*E-ISSN: 2476-9606 Abstract Proceedings International Scholars Conference Volume 7 Issue 1*, October 2019, pp. 1586-1596



https://doi.org/10.35974/isc.v7i1.1161



## Enhancement of Junior High School Students Problem Solving Ability Using Missoury Mathematics Project Learning Model with Individual and Small Group Assignments

Joy Frandero Pasaribu<sup>1</sup>, Louise M. Saija<sup>2</sup> Universitas Advent Indonesia *louise.saija@unai.edu* 

## ABSTRACT

Mathematical problem solving ability is very important since it can help students to solve their daily problems. Studies shows that most of students only wants to know the standard procedures when they learn mathematics, and tends to give up when the given problems are different. This comparative study aims to know the students mathematical problem solving enhancements, and whether there is significant difference between two groups of students which acquire Missoury Mathematics Project learning model with individual or small group assignments. Samples for this research are junior high school students from SMP Advent II Bandung, grade seven. The instruments used in this research are mathematical problem solving test and quesionaire of responses. The research result shows that the mathematical problem solving two groups of students which acquire Missoury Mathematics Project learning model with individual or small group assignments used in this research are mathematical problem solving test and quesionaire of responses. The research result shows that the mathematical problem solving two groups of students which acquire Missoury Mathematics Project learning model with individual or small group assignments2. While the result from the quesionaire of responses shows that students like the Missoury Mathematics Project learning model.

Keywords: Mathematical Problem Solving Ability, Missoury Mathematics Project.

### **INTRODUCTION**

Mathematics is one of the universal subject which has an important role in the development of human thinking power, and is a science that is able to integrate with other subjects and real life (Rostika & Junita, 2017). From a course of learning we are expected to not only be able to master the material, but can use the knowledge in dealing with problems to achieve an achievement and be able to apply it in every course of learning.

National Council of teachear of Mathematics (NCTM) stated that mathematics learning includes five abilities, that are:

- 1. mathematical communication
- 2. mathematical reasoning

- 3. mathematical problem solving
- 4. mathematical connection and
- 5. mathematical representation (Hikmasari & Scolastika, 2018).

Mathematical problem solving as one of the ability in mathematics learning, has four basic steps, that are: Understanding the problem, divising the plan, Carrying Out the plan, and looking back (Polya, 1973). Those steps can help students in terms of practicing how to think and reason in drawing conclusions from a problem that is routine or not routine (Yarmayani, 2016). Furthermore mathematical problem solving can facilitate students in solving their daily life problems and is an ability that is very important to be learned and improved by students (Akbar, 2018).

Studies shows that the students mathematical problem solving ability are not high yet. Nurlian (2014) found that students can only solve mathematics problems which are similar with the given example. The reasons are because mathematical problem solving are rarely given (Aisyah, 2018), not enough variety in mathematics problem solving problems (Putra, 2018), and most of students only wants to know the standard procedures when they learn mathematics, and tends to give up when the given problems are different (Kurniawan, 2015).

Missoury Mathematics Project (MMP) learning model is one the learning alternative which can enhance the mathematical problem solving ability, because this model emphasizes more on students, not only to be passive class participants but will be more interactive to give opinions and solve any problems during the learning process (Bambang, 2018; Fakhrudin, 2015). Good (2006) stated that: "Missouri Mathematics Project (MMP) was a good way to teach math concept". Furthermore, the Missouri Mathematics Project (MMP) learning model is a structured learning model to find out standard steps / procedures in solving various routine or non-routine questions, and students will get more material both from the results of discussions, projects, and presentations from the teacher (Rizki, 2016). The characteristic of the Missouri Mathematics Project (MMP) is that each student individually learns the instructional material conveyed by the teacher and the results of the individual are brought in groups to be discussed and mutually discussed by group members, and it is hoped that through project assignments students can be skilled in solving problems and have a variety of experiences in solving mathematical problems (Rahmiati, 2016; Latifah, 2014). There are five steps in implementation of MMP learning model, that is:

- 1. Review
- 2. Development

- 3. controlled practice
- 4. Seatwork and
- 5. Homework (Marliani, 2015 dan Hidayah, 2015).

This study aims to see the junior high school students mathematical problem solving enhancement using Missouri Mathematics Project learning model with individual and small group assignments.

#### **METHODS**

This study use comparative design which involves two groups of junior high school students. The sample are junior high school students from two classes in SMP Advent II Bandung, grade seven. One group of students acquire MMP learning model with individual assignments, while the other group of students acquire MMP learning model with small group assignments. The research instruments are mathematical problem solving test and quesionaire of responses. The test contains five essay problems, given as pretest and posttest, which are tested for validity and reliability first. While the questionaire of response contains 20 statements with 10 negatives statement and 10 positive statements.

In this quantitative research, the first thing to do is to analyze the pretest, posttest and normalized gain to have the information about students initial mathematical problem solving abilities and the mathematical problem solving enhancement after being taught using MMP learning model. To see whether there are a significant difference in the students initial mathematical problem solving ablity and the students mathematical problem solving enhancement, between those who get individual assignments and those who get small group assignments, diffrence between means tests were carried out, by first testing the normality and homogeneity. Furthermore, the questionaire of responses were analyzed to know whether the students likes the MMP learning model or not.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The results discussed here are divided in three sections, which are accordance with the aim of this study.

#### 1. Descriptive Analysis

Below table shows the descriptive statistics of pretest, postest and normalized gain of the students mathematical problem solving ability. But in this study report, it is limited on the

mean, standard deviation, variance, minimum and maximum score of students' problem solving ability.

	MMP with	Individua	al Assignments	MMP with Small Group Assignments			
	Pre	Post	Normalized Gain	Pre	Post	Normalized Gain	
Sampel Size	20			23			
Mean	6.05	38.80	0.7487	7.09	43.13	0.8384	
Std. Deviation	4.893	4.959	0.10693	4.670	2.989	0.07110	
Minimum	0	30	0,53	0	38	0.71	
Maximum	21	48	0,93	18	49	0.97	

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics

Maximum score = 50

The initial students mathematical problem solving ability within both groups of students are averagely low (6.05 and 7.09), comparing with the maximu score of 50. But, after being taught using MMP learning model, the scores increases so that the students mathematical problem solving ability considered as moderate (38.80 and 43.13). This results shows that MMP learning model can increase the students mathematical problem solving ability, whether they have individual assignments or small group assignments. There were students who do not know how to solve the mathematical problem solving at the beginning (minimum score in pretest is 0 in both group of students), but after they were taught using MMP learning model, their students mathematical problem solving ability increases (minimum posttest score is 30 and 38). Furthermore, the normalized gain scores showed that the students mathematical problem solving enhancement categorized as high averagely, in both group of students (0.7487 and 0.8384). The result that MMP learning model can enhance students' mathematical problem solving ability is similar with the results reported in the studies of Gunawan (2019), Hidayah & Ulya (2016), Putra & Fitriyani (2016), Hidayah (2015), Latifah (2014), and Junaedi (2013).

# 2. Means Difference Analysis on Students Initial Mathematical Problem Solving Ability.

Before MMP learning model were implemented, the researcher wants to know whether the populations of students initial mathematical problem solving abilities are not significantly different or not. For that purpose, different between pretest test were done. But, firstly normality test was done to determine which statistics used, parametric or non parametric statistics test.

Croup	Shapiro Wi	Shapiro Wilk				
Group	Statistic	Df	Sig.			
MMP with Individual Assignments	0.872	20	0.013			
MMP with Small Group Assignments	0.943	23	0.213			

#### Table 2. Normality Test for Pretest

Table 2 shows that the significant value (or p-value) for normality test of the students initial mathematical problem solving ability is 0.013 for the MMP with individual assignments group of students which is lesser than 0.05 (alpha), so it can be concluded that the population of students initial mathematical problem solving ability for the MMP with individual assignments group of students is not normally distributed. Furthermore, Table 2 also shows that the significant value (or p-value) for normality test of the students initial mathematical problem solving ability is 0.213 for the MMP with small group assignments group of students which is greater than 0.05 (alpha), so it can be concluded that the population of students initial mathematical problem solving ability for the MMP with small group assignments group of students initial mathematical problem solving ability for the MMP with small group assignments group of students initial mathematical problem solving ability for the MMP with small group assignments group of students initial mathematical problem solving ability for the MMP with small group assignments group of students initial mathematical problem solving ability for the MMP with small group assignments group of students initial mathematical problem solving ability for the MMP with small group assignments group of students initial mathematical problem solving ability for the MMP with small group assignments group of students initial mathematical problem solving ability for the MMP with small group assignments group of students is normally distributed.

Since one of the population of students initial mathematical problem solving ability is not normally distributed, the non parametric statistics test is used for the difference between means test, that is Mann Whitney test.

Before the difference betweeen means test, the homogeneity test was done to see whether the population variances of the students initial mathematical problem solving abilities are homogeny or not, and since one of the popupation of students initial mathematical problem solving abilities are not normally distributed, the based on median row was the considered row.

Table 3. Homogeneity Test for Pretest

	Levene Statistic	dfl	df2	Sig.
Based on Median	0.007	1	41	0.935

Since the significant value showed in Table 3 is 0.935, which is greater than 0.05 (alpha), it can be concluded that the population variances of the students initial mathematical problem solving abilities are homogeny.

	Pretest
Mann-Whitney U	196.000
Wilcoxon W	406.000
Ζ	-0.832
Aymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	0.405

#### Table 4. Mann Whitney Different Between Means Test for Pretest

Table 4 shows that the significant value is 0.405 which is greater than 0.05 (alpha), so it can be concluded that there were no significant difference in the students initial problem solving ability, between those who will be taught using MMP learning model with individual assignments and those who will be taught using MMP learning model with small group assignments.

## 3. Means Difference Analysis on Students Mathematical Problem Solving Enhancement

After the MMP learning model were implemented, the researcher wants to know whether the populations of students mathematical problem solving enhancement are significantly different or not. For that purpose, different between normalized gain test were done. But, firstly normality test was done to determine which statistics used, parametric or non parametric statistics test.

Table 5. Normality Test for Normalized Gain

Kelas				Shapiro wilk				
				Statistic	Df	Sig.		
MMP	with	Small	Group	0,955	20	0,442		
Assignm	nents		_					
MMP	with	Small	Group	0,968	23	0,646		
Assignm	nents		-					

Table 5 shows that the significant value (or p-value) for normality test of the students mathematical problem solving enhancement is 0.442 for the MMP with individual assignments group of students which is greater than 0.05 (alpha), so it can be concluded that the population of students mathematical problem solving enhancement for the MMP with individual assignments group of students is normally distributed. Furthermore, Table 5 also shows that the significant value (or p-value) for normality test of the students mathematical problem solving enhancement is 0.646 for the MMP with small group assignments group of students

which is greater than 0.05 (alpha), so it can be concluded that the population of students mathematical problem solving enhancement for the MMP with small group assignments group of students is normally distributed.

Since both of the populations of students initial mathematical problem solving enhancement are normally distributed, the parametric statistics test is used for the difference between means test, that is T-test.

Before the difference betweeen means test, the homogeneity test was done to see whether the population variances of the students mathematical problem solving enhancement are homogeny or not, and since both of the popupations of students mathematical problem solving enhancement are normally distributed, the based on mean row was the considered row.

Table 6. Homogeneity Test for Normalized Gain

	Levene Statistic	Df1	Df2	Sig.
Based on Mean	2.603	1	41	0.114

Since the significant value showed in Table 6 is 0.114, which is greater than 0.05 (alpha), it can be concluded that the population variances of the students mathematical problem solving enhancement are homogeny. Furthermore, for the T-test, the row for equal variances assumed will be the considered row.

Table 7. T-test for Normalized Gain

	t-test for Equality of Means								
Equal variances		df	Sig. (2tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	95% Confidenc Interval of the I Lower	e Difference Upper		
assumed	-3.066	51	0.003	-0.14521	0.04736	-0.24029	-0.05014		

Table 7 shows that the significant value is 0.003 which is lesser than 0.05 (alpha), so it can be concluded that there is a significant difference in the students problem solving enhancements, between those who were taught using MMP learning model with individual assignments and those who were taught using MMP learning model with small group assignments.

#### 4. Analysis on Students' Responses

Missoury Mathematics Project learning model according to Slavin (2007) was designed to help students get the best achievement in learning, by giving them more practices. The question is:

Do the students like the MMP learning model? The result of the questionaire of students' response given in below table will answer that question.

	MMP with Individual Assignments						MMP with Small Group Assignments					
State ment No.	Resp	onses			Average of Students' Positive responses	Responses				Average Students' Positive responses	of	
	SS	S	TS	STS		SS	S	TS	STS			
	1	13	6	0		8	12	2	1			
2 (+)	70% 30%			87% 13%								
10 (+)	8	11	1	0		9	12	2	0			
	95%	95% 5%			91%		9%					
19 (+)	1	4	13	2		7	14	2	0			
	25%		75%		63% 91%			9%		84%		
7 (-)	1	11	6	2	0370	0	3	14	6	0470		
	60%		40%			13%		87%				
14 (-)	0	0	13	7		1	0	8	14			
	0%	b 100%				4%		96%				
15 (-)	5	5	10	0		6	5	11	1			
	50%		50%			48%		52%				

Table 8. Students Responses toward MMP Learning Model

Table 8 shows that after learning using MMP learning model with individual assignments, averagely 63% of the students give positive response towards their learning experience. And, for students who were taught using MMP learning model with small group assignment, averagely 84% of the students give positive response. So, it can be concluded that the students like the MMP learning model.

#### Conclusion

The result of this study shows that the students initial mathematical problem solving ability considered as low. After the junior high school students learn using MMP learning model, the students mathematical problem solving ability were increased, within both groups of students who get individual assignment and those who get small group assignments. Furthermore, the students mathematical problem solving anhancement are considered high.

Statistically, there are no difference in the students initial mathematical problem solving ability, between students who will be taught using MMP with individual assignments and students who will be taught using MMP with small group assignments. But, after the MMP learning model

were implemented, The results shows that statistically, there are significant difference in the students mathematical problem solving enhancements, between students who are taught using MMP with individual assignments and students who are taught using MMP with small group assignments.

#### **REFERENCES**:

- Aisyah. (2018). Analisis Kemampuan Pemecahan Masalah Matematis Siswa SMP Pada Materi Segiempat dan Segitiga. Jurnal Pembelajaran Matematika Inovatif ISSN 2614-221X (print), 11.
- Akbar. (2018). Analisis Kemampuan Pemecahan Masalah dan Disposisi Matematik Siswa Kelas XI SMA Putra Juang Dalam Materi Peluang. Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika, IKIP Siliwangi, 145.
- Bambang. (2018). Peran Pembelajaran Dirkursus Multi Representasi Terhadap Pengembangan Kemampuan Matematika dan Daya Representasi Pada Siswa SLTP. *Jurnal Cakrawala Kependidikan, 108*.
- Fakhrudin. (2015). Penerapan Model Missouri Mathematics Project (MMP) Untuk Meningkatkan Kemandirian Dan Prestasi Belajar Matematika Siswa. Universitas Muhammadiyah Purworejo ekuivalen, 63
- Good, T. L. (2006). Teaching mathematics in grades 3-5 classrooms (online). (Https://www.scribd.com/document/1232997/description-tags-good.
- Gunawan, G. (2019). Kemampuan Pemecahan Masalah Matematis Siswa SMP Melalui Pemberian Perlakuan Pembelajaran. *Journal On Education*, 7.
- Hidayah, A. (2015). Penerapan Model Pembelajaran Missouri Mathematics Project (MMP) Terhadap Kemampuan Pemecahan Masalah Siswa di SMP. *EDU-MAT Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 51&57.
- Hidayah & Ulya . (2016). Kemampuan Pemecahan Masalah Ditinjau Dari Self-Efficacy Siswa Dalam Model Pembelajaran Missouri Mathematics Project. Unnes Journal of Mathematics Education Research, 182.
- Hikmasari & Scolastika . (2018). Analisis Hasil Asesmen Diagnostik dan Pengajaran Remedial pada Pencapaian Kemampuan Pemecahan Masalah Matematika melalui Model Problem Based Learning. *PRISMA 1*, 400.
- Junaedi, L. (2013). Keefektifan Model Pembelajaran Generatif dan MMP Terhadap Kemampuan Pemecahan Masalah. *Kreano, 136*.
- Kurniawan, H. (2015). Analisis Keterampilan Pemecahan Masalah Pada Pembelajaran Matematika. *PROSIDING SEMINAR NASIONAL PENDIDIKAN*, 67.

- Latifah, D. (2014). Meningkatkan Kemampuan Pemecahan Masalah Matematika Siswa Melalui Model Pembelajaran Missouri Mathematics Project (MMP). *Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 166.
- Marliani. (2015). Peningkatan Kemampuan Berpikir Kreatif Matematis Siswa Melalui Model Pembelajaran Missouri Mathematics Project (MMP). *Jurnal Formatif, 23*.
- Nurlian, E. (2014). Meningkatkan Kemampuan Pemahaman dan Pemecahan Matematis Siswa melalui Model Pembelajaran Berbasis Masalah. Bandung: Universitas Pasundan.
- Polya.G. (1973). *How to Solve It*. United States of America: Princeton : Princeton University Press.
- Putra . (2018). Kemampuan Berpikir Kreatif Matematik Siswa SMP di Cimahi. Jurnal Matematika Kreatif dan Inovatif KREANO, IKIP Siliwangi, 53.
- Putra & Fitriyani. (2016). Pembelajaran Matematika Dengan Model Missouri Mathematics Project Untuk Meningkatkan Kemampuan Pemecahan Masalah Matematika Siswa SMP. Seminar Nasional Pendidikan, Sains dan Teknologi, 318.
- Rahmiati, F. (2016). Pengaruh Pembelajaran Missouri Mathematics Project (MMP) Terhadap Kemampuan Pemecahan Masalah. Missouri Mathematics Project (MMP), Kemampuan Pemecahan Masalah
- Razali, N. (2011). Power Comparisons of Shapiro-Wilk, Kolmogorov-Smirnov, Lilliefors and Anderson-Darling Tests. *Journal of Statistical Modelling and Analystics*, Vol. 2, No. 1, , 21-23.
- Rizki. (2016). Eksperimentasi Model Pembelajaran Koperatif Missouri Mathematics Project (MMP) dan Think Pair Share (TPS) Dengan Pendekatan Saintifik Pada Materi Persamaan Garis Lurus Ditinjau Dari Kecerdasan Emosional Siswa SMP Negeri Se-Kabupaten Pemalang. *Journal of Mathematics and Mathematics Education*, 26.
- Rostika & Junita. (2017). Peningkatan Kemampuan Pemecahan Masalah siswa SD dalam pembelajaran Matematika Dengan Model Dirkursus Multy Representation (DMR). *Jurnal Pendidikan Dasar, 35*.
- Slavin, R. E. (2007). Effective Programs in Elementary Mathematics: A Best-Evidence Synthesis (version 1.2). John Hopkins University (U.S). (online): www.bestevidence.org/word/elem\_math\_Feb\_9\_2007.pdf.
- Sukanto. (2014). Meningkatkan Kemampuan Pemecahan Masalah Matematis Siswa Melalui Model Pembelajaran Missouri Mathematics Project (MMP). *Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 167.
- Susanto et al (2015). Penerapan Model Pembelajaran Mathematics Project Mathematics Project Dalam Meningkatkan Aktivitas Siswa dan Hasil Belajar Siswa Sub Pokok

Bahasan Menggambar Grafik Fungsi Aljabar Sederhana dan Fungsi Kuadrat Pada Siswa Kelas X SMA Negeri Balung. *Jurnal Pancaran* Vol. 4, No. 2, 155.

Yarmayani. (2016). Analisis Kemampuan Pemecahan Masalah Matematis Siswa Kelas XI MIPA SMA Negeri 1 Jambi. *Jurnal Ilmiah DIKDAYA*, 13.