

A review of biochar influences on crop outputs and soil assets

Ammal ABUKARI^{1*}, Abudu Ballu DUWIEJUAH²

¹Department of Forestry and Forest Resources Management, Faculty of Natural Resources and Environment, University for Development Studies, Tamale, Ghana

²Department of Ecotourism and Environmental Management, Faculty of Natural Resources and Environment, University for Development Studies, Tamale, Ghana
corresponding author, email: amamal@uds.edu.gh

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received: 06 May 2019
Accepted after corrections 21
October 2019

Keywords:

Biochar, water holding capacity, soil microbes, sequestration of carbon, soil properties

ABSTRACT

Biochar used in soils aims at intensifying the properties of soil and the transfer of amounts of conservative remnant energy centred fertilizers as well as the restoration of rich carbons. Biochar steadiness is vital to reckoning the effect of biochar modifications on atmospheric green gas (GHG) which remains unsatisfactory. The making and mixture of biochar in soils has a vital role in the mitigation of climate change. The objective of this paper was to review the influences of biochar on crop outputs and soil assets. It is needful for additional clarification on the improvement of biochar application to several crop yields to advance general recognition as a soil modification. The biochar feedstock used for production and pyrolysis condition and the application rate of biochar for crop productivity is described in the discussion. Furthermore, agronomic benefits and the strategies as well as the recommendations for the use of biochar is discussed. It is concluded that the use of biochar increases the physical assets and crop output. Biochar can potentially, workably and sustainably sequester in excess of 1 Pg of CO₂-carbon equals yearly when used.

1. Introduction

The dense end-product of the thermo-chemical process (pyrolysis), named biochar has the perspective of mitigating changes in climate, is extremely diverse by chemical structure that differs usually reliant on the feedstock types and the thermo-chemical conditions (Spokas, 2010). Biochar is a thermo-chemical conversion process whereby agricultural waste residues at a temperature (300-600° C) in an environment of little or no oxygen. Consequently, mixture of gases by altered energy values, bio-oil and the black carbon (biochar) are achieved. Biochar is a fine-grained and porous products, comparable to charcoal its form. It is antiseptic, unscented, carbon rich produced from different feedstock which can be made to favour the soil type, crop and managing schemes to maximize gains. The nature of biochar is that, it is highly porous which retains cell wall structure agricultural waste residues. The combination of biochar modifies physical soil possessions including the density, structure, water holding capacity, pore size distribution, improves soil aeration and growth of plant (Downie et al., 2009). Consequently, application of biochar lessens soil bulk density required for the growth of plant and improves holding capacity of water (Chan and Xu, 2009). The use of biochar with soils improves the porosity, lower bulk density, surface area, porosity, lower bulk density, water retention, and soil aggregation (Mbagwu and Piccolo, 1997). Glaser et al. (2002) stated that coarse-textured soils were influenced positive by upsurging soil moisture content when biochar was used. The use of biochar enhanced water holding capacity in sandy soils ascertained by Downie et al. (2009). The International Biochar Initiative (IBI) endorses the abundant usage of biochar as modification of soils, campaigners for the addition of requirements positive to biochar use nationwide and worldwide policies for climate mitigation, supports for the commercialization of biochar and seeks to sequester 2.2 Gt C/yr by 2050 worldwide.

2. Changes and influence of biochar on soils

Soil properties

The properties of soil are influenced by biochar increasing water holding capacity, cation exchange, pH of soil and bulk density. The improvements of yield with biochar has been confirmed in highly weathered acidic soils in the tropics.

Restoration of carbon

The addition of biochar to soil are valuable for up-surgings crops, furthermore biochar comprises steady carbons when added to soils and stores carbon for extended years. Biochar swiftly intensifies recalcitrant soil carbon fraction. It also comprises of changing concentrations of elements including oxygen, base cations,

hydrogen, heavy metals, nitrogen, sulphur and phosphorus (Preston and Schmidt, 2006). The accessibility of nutrient are affected by the upsurge of cation exchange capacity and changing soil pH. Biochar has a better capacity to adsorb and maintain cations in exchangeable form than soil organic matter owing to its negative surface charge and greater surface area (Liang et al., 2006). It has a greater sorption affinity for a variety of inorganic and organic compounds associated to more arrangements of soil organic matter (Nguyen et al., 2004).

Mineralization and Immobilization

The use of biochar increases the surface carboxyl groups, greater negative charge and consequently increases the capacity to sorb cations due to abiotic and biotic surface oxidation of biochar (Cheng et al., 2008). It also shows the capacity to sorb polar compounds comprising of several contaminants in the environment (Yu et al., 2006). Insignificant amount of available nutrients in the biomass, except N, are reserved in biochar in a possibly extractable form. The freely accessible nutrients and lesser quantities of labile carbon are maintained and supports the process of mineralization (Wardle et al., 2008), particularly in environments where nutrients are inadequate. Biochar functions by way of a liming agent ensuing in an improved pH and the availability of nutrient availability in wide-ranging soils (Lehmann et al., 2006). The qualities of biochar that increases the growth of plants responses are noticed at a higher plant uptake with increasing rates of biochar use in sandy-loam soils (Lehmann et al., 2003). The usage of biochar increased the status of fertility of sandy-loam soils, specifically soil organic carbon (O.C), CEC, exchangeable K, Ca, Mg, available P and enhanced nutrient uptake as well as yields of maize (Sukartono et al., 2011). It is also observed that the use of biochar declines leaching of nutrients accordingly improving the availability of nutrients (Chan et al., 2007). Increasing the availability of nutrients for crop use is due to mutually the addition of direct nutrients by biochar and higher nutrient maintenance (Lehmann et al., 2003). Biochar can supply nutrients available to plants when used in soils (Sohi et al., 2010).

Recovery of nitrogen

The retrieval of nitrogen are enhanced via the use of biochar in loamy sandy soils yet not in silt loamy soils signifying that the texture of soil influence the efficacy of biochar usage for the productivity of soil (Abukari, 2014; Yeboah et al., 2009). The nutrients availability of nutrients were higher using biochar as well as increases in pH of soil, which powers higher yields and nutrient uptake mainly to 77-320 % better in soils of obtainable Mg and Ca where biochar were used (Major et al., 2010). Improved harvests and development of crops were noticed with the addition of inorganic fertilizers and the use of biochar, it was equally contrasting to sole addition of fertilizers (Blackwell et al., 2009).

Fertilizer use efficiency

Investigations have shown losses of nutrients through leaching in soils are modified via biochar. This obviously intensifies the efficient use of fertilizers using biochar accredited by decreasing bulk density and increasing holding capacity of water (Chan and Xu, 2009). The application of biochar were observed to enhance the fertility of soil's and also decreases the emission of greenhouse gases as well as enhancing the sequestration of soil carbons (Lehmann et al., 2003) but then the use of biochar minus inorganic fertilizer can result in nitrogen immobilization owing to high C: N proportion. The efficacy of converting carbons from feedstocks to biochar is extremely reliant on the types and quality of feedstocks and production condition. The type of feedstock and temperature conditions permits biochar to exhibit large ranges in porosity and bulk density (Lehmann et al., 2006). Increase in temperature results in an intense rise in porosity due to increased dehydroxylation of water molecules leading to the formation of pores on the surface of biochar and decrease the bulk density of biochar. Thus leading to a greater amount of biochar particles with smaller particle size distributions (Kim et al., 2012).

3. Biochar Feedstocks used for production and Pyrolysis

The feedstock thermo-chemical processes and gasification are well recognised know-hows for the making of synthetic gases and biofuels. Yet, the awareness of biochar as amendments for soils remains in its initial stages. The consequences of biochar as modifications for soil on the productivity of crops are adjustable owing predominantly to relations as well as procedures that arise once biochar is useful to soil and are not up till now entirely anticipated. The thermo-chemical processes influence the potentials of biochar and its prospective value to crop production in relation to the performance of soil or in sequestering of carbons. The time and temperature of biomass in the thermo-chemical processes plus types of feedstock defines the products nature. The thermo-chemical processes and feedstock's influence the features of biochar formed. The feed choice and thermal shape including the geographical distinctions in types of soil as well as microclimate are the foremost foundations of inconsistency while observing biochars significance by means of modifying soils. Nowadays, commercial scale feedstock's used in research services comprises of crop residues (nut shells, husk, rice hulls and straw), wood residues, industrial waste, animal husbandry waste, sewage and paper sludge's. The vigour of feedstock's treated

by the slow pyrolysis for instance wood and cereals and wastes from agriculture comprising peanut shells, rice husk, wheat straw and groundnut shells ensued in char appropriate for the amendments of soil. The fundamental distinction concerning feedstocks of biochar could be produced from rich nutrient feedstocks e.g. sewage sludge or animal manures as well as biochars made rich feedstock's containing lignin. For instance in Ghana rice husk, cocoa husk, corn cob and rice straw feedstocks have been used to produce biochar (Abukari, 2014; Yeboah et al., 2016).

4. Biochar application rates and crop productivity

Investigations have shown positive results, for instance nutrient status, yields and growth of plants on soils with use biochar. Enhanced soil health, upsurge yield of crop yields are normally described by the use of biochar to soils. Yet, numerous investigations are extremely adjustable and reliant on various factors, mostly the initial properties of soil and the state as well as the features of biochar. Significant yields of crop and biomass were observed in biochars made from paper pulp, poultry litter and wood chips. Available information on 59 and 57 potted and field experiments respectively were reviewed by Liu et al. (2013) from 21 countries observed that increase in crop productivity was 11 % on average. He also observed that the advantages of the application rates at field less than 30 tons/ha observed rises in crop efficiency with different types of crop by means of higher growths for rice (7 %), cereal crops (8 %), wheat (11 %) compared to vegetables (29 %), grasses (14 %) and legume (30 %). Approximately 370 self-determining studies were shown by Biederman and Harpole (2013) revealed that additions of biochar to soils ensued increases in yield of crops, rhizobia nodulation, aboveground efficiency, soil microbes, total nitrogen, potassium, total carbon and phosphorus in soils associated to control situations. Increase in grain yields were recognised in the collective influence of improved available nutrient (P and N) and enhanced situations of chemicals in soil causing biological dense modifications. Still, the current worry of pollution of heavy metal in biochars made from sewage sludge. The irregularity of sewage sludge contains opposing quantities of toxic metals and this limits its application to the land owing to the contamination of the food chain. Conversely, there seems to be a higher limitations on the use of increasing biochar and crop efficiency.

5. Biochar influence on the properties of soil

Biochar physical and chemical properties

Schimmel-pfennig and Glaser (2012) stated that biochar with low bulk density has a porous structure leading to a higher range of 50 to 900 m² g⁻¹ surface area plus a higher water-holding capacity (Glaser et al., 2002). The poly-condensed aromatic structure of biochar is the most remarkable property of biochar which are caused through dehydration during thermos-chemical conversion making it black in colour (Schimmel-pfennig and Glaser, 2012; Glaser et al., 2002).

Many studies confirms that feedstock quality and production conditions significantly influence the quality, quantity and the chemical compositions of biochar produced (Dume et al., 2015; Naem et al., 2014). Thus the choice of an appropriate feedstock and the best temperature procedure is critical for biochar to be produced for the improvement of an exact soil concern in agriculture. Biochar produced from a low temperature has high volatile matter (VM) content, but lower fixed carbon (FC) and ash contents than biochar produced from high temperature (Bourke et al., 2007).

Abukari (2014) observed that rice husk biochar produced at a high temperature of 550° C significantly improved yields of maize and enhanced the inorganic nitrogen use efficiency at different rates of 2 t ha⁻¹ and 4 t ha⁻¹ rice husk biochar applied with increasing rates of inorganic fertilizers of 30 kg ha⁻¹, 60 kg ha⁻¹ and 90 kg ha⁻¹. There were also positive upsurge in pH, organic carbon and exchangeable cations in Alfisol. The use of biochar made from cow manure at 15 t ha⁻¹ and 20 t ha⁻¹ improved the total nitrogen uptake of maize grain by 67.14 kg ha⁻¹ and 63.42 kg ha⁻¹ respectively. Correspondingly, uptake of P in maize rise by 49 % , 215 % and 175 % in biochar made from cow manure at 10 t ha⁻¹, 15 t ha⁻¹ and 20 t ha⁻¹, correspondingly as related to the control in sandy soil (Uzoma et al., 2011). An investigation conducted by Jin-Hua et al. (2011) showed that the alkalinity of legume straw biochar were higher than non-legume straw biochar ($p < 0.05$), therefore the combination of legume biochar reduced soil exchangeable acidity and improved base saturation and soil exchangeable base cations consequently enhancing the fertility of soil. Likewise, Matsubara et al. (2002) described that the soil pH of treatments in a greenhouse investigation plus the use of biochar were improved from 5.4 % - 6.2 % use of 10 % volume of biochar and 6.3 % of 30 % volume use biochar.

Biological properties

Investigations in various studies shows that use of biochar modifies earthworms, fungi, soil microbes' activities in soils. The main influence of biochar use in arable and forest soil forms are only reliable on the type of biochar. Although the use of glucose-derived biochar hardly alters the conformation of microbes' community

in the soil, the yeast- derived biochar sturdily endorse fungi in soils (Steinbeiss et al., 2009). The use of oak biochar and grass made biochar improved the populations of microbes to 118.7 ± 121.0 and 87.7 ± 4.4 CFUs per gram of soil, respectively as related to control to 31.8 ± 1.4 CFUs per gram of soil.

6. Effect of biochar application

Adverse effect of biochar

The increasing use of rates of biochar reduces yields in the control treatments. These were unlikely in some fields when very high amount were applied. According to Asai et al. (2009) stated that higher yields of upland rice with 4 t ha^{-1} biochar, 8 t ha^{-1} or 16 t ha^{-1} didn't differ from the control treatments. Currently a field investigation were conducted on acidic and low soils in the USA which presented pine chip and peanut hull biochar applied at 22 t ha^{-1} and 11 t ha^{-1} could lessen yields of corn lower the control plots in effective management of fertilizers (Gaskin et al., 2010).

Residual effect of biochar

Mycorrhizal bioassay for soil collection were used to evaluate the influence of residuals in mineral fertilizers and biochar in field trials of 2 years after the use of biochar. Biochar and inorganic fertilizers improved mycorrhizal settlement in clover bioassay plants. Deep-banded Biochar with deep-bands delivered favourable situations for mycorrhizal fungi to inhabit plant roots (Sdaiman et al., 2010). The use of biochar at 0 g kg^{-1} , 5 g kg^{-1} , 10 g kg^{-1} and 20 g kg^{-1} soil using 5 g kg^{-1} of dried pig manure outcomes demonstrated a reduction in the total quantity of P, Si, N and Mg due to leaching as manure altered biochar amounts increases but between manures of 20 kg^{-1} biochar treatments decreased total dissolve P leaching by 69% and total N by 11% respectively (Laird et al., 2010).

7. Influence of biochar on root settlement via mycorrhizal fungi

Sohi et al. (2009) stated that porous biochar structure have conducive habitats for microbes. The properties of improves the settlements of soil microbes and the establishment of microclimates that boost the colonization of microbes. The higher inner surface area, biochar pores and its improved ability adsorbs organic matter and offers appropriate environment to maintain soil microbiota that activates the processes to decrease the loss of nitrogen as well as rise the availability of plant nutrients (Winsley, 2007). There are enriched root colonization via mycorrhizal fungi and use of biochar (Warnock et al., 2007). Matsubara et al. (2002) presented that fresh organic modification impartially had comparable influences where biochar increased AMF reconciled host plant resilience contrary to *fusarium* also, asparagus plants reached comparable mycorrhizal settlement levels by all the treatments. Investigations on *Bradyrhizobium* sp. and *Rhizobium* sp. showed that induced flavonoid compounds produced by closer plants especially legumes could eventually surge plant roots colonization via AM fungi (Xie et al., 1995; Cohn et al., 1998).

8. Strategies and recommendations of biochar use

Application rate of biochar

Endorsed use of biochar rates for soil improvement essential should be centred on wide field study. Feedstocks vary extensively in their features, consequently the nature of exact feedstock (e.g. pH, ash content) likewise their effects of the use rate. Many investigations have stated progressive influence of biochar use on yields with increasing rates of 5 - 50 tonnes of biochar per hectare, through the suitable management of nutrient. Higher and several rates of biochar used in plots showed superior outcomes (Major et al., 2010). Subsequently the carbon content of feedstock differs, it would be suitable to state the use rates in tonnes of biochar-C per hectare, as different to tonnes of feedstock. The use of 10 t ha^{-1} of poultry manure biochar comprises much fewer carbon (and added ash) than the corresponding use of biochar made from wood waste. Yet, biochar rich in ash can create the basis for many nutrients in plant, and these should be considered in the management of the fertility of soil at the field. Most feedstocks are not alternatives for inorganic fertilizer, thus the addition of biochar minus the essential quantities of inorganic nitrogen plus added nutrients cannot deliver the enhancements of yield. Examples of declining yield owing to an increasing rate of biochar application were described to be in the equivalence of 165 t of biochar ha^{-1} when applied to a low fertile soil in potted research (Rondon et al., 2007).

9. Conclusion

The current review established that the behaviour of biochar improved soil properties and strengthened yields of crops. The production and use of biochar influenced greenhouse gases in a balanced system. The release of related biochar produced, transport, and use to soils amounts to biochar improvement and the decay of organic matter in soils. The assurance and constraints of biochar produced and improvement in soils ought to be assessed in contrast to a variety of feedstock management alternatives, comprising of burnt feedstock for energy. The possible longstanding advantages of biochar for instance sequestering carbon arise from the cost of interim CO_2

into the atmosphere and hastening of climate change. There is inadequate observed suggestion to back the statements that biochar modification to soil alleviates climate change positively in the environment.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Abukari A., 2014. Effect of rice husk biochar on maize productivity in the guinea savannah zone of Ghana, Masters Dissertation. Department of Agroforestry, Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology.
2. Akiyama K, Matsuzaki K.I. and Hayashi H., 2005. Plant sesquiterpenes induce hyphal, branching in arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *Nature*. 435:824-827.
3. Asai H, Samson B.K, Stephan H.M, Songyikhangsuthor K, Homma, K. and Kiyono Y., 2009. Biochar amendment techniques for upland production in Northern Laos 1. Soil physical properties, leaf SPAD and grain yield. *Field Crop Research*. 111:81-84.
4. Biederman L.A. and Harpole W.S., 2013. Biochar and its effects on plant productivity and nutrient cycling, a meta-analysis. *GCB Bioenergy*. 5:202-214.
5. Blackwell P, Reithmuller G. and Collins M., 2009. Biochar applications to soil. In biochar for environmental management: science and technology Eds. Lehmann J, and Joseph S. Earthscan, London; Sterling, VA, 207-22.
6. Bourke J, Harris M, Fushimi M, Dowaki C, Nunoura K, Antal T. and Jr MJ. 2007. Do all carbonized charcoals have the same structure? A model of the chemical structure of carbonized charcoal. *Industr. Enginer. Che. Res.*, 46: 5954-5967
7. Brady N.C. and Weill R.R., 2004. Elements of the Nature and Properties of Soils. 2nd Ed. Pearson Prentice Hall. Upper Saddle River NJ, 111-112.
8. Chan K.Y. and Xu Z., 2009. Biochar: Nutrient properties and their enhancement. In: Lehmann, J. and Joseph, S. (Eds.) Biochar for environmental management: science and technology. Earthscan, London, UK, 85-106.
9. Chan K.Y, Van Zwieten L, Meszaros I, Downie A. and Joseph S., 2007. Agronomic values of green waste biochar as a soil amendment. *Aust. J Soil Res*. 45:629-634.
10. Cheng C.H, Lehman J, Thies J.E, Burton S.D. and Engelhard M.H., 2006. Oxidation of black carbon by biotic and abiotic processes. *Org. Geochem*. 37:1477-1488.
11. Cheng C.H, Lehmann J. and Engelhard M.H., 2008. Natural oxidation of black carbon in soils: Changes in molecular form and surface charge along a climosequence. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*. 72:1598-1610.
12. Cohn J, Bradly D. and Stacey G., 1998. Legume nodule organogenesis. *Trends Plant Sci*. 3:105-110.
13. DeLuca T.H, MacKenzie M.D, Gundala M.J. and Holben W.E., 2006. Wildfire-produced charcoal directly influences nitrogen cycling in ponderosa pine forests. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J*, 70:448-453.
14. Downie A, Crosky A. and Munroe P., 2009. Physical properties of biochar. Biochar for environmental management: science and technology. Eds Lehmann, J and Joseph, S. Earthscan, London. 13-32.
15. Dume B, Berecha G. and Tulu S., 2015. Characterization of biochar produced at different temperatures and its effect on acidic Nitosol of Jimma, Southwest Ethiopia. *Int. J. Soil Sci*. 10(2): 63-73
16. Eldridge S, Chen C, Xu Z, Meszaros I. and Chan K.Y., 2010. Greenwaste biochar potentially reduced nitrogen fertilizer losses. 19th World Congress of Soil Science. 100- 103.
17. Gaskin J.W, Speir R.A, Harris K, Das K.C, Lee R.D. and Morris L.A., 2010. Effect of peanut hull and pine chip biochar on soil nutrients, corn nutrient status, and yield. *Agronomy Journal*, 102:623-633.
18. Gaskin J.W, Speir R.A, Morris L.M, Ogden L, Harris K, Lee D. and Das K.C., 2007. Potential for pyrolysis char to affect soil moisture and nutrient status of loamy sand soil In: Georgia Water Resources Conferences, University of Georgia.
19. Gaur A. and Adholeya A., 2000. Effects of the particle size of soilless substrates upon AM fungus inoculums production. *Mycorrhiza*, 10:43-48.
20. Glaser B, Lehmann J. and Zech W., 2002. Ameliorating physical and chemical properties of highly weathered soils in the tropics with charcoal-a review. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, 35:219-230.
21. Ishi T. and Kadoy K., 1994. Effects of charcoal as a soil conditioner on citrus growth and vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal development. *J Jpn. Soc. Hortic. Sci*. 63:529-535.
22. Jin-Hua Y, Ren-Kou X, Ning W. and L. Jiu-Yu L., 2011. Amendment of acid soils with crop residues and biochars. *Pedosphere*, 21(3):302-308.
23. Jones D.L, Rousk J, Edwards-Jones G, DeLuca T.H. and Murphy D.V., 2011. Biochar-mediated changes in soil quality and plant growth in a three year field trial. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 30:1-12.

24. Khodadad C.L.M, Zimmerman A.R, Green S.J, Uthandi S. and Foster F.S., 2011. Taxa-specific changes in soil microbial community composition induced by pyrogenic carbon amendments. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 43:385-392.
25. Kim H.K, Kim J.Y, Cho TS and Choi J.W., 2012. Influence of pyrolysis temperature on physicochemical properties of biochar obtained from the fast pyrolysis of pitch pine (*Pinus rigida*). *Bioresour. Technol.* 118: 158-162
26. Laird D, Fleming P, Wang B, Horton R. and Karlen D., 2010. Biochar impact on nutrient leaching from a midwestern agricultural soil. *Geoderma*, 158:436-442.
27. Lehmann J, Da Silva Jr J.P, Steiner C, Nehls T, Zech W. and Glaser B., 2003. Nutrient availability and leaching in an archaeological Anthrosol and a Ferralsol of the central Amazon Basin: fertilizer, manure and charcoal amendments. *Plant and soil*, 249:343-357.
28. Lehmann J, Gaunt J. and Randon M., 2006. Biochar sequestration in terrestrial ecosystems-a review. *Mitig. Adapt. Start. Global change*.11:403-427.
29. Liang B, Lehmann J, Solomon D, Kinyangi J, Grossman J. and O'Neill B., 2006. Black Carbon increases cation exchange et al. capacity in soils. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 70:1719-1730.
30. Liesch M, Weyers S, Gaskin J. and Das K.C., 2010. The effect of two different biochars on earth warm survival and microbial carbon levels. 19th world congress world, Brisbane, Australia. Published on DVD. 67-70.
31. Major J, Rondon M, Molina D, Rinha S.J. and Lehman J., 2010. Maize yield and nutrition during 4 year after biochar application to a Colombian savanna oxisol. *Plant and soil*, 333:117-128.
32. Matsubara Y.L, Hasegawa N, and Fukui H., 2002. Incidence of fusarium root rot in asparagus seedlings infected with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus as affected by several soil amendments. *Journal of the Japanese Society of Horticultural Science*, 71:370-374.
33. Mbagwu J.S.C. and Piccolo A., 1997. Effects of humic substances from oxidized coal on soil chemical properties and maize yield. In: Drozd J, Gonet SS, Senesi N, Weber J. (eds) The role of humic substances in the ecosystems and in environmental protection. IHSS, Polish Society of Humic Substances, Wroclaw, Poland. 921-925.
34. Naeem M.A, Khalid M, Arshad M. and Ahmad R., 2014. Yield and nutrient composition of biochar produced from different feed stocks at varying pyrolytic temperatures. *Pak. J. Agri. Sci.* 51(1): 75-82
35. Nguyen T.H, Brown R.A. and Ball W.P., 2004. An evaluation of thermal resistance as a measure of black carbon content in diesel soot, wood char and sediment. *Organic Geochemistry*. 35:217-234.
36. Novak J.M, Busscher W.J, Laird D.L, Ahmendna M, Watts D.W. and Niandou M.A.S., 2009. Impact of biochar amendment on fertility of a southeastern coastal plain soil. *Soil Science*, 174:105-112.
37. Prendergast-Miller M.T, Duvall M. and S.P. Shoi S.P., 2011. Location of nitrate in the rhizosphere of biochar-amended soils. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 43:2243-2246.
38. Preston C.M. and Schmidt M.W., 2006. Black (pyrogenic) carbon: a synthesis of current knowledge and uncertainties with special consideration of boreal regions. *Biogeosciences*. 3:397-420.
39. Rondon M.A, Lehmann J, Ramirez J. and Hurtado M., 2007. Biological nitrogen fixation by common beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) increases with biochar additions. *Biology and fertility of soils*, 43:699-708.
40. Sdaiman Z.M, Blackwell P, Abbott L.K. and Strorer P., 2010. Direct and residual effect of biochar application on mycorrhizal root colonization, growth and nutrition of wheat. *Soil Research*, 48:546-554.
41. Schimmel-pfennig S. and Glaser B., 2012. One step forward toward characterization: some important material properties to distinguish biochars. *J. Environ. Qual.*, 41: 1001-1013
42. Sohi S. P, Loez-Capel E, Krull E. and Bol R., 2009. Biochar roles in soil and climate change. A review of research needs. CSIRO Land and Water Science, Report 05/09. 64.
43. Sohi S.P, Krull E, Lopez-Capel E. and Bol R., 2010. A review of biochar and its use and function in soil, *Advances in Agronomy*. 105:47-82.
44. Spokas K.A., 2010. Review of the stability of biochar in soils: predictability of O: C molar ratios. *Carbon Management*.1:289-303.
45. Steinbeiss S, Gleixner G. and Antonietti M., 2009. Effect of biochar amendment on soil carbon balance and soil microbial activity. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*.41:1301- 1310.
46. Sukartono U.W.H, Kusauma Z. and Nugroho W.H., 2011. Soil fertility status, nutrient uptake and maize (*Zea mays* L.) yield following biochar and cattle manure application on sandy soils of Lombok, Indonesia. *Journal of Tropical Agriculture*, 49:47-52.
47. Tryon E.H., 1948. Effect of charcoal on certain physical, chemical, and biological properties of forest soils. *Ecological Monographs*. 18:81-115.
48. Uzoma K.C, Jnoue M, Andry H, Fujimaki H, Zahoor A. and Nishihara E., 2011. Effect of cow manure biochar on maize productivity under sandy soil condition. *Soil Use and Management*, 27:205-212.
49. Wallstedt A, Coughlam A, Munson A.D, Nilsson M.C. and Margolis H.A., 2002. Mechanisms of interaction between *Kalmia angustifolia* cover and *Piceamariana* seedlings. *Can. J For. Res.*, 32:2022-2031.

50. Wardle D.A, Nilsson M.C. and Zackrisson O., 2008. Fire-derived charcoal cause's loss of forest humus, *Science*. 320:627-629.
51. Warnock D.D, Lehmann J, Kuyper T.W. and Rillig M.C., 2007. Mycorrhizal responses to biochar in soil concepts and mechanisms. *Plant Soil*, 300:9-20.
52. Winsley P., 2007. Biochar and bioenergy production for climate change mitigation. *New Zealand Sci. Rev.*, 64:5-10.
53. Xie Z.P, Staehelin C, Vierheilig H, Wiemken A, Jabbouri S. and Broughton W.J., 1995. Rhizobial nodulation factors stimulate mycorrhizal colonization of nodulating and nonnodulating soybeans. *Plant Physiol.*, 108:1519- 1525.
54. Yeboah E, Asamoah G, Kofi B. and Abunyewa A. A., 2016. Effect of biochar type and rate of application on maize yield indices and water use efficiency on an Ultisol in Ghana. *Energy Procedia*, 93: 14-18.
55. Yeboah E, Ofri P, Quqnsah G.W, Dugan E. and Sohi S.P., 2009. Improving soil productivity through biochar amendments to soil. *Afr. J Environ Sci. Technol.* 3:34-41.
56. Yu X.Y, Ying G.G. and Kookana R.S., 2006. Sorption and desorption behaviour of diuron in soil amended with charcoal. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 54:8545-8550.
57. Zhang W.F, Dou Z.X, He P, Ju X.T, Powlson D. and Chadwick D., 2013. New technologies reduce greenhouse gas emissions from nitrogenous fertilizer in China. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 110:8375-8380.

Please cite this Article as:

Abukari A. and Duwiejuah A.B., 2019. A review of biochar influences on crop outputs and soil assets. *Agric. For. J.*, 3(2): 74-80.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3561058>