



University of Kentucky
UKnowledge

International Grassland Congress Proceedings

22nd International Grassland Congress

Performance of Some Forages Species (*Festuca arundinacea* L., *Chloris gayana* var. Katambora, *Lotus corniculatus* L. and *Medicago sativa* L.) in Saline Soil

Süleyman Temel
Igdir University, Turkey

Uğur Şimşek
Igdir University, Turkey

Bilal Keskin
Igdir University, Turkey

İbrahim H. Yılmaz
Igdir University, Turkey

Follow this and additional works at: <https://uknowledge.uky.edu/igc>



Part of the [Plant Sciences Commons](#), and the [Soil Science Commons](#)

This document is available at <https://uknowledge.uky.edu/igc/22/1/16>

The 22nd International Grassland Congress (Revitalising Grasslands to Sustain Our Communities) took place in Sydney, Australia from September 15 through September 19, 2013.

Proceedings Editors: David L. Michalk, Geoffrey D. Millar, Warwick B. Badgery, and Kim M.

Broadfoot

Publisher: New South Wales Department of Primary Industry, Kite St., Orange New South Wales, Australia

This Event is brought to you for free and open access by the Plant and Soil Sciences at UKnowledge. It has been accepted for inclusion in International Grassland Congress Proceedings by an authorized administrator of UKnowledge. For more information, please contact UKnowledge@lsv.uky.edu.

Performance of some forages species (*Festuca arundinacea* L., *Chloris gayana* var. Katambora, *Lotus corniculatus* L. and *Medicago sativa* L.) in saline soil

Süleyman Temel, Uğur Şimşek, Bilal Keskin and İbrahim H Yılmaz

Iğdir University Agricultural Faculty, Iğdir, Turkey, www.igdir.edu.tr

Contact email: stemel33@hotmail.com

Keywords: Forage species, soil salinity, hay yield, leaf area index, salt tolerance.

Introduction

Salinity is a soil degradation process which reduces plant diversity and agricultural productivity, decreases fertility and devalues the land in regions with arid and semi-arid climate. This process inhibits water and nutrient intake of plants from soil due to changeable nitrogen percentage and/or the intensity of soluble salt concentration. When the fact that agricultural lands are limited around the world and that the need for nutrition increases incrementally is taken into consideration, it is obvious that available lands should be used more effectively. Hence, it is quite crucial to reclaim saline soil and utilize it more economically (Woods 1996).

Wrong irrigation methods, poor quality irrigation water and insufficient drainage have resulted in salinity and alkalinity problems and limited production capacity in the lands. Improving these soils can be possible either by using different chemical treatment, appropriate drainage systems, or by growing plant species durable to salt-alkaline (Yadav 1980; Chaturvedi *et al.* 1987; Singh 1989; Garg 1998). Many previous studies were conducted on bio-reclamation, which is easy and economical to apply and significant results were obtained in the improvement of disturbed soil (Quadir and Oster 2002; Akhter *et al.* 2004; Sandhu and Qureshi 1986; Qureshi and Barrett-Lennard 1998). In this study, it is aimed to determine fodder plant species to be used in the utilization of saline soil in the plain of Iğdir.

Methods

The study was conducted on irrigable lands of Iğdir plain in Eastern Turkey in 2011. Annual precipitation and evaporation rates of the study area were 254 mm and 1094 mm, respectively. The experiment was established

on two locations with different soil properties (Saline = 9.74 ECe ds/m and Control= 0.42 ECe ds/m). Study materials were two grasses (*Chloris gayana* var. Katambora and *Festuca arundinacea* L.) and two legume (*Medicago sativa* L. and *Lotus corniculatus* L.) forages with different salt tolerance degrees. For both locations, grasses plants were harvested twice throughout the year. In legume plants, while alfalfa was harvested three times in both locations, bird's foot trefoil was harvested three times in the control and four times in saline. Leaf area index and fresh hay and hay weights were measured. In the results, average harvests and total harvests were presented in leaf area index and plant weights, respectively. The experiment was started with micro plots of 3 m x 4 m in randomized complete block design with three replications. The data were assessed with variance analysis and the differences between mean values with Duncan multiple comparison test.

Results

Average leaf area index of plants grown under normal soil conditions (3.3 cm²) and total fresh hay yield (33 t/ha) and hay yield (8.8 t/ha) were found to be significantly higher than saline soils. For both locations, the highest leaf area index, fresh hay yield and hay yield were in Alfalfa plant, and the lowest values were in Tall fescue. As for reducing rates in fresh hay yield and hay yield of plants, it is clearly observed that the decrease in legumes is more than that in grasses. For instance, while reducing rates in the fresh hay yield and hay yield for the plants of *M. sativa* and *L. corniculatus* ranged between 56 % and 62 %; the rate for *C. gayana* var. Katambora and *F. arundinacea* was between 10 % and 27 % (Table 1).

Table 1. Fresh hay yield and hay yield and leaf area index of some plants grown in saline and non-saline soils.

Species	Fresh hay Yield (t/ha)				Hay Yield (t/ha)				Leaf Area Index(cm ²)			
	NSS	SS	RR(%)	Av	NSS	SS	RR(%)	Av	NSS	SS	RR(%)	Av
<i>F. arundinacea</i>	14.60	11.49	21.2	13.05 c	5.70	4.15	27.0	4.93 c	1.81	1.61	11.0	1.71 c
<i>C. gayana</i>	27.47	21.16	22.95	24.32 b	6.53	5.86	10.26	6.20 b	4.04	3.69	8.6	3.87 b
<i>M. sativa</i>	55.97	21.24	62.03	38.61 a	14.33	6.10	57.4	10.22 a	5.03	4.48	10.93	4.75 a
<i>L. corniculatus</i>	34.53	15.24	55.85	24.89 b	8.75	3.53	59.67	6.14 b	2.19	1.98	9.5	2.09 c
Mean	33.14 a	17.28 b			8.83 a	4.91b			3.27 a	2.94b		

NSS: non-saline soil, SS:saline soil, AV:average, RR: reducing rate

Although *M. sativa* L. is more vulnerable in saline soil than other species, fresh hay yield and hay yield and leaf area index from these two plants within the year was found to be high. Also, it was found that leaf area index and fresh hay yield and hay yield from *C.gayana* in saline soil were similar to those of alfalfa.

Conclusion

For higher fresh hay and hay yield in saline soils, it is recommended that *M. sativa*. and *C. gayana* var. Katombora are planted. *L. corniculatus* and *F. arundinacea* can also perform reasonably well in saline soil. On the other hand, a mixture of grasses and legumes is also preferable.

Acknowledgment

The authors wish to thank the Turkish Scientific and Technological Research Council (TUBITAK) for their support of this research.

References

- Akhter J, Murray R, Mahmood K, Malik KA, Ahmed S (2004) Improvement of degraded physical properties of a saline-sodic soil by reclamation with Kallar Grass (*Leptochloa fusca*). *Plant and Soil* **258**, 207-216.
- Chaturvedi AN, Jain RK, Garg VK (1987) Afforestation of user soils - a case study. International Symposium Paper, Central Soil Salinity Research Institute, Karnal, pp. 163-78.
- Garg VK (1998) Interaction of tree crops with a sodic soil environment: Potential for rehabilitation of degraded environments. *Land Degradation & Rehabilitation* **9**, 81-93.
- Qadir M, Oster JD (2002) Vegetative bioremediation of calcareous sodic soils: History mechanisms and evaluation. *Irrigation Science* **21**, 91-101.
- Qureshi RH, Barrett-Lennard EG (1998) Saline agriculture for irrigated land in Pakistan: A handbook, ACIAR Monograph No. 50, Australian Centre for International Agricultural Research, Canberra, pp. 142.
- Sandhu GR, Qureshi RH (1986) Salt-affected soils of Pakistan and their utilization. *Reclamation and Revegetation Research* **5**, 105-113.
- Singh B (1989) Rehabilitation of alkaline waste land on the gangetic alluvial plain of Uttar Pradesh, India, through afforestation. *Land Degradation & Rehabilitation* **1**, 305-310.
- Woods SA (1996) Salinity tolerance of ornamental trees and shrubs, Alberta agriculture. Food and Rural Development. <http://www.agric.gov.ab.ca/soil/satroot.html>.
- Yadav JSP (1980) Salt affected soils and their afforestation. *Indian Forester* **106**, 159-272.