

2017

Baseline bird surveys of Plum Tree Island National Wildlife Refuge: Fall 2017

B. D. Watts

The Center for Conservation Biology, bdwatt@wm.edu

B J. Paxton

The Center for Conservation Biology

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.wm.edu/ccb_reports

Recommended Citation

Watts, B. D. and Paxton, B J., "Baseline bird surveys of Plum Tree Island National Wildlife Refuge: Fall 2017" (2017). *CCB Technical Reports*. 302.

https://scholarworks.wm.edu/ccb_reports/302

This Report is brought to you for free and open access by the Center for Conservation Biology (CCB) at W&M ScholarWorks. It has been accepted for inclusion in CCB Technical Reports by an authorized administrator of W&M ScholarWorks. For more information, please contact scholarworks@wm.edu.

BASELINE BIRD SURVEYS OF PLUM TREE ISLAND NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE Interim Report: Fall 2017

Bryan D. Watts
Barton J. Paxton
The Center for Conservation Biology
College of William and Mary & Virginia Commonwealth University

Recommended Citation:

Watts, B. D. and B. J. Paxton. 2017. Baseline bird surveys of Plum Tree Island National Wildlife Refuge: Fall 2017. The Center for Biology Technical Report Series, CCBTR-17-13. College of William and Mary and Virginia Commonwealth University. 15 pp.

Context

The Chesapeake Bay is one of the most productive aquatic ecosystems in the world and plays an important role in the life cycle of many bird species (Duerr and Watts 2012). Each year, the rich resources of the Bay attract millions of waterbirds of 140 species from throughout the western hemisphere (Erwin et al. 2007, Watts 2013). Dependency on the Bay varies from species that stopover for a few days during migration to species that live out their entire life cycle within a single tributary. Because many waterbirds are top consumers and collectively require a broad array of resources they represent sensitive, cost-effective indicators of overall ecosystem health. Many species that depend on the Bay are of high international, national or regional conservation concern (Watts 1999, 2016).

Plum Tree Island National Wildlife Refuge includes some of the most significant marsh habitat within the lower Chesapeake Bay. Established in 1972 when the site was transferred from the U. S. Department of Defense to the U. S. Department of the Interior, the site supports the largest contiguous patch of tidal salt marsh within the lower Chesapeake Bay including extensive low marsh (dominated by smooth cordgrass - *Spartina alterniflora* and black needlerush - *Juncus roemerianus*), high marsh (dominated by salt grass - *Distichlis spicata* and salt meadow hay – *S. patens*), a long marsh-upland ecotone (dominated by shrubs including saltbush - *Iva frutescens* or *Baccharis hamilifolia* and wax myrtle – *Myrica cerifera*), and scattered hummocks of maritime forest and low-profile dunes and beaches. Although the site is included within an Important Bird Area (Watts 2006) and is known to support bird species of conservation concern (e.g., Watts and Rottenborn 2002, Wilke et al. 2005, Watts and Smith 2015) there has been no attempt to survey the site in order to build a baseline dataset needed to understand the importance and role of the site within a regional context.

Objectives

Monitoring is an essential component of conservation. Within the conservation community, information on the status and distribution of species is the basis for management decisions and often the primary measure of management success. The overall objective of this effort is to collect baseline information on the status of birds using Plum Tree Island National Wildlife Refuge that may inform future management decisions.

Methods

Shoreline Surveys

We established a 100-m wide band transect positioned along the outer shoreline of Plum Tree Island to conduct surveys of birds using the shoreline and near-shore waters (Figure 1). We piloted a boat approximately 30 to 40 m offshore and parallel to the shoreline and surveyed all birds within the band transect. All birds were counted and identified to species (except on rare occasions when conditions or circumstances did not allow for identification to species). Birds detected were plotted on a GPS-enabled laptop that was loaded with a recent aerial photograph of the study area (Figure 2). Birds observed beyond the shoreline (within the marsh) were not recorded with the exception of species of conservation interest (e.g., peregrine falcon, bald eagle, northern harrier).

Figure 1. Map of the 100-m wide band transect positioned along the outer shoreline of Plum Tree Island to conduct bird surveys.



Figure 2. GPS locations of birds laid over recent aerial photograph of the study area.



Marsh Point Count Survey

We established a network of ten point-count locations within the marsh habitat of Plum Tree Island, NWR to survey for breeding marsh birds (Figure 3). Due to the ongoing unexploded ordinance problems within the site, we restricted points to locations that could reliably be accessed and surveyed by boat. These included sites that were along navigable tidal creeks. We used standardized, off-road, point-count techniques that were developed for secretive marsh-nesting birds (Conway and Nadeau 2006, Conway 2011) to survey breeding marsh birds. The approach uses distance estimation to improve effective sample area, a series of play-back calls to improve detection probabilities, and stratification of count data by time. We used a variation of this technique that was developed for the coastal area of the mid-Atlantic and southern New England (Shriver et al. 2008) and has been used by project SHARP. We used the same data collection protocol and form that has been used within the region by project SHARP (Appendix I).

Figure 3. Map of the ten point-count locations within the marsh habitat of Plum Tree Island used for the point count survey.



Statement of Progress: Fall 2017

This project is currently on schedule and all seasonal surveys have been conducted as planned.

Shoreline Surveys

Twelve shoreline surveys have been conducted from February, 2017 through September, 2017 including two in the winter, four during spring migration, two during the summer breeding season and four during fall migration (Appendix II & IV). Shorebirds and gulls/terns were the most numerous species groups by both number of species and individuals (Table 1). Dunlin was the most numerous species detected accounting for nearly 40% of the individuals detected.

Table 1. Summary of shoreline surveys by species group.

| Species Group | Species No. | Individuals |
|-----------------------|-------------|--------------|
| Seabirds | 7 | 186 |
| Gulls and Terns | 8 | 1,713 |
| Waterfowl | 6 | 234 |
| Hérons and Egrets | 5 | 121 |
| Shorebirds | 14 | 6,344 |
| Raptors | 6 | 158 |
| Passerines and Others | 7 | 314 |
| | | |
| Total | 54 | 9,671 |

Marsh Point Count Survey

We completed three rounds of point counts during the breeding season (Appendix III & IV). The most common birds detected were passerines and associates (Table 2) with seaside sparrows and clapper rails accounting for more than 30% of all detections. Shorebirds returning from the Arctic were also common by mid-summer.

Table 2. Summary of point-count surveys by species group.

| Species Group | Species No. | Individuals |
|-----------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Seabirds | 1 | 3 |
| Gulls and Terns | 3 | 107 |
| Waterfowl | 2 | 2 |
| Hérons and Egrets | 4 | 117 |
| Shorebirds | 8 | 112 |
| Raptors | 2 | 32 |
| Passerines and Others | 8 | 272 |
| | | |
| Total | 28 | 645 |

Literature Cited

- Conway, C. J. 2011. Standardized North American marsh bird monitoring protocol. *Waterbirds* 34:319-346.
- Conway, C. J., and C. P. Nadeau. 2006. Development and field testing of survey methods for a continental marsh bird monitoring program in North America. Wildlife Research Report # 2005 11. USGS Arizona Cooperative Fish and Wildlife Research Unit, Tucson, Arizona.
- Duerr, A. E. and B. D. Watts 2012. Waterbirds of the Chesapeake Bay: Status, ecological requirements, and threats. Center for Conservation Biology Technical Report Series, CCBTR-12-02. College of William and Mary/Virginia Commonwealth University, Williamsburg, VA. 209 pp.
- Erwin, R. M., G. M. Haramis, M. C. Perry, and B. D. Watts. 2007. Waterbirds of the Chesapeake Bay region: An introduction. *Waterbirds* 30:1-3.
- Shriver, G. S. Schmidt, and O. Dahmen. 2008. Tidal Marsh Bird Protocol and Standard Operating Procedures for Monitoring Marsh Birds in Bird Conservation Region 30. University of Delaware, Newark, DE.
- Watts, B. D. 2006. Synthesizing information resources for the Virginia Important Bird Area Program: Phase II Western Shore. Center for Conservation Biology Technical Report Series, CCBTR-06-13. College of William and Mary, Williamsburg, VA. 78 pp.
- Watts, B. D. 2013. Waterbirds of the Chesapeake: A monitoring plan. Version 1.0. Virginia Department of Game and Inland Fisheries, Richmond, VA. 95 p.
- Watts, B. D. 2016. Breeding birds of Virginia. *Virginia Journal of Science* 66:1-54.
- Watts, B. D. and S. J. Rottenborn. 2002. Status of breeding Northern Harriers in coastal Virginia. *The Raven* 72:153-157.
- Watts, B. D. and F. M. Smith. 2015. Winter composition of Nelson's and saltmarsh sparrows in coastal Virginia. *The Wilson Journal of Ornithology* 127: 387-394.
- Wilke, A. L., B. D. Watts, B. R. Truitt, and R. Boettcher. 2005. Breeding season status of the American Oystercatcher in Virginia, USA. *Waterbirds* 28:308-315.
- Wilson, M. D., B. D. Watts, and D. F. Brinker. 2007. Status review of Chesapeake Bay marsh lands and breeding marsh birds. *Waterbirds* 30:122-137.

Appendix I. SHARP point-count/callback data form used for the 2017 Plum Tree Island National Wildlife Refuge avian survey (next page).

Appendix II. Avian shoreline survey totals by 2017 survey date at Plum Tree Island National Wildlife Refuge in Poquoson, Virginia.

| Species Code | Total | Date | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-----|------|------|
| | | 2/1 | 2/14 | 4/26 | 5/10 | 5/16 | 5/30 | 6/12 | 6/29 | 8/22 | 9/8 | 9/15 | 9/29 |
| ABDU | 2 | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| AMOY | 93 | | 3 | 8 | 15 | 12 | 15 | 12 | 24 | 3 | | 1 | |
| AMWI | 6 | 4 | 2 | | | | | | | | | | |
| ATBR | 17 | 13 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | |
| BAEA | 36 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1 | | 7 | 9 | 1 | |
| BARS | 19 | | | | 6 | 5 | 2 | | 6 | | | | |
| BBPL | 303 | 15 | 49 | 46 | 68 | 43 | 2 | | | 15 | 20 | 20 | 25 |
| BEKI | 3 | | | 1 | | | | | | | | 2 | |
| BLSK | 61 | | | | | 11 | 22 | | 28 | | | | |
| BRPE | 73 | 1 | 1 | 6 | | | 12 | 1 | 1 | | 8 | 26 | 17 |
| BTGR | 218 | 2 | 9 | 8 | 18 | 8 | 19 | 10 | 2 | 5 | 37 | 14 | 86 |
| BUFF | 134 | 78 | 52 | | 2 | 2 | | | | | | | |
| CANG | 72 | 6 | 7 | 53 | 4 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | |
| CLRA | 1 | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| COLO | 5 | 1 | | 4 | | | | | | | | | |
| COTE | 38 | | | | 12 | 10 | 15 | 1 | | | | | |
| DCCO | 600 | | | 192 | 6 | 40 | 53 | 55 | 32 | 49 | 85 | 8 | 80 |
| DUNL | 3842 | 863 | 632 | 697 | 1045 | 583 | 22 | | | | | | |
| FOTE | 9 | | | 2 | | 5 | | | | 2 | | | |
| GBBG | 299 | 36 | 5 | 6 | 7 | | 18 | 9 | 7 | 70 | 32 | 24 | 85 |
| GBHE | 46 | | 2 | | 7 | 6 | 1 | 6 | 13 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 3 |
| GREG | 52 | | | 9 | 21 | 4 | | 5 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| GRHE | 1 | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | |
| GRYE | 7 | | | | | | | | | | | 6 | 1 |
| HERG | 753 | 179 | 189 | 60 | 16 | 15 | 21 | 61 | 7 | 58 | 51 | 60 | 36 |
| HOGR | 4 | | 2 | 2 | | | | | | | | | |
| LAGU | 63 | | | 4 | 3 | 3 | | 8 | 2 | 13 | 2 | 17 | 11 |
| LBHE | 1 | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| LESA | 8 | | | | | 6 | | | | 2 | | | |
| LETE | 169 | | | 9 | 8 | 3 | 41 | 46 | 12 | 50 | | | |
| MALL | 3 | | | | 3 | | | | | | | | |
| NOHA | 5 | | 4 | | | | | | | | | 1 | |

| Species Code | Total | Date | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-----|------|------|
| | | 2/1 | 2/14 | 4/26 | 5/10 | 5/16 | 5/30 | 6/12 | 6/29 | 8/22 | 9/8 | 9/15 | 9/29 |
| OSPR | 109 | | | 5 | 6 | 13 | 6 | 7 | 18 | 25 | 16 | 7 | 6 |
| PEEP | 712 | | | 13 | | 354 | 75 | | | 230 | 40 | | |
| PEFA | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| RBGU | 124 | 52 | 5 | 14 | 1 | | 6 | 15 | 13 | 16 | 1 | | 1 |
| RBME | 34 | | 30 | | 2 | 2 | | | | | | | |
| ROYT | 248 | | | 13 | | 52 | 21 | 14 | 17 | 35 | 40 | 6 | 50 |
| RTHA | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| RUTU | 35 | 11 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | | | 5 | | | 1 |
| RWBL | 48 | | | | 1 | 1 | 6 | 23 | 5 | 11 | | 1 | |
| SAND | 14 | 9 | | | | | 1 | | | | 4 | | |
| SATE | 19 | | | 1 | | 7 | 7 | | 1 | | | 3 | |
| SBDO | 107 | | | 20 | 24 | 31 | 15 | | | 17 | | | |
| SEPL | 740 | | | 3 | 89 | 148 | 23 | | | 376 | 60 | 31 | 10 |
| SESA | 46 | | | | 10 | | 4 | | | 32 | | | |
| SESP | 1 | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| SNEG | 21 | | | 9 | 1 | | 2 | | | | 2 | 7 | |
| SPSA | 70 | | | 1 | 1 | 52 | 6 | | | 8 | 1 | 1 | |
| TUVU | 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | 6 |
| WESA | 315 | | | 15 | 117 | 80 | 55 | 3 | | | 5 | 40 | |
| WILL | 52 | 1 | | 8 | 2 | 3 | 26 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Total | 9646 | 1281 | 999 | 1214 | 1501 | 1507 | 507 | 282 | 196 | 1036 | 418 | 283 | 422 |

Appendix III. Rail callback survey totals for 2017 at Plum Tree Island National Wildlife Refuge in Poquoson, Virginia.

| Species Code | Total | Date | | |
|--------------|-------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | | 5/30/2017 | 6/27/2017 | 7/12/2017 |
| AMAV | 4 | | | 4 |
| AMOY | 2 | | 1 | 1 |
| BAEA | 2 | | 2 | |
| BARS | 10 | 4 | 6 | |
| BLSK | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| BTGR | 16 | 7 | 3 | 6 |
| CANG | 1 | 1 | | |
| CLRA | 102 | 51 | 26 | 25 |
| COTE | 1 | | 1 | |
| EAME | 1 | | 1 | |
| GBHE | 18 | 3 | 7 | 8 |
| GREG | 93 | 43 | 33 | 17 |
| LAGU | 91 | 2 | 11 | 78 |
| LBHE | 1 | | 1 | |
| LETE | 15 | 2 | 13 | |
| LEYE | 7 | | | 7 |
| MALL | 1 | 1 | | |
| NESP | 1 | 1 | | |
| OSPR | 30 | 2 | 25 | 3 |
| PEEP | 2 | 1 | | 1 |
| RUTU | 1 | | | 1 |
| RWBL | 28 | 5 | 13 | 10 |
| SBDO | 35 | | | 35 |
| SESA | 1 | 1 | | |
| SESP | 113 | 27 | 59 | 27 |
| SNEG | 5 | | 2 | 3 |
| SOSP | 1 | | 1 | |
| WILL | 60 | 27 | 26 | 7 |
| Total | 645 | 179 | 232 | 234 |

Appendix IV. American Ornithologist Union four-letter avian species codes and common names included in the 2017 survey.

| AOU Code | Species Name |
|----------|------------------------------|
| ABDU | American Black Duck |
| AMAV | American Avocet |
| AMOY | American Oystercatcher |
| AMWI | American Wigeon |
| ATBR | Atlantic Brant |
| BAEA | Bald Eagle |
| BARS | Barn Swallow |
| BBPL | Black-bellied Plover |
| BEKI | Belted Kingfisher |
| BLSK | Black Skimmer |
| BRPE | Brown Pelican |
| BTGR | Boat-tailed Grackle |
| BUFF | Bufflehead |
| CANG | Canada Goose |
| CLRA | Clapper Rail |
| COLO | Common Loon |
| COTE | Common Tern |
| DCCO | Double-crested Cormorant |
| DUNL | Dunlin |
| EAME | Eastern Meadowlark |
| FOTE | Forster's Tern |
| GBBG | Great Black-backed Gull |
| GBHE | Great Blue Heron |
| GREG | Great Egret |
| GRHE | Green Heron |
| GRYE | Greater Yellowlegs |
| HERG | Herring Gull |
| HOGR | Horned Grebe |
| LAGU | Laughing Gull |
| LBHE | Little Blue Heron |
| LESA | Least Sandpiper |
| LETE | Least Tern |
| LEYE | Lesser Yellowlegs |
| MALL | Mallard |
| NESP | Nelson's Sparrow |
| NOHA | Northern Harrier |
| OSPR | Osprey |
| PEEP | unidentified small shorebird |

| AOU Code | Species Name |
|----------|------------------------|
| PEFA | Peregrine Falcon |
| RBGU | Ring-billed Gull |
| RBME | Red-breasted Merganser |
| ROYT | Royal Tern |
| RTHA | Red-tailed Hawk |
| RUTU | Ruddy Turnstone |
| RWBL | Red-winged Blackbird |
| SAND | Sanderling |
| SATE | Sandwich Tern |
| SBDO | Short-billed Dowitcher |
| SEPL | Semipalmated Plover |
| SESA | Semipalmated Sandpiper |
| SESP | Seaside Sparrow |
| SNEG | Snowy Egret |
| SOSP | Song Sparrow |
| SPSA | Spotted Sandpiper |
| TUVU | Turkey Vulture |
| WESA | Western Sandpiper |
| WILL | Willet |