University of Dayton

eCommons

Biology Faculty Publications

Department of Biology

5-24-2019

Hippo Signaling in Cancer: Lessons from Drosophila Models

Kirti Snigdha

Karishma Sanjay Gangwani

Gauri Vijay Lapalikar

Amit Singh (0000-0002-2962-2255)

Madhuri Kango-Singh

Follow this and additional works at: https://ecommons.udayton.edu/bio_fac_pub

Part of the Biology Commons, Biotechnology Commons, Cell Biology Commons, Genetics Commons, Microbiology Commons, and the Molecular Genetics Commons





Hippo Signaling in Cancer: Lessons From *Drosophila* Models

Kirti Snigdha¹, Karishma Sanjay Gangwani¹, Gauri Vijay Lapalikar², Amit Singh^{1,3,4,5} and Madhuri Kango-Singh^{1,3,4,5*}

¹ Department of Biology, University of Dayton, Dayton, OH, United States, ² Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX, United States, ³ Pre-Medical Programs, University of Dayton, Dayton, OH, United States, ⁴ Center for Tissue Regeneration and Engineering at Dayton, University of Dayton, Dayton, OH, United States, ⁵ Integrated Science and Engineering Center, University of Dayton, Dayton, OH, United States

Hippo pathway was initially identified through genetic screens for genes regulating organ size in fruitflies. Recent studies have highlighted the role of Hippo signaling as a key regulator of homeostasis, and in tumorigenesis. Hippo pathway is comprised of genes that act as tumor suppressor genes like hippo (hpo) and warts (wts), and oncogenes like vorkie (vki). YAP and TAZ are two related mammalian homologs of Drosophila Yki that act as effectors of the Hippo pathway. Hippo signaling deficiency can cause YAP- or TAZ-dependent oncogene addiction for cancer cells. YAP and TAZ are often activated in human malignant cancers. These transcriptional regulators may initiate tumorigenic changes in solid tumors by inducing cancer stem cells and proliferation, culminating in metastasis and chemo-resistance. Given the complex mechanisms (e.g., of the cancer microenvironment, and the extrinsic and intrinsic cues) that overpower YAP/TAZ inhibition, the molecular roles of the Hippo pathway in tumor growth and progression remain poorly defined. Here we review recent findings from studies in whole animal model organism like Drosophila on the role of Hippo signaling regarding its connection to inflammation, tumor microenvironment, and other oncogenic signaling in cancer growth and progression.

Keywords: Drosophila, hippo pathway, cell proliferation, cell death, cell-polarity, cancer

INTRODUCTION

Cancer is a complex genetic disease where cells divide uncontrollably and infiltrate normal cells causing debilitating effects often leading to death (Balmain and Akhurst, 2004). Cancer cells activate mechanisms that remove the normal checks on growth and promote tumor growth and survival (Hanahan and Weinberg, 2000, 2011). The current standard of care is surgery, often followed by radiation- or chemo-therapy for treating cancer. However, cancer cells show remarkable abilities to evade immune-surveillance mechanisms and are often resistant to these therapies (Berns and Bernards, 2012). A key question is what are the key cellular events that occur in early stages of cancer? Further, what are the environmental or internal cues that trigger these changes? Although these questions remain unresolved, the vast body of work has revealed the role of cellular signals induced by oncogenic pathways in cancer growth and progression (Zhao et al., 2011). In addition, the focus of such studies is to develop targeted therapies that are more effective and benefit the patients. Specifically, oncogene activation induces signaling outputs that are unique, and cause activation of effectors that promote uncontrolled proliferation of cancer cells (Ohsawa et al., 2014;

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

SrinivasVinod Saladi, Harvard Medical School, United States

Reviewed by:

Sirisha M. Cheedipudi, University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston, United States Rizaldy Paz Scott, Northwestern University, United States

*Correspondence:

Madhuri Kango-Singh mkangosingh1@udayton.edu; kangosmz@notes.udayton.edu

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Signaling, a section of the journal Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology

Received: 04 March 2019 Accepted: 03 May 2019 Published: 24 May 2019

Citation:

Snigdha K, Gangwani KS, Lapalikar GV, Singh A and Kango-Singh M (2019) Hippo Signaling in Cancer: Lessons From Drosophila Models. Front. Cell Dev. Biol. 7:85. doi: 10.3389/fcell.2019.00085 Enomoto et al., 2015b). One such key effector is the YAP/Yki transcriptional co-activator that acts downstream of the Hippo pathway (Zhao et al., 2008a; Kango-Singh and Singh, 2009; Choi, 2018; Kim and Jho, 2018). In this review, we focus on the insights provided by studies in the *Drosophila* model system, where the pathway was initially identified, on the role of Hippo/Yki signaling in cancer.

HIPPO SIGNALING IN Drosophila AND MAMMALS

The regulation of growth through signaling pathways plays a critical role in maintaining tissue homeostasis through the regulation of key cellular processes like cell proliferation and cell death. Signaling pathways comprise of cascade of regulatory proteins that respond to stimulators like growth factors, and influence changes in gene expression that control differentiation, cell migration, cell-cell interaction, immunity, polarity, and metabolism (Davis, 2000; Chen and Wang, 2002; Halder and Johnson, 2011; Bejsovec, 2013; Kim and Jho, 2014, 2018). Disruption of signaling pathways causes an imbalance in the regulation of such mechanisms and leads to diseases such as neuro/muscular degeneration, cancer, diabetes, etc. The Hippo Pathway is a prime example of an important growth regulatory pathway that coordinately controls cell proliferation and survival to regulate organ size (Kango-Singh and Singh, 2009; Kim and Jho, 2018).

The Hippo pathway is named after the "big-headed" phenotype [reminiscent of Hippos] of mutants isolated from genetic screens in flies (Figures 1A,A'). Once characterized, these mutations were found to belong to three key genes, warts (wts a.k.a. large tumor suppressors, lats), salvador (sav a.k.a. sharpei, shrp) and hippo (hpo, a.k.a. Drosophila mammalian Ste-20 kinase, dMst) (Justice et al., 1995; Xu et al., 1995; Kango-Singh et al., 2002; Tapon et al., 2002; Harvey et al., 2003; Jia et al., 2003; Pantalacci et al., 2003; Udan et al., 2003; Wu et al., 2003). Hpo coded for a Serine Threonine kinase and was orthologous to the Ste-20 kinases that were previously found to play an important role in pheromone sensing and mating in yeast (S. cerevisiae) (Creasy and Chernoff, 1995; Dan et al., 2001). Studies further showed that the Hippo kinase functioned with Lats (or Wts) another previously discovered Serine-Threonine kinase in flies which is a Nuclear Dbf2-related (NDR) family kinase (Justice et al., 1995; Xu et al., 1995). Hpo and Wts were also shown to interact with Sav, a WW-domain containing adaptor protein (Kango-Singh et al., 2002; Tapon et al., 2002). Interestingly, loss of sav, hpo, or wts in somatic clones caused tissue overgrowths (Figures 1A,A') and extra interommatidial cells in the pupal retina. The characterization of these phenotypic defects showed that these genes possess the rare ability to promote proliferation and suppress apoptosis simultaneously (Edgar, 2006). These early discoveries lead to the birth of the Hippo pathway in 2003 as a nascent network that is capable of simultaneously regulating two key processes - cell proliferation and apoptosis, and plays important role in maintaining homeostasis. The Hippo pathway gained tremendous attention when Yorkie (Yki, Drosophila

homolog of mammalian YAP/TAZ) was identified in a yeast two-hybrid screen for Wts binding protein (Huang et al., 2005). YAP (Yes-Associated Protein) was identified in vertebrates much before Yki was identified in the fruitfly (Sudol, 1994). With the identification of these key components (**Figures 1B, 2**), it was soon clear that the Hippo pathway is conserved in mammals, plays a key role in development, and is misregulated in many disease conditions.

YAP was initially discovered via its ability to associate with the Src family member Yes, while its paralog transcriptional coactivator with PDZ-binding motif (TAZ a.k.a. WW domaincontaining transcription regulator 1, WWTR1) as a novel 14-3-3 binding protein (Sudol, 1994; Kanai et al., 2000), and many seemingly unconnected properties of YAP/TAZ were reported (Figure 2). For example, studies showed that WW domain of YAP can bind directly to proline-rich PPXY motifs in proteins named WBP-1 and WBP-2, and this was the very first molecular function ascribed to YAP (Chen and Sudol, 1995; Sudol et al., 1995; Pirozzi et al., 1997; Wang et al., 2009; Sudol, 2010). Other subsequent studies showed that YAP and TAZ have transcriptional co-activator activity via association with nuclear DNA binding transcription factors like TEAD p73, p53BP-2, SMAD7, ERBB4, PEBP2a, and RUNX2 (Strano et al., 2001; Vassilev et al., 2001; Hong and Guan, 2012; Misra and Irvine, 2018). Yet another report showed that majority of the YAP/TAZ proteins were sequestered in the cytoplasm in complexes with 14-3-3 proteins that directed them for proteasomal degradation (Ma et al., 2018). Nonetheless, YAP/TAZ functions were not properly understood until the paramount discovery of Yki and its different regulatory mechanisms, which had a great impact on the field of Hippo signaling.

Yki overexpression caused overgrowth phenotypes similar to sav, hpo, and wts loss of function suggesting that Yki is an oncogene. Biochemical and genetic studies in Drosophila revealed that Yki is required for normal tissue growth and its activity is inhibited by Wts-mediated phosphorylation (Wu et al., 2003; Dong et al., 2007; Zhao et al., 2008b). Furthermore, the overgrowth phenotypes associated with inactivation of tumor suppressor genes (sav, hpo, or wts) were diminished by loss of yki, suggesting that Yki is key effector of the Hippo pathway (Wu et al., 2003; Dong et al., 2007; Zhao et al., 2008b). The Drosophila Yki and its mammalian counterpart YAP are WW-domain proteins that share 45% sequence similarity (Wang et al., 2009; Sudol, 2010; Chan et al., 2011; Salah and Ageilan, 2011), and are regulated by phosphate dependent and independent mechanisms (Oh and Irvine, 2009; Oh et al., 2009; Meng et al., 2016).

Regulation of Yki/YAP by Phosphorylation

Yki/YAP are regulated by the action of the kinase cascade comprised of the Hpo and Wts/Lats kinases (**Figures 1B, 2**), and their cognate adaptor proteins Sav and Mob as a Tumor Suppressor (Mats) (Oh and Irvine, 2009; Chen et al., 2019). The upstream kinase Hpo phosphorylates Sav, and the activated Hpo-Sav complex in turn phosphorylates and activates Mats and the



FIGURE 1 The *Drosophila* Hippo pathway Network. **(A,A')** Compared to Wild type **(A)**, loss of function of Hippo pathway genes *wts*^{X1} (*eyFLP; FRT82B cl w+/FRT82B wts*^{X1}) causes significant overgrowth of the *Drosophila* head. **(A,B)** Schematic diagram of the Hippo pathway in *Drosophila*. Cells are shown with Sub-apical region and basolateral junctions. Hippo pathway components in *Drosophila* are shown in different colors, with pointed and blunt arrowheads indicating activating and inhibitory interactions, respectively. Continuous lines indicate known interactions, whereas dashed lines indicate unknown mechanisms. See text for further details. Crb, Crumbs; Dco, Disks overgrown; Dlg, Disks large; Ds, Dachsous; Ex, Expanded; Hth, Homothorax; Jub, Ajuba; Lgl, Lethal giant larvae; Mer, Merlin; Mats, Mob as a tumor suppressor; Rassf, Ras-associated factor; Sav, Salvador; Scrib, Scribble; Sd, Scalloped; TEA domain protein; Tsh, Teashirt; Yki, Yorkie; hpo, Hippo; wts, warts; aPKC, atypical protein kinase C; Wbp2, WW domain binding protein 2; Mop, Myopic; Zyx, Zyxin; STRIPAK, striatin-interacting phosphatase and kinase; Mad, Mothers against Decapentaplegic; Tgi, Tondu-domain containing growth inhibitor; Ft, Fat; Lft, Lowfat.



FIGURE 2 Domain structure of Hippo pathway proteins. The domain structure of Hippo pathway components is shown along with the length of each protein (indicated in parentheses). FERM, Kinase, WW, TEAD, PPXY. PPAY, SARAH, and C2-domains are the prominent domains through which major protein-protein interactions occur in the Hippo pathway.

downstream kinase Wts (Udan et al., 2003; Wu et al., 2003; Huang et al., 2005; Lai et al., 2005; Dong et al., 2007; Wei et al., 2007; Zhao et al., 2007; Oka et al., 2008; Avruch et al., 2012). The activated Wts-Mats complex phosphorylates Yki that controls its activity and nuclear availability (Figures 1B, 2) (Edgar, 2006; Wei et al., 2007; Praskova et al., 2008). In Drosophila, Wts regulates Yki by phosphorylating it at site Ser168 (Dong et al., 2007; Hao et al., 2008; Oh and Irvine, 2009). This phosphorylation leads to binding of the 14-3-3 proteins which decreases the nuclear localization of Yki (Oh and Irvine, 2008; Zhang J. et al., 2008; Zhao et al., 2008b; Ren et al., 2010). Growth inhibitory proteins like dRASSF1 (Ras-associated domain family) were identified as interactors of Hpo/MST kinases and Sav/hWW45. RASSF1 competes with Sav for its binding to Hpo through its SARAH domain, and impacts Hpo activity (Colombani et al., 2006; Polesello et al., 2006; Donninger et al., 2011). Wts activity is negatively impacted by the actions of the LIM domain containing proteins Ajuba (Jub), Daschous (Dachs) and Zyxin (Zyx), which affect Wts function by relocalization of Wts to the junctions, or by downregulation of Wts or Expanded levels (Das Thakur et al., 2010; Rauskolb et al., 2011; Enomoto et al., 2015a; Gaspar et al., 2015). Similarly, in mammals (Table 1) activation of Hippo or mammalian MST1/2 kinase is dependent on phosphorylation by the TAO family kinases (TAO1-3) at Thr180/183, respectively (Boggiano et al., 2011; Poon et al., 2011). This phosphorylation event is not only essential for increased catalytic activity but also in the case of MST1/2, in the formation of complexes with the adaptor protein WW-domain containing 1 (SAV1), and Mps One

TABLE 1	Drosophila ar	nd Mammalian	Hippo	pathway	components
IADEE I	Di 030pi illa al		1 lippo	paurivay	componento.

Drosophila	Mammals	Conserved domains		
Hippo	MST1/2	Ste20 Ser/Thr kinase and SARAH domains		
Warts	LATS1/2	NDR Ser/Thr kinase domain		
Salvador	WW45/SAV1/WWP4	WW and SARAH		
Mats	MOBKL1 A and B	Cys ₂ -His ₂ zinc-binding site/Mob1/phocein domain		
Yorkie	YAP/TAZ	WW/PDZ and TEAD binding domain		
Scalloped	TEAD 1-4 transcription factors	TEA/ATTS and Yki/YAP-binding domains		
Merlin	NF2	FERM domain		
Kibra	WWC1/WWC2	WW and C2 domains		
Expanded	FRMD6 or Willin (human expanded)	FERM domain		
Tao-1	TAO 1-3	Protein kinase domain		
Crumbs	CRB 1-3	EGF like and Laminin G domains, PDZ and FERM binding motifs		
Lethal giant larvae	Lethal Giant Larvae 1-2	LGL2 domain		
aPKC	αΡΚΟλ, αΡΚΟς	PKC kinase, PB1 and C1 domains		
Мор	His domain phosphotyrosine phosphatase (HDPTP)	PTP motifs		
dJub	Ajuba, LIM proteins/LIMD1/WTIP	LIM domains		
dZyx or Zyx102	Zyxin	C-terminal LIM domains		
Fat	Fat 1-4/Fat-j	EGF-like, Laminin G and Cadherin repeat domains		
Daschsous	Dchs 1/2	Cadherin repeat domain		
Disk overgrown	CK1δ, CK1ε	Ser/Thr kinase domain		
Low fat	Lix1, Lix1L	Unknown		
Scribble	Scribble	PDZ domains		
Disks large	Disks Large	L27/PDZ/SH3 domains		
dMnt	Mad	Basic helix-loop-helix-zipper, Sin-interacting domain		
Homothorax	MEIS1	HM (Homothorax-Meis) domain and homeodomain		
Tea-shirt	TSH3	Zinc finger domain		
Pat J	PAT J	Common L27 domain		
Par 1/6	PAR1/6	PDZ domains		
dRASSF	RASSF1-6	Ras association and SARAH domains		
Stardust	PALS-1/Mpp5	L27/PDZ/SH3 domain and guanylate kinase like domain		
Tgi	VGLL4	TDU domains		
dSTRIPAK PP2A	STRIPAK PP2A	PP2A Ser/Thr phosphatase complex		

Binder kinase activator-like 1A and 1B (MOB1A/B or collectively, MOB1) (Hong and Guan, 2012; Liu et al., 2012). The MST/Sav complex phosphorylates large tumor suppressor 1/2 (LATS1/2). LATS1/2, in turn, binds MOB1/2 and phosphorylates YAP as well as its paralog, TAZ. Phosphorylated forms of Yki/YAP/TAZ associate with 14-3-3 and are sequestered in the cytoplasm. This prevents them from entering the nucleus and interacting with transcription factors (i.e., TEAD family members and others) and regulating downstream gene targets (Meng et al., 2016; Kim and Jho, 2018; Misra and Irvine, 2018; Plouffe et al., 2018). The Drosophila Hippo pathway components and their mammalian counterparts with respective conserved domains are summarized in Table 1 (Roh et al., 2002; Wu et al., 2003; Albertson et al., 2004; Katoh and Katoh, 2004; Praskova et al., 2004; Wang et al., 2004; Loo et al., 2005; Callus et al., 2006; Gallagher and Knoblich, 2006; Willecke et al., 2006; Hao et al., 2008; Mao et al., 2009; Richter et al., 2009; Hyman-Walsh et al., 2010; Yu et al., 2010; Boggiano et al., 2011; Hergovich, 2011; Guo et al., 2013; Jansen et al., 2015; Schimizzi and Longmore, 2015; Sen et al., 2015; Jagannathan et al., 2016; Koch et al., 2016; Simon et al., 2017; Ghosh et al., 2018).

Recent studies have discovered multiple phosphorylation sites on Yki/YAP. Whilst Ser168/Ser127 sites are the most important for Yki/Yap regulation, other crucial Wts/Lats phosphorylation sites exist. Ser111 and Ser250 are involved in Yki regulation. Mutations in these sites has shown to reduce phosphorylation of Ser168, thus affecting the regulation of Yki (Oh and Irvine, 2008, 2009; Ren et al., 2010). Studies have also found four additional YAP phosphorylation sites that might influence YAP activity (Ser61, Ser109, Ser164, and Ser381) (Zhao et al., 2007, 2008b; Hao et al., 2008). Though mutation of all five sites in the YAP protein shows stronger YAP activation, Ser381 is a key amino acid that plays a critical role in YAP activation (Zhao et al., 2007, 2010).

Interestingly, recently additional phosphorylation sites were discovered in Yki/YAP. These include two sites in Yki (Ser169 and Ser172) and five additional sites in Yap (Thr63, Ser138, Ser281, Ser351, and Ser384). In YAP, Ser384 is phosphorylated by Casein Kinase I (CK I) which recruits ubiquitin ligase to negatively regulate YAP via the phosphodegron DSGXS (Zhao et al., 2007, 2010; Feng and Irvine, 2009; Sopko et al., 2009). Although a similar phosphodegron is not conserved in Yki, Disks overgrown (Dco, *Drosphila* CK18/ε ortholog) inhibits Yki that supports an evolutionarily conserved Yki/YAP-CKI regulatory axis, although different mechanisms may be employed between Drosophila and mammals. Besides CK I, a nuclear kinase called PRP4K negatively regulates Yki/YAP by phosphorylation of Yki/YAP in the nucleus to prevent its nuclear accumulation (Cho et al., 2018). This phosphorylation occurs on subset of Wts/LATS phosphorylation sites, inhibits binding of Yki/YAP to Sd/TEAD and exports Yki back to the cytoplasm. Yki was recently shown to shuttle between the cytoplasm and nucleus in response to upstream stimuli. Using live multiphoton microscopy to assess Yki localization, it was recently reported that Yki rapidly shuttles between the cytoplasm and nucleus in epithelial organs. In wts mutant cells, the downregulation of Hippo signaling affects the rate of nuclear import of Yki. Yki localization is also linked to the cell cycle where Yki remains cytoplasmic during interphase but during mitosis Yki is nuclear and chromatin enriched in Sd-dependent manner (Manning et al., 2018). Together, these interactions control aberrant gene regulation by prolonged accumulation of Yki in the nucleus, and may constitute a fail-safe mechanism for restricting Yki/YAP activity. Yet another regulatory mechanism causes YAP to switch from an oncogene to a tumor suppressor through phosphorylation by the non-receptor tyrosine kinase Abelson murine leukemia viral oncogene (c-Abl) under DNA damage condition. This leads to the association of YAP with the transcription factor p73. P73 is a paralog of p53 involved in DNA damage response. Phosphorylation of YAP by c-Abl reduces its ability to bind TEAD but promotes binding to p73 and promotes activation of pro-apoptotic genes (Gong et al., 1999; Tsai and Yuan, 2003; Levy et al., 2008; Keshet et al., 2015). However, phosphorylation of Yki is not an absolute determinant of its localization or stability, as phosphorylation independent regulation of Yki is also reported.

Phosphorylation Independent Regulation of Yki/YAP

These interactions occur via the association of the WW-domains and the PPxY motifs found in several components of the Hippo signaling pathway (Sudol and Harvey, 2010; Salah and Aqeilan, 2011). These interactions occur at several points within the signaling cascade (Figures 1B, 2). Within the kinase cascade, both Hpo [Mst1/2] and Wts [Lats1/2] contain PPxY motif whereas Sav/hWW45 is a WW-domain containing protein. In terms of the pathways effectors, Yki/YAP [TAZ] are WW-domain containing proteins, and WW domains are important for the transcriptional coactivation function of Yki/YAP. Amongst the upstream regulators, Kibra and Itch are WW-domain containing proteins, whereas the PPxY motif is found in flies and mammalian forms of Expanded, Myopic, Dachsous, Fat, Crumbs, and WBP2. Other PPxY containing proteins that interact to regulate the Hippo pathway include Angiomotin, Angiomotin-Like, p73, ASPP1/2, ERB-B4, SMAD1, RUNX, and DVL2 (Salah and Aqeilan, 2011). The WW-domain of YAP also interacts with the PPPY motif of the p73 (Strano et al., 2005). In Drosophila, direct interaction of Yki via its WW-domains with the PPxY motif of Ex, Wts and Hpo regulate pathway activity by sequestering Yki in protein complexes by the apical membrane (Badouel and McNeill, 2009; Badouel et al., 2009; Oh et al., 2009). In phosphorylationindependent regulation of Yki, overexpression of PPxY motif of Ex and Wts suppressed Yki mediated transcriptional activation regardless of mutation of the Wts phosphorylation site on Yki (Oh and Irvine, 2009; Oh et al., 2009; Ren et al., 2010). Wpb2 and Myopic (Mop) are two other proteins that contain the PPxY motif which interact with the WW-domains on Yki and aid in regulation of the Hippo pathway (Gilbert et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2011). Mop, when overexpressed, regulates Yki activity by tethering it to the cytoplasm. Thus, the WW-domain-PPxY motif interactions are used frequently by the constituents of the Hippo pathway, thus playing an important role in its regulation. The unusually high number of WW- and PPxY-motif containing proteins in the Hippo pathway (Figure 2) suggests a modular and iterative mechanism of regulation of pathway activity (Salah and Aqeilan, 2011).

Yki/YAP/TAZ Function With DNA-Binding Proteins

Yki/YAP/TAZ are transcriptional co-activator proteins without their own DNA binding domain. Thus, Yki/YAP/TAZ works in conjunction with other DNA-binding transcription factors (Figure 1B). Multiple lines of evidence revealed that Scalloped (Sd)/TEAD, is the major binding partner of Yki/YAP/TAZ in regulating gene expression and tissue growth (Goulev et al., 2008; Wu et al., 2008; Zhang L. et al., 2008). A few other DNA partners have been discovered for Yki/YAP/TAZ. For example, in Drosophila Yki can bind Homothorax (Hth) which works in a complex with two other DNA-binding proteins called the Extradenticle and Teashirt (Alarcon et al., 2009; Peng et al., 2009; Oh and Irvine, 2011; Rauskolb et al., 2011). These interactions regulate transcription of target genes that regulate cell cycle progression (e.g., cyclin E, A, B, and D), cell survival [Drosophila inhibitor of apoptosis protein 1 (Diap1, Survivin, Xiap1)], and cytoskeletal architecture (F-actin, Merlin, Expanded). Yki/YAP/TAZ can interact with other TGFβ signaling pathway DNA binding factors like Mothers against Dpp (Mad) in Drosophila, or SMAD1-4 and 7 in mammals (Padgett et al., 1998; Ferrigno et al., 2002; Varelas et al., 2008; Alarcon et al., 2009). The interaction of these binding factors with Yki/YAP/TAZ influences cell proliferation, development, and homeostasis.

Sd/Yki Dependent Events

Normally the Yki/Sd complexes induce expression of target genes (ex, diap1, etc.), however, the *Drosophila* E2F1 impedes the binding of Yki to Sd, which results in release of Yki from Sd and suppression of target gene expression (Zhang et al., 2017). These studies provided new insights on how Yki/Sd dependent functions can be impacted by the action of other pathways like Rb/E2F that influence formation of the Yki/Sd complexes (Zhang et al., 2017). The emergence of these non-canonical inputs into Hippo signaling along with the complexities of transcriptional regulation of Yki target genes by more than one pathway (e.g., regulation of diap1 by JNK, Yki, and JAK-STAT pathways) lead to the development of genetic strategies/tools that will allow confirmation of Yki/Sd dependent events using epistasis based approaches (Yu and Pan, 2018).

Hippo Pathway in Mechanotransduction

The Hippo pathway plays a role in mechanotransduction, as Yki activity is affected in response to the mechanical stretch forces in both mammals and flies (Fletcher et al., 2018). In mammals, mechanical forces acting via Integrin adhesion and actin cytoskeleton can induce YAP/TAZ nuclear translocation and activation of target genes leading to cell proliferation. Although Integrins do not seem to be involved in mechanotransduction and Yki mediated induction of cell proliferation in flies, other mechanisms that respond to biomechanical stretch forces have been identified. For example, the tension-dependent recruitment of Ajuba family proteins by a-catenin to the adherens junctions affect Yki activity by inactivation of the Wts kinase. Thus, a-catenin acts as a mechanotransducer that affects regulation of Yki activity (Alegot et al., 2019). Mechanical forces can also activate Yki in response to stretching of the apical domain which affects concentration of Crumbs, Expanded, Merlin and Kibra, and reduced the apical Hippo kinase dimerization (Fletcher et al., 2018). Recently, transcription independent function of Yki was shown where Yki accumulation at the cell cortex in the apical junctional regions promotes activation of myosin through Stretchin-Mlck, a myosin regulatory light chain kinase (Xu et al., 2018).

UPSTREAM REGULATORS OF HIPPO PATHWAY

Several other components that influence cell contact/junction, cell polarity, and stress induced response were identified as regulators of the Hippo pathway from the receptor to the nucleus in a cell intrinsic manner (**Figures 1B, 2**).

Cell Contact/Junction

These comprise the FERM- (4.1, Ezrin, Radixin, and Moesin) domain proteins Merlin (Mer) and Expanded (Ex), the WWand C2-domain containing protein Kibra that form a complex in the subapical region and respond to signals from the apical transmembrane protein Crumbs (Crb) (Figures 1B, 2) (Hamaratoglu et al., 2006). Loss of function of these genes caused overgrowth phenotypes in somatic clones, suggesting that these upstream components acted as activators of the Hippo pathway. Mer and Ex associate with each other, and are partially redundant genes. Simultaneous loss of mer and ex show defects that resemble effects of loss of wts or hpo, or overexpression of Yki; and activate Yki and its transcriptional targets (Hamaratoglu et al., 2006, 2009; Chen et al., 2010). Overexpression of mammalian Merlin homolog, Neurofibromatosis Type 2 (NF2) can activate Lats and cause inhibition of YAP activity (Zhao et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2009). A third component called Kibra, associates with Mer and Ex, and co-localizes to form a complex that aids in the phosphorylation of Wts and Hpo (Baumgartner et al., 2010; Genevet et al., 2010; Yu et al., 2010). These three members form protein complexes that influence pathway activity. For example, Mer and Kibra can bind to Sav, whilst Ex binds to Hpo, and Kibra binds to Wts (Baumgartner et al., 2010; Genevet et al., 2010; Yu et al., 2010). In addition to binding to the Hippo kinase cascade, Kibra can also bind to Yki, thus keeping Yki in the cytoplasm (Badouel and McNeill, 2009; Badouel et al., 2009; Oh et al., 2009). Drosophila Crumbs (Crb), a cell surface regulator for the Hippo pathway, has an intracellular FERM-binding domain that binds to Ex and controls its stability and localization, thus impacting its activity on Hpo kinases and Yki (Chen et al., 2010; Grzeschik et al., 2010; Ling et al., 2010; Robinson et al., 2010; Varelas et al., 2010). A second group of cell-junction related upstream regulators of the Hippo pathway is comprised of the proto-cadherin Fat (Ft) and its interacting components like Dco and Lowfat that negatively regulate the atypical Myosin Dachs and Zyxin to negatively regulate Wts (Bennett and Harvey, 2006; Cho et al., 2006; Silva et al., 2006; Willecke et al., 2006; Baumgartner et al., 2010; Chen et al., 2010; Rauskolb et al., 2011; Matakatsu and Blair, 2012; Verghese et al., 2012a; Choi, 2018). This non-canonical branch of the Hippo pathway ultimately influences the regulation of Yki by the Wts kinase (Cho et al., 2006; Choi, 2018). Together, these components provided the framework for signal transduction from the membrane to the kinase cascade within the cell, a key intracellular signal relay mechanism in Hippo pathway.

Cell Polarity

Cell polarity regulating complexes have emerged as major upstream interactors of Hippo pathway that control growth by regulating Yki activity (Figure 1B) (Verghese et al., 2012a). Overexpression of Atypical Protein Kinase C (aPKC), which belongs to the Par apical complex, can induce Yki activity and tissue proliferation (Grzeschik et al., 2010; Parsons et al., 2010; Sun and Irvine, 2011). One mechanism is the activation of Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK) which in conjunction with aPKC regulates Yki activity in a context dependent manner (Karpowicz et al., 2010; Staley and Irvine, 2010; Sun and Irvine, 2011). Another complex known as the Scribble (Scrib) complex is antagonistic to the Par apical complex (Leong et al., 2009; Skouloudaki et al., 2009; Verghese et al., 2012a). Reduction in scrib leads to loss of polarity and cell adhesion, and causes tumor growth in part due to activation of Yki (Verghese et al., 2012a; Waghmare and Kango-Singh, 2016). Although some gaps remain in how exactly each of these inputs feeds into the core kinase cascade (Figure 1B), the identification of these interactions lead to recognition of the Hippo network and how different inputs are integrated into the Hippo pathway.

Hippo Pathway and Apoptosis

Hippo overexpression was shown to induce apoptosis by activation of the proapoptotic gene *head involution defective (hid)* (Udan et al., 2003). Work from our lab showed that Hpo gain of function induces Dronc (*Drosophila* Caspase-9 homolog) expression and downregulation of *dronc* can inactivate Hpo-mediated apoptosis (Verghese et al., 2012b). Key regulators of apoptosis include p53 (*Dmp53* in *Drosophila melanogaster*) and its homologs P63 and P73 that comprise members of the p53 family of tumor suppressor genes. In *Drosophila*, p53 is activated in response to DNA damage or other stress, and induces transcription of proapoptotic genes ultimately causing cell death. Hippo pathway is activated in response to stress induced by ionizing radiation in a Dmp53 dependent manner (Colombani et al., 2006). Further, IR mediated cell death is reduced in cells mutant for hpo, wts, sav, or Dmp53. Furthermore, pro-apoptotic gene reaper (rpr) is regulated by Yki and *dmp53*; and is shown to trigger apoptosis via activation of the Hippo pathway (Shlevkov and Morata, 2012). Together these studies showed that Hpo activation is required for cell death in response to IR or ectopic expression of Dmp53. In other studies, LATS mediated phosphorylation of ASPP1 was shown to cause association of ASPP1 and p53, and promote expression of pro-apoptotic genes like reaper, hid, grim, and sickle (Zhang and Cohen, 2013). Another mechanism by which Hippo pathway affects apoptosis is via miRNA mediated translational control of apoptosis causing genes. For instance, Yki regulates miR2 family miRNAs to regulate the translation of reaper (Thermann and Hentze, 2007), or the bantam miRNA to control translation of hid (Brennecke et al., 2003; Nolo et al., 2006; Thompson and Cohen, 2006). Anoikis is a special type of cell death induced due to detachment of cells from the extracellular matrix (ECM). Resistance to anoikis is a hallmark of cancer. Cell detachment activates the Hippo pathway kinases Lats1/2 and leads to YAP phosphorylation and inhibition. In contrast, in cancer cells with deregulated Hippo pathway, anoikis is restored by knockdown of YAP/TAZ (Zhao et al., 2012). Overall, the Hippo pathway plays a role in regulating developmental apoptosis, anoikis, and other cellular interactions in response to stress (e.g., cell competition) that ultimately trigger cell death.

HIPPO PATHWAY AND Drosophila CANCER MODELS

Drosophila with its rich repository of mutant genes has played a central role in identifying genes underlying cancer, e.g., lethal(2) giant larva (lgl), and disks-large (dlg) represent the earliest polarity mutants showed dramatic effects on growth, invasion and malignant metastasis (Gateff and Schneiderman, 1969; Bryant and Schubiger, 1971; Gateff, 1978). Since then, a large array of oncogenes and tumor suppressor genes have been identified in Drosophila. In addition, the powerful genetic toolkit in **Drosophila** has played a central role in developing techniques that allow generation of clonal patches of tumors by loss- or gainof-function of tumor suppressor genes or oncogenes, or using the Mosaic Analysis with Repressible Cell Marker (MARCM) technique which allows for expression of genes in somatic clones marked positively by reporter genes like GFP (Lee and Luo, 2001). The MARCM technique allows for simultaneous manipulation of two or more genes to generate complex genotypes. For example, mosaic clones can be induced for loss of function of a tumor suppressor genes and in the same cells an oncogene or cell cycle regulator can be overexpressed (Waghmare and Kango-Singh, 2016). These clonal tumors in developing *Drosophila* tissues like imaginal disks, gut, muscles, blood cells, ovaries, or CNS can be studied for changes in cell-cell interactions, signaling and gene expression, and the identification of inhibitory drugs (Figure 3).

ONCOGENIC COOPERATION-MODELS FOR CELL-CELL INTERACTIONS, TUMOR SIGNALING, AND ALTERED GENE EXPRESSION

The first mosaic cancer models in Drosophila were reported when activation of oncogenic Ras or Notch was combined with loss of polarity mutants in mosaic clones in imaginal disks (Brumby and Richardson, 2003). These Ras^{V12} scrib- or N^{Act} scrib- MARCM clones showed remarkable properties like increased proliferation, reduced apoptosis, and key changes linked to tumor invasion and metastasis. Using similar approaches, a genetic mosaic screen was conducted to identify metastasis causing genes in flies (Pagliarini and Xu, 2003). These studies identified second site mutations that cooperated with activated Ras to cause tumorigenesis. The development of these epithelial tumor models opened up the field for studying the step-wise progression of cancer especially the initial changes underlying the transformation of cells, and the resulting changes in cell behavior and gene expression. Over the last 15 years the oncogenic cooperation models ($Ras^{V12} \ scrib^{-}$) have resulted in an improved understanding of the addiction to Yki activation in cancer cells (Ma et al., 2018), the interaction of Yki with other signaling pathways (Zecca and Struhl, 2010; Sun and Irvine, 2013; Enomoto et al., 2015a; Piersma et al., 2015), and ectopic activation of network of transcription factors (Kulshammer et al., 2015; Atkins et al., 2016).

Yki Addiction in Cancer Cells

The epithelial tumor models of oncogenic cooperation which involved activation of oncogenes in polarity deficient cells (e.g., Ras^{V12} scrib⁻) result in increased Yki activity which is required for aggressive tumor growth (Brumby and Richardson, 2003; Uhlirova et al., 2005; Suijkerbuijk et al., 2016). Similarly, models of intestinal adenomas (APC^{-/-} cells) show increased Yki activity that promotes tissue growth (Hall et al., 2010; Chen L. et al., 2012; Chen C.L. et al., 2012; Suijkerbuijk et al., 2016). Drosophila intestinal stem cell tumors (ISC) induced by Notch suppression cause displacement of enterocytes from the epithelium and induce increased Yki activity which promotes tumor growth (Patel et al., 2015). Other cancer models in several Drosophila tissues or cell types also revealed a tendency to elevate Yki expression and activity. Examples of such models include intestinal malignancies (Chen L. et al., 2012; Meng et al., 2016), melanotic hematopoietic tumors caused by activation of Hopscotch (Hop) the Drosophila Janus Kinase (JAK) (Anderson et al., 2017), and ovarian cancer (Hall et al., 2010). Recently, Yki was shown to cooperate with microRNA mir8 and cause neoplastic tumors (Sander et al., 2018). In a separate study, cell competition, a key cell-cell interaction mechanism that compares relative fitness of cells was shown to act as a tumor suppressor mechanism that regulates Yki activity. Cells where relative Yki activity levels are low (e.g., scrib^{-/-} cells) are eliminated by competition mediated apoptosis, however, elevation of Yki activity is sufficient to induce neoplastic growth in such cells (e.g., Yki, scrib^{-/-} cells) (Chen C.L. et al., 2012). Using Drosophila cancer models the high nutritional demands of activated Ras/Src



cancer cells revealed interesting links between high sugar diet (obesity), cancer growth and downregulation of Hippo pathway (Hirabayashi et al., 2013; Hirabayashi and Cagan, 2015). This study revealed that Ras/Src transformed cells are sensitive to upstream Hippo signals, and that Yki dependent signaling through activation of the Salt Inducible Kinase (SIK) is a key feedforward mechanism for evasion of insulin resistance and tumor growth in diet-induced obesity and cancer.

A large body of work in mammalian models has provided evidences for YAP/TAZ addiction in cancer cells, and increased

YAP activity and expression is associated with advanced stages of cancer progression and poor prognosis. Several human cancer cells show increased nuclear translocation of the YAP protein indicating the suppression of the Hippo pathway. Similarly, in breast cancer patient samples, elevated expression of YAP/TAZ has been found and associated with the poor prognosis, stem cell and metastasis. Multiple Ankyrin repeats Single KH domain protein (Mask) was shown to be elevated in breast cancer samples, and MASK promoted Yki expression and is required for full activity of YAP/TEAD. Overexpression of YAP was sufficient to transform normal ovarian cancer cells and induce tumorigenesis in athymic nude mice (Li et al., 2017). These studies found that Hippo pathway and ERBB signaling pathway to drive the ovarian tumor initiation and progression. In patient samples and in preclinical Drosophila models, Arrestin-related domain-containing protein-3 (ARRDC3) was downregulated in the colorectal cancer specimens. ARRDC3 promoted YAP degradation, increased drug sensitivity of the tumor cells and was proposed as a potential drug target (Shen et al., 2018). In another study, loss of Mst1/2 or conditional overexpression of YAP in response to bile acid induced injury in liver cells was shown to cause Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) in mice models. However, recent studies also show that yap/taz mutant mice can also develop liver adenomas possibly by creating an environment that mimics chronic liver injury. Liver damage and associated conditions like liver fibrosis and cirrhosis is known to create tumor-promoting microenvironment formed by chronic inflammation, and leads to activation of Hippo/YAP and other signaling pathways, ultimately causing hepatocellular carcinoma (Piersma et al., 2015; Kodama et al., 2018; Lu et al., 2018). Evidence supports the role of Hippo signaling transcriptional co-activator TAZ in promoting Glioma, a primary brain tumor, through transition of glioma stem cells (GSCs) to the Mesenchymal type (MES) (Bhat et al., 2011; Waghmare et al., 2014). These transitions are marked by transition from proneural (PN) gene expression profile to mesenchymal (MES) a signature linked to glioma recurrence and therapy resistance. Recently, the glycoprotein CD109 was shown to associate with many cancers including GBM; and CD109 and YAP/TAZ are known to regulate some overlapping biological pathways in cancer. Using mammalian and Drosophila glioma models it was shown that CD109 (Tep 1 in Drosophila) regulates YAP/TAZ transcriptional activity via a conserved pathway. These studies propose that the conserved regulation of YAP/TAZ pathways by CD109 could be a therapeutic target in GBM (Minata et al., 2019). In summary, increased Yki/YAP activity resulting from feedback loops or overexpression of Yki/YAP is frequently associated with aggressive tumorigenesis. Further, both in flies and mammalian models, addiction to Yki is a key property of cancer cells.

INTERACTION OF Yki WITH OTHER SIGNALING PATHWAYS DURING TUMOR PROGRESSION

Drosophila cancer models revealed that a complex cross-talk between Hippo effector Yki and other signaling pathways is required for tumor growth and progression. In the oncogenic cooperation model where activated Ras oncogene is expressed in polarity deficient cells (Ras^{V12} ; $scrib^-$), the Ras-MAPK pathway is activated downstream of Ras (Doggett et al., 2011; Enomoto et al., 2015b). In addition, several signaling pathways are induced during tumorigenesis (Sanchez-Vega et al., 2018). Studies in the Ras^{V12} ; $scrib^-$ metastasis model have shown interaction with EGFR, JNK, Wingless, JAK-STAT, TNF, TGFb, microRNAs, GPCRs (G-proteins), which are briefly described in the sections below.

Hippo and EGFR-Ras-Raf-MAPK Pathway

Activated Ras (RasV12/ or other KRAS/BRAF mutations) is found in one-fifth of all cancers, and is associated with activation and amplification in EGFR and its downstream effectors. Studies in Drosophila and other model systems showed that hyperactivation of Ras causes only mild over-proliferation or hyperplasia. However, oncogenic Ras when co-occurring with other mutations like p53, loss of cell polarity proteins [scrib⁻ and dlg⁻], or components of the JNK and Hedgehog (Hh) signaling pathways caused aggressive metastatic tumors (Scheffler et al., 2018). Using Drosophila mosaic models, it was shown that Hippo switches Ras activation from promoting cellular differentiation to aggressive cellular proliferation by directly controlling two Ras pathway genes- the transcription factor Pointed (Pnt) and the repressor protein Capicua (Cic) (Pascual et al., 2017). Loss of function of Cic in flies and mammals (e.g., in Oligodendroglioma) phenocopies activation of Ras/MAPK pathway, and uncontrolled aggressive proliferation (Weissmann et al., 2018).

Hippo and JNK Pathway

The Jun N-terminal Kinase (JNK) is a MAPK pathway regulated by many cellular stressors like disruption of cell polarity, DNA damage caused by radiation or reactive oxygen species, and activation of apoptosis etc. JNK pathway elicits context dependent response that commonly toggles between proapoptotic or pro-proliferation signaling. For example, in the context of wound healing, increased JNK activity pushes cells into apoptosis, however, when apoptosis is blocked JNK activity switches to a pro-proliferation signal. Furthermore, JNK signaling is activated in metastatic tumors formed by polarity deficient cells (Igaki et al., 2006; Uhlirova and Bohmann, 2006). The earliest evidence for a connection between Hippo pathway and the Jun N-terminal Kinase (JNK) was noted when JNK was shown to mediate activation of Yki in polarity deficient neoplastic cells or when pro-apoptotic genes were expressed. This activation of Yki by JNK was thought to be a part of regenerative response to tissue damage (Karpowicz et al., 2010). In a separate study, Yki activation in endocytosisdefective cells is accompanied by activation of the JNK signaling pathway, a MAP kinase pathway that has been linked to Drosophila neoplasia and control of Yki activity (Robinson and Moberg, 2011). Overall, these data illustrate the paradoxical nature of JNK signaling and its many context-dependent interactions with Yki/YAP.

Hippo and Notch Pathway Crosstalk

The Hippo pathway interact with Notch in many cell types suggesting that the Notch/Yki cross talk has important regulatory roles in growth control, differentiation and in other contexts (Reddy et al., 2010; Graves et al., 2012; Ferguson and Martinez-Agosto, 2014). In the developing optic lobe of *Drosophila* larval brain, the neuroepithelial cells are sheets of epithelial neural progenitor cells that function as symmetrically dividing neural stem cells. Before converting to neuroblasts (neural

stem cells), the neuroepithelial cells undergo cell cycle arrest that is regulated by the Hippo pathway. Neuroepithelial cells mutant for pathway genes like wts or overexpression of activated Yki result in overproliferation of the neuroepithelial cells and delays differentiation. The progression of neuroepithelial cells to neuroblasts is regulated by Notch signaling, where high levels of the Notch ligand Delta inhibits Notch activation and promotes neuroblast fate. The Hippo pathway impairs Delta accumulation and thus affects differentiation of neuroblasts. These studies showed that cross talk between Yki/Sd and Notch pathway plays a key role in neuroepithelial growth and differentiation (Reddy et al., 2010). The Drosophila endocytic neoplastic tumor suppressor genes like Vps25 is part of the Endosomal Sorting Complex Required for Transport-II (ESCRT-II) complex. In the epithelial imaginal disk cells, loss of vps25 causes sorting defects and activation of Notch, JAK-STAT and JNK signaling pathways, however, mutant clones of vps25 are unable to survive and are eliminated (Thompson et al., 2005; Herz et al., 2006). Interestingly, before dying vps25 mutant clones induce Notch signaling which, in turn, non-cell autonomously induces Yki activation that results in tissue overgrowth (Graves et al., 2012). Notch and Yki/Sd interactions are also known for the specification of crystal cells during hematopoiesis (Ferguson and Martinez-Agosto, 2014). Yki and Sd regulate expression of Serrate, the ligand for Notch, which is responsible for triggering the differentiation program for crystal cells. These studies show a role of Yki/Sd in progenitor cell niches that are required for specifying cell fate (Ferguson and Martinez-Agosto, 2014).

Intersection of TOR and Hippo Pathway

Hippo and TOR pathways intersect in diverse contexts during development and in autophagy in Drosophila. Cell growth arrest and autophagy are important for metapmorphosis, and the autophagic cell death of the salivary glands is an important developmental process. Wts the terminal kinase in the Hippo pathway was shown to be required for salivary gland degradation. Further, Wts mediated salivary gland cell death was shown to be dependent on PI3K pathway (Dutta and Baehrecke, 2008). In a different study in human Hepatocellular carcinoma as well as in Drosophila imaginal disks, PI3K signaling was shown to affect Yki activity and vice-versa (Strassburger et al., 2012). The nutrient dependent systemic signaling through the TOR pathway is important for organ growth and in maintenance of adult homeostasis in flies and mammals (Wullschleger et al., 2006; Neto-Silva et al., 2009). Using Drosophila wing imaginal disks as a model for growth regulation, Parker and Struhl (2015) showed that TOR regulates Yki via an interesting mechanism referred to as the 'seclusion mechanism.' In this mechanism, inhibition of TOR leads to Yki accumulation in the nucleus, however, Yki does not regulate transcription as TOR inhibition impedes access to target genes of both Yki and Sd. Thus, TOR promotes wing growth in response to two parallel pathways, one nutrient availability, and second by controlling Yki activity (Parker and Struhl, 2015). Mammalian studies have also shown interactions between YAP and mTOR. Recently, it was shown YAP downregulates PTEN by inducing miR-29 to inhibit PTEN translation. PI(3)K-mTOR is a pathway modulated by YAP to regulate cell size, tissue growth and hyperplasia (Tumaneng et al., 2012).

Ectopic Activation of Transcription Factor Networks in Cancer Cells

The different models of oncogenic cooperation demonstrated the range of signaling interactions involved in the tumorigenic process. Genetic, biochemical, and high-throughput RNAseq approaches have revealed the spectacular diversity in altered cellular signaling often via interacting transcription factors. These aberrant interactions are central to promoting tumorigenesis. In the oncogenic cooperation model of epithelial Ras^{V12} scrib⁻ tumors, the interactions between JNK and JAK-STAT pathways was detected as activation of JNK caused induction of Unpaired 3 (Upd3, Drosophila IL-6 like cytokine) resulting in systemic induction of JAK-STAT signaling that promotes tumor growth (Brumby and Richardson, 2003; Igaki et al., 2006; Pastor-Pareja et al., 2008; Wu et al., 2010). Consistent with the idea of STAT playing a key role in tumorigenesis, the cooperation of oncogenic Ras with activated Stat92E (Ras^{V12}; Stat92E) was shown to be sufficient to cause tumor growth and invasion (Wu et al., 2010). JAK-STAT misregulation was shown to be sufficient for melanotic and hematopoietic tumors in Drosophila, however, many other instances where modifiers of JAK-STAT or JNK and JAK-STAT interactions were reported. For example, in tumors caused by cooperative interactions between activated Ras and mitochondrial defects (Ohsawa et al., 2012) and intestinal tumors (Kolahgar et al., 2011; Suijkerbuijk et al., 2016). Other research on epithelial tumors revealed an intricate interaction between JNK and Yki which was traced to a polarity-responsive enhancer in Upd3 activated by JNKdependent Fos and aPKC-mediated Yki transcription. Using unbiased approaches transcription factors of several families that act downstream of JNK were identified. These include bZIP protein Fos, the ETS-domain factor Ets21c and the nuclear receptor Ftz-Fdem1. Furthermore, although all three transcription factors were required for aggressive tumorigenesis, the synergistic requirement of a subset of transcription factors for invasiveness and tumor growth generated deeper insights on the unique and overlapping functions of transcription factors that cooperatively activate an array of tumor promoting target genes (Kulshammer et al., 2015). Recently a JNK-dependent AP1 responsive enhancer was mapped in Wingless, and interaction between JNK and Wingless promoted growth of epithelial tumors (Zhang et al., 2019). Yki/Sd mediated transcriptional interactions have also been investigated in multiple tumor models. For example, Yki/Sd interact with Src and JNK (Enomoto and Igaki, 2013); and with Stat, AP-1, Myc and AP-4, Ftz-f1, Taiman/SRC3, and Mef2 (Atkins et al., 2016) to promote tumorigenesis. Many of these transcriptional interactions are also seen in mammalian cancers suggesting that some transcriptional networks are evolutionarily conserved. Taken together, these studies showed that the Hippo pathway (particularly Yki/YAP) interacts with

multiple signaling pathways to maintain homeostasis, however, complex and unique tumor specific interaction networks are formed during tumorigenesis where Yki/YAP interact with other signaling pathways only in tumor cells.

FUTURE DIRECTIONS AND CONCLUDING REMARKS

There are additional Ste-20 kinases possibly belonging to the NDR family found in mice which might indicate that these kinases might also have a role in phosphorylation and growth control (Li et al., 2014; Meng et al., 2015; Zheng et al., 2015). These kinases are thought to influence phosphorylation of Ser127, a major regulatory site of YAP. These understudied kinases and their Drosophila orthologs need to be further characterized to define their cell-type and context specific roles to better define the Hippo pathway. Further, if Wts is the sole regulator of Yki or other NDR family components act in parallel to Wts/Lats to control Yki/YAP, and if these pathways cross-talk in specific contexts are interesting aspects of the Hippo pathway function and regulation that currently are not clearly defined. Each year new components are added to the Hippo network, for example, recently Schip1 was shown to connect Expanded to the Tao-1 kinase (Chung et al., 2016). However, if Schip1 interacts with other upstream components or other inputs that influence Yki activity needs further investigation. In addition, other genes that act upstream of the Kibra/Merlin/Expanded complex and the Tao1 kinase remain to be identified. Thus, in the future it will be interesting to find the missing links

REFERENCES

- Alarcon, C., Zaromytidou, A. I., Xi, Q., Gao, S., Yu, J., Fujisawa, S., et al. (2009). Nuclear CDKs drive Smad transcriptional activation and turnover in BMP and TGF-beta pathways. *Cell* 139, 757–769. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2009.09.035
- Albertson, R., Chabu, C., Sheehan, A., and Doe, C. Q. (2004). Scribble protein domain mapping reveals a multistep localization mechanism and domains necessary for establishing cortical polarity. *J. Cell Sci.* 117(Pt 25), 6061–6070. doi: 10.1242/jcs.01525
- Alegot, H., Markosian, C., Rauskolb, C., Yang, J., Kirichenko, E., Wang, Y. C., et al. (2019). Recruitment of Jub by alpha-catenin promotes Yki activity and *Drosophila* wing growth. J. Cell Sci. 132:jcs222018. doi: 10.1242/jcs.222018
- Anderson, A. M., Bailetti, A. A., Rodkin, E., De, A., and Bach, E. A. (2017). A genetic screen reveals an unexpected role for yorkie signaling in JAK/STATdependent hematopoietic malignancies in *Drosophila melanogaster*. G3 7, 2427–2438. doi: 10.1534/g3.117.044172
- Atkins, M., Potier, D., Romanelli, L., Jacobs, J., Mach, J., Hamaratoglu, F., et al. (2016). An ectopic network of transcription factors regulated by hippo signaling drives growth and invasion of a malignant tumor model. *Curr. Biol.* 26, 2101–2113. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2016.06.035
- Avruch, J., Zhou, D., Fitamant, J., Bardeesy, N., Mou, F., and Barrufet, L. R. (2012). Protein kinases of the Hippo pathway: regulation and substrates. *Semin. Cell Dev. Biol.* 23, 770–784. doi: 10.1016/j.semcdb.2012.07.002
- Badouel, C., Gardano, L., Amin, N., Garg, A., Rosenfeld, R., Le Bihan, T., et al. (2009). The FERM-domain protein expanded regulates Hippo pathway activity via direct interactions with the transcriptional activator Yorkie. *Dev. Cell* 16, 411–420. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2009.01.010
- Badouel, C., and McNeill, H. (2009). Apical junctions and growth control in Drosophila. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1788, 755–760. doi: 10.1016/j.bbamem.2008. 08.026

within the Hippo pathway, the mechanisms underlying their interactions with the core pathway machinery and whether it affects tumorigenesis.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

KS, KG, GL, AS, and MK-S discussed review outline. KS, KG, and GL performed literature search and created figures. KS, KG, GL, and AS wrote the initial drafts. MK-S wrote and edited the final manuscript.

FUNDING

MK-S was funded by start-up research funds from the University of Dayton, and a subaward from NIH grant R01CA183991 (PI Nakano). AS was supported by start-up research funds and funding from Schuellein Endowed Chair in Biology from the University of Dayton, NIH 1R15 GM124654-1, and Stem Catalyst Grant. KG and KS acknowledge the Teaching Assistantship, and Graduate Student Summer Fellowship (GSSF) from the Graduate Program of University of Dayton.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We want to thank all MK-S and AS lab members for discussions and comments on this manuscript. We apologize to colleagues whose work could not be included due to the limited scope of this review.

- Balmain, A., and Akhurst, R. J. (2004). Cancer: dangerous liaisons. *Nature* 428, 271–272.
- Baumgartner, R., Poernbacher, I., Buser, N., Hafen, E., and Stocker, H. (2010). The WW domain protein Kibra acts upstream of Hippo in *Drosophila. Dev. Cell* 18, 309–316. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2009.12.013
- Bejsovec, A. (2013). Wingless/Wnt signaling in Drosophila: the pattern and the pathway. Mol. Reprod. Dev. 80, 882–894. doi: 10.1002/mrd.22228
- Bennett, F. C., and Harvey, K. F. (2006). Fat cadherin modulates organ size in Drosophila via the Salvador/Warts/Hippo signaling pathway. Curr. Biol. 16, 2101–2110. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2006.09.045
- Berns, K., and Bernards, R. (2012). Understanding resistance to targeted cancer drugs through loss of function genetic screens. *Drug Resist. Updat.* 15, 268–275. doi: 10.1016/j.drup.2012.10.002
- Bhat, K. P., Salazar, K. L., Balasubramaniyan, V., Wani, K., Heathcock, L., and Hollingsworth, F. (2011). The transcriptional coactivator TAZ regulates mesenchymal differentiation in malignant glioma. *Genes Dev.* 25, 2594–2609. doi: 10.1101/gad.176800.111
- Boggiano, J. C., Vanderzalm, P. J., and Fehon, R. G. (2011). Tao-1 phosphorylates Hippo/MST kinases to regulate the Hippo-Salvador-Warts tumor suppressor pathway. *Dev. Cell* 21, 888–895. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2011.08.028
- Brennecke, J., Hipfner, D. R., Stark, A., Russell, R. B., and Cohen, S. M. (2003). bantam encodes a developmentally regulated microRNA that controls cell proliferation and regulates the proapoptotic gene hid in Drosophila. *Cell* 113, 25–36. doi: 10.1016/s0092-8674(03)00231-9
- Brumby, A. M., and Richardson, H. E. (2003). scribble mutants cooperate with oncogenic ras or notch to cause neoplastic overgrowth in *Drosophila*. *EMBO J.* 22, 5769–5779. doi: 10.1093/emboj/cdg548
- Bryant, P. J., and Schubiger, G. (1971). Giant and duplicated imaginal discs in a new lethal mutant of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Dev. Biol.* 24, 233–263. doi: 10.1016/0012-1606(71)90097-2

- Callus, B. A., Verhagen, A. M., and Vaux, D. L. (2006). Association of mammalian sterile twenty kinases, Mst1 and Mst2, with hSalvador via C-terminal coiled-coil domains, leads to its stabilization and phosphorylation. *FEBS J.* 273, 4264–4276. doi: 10.1111/j.1742-4658.2006.05427.x
- Chan, S. W., Lim, C. J., Huang, C., Chong, Y. F., Gunaratne, H. J., Hogue, K. A., et al. (2011). WW domain-mediated interaction with Wbp2 is important for the oncogenic property of TAZ. *Oncogene* 30, 600–610. doi: 10.1038/onc. 2010.438
- Chen, C. L., Gajewski, K. M., Hamaratoglu, F., Bossuyt, W., Sansores-Garcia, L., Tao, C., et al. (2010). The apical-basal cell polarity determinant crumbs regulates Hippo signaling in *Drosophila. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 107, 15810–15815. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1004060107
- Chen, H. I., and Sudol, M. (1995). The WW domain of Yes-associated protein binds a proline-rich ligand that differs from the consensus established for Src homology 3-binding modules. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 92, 7819–7823. doi: 10.1073/pnas.92.17.7819
- Chen, L., Qin, F., Deng, X., Avruch, J., and Zhou, D. (2012). Hippo pathway in intestinal homeostasis and tumorigenesis. *Protein Cell* 3, 305–310. doi: 10.1007/s13238-012-2913-9
- Chen, C. L., Schroeder, M. C., Kango-Singh, M., Tao, C., and Halder, G. (2012). Tumor suppression by cell competition through regulation of the Hippo pathway. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 109, 484–489. doi: 10.1073/pnas. 1113882109
- Chen, M., and Wang, J. (2002). Initiator caspases in apoptosis signaling pathways. *Apoptosis* 7, 313–319.
- Chen, R., Plouffe, S. W., and Guan, K. L. (2019). Determining the phosphorylation status of Hippo components YAP and TAZ using Phos-tag. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 1893, 281–287. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4939-8910-2_21
- Cho, E., Feng, Y., Rauskolb, C., Maitra, S., Fehon, R., and Irvine, K. D. (2006). Delineation of a fat tumor suppressor pathway. *Nat. Genet.* 38, 1142–1150. doi: 10.1038/ng1887
- Cho, Y. S., Zhu, J., Li, S., Wang, B., Han, Y., and Jiang, J. (2018). Regulation of Yki/Yap subcellular localization and Hpo signaling by a nuclear kinase PRP4K. *Nat. Commun.* 9:1657. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-04090-2
- Choi, K. W. (2018). Upstream paths for Hippo signaling in *Drosophila* organ development. *BMB Rep.* 51, 134–142. doi: 10.5483/bmbrep.2018.51.3.027
- Chung, H. L., Augustine, G. J., and Choi, K. W. (2016). *Drosophila* Schip1 links expanded and Tao-1 to regulate Hippo signaling. *Dev. Cell* 36, 511–524. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2016.02.004
- Colombani, J., Polesello, C., Josue, F., and Tapon, N. (2006). Dmp53 activates the Hippo pathway to promote cell death in response to DNA damage. *Curr. Biol.* 16, 1453–1458. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2006.05.059
- Creasy, C. L., and Chernoff, J. (1995). Cloning and characterization of a human protein kinase with homology to Ste20. *J. Biol. Chem.* 270, 21695–21700. doi: 10.1074/jbc.270.37.21695
- Dan, I., Watanabe, N. M., and Kusumi, A. (2001). The Ste20 group kinases as regulators of MAP kinase cascades. *Trends Cell Biol.* 11, 220–230. doi: 10.1016/ s0962-8924(01)01980-8
- Das Thakur, M., Feng, Y., Jagannathan, R., Seppa, M. J., Skeath, J. B., and Longmore, G. D. (2010). Ajuba LIM proteins are negative regulators of the Hippo signaling pathway. *Curr. Biol.* 20, 657–662. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2010.02. 035
- Davis, R. J. (2000). Signal transduction by the JNK group of MAP kinases. *Cell* 103, 239–252. doi: 10.1016/s0092-8674(00)00116-1
- Doggett, K., Grusche, F. A., Richardson, H. E., and Brumby, A. M. (2011). Loss of the *Drosophila* cell polarity regulator Scribbled promotes epithelial tissue overgrowth and cooperation with oncogenic Ras-Raf through impaired Hippo pathway signaling. *BMC Dev. Biol.* 11:57. doi: 10.1186/1471-213X-11-57
- Dong, J., Feldmann, G., Huang, J., Wu, S., Zhang, N., Comerford, S. A., et al. (2007). Elucidation of a universal size-control mechanism in *Drosophila* and mammals. *Cell* 130, 1120–1133. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2007.07.019
- Donninger, H., Allen, N., Henson, A., Pogue, J., Williams, A., Gordon, L., et al. (2011). Salvador protein is a tumor suppressor effector of RASSF1A with hippo pathway-independent functions. J. Biol. Chem. 286, 18483–18491. doi: 10.1074/ jbc.M110.214874
- Dutta, S., and Baehrecke, E. H. (2008). Warts is required for PI3K-regulated growth arrest, autophagy, and autophagic cell death in *Drosophila*. *Curr. Biol.* 18, 1466–1475. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2008.08.052

- Edgar, B. A. (2006). From cell structure to transcription: Hippo forges a new path. *Cell* 124, 267–273. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2006.01.005
- Enomoto, M., and Igaki, T. (2013). Src controls tumorigenesis via JNK-dependent regulation of the Hippo pathway in *Drosophila*. *EMBO Rep.* 14, 65–72. doi: 10.1038/embor.2012.185
- Enomoto, M., Kizawa, D., Ohsawa, S., and Igaki, T. (2015a). JNK signaling is converted from anti- to pro-tumor pathway by Ras-mediated switch of Warts activity. *Dev. Biol.* 403, 162–171. doi: 10.1016/j.ydbio.2015. 05.001
- Enomoto, M., Vaughen, J., and Igaki, T. (2015b). Non-autonomous overgrowth by oncogenic niche cells: cellular cooperation and competition in tumorigenesis. *Cancer Sci.* 106, 1651–1658. doi: 10.1111/cas.12816
- Feng, Y., and Irvine, K. D. (2009). Processing and phosphorylation of the fat receptor. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 106, 11989–11994. doi: 10.1073/pnas. 0811540106
- Ferguson, G. B., and Martinez-Agosto, J. A. (2014). Kicking it up a notch for the best in show: scalloped leads Yorkie into the haematopoietic arena. *Fly* 8, 206–217. doi: 10.1080/19336934.2015.1055427
- Ferrigno, O., Lallemand, F., Verrecchia, F., L'Hoste, S., Camonis, J., Atfi, A., et al. (2002). Yes-associated protein (YAP65) interacts with Smad7 and potentiates its inhibitory activity against TGF-beta/Smad signaling. *Oncogene* 21, 4879–4884. doi: 10.1038/sj.onc.1205623
- Fletcher, G. C., Diaz-de-la-Loza, M. D., Borreguero-Munoz, N., Holder, M., Aguilar-Aragon, M., and Thompson, B. J. (2018). Mechanical strain regulates the Hippo pathway in *Drosophila*. *Development* 145:dev159467. doi: 10.1242/ dev.159467
- Gallagher, C. M., and Knoblich, J. A. (2006). The conserved c2 domain protein lethal (2) giant discs regulates protein trafficking in *Drosophila*. *Dev. Cell* 11, 641–653. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2006.09.014
- Gaspar, P., Holder, M. V., Aerne, B. L., Janody, F., and Tapon, N. (2015). Zyxin antagonizes the FERM protein expanded to couple F-actin and Yorkiedependent organ growth. *Curr. Biol.* 25, 679–689. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2015.01. 010
- Gateff, E. (1978). Malignant neoplasms of genetic origin in Drosophila melanogaster. Science 200, 1448–1459. doi: 10.1126/science.96525
- Gateff, E., and Schneiderman, H. A. (1969). Neoplasms in mutant and cultured wild-tupe tissues of *Drosophila*. *Natl. Cancer Inst. Monogr.* 31, 365–397.
- Genevet, A., Wehr, M. C., Brain, R., Thompson, B. J., and Tapon, N. (2010). Kibra is a regulator of the Salvador/Warts/Hippo signaling network. *Dev. Cell* 18, 300–308. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2009.12.011
- Ghosh, A., Ramagopal, U. A., Bonanno, J. B., Brenowitz, M., and Almo, S. C. (2018). Structures of the L27 domain of disc large homologue 1 protein illustrate a self-assembly module. *Biochemistry* 57, 1293–1305. doi: 10.1021/acs.biochem. 7b01074
- Gilbert, M. M., Tipping, M., Veraksa, A., and Moberg, K. H. (2011). A screen for conditional growth suppressor genes identifies the *Drosophila* homolog of HD-PTP as a regulator of the oncoprotein Yorkie. *Dev. Cell* 20, 700–712. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2011.04.012
- Gong, J. G., Costanzo, A., Yang, H. Q., Melino, G., Kaelin, W. G., Levrero, M., et al. (1999). The tyrosine kinase c-Abl regulates p73 in apoptotic response to cisplatin-induced DNA damage. *Nature* 399, 806–809. doi: 10.1038/ 21690
- Goulev, Y., Fauny, J. D., Gonzalez-Marti, B., Flagiello, D., Silber, J., and Zider, A. (2008). SCALLOPED interacts with YORKIE, the nuclear effector of the hippo tumor-suppressor pathway in Drosophila. *Curr. Biol.* 18, 435–441. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2008.02.034
- Graves, H. K., Woodfield, S. E., Yang, C. C., Halder, G., and Bergmann, A. (2012). Notch signaling activates Yorkie non-cell autonomously in *Drosophila*. *PLoS One* 7:e37615. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0037615
- Grzeschik, N. A., Parsons, L. M., Allott, M. L., Harvey, K. F., and Richardson, H. E. (2010). Lgl, aPKC, and crumbs regulate the Salvador/Warts/Hippo pathway through two distinct mechanisms. *Curr. Biol.* 20, 573–581. doi: 10.1016/j.cub. 2010.01.055
- Guo, T., Lu, Y., Li, P., Yin, M. X., Lv, D., Zhang, W., et al. (2013). A novel partner of scalloped regulates Hippo signaling via antagonizing Scalloped-Yorkie activity. *Cell Res.* 23, 1201–1214. doi: 10.1038/cr.2013.120
- Halder, G., and Johnson, R. L. (2011). Hippo signaling: growth control and beyond. Development 138, 9–22. doi: 10.1242/dev.045500

- Hall, C. A., Wang, R., Miao, J., Oliva, E., Shen, X., Wheeler, T., et al. (2010). Hippo pathway effector Yap is an ovarian cancer oncogene. *Cancer Res.* 70, 8517–8525. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-10-1242
- Hamaratoglu, F., Gajewski, K., Sansores-Garcia, L., Morrison, C., Tao, C., and Halder, G. (2009). The Hippo tumor-suppressor pathway regulates apicaldomain size in parallel to tissue growth. J. Cell Sci. 122(Pt 14), 2351–2359. doi: 10.1242/jcs.046482
- Hamaratoglu, F., Willecke, M., Kango-Singh, M., Nolo, R., Hyun, E., Tao, C., et al. (2006). The tumour-suppressor genes NF2/Merlin and expanded act through Hippo signalling to regulate cell proliferation and apoptosis. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 8, 27–36. doi: 10.1038/ncb1339
- Hanahan, D., and Weinberg, R. A. (2000). The hallmarks of cancer. *Cell* 100, 57–70.
- Hanahan, D., and Weinberg, R. A. (2011). Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. *Cell* 144, 646–674. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2011.02.013
- Hao, Y., Chun, A., Cheung, K., Rashidi, B., and Yang, X. (2008). Tumor suppressor LATS1 is a negative regulator of oncogene YAP. J. Biol. Chem. 283, 5496–5509. doi: 10.1074/jbc.m709037200
- Harvey, K. F., Pfleger, C. M., and Hariharan, I. K. (2003). The *Drosophila* Mst ortholog, hippo, restricts growth and cell proliferation and promotes apoptosis. *Cell* 114, 457–467. doi: 10.1016/s0092-8674(03)00557-9
- Hergovich, A. (2011). MOB control: reviewing a conserved family of kinase regulators. Cell. Signal. 23, 1433–1440. doi: 10.1016/j.cellsig.2011.04.007
- Herz, H. M., Chen, Z., Scherr, H., Lackey, M., Bolduc, C., and Bergmann, A. (2006). vps25 mosaics display non-autonomous cell survival and overgrowth, and autonomous apoptosis. *Development* 133, 1871–1880. doi: 10.1242/dev. 02356
- Hirabayashi, S., Baranski, T. J., and Cagan, R. L. (2013). Transformed *Drosophila* cells evade diet-mediated insulin resistance through wingless signaling. *Cell* 154, 664–675. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2013.06.030
- Hirabayashi, S., and Cagan, R. L. (2015). Salt-inducible kinases mediate nutrientsensing to link dietary sugar and tumorigenesis in Drosophila. *eLife* 4:e08501. doi: 10.7554/eLife.08501
- Hong, W., and Guan, K. L. (2012). The YAP and TAZ transcription co-activators: key downstream effectors of the mammalian Hippo pathway. Semin. Cell Dev. Biol. 23, 785–793. doi: 10.1016/j.semcdb.2012.05.004
- Huang, J., Wu, S., Barrera, J., Matthews, K., and Pan, D. (2005). The Hippo signaling pathway coordinately regulates cell proliferation and apoptosis by inactivating Yorkie, the *Drosophila* Homolog of YAP. *Cell* 122, 421–434. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2005.06.007
- Hyman-Walsh, C., Bjerke, G. A., and Wotton, D. (2010). An autoinhibitory effect of the homothorax domain of Meis2. *FEBS J.* 277, 2584–2597. doi: 10.1111/j. 1742-464X.2010.07668.x
- Igaki, T., Pagliarini, R. A., and Xu, T. (2006). Loss of cell polarity drives tumor growth and invasion through JNK activation in *Drosophila. Curr. Biol.* 16, 1139–1146. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2006.04.042
- Jagannathan, R., Schimizzi, G. V., Zhang, K., Loza, A. J., Yabuta, N., Nojima, H., et al. (2016). AJUBA LIM proteins limit hippo activity in proliferating cells by sequestering the hippo core kinase complex in the cytosol. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 36, 2526–2542. doi: 10.1128/MCB.00136-16
- Jansen, F., Kalbe, B., Scholz, P., Franzel, B., Osterloh, M., Wolters, D., et al. (2015). Biochemical large-scale interaction analysis of murine olfactory receptors and associated signaling proteins with post-synaptic density 95, drosophila discs large, zona-occludens 1 (PDZ) Domains. *Mol. Cell. Proteom.* 14, 2072–2084. doi: 10.1074/mcp.M114.045997
- Jia, J., Zhang, W., Wang, B., Trinko, R., and Jiang, J. (2003). The Drosophila Ste20 family kinase dMST functions as a tumor suppressor by restricting cell proliferation and promoting apoptosis. *Genes Dev.* 17, 2514–2519. doi: 10.1101/ gad.1134003
- Justice, R. W., Zilian, O., Woods, D. F., Noll, M., and Bryant, P. J. (1995). The *Drosophila* tumor suppressor gene warts encodes a homolog of human myotonic dystrophy kinase and is required for the control of cell shape and proliferation. *Genes Dev.* 9, 534–546. doi: 10.1101/gad.9. 5.534
- Kanai, F., Marignani, P. A., Sarbassova, D., Yagi, R., Hall, R. A., Donowitz, M., et al. (2000). TAZ: a novel transcriptional co-activator regulated by interactions with 14-3-3 and PDZ domain proteins. *EMBO J.* 19, 6778–6791. doi: 10.1093/emboj/ 19.24.6778

- Kango-Singh, M., Nolo, R., Tao, C., Verstreken, P., Hiesinger, P. R., Bellen, H. J., et al. (2002). Shar-pei mediates cell proliferation arrest during imaginal disc growth in *Drosophila*. *Development* 129, 5719–5730. doi: 10.1242/dev.00168
- Kango-Singh, M., and Singh, A. (2009). Regulation of organ size: insights from the Drosophila Hippo signaling pathway. Dev. Dyn. 238, 1627–1637. doi: 10.1002/ dvdy.21996
- Karpowicz, P., Perez, J., and Perrimon, N. (2010). The Hippo tumor suppressor pathway regulates intestinal stem cell regeneration. *Development* 137, 4135– 4145. doi: 10.1242/dev.060483
- Katoh, M., and Katoh, M. (2004). Identification and characterization of human LLGL4 gene and mouse Llgl4 gene in silico. *Int. J. Oncol.* 24, 737–742.
- Keshet, R., Reuven, N., and Shaul, Y. (2015). c-Abl forces YAP to switch sides. *Mol. Cell. Oncol.* 2:e995006. doi: 10.4161/23723556.2014.995006
- Kim, M., and Jho, E. H. (2014). Cross-talk between Wnt/beta-catenin and Hippo signaling pathways: a brief review. *BMB Rep.* 47, 540–545. doi: 10.5483/ bmbrep.2014.47.10.177
- Kim, W., and Jho, E. H. (2018). The history and regulatory mechanism of the Hippo pathway. BMB Rep. 51, 106–118. doi: 10.5483/bmbrep.2018.51.3.022
- Koch, L., Feicht, S., Sun, R., Sen, A., and Krahn, M. P. (2016). Domain-specific functions of Stardust in *Drosophila* embryonic development. *R. Soc. Open Sci.* 3:160776. doi: 10.1098/rsos.160776
- Kodama, T., Yi, J., Newberg, J. Y., Tien, J. C., Wu, H., Finegold, M. J., et al. (2018). Molecular profiling of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease-associated hepatocellular carcinoma using SB transposon mutagenesis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 115, E10417–E10426. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1808968115
- Kolahgar, G., Bardet, P. L., Langton, P. F., Alexandre, C., and Vincent, J. P. (2011). Apical deficiency triggers JNK-dependent apoptosis in the embryonic epidermis of Drosophila. *Development* 138, 3021–3031. doi: 10.1242/dev. 059980
- Kulshammer, E., Mundorf, J., Kilinc, M., Frommolt, P., Wagle, P., and Uhlirova, M. (2015). Interplay among *Drosophila* transcription factors Ets21c, Fos and Ftz-F1 drives JNK-mediated tumor malignancy. *Dis. Model Mech.* 8, 1279–1293. doi: 10.1242/dmm.020719
- Lai, Z. C., Wei, X., Shimizu, T., Ramos, E., Rohrbaugh, M., Nikolaidis, N., et al. (2005). Control of cell proliferation and apoptosis by mob as tumor suppressor, mats. *Cell* 120, 675–685. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2004.12.036
- Lee, T., and Luo, L. (2001). Mosaic analysis with a repressible cell marker (MARCM) for *Drosophila* neural development. *Trends Neurosci.* 24, 251–254. doi: 10.1016/s0166-2236(00)01791-4
- Leong, G. R., Goulding, K. R., Amin, N., Richardson, H. E., and Brumby, A. M. (2009). Scribble mutants promote aPKC and JNK-dependent epithelial neoplasia independently of Crumbs. *BMC Biol.* 7:62. doi: 10.1186/1741-7007-7-62
- Levy, D., Reuven, N., and Shaul, Y. (2008). A regulatory circuit controlling Itchmediated p73 degradation by Runx. J. Biol. Chem. 283, 27462–27468. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M803941200
- Li, D., Liu, Y., Pei, C., Zhang, P., Pan, L., Xiao, J., et al. (2017). Yki/Mask doublenegative feedback loop mediates blood-brain barrier integrity in *Drosophila*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 114, E2365–E2374. doi: 10.1073/pnas.16132 33114
- Li, Q., Li, S., Mana-Capelli, S., Roth Flach, R. J., Danai, L. V., and Amcheslavsky, A. (2014). The conserved misshapen-warts-Yorkie pathway acts in enteroblasts to regulate intestinal stem cells in *Drosophila*. *Dev. Cell* 31, 291–304. doi: 10.1016/ j.devcel.2014.09.012
- Ling, C., Zheng, Y., Yin, F., Yu, J., Huang, J., Hong, Y., et al. (2010). The apical transmembrane protein Crumbs functions as a tumor suppressor that regulates Hippo signaling by binding to expanded. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 107, 10532–10537. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1004279107
- Liu, A. M., Wong, K. F., Jiang, X., Qiao, Y., and Luk, J. M. (2012). Regulators of mammalian Hippo pathway in cancer. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1826, 357–364. doi: 10.1016/j.bbcan.2012.05.006
- Loo, L. W., Secombe, J., Little, J. T., Carlos, L. S., Yost, C., Cheng, P. F., et al. (2005). The transcriptional repressor dMnt is a regulator of growth in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 25, 7078–7091. doi: 10.1128/mcb.25.16.7078-7091.2005
- Lu, L., Finegold, M. J., and Johnson, R. L. (2018). Hippo pathway coactivators Yap and Taz are required to coordinate mammalian liver regeneration. *Exp. Mol. Med.* 50:e423. doi: 10.1038/emm.2017.205

- Ma, S., Meng, Z., Chen, R., and Guan, K. L. (2018). The Hippo pathway: biology and pathophysiology. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* doi: 10.1146/annurev-biochem-013118-111829 [Epub ahead of print],
- Manning, S. A., Dent, L. G., Kondo, S., Zhao, Z. W., Plachta, N., and Harvey, K. F. (2018). Dynamic fluctuations in subcellular localization of the hippo pathway effector Yorkie *In Vivo. Curr. Biol.* 28, 1651.e4–1660.e4. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2018. 04.018
- Mao, Y., Kucuk, B., and Irvine, K. D. (2009). Drosophila lowfat, a novel modulator of fat signaling. *Development* 136, 3223–3233. doi: 10.1242/dev.036152
- Matakatsu, H., and Blair, S. S. (2012). Separating planar cell polarity and Hippo pathway activities of the protocadherins fat and dachsous. *Development* 139, 1498–1508. doi: 10.1242/dev.070367
- Meng, Z., Moroishi, T., and Guan, K. L. (2016). Mechanisms of Hippo pathway regulation. *Genes Dev.* 30, 1–17. doi: 10.1101/gad.274027.115
- Meng, Z., Moroishi, T., Mottier-Pavie, V., Plouffe, S. W., Hansen, C. G., Hong, A. W., et al. (2015). MAP4K family kinases act in parallel to MST1/2 to activate LATS1/2 in the Hippo pathway. *Nat. Commun.* 6:8357. doi: 10.1038/ ncomms9357
- Minata, M., Audia, A., Shi, J., Lu, S., Bernstock, J., and Pavlyukov, M. S. (2019). Phenotypic plasticity of invasive edge glioma stem-like cells in response to ionizing radiation. *Cell Rep.* 26, 1893.e7–1905.e7. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2019.01. 076
- Misra, J. R., and Irvine, K. D. (2018). The hippo signaling network and its biological functions. Annu. Rev. Genet. 52, 65–87. doi: 10.1146/annurev-genet-120417-031621
- Neto-Silva, R. M., Wells, B. S., and Johnston, L. A. (2009). Mechanisms of growth and homeostasis in the *Drosophila wing. Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol.* 25, 197–220. doi: 10.1146/annurev.cellbio.24.110707.175242
- Nolo, R., Morrison, C. M., Tao, C., Zhang, X., and Halder, G. (2006). The bantam microRNA is a target of the hippo tumor-suppressor pathway. *Curr. Biol.* 16, 1895–1904. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2006.08.057
- Oh, H., and Irvine, K. D. (2008). In vivo regulation of Yorkie phosphorylation and localization. *Development* 135, 1081–1088. doi: 10.1242/dev.015255
- Oh, H., and Irvine, K. D. (2009). In vivo analysis of Yorkie phosphorylation sites. Oncogene 28, 1916–1927. doi: 10.1038/onc.2009.43
- Oh, H., and Irvine, K. D. (2011). Cooperative regulation of growth by Yorkie and Mad through bantam. *Dev. Cell* 20, 109–122. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2010. 12.002
- Oh, H., Reddy, B. V., and Irvine, K. D. (2009). Phosphorylation-independent repression of Yorkie in Fat-Hippo signaling. *Dev Biol.* 335, 188–197. doi: 10. 1016/j.ydbio.2009.08.026
- Ohsawa, S., Sato, Y., Enomoto, M., Nakamura, M., Betsumiya, A., and Igaki, T. (2012). Mitochondrial defect drives non-autonomous tumour progression through Hippo signalling in *Drosophila. Nature* 490, 547–551. doi: 10.1038/ nature11452
- Ohsawa, S., Takemoto, D., and Igaki, T. (2014). Dissecting tumour heterogeneity in flies: genetic basis of interclonal oncogenic cooperation. J. Biochem. 156, 129–136. doi: 10.1093/jb/mvu045
- Oka, T., Mazack, V., and Sudol, M. (2008). Mst2 and Lats kinases regulate apoptotic function of Yes kinase-associated protein (YAP). J. Biol. Chem. 283, 27534–27546. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M804380200
- Padgett, R. W., Das, P., and Krishna, S. (1998). TGF-beta signaling, Smads, and tumor suppressors. *Bioessays* 20, 382–390. doi: 10.1002/(sici)1521-1878(199805)20:5<382::aid-bies5>3.0.co;2-q
- Pagliarini, R. A., and Xu, T. (2003). A genetic screen in Drosophila for metastatic behavior. *Science* 302, 1227–1231. doi: 10.1126/science.1088474
- Pantalacci, S., Tapon, N., and Leopold, P. (2003). The Salvador partner Hippo promotes apoptosis and cell-cycle exit in *Drosophila*. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 5, 921–927. doi: 10.1038/ncb1051
- Parker, J., and Struhl, G. (2015). Scaling the Drosophila Wing: TOR-dependent target gene access by the hippo pathway transducer Yorkie. PLoS Biol. 13:e1002274. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.1002274
- Parsons, L. M., Grzeschik, N. A., Allott, M. L., and Richardson, H. E. (2010). Lgl/aPKC and Crb regulate the Salvador/Warts/Hippo pathway. *Fly* 4, 288–293. doi: 10.4161/fly.4.4.13116
- Pascual, J., Jacobs, J., Sansores-Garcia, L., Natarajan, M., Zeitlinger, J., Aerts, S., et al. (2017). Hippo reprograms the transcriptional response to ras signaling. *Dev. Cell* 42, 667.e4–680.e4. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2017.08.013

- Pastor-Pareja, J. C., Wu, M., and Xu, T. (2008). An innate immune response of blood cells to tumors and tissue damage in *Drosophila*. *Dis. Model Mech.* 1, 144–154 discussion153. doi: 10.1242/dmm.000950
- Patel, P. H., Dutta, D., and Edgar, B. A. (2015). Niche appropriation by Drosophila intestinal stem cell tumours. Nat. Cell Biol. 17, 1182–1192. doi: 10.1038/ ncb3214
- Peng, H. W., Slattery, M., and Mann, R. S. (2009). Transcription factor choice in the Hippo signaling pathway: homothorax and yorkie regulation of the microRNA bantam in the progenitor domain of the Drosophila eye imaginal disc. *Genes* Dev. 23, 2307–2319. doi: 10.1101/gad.1820009
- Piersma, B., Bank, R. A., and Boersema, M. (2015). Signaling in Fibrosis: TGFbeta, WNT, and YAP/TAZ Converge. *Front. Med.* 2:59. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2015. 00059
- Pirozzi, G., McConnell, S. J., Uveges, A. J., Carter, J. M., Sparks, A. B., Kay, B. K., et al. (1997). Identification of novel human WW domain-containing proteins by cloning of ligand targets. *J. Biol. Chem.* 272, 14611–14616. doi: 10.1074/jbc. 272.23.14611
- Plouffe, S. W., Lin, K. C., Moore, J. L., Tan, F. E., Ma, S., Ye, Z., et al. (2018). The Hippo pathway effector proteins YAP and TAZ have both distinct and overlapping functions in the cell. *J. Biol. Chem.* 293, 11230–11240. doi: 10.1074/ jbc.RA118.002715
- Polesello, C., Huelsmann, S., Brown, N. H., and Tapon, N. (2006). The Drosophila RASSF homolog antagonizes the hippo pathway. Curr. Biol. 16, 2459–2465. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2006.10.060
- Poon, C. L., Lin, J. I., Zhang, X., and Harvey, K. F. (2011). The sterile 20-like kinase Tao-1 controls tissue growth by regulating the Salvador-Warts-Hippo pathway. *Dev. Cell* 21, 896–906. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2011.09.012
- Praskova, M., Khoklatchev, A., Ortiz-Vega, S., and Avruch, J. (2004). Regulation of the MST1 kinase by autophosphorylation, by the growth inhibitory proteins, RASSF1 and NORE1, and by Ras. *Biochem. J.* 381(Pt 2), 453–462. doi: 10.1042/ bj20040025
- Praskova, M., Xia, F., and Avruch, J. (2008). MOBKL1A/MOBKL1B phosphorylation by MST1 and MST2 inhibits cell proliferation. *Curr. Biol.* 18, 311–321. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2008.02.006
- Rauskolb, C., Pan, G., Reddy, B. V., Oh, H., and Irvine, K. D. (2011). Zyxin links fat signaling to the hippo pathway. *PLoS Biol.* 9:e1000624. doi: 10.1371/journal. pbio.1000624
- Reddy, B. V., Rauskolb, C., and Irvine, K. D. (2010). Influence of fat-hippo and notch signaling on the proliferation and differentiation of *Drosophila* optic neuroepithelia. *Development* 137, 2397–2408. doi: 10.1242/dev.050013
- Ren, F., Zhang, L., and Jiang, J. (2010). Hippo signaling regulates Yorkie nuclear localization and activity through 14-3-3 dependent and independent mechanisms. *Dev. Biol.* 337, 303–312. doi: 10.1016/j.ydbio.2009.10.046
- Richter, A. M., Pfeifer, G. P., and Dammann, R. H. (2009). The RASSF proteins in cancer; from epigenetic silencing to functional characterization. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1796, 114–128. doi: 10.1016/j.bbcan.2009.03.004
- Robinson, B. S., Huang, J., Hong, Y., and Moberg, K. H. (2010). Crumbs regulates Salvador/Warts/Hippo signaling in *Drosophila* via the FERM-domain protein expanded. *Curr Biol* 20, 582–590. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2010.03.019
- Robinson, B. S., and Moberg, K. H. (2011). Drosophila endocytic neoplastic tumor suppressor genes regulate Sav/Wts/Hpo signaling and the c-Jun N-terminal kinase pathway. *Cell Cycle* 10, 4110–4118. doi: 10.4161/cc.10.23. 18243
- Roh, M. H., Makarova, O., Liu, C. J., Shin, K., Lee, S., Laurinec, S., et al. (2002). The Maguk protein, Pals1, functions as an adapter, linking mammalian homologues of crumbs and discs lost. J. Cell Biol. 157, 161–172. doi: 10.1083/jcb.200109010
- Salah, Z., and Aqeilan, R. I. (2011). WW domain interactions regulate the Hippo tumor suppressor pathway. *Cell Death Dis.* 2:e172. doi: 10.1038/cddis.2011.53
- Sanchez-Vega, F., Mina, M., Armenia, J., Chatila, W. K., Luna, A., and La, K. C. (2018). Oncogenic signaling pathways in the cancer genome atlas. *Cell* 173, 321.e10–337.e10. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2018.03.035
- Sander, M., Eichenlaub, T., and Herranz, H. (2018). Oncogenic cooperation between Yorkie and the conserved microRNA miR-8 in the wing disc of Drosophila. Development 145:dev153817. doi: 10.1242/dev.153817
- Scheffler, M., Ihle, M. A., Hein, R., Merkelbach-Bruse, S., Scheel, A. H., Siemanowski, J., et al. (2018). K-ras mutation subtypes in NSCLC and associated co-occuring mutations in other oncogenic pathways. J. Thorac. Oncol. 14, 606–616. doi: 10.1016/j.jtho.2018.12.013

- Schimizzi, G. V., and Longmore, G. D. (2015). Ajuba proteins. Curr. Biol. 25, R445-R446. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2015.02.034
- Sen, A., Sun, R., and Krahn, M. P. (2015). Localization and function of pals1associated tight junction protein in drosophila is regulated by two distinct apical complexes. J. Biol. Chem. 290, 13224–13233. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M114. 629014
- Shen, X., Sun, X., Sun, B., Li, T., Wu, G., Li, Y., et al. (2018). ARRDC3 suppresses colorectal cancer progression through destabilizing the oncoprotein YAP. FEBS Lett. 592, 599–609. doi: 10.1002/1873-3468.12986
- Shlevkov, E., and Morata, G. (2012). A dp53/JNK-dependant feedback amplification loop is essential for the apoptotic response to stress in *Drosophila*. *Cell Death. Differ*. 19, 451–460. doi: 10.1038/cdd.2011.113
- Silva, E., Tsatskis, Y., Gardano, L., Tapon, N., and McNeill, H. (2006). The tumorsuppressor gene fat controls tissue growth upstream of expanded in the hippo signaling pathway. *Curr. Biol.* 16, 2081–2089. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2006.09.004
- Simon, E., Theze, N., Fedou, S., Thiebaud, P., and Faucheux, C. (2017). Vestigiallike 3 is a novel Ets1 interacting partner and regulates trigeminal nerve formation and cranial neural crest migration. *Biol. Open.* 6, 1528–1540. doi: 10.1242/bio.026153
- Skouloudaki, K., Puetz, M., Simons, M., Courbard, J. R., Boehlke, C., Hartleben, B., et al. (2009). Scribble participates in Hippo signaling and is required for normal zebrafish pronephros development. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 106, 8579–8584. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0811691106
- Sopko, R., Silva, E., Clayton, L., Gardano, L., Barrios-Rodiles, M., Wrana, J., et al. (2009). Phosphorylation of the tumor suppressor fat is regulated by its ligand Dachsous and the kinase discs overgrown. *Curr. Biol.* 19, 1112–1117. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2009.05.049
- Staley, B. K., and Irvine, K. D. (2010). Warts and Yorkie mediate intestinal regeneration by influencing stem cell proliferation. *Curr. Biol.* 20, 1580–1587. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2010.07.041
- Strano, S., Monti, O., Pediconi, N., Baccarini, A., Fontemaggi, G., Lapi, E., et al. (2005). The transcriptional coactivator Yes-associated protein drives p73 genetarget specificity in response to DNA Damage. *Mol. Cell.* 18, 447–459. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2005.04.008
- Strano, S., Munarriz, E., Rossi, M., Castagnoli, L., Shaul, Y., Sacchi, A., et al. (2001). Physical interaction with Yes-associated protein enhances p73 transcriptional activity. J. Biol. Chem. 276, 15164–15173. doi: 10.1074/jbc.m010484200
- Strassburger, K., Tiebe, M., Pinna, F., Breuhahn, K., and Teleman, A. A. (2012). Insulin/IGF signaling drives cell proliferation in part via Yorkie/YAP. *Dev. Biol.* 367, 187–196. doi: 10.1016/j.ydbio.2012.05.008
- Sudol, M. (1994). Yes-associated protein (YAP65) is a proline-rich phosphoprotein that binds to the SH3 domain of the Yes proto-oncogene product. Oncogene 9, 2145–2152.
- Sudol, M. (2010). Newcomers to the WW domain-mediated network of the hippo tumor suppressor pathway. *Genes Cancer* 1, 1115–1118. doi: 10.1177/ 1947601911401911
- Sudol, M., Chen, H. I., Bougeret, C., Einbond, A., and Bork, P. (1995). Characterization of a novel protein-binding module-the WW domain. *FEBS Lett.* 369, 67–71. doi: 10.1016/0014-5793(95)00550-s
- Sudol, M., and Harvey, K. F. (2010). Modularity in the Hippo signaling pathway. Trends Biochem. Sci. 35, 627–633. doi: 10.1016/j.tibs.2010.05.010
- Suijkerbuijk, S. J., Kolahgar, G., Kucinski, I., and Piddini, E. (2016). Cell competition drives the growth of intestinal adenomas in *Drosophila. Curr. Biol.* 26, 428–438. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2015.12.043
- Sun, G., and Irvine, K. D. (2011). Regulation of Hippo signaling by Jun kinase signaling during compensatory cell proliferation and regeneration, and in neoplastic tumors. *Dev. Biol.* 350, 139–151. doi: 10.1016/j.ydbio.2010.11.036
- Sun, G., and Irvine, K. D. (2013). Ajuba family proteins link JNK to Hippo signaling. Sci. Signal. 6:ra81. doi: 10.1126/scisignal.2004324
- Tapon, N., Harvey, K., Bell, D., Wahrer, D., Schiripo, T., Haber, D., et al. (2002). salvador promotes both cell cycle exit and apoptosis in *Drosophila* and is mutated in human cancer cell lines. *Cell* 110, 467–468.
- Thermann, R., and Hentze, M. W. (2007). Drosophila miR2 induces pseudopolysomes and inhibits translation initiation. *Nature* 447, 875–878. doi: 10. 1038/nature05878
- Thompson, B. J., and Cohen, M. S. (2006). The Hippo pathway regulates the bantam microRNA to control cell proliferation and apoptosis in *Drosophila*. *Cell* 126, 767–774. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2006.07.013

- Thompson, B. J., Mathieu, J., Sung, H. H., Loeser, E., Rorth, P., and Cohen, S. M. (2005). Tumor suppressor properties of the ESCRT-II complex component Vps25 in *Drosophila*. *Dev. Cell* 9, 711–720. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2005.09.020
- Tsai, K. K., and Yuan, Z. M. (2003). c-Abl stabilizes p73 by a phosphorylationaugmented interaction. *Cancer Res.* 63, 3418–3424.
- Tumaneng, K., Schlegelmilch, K., Russell, R. C., Yimlamai, D., Basnet, H., Mahadevan, N., et al. (2012). YAP mediates crosstalk between the Hippo and PI(3)K-TOR pathways by suppressing PTEN via miR-29. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 14, 1322–1329. doi: 10.1038/ncb2615
- Udan, R. S., Kango-Singh, M., Nolo, R., Tao, C., and Halder, G. (2003). Hippo promotes proliferation arrest and apoptosis in the Salvador/Warts pathway. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 5, 914–920. doi: 10.1038/ncb1050
- Uhlirova, M., and Bohmann, D. (2006). JNK- and Fos-regulated Mmp1 expression cooperates with Ras to induce invasive tumors in *Drosophila*. *EMBO J.* 25, 5294–5304. doi: 10.1038/sj.emboj.7601401
- Uhlirova, M., Jasper, H., and Bohmann, D. (2005). Non-cell-autonomous induction of tissue overgrowth by JNK/Ras cooperation in a *Drosophila* tumor model. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 102, 13123–13128. doi: 10.1073/pnas.05041 70102
- Varelas, X., Sakuma, R., Samavarchi-Tehrani, P., Peerani, R., Rao, B. M., Dembowy, J., et al. (2008). TAZ controls Smad nucleocytoplasmic shuttling and regulates human embryonic stem-cell self-renewal. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 10, 837–848. doi: 10. 1038/ncb1748
- Varelas, X., Samavarchi-Tehrani, P., Narimatsu, M., Weiss, A., Cockburn, K., Larsen, B. G., et al. (2010). The crumbs complex couples cell density sensing to Hippo-dependent control of the TGF-beta-SMAD pathway. *Dev. Cell* 19, 831–844. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2010.11.012
- Vassilev, A., Kaneko, K. J., Shu, H., Zhao, Y., and DePamphilis, M. L. (2001). TEAD/TEF transcription factors utilize the activation domain of YAP65, a Src/Yes-associated protein localized in the cytoplasm. *Genes Dev.* 15, 1229– 1241. doi: 10.1101/gad.888601
- Verghese, S., Bedi, S., and Kango-Singh, M. (2012a). Hippo signalling controls Dronc activity to regulate organ size in *Drosophila*. *Cell Death Differ*. 19, 1664–1676. doi: 10.1038/cdd.2012.48
- Verghese, S., Waghmare, I., Kwon, H., Hanes, K., and Kango-Singh, M. (2012b). Scribble acts in the *Drosophila* fat-hippo pathway to regulate warts activity. *PLoS One* 7:e47173. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0047173
- Waghmare, I., and Kango-Singh, M. (2016). Loss of cell adhesion increases tumorigenic potential of polarity deficient scribble mutant cells. *PLoS One* 11:e0158081. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0158081
- Waghmare, I., Roebke, A., Minata, M., Kango-Singh, M., and Nakano, I. (2014). Intercellular cooperation and competition in brain cancers: lessons from *Drosophila* and human studies. *Stem Cells Transl. Med.* 3, 1262–1268. doi: 10.5966/sctm.2014-0086
- Wang, K., Degerny, C., Xu, M., and Yang, X. J. (2009). YAP, TAZ, and Yorkie: a conserved family of signal-responsive transcriptional coregulators in animal development and human disease. *Biochem. Cell Biol.* 87, 77–91. doi: 10.1139/ O08-114
- Wang, Q., Hurd, T. W., and Margolis, B. (2004). Tight junction protein Par6 interacts with an evolutionarily conserved region in the amino terminus of PALS1/stardust. J. Biol. Chem. 279, 30715–30721. doi: 10.1074/jbc.m401930200
- Wei, X., Shimizu, T., and Lai, Z. C. (2007). Mob as tumor suppressor is activated by Hippo kinase for growth inhibition in *Drosophila*. *EMBO J.* 26, 1772–1781. doi: 10.1038/sj.emboj.7601630
- Weissmann, S., Cloos, P. A., Sidoli, S., Jensen, O. N., Pollard, S., and Helin, K. (2018). The tumor suppressor CIC directly regulates MAPK pathway genes via histone deacetylation. *Cancer Res.* 78, 4114–4125. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472. CAN-18-0342
- Willecke, M., Hamaratoglu, F., Kango-Singh, M., Udan, R., Chen, C. L., Tao, C., et al. (2006). The fat cadherin acts through the hippo tumor-suppressor pathway to regulate tissue size. *Curr. Biol.* 16, 2090–2100. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2006.09.005
- Wu, M., Pastor-Pareja, J. C., and Xu, T. (2010). Interaction between Ras(V12) and scribbled clones induces tumour growth and invasion. *Nature* 463, 545–548. doi: 10.1038/nature08702
- Wu, S., Huang, J., Dong, J., and Pan, D. (2003). hippo encodes a Ste-20 family protein kinase that restricts cell proliferation and promotes apoptosis in conjunction with salvador and warts. *Cell* 114, 445–456. doi: 10.1016/s0092-8674(03)00549-x

- Wu, S., Liu, Y., Zheng, Y., Dong, J., and Pan, D. (2008). The TEAD/TEF family protein Scalloped mediates transcriptional output of the Hippo growthregulatory pathway. *Dev. Cell* 14, 388–398. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2008.01.007
- Wullschleger, S., Loewith, R., and Hall, M. N. (2006). TOR signaling in growth and metabolism. *Cell* 124, 471–484.
- Xu, J., Vanderzalm, P. J., Ludwig, M., Su, T., Tokamov, S. A., and Fehon, R. G. (2018). Yorkie functions at the cell cortex to promote myosin activation in a non-transcriptional manner. *Dev. Cell* 46, 271–284.E5. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel. 2018.06.017
- Xu, T., Wang, W., Zhang, S., Stewart, R. A., and Yu, W. (1995). Identifying tumour suppressors in genetic mosaics: the *Drosophila* lats gene encodes a putative protein kinase. *Development* 121, 1053–1063.
- Yu, J., and Pan, D. (2018). Validating upstream regulators of Yorkie activity in Hippo signaling through scalloped-based genetic epistasis. *Development* 145:dev157545. doi: 10.1242/dev.157545
- Yu, J., Zheng, Y., Dong, J., Klusza, S., Deng, W. M., and Pan, D. (2010). Kibra functions as a tumor suppressor protein that regulates Hippo signaling in conjunction with Merlin and expanded. *Dev. Cell* 18, 288–299. doi: 10.1016/j. devcel.2009.12.012
- Zecca, M., and Struhl, G. (2010). A feed-forward circuit linking wingless, fatdachsous signaling, and the warts-hippo pathway to *Drosophila* wing growth. *PLoS Biol.* 8:e1000386. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.1000386
- Zhang, L., Ren, F., Zhang, Q., Chen, Y., Wang, B., and Jiang, J. (2008). The TEAD/TEF family of transcription factor Scalloped mediates Hippo signaling in organ size control. *Dev. Cell* 14, 377–387. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2008.01.006
- Zhang, J., Smolen, G. A., and Haber, D. A. (2008). Negative regulation of YAP by LATS1 underscores evolutionary conservation of the *Drosophila* Hippo pathway. *Cancer Res.* 68, 2789–2794. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-07-6205
- Zhang, P., Pei, C., Wang, X., Xiang, J., Sun, B. F., Cheng, Y., et al. (2017). A Balance of Yki/Sd activator and E2F1/Sd repressor complexes controls cell survival and affects organ size. *Dev. Cell* 43, 603.e5–617.e5. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2017. 10.033
- Zhang, S., Guo, X., Wu, H., Sun, Y., Ma, X., Li, J., et al. (2019). Wingless modulates activator protein-1-mediated tumor invasion. *Oncogene* 38, 3871–3885. doi: 10.1038/s41388-018-0629-x
- Zhang, W., and Cohen, S. M. (2013). The Hippo pathway acts via p53 and microRNAs to control proliferation and proapoptotic gene expression during tissue growth. *Biol. Open.* 2, 822–828. doi: 10.1242/bio.20134317
- Zhang, X., Milton, C. C., Humbert, P. O., and Harvey, K. F. (2009). Transcriptional output of the Salvador/warts/hippo pathway is controlled in distinct fashions in

Drosophila melanogaster and mammalian cell lines. Cancer Res. 69, 6033–6041. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-08-4592

- Zhang, X., Milton, C. C., Poon, C. L., Hong, W., and Harvey, K. F. (2011). Wbp2 cooperates with Yorkie to drive tissue growth downstream of the Salvador-Warts-Hippo pathway. *Cell Death. Differ.* 18, 1346–1355. doi: 10.1038/cdd. 2011.6
- Zhao, B., Lei, Q. Y., and Guan, K. L. (2008a). The Hippo-YAP pathway: new connections between regulation of organ size and cancer. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* 20, 638–646. doi: 10.1016/j.ceb.2008.10.001
- Zhao, B., Ye, X., Yu, J., Li, L., Li, W., Li, S., et al. (2008b). TEAD mediates YAPdependent gene induction and growth control. *Genes Dev.* 22, 1962–1971. doi: 10.1101/gad.1664408
- Zhao, B., Li, L., Tumaneng, K., Wang, C. Y., and Guan, K. L. (2010). A coordinated phosphorylation by Lats and CK1 regulates YAP stability through SCF(beta-TRCP). *Genes Dev.* 24, 72–85. doi: 10.1101/gad.1843810
- Zhao, B., Li, L., Wang, L., Wang, C. Y., Yu, J., and Guan, K. L. (2012). Cell detachment activates the Hippo pathway via cytoskeleton reorganization to induce anoikis. *Genes Dev.* 26, 54–68. doi: 10.1101/gad.173435.111
- Zhao, B., Tumaneng, K., and Guan, K. L. (2011). The Hippo pathway in organ size control, tissue regeneration and stem cell self-renewal. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 13, 877–883. doi: 10.1038/ncb2303
- Zhao, B., Wei, X., Li, W., Udan, R. S., Yang, Q., Kim, J., et al. (2007). Inactivation of YAP oncoprotein by the Hippo pathway is involved in cell contact inhibition and tissue growth control. *Genes Dev.* 21, 2747–2761. doi: 10.1101/gad.1602907
- Zheng, Y., Wang, W., Liu, B., Deng, H., Uster, E., and Pan, D. (2015). Identification of Happyhour/MAP4K as Alternative Hpo/Mst-like Kinases in the Hippo Kinase Cascade. *Dev. Cell* 34, 642–655. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2015. 08.014

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2019 Snigdha, Gangwani, Lapalikar, Singh and Kango-Singh. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.