- 1 Title:
- 2 Color vision in sight recovery individuals
- 3 Authors:
- 4 Kabilan PITCHAIMUTHU<sup>1</sup>, Suddha SOURAV<sup>1</sup>, Davide BOTTARI<sup>1,2</sup>, Seema BANERJEE<sup>3,4</sup>, Idris
- 5 SHAREEF<sup>4</sup>, Ramesh KEKUNNAYA<sup>4</sup>, and Brigitte RÖDER<sup>1</sup>
- 6 Affiliation and addresses:
- 7 <sup>1</sup>Biological Psychology and Neuropsychology, University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany
- 8 <sup>2</sup>The Molecular Mind Laboratory, IMT School for Advanced Studies, Lucca, Italy
- 9 <sup>3</sup>School of Optometry, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong, China
- <sup>4</sup>Child Sight Institute, Jasti V Ramanamma Children's Eye Care Center, L V Prasad Eye Institute,
- 11 Hyderabad, India
- 12 Running title: Color vision in sight recovery individuals
- 13 Corresponding author: Kabilan PITCHAIMUTHU
- 14 Contact information of the corresponding author:
- 15 Biological Psychology and Neuropsychology,
- 16 University of Hamburg,
- 17 Von-Melle-Park 11
- 18 20146 Hamburg, Germany
- 19 Phone: +49-(0)40-428382623
- 20 Email: kabilan.pitchaimuthu@uni-hamburg.de

21 Abstract

<u>Background:</u> Color vision has been consistently shown to be unaffected in animals that are
 raised in dark or in color-deprived environments. However, there are only a few studies that

24 directly addressed the effect of congenital visual deprivation in color perception in humans.

<u>Objective:</u> The goal of the current study was to assess the effect of congenital visual
 deprivation on color vision using a panel based color arrangement test.

27 Methods: We investigated the recovery of color vision using the Farnsworth D15 test in a 28 group of individuals who had experienced visual deprivation since birth due to bilateral dense 29 congenital cataracts before undergoing cataract-reversal surgery (Congenital cataract, CC, n = 12). In addition, we tested two groups of control participants: (1) individuals who had had non-30 31 dense congenital cataract or developed cataract later in their childhood (Developmental 32 cataract, DC, n = 10), and (2) sighted controls with normal or corrected to normal vision (n = 14). Based on the methods proposed by Vingrys and King-Smith (1988), we derived the 33 following metrics of color vision performance: (1) total error score, (2) confusion index, (3) 34 35 confusion angle, and (4) selectivity index.

<u>Results:</u> All of the measured indices of color vision performance were unaltered by a period of
 congenital visual deprivation.

<u>Conclusions</u>: Our results support the view that, development of visual functions such as color
 discrimination and color arrangement does not depend on typical visual experience during a
 sensitive phase in early childhood.

41 Keywords:

42 Color vision, sensitive period, congenital cataract, visual deprivation, Farnsworth D15

## 43 Introduction

Visual input during the early periods after birth has been found to be crucial for the 44 development of various visual and multisensory functions. Even a transient period of absence 45 of vision was shown to cause some irreversible visual damage. For example, individuals who 46 47 did not experience any patterned visual input for a period of time after birth due to the presence of bilateral dense congenital cataracts were shown to have deficits in visual acuity 48 49 (Ellemberg, Lewis, Maurer, Lui, & Brent, 1999), stereo-acuity (Tytla, Lewis, Maurer, & Brent, 1993), face and object processing (Le Grand, Mondloch, Maurer, & Brent, 2001; Röder, Ley, 50 Shenoy, Kekunnaya, & Bottari, 2013), and global motion perception (Bottari et al., 2018; 51 Hadad, Maurer, & Lewis, 2012). However, there are other visual functions which were found 52 53 to be less affected by a transient period of congenital visual deprivation, including biological 54 motion processing (Bottari et al., 2015; Hadad et al., 2012) and the presence of a retinotopic 55 representation and processing in the visual cortex (Sourav, Bottari, Kekunnaya, & Röder, 2018). 56

Non-human animal studies have consistently shown that different aspects of color 57 processing, including wavelength discrimination, spectral sensitivity, and color-based object 58 59 categorization are not affected by dark or red-light rearing (Boothe, Teller, & Sackett, 1975; 60 Brenner, Cornelissen, & Nuboer, 1990; Brenner, Schelvis, & Nuboer, 1985; Petry & Kelly, 1991). Boothe et al. (1975) reported that an infant monkey raised in darkness from the age of 61 2 weeks to 3 months after birth was able to discriminate all of the tested wavelengths from 62 white light. Moreover, both in pigeons (Brenner, Spaan, Wortel, & Nuboer, 1983) and in 63 monkeys (Brenner et al., 1990, 1985), it has been shown that rearing in a color deprived 64 environment (such as red illumination) did not alter the ability to discriminate objects based 65

on colors and spectral sensitivity curves (Brenner et al., 1990, 1985). Furthermore, both chromatic opponency (a retinal aspect of color vision) and chromatic induction (a cortical aspect of color vision) (Livingstone & Hubel, 1984; Michael, 1978) were observed to be unaffected in a red light reared macaque monkey (Brenner et al., 1990). Despite this compelling evidence of normal color development in color deprived or visually deprived nonhuman animals, there are only a few human studies that reported the effect of visual deprivation on the development of color perception.

73 Maurer, Lewis, & Brent (1989) used the Hardy-Rand-Rittler (HRR) pseudoisochromatic 74 plates and reported normal color vision performance in children treated for bilateral 75 congenital cataract (n = 14 eyes of 9 children, diagnosed before 6 months of age, and optical correction was given between 4.4 to 16.4 months) as well as bilateral developmental cataract 76 77 (n = 9 eyes from 5 children, diagnosed between 7 months to 66 months, and optically)78 corrected 2.5 to 29 months later). McKyton, Ben-Zion, Doron, & Zohary (2015) found that the 79 ability to identify an odd item that differed in its color content from an array similar items did not differ between individuals with "early treated cataract" (n = 8, 7 individuals operated  $\leq 6$ 80 81 months of age, and one individual operated at 21 months of age) and sighted control 82 observers (n = 11) whose vision was blurred according to their age-matched cataract cases' 83 contrast sensitivity deficits. In addition, McKyton et al. (2015) included a group of "late treated 84 cataract" individuals (n = 11, operated between 5.6 – 9.9 years of age). Within this "late treated cataract" group, individuals who were tested more than 1 year after the cataract 85 surgery had similar color discriminability compared to their contrast sensitivity matched 86 87 sighted controls. However, despite this initial evidence, these studies either exclusively used 88 shortly deprived individuals (< 6 months (Maurer et al., 1989)) or were not sensitive to the

identification of color deficits along specific color axes (i.e. long, middle, and short wavelength
axes) (McKyton et al., 2015).

Given that the detailed psychophysical and electrophysiological investigation of neural 91 mechanisms related to color processing in sight recovery individuals pose logistical challenges 92 93 (such as poor vision, specific hardware/software requirements), as a first step, it is imperative 94 to exclude any major color vision deficits across any specific color axes in sight recovery 95 individuals. To that end, we took advantage of a tertiary eye care set up and used the Farnsworth D15 color vision test, which allowed us to identify possible axis specific and 96 unspecific color vision deficits. We tested a group of individuals who were diagnosed with 97 bilateral dense congenital cataracts (hereafter referred to as CC), bilateral developmental 98 cataracts or incomplete congenital cataracts (hereafter referred to as DC), and sighted control 99 100 (SC) participants with normal or corrected to normal visual acuity. Participants in both CC and DC groups underwent cataract surgery with intraocular lens implantation and optical 101 correction. Since our a priori hypothesis predicted a null result, we additionally included a 102 103 group of individuals who were known to have congenital color vision defects as a positive 104 control. We hypothesized that CC individuals would have similar color discrimination 105 performance to DC and SC individuals.

## 106 Methods

#### 107 Participants

All participants were recruited and tested at The LV Prasad Eye Institute, Hyderabad,
 India (LVPEI). The CC group comprised of 12 participants (6 females, mean age: 17.58 years,
 range: 8 – 33 years, mean age at surgery: 78.83 months, range: 4 – 218 months; mean logMAR

111 visual acuity: 0.69, range: 0.29 – 1.29). The history of bilateral dense congenital cataracts was 112 confirmed by medical records. In addition to the clinical diagnosis, factors such as presence of sensory nystagmus, absence of fundus view prior to surgery, and positive family history, aided 113 in the classification of CC participants. Our control samples included two groups. The DC group 114 consisted of 10 participants (6 females, mean age: 14.5 years, range: 9 - 37 years; mean 115 116 logMAR visual acuity: 0.30, range: 0 to 1.04). This group served as control for visual 117 impairments and other effects related to a history of cataracts. The SC group included 14 118 participants (6 females, mean age: 17.86 years, range: 7 – 27 years). Additionally, we tested 4 119 participants (2 females, median age: 19 years, range 13 – 28 years) who were known to have congenital color deficiency. The participant characteristics of CC and DC groups are given in 120 121 the table below (Table 1).

All participants or their legal guardians (in case of minors) provided written informed consent prior to taking part in the study. Participants or the legal guardians were reimbursed for the study participation related expenses such as travel costs. Minor participants received a small gift. The study protocol adhered to the tenets of Declarations of Helsinki (World Medical Association, 2013). The study was approved by the Local Ethical Commission of the Faculty of Psychology and Movement Sciences, University of Hamburg, Germany, as well as the Institutional Ethical Review Board of LVPEI.

#### 129 Test procedure

Figure 1 shows the Farnsworth D15 test used in the present study. The test panel containing the color chips was displayed on a black background, and participants viewed the targets binocularly. The D15 test contains a total of 16 caps (colors of which were designed such that they are isoluminant on the CIE diagram). Out of these 16 caps, the reference cap (indicated 134 by the arrow in Fig. 1) is fixed, and rest of the 15 caps are movable. All of the movable caps are numbered in their backside. At the beginning of the test, all caps were randomly jittered 135 and kept on a black sheet, and the participants were required to keep the cap that closely 136 137 matches the reference cap next to the reference cap. Then, the participant took the next cap 138 that most closely resembled the previous cap and moved it next to the previous cap. This 139 procedure was repeated for all remaining movable caps. A perfect arrangement of caps by a 140 color normal person is shown in Figure 1 top panel, whereas Figure 1 bottom panel shows the 141 arrangement of caps by a participant with a color vision defect.

142 Participants performed the test on their own pace. Once participants had arranged all 143 of the caps, the panel was turned over, and the numbers on their backs were recorded on a recording sheet. These numbers corresponded to the positions of the tested color chips along 144 145 the hue circle. The order of the colors in the D15 panel are designed in a manner such that 146 specific color deficiencies would produce specific cap arrangements, in which, connecting 147 their cap numbers in the recording sheet will produce lines along one of the confusion axes of dichromats (See red, green, and blue dotted lines in Fig. 2A-C). This aspect of the test provides 148 the diagnostic value towards identifying a specific color defect. If there were any errors, 149 150 participants were required to repeat the test, and their repeat measurement was taken for 151 the analysis. The entire test took approximately 10 minutes.

### 152 Vector Analysis of D15

As a clinical test, visual inspection of the D15 recording sheet was used to qualitatively identify a color vision defect. However, we were interested in the quantification of color vision defects, if present. Hence, we used the vector based quantification method proposed by Vingrys and King-Smith (1988) to derive the following parameters: (1) confusion angle which indicates the type of color defect, (2) confusion index which reveals the degree of color loss relative to a perfect arrangement of caps, and (3) selectivity index, which reflects the polarity and lack of randomness in a cap arrangement, and (4) a total error score.

160 A detailed procedure of the vector analysis can be found elsewhere (Vingrys & King-Smith, 1988). Briefly, each test cap value was transposed into 1976 CIE color space and color 161 difference vectors between adjacent caps were calculated. All of these relative color 162 163 difference vectors were plotted such that normal color vision resulted in a scatter around the origin, whereas different color vision defects produced color difference vectors that aligned 164 165 themselves in distinct axes. Assuming these color difference vectors as "rigid, weightless bars", major and minor moments of inertia of this vector plot can be calculated along its 166 167 principal axes (for details, see Fig. 4 in Vingrys & King-Smith, 1988). The axis angle that 168 produced minimum moment of inertia determined the confusion angle, whereas the length of 169 the major radius of gyration yielded the confusion index, and the ratio of major and minor radii of gyration was calculated as the selectivity index. Figure 2A and 2B show the panel 170 arrangements and their color vision metrics by individuals with normal color discrimination 171 172 and deutan color deficiency, respectively. A perfect arrangement indicating normal color 173 discrimination resulted in the following values: - confusion index: 1, total error score: 11.42, confusion angle: 61.98, and selectivity index: 1.38. The usefulness of this vector based 174 technique is illustrated in Figure 2C and Figure 2D: Figure 2C shows multiple random errors 175 176 with diametric crossings but not specific to any color confusion axes, while 2D displays a single small error along the protan axis. Hence, the confusion index and total error score of 2C were 177 greater than in Figure 2D, however, the selectivity index of 2D was greater than in Figure 2C. 178

179 Custom written software in Matlab<sup>™</sup> version 8 (The MathWorks, Inc., Natick, MA, USA) was
180 used to perform the above mentioned analysis. The software is available upon request.

#### 181 Statistical analysis

Since the data did not follow a normal distribution, between-group comparisons were tested using Kruskall-Wallis (KW) test, and separate KW tests were run for each of the four dependent variables (i.e. total error score, confusion index, confusion angle, and selectivity index). Formal statistics were conducted using IBM SPSS Statistics 20 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). The data from color deficient individuals were used to demonstrate the ability of our set up to isolate color vision deficiencies, and not included in the formal data analysis.

#### 188 **Results**

The following table (Table 2) summarizes the descriptive statistics of the measured color vision indices (total error score, confusion index, confusion angle, and selectivity index) across the CC, DC, SC groups.

Figure 3 shows the measured color vision indices in CC, DC, and SC individuals (along with median and inter-quartile range). All four color indices were indistinguishable between the CC, DC, and SC groups (Total error score:  $\chi^2(2) = 4.24$ , p = 0.12; Confusion index: -  $\chi^2(2) =$ 4.02, p = 0.13; Confusion angle:  $\chi^2(2) = 1.57$ , p = 0.46; selectivity index:  $\chi^2(2) = 1.92$ , p = 0.38).

196 Individuals with congenital color vision deficiencies (n = 4, filled symbols in Fig. 3) 197 markedly differed from the CC, DC, and SC groups, and did not overlap with these groups in 198 terms of their total error score (color vision deficiencies range: 29.28 to 37.71), confusion index (color vision deficiencies range: 2.88 to 4.03), and confusion angle (3.86 to -52.76). The confusion angles of congenital color deficient individuals indicated that two of them had deficiency along the protan axis (3.86, and 5.31), one of them had deficiency along the deutan axis (-13.47), and the remaining participant had deficiency along the tritan axis (-52.76). Based on the selectivity index, two of the four congenital color deficiency individuals had relatively selective losses along their respective axes (4.41 and 5.93) compared to the other two individuals (2.16 and 1.25).

## 206 **Discussion**

207 The goal of the present study was to examine the effect of transient congenital visual 208 deprivation on the development of color vision as measured using Farnsworth D15 test. To 209 that end, we quantified different color vision metrics in a distinct group of individuals who had 210 a period of severe visual deprivation due to dense bilateral congenital cataracts (CC) and compared them to two control groups. The first control group comprised of individuals who 211 212 had developed cataract later in their childhood (i.e. developmental cataract, DC) or had a history of non-dense congenital cataract, and the second group of individuals with normal or 213 214 corrected to normal visual acuity (i.e. sighted controls, SC). All of the computed color vision 215 metrics, namely total error score, confusion angle, confusion index, and selectivity index did 216 not differ between CC, and DC, SC individuals (Fig. 3). Thus, our findings strongly argue against a sensitive period for the development of basic color discrimination and color arrangement. 217

Our results extend previous reports on color processing in sight recovery individuals after a short (Maurer et al., 1989) or long (McKyton et al., 2015) period of visual deprivation from birth due to cataracts. The methods used by both Maurer et al. (1989) and McKyton et 221 al. (2015) were not sensitive to identify any possible axis specific color vision defects, and it is 222 important to note that congenital color deficiencies are usually axis specific (Simunovic, 2010), 223 as indicated by the individuals in our color deficiency group. In addition, the experimental paradigm of McKyton et al. (2015) randomly sampled the color space (hue values) at fixed, 224 225 pre-determined intervals, hence, it is unclear how individuals with known color vision deficits 226 would have performed in this paradigm. For example, the probability of sampling someone's 227 deficient color axis might affect the goodness of fit of the psychometric function itself, rather 228 than exclusively moving the psychometric function to the right, producing an elevated hue 229 difference threshold.

230 To address the above-mentioned limitations, we took the following steps in our study design and analysis. Firstly, we included CC individuals with more extensive periods of visual 231 232 deprivation (mean age at surgery: 83.6 months; range: 4 - 396 months) compared to Maurer 233 et al. (1989) and McKyton et al. (2015). Secondly, we calculated two color metrics that would 234 indicate any axis specific color deficits, namely confusion angle and confusion index. Both of 235 these metrics were unaffected by a transient period of sensory deprivation. Thirdly, we included individuals with developmental cataracts as a control group, and this group served 236 as control for visual impairment and other effects that were related to a history of cataract 237 238 and cataract surgery (for e.g. differential wavelength absorption characteristics between human crystalline lens and implanted intraocular lens (Davison, Patel, Cunha, Schwiegerling, 239 & Muftuoglu, 2011). Finally, we tested a group of individuals with known congenital color 240 241 vision deficiencies, who were appropriately isolated by our current testing setup.

Our results might suggest two possible speculative explanations regarding the role of visual experience on the development of color discrimination: (1) the neural mechanisms that

244 are responsible for the color discrimination can start developing later in adulthood, once visual input is available, or (2) these mechanisms mature irrespective of the presence of visual input. 245 While our data do not allow to decide between these two accounts, it could be argued that 246 color discrimination abilities recover after sight restoration based on the following 247 248 observation: In the study of McKyton et al. (McKyton et al., 2015), some of the "late treated 249 cataract" individuals were tested immediately after (or within weeks of) the cataract surgery, 250 during which they showed elevated color discrimination thresholds compared to their control 251 participants. However, their color discrimination thresholds reached the values that were 252 similar to that of the sighted control participants when tested 1 year after the surgery. These 253 data, together with our results, suggest that color vision evolves without early visual input during the first months of life. Furthermore, newborns aged 1 to 7 days (Adams & Courage, 254 255 1998) as well as young infants aged 1 to 3 months (Hamer, Alexander, & Teller, 1982; Packer, 256 Hartmann, & Teller, 1984) were able to discriminate chromatic light from achromatic light, tested using preferential looking methods, and categorization of basic hues (and their 257 boundaries) seems to be adult-like in 4 month old infants (Bornstein, Kessen, & Weiskopf, 258 259 1976). These results suggest an early development of color discrimination despite immature 260 cones (Yuodelis & Hendrickson, 1986). Hence, long deprivation does not seem to result in a 261 loss or irreversible damage, neither at the peripheral nor at the central processing level.

Accurate measurement of color discrimination is a challenging task since the non-color related cues, such as luminance, can aid color discrimination, and generating isoluminant patterns has specific hardware requirements. Here, we have taken advantage of a standardized clinical test of color discrimination, namely the Farnsworth D15 panel test. The D15 test is an easily comprehensible test which needs less than 10 minutes of testing for completion. This test does not require the participants to be familiar with numerals in a
specific script, unlike the pseudo-isochramatic plate tests, such as Ishihara. These advantages
of accessibility and short testing duration are important, as our testing population was a
special clinical population with a wide age range including children.

271 Although we used a standardized clinical test employed for color assessment, and a 272 widely used vector based method (Vingrys & King-Smith, 1988) for quantifying color metrics, there is a potential limitation that needs to be addressed here. The study was conducted at a 273 274 regular clinical set up under the normal room lighting (correlated color temperature: 5637°K, 275 illuminance: 140.4 lux measured using X-Rite i1 Display Pro™ color calibration device), rather 276 than the standard Illuminant C or Macbeth Easel Lamp that is considered to provide a stable approximation of the natural daylight. Although it has been shown that some fluorescent 277 278 lamps are comparable to Illuminant C for the purposes color testing (Hovis & Neumann, 1995), 279 we additionally tested the ability of our set up to identify individuals with known congenital 280 color vision deficits. For this purpose, we tested 4 congenitally color blind participants, and our set up was able to pick up all of the congenital color deficiencies. 281

In conclusion, the present results showed that the major color vision indices were unaltered by a period of congenital visual deprivation, extending previous findings from human and non-human studies (Boothe et al., 1975; Brenner et al., 1990, 1985; Maurer et al., 1989; McKyton et al., 2015; Petry & Kelly, 1991). Therefore, our data strongly argues against a sensitive period for the development of color discrimination.

## 288 Acknowledgments

- 289 The authors thank D. Balasubramanian for supporting the study at LVPEI and Maria Guerreiro
- and Siddhart Srivatsav for aiding in the participant recruitment and data collection. The study
- 291 was supported by European Research Council grant ERC-2009-AdG 249425-
- 292 *CriticalBrainChanges* (BR) and DFG Ro 2625/10-1 (BR).
- 293 Disclosure: K Pitchaimuthu, None; S Sourav, None; D Bottari, None; S Banerjee, None; I
  294 Shareef, None; R Kekunnaya, None; B Röder, None.

#### 296 **References**:

- Adams, R. J., & Courage, M. L. (1998). Human newborn color vision: Measurement with
   chromatic stimuli varying in excitation purity. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology*,
   *68*(1), 22–34.
- Boothe, R., Teller, D. Y., & Sackett, G. P. (1975). Trichromacy in normally reared and light
  deprived infant monkeys (Macaca nemestrina). *Vision Research*, *15*(11), 1187–1191.
- Bornstein, M. H., Kessen, W., & Weiskopf, S. (1976). The categories of hue in infancy. *Science*.
   https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1246610
- Bottari, D., Kekunnaya, R., Hense, M., Troje, N. F., Sourav, S., & Röder, B. (2018). Motion
   processing after sight restoration: No competition between visual recovery and auditory
   compensation. *NeuroImage*, *167*, 284–296.
- Bottari, D., Troje, N. F., Ley, P., Hense, M., Kekunnaya, R., & Röder, B. (2015). The neural
  development of the biological motion processing system does not rely on early visual
  input. *Cortex*, *71*, 359–367.
- Brenner, E., Cornelissen, F., & Nuboer, W. (1990). Striking absence of long-lasting effects of
- early color deprivation on monkey vision. *Developmental Psychobiology: The Journal of*

312 the International Society for Developmental Psychobiology, 23(5), 441–448.

- Brenner, E., Schelvis, J., & Nuboer, J. F. W. (1985). Early colour deprivation in a monkey
  (Macaca fascicularis). *Vision Research*, *25*(9), 1337–1339.
- Brenner, E., Spaan, J. P., Wortel, J. F., & Nuboer, J. F. W. (1983). Early colour deprivation in the
  pigeon. *Behavioural Brain Research*, 8(3), 343–350.
- 317 Davison, J. A., Patel, A. S., Cunha, J. P., Schwiegerling, J., & Muftuoglu, O. (2011). Recent
- 318 studies provide an updated clinical perspective on blue light-filtering IOLs. Graefe's

- 319 Archive for Clinical and Experimental Ophthalmology, 249(7), 957–968.
- Ellemberg, D., Lewis, T. L., Maurer, D., Lui, C. H., & Brent, H. P. (1999). Spatial and temporal
   vision in patients treated for bilateral congenital cataracts. *Vision Research*, *39*(20),
   3480–3489.
- Hadad, B., Maurer, D., & Lewis, T. L. (2012). Sparing of sensitivity to biological motion but not
- of global motion after early visual deprivation. *Developmental Science*, 15(4), 474–481.
- Hamer, R. D., Alexander, K. R., & Teller, D. Y. (1982). Rayleigh discriminations in young human
  infants. *Vision Research*, 22(5), 575–587.
- Hovis, J. K., & Neumann, P. (1995). Colorimetric analyses of various light sources for the D-15
- 328 color vision test. *Optometry and Vision Science*, 72(9), 667–678.
- Le Grand, R., Mondloch, C. J., Maurer, D., & Brent, H. P. (2001). Neuroperception: Early visual
   experience and face processing. *Nature*, *410*(6831), 890.
- Livingstone, M., & Hubel, D. (1984). Anatomy and physiology of a color system in the primate
  visual cortex. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, 4(1), 309–356.
- 333 Maurer, D., Lewis, T. L., & Brent, H. P. (1989). The effects of deprivation on human visual
- development: studies of children treated for cataracts. In F. J. Morrison, C. E. Lord, & D.
- P. Keating (Eds.), *Applied Developmental Psychology* (Vol. 3, pp. 139–227). New York:
  Academic Press.
- McKyton, A., Ben-Zion, I., Doron, R., & Zohary, E. (2015). The limits of shape recognition
   following late emergence from blindness. *Current Biology*, 25(18), 2373–2378.
- 339 Michael, C. R. (1978). Color vision mechanisms in monkey striate cortex: simple cells with dual
- opponent-color receptive fields. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, *41*(5), 1233–1249.
- 341 Packer, O., Hartmann, E. E., & Teller, D. Y. (1984). Infant color vision: The effect of test field

- size on Rayleigh discriminations. *Vision Research*, 24(10), 1247–1260.
- 343 Petry, H. M., & Kelly, J. P. (1991). Psychophysical measurement of spectral sensitivity and color
- vision in red-light-reared tree shrews (Tupaia belangeri). *Vision Research*, *31*(10), 1749–
  1757.
- Röder, B., Ley, P., Shenoy, B. H., Kekunnaya, R., & Bottari, D. (2013). Sensitive periods for the
- functional specialization of the neural system for human face processing. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *110*(42), 16760–16765.
- 349 Simunovic, M. P. (2010). Colour vision deficiency. *Eye*, *24*, 747–755.
- 350 Sourav, S., Bottari, D., Kekunnaya, R., & Röder, B. (2018). Evidence of a retinotopic
- organization of early visual cortex but impaired extrastriate processing in sight recovery
   individuals. *Journal of Vision*, 18(3), 22.
- Tytla, M. E., Lewis, T. L., Maurer, D., & Brent, H. P. (1993). Stereopsis after congenital cataract.
   *Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science*, *34*(5), 1767–1773.
- Vingrys, A. J., & King-Smith, P. E. (1988). A quantitative scoring technique for panel tests of
  color vision. *Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science*, *29*(1), 50–63.
- 357 World Medical Association. (2013). World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki: Ethical
- 358 Principles for Medical Research Involving Human Subjects. Journal of the American
- 359 *Medical Association. 310(20):* 2191–2194. doi:10.1001/jama.2013.281053
- 360 Yuodelis, C., & Hendrickson, A. (1986). A qualitative and quantitative analysis of the human
- 361 fovea during development. *Vision Research*, *26*(6), 847–855.

# 363 Tables:

Table 1. Participant characteristics of CC and DC group (BCVA- Best corrected visual acuity)

Participant ID	Age (years)	Gender	Cataract type	Age at surgery	BCVA (logMAR acuity)
				(months)	
CC-01	26	Male	Congenital	5	0.74
CC-02	33	Male	Congenital	276	1.29
CC-03	11	Female	Congenital	72	0.59
CC-04	23	Male	Congenital	4	0.89
CC-05	21	Male	Congenital	213	0.70
CC-06	10	Male	Congenital	11	0.40
CC-07	10	Female	Congenital	42	0.35
CC-08	8	Female	Congenital	4	0.29
CC-09	18	Female	Congenital	25	0.51
CC-10	13	Male	Congenital	64	0.59
CC-11	19	Female	Congenital	225	1.03
CC-12	19	Female	Congenital	225	0.89
DC-01	9	Female	Developmental	77	0.30
DC-02	13	Male	Developmental	96	0.15
DC-03	37	Female	Non dense CC	396	1.04
DC-04	14	Female	Developmental	90	0.28
DC-05	11	Female	Developmental	99	0.28
DC-06	12	Male	Developmental	100	0.22

DC-07	13	Male	Developmental	143	0.11
DC-08	16	Male	Developmental	146	0.00
DC-09	9	Female	Developmental	76	0.64
DC-10	11	Female	Developmental	91	0.00

366 Table 2: summary measures of calculated color vision indices. CC- bilateral dense congenital

367 cataract; DC- bilateral developmental cataract/incomplete congenital cataract; SC- sighted

# *controls*

	CC		DC		SC	
	median	range	median	range	median	range
Total error score	11.42	11.42 - 16.51	11.42	11.42 - 23.01	11.42	11.42 - 12.45
Confusion index	1	1.00 - 1.62	1	1.00 - 1.83	1	1.00 - 1.13
Confusion angle	61.98	46.54 - 61.98	61.98	48.10 - 75.10	61.98	53.00 - 61.98
Selectivity index	1.38	1.38 - 2.11	1.38	1.08 - 1.85	1.38	1.38 - 1.53

#### 371 **Figure captions**:

Fig. 1. Farnsworth D15 panel used for the testing of color vision. The left most chip indicated by the white arrow is the fixed reference panel. The top panel shows the caps arranged by an individual with normal color vision and the bottom panel shows the cap arrangement by an individual with color deficiency

Fig. 2. Examples of D15 panel arrangements by participants and their corresponding color vision indices. The red, green, and blue lines indicate long, middle, and short wavelength confusion axes, respectively. Cap arrangements by individuals with normal color discrimination (A), deutan (middle wavelength deficit) error (B), random errors unspecific to any color axis (C), a minor error along the protan (long wavelength deficit) axis (D)

Fig. 3. Comparison of color vision indices across groups (individual data with median and interquartile range; CC- bilateral dense congenital cataract; DC- bilateral developmental cataract/incomplete congenital cataract; SC- sighted controls; CD- individuals with a congenital color deficiency.

## 386 Figures:

# 387 Fig. 1





