Engineering Conferences International ECI Digital Archives

Shotcrete for Underground Support XIV

Proceedings

11-19-2019

Investigations regarding the pumping process of wet-mix shotcrete improvement and upgrading of underground traffic structures

Johannes Flotzinger University of Regensburg, Germany

Maria Thumann OTH Regensburg, Germany, maria1.thumann@oth-regensburg.de

Florian Steindl Graz University of Technology

Florian Mittermayr Graz University of Technology

Benedikt Lindlar Sika Services AG, Zürich

Follow this and additional works at: https://dc.engconfintl.org/shotcrete_xiv See next page for additional authors Part of the Engineering Commons

Recommended Citation

Johannes Flotzinger, Maria Thumann, Florian Steindl, Florian Mittermayr, Benedikt Lindlar, and Wolfgang Kusterle, "Investigations regarding the pumping process of wet-mix shotcrete improvement and upgrading of underground traffic structures" in "Shotcrete for Underground Support XIV", Matthias Beisler, ILF Consulting Engineers Asia, Ltd., Thailand Preedee Ngamsantikul, Thailand Underground and Tunneling Group (TUTG), Thailand Herbert Klapperich, TU Freiberg, Germany Eds, ECI Symposium Series, (2019). https://dc.engconfintl.org/shotcrete_xiv/6

This Abstract and Presentation is brought to you for free and open access by the Proceedings at ECI Digital Archives. It has been accepted for inclusion in Shotcrete for Underground Support XIV by an authorized administrator of ECI Digital Archives. For more information, please contact franco@bepress.com.

Authors

Johannes Flotzinger, Maria Thumann, Florian Steindl, Florian Mittermayr, Benedikt Lindlar, and Wolfgang Kusterle

Investigations regarding the pumping process of wet-mix shotcrete

Johannes Flotzinger, Maria Thumann, Prof. Wolfgang Kusterle (OTH Regensburg) Lukas Briendl, Florian Steindl, Florian Mittermayr (Graz University of Technology), Benedikt Lindlar (Sika Services AG, Zürich)



Shotcrete for Underground Support XIV

Outline

- 1. ASSpC
- 2. Stability and rheology
- 3. Shotcrete rig and sensors
- 4. Results
- 5. Resume
- 6. Outlook



ASSpC (Advanced and Sustainable Sprayed Concrete)

- Increase of durability of construction/shotcrete
- Use of sustainable materials
- Reduction of environmental footprint
- →Low maintenance costs→Good life cycle performance



Advanced & Sustainable Sprayed Concrete







Goals

Fresh concrete tests:

• What measurements can be made to determine the rheology and stability of the shotcrete?

Pressure tests:

• How do the flow rate and hence the pressures affect the quality of the spray pattern?

→ Can the pumping pressure be determinated before the spraying process by fresh concrete properties?



Schematic illustration



Source: Kasten, K.: Gleitrohr-Rheometer. Ein Verfahren zur Bestimmung der Fließeigenschaften von Dickstoffen in Rohrleitungen. Dissertation. Dresden 2009.



Experimental setup

Common tests for describing the fresh concrete properties:

- Flow table spread or slump flow
- The Austrian guideline for shotcrete recommends a flow spread of $600 \pm 50 \text{ mm}$
- The segregation, the demand of water and the dosage of super-plasticiser must be taken into account
- Investigations of *Reinhold, Secrieru et al., Kasten, Jacobsen and Ngo* showed that flow spread and slump flow are insufficient for describing the pumpability of concrete





EN 12350-2:2019



Test methods of the fresh concrete



- Flow table spread
- Filter pressing test
- SLIPER (SLIding PipE Rheometer)

Flow table spread













■ bleeding 15 min

■ bleeding 60 min

Filter press results at different w/b ratios



SLIPER (SLIding PipE Rheometer)





SLIPER (SLIding PipE Rheometer)



ASSpC

ECHNOLOGIE NNSBRUCK

SLIPER (SLIding PipE Rheometer)





Sliper measurement

Example



- Y-axis: The maximum pressure
 - measured by the sensor
- X-axis: Flow rate
 - Velocity*Cross section
- Two parameters of the lubricant layer can be obtained:
 - a represents the "yield stress"
 - b represents the "viscosity"



Formula for calculating the concrete pressure (ps)

Depends on:

- a (Sliper-Yield stress)
- b (Sliper-Viscosity)
- Diameter of the pipe
- Flow rate
- Density of the concrete
- Delivery head (assumed 5m)



 $p_S = a \cdot 4 \cdot \frac{L_{\ddot{a}}}{D} + b \cdot 16 \cdot Q \cdot \frac{L_{\ddot{a}}}{\pi \cdot D^3} + \rho \cdot g \cdot H$

Stability and Rheology





Shotcrete rig





Filling of the shotcrete pump

Checking the sensors



The sensors







Data logger



Mix-Design		Reference	w/b-High			
w/b	[-]	0,47	0,52			
Water	[dm³/m³]	189	204			
Fines*	[dm³/m³]	170	159			
Air void content (measured)	[dm³/m³]	8	10			
Total paste volume	[dm³/m³]	367	373			
*cement+aggregates < 0.125mm+additives						





Pressures of all sensors during one Measurement (Reference)





Comparison of the concrete pump pressures at different flow rates but with the same mixture (Reference)





AsspC-KAT3-190306-150340/K7



Comparison of the accelerator nozzle pressures at different flow rates





NNSBRUCK

ASSpC







AsspC-KAT3-190305-112954/113847



Comparison of the concrete and accelerator pump pressures with a suspension and a dissolution accelerator





Indications for an arising blockage





The occuring blockage



Pressure drop

AsspC-KAT3-190305-122045



Pressure drop between two strokes at a flow rate of 12 m³/h of the reference mix



Pressure drop



Pressure drop between two strokes at a flow rate of 18 m³/h of the reference mixture



Pressure drop



Pressure drop between two strokes at a flow rate of 24 m³/h of the reference mixture











Pressure history during a pressure drop at different flow rates (reference mix)









Sliper viscosity vs. Concrete pump pressure





Concrete pump pressure measured



Sliper viscosity vs. Hydraulic pressure

Mix-Design		Reference	w/b_high	air_high
w/b		0,49	0,52	0,49
CEM II	[dm ³ /m ³]	131	132	133
Water	[dm ³ /m ³]	197	208	196
Aggregate ≤ 0,125 mm	[dm ³ /m ³]	46	46	42
Air void content	[dm ³ /m ³]	32	15	90
Paste volume	[dm ³ /m ³]	406	401	461



Sliper viscosity vs. Hydraulic pressure





Resume

- Pumpability/Workability of shotcrete depends on:
 - Stability of the mix-design
 - Paste volume
 - Rheology of the paste
- Higher flow rates result in higher pumping pressures
- Measurements of the pumping pressures confirm that the pumpability can be estimated by using results from:
 - Filterpress
 - Sliding Pipe Rheometer



Outlook

The section of the pressure drop might be optimized

- The interrupted concrete flow, when changing between the two pistons pushing the concrete, should be optimized
- Accelerator dosing has to be adapted during the switch between the two pistons
- Uniform material streams would result in better and more homogeneous hardened shotcrete properties (strength, modulus of elasticity, chemical resistance, etc.)



Thank you for your attention!



