So we obtain:

THEOREM 8. -(The third normalization theorem for homotopies). Let $S$ be a compact triangulable space, $G$ a finite directed graph, $C, D$ two finite decompositions of $S$ and $e, f: S \rightarrow G$ two functions pre-cellular w.r.t. $C$ and $D$ respectively, which are completely o-homotopic. Then, from any finite cellular decomposition $\Gamma_{2}$ of $S \times\left[\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}\right]$ of suitable mesh which induces on the bases $S \times\left\{\frac{1}{3}\right\}$ and $S \times\left\{\frac{2}{3}\right\}$ decompositions $\widetilde{C}$ and $\widetilde{D}$ finer than $C$ and $D$, we obtain a finite cellular decomposition $\Gamma$ of SXI and a homotopy between $f$ and $g$ which is a $\Gamma$-pre-cellular function.

Proof. - Let $F: S X I \rightarrow G$ be a complete o-homotopy between $e$ and $f$. We define the complete o-homotopy $M: S X I \rightarrow G$ between $e$ and $f$ as in the introduction of this paragraph. Then, if we consider the restriction of $M$ to $S \times\left[\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}\right]$, we can determine the real number $r$, upper bound of the mesh. Now if $\Gamma_{2}$ is a finite cellular decomposition, satisfying the conditions of the theorem and with mesh $<r$, we can consider the cellular decomposition $\Gamma=\Gamma_{1} \cup \Gamma_{2} \cup \Gamma_{3}$ of the cylinder $S \times I$, such that: i) $\Gamma_{1}$ is the product decomposition $\widetilde{C} \times L_{1}$ of $S \times\left[0, \frac{1}{3}\right]$, where $L_{1}=\{\{0\}$, ]o, $\frac{1}{3}\left[,\left\{\frac{1}{3}\right\}\right\}$.
ii) $\Gamma_{3}$ is the product decomposition $\tilde{D} \times L_{3}$ of $S \times\left[\frac{2}{3}, 1\right]$, where $L_{3}=\left\{\left\{\frac{2}{3}\right\}\right.$, $] \frac{2}{3}, 1\left[{ }^{3},\{1]\right\}$.

Then we define the function $\widehat{g}: S \times I \rightarrow G$, given by:

$$
\hat{g}(\sigma)=\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
M(\sigma), & \forall \sigma \epsilon \Gamma-\Gamma_{2}, \\
\text { a vertex of } H(\{M(\bar{\sigma})\}), & \forall \sigma \in \Gamma_{2} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Afterwards, by Theorem 6 , we construct the o-pattern $\hat{h}$ of $\hat{g}$, by choosing as element of $H\left(\hat{g}\left(s t^{m}(\sigma)\right)\right.$ ), the value $\hat{g}(\sigma)=M(\sigma)$ if $\sigma \epsilon \Gamma-\Gamma_{2}$. By construction $\hat{h}$ is a $\hat{h}$-pre-cellular function. Hence $\hat{h}$ is the sought homotopy since $\hat{h} /_{S \times\{0\}}=e$ and $\hat{h} /_{S \times\{1\}}=f . \square$

REMARK. - The finite cellular decomposition $\Gamma$ induces on the bases $S \times\{0\}$ and $S \times\{1\}$ the decompositions $\widetilde{C}$ and $\widetilde{D}$.
5) The second normalization theorem between pairs.


Given a set $A$, a non-empty subset $A^{\prime}$ of $A$, a finite graph $G$ and $a$ subgraph $G^{\prime}$ of $G$, we can generalize Definition 4 , by considering function $f: A, A^{\prime} \rightarrow G, G^{\prime}$ which are quasi-constant w.r.t. a partition $P=\left\{X_{j}\right\}$, $j \in J$, of $A$. In this case it follows that the image of every $X_{j}$, such that $X_{i} \cap A^{\prime} \neq \varnothing^{\prime}$, necessary is a vertex of $G^{\prime}$. Moreover, if $A$ is a too
logical space and $A^{\prime}$ a subspace of $A$, we can also generalize the definition of weakly $P$-constant. So we have:

PROPOSITION 9. - Let $S$ be a compact space, the filter $W$ the uniformity of $S, S^{\prime}$ a closed subspace of $S$, U a closed neighbourhood of $S^{\prime}, G$ a finite directed graph, $G^{\prime}$ a subgraph of $G$ and $f: S, U \rightarrow G, G^{\prime}$ a complete ly o-regular function. If we choose in $\dot{U}$ a closed neighbourhood $K$ of $S^{\prime}$, we can determine a vicinity $W \in W$ such that, for all the $W$-partitions $P=\left\{X_{j}\right\}, j \in J$, there exists a function $h: S, O_{K} \rightarrow G, G^{\prime}$, which is completely o-regular, weakly p-constant and completely o-homotopic to $f: S, S^{\prime} \rightarrow G, G^{\prime}$.

Proof. - At first there exists a closed neighbourhood $K$ of $S^{\prime}$, included in $U$, since $S$ is normal. Then, by following the proof of Theorem 3, we determine a vicinity $V \boldsymbol{\in} W$ such that $V\left(A_{1}^{f}\right) \cap \ldots \cap V\left(A_{n}^{f}\right)=$ $=\varnothing, \forall n$-tuple $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ non-headed of $G$. Moreover, if $w^{\prime}$ is the trace filter of $W$ on $U \times U$, we obtain, as before, a vicinity $Z^{\prime} \in W^{\prime}$ such that $Z^{\prime}\left(A_{1}^{\prime} f^{\prime}\right) \cap \ldots \cap Z^{\prime}\left(A_{m}^{\prime} f^{\prime}\right)=\varnothing$, $\forall m$-tuple $a_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, a_{m}^{\prime}$ non-headed of $G^{\prime}$. Since $Z^{\prime} \in W^{\prime}$, necessarily it is $Z^{\prime}=V_{1} \cap(U X U)$, where $V_{1} \in W$. Then we choose a symmetric vicinity $W \in W$ such that $W \circ W \subseteq V \cap V_{1}$ and $W(K) \subset U$. Now, given a $W$-partition $P=\left\{X_{j}\right\}, j \in J$, of $S$, we define a relation $g: S, \stackrel{\circ}{K} \rightarrow G, G^{\prime}$, by putting, for every $X_{j}, j \in J$, the constant value:

$$
g\left(X_{j}\right)= \begin{cases}\text { a vertex of } H_{G}\left(\left\{f\left(X_{j}\right)\right\}\right) & \text { if } X_{j} \cap K=\varnothing \\ \text { a vertex of } H_{G},\left(\left\{f^{\prime}\left(X_{j}\right)\right\}\right) & \text { if } X_{j} \cap K \neq \varnothing\end{cases}
$$

We verify that $g$ satisfies the following conditions:
i) $g$ is a function. In fact it results:
a) $\forall X_{j} / X_{j} \cap K=\varnothing$, the set $\left\{f\left(X_{j}\right)\right\}$ is headed in $G$. For proving this we go on as in i) of the proof of Theorem 3 .
b) $\forall X_{j} / X_{j} \cap K \neq \varnothing$, the set $\left\{f^{\prime}\left(X_{j}\right)\right\}$ is headed in $G^{\prime}$. At first we prove that $X_{j} \subseteq U$. Let $z \in X_{j} \cap K, \forall y \in X_{j}$ it is $(z, y) \in X_{j} \times X_{j} \subseteq W$, i.e: $X_{j} \subseteq W(z) \subseteq W(K) \subseteq U$. Then, if we go on as in i) of Theorem 3 , we obtain that $\left\{f^{\prime}\left(X_{j}\right)\right\}$ is headed in $G^{\prime}$. Moreover, we remark that the vertex $g(x)$, chosen in $H_{G},\left(\left\{f^{\prime}\left(X_{j}\right)\right\}\right)$, is also an element of $H_{G}\left(\left\{f\left(X_{j}\right\}\right)\right.$, since $f\left(X_{j}\right)=f^{\prime}\left(X_{j}\right)$.
From a) and $b$ ) it follows that there exists $g(x)$, for every $x \boldsymbol{A} S$; hence $g$ is a function.
ii) and $i$ ii) The function $g: S, \stackrel{\circ}{K} \rightarrow G, G^{\prime}$ and the homotopy $F: S \times I, \stackrel{\circ}{K} \times I \rightarrow G, G$ between $f$ and $g$ given by:

$$
F(x, t)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
f(x) & \forall x \in S, & \forall t \in\left[0, \frac{1}{2}[ \right. \\
g(x) & \forall x \in S, & \forall t \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]
\end{array}\right.
$$

are completely quasi-regular functions.
a) $g: S \rightarrow G$ and $F: S \times I \rightarrow G$ are c. quasi-regular functions. We obtain this result as in ii) and iii) of Theorem 3.
b) The restrictions $g^{\prime}: \stackrel{O}{K} \rightarrow G^{\prime}$ and $F^{\prime}: \stackrel{O}{K} \times I \rightarrow G^{\prime}$ are c. quasi-regular. At first: we observe that, by the definition of $g$, it is $g(K) \subset G^{\prime}$ and then $F(K \times I) \subset G^{\prime}$. Secondly we go on as in ii) and iii) of Theorem 3, by choosing, $\forall x^{\prime} \in \mathbb{K}$, the neighbourhood $W\left(x^{\prime}\right) \cap \stackrel{\circ}{K}$, rather than $W\left(x^{\prime}\right)$, and by using the vicinity $Z^{\prime}$ rather than $V$. Then, for example, if we suppose that the $m$-tuple $a_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, a_{m}^{\prime} \in\left\langle g^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle$ is non-headed, we obtain the contra diction $x^{\prime} \in Z^{\prime}\left(A^{\prime} f_{1}^{\prime}\right) \cap \ldots \cap Z^{\prime}\left(A_{m}^{\prime} f^{\prime}\right)$.
From a) and b) it follows ii) and iii).
Now if we consider any o-pattern $h$ of $g$, we obtain the sought function. In fact we have:
$\left.i^{\prime}\right) h: S, \stackrel{O}{K} \rightarrow G, G^{\prime}$ is completely o-regular (see [5], Proposition 15). $i^{\prime}$ ) $h$ is weakly $P$-constant by the definition of o-pattern of a quasiconstant function.
iii') h is completely o-homotopic to $f: S, S^{\prime} \rightarrow G, G^{\prime}$. Since the homotopy $F: S, O_{K} \rightarrow G, G^{\prime}$ is c.quasi-regular by iii) and $\stackrel{\circ}{K}$ is open, there exists an o-pattern $E$ (which is c.o-regular by [5] , Proposition 15) of $F$. We can choose $E$ such that $E(x, 0)=f(x)$ and $E(x, 1)=h(x), \forall x \in S$, for $f$ and $g$ are c.o-regular i.e.:
ョ) $f(x) \in H_{G}(\langle f(x)\rangle)=H_{G}(\langle F(x, 0)\rangle)$ and $h(x) \in H_{G}(\langle g(x)\rangle)=H_{G}(\langle F(x, 1)\rangle)$, $\forall x \in S$.
b) $f^{\prime}(x) \in H_{G},\left(\left\langle f^{\prime}(x)\right\rangle\right)=H_{G^{\prime}}\left(\left\langle F^{\prime}(x, 0)\right\rangle\right)$ and $h^{\prime}(x) \in H_{G^{\prime}}(\langle g(x)\rangle)=$ $=H_{G^{\prime}}\left(\left\langle F^{\prime}(x, 1)\right\rangle\right), \quad \forall x \in K$.
Hence the o-pattern $h(x)=E(x, 1)$ is c.o-homotopic to $f$ by $E \cdot \square$

REMARK. - If $S$ is a compact metric space, we can determine a positive real number $r$ and choose partitions $P$ with mesh $<r$. In fact, we put $E_{1}=\inf \left(\operatorname{ent}\left(A_{1}^{f}, \ldots, A_{n}^{f}\right)\right)$, $\forall n$-tuple $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ non-headed of $G$ and $\varepsilon_{2}=$ $\inf \left(\operatorname{ent}\left(A_{1}^{\prime} f^{\prime}, \ldots . A_{m}^{\prime} f^{\prime}\right)\right)$, $\forall m$-tuple $a_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, a_{m}^{\prime}$ non-headed of $G^{\prime}$ and we 2hoose $\varepsilon_{3}$ such that ${ }_{W} \varepsilon_{3}(K) \subset U$. Then the real number $r$ is given by $\inf \left(\frac{\varepsilon_{1}}{2}, \frac{\varepsilon_{2}^{3}}{2}, \varepsilon_{3}\right)$.

THEOREM 10. - (The second normalization theorem between pairs). Let $S$ be a compact space, the filter $W$ the uniformity of $S, S^{\prime}$ a closed subspace of $S, G$ afinite directed graph, $G^{\prime}$ a subgraph of $G$ and $f: S, S^{\prime} \rightarrow$ I, $G^{\prime}$ a completely o-regular function. Then we can determine a closed reighbourhood $K$ of $S^{\prime}$ and a vicinity $W \in W$ such that, for all the $W$ partitions $P=\left\{X_{j}\right\}, j \in J$, there exists a function $h: S, \mathcal{O}^{\circ} \rightarrow G, G^{\prime}$, which

to $f$.

Proof. - By Proposition 28 of [5] and Theorem 16 of [4] there exists a closed neighbourhood $U$ of $S^{\prime}$ and an extension $k: S, U \rightarrow G, G^{\prime}$ which is
 obtain the result by using Proposition 9 for the function $k: S, U \rightarrow G, G^{\prime}$.

REMARK. - If $G$ is an undirected graph, the function $g$ can be choosen quasi-constant. Moreover if $S$ is a compact metric space, we have only to consider the couples of vertices rather than the $n$-tuples and to determine $\varepsilon_{1}=\inf \left(d\left(A_{i}^{f}, A_{j}^{f}\right), \forall\right.$ couple $a_{i}, a_{j}$ of non-adjacent vertices of $G, \varepsilon_{2}=\inf \left(d\left(A_{r}^{\prime}, A f_{s}^{\prime}\right)\right), \forall$ couple $a_{r}, a_{s}$ of non-adjacent vertices of $G^{\prime}$. Then, if we put $r^{\prime}=\inf \left(\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}\right)$, as in Remark 3 to Theorem 3, we can choose a covering $P=\left\{x_{j}\right\}, j \in J$, with mesh $<\frac{r^{\prime}}{4}$ (see [8], Corollary 8).
6) The third normalization theorem between pairs.


Now we consider pairs of spaces given by a finite cellular complex $C$ and by a subcomplex $C^{\prime}$ of $C$; it follows that $\left|C^{\prime}\right|$ is a closed subspace of $|C|$. Since we use completely o-regular functions $f:|C|,\left|C^{\prime}\right|$ $\rightarrow G, G^{\prime}$ balanced by the open set $\left|s t\left(C^{\prime}\right)\right|$ (see [5], Definitions 6 and 12), we put:

DEFINITION 12. - Let $C$ be a finite complex, $C^{\prime}$ a subcomplex of $C$, $G$ a finite graph and $G^{\prime}$ a subgraph of $G$. A function $f:|C|,|C| \rightarrow G, G^{\prime}$ is called pre-cellular w.r.t. C, $C^{\prime}$ or $C, C^{\prime}$-pre-cellular if:
i) $f:|C|,\left|s t\left(C^{\prime}\right)\right| \rightarrow G, G^{\prime}$ is completely o-regular.
ii) $f:|C| \rightarrow G$ is properly $C$-constant.
iii) $f:|C| \rightarrow G$ is properly $C$-constant in $C^{\prime}$.

THROREM II. - (The third normalization theorem between pairs). Let $S$ be a compact triangulable space, $S^{\prime}$ a closed triangulable subspace of $S, G$ a finite directed graph, $G^{\prime}$ a subgraph of $G$ and $f: S, S^{\prime} \rightarrow G, G^{\prime} a$ completely o-regular function. Then for every finite cellular decomposition $C, C^{\prime}$ of the pair $S, S^{\prime}$, with suitable mesh, there exists a function $h: S, S^{\prime} \rightarrow G, G^{\prime}$ which is $C, C^{\prime}-p r e-c e l l u l a r ~ a n d ~ c o m p l e t e l y ~ o-h o m o-~$ topic to $f$.

Proof. - By proceeding as in the proof of Theorem lo, at first we

