	197	197	197	197	197	0

Table 7 showed that there were disparities in the pupils' pre and post intervention responses to items on accident prevention measures on the playground (r=1 p > 0.05). This means that hypothesis that states that the two-day safety awareness programme will not have significant effect on the playground safety knowledge of pupils was rejected.

## 4. Discussion of Findings

The result of this study which indicated that both males and females were not different in their safety knowledge was supported by Ibhafidon, Onuzulike and Ewusie (2017) but contradicted the results of Vijayakumari and Sabitha (2015) who found that girls at the secondary school level have greater safety awareness during disasters. It is agreed

that females are more concerned with family matters than their male counterparts and are most likely to get more interested to learn safety information about protection of the family than the males. It is even surprising that no difference was found between the knowledge of males and females on accident prevention measures in the school farm.

The disparity in the awareness level of private and public schools' pupils in this study could be attributable to the fact that children attending private schools are majorly those whose families have high socioeconomic status and are more likely to be much guided and given accurate information about working safely than children whose parents do not care about the safety of their children. The result of this study which showed that the programme intervention had effect on the pupils' knowledge of accident prevention measure was earlier suggested by Okafor (Okafor, 2000 and Onyezere, 2017)

#### 5. Conclusion

On the basis of the results of the study, it was concluded that the safety knowledge of primary school pupils in Modakeke is high and that males and females did not differ in their safety knowledge of accident prevention measures. The 2-day intervention programme had effect on the school safety knowledge of the pupils.

## 5.1 Recommendation

It was recommended that pupils should be well educated on how to prevent accidents in various settings. This can be accomplished through implementation of regular safety awareness programmes to complement the school-based safety education in schools.

#### References

- Adoga, A. & Ozoilo, K. (2014). The Epidemiology and Type of Injuries seen at the Accident and Emergency Unit of a Nigeria Referral Center. *Journal of Emergency Trauma and Shock*. 7 (2) 77 82
- Ayodele, R. B., Olubayo-Fatiregun, M. A. & Olawale J. O. (2017). Comparative Analysis of Accident Occurences in School and Home among Pupils in Ife-Ijesha Senatorial District of Osun State, Nigeria. *Nigeria School Health Journal*.29 (1) 89 96
- Chalya, P. I., Kanumba, E. S. Mabula, J. B., Giiti, G. & Gilyoma, J. M. (2011). Aetiological Spectrum, Injury Characteristics and Treatment Outcomes of Head injury Patients at Bagada Medical Center in North-Western Tanzania. *Tanzania Journal of Health Research*. 13: 93 102
- Fakeye, J. K., Oyinlola, A. I. & A. A. Salaam (2017). Determinants of School Accidents among Secondary School Students in Oyo West Local Government Area of Oyo State. *Nigeria School Health Journal*.29 (1) 50 56

- Ibhafidon, A., Nuzulike, N. M. & Ewuzie, M. A. (2017). Disaster Management Awareness among Students of Alvan Ikokwu College of Education, Owerri. Imo State. *Nigeria School Health Journal*.29 (1) 72 7
- John, D. F. (2006). Commonwealth of Learning and Open Education Resources. WikiEducator, New Zealand
- Lynn, W. (2016). Accident to Children: Home Safety Advice and Information on Child Safety. <a href="https://www.rospa.com">www.rospa.com</a>. retrieved 23 September, 2016.
- National Action Plan on Child Injury Prevention (2012). Protecting Our Nation's Future. Division of Unintentional Injury Prevention.
- Nkere, E. I. D., C. A. Ajibola, R. T. Ibe (2017). Epidemiology of Injuries at Accident and Emergency Units of University of Calabar Teaching Hospital, Calabar, Nigeria. *Nigerian School Health Journal* 29 (2) 44 52
- Nwankwo, B. (2004). Population Dynamics and Health Impacts. 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition. Owerri Colon Concepts Publishers. 67 78
- Okafor, J. O. (2000). Functional Approach to School Health Education. 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition. Anambra. Erudite Publishers. 6 101
- Onyezere, J. O. (2017). Innovative Approaches to Awareness Creation and Public Education on Disaster Risk Reduction. *Nigeria School Health Journal*.29 (1) 1 8
- Toluse, A. M., Idowu, O. T., Ogundele, O. O. Egbewole, A. . . (2016). Injury Epidemiology at a Trauma Center in South-Western, Nigeria. *African Journal of Trauma* 5 (1) 1 4
- Vacca & Bosher (2003). Law and Education. Current Issues and Court Decisions. Sixth Edition.
- Vijayakumari, K. & Sabitha P. (2015), Awareness of Disaster Management: An Exploration among Secondary School Students of Kerala Based on their Gender, Locale and Experience. *Guru Journal of Behavioural and Social Sciences* 3 (2) 382 388
- Wilson, M. H. (1991). Saving Children: A guide to Injury Prevention. Oxford University Press.

#### Creative Commons licensing terms

Authors will retain the copyright of their published articles agreeing that a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0) terms will be applied to their work. Under the terms of this license, no permission is required from the author(s) or publisher for members of the community to copy, distribute, transmit or adapt the article content, providing a proper, prominent and unambiguous attribution to the authors in a manner that makes clear that the materials are being reused under permission of a Creative Commons License. Views, opinions and conclusions expressed in this research article are views, opinions and conclusions of the author(s). Open Access Publishing Group and European Journal of Physical Education and Sport Science shall not be responsible or answerable for any loss, damage or liability caused in relation to/arising out of conflict of interests, copyright violations and inappropriate or inaccurate use of any kind content related or integrated on the research work. All the published works are meeting the Open Access Publishing requirements and can be freely accessed, shared, modified, distributed and used in educational, commercial and non-commercial purposes under a Creative Commons attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0).