3. STUDY OF UNDERLYING CAUSES OF LIFE-SHORTENING INDUCED BY ENERGY-RELATED AGENTS

From a mechanistic point of view, it may be of interest to determine whether a given agent shortens life by inducing a specific life-shortening disease, by accelerating the aging process, or by some combination of the two mechanisms. In the case of ionizing radiation, as you are well aware, a considerable effort has been invested in this type of research over a period of years. Similar research on other energy-related agents can be expected in the future. While this category of research is definitely relevant to DOE interest, it is less urgent than the research described in the two preceding categories.

4. STUDY OF THE AGING PROCESS ITSELF

Research aimed at dissecting, characterizing, and understanding the aging process per se is only tangentially related to the matter of health-risk assessment and therefore ranks low in the present DOE scale of priorities.

It is evident that the four research categories addressed above span a range of priorities on the basis of relevance to major DOE interest. The same is undoubtedly true from the point of view of programmatic interest of the National Institute on Aging. It is interesting and noteworthy, however, that the two sets of priorities are probably related in an inverse manner. If such is in fact the case, each of the four categories of research should qualify for support in one or both of the agencies. Special budgetary problems or programmatic needs may introduce temporary perturbations in funding, but it is our view that research in all four categories is needed and should qualify for support at the Federal level.

I appreciate hearing from our laboratory scientists on substantive policy issues and hope the information provided will prove helpful. If I may be of further

assistance, please let me know.

Sincerely,

RUTH C. CLUSEN, Assistant Secretary for Environment.

ITEM 2. PAPER ENTITLED "HOUSEHOLD ENERGY UTILIZATION BY THE ELDERLY," BY ROBERT A. BYLUND, NELSON L. LE RAY, AND CHARLES O. CRAWFORD, OF THE DEPARTMENT OF SOCIOLOGY, MOREHEAD STATE UNIVERSITY, MOREHEAD, KY.

INTRODUCTION

Approximately one in every five households in the United States is headed by a person 65 years or older. Increasing energy costs have a severe impact on older Americans (U.S. Senate, 1979, p. 165; Olivarez, 1979). With rapidly rising energy costs and concern about the availability of fuel, important questions are raised concerning the types of energy used by the elderly for heating and cooking, and the presence or absence of selected structural features related to energy conservation and reduction of heat loss during the winter. The purpose of this report is to present nationwide and regional information on: (1) The heating and cooking fuel utilized by elderly headed households, and (2) energy conservation features in their housing.1

The data for this report are from the household records from the 1975 annual housing survey (AHS) conducted by the Bureau of the Census. The information for the survey was collected by personal interviews conducted from October to Decem-

ber 1975.

This data set provides the best information available at the time this study was undertaken, on the housing situation in the United States in terms of the scope of information available and the sampling procedures employed.² The housing section of the decennial census provides some information on the characteristics of housing units, but the amount of detailed information does not approach that provided by the annual housing survey. The currency of the 1975 annual housing survey also makes it a more desirable data source, and the size of the sample drawn is large enough to permit analysis of subpopulations, such as the elderly (Struyk, 1976,

The total sample for the 1975 annual housing survey consisted of about 72,600 housing units, both occupied and vacant. Information on 3,700 of these units could

See Bylund, Le Ray, and Crawford, 1979, for a presentation of the household and dwelling unit characteristics of elderly headed households; Bylund, Crawford, and Le Ray, 1978, for a discussion of housing quality of the elderly; and Struyk, 1977. ² Preliminary analysis of annual housing survey data for 1977 indicate few differences.

not be obtained, leaving approximately 69,000 units for analysis. The sampling rate was one in 1.366 in urban areas, and about two in 1,366 in rural areas.

The focus of this study is households with heads 65 years of age or older. There were 11,762 unweighted cases in the sample in this category, which when weighted represents some 14,383,000 elderly households in the United States. These households contain over 85 percent of the total noninstitutionalized elderly population.

The estimates presented in this report should be used with a degree of caution. They are intended as estimates and should not be considered as authoritative as a omplete enumeration. All statements of differences appearing in the narrative, but not necessarily in the tables, are significant at the 90 percent confidence level (1.6 standard errors) or higher unless otherwise indicated. This means that the chances are at least 90 in 100 that a difference identified in the text, represents a difference in the population that is greater than chance variation arising from the use of the sample.

HEATING FUEL

Many elderly, because of their relatively low and often fixed incomes, are especially vulnerable to changes in the supply and price of home heating fuel irrespective of type—gas, fuel oil, kerosene, electricity, coal, coke, or wood. This section describes the major home heating fuel sources utilized by households headed by an

individual 65 years of age or older.

Of the estimated 14.4 million elderly headed households in the United States in 1975, over 7.8 million, or 54 percent, were dependent upon utility gas (gas that is piped through underground pipes from a central system and serves a neighborhood for heating. One-fourth, or 3.6 million of the elderly households were dependent upon fuel oil or kerosene (table 1), compared with 56 and 22 percent, respectively, of the total U.S. households dependent upon these two sources. Differences between elderly owners and renters in dependency upon utility gas, fuel oil, and kerosene were not significant.

In nonmetro rural areas, there was a high dependence upon fuel oil and kerosene, bottled, tank or LP gas (stored in tanks at the dwelling unit which are refilled or exchanged when empty) and wood. Of the nonmetro rural households, 26 percent of the owners and 22 percent of the renters depended on fuel oil and kerosene for heating. Twenty-five percent of the owners and 20 percent of the renters depended on bottled, tank or LP gas; while 5 percent of the owners and 12 percent of the renters depended on wood for heating.

renters depended on wood for heating.

Noteworthy regional highlights on type of heating fuel included: Northeast: Highest dependence of all regions on fuel oil and kerosene—1.9 million, or 56 percent of all elderly households in the region.

North central: High dependence on utility gas—2.7 million, or 69 percent of all

elderly households.

South: High dependence on utility gas—2.3 million or 49 percent of all elderly households. One in five nonmetro rural renter elderly households depend upon wood.

West: High dependence on utility gas—1.6 million, or 68 percent of all elderly households. One in four nonmetro rural elderly households dependent upon electricity.

COOKING FUEL

Utility gas and electricity were the most frequently reported energy sources for cooking among elderly households enumerated (table 2). Forty-eight percent, or 6.8 million of the elderly households, depended upon utility gas, compared with 45 million of the electry nouseholds, depended upon utility gas, compared with 40 percent of all U.S. households. Forty-three percent of the owner occupied units and 57 percent of the renter units depended upon utility gas for cooking. Six million elderly households, or 42 percent, depended upon electricity for cooking, compared with 47 percent of all U.S. households. Metro areas had a relatively high dependency upon utility gas (54 percent of the owners and 65 percent of the renters), compared with nonmetro areas where the greatest dependence was upon electricity (51 percent of the owners and 45 percent of the renters). Relatively little upon upon (51 percent of the owners and 45 percent of the renters). Relatively little use was

Note of all elderly households on gas, and 1.6 million, or 41 percent on the elderly households on gas, and 1.6 million, or 41 percent on electricity. ity.

For a discussion of the reliability of these estimates, see Bylund, Le Ray, and Crawford, 1979: and Bureau of the Census and Department of Housing and Urban Development, 1977.

South: High dependency on electricity and gas-2.2 million, or 47 percent of all elderly households on electricity, and 1.8 million, or 38 percent on gas. Eight

percent of nonmetro rural renter households depended on wood.

West: High dependency on electricity and gas-1.1 million, or 48 percent of all elderly households on electricity, and 1.1 million, or 47 percent on gas. Highest dependency on electricity in nonmetro areas, where 62 percent of the owners and 56 percent of the renters use this as their major fuel for cooking.

ENERGY CONSERVATION 4

This section presents information on three items that help to conserve energy by reducing heat loss during the winter: Storm windows or other protective window covering, storm doors, and attic or roof insulation. About 60 percent of the 9 million owner-occupied, one-family dwelling units were reported to have storm windows and/or doors on some or all windows and entrances. In addition, 70 percent reported attic insulation (table 3).

Noteworthy regional energy conservation highlights included: Northeast: Three-fourths of the dwelling units had protective window covering on all windows; four-fifths had storm doors on all exterior doors, and about threefourths had attic insulation.

North central: Over 80 percent of the dwelling units had protective covering on

all windows and doors and had attic insulation.

South: Only 22 percent of the elderly headed dwelling units had storm windows on all windows and only 27 percent had storm doors on all exterior doors. About 60 percent had attic insulation.

West: Lowest proportion of storm windows on all windows (12 percent) and storm

doors on all exterior doors (14 percent). Seventy percent had attic insulation.

Energy conservation measures were added or installed during the past 12 months (prior to the 1975 enumeration) in all regions and residential areas (table 4). In general, calking and weatherstripping around doors and windows was the most frequently added measure for conserving energy.

SUMMARY AND IMPLICATIONS

Approximately 14.4 million, or one in five of the U.S. households is headed by a person 65 years of age or older. For heating fuel, the greatest dependency was upon utility gas in the north central, south, and west, while the northeast was highly dependent upon fuel oil and kerosene. For cooking fuel, the greatest dependency was upon utility gas and electricity in the north central, south, and west, while the

northeast had a relatively high dependency upon utility gas.

Elderly headed households will be impacted by increased costs and availability of energy for home heating and cooking. The 1.9 million elderly households in the northeast that depend upon fuel oil and kerosene for heating are in an especially vulnerable supply situation. In September 1979, the northeast had the highest average price for utility gas (42.46 per thousand therms versus a U.S. city average of \$33.60), electricity (\$34.53 per 500 kWh versus a U.S. city average of \$26.50), and about equalled the U.S. city average per gallon for No. 2 fuel oil (northeast 0.850; U.S. city average, 0.848) (U.S. Department of Labor, 1979). Efforts to conserve energy by lowering thermostats could result in accidental hypothermia's and worsened pre-existing conditions such as diabetes, circulatory and liver problems. Deterioration of health might lead to hospitalization.

Although there was a relatively high frequency of use of conservation measures in the northeast and north central regions, given the nature of the climate, high priority might be given to the addition of those measures in the northeast and north central regions, given the nature of the climate, high priority might be given to the addition of those measures requiring the least cost and technical ability-calking, weatherstripping, storm doors, and windows. However, in the long run, attic insulation would be required before optimum energy conservation could be achieved.

It is hypothesized that individual resources that might have been utilized for energy conservation will be used to meet increased fuel costs. Over the long term, the addition and upgrading of energy conservation measures will result in a reduction of energy use. However, the financial resources required to install storm windows, doors, and insulation is beyond the means of the many elderly headed households who subsist on relatively low and often fixed incomes. Assistance from public and private agencies is required.

Data presented are for owner occupied, one-family homes, mobile homes and trailers.

⁵ Hypothermia is lower than normal body temperature—typically 95° F. (35° C.). It can result from exposure to relatively cool temperatures for a short period of time—for the elderly, 60° F. (15.5° C. to 65° F.) (18.3° C.) (U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, 1978).

TABLE 1.—ELDERLY HEADED HOUSEHOLDS BY REGION, METRO AND NONMETRO RESIDENCE, AND MAJOR HEATING FUEL, 1975

•	Total	Percent					
Heating fuel, tenure, and area	number (thou-	Total	Metropoli-	Nonmetropolitan			
	sands)		tan ²	Total a	Urban	Rural	
United States:							
Owner—Heating fuel:							
Utility gas 4	. 5,531	54.9	61.8	44.9	70.4	29.1	
Bottled, tank, LP gas 5		8.6	3.1	16.3	2.7	24.8	
Fuel oil, kerosene		24.6	25.2	23.8	19.5	26.4	
Electricity		8.8	8.0	10.0	6.2	12.4	
Coal or coke	. 117	1.2	1.0	1.5	0.6	2.0	
Wood	. 173	1.7	0.6	3.4	0.5	5.2	
No fuel •	21	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.2	
Total, percent		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Total, number	. 10,082 .		5,909	4,173	1,604	2,570	
Renter—Heating fuel:							
Utility gas 4		54.2	54.5	53.1	73.1	30.5	
Bottled, tank, LP gas 5		3.5	1.1	10.2	1.5	19.9	
Fuel oil, kerosene		24.8	27.8	16.6	12.2	21.5	
Electricity		13.9	14.9	11.2	10.2	12.3	
Coal or coke		1.1	0.7	2.3	1.6	3.2	
Wood		1.8	0.2	6.3	1.1	12.1	
No fuel	28	0.6	0.8	0.3	0.3	0.4	
Total, percent		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Total, number	. 4,293	•••••	3,166	1,127	598	529	
lortheast:							
Owner—Heating fuel:	700						
Utility gas 4		38.5	42.5	26.3	36.3	18.9	
Bottled, tank, LP gas 5		0.8	0.6	1.4	0.0	2.5	
Fuel oil, kerosene	-,	55.6	53.8	61.1	60.3	61.6	
Electricity		3.1	1.4	8.0	2.7	11.9	
Coal or coke		1.6	1.5	1.7	0.7	2.4	
Wood		0.5	0.1	1.5	0.0	2.6	
Total, percent		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Total, number	. 2,075		1,559	516	220	296	
Renter—Heating fuel:							
Utility gas 4		37.3	36.5	43.5	53.1	25.7	
Bottled, tank, LP gas 5		0.4	0.2	1.8	0.0	5.0	
Fuel oil, kerosene		55.7	57.4	42.7	34.3	58.1	
Electricity		5.7	5.0	10.8	12.6	7.4	
Coal or coke		0.8	0.8	1.3	0.0	3.8	
Wood							
Total, percent		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Total, number			1,185	164	106	58	
orth Central:	2,010	***************************************	1,100	104	100	30	
Owner—Heating fuel:							
Utility gas 4	1.953	66.7	77.7	53.5	85.9	32.5	
Bottled, tank, LP gas 5		8.6	2.1	16.4	0.8	26.5	
Fuel oil, kerosene		18.9	16.3	22.1	10.6	29.6	
Electricity		3.7	2.5	5.1	1.9	7.2	
Coal or coke	37	1.3	1.2	1.3	0.8	1.7	
Wood		0.7	0.1	1.5	0.0	2.5	
Total, percent		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Total, number			1,595	1,331	523	809	
	2,926						
Renter—Heating fuel:	_,	***************************************	1,000	1,001	323	003	
Renter—Heating fuel: Utility gas 4	756	74.0	78.8				
Renter—Heating fuel: Utility gas 4 Bottled, tank, LP gas 3	756 40			64.6 9.3	88.2 0.0	34.5 21.1	
Renter—Heating fuel: Utility gas 4	756 40 127	74.0	78.8	64.6	88.2	34.5	

TABLE 1.—ELDERLY HEADED HOUSEHOLDS BY REGION, METRO AND NONMETRO RESIDENCE. AND MAJOR HEATING FUEL, 1975—Continued

• Heating fuel, tenure, and area ¹	Total		Percent .					
	number (thou-		Metropoli-	Nonmetropolitan				
	sands)	Total	tan 2	Total 3	Urban	Rural		
Coal or coke	7	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.0	1.		
Wood		0.4	0.1	1.1	0.0	2.		
- · · ·		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.		
Total, percent				342	192	150.		
Total, number	1,021		07.5	342	. 132	1.0		
outh:								
Owner—Heating fuel: Utility gas 4	1.687	48.3	53.9	43.5	70.4	28.		
Bottled, tank, LP gas 5		14.9	7.2	21.4	5.0	30		
Fuel oil, kerosene		18.1	20.0	16.4	14.4	17		
Electricity		14.1	16.8	11.8	9.0	13		
Coal or coke		1.3	0.8	1.8	0.5	2		
Wood		3.3	1.4	5.1	0.7	7		
Total, percent		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100		
Total, number	3,493		. 1,602	1,890	680	1,2		
Renter—Heating fuel:								
Utility gas 4		50.6	50.9	48.4	70.3	28		
Bottled, tank, LP gas 5		7.6	2.7	13.5	3.3	22		
Fuel oil, kerosene		13.1	13.7	11.9	9.2	14		
Electricity		20.5	28.4	9.6	10.6	8		
Coal or coke		2.2	1.1	3.7	2.7	. 4		
Wood	70	6.0	0.9	12.3	2.8	20		
Total, percent		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100		
Total, number	1,165 .		. 651	514	242	27		
Vest:								
Owner—Heating fuel:								
Utility gas 4		69.6	77.9	47.5	67.9	33		
Bottled, tank, LP gas 5		5.0	2.5	11.5	2.5	17		
Fuel oil, kerosene		9.2	6.3	16.7	15.7	17		
Electricity		14.4	12.5	19.8	12.3	25		
Coal or coke		0.2	0.1	0.3	0.0	Q		
Wood	24	1.5	0.6	3.9	1.7	. 5		
Total, percent		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100		
Total, number				431	178	2:		
Renter—Heating fuel:	,		,					
Utility gas 4	476	65.0	66.7	54.1	71.7	33		
Bottled, tank, LP gas 5	15	2.1	0.8	9.7	0.0	21		
Fuel oil, kerosene		5.1	4.6	7.6	2.6	13		
Electricity		26.6	27.8	21.6	, 20.4	23		
Coal or coke		0.6	0.2	2.9	5.3	(
Wood		0.6	0.0	3.4	0.0	7		
		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100		
Total, percent		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0			
Total, number	/31 .		628	103	30			

Source: Compiled from 1975 annual housing survey data tapes.

¹ Numbers may not add to totals and percentages to 100 due to rounding. Due to the small number of cases, the category "other fuels" has been deleted. "Other fuels' include any other fuel, for example, briquettes, sawdust, corn cobs, or purchased steam. For the United States, "Other fuels" were reported by 0.1 percent of the households.

² Except in the New England States, a metropolitan area is a county or group of contiguous counties which contains at least one city of 50,000 inhabitants or more, or "twin cities" with a combined population of at least 50,000. In addition to the county or counties containing such a city or cities, contiguous counties are included if, according to criteria, they are socially integrated with the central city. New England States, towns, and cities, rather than counties, are the units used in defining metro areas. All areas not designated as metro are nonmetro.

³ Urban comprises all urbanized areas and places of 2,500 inhabitants or more outside urbanized areas. More specifically, urban consists of all (a) places of 2,500 inhabitants or more incorporated as cities, villages, boroughs (except Alaska), and towns (except in the New England States, New York, and Wisconsin), but excluding the rural portions of extended cities; (b) unincorporated places of 2,500 inhabitants or more; and (c) other territory, incorporated or unincorporated, included in urbanized areas. Areas not classified as urban constitute rural.

4 Cas that is piped through underground pipes from a central system and serves a neighborhood.

5 Cas stored in tanks at the dwelling which are refilled or exchanged when empty.

Gas stored in tanks at the dwelling which are refilled or exchanged when empty.
 Due to the small number of cases, the "no fuel" category has been deleted from the regional breakdown.

TABLE 2.—ELDERLY HEADED HOUSEHOLDS BY REGION, METRÓ AND NONMETRO RESIDENCE, AND MAJOR COOKING FUEL, 1975

	Total 2	Percent					
Cooking fuel, tenure, and area *	number (thou-	Total	Metropoli-	N	1		
	sands)		tan	Total	Urban	Rural	
United States:							
Owner—Cooking fuel:							
Utility gas 3	4,378	43.3	53.6	29.0	44.9	19.0	
Bottled, tank, LP gas 4	1,089	10.8	5.5	18.4	4.4	27.1	
Electricity	4,510	44.7	40.4	50.7	50.1	51.1	
Fuel oil, kerosene	17	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.3	
Coal or coke	10	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	
Wood	77	0.8	0.2	1.5	0.3	2.2	
No fuel	5	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	
Total, percent		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Total, number	10,086		5,912	4,175	1,605	2,570	
Renter—Cooking fuel:							
Utility gas 3	2,459	57.2	64.7	36.0	50.2	20.0	
Bottled, tank, LP gas 4	223	5.2	2.3	13.4	2.1	26.3	
Electricity	1,466	34.1	30.1	45.3	43.3	47.5	
Fuel oil, kerosene	10	0.2	0.1	0.6	0.8	0.4	
Coal or coke	1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.3	
Wood	38	0.9	0.1	3.2	1.7	4.9	
No fuel	101	2.4	2.7	1.3	2.0	0.5	
Total percent		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Total, number	4,290	•••••	3,171	1,127	598	529	
Owner—Cooking fuel:							
Utility gas 3	1,085	52.3	62.0	22.9	33.4	15.1	
Bottled, tank, LP gas 4	207	10.0	6.1	21.6	13.0	28.1	
Electricity	761	36.7	31.4	52.6	53.0	52.2	
Fuel oil, kerosene	4	0.0	0.1	0.5	0.0	1.0	
Coal or coke	i	0.4	0.3	0.6	0.7	0.5	
Wood	9	0.4	0.0	1.8	0.0	3.2	
No fuel							
Total, percent		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Total, number	2,075		1,559	516	220	296	
Renter—Cooking fuel:							
Utility gas 3	977	72.3	76.6	41.2	51.7	21.9	
Bottled, tank, LP gas 4	34	2.5	1.1	13.1	1.4	34.7	
Electricity	302	22.4	19.7	41.5	41.2	42.2	
Fuel oil, kerosene	6	0.5	0.3	1.9	2.9	0.0	
Coal or coke							
Wood	1	0.1	0.0	0.4	0.0	1.2	
No fuel	30	2.2	2.3	1.8	2.8	0.0	
Total, percent		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Total, number	1,350		1,186	164	106	58	
Owner—Cooking fuel:							
Utility gas 3	1 220	45.7	67.0	21.0	£1.0	100	
Bottled, tank, LP gas 4	1,339 313	10.7	57.3 4.4	31.8 18.3	51.9 2.5	18.9	
Electricity	1,264	43.2	38.2	49.1	45.7	28.5 51.4	
Fuel oil, kerosene	1,204	0.1	0.1	0.2	45.7 0.0	0.3	
		0.1	U. 1	0.2	0.0	0.3	
Coal or coke			0.0	0.6	0.0	1.0	
Coal or coke	Ω	11 4				1.0	
Coal or coke	8	0.3					
Wood							
Wood		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Wood			100.0				
Wood		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	

TABLE 2.—ELDERLY HEADED HOUSEHOLDS BY REGION, METRO AND NONMETRO RESIDENCE, AND MAJOR COOKING FUEL, 1975—Continued

	Total ²		Percent					
Cooking fuel, tenure, and area 1	number (thou-	Total	Metropoli-	N	1			
	sands)	TOTAL	tan	Total	Urban	Rural		
Electricity	356	34.8	28.7	46.8	43.8	50.7		
Fuel oil, kerosene					70.0	30.		
Coal or coke					***************************************			
Wood		0.1	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.9		
No fuel		2.3	2.5	1.9	3.0	. 0.5		
Total, percent		100.0	100.0	100.0		100.0		
Total, number		100.0		342	100.0 192	150.0		
South:	-,		002	V.L	132	150		
Owner—Cooking fuel:								
Utility gas 3	1,238	35.4	42.0	29.7	46.8	20.1		
Bottled, tank, LP gas 4		14.2	8.3	19.1	3.9	27.8		
Electricity		48.7	48.6	48.8	48.4	49.0		
Fuel oil, kerosene		0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2		
Coal or coke		0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.2		
Wood		1.5	0.9	1.9				
No fuel					0.5	2.7		
NO IUGI		0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1		
Total, percent		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		
Total, number				1,895	684	1,212		
Renter—Cooking fuel:	0,000		1,007	1,033	004	1,212		
Utility gas 3	524	44.2	52.0	34.2	60.1	20.0		
					50.1	20.0		
Bottled, tank, LP gas 4		9.7	5.8	14.8	2.7	25.5		
Electricity		40.7	38.6	43.3	41.8	44.7		
Fuel oil, kerosene		0.3	0.0	0.7	0.7	8.0		
Coal or coke		0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.3		
Wood		2.8	0.3	6.1	4.1	8.0		
No fuel	25	2.1	3.2	0.7	0.6	0.8		
Total, percent		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		
Total, number	1,184		667	517	243	274		
Vest:								
Owner—Cooking fuel:								
Utility gas 3		45.3	53.2	24.2	31.5	19.1		
Bottled, tank, LP gas 4		4.6	2.1	11.3	1.6	18.0		
Electricity	780	49.3	44.4	62.3	66.1	59.6		
Fuel oil, kerosene		0.2	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.3		
Coal or coke								
Wood		0.6	0.0	2.0	0.8	2.9		
No fuel			•••••					
Total, percent		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		
Total, number		100.0	1,151	432	178			
Renter—Cooking fuel:	1,303		1,131	432	1/0	254		
Utility gas 3	375	50.6	E2 C	20.1	45.0	10.5		
Pottlad tank ID ass 4	3/3		53.6	32.1	45.2	16.5		
Bottled, tank, LP gas 4		2.0	1.1	7.6	0.0	16.7		
Electricity		44.0	42.0	56.1	52.2	60.8		
Fuel oil, kerosene								
Coal or coke		0.1	0.0	0.7	0.0	1.5		
Wood		0.3	0.0	2.0	0.0	4.5		
No fuel	23	3.1	3.3	1.4	2.6	0.0		
Total, percent	***************************************	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		

Source: Compiled from 1975 annual housing survey data tapes.

Numbers may not add to totals and percentages to 100 due to rounding.
 See table 1, footnotes 5 and 6.
 Gas that is piped through underground pipes from a central system and serves a neighborhood.
 Gas stat is piped through underground pipes from a central system and serves a neighborhood.
 Gas stored in tanks at the dwelling which are refilled or exchanged when empty.

TABLE 3.—ELDERLY OWNER HOUSEHOLDS BY REGION, METRO AND NONMETRO RESIDENCE, PRESENCE OF STORM WINDOWS, STORM DOORS, AND ATTIC INSULATION IN DWELLING, $1975\,^{1}$

	Total 2		Percent					
Item	number (thou-	Total	Metropoli-	No	onmetropolitan			
	sands)	iolai	tan	Total	Urban	Rural		
United States—Currently in dwelling:								
Storm windows: *								
Yes, all	4,275	47.2	49.3	44.5	47.3	42.9		
Some	-,	12.4	11.4	13.6	12.2	14.5		
No	3,659	40.4	39.3	41.9	40.5	42.7		
Total, percent		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		
Total, number			5,055		100.0	100.0		
Storm doors: 4	3,030 .		3,033	4,001	1,491	2,511		
Yes, all	4,720	52.1	53.6	50.3	53.7	48.3		
Some	1,018	11.2	10.2	12.6	12.3	12.8		
No		36.6	36.3	37.1	34.0	38.9		
		_		37.1	34.0			
Total, percent		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		
Total, number	9,053 .		5,055	3,999	1,491	2,508		
Attic insulation: 5								
Yes		70.1	72.6	67.0	70.5	64.9		
No		25.0	22.0	28.8	25.0	31.1		
Don't know	436	4.9	5.4	4.2	4.5	3.9		
Total, percent		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		
Total, number			5.010	3,975	1,483	2,492		
Northeast—Currently in dwelling:	0,000	***************************************	0,010	3,373	1,403	2,432		
Storm windows: 3.								
Yes, all	1,226	74.4	75.2	72.1	76.2	69.4		
Yes, some		19.0	17.6	22.5	23.0	22.2		
No		6.7	7.2	5.3	0.8	8.5		
Total manual								
Total, percent		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		
Total, number	1,649		1,190	460	189	271		
	1 200	00.0						
Yes, all		80.6	82.3	76.2	78.9	74,2		
Yes, some		13.3	12.3	15.7	16.3	15.4		
No	102	6.2	5.4	8.1	4.8	10.4		
Total, percent		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		
Total, number	1,650		1,190	460	189	271		
Attic insulation: 5	,		-,			-/-		
Yes	1,181	72.2	74.1	67.6	63.7	70.3		
No		23.7	21.2	30.0	33.1	27.9		
Don't know	66	4.1	4.7	2.4	3.2	1.9		
Total, percent		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0			
Total, number		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		
North Central—Currently in dwelling:	1,034	•	1,178	457	189	268		
Storm windows: 3								
Yes, all	2.138	81.1	86.2	75.7	79.4	72.4		
Yes, some		12.4	9.7	15.2	12.6	73.4		
No		6.5	4.1	9.1	7.9	16.8 9.7		
		- 0.0	4.1	J.1		9.1		
Total, percent		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		
Total, number	2,636		1,357	1,280	488	791		
Storm doors: 4			4					
Yes, all		87.3	91.0	83.3	89.3	79.6		
Yes, some		7.4	5.3	9.6	7.1	11.1		
No	142	5.4	3.8	7.1	3.6	9.3		
Total, percent		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		
Total, number			1,357	1,280	488	791		
Attic insulation: 5	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		1,007	1,200	700	/31		
Yes	2,152	81.9	83.9	79.7	84.4	76.8		
	,	-1.0	55.5	, 4.1	U7. 7	70.0		

TABLE 3.—ELDERLY OWNER HOUSEHOLDS BY REGION, METRO AND NONMETRO RESIDENCE, PRESENCE OF STORM WINDOWS, STORM DOORS, AND ATTIC INSULATION IN DWELLING, 1975 1-Continued

number					Percent					
number (thou-	Total	Metropoli-	No							
sands)	TOTAL	tań	Total	Urban	Rural					
389	14.8	12.1	17.7	13.0	20.					
87	3.3	4.0	2.6	2.7	2.					
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100 0	100.					
					78					
2,020		2,002	-,	,,,,						
127	22.0	23.9	20.5	20.2	20					
344	10.4	11.6	9.5	7.3	10					
2,229	67.5	64.4	70.0	72.5	68					
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100					
					1,19					
0,000 .		2,.55	2,0.0	•	-,					
883	26.8	27.2	26.5	24.9	27					
	14.6	16.3	13.3	14.8	12					
1,930	58.6	56.6	60.2	60.2	60					
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100					
					1,1					
3,294 .		1,430	1,044	040	1,1					
1 036	50 A	65.2	54.8	59.5	52					
-,					42					
		5.1	5.8	6.5	5					
			100.0	100.0	100					
					100					
3,261 .		1,432	1,830	041	1,1					
102	12.4	77	24.4	25.6	23					
					17					
			-		59					
1,101										
					100					
1,473 .		. 1,057	416	166	2					
000	140	0.0	00.0	20.0	2					
					17					
					6					
1,142	- 11.4	04.0	J0.U							
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100					
1,475		. 1,059	416	166	2					
					_					
1,034	70.8	66.6	81.3		8					
					14					
103	_ 7.0	8.5	3.4	3.6						
	100 0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100					
			413	165	2					
	sands) 389 87 2,628 727 344 2,229 3,300 883 481 1,930 1,936 1,145 180 3,261 183 138 1,151 1,473 209 1,473 209 1,475 1,475 1,034 1,475 1,034 1,034 1,034	sands) 1048 389 14.8 87 3.3 100.0 2,628 100.0 344 10.4 2,229 67.5 100.0 3,300 100.0 3,300 58.6 481 14.6 1,930 58.6 100.0 3,294 100.0 3,294 1,145 35.1 180 5.5 100.0 3,261 100.0 3,261 100.0 3,261 100.0 1,473 100.0 1,473 100.0 1,475 100.0 1,475 100.0 1,475 100.0 1,475 100.0 1,475 100.0 1,034 70.8 324 22.2 103 7.0	sands) tan 389 14.8 12.1 87 3.3 4.0 100.0 100.0 2,628	sands) tan Total	sands) tan Total Urban					

<sup>Numbers may not all add to totals and percentages to 100 due to rounding. Data available only for owners living in single unit structures.

Approximately 10 percent of all owners did not live in single unit structures.

See table 1, footnotes 5 and 6.</sup>

Source: Compiled from 1975 annual housing survey data tapes.

See table 1, footnotes 3 and 6.
 Includes protective window covering, such as storm windows, double-glazed glass, closeable shutters, or plastic. Housing units with "some" have protective coverings over some, but not all windows.
 Includes additional doors hung in exterior doorways.

Includes roll or blanket insulation encased in a paper covering, fiberglass batting, and loose insulation which is blown between the attic floor

TABLE 4.—ELDERLY HOUSEHOLDS IN THE UNITED STATES BY REGION. METRO AND NONMETRO RESIDENCE, AND ADDITION OF STORM WINDOWS. STORM DOORS, INSULATION DURING LAST 12 MONTHS AND COST OF INSULATION 1975 1

	Total 2		Percent				
, Item	number (thou-	Total	Metro-	Nonmetropolitan			
	sands)	10141	politan	Total	Urban	Rural	
United States—Within last 12 months:							
Storm windows	365	7.7	6.4	9.2	7.8	10.1	
Storm doors	249	4.9	4.8	4.9	4.5	5.3	
Weatherstripping	589	7.4	7.4	7.4	6.8	7.7	
Insulation	2,590	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.7	2.9	
Northeast—Within last 12 months:	-,	0.2	0.2	3.2	3.7	2.5	
Storm windows	74	5.8	4.7	8.5	8.5	8.4	
Storm doors	42	3.3	2.8	4.5	4.4	4.5	
Weatherstripping	122	9.0	8.4	10.4	8.7	11.7	
Insulation	48	3.5	3.1	4.6	5.1	4.3	
North Central—Within last 12 months:	,•	0.0	0.1	4.0	3.1	4.5	
Storm windows	145	6.4	5.5	7.3	5.4	8.6	
Storm doors	96	4.1	4.6	3.6	2.5	4.3	
Weatherstripping	230	9.5	8.6	10.4	8.8	11.5	
Insulation	93	3.8	4.0	3.6	3.7	3.5	
South—Within last 12 months:				0.0	3.,	3.3	
Storm windows	91	9.4	9.1	97	5.6	11.7	
Storm doors	85	6.8	7.1	6.6	7.0	6.4	
Weatherstripping	163	5.4	6.4	4.7	5.4	4.3	
Insulation	72	2.4	2.6	2.2	2.2	2.2	
VestWithin last 12 months:			2.0			L.L	
Storm windows	55	21.3	18.0	24.0	26.3	21.9	
Storm doors	26	9.0	9.5	8.5	8.0	8.9	
Weatherstripping	74	6.2	6.1	6.4	4.6	8.0	
Insulation	45	3.8	3.2	5.4	8.3	2.9	

¹ Numbers may not add to totals and percentages to 100 due to rounding. Only respondents responding positively to items in table 3 were asked if items had been added in the last 12 months.

² See table 1. footnotes 5 and 6.

Source: Compiled from 1975 annual housing survey data tapes.

ITEM 3. STATEMENT SUBMITTED BY EDWARD W. CAMPION, M.D., CHIEF, GERIATRICS Unit, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Mass.

The energy squeeze is on and the vulnerable elderly will be squeezed the worst. As a society, we have a moral and practical obligation to protect our elderly. Their comfort, their enjoyment of life and even their survival may be jeopardized as a result of the growing energy crisis.

Let there be no mistake about it, millions of our elderly are frail, even helpless. The most vulnerable are the poor and chronically ill—those crippled by arthritis or stroke, those weakened by heart disease or cancer, and particularly those with limited mental function. Many of these people are as helpless as infants and they have less physical resistance.

What are the dangers? They are obvious and odious:

Hypothermia.—This life-threatening severe drop in body temperature is a medical emergency. Inadequate heating can precipitate it.

Isolation.—Particularly in the winter, frail elderly become dangerously housebound, constantly afraid, and deteriorate physically and mentally.

Fires and burns.-Elderly patients seeking warmth in inadequately heated apartments will turn to unsafe sources of heat. Accidents, injuries, burns, and deaths will result.

Asphyxiation.—Antiquated heating systems plus tightly insulated dwellings will result in some frail old people suffocating to death.

The misery of being cold.—Thousands in marginally heated buildings will survive but will have their lives become a constant struggle for the basic creature comfort of warmth.