CHARACTERIZATION OF STEROID HORMONE BINDING BY THE SYNTHETIC STEROID, DANAZOL, TO CYTOPLASMIC RECEPTORS OF TARGET CELLS

#### PRESENTED TO

#### FACULTY RESEARCH COMMITTEE MOREHEAD STATE UNIVERSITY

BY


DAVID MAGRANE ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR DEPARTMENT OF BIOLOGY AND ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE MOREHEAD STATE UNIVERSITY

#### INTRODUCTION

The intracellular mechanisms underlying steroid regulation of target cells are not fully understood. However, important events in the regulation appear to be steroid entry into cells of the specific target tissue, interaction of the steroid with its specific cytosol receptor, and translocation of the steroid-receptor complex to the cell nucleus. Presumably this leads to initiate messenger RNA (ribonucleic acid) and protein synthesis (Figure 1).

An important factor in establishing the current understanding of the mechanism of steroid hormone action was the synthesis of isotopically labeled compounds, they provided the first molecular probes to discern the sequence of events that occur following the interaction of a steroid hormone with a target cell (Jensen and Jacobson, 1962). The use of tritium (<sup>3</sup>H) labeled compounds has also become very prominent in estrogen receptor studies involving breast cancer.

An increased incidence of new cases of breast cancer annually in the United States and numbers of deaths due to the disease has created a greater interest towards studies evaluating estrogen receptors and their role in treatment of malignant and benign tumors. Witliff (1977)



6. Protein synthesis

Figure 1. Mechanism of action of steroid hormones.

suggests that a tissue demonstrating estrogen receptors should be classified as an estrogen target and should require hormone for maintenance and growth. Removal of estrogen should result in regression or involution of the tissue. In contrast, tissue lacking estrogen receptors should not be effected by removal of endogenous hormone. The first evidence of a relationship between the association of <sup>3</sup>H hormones with a breast tumor and the clinical response of the patient to ablative hormone therapy was shown by Folca and associates in 1961 (Folca, Glascock, and Irvine, 1961). Other research also substantiates Witliff's proposal showing that 25-40% of patients with inoperable breast cancer have neoplasms that regress. after either the administration or pharmacological concentrations of hormones, such as androgens and estrogens, or removal of endogenous hormones, such as by ovariectomy or adrenalectomy (Kennedy, 1974). These findings suggest that some malignant breast tumors may be hormone dependent.

The concept underlying endocrine therapy is that certain tumor cells have retained their ability to respond to the same hormonal stimuli as their normal progenitor cells (Witliff, 1977). It is therefore suggested that the presence of specific estrogen receptors in human breast carcinomas may be predictive of a patient's

response to endocrine therapy (Garola and McGuire, 1978, Witliff, 1977, and McGuire, 1975).

Other steroid receptors are also present in human breast cancer cells and may provide additional information. For example, Horwitz <u>et al</u> (1975) predict that the presence of progesterone receptors in a tissue should be an even more sensitive indicator of potential responsiveness to endocrine therapy than the estrogen receptor. The basis for such a prediction is the underlying concept that progesterone effects require estrogen priming, thereby inducing the synthesis of the progesterone receptor (Figure 2).




Figure 2. Induction of progesterone receptor by estradiol (McGuire et al, 1978).

· 4

Thus; the presence of progesterone receptors in a tumor would indicate that the entire sequence, involving estrogen binding to a cytoplasmic receptor, movement of the receptor complex into the nucleus, and stimulation of a specific end product, can be achieved in a tumor cell. This would rule out the existence of a defect beyond the binding step (McGuire et al, 1978). Therefore, the presence of progesterone receptors in cancerous tissue will show that the tumor remains under at least partial endocrine control and may be classified as endocrine responsive. In support of the prediction, research showed 56% of tumors with estrogen receptors also had progesterone receptors, and tumors without estrogen ' receptors also lacked progesterone receptors (Horwitz et al, 1975). Preliminary data show only those breast tumors with progesterone receptors regressed after endocrine therapy. Continuation of estrogen and progesterone receptor studies together with research directed toward the evaluation of other steroid receptors present in human breast carcinomas, such as androgens and glucocorticoids may give hope of treatment for those patients with estrogen-receptor positive but unresponsive tumors.


(P)

In research by McGuire (1977), it was shown in randomly tested human breast tumors that 75% were estrogen-receptor positive  $(ER^+)$ , with 74% of the  $ER^+$ 

·5

tumors also having progesterone receptors (PgR) and only 9% of the ER tumors having PgR. Where primary versus metastatic tumors were examined, 77% of the primary tumors were ER<sup>+</sup> with 77% of them containing PgR and 66% of the metastatic tumors with ER<sup>+</sup> with only 59% of them having PgR (Figure 3).

Danazol is an isoxazole derivative of the synthetic steroid 17 a-ethinyltestosterone (Figure 4). It acts through the suppression of gonadal function (Chamness et al, 1980), and may possibly be used in future treatment of hormonally dependent cancers, particularly those of the breast and endometrium (Jenkin, 1980). Support for the use of danazol was strengthened by the reported. increased incidence of breast cancer in patients with a history of benign breast diseases (Humphrey and Swerdlow, 1962, Davis et al, 1964, Veronesi and Pizzocaro, 1968, and Dmowski and Cohen, 1978), and the fact that danazol 'has been shown to cause relief of various disorders of the breast (Greenblatt et al, 1971, Lauersen and Wilson, 1976, Asch and Greenblatt, 1977, and Blackmore, 1977a). The attempts to show differences between estrogen receptors in normal human breast tissue and fibrocystic tissue have thus far been unsuccessful (Terenius et al, 1974).



In recent studies, danazol has been demonstrated to have four major pharmacologic effects: (1) direct inhibition of gonadotropin synthesis and/or release (Lauersen and Wilson, 1977, Wood et al, 1975, and Eldridge, Dmowski and Mahesh, 1974); (2) direct inhibition of multiple enzymes of steroidogenesis (Barbieri et al, 1977, and Barbieri, Camick and Ryan, 1977); (3) interaction with androgen, glucocorticoid and progesterone receptors in target tissues (Barbieri, Lee and Ryan, 1979, and Chamness, Asch and Pauerstein, 1980); and (4) alteration of endogenous steroid metabolism (Barbieri, Lee and Ryan, 1979, and Barbieri and Ryan, 1981). The majority of studies exclude binding to the estrogen receptor as a possible method of action (Krey, Robbins and McEwen, 1981, Chamness, Asch and Pauerstein, 1980, Barbieri et al, 1979, Creange, Potts and Schane, 1979, Woods et al, 1975, Dmowski et al; 1971, and Potts et al, 1974).

In further examination of danazol's antigonadotropic action, Chamness, Asch and Pauerstein (1980) studied its ability to bind and translocate androgen, estrogen and progesterone receptors both <u>in vivo</u> and <u>in vitro</u> in the rat. Their results showed danazol bound to the progesterone and androgen receptors, however, only the androgen receptor was translocated to the nucleus at effective antigonadotropic doses.

To further understand the mechanism of danazol's action in its target cells, the following <u>in vitro</u> study was performed to (1) determine the specificity of danazol binding to cytosol receptors by competitive binding experiments, (2) evaluate the dose response relationship of danazol, and (3) determine the specificity for danazol to translocate receptors into the nucleus. In addition, an <u>in vivo</u> experiment was conducted to study the effects of danazol of cytoplasmic steroid receptors in uterine,mammarian, hypothalamic, and adrenal tissue. Although this research is done on non-cancerous tissue, the implications will be beneficial in future study of rat and human carcinoma.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Animal Care

Nineteen Sprague-Dawley female rats ranging 200-225 grams in weight, were obtained from Harlan Industries, Indianapolis, Indiana. Prior to being sacrificed, they were maintained for two weeks in animal guarters on Purina Lab Chow (Ralston-Purina Co.) and tap water <u>ad</u> libitum.

#### Tissue Preparation

The rats were stunned, decapitated, exsanguated and five tissues (uterus, mammary adrenals, hypothalamus and pituitary) were removed from each. Immediately upon excision, each tissue was placed on a chilled glass plate, trimmed of excess fat with a razor blade, weighed and quick frozen in dry ice and acetone. Each tissue was wrapped individually in foil, labeled and stored in a freezer for future competitive binding and dose-response experiments.

For <u>in vitro</u> nuclear translocation experiments, uteri from fourteen pre-puberal and thirteen post-puberal Sprague-Dawley rats were excised using the same method as mentioned above. After being trimmed of excess fat, the uteri were incubated in media consisting of 1 ml mimimal

essential.media with Earle's salts and L-glutamine (Grand Island Biological Co.) and 1 ml of Kreb's Ringer Bicarbonate Glucose, pH 7.4 (Umbreit <u>et al</u>, 1964). Forty microliters of indicated steroids in 100% ethanol were added to give a final concentration of  $2 \times 10^{-8}$  M and the uteri were incubated for two hours at  $37^{\circ}$ C with gentle bubbling of 95% osygen: 5% carbon dioxide in a Dubnoff Metabolic Shaking Incubator (GCA Precision Scientific). Controls were incubated with 100% ethanol. After incubation, the tissue was blotted, weighed and quick frozen in dry ice and acetone for later assay.

#### Isolation of Cytosol and Nuclear Receptors

All procedures were done on ice and/or in a refrigeration unit at 4<sup>o</sup>C, unless specified otherwise. Centrifugations were carried out (depending on the procedure, sample size and rpm specification) in either a Beckman "Airfuge", International Refrigerated Centrifuge, Model B-20 (International Equipment Co.) or an Adams Sero-fuge. Where microliter volumes were required, automatic micro-pipets (Oxford laboratories) were used.

Isolated cytosol and nuclear receptors were prepared by a modification of the methods of Williams and Gorski (1974), Chamness <u>et al</u> (1979), and Pavlik and Coulson (1976). Frozen tissue was cut into small pieces and then homogenized in phosphate buffer [P-buffer (5mM sodium

phosphate, pH 7.4, lmM thioglycerol, 10% glycerol)] in a seven milliliter Ten Broeck pyrex glass-glass tissue grinder (about 100-150 mg tissue/ml P-buffer). The homogenate was centrifuged (Adams Sero-fuge) eight minutes at 1000 x g; the supernatant was taken as the cytosol, while the pellet was resuspended in P-buffer and recentrifuged twice, then resuspended for one hour in buffer with 0.4 M potassium chloride to extract receptors from the nuclei. The pellet was then centrifuged for 10 minutes at 2000 x g (International Refrigerated Centrifuge) and the supernatant was assayed for nuclear receptors.

## Receptor Incubations

-1 1

> Duplicate 200 µl aliquots of cytosol or nuclear extract were added to 50 µl of radioactive ligand, while another duplicate 200 µl aliquots were added to 50 µl of the same ligand with an excess of non-radioactive competitors for determination of non-specific binding. In addition, to measure total counts, duplicate 200 µl aliquots of P-buffer were added to 50 µl of radioactive ligand. Final concentrations of radioactive ligands (New England Nuclear) were  $5 \times 10^{-10}$  M [2,4,6,7,16,17-<sup>3</sup>H(N)] estradiol (137.1 Ci/mmole),  $5 \times 10^{-10}$  M [1,2,4,5, 6,7,16,17-<sup>3</sup>H(N)] dihydrotestosterone (179.0 Ci/mmole),  $5 \times 10^{-10}$  M [1,2,6,7-<sup>3</sup>H(N)] progesterone (101.0 Ci/mmole),

5 x  $10^{-10}$  M [1,2,6,7-<sup>3</sup>H(N)] corticosterone (105.0 Ci/mmole). Non-radioactive competitors were 1.67 x  $10^{-7}$ M diethylstibesterol, 1.67 x  $10^{-7}$  M dihydrotestosterone, 1.67 x  $10^{-7}$  M progesterone, and 1.67 x  $10^{-7}$  M corticosterone (all are final concentrations). Incubations for cytoplasmic receptors were all three hours at  $0^{\circ}$ C, while those for nuclear receptors were three hours at  $30^{\circ}$ C (estrogen) or 18 hours at  $0^{\circ}$ C (androgens, progestins and corticosteroids). Cytosol and nuclear extract were saved (50 µl samples) for protein determinations.

## Binding Competition Experiments

Cytosol was prepared in P-buffer from uteri, mammary, adrenal, hypothalamic and pituitary tissue (assayed separately). Duplicate aliquots of 200 µl of cytosol were incubated with 50 µl of radioactive steroid in buffer plus 50 µl of varying concentrations of danazol. The danazol (Danocrine) was a gift from Sterling-Winthrop Research Institute. Binding was assayed by the hydroxylapatite method (HAP) and non-specific binding was subtracted.

## Dose Response Experiments

Cytosol was prepared in P-buffer from uterine tissue. Triplicate aliquots of 200 µl of cytosol were

incubated with 50 µl of varying concentrations of radioactive ligand. Competitive binding was checked with 50 µl of non-radioactive ligand added to one aliquot. In addition, triplicate aliquots of 50 µl of radioactive ligand were incubated with varying microliter concentrations of cytosol, with 50 µl of non-radioactive competitor in one aliquot to check competitive binding. Assay for binding was by the HAP method and non-specific binding was subtracted.

#### Nuclear Translocation Experiments

Nuclear extract was prepared in P-buffer from uteri of pre- and post-puberal rats. In experiments with the pre-puberal uteri, triplicate 200 µl aliquots of the nuclear extract from control, danazol and dihydrotestosterone (DHT) incubated tissues were added to 50 µl aliquots of radioactive DHT with a triplicate also containing 50 µl of non-radioactive DHT.

Post-puberal uterine experiments were performed, using triplicate 200  $\mu$ l aliquots of the nuclear extract from control, danazol, DHT, progesterone, corticosterone and estradiol incubated uteri, with a 50  $\mu$ l aliquot of appropriate radioactive ligand. To check for competitive binding a triplicate aliquot of 200  $\mu$ l nuclear extract from each incubation with 50  $\mu$ l of radioactive steroid plus 50  $\mu$ l of non-radioactive competitor was performed.

### Hydroxylapatite Assay

्र है

One milliliter of hydroxylapatite suspension [2.5 grams of Bio-Rad HTP, DNA grade, per 100 ml of TP buffer (50 mM Tris and 10 mM KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>)] was added to each tube at the end of incubation and kept suspended by occasional vortexing (Fisher Scientific Vortex-Genie) for 30 minutes. The HAP was then centrifuged 1.5 minutes at 1000 x g, resuspended in 1.5 ml of fresh P-buffer, and recentrifuged; this wash was completed twice more. One milliliter of 100% ethanol was then added to each pellet to extract the radioactive steroid, which was then counted in 5 ml of scintillation fluid (19 gm PPO and 1.9 gm POPOP/3.8 L toluene). All counts were made in a Hewlett-Packard Tri-Carb 300 scintillation counter at Maxey Flats Low-Level Nuclear Waste Disposal Site.

#### Protein Assay

Protein concentrations were determined by a modification of the Lowry Method (Lowry <u>et al</u>, 1951). To precipitate proteins, cold 10% trichloroacetic acid was added to 50 µl of cytosol or nuclear extract in a cellulose nitrate tube (Beckman, 175 µl capacity) and centrifuged in a Beckman Airfuge at 20 psi for 5 minutes. The supernatant was aspirated with a disposable transfer pipet. To the protein precipitate, 100 µl of 3N sodium hydroxide was added, stirred with a toothpick and set

aside for 10 minutes. Two concentrations (10  $\mu$ l and 50  $\mu$ l) of the protein mixture and of a bovine serum albumin standard (1 gm/ml) were added to separate test tubes and brought to 0.5 ml with distilled water. The remaining procedures were identical with those of Lowry <u>et al</u> (1951). Measurement of protein concentrations were made in a Bausch and Lomb Spectronic 70, and protein was expressed in mg/ml.

## In Yivo Experiment

10

Twenty-four female S/D rats were divided into two groups. The first group was given daily intramuscular (IM) injections of danazol (4 mg/kg body weight) suspended in Planters Peanut Oil. This treatment continued for fourteen days. The control group received daily IM injections of peanut oil only for fourteen days. On treatment day nine, bilateral ovariectomy was performed on eight of the twelve experimental and control rats, leaving four intact in each group. Surgery was performed under anesthesia using Sodium Nembutal (Abbot Laboratories), (4 mg/100 gm body weight) injected intraperitoneally (IP). Sodium Penicillin G 10 mg was injected IM into each postoperative rat. The ovariectomized group received an IM injection of 100 micrograms of estradiol benzoate on treatment day twelve.

## Preparation of Tissue

<u>.</u>

All animals were sacrificed on treatment day fourteen. Tissues for assay were immediately removed, trimmed of excess fat, weighed to the nearest milligram, dropped into an iced, acetone-CO<sub>2</sub> pellet bath, blotted, and kept frozen at -20°C until assays were performed.

## Preparation of Cytosol

All reagents used for preparation of cytosol are listed in Table 1. Cytosol fraction was prepared by the method derived by Heidemann and Wittliff for the Beckman "Airfuge" (Heidemann and Wittliff, 1979). All tissues were prepared in the same manner. The frozen minced tissue was homogenized in cold phosphate buffer (2.0 ml/ gm tissue) with a Ten Broeck glass-glass homogenizer. All preparations were kept on ice at all times. Using an Oxford adjustable sampler micropipetting system, 0.175 ml of cytosol was added to pre-cooled cellulose nitrate tubes (Beckman Instruments, Inc.) and placed in the pre-cooled (4°C) head of a fixed-angle rotor type A-100 Beckman Airfuge (Beckman Instruments, (Inc.) and centrifuged at 110,000 x g (24 psi) for 10 minutes. The protein concentration of the supernatant was confirmed later by a modified Lowry method (Lowry, et al., 1951).

18

#### TABLE 1

REAGENTS

Danazol

Hormones

Brandname Danocrine, gift of Sterling-Winthrop Research Institute.

 $^{3}$ H-17 $\beta$ -estradiol, progesterone, dihydrotestosterone, corticosterone; dissolved initially in absolute alcohol then diluted with phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) to appropriate concentrations (New England Nuclear, Corp.).

Diethylstibesterol, progesterone, dihydrotestosterone, corticosterone; dissolved initially in absolute alcohol then diluted to appropriate concentrations with phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) (Sigma Chemical, Co.).

0.5% CaSO<sub>4</sub> 5 H<sub>2</sub>O in 1% Na or K tartrate.

25 ml reagent A plus 0.5 ml reagent B

Hydroxylapatite 100 ml TP buffer plus 2.5 gm DNAgrade Bio Gel HTP hydroxylapatite (Bio-Rad), pH adjusted to 7.2.

Phosphate Buffer 5 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.4; 1 mM monothioglycerol; 10% glycerol.

2% Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> in 0.1 N NaOH.

Reagent B

Suspension

Reagent C

Reagent A

Reagent<sub>D</sub>

1:1, Phenol Folin Reagent: distilled water (mixed fresh daily).

(mixed fresh daily).

Scintiverse I<sup>TM</sup> Universal LSC Cocktail (Fisher Scientific, Co.).

Tris Buffer 50 mM Tris, 10 mM KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, H 7.2 at 4°C.

Phosphate Buffer, pH 7.4; plus 1% Wash Buffer (V/V) Tween 80.

## Hydroxylapatite Micromethod

All reagents used for the hydroxylopatite micromethod are listed in Table 1. The Oxford adjustable. micropipette system was used for all micropipetting. From pooled centrifuged supernatant (cytosol fraction), 0.05 ml aliquots were incubated in duplicate for four hours at 4°C in 0.45 ml polyethylene microtubes (Bio-Rad Laboratories) with 0.05 pmol (0.1 ml of  $2 \times 10^{-10}$ )  $^{3}$ Hlabeled hormone in 0.1 ml phosphate buffer. A parallel tube contained a 100-fold excess of competing unlabeled hormone. After incubation, 0.06 ml of hydroxylapatite (HAP) suspension was added to each microtube. After vortex, further incubation for 30 minutes was completed with gentle vortex every ten minutes. The microtubes were then centrifuged for 30 seconds in a pre-cooled Adams-Sero Fuge centrifuge (Clay-Adams, Inc.) at 1000 x g. The pellets were washed twice with 0.2 ml wash buffer, with centrifugation and gentle aspiration after each wash. After the final wash, centrifugation and aspiration, the resulting pellet in the end of the microtube was cut off and dropped into a scintillation vial. Scintiverse I (Fisher Scientific, Co.) or Insta Gel (Packard) scintillation Cocktail (10 ml) was added to each vial and all vials were counted for radioactivity in a Packard Tri-carb liquid scintillation counter (Model This method is summarized in Figure 4. 300-C).

# Sacrifice S/D Rat

Remove tissue, weigh, freeze Homogenize in  $PO_4$  buffer (2 ml/gm tissue) Centrifuge 100,000 x g for 10 minutes Supernatant (cytosol fraction) Hydroxylapatite assay Cytosol (0.05 ml) Cytosol (0.05 ml)b. a. plus plus 0.10 ml <sup>3</sup>H-compound 0.1 ml<sup>.3</sup>H-compound plus (100x excess) competitor (duplicate) Incubate 4 hrs., 4°C Incubate 4 hrs., 4°C Add hydroxylapatite 0.06 ml Incubate 30 min., 4°C Vortex every 10 min. Wash 2 x, 0.2 mlBuffer - Tween 80 Centrifuge 1000 x g, 30 sec. Cut tip off with pellet Count in Liquid Scintillation Counter

Hydroxylapatite Micromethod

Figure 4.

#### RESULTS

.79 <sup>(b</sup>

To evaluate the validity of the HAP assay and to determine conditions to be used in later experiments, titration curves were run for both <sup>3</sup>H-estradiol and cytosol. Specific activity was measured in counts per minute/mg of protein. Figure five shows a dose-response relationship when the concentration of <sup>3</sup>H-estradiol was reduced in the incubate, in the presence of 200  $\mu$ l of cytosol. Likewise, by the condition of increasing amounts of cytosol, the expected dose relationship was seen in specific activity. This indicates as the cytosol was increased, the amounts of cytosol receptors were increased, therefore the increase in specific binding. From these data, experimental protocol for all succeeding experiments called for the use of 10<sup>-9</sup> M estradiol and 200  $\mu$ l cytosol.

In the first series of experiments performed, the competition for steroid receptors with increasing doses of danazol were performed in various tissues. The results of these experiments are shown in figures six, seven, eight and table two, and are expressed as a percent of control binding. In all experiments, danazol exhibited a dose-response competition with steroid receptors. Figure six shows that in mammary tissue, competition with the androgen receptor exceeded all


-21

other steroid receptors. Binding was 58% of the control at the physiological concentration of  $10^{-9}$  M danazol compared to 88% of the control values of estradiol. Danazol was the most efficient competitor of the androgen receptor in uterine tissue, with a value of 80% of the control at  $10^{-9}$  M (Figure 7). The competition for  $\frac{1}{10}$ steroid receptors in pooled adrenal tissue is shown in figure eight. This figure shows poor competition with all steroid receptors at  $10^{-9}$  M danazol and strong  $\frac{1}{10}$ competition at the pharmacological dose of  $10^{-5}$  M.


Due to the lack of cytosol for hypothalamic tissues, only two assays were performed. Using only the test dose of  $10^{-9}$  M, results show in table one that danazol competed most effectively with the DHT receptor (73% of control) followed by progesterone (86%), corticosterone (90%) and estradiol (91%).


Table 2. Danazol competition for steroid receptors in hypothalamic tissue.

Incubation co	onditions	Estradiol	DHT	Progesterone	Corticosterone
Danazol (1	L0 <sup>-9</sup> м)	91*	73	8.6	90











×.





# Figure 7.

Danazol competition for steroid receptors in uterine tissue.

**2**'5




Figure 8.

Danazol competition for steroid receptors in 'pooled adrenal tissue.

A single test was performed with pooled pituitary cytosol, showing that danazol at  $10^{-9}$  M did compete with the DHT receptor (65% of control).

F?

A comparison of steroid binding competition by danazol at  $10^{-9}$  M is seen in table three. This table summerizes the data that danazol competes more favorably with the androgen receptor in all tissues with a mean of 76% of the control followed by estradiol (91%), progesterone (92%), and corticosterone (95%).

<u>In vitro</u> translocation experiments of steroid cytosol receptors were performed to better determine receptor specificity and biological function. The first experiments were performed on 25 day old, pre-puberal uterine tissue and the results are shown in table four. Compared to the control specific activity of 426 cpm/mg protein, incubation with  $10^{-9}$  M DHT resulted in a 146% increase over the control with a mean specific activity of 1050 cpm/mg protein (P<.1). Danazol at  $10^{-6}$  M translocated the androgen receptor 85% over the control.

In all experiments in which <u>in vitro</u> translocation was evaluated in adult uterine tissue, danazol did not signficantly elevate nuclear receptors in any of the steroids tested (Table 5). Danazol translocated the DHT receptor most successfully with a value of 68% increase over the control. Incubation with respective steroids

Table 3. Comparison of steroid binding competition by danazol at  $10^{-9}$  M.

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		- <u>.</u> <u>.</u>
Competing Steroid Receptors	Uterus	Mammary	Adrenal	Hypothalamus	Mean
Estradiol	92*	87	92	91	91
DHT	80	58	93	73	76
Progesterone	. 89	95	98	86	92
Corticosterone	95	98	96	90	95 2

\*Mean percent of control binding.

2.0

# Table 4. In vitro translocation of uterine androgen receptor in pre-puberal rats.

Treatment N=6	Specific activity cpm/mg protein	Percent increase over control
Control	426±146*	
DHT (10 <sup>-9</sup> M)	1050±762 <sup>a</sup>	146
Danazol (10 <sup>-6</sup> M)	790±483	85
	-	

.

\*Mean ± standard deviation

a P<.1 from controls

150

Treatment N=6	Specific activity cpm/mg protein	Percent increase over control		
Control	873±200*			
Estradiol	1983±393 <sup>a</sup>	127		
Danazol	1041±491	19		
· ·		• . •		
Control	349±316	· · · · · )		
DHT	971±354 <sup>b</sup>	178		
Danazol	585±692	68		
	:	,		
Control	570±370	· · · · ·		
Progesterone	1229±789	116		
Danazol	694±528	22		
		- 1		
Control	62±74			
Corticosterone	95±47	53		
Danazol	68±64	10		

Table 5. In vitro translocation of uterine steroid receptors in adult rats.

\* Mean ± standard deviation a P<.001 from control b P<.05 from control</pre>

demonstrated that the cytosol receptor was translocated, reflecting values that were significant for DHT (P<.05) and highly significant for estradiol (P<.001).

The effect of 4 mg/kg of danazol for 14 days can be seen in Table 6. Daily injections of danazol showed a highly significant reduction of uterine weight expressed either in absolute or relative weight terms in rats which had intact ovaries. Ovariectomized rats failed to show a further reduction in weight after danazol injections. The reduction in uterine weight after ovariectomy is also highly significant (P < .01).

Table 7 indicates that danazol has an effect on reducing pooled adrenal weight in intact rats but shows no additive reduction in adrenal weight after ovariectomy.

Rats receiving daily injection of danazol (4 mg/kg body weight) for nine days had mean ovarian weights of 130  $\pm$  12 at the time of ovariectomy, compared to rats receiving only the vehicle (154  $\pm$  20) as indicated in Table 8. This reduction in ovarian weight was highly significant (P < .02).

The effects of danazol injections on steroid receptors in the uterus is shown in Table 9. Danazol treated rats show a non-significant decrease in all receptors compared to intact rats with estrogen receptors showing a 28% reduction. However, significant differences

## EXPERIMENT I

## EFFECT OF DANAZOL ON ABSOLUTE AND RELATIVE UTERINE WEIGHT

Treatment	Absolute	Uterine Weight (mg)	Relative Uterine Weight (mg/100 gm body weight)
Intact Control (n = 4)	686	± 30 <sup>**</sup>	224 ± 14
Intact Danazol* $(n = 4)$	498	$\pm$ 50 <sup>a</sup>	$174 \pm 16^{a}$
Ovariectomized Control (n = 8)	498	± 85 <sup>b</sup>	$180 \pm 28^{a}$
Ovariectomized Danazol <sup>*</sup> ( $n = 8$ )	489	± 100 <sup>b</sup>	$176 \pm 31^{a}$

\*Danazol = 4 mg/kg/day for 14 days
\*\*Mean ± Standard Deviation
a p < .02 compared to Intact Control
b p < .01 compared to Intact Control</pre>

## EFFECT OF DANAZOL ON ABSOLUTE AND RELATIVE POOLED ADRENAL WEIGHT

Treatment	Absolute Adrenal Weight (mg)	Relative Adrenal Weight (mg/100 gm body weight)
Intact Control	70.3 **	22.8
Intact Danazol*	64.8	23.5
Ovariectomized Control	57.3	22.6
Ovariectomized Danazol $*$	57.1	23.7

## \*Danazol = 4 kg/day for 14 days \*\*Mean adrenal weight calculated from pooled adrenals

## EFFECT OF DANAZOL ON ABSOLUTE OVARIAN WEIGHT

Treatment	Absolute Ovarian Weight (mg)
	- <u></u>
Control $(n = 8)$	$154 \pm 20$
$Danazol^*(n = 8)$	$130 \pm 12^{a}$

ω

\*Danazol = 4 mg/kg/day for nine days \*\*Mean ± Standard Deviation <sup>a</sup> P < .02 Compared to ovariectomized controls

# PERCENT CHANGE IN RECEPTOR BINDING OF <sup>3</sup>H-STEROIDS AFTER DANAZOL INJECTIONS AND OVARIECTOMY

Tissue	Treatment	<sup>E</sup> 2	DHT	PROG	CORT
Uterus	intact	100	100	100	100
	+danazol*	<b>28</b> ↓ <sup>**</sup>	17 +	23↓	13 +
	ovarx	$42 \downarrow a$	10+	25 ↓	4↓
	+danazol	45 ↓ <sup>a</sup>	20 +	24 +	8 +
Mammary	intact	100	100	100	100
	+danazol	2 †	<b>ļl ↓</b>	5+	2 +
	ovarx	12 +	7 +	8↓	3 †
	. +danazol	15↓	12+	10 + "	10+

\*Danazol injected at dose of 4 mg/kg/day for 14 days \*\*Arrows refer to increase + or decrease + in specific binding compared to intact control а

Significant from controls at P<.05

υ

were seen for estrogen receptors when the rats were ovariectomized (42% reduction) and when these castrated rats received danazol (45% reduction). The ovariectomy failed to reduce significantly the concentration of the other steroid receptors. Mammary tissue was not significantly changed in its ability to bind steroid receptors.

Binding data for hypothalamic tissue and adrenal glands were incomplete since they were analyzed from pooled tissues. However, they demonstrated the general trend toward reduction of receptor binding.

## DISCUSSION

1

The mechanism of action of danazol at the subcellular level is not completely understood. However, according to current ideas of hormone action, danazol should bind to a specific cytosol receptor and translocate it to the nucleus of that particular target cell, where it would initiate protein synthesis. It has been well substantiated that danazol binds most efficiently. to the androgen receptor in various target tissues (Chamness et al, 1980 and Barbieri et al, 1979). It is also suggested that danazol has a somewhat lower affinity for the progesterone (Chamness et al, 1980 and Barbieri et al, 1979) and glucocorticoid receptor (Barbieri et al, 1979), with little or no binding to the estrogen receptor (Krey et al, 1981, Chamness et al, 1980, Woods et al, 1975, Creange et al, 1979, Dmowski et al, 1971 and Potts et al, 1974). Results of this study are concurrent with these findings except for demonstrating a low affinity binding of danazol to the estrogen receptor. In support of these data, Creange et al, (1979) has shown danazol competition with estrogen receptors of the pituitary.

At physiological doses, danazol competed similarly with the estrogen, progesterone and glucocorticoid

38

receptor, showing a somewhat better competition with the androgen receptor (Table 3). In comparison, as concentrations of danazol were increased, there was an increased competition for all receptors (Figures 6, 7, and 8).

To check for receptor specificity and biological function, <u>in vitro</u> translocation experiments were performed on pre- and post-puberal rats. <u>In vivo</u> experiments by Chamness <u>et al</u> (1980) provides evidence that the androgen receptor is the only one effectively translocated to the nucleus by danazol. The findings of 'this research, in contrast, show a non-statistical tendency of danazol translocating the androgen receptor in pre-puberal and adult rats (Table 4 and 5) along with a somewhat lesser ability to translocate the estrogen, progesterone and glucocorticoid receptor (Table 5).

It is generally agreed that danazol has weak androgenic and no estrogenic or anti-estrogenic properties, while its glucocorticoid and progestational effects are still somewhat controversial (Dmowski, 1979, Barbieri, <u>et al</u>, 1979, Dmowski <u>et al</u>, 1971 and Potts <u>et al</u>, 1974). Therefore, it must be concluded from this study that the strong binding and translocation of the androgen receptor by danazol is indicative of its androgenic responses elicited <u>in vivo</u>. The lower binding affinity for the estrogen, progesterone and glucocorticoid receptor and subsequent translocation of these receptors may suggest the action of danazol on these receptors is not sufficient to stimulate mRNA synthesis and thus biological activity.

Difficulty in obtaining good specific binding counts was a drawback of this procedure. It is suggested that higher counts may be obtained by a wash buffer of 1% Tween 80 in phosphate buffer, which has been shown to be more successful at diminishing the nonspecific binding (Garola and McGuire, 1978) than phosphate buffer alone.

In vivo studies of specific binding in intact rats treated with danazol (4 mg/kg body weight) shows a nonsignificant reduction in all receptor binding in uterine cytosol (see Table 9). The estrogen binding in rats receiving danazol shows a 28% reduction from controls. Progesterone was reduced 23%, DHT reduced 17%, and corticosterone reduced 13% after danazol injections. These findings agree with similar studies done in the past several years. Barbieri found that danazol displaced DHT receptors in rat prostate, displaced progesterone in an estrogen-primed rat uterus, and that glucocorticoid receptors were displaced by danazol in rat liver cytosol (Barbieri and Ryan, 1981). In another study, Potts showed that intact rats, pre-treated with danazol, showed significant inhibition in the pituitary and hypothalamic uptake of <sup>3</sup>H-labeled estradiol. (Potts, 1977). Musich.

using a long term danazol at a high dosage, as opposed to low dosage as used in this study, showed a decrease in E-R binding which may have been due to the dose or the duration of treatment (Musich, <u>et al.</u>, 1981). From a different viewpoint, Jenkin reported that danazol decreases the stimulatory effect on basal circulating levels of estrogen and progesterone to the uterus thereby also directly effecting estrogen receptors in the tissue (Jenkin, 1980). Jenkin also stated that danazol competed with the uterine cytosol receptor for the estradiol and progesterone receptors.

The effect of ovariectomy on receptor binding was only significant for estrogen receptors (see Table 9). The 42% reduction of estrogen binding in ovariectomized rats was reduced to 45% in rats that received danazol injections in addition to the ovariectomy. This agrees with studies by Bohnet, <u>et al</u>. (1981) who reported that danazol prevented a compensatory increase in LH and FSH after ovariectomy. Potts found that ovariectomized rats treated with danazol significantly inhibited pituitary and hypothalamic uptake and thus binding of <sup>3</sup>H-labeled estradiol (Potts, 1977). These findings disagree with findings by Wood who dimissed the local action by danazol on estrogen receptors when a 1000-fold excess of danazol did not effect the <sup>3</sup>H-estradiol binding to endometrial

cytosol (Wood, 1975). However, Wood's study was <u>in vitro</u> and many times <u>in vivo</u> and <u>in vitro</u> studies cannot be compared.

Other steroid receptor binding was not significantly reduced in Experiment I. The 25% reduction in progesterone binding after ovariectomy was not significant, a finding that differs from a study by Peters who reported that in ovarian hypofunction, a decrease in estrogen production would produce less progesterone receptors and thus reduced progesterone binding (Peters, <u>et al.</u>, 1977). Danazol injections failed to influence a change in progesterone receptors after ovariectomy.

With respect to mammary tissue, these studies were investigated with the hope that some data reflecting the influence of danazol on mammary receptors would be enlightening. However, from the data presented in Table 9, mature female rats, either intact or ovariectomized, showed no significant change in the ability to bind steroid receptors.

The effect of danazol on the reduction of uterine and ovarian weights at low dose (4 mg/kg), long term (14 days), danazol treatment is consistant with that reported by other investigators (Jenkin, 1980) (Dmowski, 1971). This might be expected since the reported antigonadotropic effects of danazol (Dmowski, 1971) and

the direct effect of danazol on inhibiting steroidogenesis (Barbieri and Ryan, 1981) should lead to a reduction in uterine weight. Adrenal weight reduction is also consistent with Kitay's findings that estrogens have a stimulatory effect on the adrenal glands (Kitay, <u>et al</u>., 1963), and the more recent discovery that adrenals possess an androgen receptor which when bound by androgens, lowers adrenal weights (Rifka, 1978). Potts also reported a decrease in adrenal weights after danazol treatment (Potts, 1974).

The controversy over danazol's steroid binding to cytosol receptors remains but it has been seen that danazol has an effect on multiple classes of steroid receptors. Although discrepancies from established data have been noted, it should be remembered that <u>in vivo</u> receptor studies may reflect the indirect effects of danazol. Therefore it should not be surprising that inconsistant receptor data might be seen. Even with <u>in</u> <u>vitro</u> studies discrepancies have been reported (Chamness, <u>et al.</u>, 1980). Another possibility for disagreement on receptor binding studies is that the metabolites of danazol are hormonally active and may play a part in receptor variability (Krey, 1981). These metabolites and their effect were not investigated.

Since steroid receptors are thermolabile, unstable proteins, possible variable results may be due to

incorrect sample storage or assay conditions such as keeping the sample cold enough to enhance binding activity. Another consideration is that many receptor sites may be nuclear binding sites, so that cytoplasmic sites alone may not be an accurate display of the influence of danazol and/or ovariectomy on receptor binding. In any event, receptor studies are a molecular index of endocrine function and dependency in a cell and improvements in quantitation of receptor complexes continue to be vital to hormone research and clinical therapy.

In summary, evidence presented in this research indicates that danazol binds to and translocates estrogen, progesterone, glucocorticoid and androgen receptors <u>in</u> <u>vitro</u>, though not significantly, while showing the greatest success with the androgen receptor in all tissues. The dose responsiveness of danazol was effectively demonstrated by its increased competition at pharmacological concentrations. Furthermore, the results indicated that danazol was most effective in translocating the androgen receptor in mammary tissue.

The data from experiments performed <u>in vivo</u> after the injections of danazol at a dose of 4 mg/kg/14 days are summarized as follows:

- 1). A highly significant reduction of uterine weight in ovariectomized and intact rats.
- 2). A highly significant reduction in uterine weight after ovariectomy.

÷.

- 3). A reduction in pooled adrenal weight (intact rats), with no additive weight reduction after ovariectomy.
- 4). A highly significant reduction in ovarian weights after nine days of danazol injections.
- 5). A non-significant decrease in all uterine receptors of danazol treated rats compared to intact rats.
- 6). A significant difference in ovariectomized rats for estrogen receptors.
- 7). Ovariectomy failed to reduce the concentration of other steroid receptors.
- 8). Mammary tissue was not significantly changed in its ability to bind steroid receptors.
- 9). A general trend toward reduction of receptor binding in hypothalamic and adrenal glands.

In light of this research, as well as evidence reported in the literature, the evaluation of receptor binding and nuclear translocation data, will hopefully lead to a better understanding of normal steroid target cells, cancer modified cells and drug interaction with each cell type. The major objectives of this research proposal have been met. The exclusion of the use of carcinogenic tissue was necessary due to the difficulty of the techniques and the time necessary to develope these tecnhiques. The technique for mammary carcinoma induction was developed for later research.

## LITERATURE CITED

- Asch, R. H. and R. B. Greenblatt. 1977. The use of an impeded androgen-danazol-in the management of benign breast disorders. American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology. 127, 130-134.
  - \_\_\_\_\_\_ 1982. Physicians Desk Reference. Charles E. Baker, Jr. Publisher. 36th ed., 2024-2025.
- Barbieri, R. L., J. A. Canick, A. Makris, R. B. Todd, I. J. Davies and K. J. Ryan. 1977. Danazol inhibits steroidogenesis. Fertility and Sterility. 28, 809-813.
- Barbieri, R. L., J. A. Canick, K. J. Ryan. 1977. Danazol inhibits steroidogensis in the rat testis in vitro. Endocrinology. 101, 1676-1682.
- Barbieri, R. L., H. Lee and K. J. Ryan. 1979. Danazol binding to rat androgen, glucocorticoid, progesterone and estrogen receptors: correlation with biologic activity. Fertility and Sterility. 31, 182-185.
- Barbieri, R. L., K. J. Ryan. 1981. Danazol: Endocrine pharmacology and therapeutic applications. American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology. 141, 453-463.
- Blackmore, W. P. 1977a. Danazol in the treatment of benign breast disease. Journal of International Medical Research. 5(3): 101-108.
- Chamness, G. C., R. H. Asch and C. J. Pauerstein. 1980. Danazol binding and translocation of steroid receptors. American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology. 136, 426-429.
- Chamness, G. C., T. W. King and P. J. Sheridan. 1979. Androgen receptor in the rat brain—assays and properties. Brain Research. 161, 267-276.
- Creange, J. E., G. O. Potts and H. P. Shane. 1979. Increased accumulation of estrogen receptors in pituitary of danazol treated rats. Biology of Reproduction. 21, 27-32.

Davis, H. H., M. Simons and J. B. Davis. 1964. Cystic disease of the breast: relationship to carcinoma. Cancer. 17, 957-978.

Dmowski, W. P. 1979. Endocrine properties and clinical application of danazol. Fertility and Sterility. 31, 237-251.

Dmowski, W. P. and M. P. Cohen. 1978. Antigonadotropin (danazol) in the treatment of endometriosis. American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology. 130, 41-48.

- Dmowski, W. P., H. F. L. Scholer, V. B. Mahesh and R. B. Greenblatt. 1971. Danazol—a synthetic steroid derivative with interesting physiologic properties. Fertility and Sterility. 22, 9-18.
- Eldridge, J. C., W. P. Dmowski and V. B. Mahesh. 1974. Effects of castration of immature rats on serum FSH and LH and various steroid treatments after castration. Biology of Reproduction. 10, 438-446.
- Folca, P. J., R. F. Glascock and W. T. Irvine. 1961. Studies with tritium-labelled hexoestral in advanced breast cancer. Lancet. 2, 796-798.
- Garola, R. E. and W. L. McGuire. 1978. A hydroxylapatite micromethod for measuring estrogen receptors in human breast cancer. Cancer Research. 38, 2216-2220.
- Greenblatt, R. B., W. P. Dmowski, V. B. Mahesh and H. F. L. Scholer. 1971. Clinical studies with an antigonadotropin—danazol. Fertility and Sterility. 22, 102-112.
- Horwitz, K. B., W. L. McGuire, O. H. Pearson and A. Segaloff. 1975. Predicting response to endocrine therapy in human breast cancer: a hypothesis. Science. 189, 726-727.
- Humphrey, L. J. and M. Swerdlow. 1962. Relationship of benign breast disease to carcinoma of the breast. Surgery. 52, 841-846.
- Jenkin, G. 1980. Review: The mechanism of action of danazol, a novel steroid derivative. Australian and New Zealand Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology. 20, 113-118.

Jensen, E. V. and H. I. Jacobson. 1962. Basic guides to the mechanism of estrogen action. Recent Progress in Hormone Research. 18, 387-414.

Kennedy, B. J. 1974. Hormonal therapies in breast cancer. Seminars in Oncology. 1, 119-130.

Ť

Krey, L. C., R. Robbins and B. McEwen. 1981. Danazol suppression of luteinizing hormone secretion: a role for danazol—androgen receptor interaction within the brain—pituitary complex. Fertility and Sterility. 35, 467-472.

Lauersen, N. H. and K. H. Wilson. 1977. Evaluation of danazol as an oral contraceptive. Obstetrics and Gynecology. 50, 91-96.

Lauersen, N. H. and K. H. Wilson. 1976. The effect of danazol in the treatment of chronic cystic mastitis. Obstetrics and Gynecology. 48, 93-98.

Lowry, O. H., N. J. Rosebrough, A. L. Farr and R. J. Randall. 1951. Protein measurement with the folin phenol reagent. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 193, 265-275.

Manson, A. J., F. W. Stonner, H. C. Newmann, R. G. Christiansen, R. L. Clarke, J. H. Ackerman, D. F. Page, J. W. Dean, D. K. Phillips, G. O. Potts, A. Arnold, A. L. Beyler and R. O. Clinton. 1963. Steroidal heterocycles VIII androsteno (2,3-d)isoxazoles and related compounds. Journal of Medicinal Chemistry 6, 1-9.

McGuire, W. L. 1977. Assays for estrogen and progesterone receptors in human breast cancer tissue. Applications Data. November.

McGuire, W. L. 1975. Current status of estrogen receptors in human breast cancer. Cancer. 36, 638-644.

McGuire, W. L., K. B. Horwitz, D. T. Zava, R. E. Garola and G. C. Chamness. 1978. Hormones in breast cancer: update 1978. Progress in Endocrinology and Metabolism. 27, 487-501. 47.

Pavlik, E. J. and P. B. Coulson. 1976. Hydroxylapatite "batch" assay for estrogen receptors: increased sensitivity over present receptor assays. Journal of Steroid Biochemistry. 7, 357-368.

Peters, T. G. and J. D. Lewis. 1976. Treatment of breast cancer with danazol. Surgical Forum 27, 97-98.

Potts, G. O., A. L. Beyler and H. P. Shane. 1974. Pituitary gonadotropin inhibitory activity of danazol. Fertility and Sterility. 25, 367-372.

Terenius, L., H. Johansson, A. Rimsten and L. Thoren. 1974. Malignant and benign human mammary disease: estrogen binding in relation to clinical data. Cancer. 33, 1364-1368.

Umbreit, W. W., R. H. Burris and J. F. Stauffer. 1964. Manometric Techniques. Burgess Publishing Co. Minneapolis, Minnesota. 4th Ed., 132.

Veronesi, U. and G. Pizzacaro. 1968. Breast cancer in women subsequent to cystic disease of the breast. Surgery, Gynecology and Obstetrics. 126, 529-532.

Williams, D. and J. Gorski. 1974. Equilibrium binding of estradiol by uterine cell suspensions and whole uteri in vitro. Biochemistry. 13, 5537-5542.

Witliff, J. L. 1977. Separation of specific estrogenbinding proteins in human breast carcinoma by various methods of density gradient centrifugation. Applications Data. July.

Wood, G. P., C. H. Wu, G. H. Flickinger and G. Mikhail. 1975. Hormonal changes associated with danazol therapy. Obstetrics and Gynecology. 45, 302-304.