

University of St Augustine for Health Sciences SOAR @ USA

Physical Therapy Collection

Faculty and Staff Research

10-26-2019

Decreased Mobility of Cuboid Rotation as the Source of Achilles Tendinopathy--A Case Report

Catherine Patla University of St. Augustine for Health Sciences, cpatla@usa.edu

Kristin Hernandez

Follow this and additional works at: https://soar.usa.edu/pt



Part of the Physical Therapy Commons

Recommended Citation

Patla, Catherine and Hernandez, Kristin, "Decreased Mobility of Cuboid Rotation as the Source of Achilles Tendinopathy--A Case Report" (2019). Physical Therapy Collection. 61. https://soar.usa.edu/pt/61

This Conference Proceeding is brought to you for free and open access by the Faculty and Staff Research at SOAR @ USA. It has been accepted for inclusion in Physical Therapy Collection by an authorized administrator of SOAR @ USA. For more information, please contact soar@usa.edu, erobinson@usa.edu.





Decreased Mobility of Cuboid Rotation as the Source of Achilles Tendinopathy— A Case Report

Catherine Patla PT, DHSc, FAAOMPT, Kristin Hernandez PT, DPT, Dip. Osteopractic, FAAOMPT

PURPOSE:

Joint mobility is necessary for adequate function of musculature. Internal rotation of the cuboid functions to allow midtarsal and hindfoot pronation in weight bearing. Inability of the cuboid to internally rotate limits mid tarsal pronation, which limits contraction of the gastroc soleus on weight bearing, ultimately contributing to muscle/tendon stress. The continued stress on the contractile structure leads to a tendinopathy which results in limited functional use with pain of the gastroc/soleus. The purpose of this case report is to outline the successful treatment of a patient with this clinical presentation.

CASE DESCRIPTION:

Patient is a 44 year old female massage therapist, presenting to therapy with idiopathic onset of right Achilles pain, worsening over the past 6 months. History identifies left Achilles rupture with immobilization and full resolution of symptoms about 20 years ago. Pain level varies from 0-10; feels better in the morning and worse as the day progresses. LEFS is 37/80 with 10/10 pain level with all walking. See additional data in Table 1.

	Right (symptomatic)	Left (asymptomatic)
Passive dorsiflexion 0° knee extension	15° with pain	20°
Passive dorsiflexion 90° knee flexion	20° with pain	25°
Hamstring flexibility	Decreased	Normal
Active weight bearing	Decreased pronation/supination with pain	Normal midtarsal mobility
Plantar Flexion Strength	3-/5 (single heel raise unable)	5/5
Palpation	Tender Achilles tendon	No tenderness
Table 1. Objective data on evaluation		

Table 1: Objective data on evaluation

METHODS:

On sessions one and two, treatments consisted of: soft tissue mobility to gastroc, calcaneal glides into eversion, and self stretches. With no changes of symptoms/signs on the third session, further examination was carried out. Findings included: passive midtarsal pronation limited with no pain, tenderness to palpation of Achilles tendon and cuboid rotation limited with no pain. The third treatment consisted of cuboid manipulation (figs 1-2).



Fig 1-a Diagonal finger contacts on cuboid



Fig 1-b Diagonal finger contacts on cuboid



Fig 2. Operator rotates trunk while rotational force is delivered via finger contacts to cuboid (pictured for internal rotation)

METHODS (continued):

The manipulation was followed by pain-free, non-weight bearing muscle re-education with manual resistance for pronation and supination of midtarsal and hindfoot (fig. 3). Gait training focused on restoration of functional pronation in weight bearing. Bilateral heel raises were limited and therefore issued as HEP.





Fig 3: Supportive Treatment Patient performed active weight bearing midtarsal pronation and supination. Bilateral and unliteral heel raises were also performed (not shown).

RESULTS:

Immediate restoration of ROM and pain relief to 0/10 were achieved after manipulation. Mid-tarsal active pronation and supination in weight bearing was full and pain free. Initially the patient could not single heel raise due to weakness and apprehension. Strength gains to perform a single heel raise took several months due to noncompliance of exercising by the patient. One year later, LEFS is 66/80 with 3/10 pain with only few episodes of walking activities.

CONCLUSION/CLINICAL APPLICATION:

This case demonstrates the principle that mobility is necessary before length and strength can be utilized effectively. Previous treatments to directly treat the tendinopathy were not successful in reducing the weightbearing pain.

References.

Alfredson H, Cook J. A treatment algorithm for managing Achilles tendinopathy: new treatment options. British journal of sports medicine, 2007 - bjsm.bmj.com

Cook JL ,Khan KM. Achilles tendinopathy. Manual Therapy.Vol 7, Issue 3, August 2002, 121-130. Patla C., Lwin J., Smith,L., Chaconas E. Cuboid manipulation and exercise in the management of posterior tibialis tendinopathy: a case report. International Journal of Sports Physical Therapy. 2015:10,#3:363-370.

Patla C, E2 seminar notes: Extremity Integration. University of St. Augustine. St. Augustine Fl. March 2019, p 101-109

Martin RL, Chimenti R, Cuddeford T, Houck J, Matheson JW, McDonough CM, Paulseth S, Wukich DK, Carcia CR. Achilles Pain, Stiffness, and Muscle Power Deficits; Midportion Achilles Tendinopathy Revision .J Orthop Sports Phys Ther 2018;48(5):A1-A38. doi:10.2519/jospt.2018.0302

Acknowledgement: This patient case occurred during the AAMT fellowship program of the second author. The primary author was the mentor.