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## CHAPTER I

## AN INTRODUCIION TO THE BTUDY

The study is specifically concerned with the academic performance of 'Ieacher Education Scholarship students attending Eastern Illinois University. Information on each student involved in the study was secured from the records office, the admissions office, and the placement office of Eastern Illinois Úniversity.

Specific areas were chosen for study in hope that the study would show any significant differences or relationships between scholarship students and non-scholarship students. The classes of 1959 and 1961 were chosen for stuay in order to establish two different groups that would not be involved in the study more than one time. Ihe non-scholarship group was included in order to show a comparison between the performance of the two different groups.

The purposes of this study are described in two diłt'erent areas. 'he first is in the area of yielding to the university a more valid and complete idea as to how the scholarship students perform in conparison to non-scholarship students, and the second is to explain the legal procedure involved in awarding the scholarships.

The next section of the paper, that of aefinition of terins, seeks to clarify the terms used in the study.

## CHAPMER II

## DEFINTLION OF TERML USED IN THe STUDY

The foliowing listing def゙ines the more important terms used in the study.

1. Teacher Education Scholarship Law:

The law passed by the ILlinois General assembly, which provides for the Teacher Education Scholarship as it exists in its present form.
2. Ieacher Education Echolarship:

The type of scholarship developed oy the llinois Legislature and controlied by the state superintendent of Public Instruction, for the financial benefit of certain college or university students who declare their intention to teach in the public schools of Illinois.
3. Original Scholarship:

A type of l'eacher Educauion Scholarsnip given to the person originally qualified to receive the scnolarship. The original scholarship is the first scholarship award to a student who is academically qualified in his high school graduating class.
4. State Pool:

The control area in the Office of the stave superintenaent of Public Instruction concerned with the re-alloting of scholarships to qualified stidents once they have been returned to the state office, from the different county superintendents.
5. state Pool Scholarship:

The scholarship awaraed to any illinois high school graduating student who is deemed qualified by the local county superintenaent of schools, but only after the scholarship has been awarded and then returned to the State Pool. The Btate Pool Scholarship carries the same benefits as the original scholarship but usually for a lesser number of terms.
6. Inter-Departmental Relationship:

The relationship, by departments, oil student gride point averages as compared between the scholarship students and the non-scholarship students.

## 7. Graduating Classes:

The classes of Eastern Illinois Universtiy suudents that graduated in either 1959 or 1951 . Also incluaded are any students who may have graduated at the end of any quarter during each of the mentioned years.

## CHAPIES III

## RELATED RESEARCH

A study complete 3 the University of Arkansas in 1956, on the hish school background of Military scholarship students based the results of the research on the different high school courses the students completed in high school.l そhe University of Arkansas study describes the nature of a sampling of different high school curciculums and relates the performance of the student in the university with the background the student had while in high school.
 students at the university were in the upper one-half of their high school graduating class, while 30\% of the awardees were in the lower one-half of their high school graduating class; and at the same time described $2 \%$ as having not graduated from high school. 'l'he study was done over a period of two years and shows a relationship between the different years as nearly the same. l'he results of the study are summarized in trable $I$ on the folloving page. I'his pilot study describes a pattern of relationship similar to the present study in that it suggests a general
l. w. A. Hortley, "ivilitary Scholarships," A Study of Their success at the University of arkansas, Journal of Educational Research, Vol. III, No. II (1957), pp. 191-194.

TABLE I

1A. Graduating from high school in 1954-55. ..... 130 ..... 97
2A. Not graduating from high school in $1954-55$. ..... 3
3A. Totals of the group................................ 134 ..... 100
1B. Graduating from high school in 1955-56...... 142 ..... 98
2B. Wot graduating $n_{8}$ from high school in 1955-55.. 3 ..... 2
3B. Motels of the group ..... 145 ..... 100
10. Graduated in upper half of moN. class 55-56. 101 ..... 69
2C. Graduated in lower half of H.S. class 55-56. 33 ..... 31
3C. Totals of the group ..... 134 ..... 100
1D. Graciuated in upper half of H.3. class 55-5E. 104 ..... 68.5
2D. Graduated in lower half of H.S. class 55-56. ..... 41 ..... 31.5
3D. Totals of the group 145 ..... 100
hypothesis that the upver half performers in high school are the same students who receive the larger percentage oit the scholarship awards.
finother study similar to the present study was done at the University of Virginia. Jhis stuay was completed in 1957 by the Academic Admissions Council of the University. It involved students admitted in 1951 and graduating in 1955 who were on various academic scholarships, ana students entering in 1953 who were on the same types of scholarships. i'hese studencs were on scholarships awarded by different industrial and manufacturing companies plus stuaents on merit and high school achievement scholarships. 'hese students came from many different states.

Each graduating class, (1955 and 1956), was described in chronological pattern. Whe objective of the stuay was to determine if students of one graduating class or entering class performed as well, academically speaking, as another enterins or graduating class of the same category.

Each entering group had a simils arop-out pattern after eight semesters. ITwenty-seven percent droped from the 1951 entering group while $31 \%$ aropped from the $15 \zeta \zeta$ entering group. At the same time, each group had a simılar ratio of students in the sciences and laboratory curriculum.

The 1951 entering group had a larger percentage of studentis on aduitional college achievement scholarships after entering

Than did the 1953 enterin group. Lwo poinc one percent of the 1951 entering group received adaitional grants, while the 1553 entering group had $1.1 \%$ receiving additional grsits or awards.

There was little aifference in the academic pertormance of the 48 such students in the 1951 entering group ana the 51 such students in the 1953 entering group. Those entering in 1951 attained a grade point averace of 3.33 while the group ertering in 1953 attained a grade point average of 3.29 . A 3.0 at the University of Virginia is a "B". The greatest significance in difference was attained inter-departmentally, b.y descrioinf the difference in grade point average between groups in the Language Department, in which over $90 \%$ of each group participated. The 1951 entering group attained a group averace of 2.5 while the 1953 group attained a 2.9 average. ${ }^{2}$ Virginia," University Record, Vol. LII, (1958), pp. 221-227.

## CHAPTER IV

## OBJECJIVES AND RGOCHUJGES

The objectives of this study are aiviaed into two sepurate areas, the general and the specific.

The primary objective of the suay was to provide fastern Illinois Universıty with informauion about 'leacher Eaucation scholarship students, as to their oerfornance and position with regard to the remaining students at the University.

To define the general objective to a further degree, the following specific odjectives were established.

1. To establsih the relationsnip of performances of 'jeacher Education Scholarship students whth the non-scholarship studenus of Eastern Illirois Universıty.
2. Lo descride the pattern of perfornance oi two graduating classes, specifically the clases of 1959 and 1961.
j. To describe an up-to-date aetiniuion of the legislative acts and laws concerning the qualilications of the scholarship students.
3. To describe the performance of scholarship students in the inter-departmental areas.

In statine the problem of perfornance of the scnolarship stuaents, a consideracion of the qualifications of the students that were the recipients of this trpe of awara seemea to be desirable. 'lhe related stuaies of chanter three have described this relationship.

## CHAPIER V

 OR THE AUARDIVG OF TEACHER EDJCAHION BCHULARSHIPS

Several different articies ure available which pertain to the awarding of teacher education scholarships in Illinois. A discussion of these articles allows for an explanation of the awards.

> "At the end of each school year, thero shall be awarded to each recognied four year public, private, or parochial school yith an enrollment of fewer than 500 students, 4 scholarships; to each such school with an enrollment of joo to 1,000 students, inclusive, 5 scholarships; and to each such school with an erroliment of more than 1,000 stuaents, 6 scholarships. 3 .
section 30-1 of said article also states that all orisingl and returned scholarships snall be availavle oniy to upper one-half graduating high school stuaents, at the state universities in Iliinois. The same article states that there shall be no fees paid by the scholarships except those required as registration fees by any of the state universities. 'These fees may include matriculation fees, tuition and activity fees, and any other fees not in connection with support of building bond or construction events and procedures. ${ }^{4}$

Section 30-2 of the Illinois Scholarship Law describes the methods by which the scholarships are awaraed, both as
3. Illinois Revised statutes, "The Ammended "eacher Education Scholarship Law, "Vol. II, (1961), pp. 1718-1721.
4. Ibid., pp. 1719-1720.
original scholarships and as returned or state pool scholarships. This section clarifies the area of who shall be responsible for the awarding in local situations and what the responsibilities oí each person involved are.

This section states that the high school principal must submit to the county superintendent, a list of names involving all students graduating in the upper one-half of their high school graduating class. This list must pertain only to those that graduated in the last year and must be in orajer of rank as to their position in graduating from high school. the list submitted by the principal shall show indications as to who intends to train or prepare for instruction in the public schools of Illinois.

The county superintendent must then submit to the sitate : uperintendent of Public Instruction, the names and addresses of all students certified in the upper one-half of their high school graduating classes. The superintenderit then awards the scholarship to those students qualified by rank first in each different size high school, and then if there is a remaining scholarship in each or any school, it is so awarded to the next highest qualified student in the upper one-half of his class. 'the State Superintendent then presents the scholarships to the local or county superintendents who make the awards directly to the student.

If any high school fails to use the total number of sllotted scholarships, the high school principal must certify this to the
county superintendent, who in turn inforns the State Superintendent. This scholarship then goes to the state pool. Any scholarship in the state pool can be awaraed to any graduate of the public, private, or parochial schools in Illinois if they are in the upper one-half of their high school graduating class and have not been out of high school inore than one year. ${ }^{5}$

Section 30-14 of the ILlinois Scholarship Law describes the methous by which the law is financed. Hhe law itself has never received a specified proportion of the state monies, in order to inaintain an exact budget. The financial support of the scholarship is granted througn the budget of the General Eaucation Fund. The major expense is the handling of the scholarships and the personnel involved in awarding them. 'the scholarship itself is simply a device of money in accordance with the amount of the fees the scholarship covers at the different state universities. ${ }^{6}$ The intention of the law and the State of Illinois is to lessen the burden of fees and billing for those who certify their intention to teach in the public school of Illinois.

Over sixteen-hundred recipients of the scholarship are now attending the five different state universities. llany of these students are full time, while others attend on a part time basis. The state feels it wise to keep the controls of the scholarship law intact, to provide for future teachers in tne state.
5. Illinois Revised Statutes, "Ihe Ammended Illinois Scholarship law, "VoI. II, (196I), pp. 1719.
6. Ibid., pp. 1'718-1719.

## CHAPTER VI

## RGSULTS AND CONOLUSIONS

In tabulating the results of the stud.y, the author has first describea the aifferent areas in total conbinations, and then considered the inaiviausl years totaled separately.

Table II describes an analysis oi completion of curriculums of scholarship students entering in 1955 and 1957, compared to the same group graduating in 1959 and 1961 , respectively. The table further indicates the areas concerning the overal group of the same graduating and entering years.

Categories one and two inaicate that 150 and 162 students received awards in 1955 and 195 ' respectively. fhe percentage of entering students receiving scholarships each year remained practically constant in relationship to the enrollment increase irom l95 to 1957.

Gategories six and seven in the table indicate that 16.66 and 28.43 percent, respectively, of the 1959-61 classes dropped out before their class was schedule for graduation. This group represents all students who had failed to graduate through Bebruary, 1962. It is interestin: to note the sienificant difference in drop outs from the 1959 class to the 1901 class. There was not a large enough difference in numoer of recıpients from one year to another to allow fior this great a margin.

Categories eight and nine indicate the percentage of graduates of 1959 and 1961 as to the original group entered.

## TABLE II

 1901, KESPECTIVELY

1. Students receiving awards in 1955 ..... 150 ..... *21
2. Students receivin awards in 1957 ..... 162
3. Total number receiving awards 1955-57 ..... 312
4. Scholarship students graauated in 1959 who entered in 1955. ..... 12583.33
5. Scholarship students graauatea in 1961 who entered in 1957. ..... 11671.60
6. Scholarship students dropping out of 1957 entering class. ..... 4828.43
7. Scholarship students aropping out of 1955 entering class ..... 2516.66
8. Totals of scholarship stuaents graduating in 1959-61 who entered in 1955-57 241 of 312 ..... 77.24
9. *Overall students graduating in 1959-61 who entered in 1955 and 1957 ..... 616 of 1377 ..... 44.73
10. Non-scholarship students graduating who entered in 1955 and 1957. 302 of 106528.35
*l-2 Inaicates the percentage of total entering group of 1955 and 1957 who were recipients of reacher tiducation icholarships.
*3 Indicates the siEnificant marginal difference from the group of statement eight.

To relate the two groups further, a tiabuiation of dropouts In the 1957 entering class inaicated $59.4 \%$ of upper one-half high school graduates completıng college prograns, who entered Eastern Illinois University. This is in line with the qualifications of being an upper one-half high school graduate in order to receive a scholarship.

It may be concluded that more students received scholarships in 1957 than in 1955, but the $1955^{\circ}$ entering group had 83. $33 \%$ grauuating in 1959 compared to 71. $60 \%$ gradu ting in 1961. This shows a difference of sligntly more than ll\% of the students of the 1955 entering group graduating than of the 1957 entering group.

Seventy-seven point twenty-four percent oi the scholarship students graauated compared to the 44.73 percent who grauated from the overall group. 'l'his represents a difference of slightly more than $30 \%$ between the two groups of the 1959 and 1961 graduating classes.

In general, the scholurship students finished with a higher grade point average than dia the non-scholarship group, and Table III allows for the conclusion that they actually perform better on an individual basis. Ihis aoes not hold true for all departments as can be seen by Table III.

Table III indicated the performance scale in grade point averages of the classes graduating in 1959 and 1961 as compared to the non-scholarship group of the saine classes graduating.

| SCHOLARSSIP GFOUP |  |  | NON-SCHOLARSHIP GROUP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department | Number$59-61$ |  | Grades of scholarship students 1959 <br> 1961 |  | Average Grade | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & 59-61 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | Grades of Nonscholarship students $1959 \quad 1961$ |  | Average Grade |
| Speech | 5 | 4 | 3.26 | 3.01 | 3.149 | 9 | 9 | 2.86 | 2.75 | 2.79 |
| Art | 2 | 3 | 2.89 | 3.19 | 3.065 | 5 | 6 | 2.70 | 2.55 | 2.62 |
| liathematics | 4 | 4 | 3.23 | 2.77 | 3.006 | 6 | 9 | 2.85 | 2.46 | 2.615 |
| English | 5 | 6 | 2.95 | 3.015 | 2.98 | 6 | 6 | 2.90 | 2.52 | 2.69 |
| Physics | 1 | 0 | 2.86 | ---- | 2.86 | 1 | 4 | 2.40 | 2.67 | 2.61 |
| 'zoology | 4 | 5 | 2.63 | 3.005 | 2.83 | 5 | 5 | 2.36 | 2.65 | 2.47 |
| Social Science | 4 | 7 | 2.66 | 2.81 | 2.76 | 9 | 12 | 2.46 | 2.55 | 2.53 |
| music | 10 | 11 | 2.79 | 2.82 | 2.80 | 11 | 12 | 2.62 | 2.45 | 2.56 |
| Eotany | 3 | 2 | 2.83 | 2.68 | 2.77 | 4 | 4 | 2.60 | 2.40 | 2.50 |
| Elementary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Education | 20 | 22 | 2.72 | 2.75 | 2.73 | 22 | 24 | 2.58 | 2.45 | 2.51 |
| Home Ficonomics | 7 | 7 | 2.635 | 2.73 | 2.68 | 10 | 13 | 2.73 | 2.50 | 2.60 |
| Chemistry | 1 | 1 | 2.32 | 3.00 | 2.66 | 3 | 6 | 2.64 | 2.48 | 2.53 |
| Eusiness Education | 20 | 30 | 2.73 | 2.54 | 2.59 | 22 | 32 | 2.44 | 2.48 | 2.455 |
| Physical <br> Education | 15 | 16 | 2.47 | 2.43 | 2.45 | 14 | 14 | 2.34 | 2.40 | 2.37 |
| Industrial Arts Geography | 4 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | 2.55 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.30 \\ & 2.34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.40 \\ & 2.34 \end{aligned}$ | 6 | 9 | 2.43 2.80 | 2.39 2.50 | 2.40 2.60 |
| Geography | 0 | 1 | - | $2.34$ | $2.34$ | 1 | 2 | 2.80 | 2.50 | 2.60 |
| Totaled Areas | 24 |  | 2.64 | 2.868 | 2.71 | 30 |  | 2.60 | 2.51 | 2.55 |

The performances are broken down into columns by department and year, with the average grade and number of students being shown.

The conclusions drawn from this table are included on the following page, but observation of the totals column indicates the scholarship students performed better than did the non-scholarship students. The grade point average for 241 Teacher Education Scholarship students was 2.71 for all departments while the grade point average was 2.55 for nonscholarship students.

In conclusion, it may be said that Speech, Art, and Mathmatics, are the most consistent in high performance for the scholarship group. Industrial Arts and Physical Education are the most consistent in being at the lower end of the ranking.

Business Education and Elementary Education are consistent in drawing the largest portion of the scholarship holders, but the situation shows at the same time, Business Education scholarship holders have the highest percentage of students accepting positions away from teaching. This is shown by the fact that the Federal Internal Revenue, Block \& Kuhl, Montgomery Ward, State Farm Insurance and the Ohio Oil Company hired over $90 \%$ of the Business scholarship students who graduated in 1959 and 1961 who did not go into teaching immediately upon graduation.

Table IV gives an analysis of the 1959 and 1961 graduating classes concerning honor students on Teacher Education Scholarships as compared to non-scholarship students similar in the honors group.

Sategories one, two, and three, inuicate the numper of students on Feacher faucation Scholarships who graduated with (1) high honors, (2) honors, ana (3) tovals of the froup. It is interesting to note that very few students actually graduated with honors, although they represent examples of holaers of an award defined lesally as a scholarship. This also suggests that students periorming at it hig scholastic level in high school do not necessarily perform at as hi h a level in college. Category four, indicates the much larger percentage of scholariship students attaining no honors as compared to the group with honors.

Categories five, six, seven, ana eight, incicate the same inforiation for the non-scholarship group as thet snown in categories one, two, three, and four ior the scholarship group. It can ee seen that the percentages of the non-scholarship group renain fairly consistent with the percentaes of the scholarship group stated in categories one, two, and ihree.

From lable IV it may be concludea that there is a nearly equal percentage ( 9.558 vs 9.502 ) of students receiving honors Irom the Teacher Education scholarship \&roup as from the NonScholarship Group. This infers tiat scholarship students ao not períorm any oetter, academically speaking, on the honors level, than do the non-scholarship stacuents. In academic areas, other t..an tne honors level, tney do perform better as inuicated oy Table III.

Categories nine anu ten indicate the number oi scholurship suadents by sex. The relationship of males to teaales, inazates specifically, that femiles wiuh scholarshios do not eeriorm any better than male scholarship noluers the honors level.

Gategories eleven and cwelve incicate the same general pattern for non-scnolariship females ana males. Ihere apoears to be only a slight difference in performance oetween the sexes.
uable $V$ inaicates the numoer of scrolarsnip students oy sex and age of each class.

Categories one and two inaicate that the avera e age of scholarship students graduatea in 1959 and 1961, respectivel., were twenty-two years ana eight montha and twenty-two years and ten months, with the total number of each indicated, anci the averase age for each total group for we separate years.

Catefory three of the tade inatoates the average age of the tot:il scholarshio group involvea in the 1959 ana 1901 graduating class. The 241 scholarsinfp students of the two years had an ave page age of twenty-two years una nine months, with the males having an average age of tweniy-tnree years ana one-half onth and the reamles having an average age of twentytwo years and one-half month. It may be concluaed fron this category that the male scholarship students had an average age of one year olaer than the females of the group.

Category four of the table indicates the average age of non-scholarship students graduating in 1959 and 1961. The average

## TABLE IV

AN ANALYSLS OF LHE 1959 AND 1961 GRAMTALNG CLAOSE GONGERNMG HONOR BMUTENLS ON TEACHER EDUCAMTON SCHOLAKBHPS COMPARAD TO


1. 1959-61 Heacher Education Scholarship students graduating with high honors.... 5 of $241 \quad 2.074$
2. 1959-51 Teacher tiducation scholarshio students graduating with honors......... 19 of 241 7.883
3. Teacher تducation Scholurship students graduating with any honors................
24 of 241
9.958
4. Scholarship students with no honors 217 of 241 90.041
5. 1959-61 non-scholirship group with high honors
6 of 302
1.986
6. 1959-51 non-scholarship group with honors 23 of 302 7.615
7. 1959-61 non-scholarship aroup with any honors.................................... 29 of 3029.502
8. ivon-scholarship stauents with no honors 270 of 302 89.403
9. Heacher Sducation scholarship females with honors. 14 of 138
10.144
10. Teacher Education males with honors..... 10 or 103 9.708
ll. Non-scholarship females with honors..... 19 of 154 14.179
l2. Non-scholarship ales with honors....... 10 of 168 5.952
ace for the group was twenty-two years and ten anths, or one aonth older on the averase than the scholarship group, as indicated in category three. the femiles of the non-scholarship group had an average age of twenty-two years and nine months, while the males of the non-scholarship grode had an average age of twenty-two years and eleven months. this inaicates the nales having been two inonths olaer than the females of this group. nother conclusion is that scholurship studenus were one months younger than the non-scholurship stadents of the 1959 and 1901 graquating classes. It can be further concluded that the males of the scholarship group were approximately a year older than the females of the group. I'he table also snows that males of the scholarship group were a month anc a nalf older than males of the non-scholarship group. the fenales of the scholarship group were nearly eight and a half months younger than the females of the non-scnoiarship roup. the articles of this discussion are tabled in Table $V$ on the following page.

Table VI indicates an analysis of the number of scholarship students graquated compared to the original group entered of the graauating classes of 1959 and 1951. The table also indicates the number and percentages of the scholarship students who were original recipients ana state pool recipients. The third area of the table inaicates the number and percentage of scholarship students who were originally quilifiea and of those who received scholirships, but were not qualiried.

## I4BLE V

 BY SEX AND AVhrage Age Op Hhe CLASGES OF 1959 4ND 1961

| Categories Number | Average Age-Year Months | Fecale <br> Average <br> Age | hale <br> Average <br> Age | Average Age Difference in vionths |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. scholarship <br> students graduated <br> in 1959. . . . . . . 125 | 22-8 | 22-3 | 23-1 | 10 inonths |
| 2. Scholarship students graduated in 1961. . . . . . . 116 | 22-10 | $23-2$ | 23-2 | 7 Months |
| 3. Average age of scholarship students graduated in 1959 and 1961 as a total group . . . . . . . 241 | $2<-9$ | $22-5$ | $<3-5$ | 12 :nonths |
| 4. Average age of nonscholarship students srauated in 1959 and 1961 as a total group . . . . . . . 312 | 2c-10 | c-9 | く2-11 | 2 months |

Categories one ana two show the percentage of scholarship studenvs graduated compared to those who encered in 1955 and 1957, respectively. It may be said that a lirger percentage of scholarship students graduated from the 1955 entering group than from the 1957 entering group, ( $83.33 \%$ graduated in lo, 9 comparea to $71.60 \%$ graduating in 1961).

Category three shows a total number of 241 grauusting from the original 312 entered of the two years. This is equal to a percentage of $77.24 \%$ grauating, compired to the $44 \%$ graduating from the overall group entering and graduating the same years.

In concluding it may be said that the were nearly $35 \%$ more of the schol.rship students gracuating than there were of the overall entering groups the same years.

Category four shows the percentage of atudents who were original scholarship recipients, wile category five shows the percentage of scholarship stucients who were stave pool reaipients; 210 were original recipients, while 31 were state pool recipients. sighty-two point ninety-two percent of the schol rship students received original scholarships, while $17.08 \%$ received scnolarships from the state pool.

It may be furtner concluded that $97.92 \%$ of the students were qualified in accordance with Section 30 of the Illinois juatute Laws. Two point zero eight percent of tne stuents were not qualificd accoraing to the s.ame section.

## TABLE VI

AN ANALYSIS OF GCHOLARGEP SIUDENES OF THE CLASOES OF 1959 AND 1961, SHOWING MHE OKIGINAL VS. SHAHEOOL BKAKKDOWN

|  | category | ivumber | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ```''eacher Education Scholarship students graquated in ly59 compared to the group origin:ally entered in 1955 . . . . . . . . .``` | 125 of 150 | 83.33 |
|  | ```Texcher Hducation Scholarship students graduated in l961 compared to the group originally entered in l957``` | 116 of 162 | 71.60 |
| 3. | Total of 1959-1961 groups. | 241 of 312 | 77.24 |
| 4. | Original scholarship recipients of the l955-1957 entering groups. | 210 of 241 | 87.136 |
|  | State Pool scholarship recipients of the 1955-195'7 entering groups. | 31 Of 241 | 12.853 |

Table VII indicates the kina of eaployment reacher Euacation Beholarship stuaents wook inmeaiately upon griauation from wastern Illinois University. The most signific:nt inding seems to be that $11.20 \%$ took employment not in teuching.
snother area inaicated is the high percentage of those taking teaching positions in Illinois. Very few sinned to terching posıtions ou of Illinois. He $78.40 \%$ of the 1959 graduating class and the $75.00 \%$ of the lyol graduating class accepting teaching positions in Illinois lnaicates a substantial reimbursement in the form of new teachers from the money sponsoring the scholarships of those two years.

Seven point twenty percent of the 1979 sraduates and $9.48 \%$ of the 1961 graduates continued in a sonool of hisher learning of one type or another.
inother finding of the study revealed tinat a number of students of the 241 who were nol in leacher mducation curriculums while in college. Nine of 241 were in reacher fucation when they orifinally registered, but later during their undereraduate yeurs they changed to the Bachelor of science or Bachelor of Arts degrees. Seven of the nine changed from the Bachelor of science in Education āegree to a Bachelor of Bcience iegree: Four of these seven were in the Business curriculun, two were in and one was in Physics. Tnere were two of the nine who changea to the Bachelor of Arts curriculum. One of the two was in Social $\dot{\omega}$ cience, and one was in $\dot{A} t$.

## RABLE VII

AN ANALYSIA OF POSFMONE ACCHPHED BY 1959 and 1961 BOHOLAEBHP GRADUAME I Medi TELY UPOR GRADJ:ION Fhum EASTERN ICIINOIS UNIVERSITY

| Category | No. of 1959 Fer no. of 19,61 eer Scholarship Cent Scholarship Cent Graauates 1959 Graduates 1961 |  |  |  | No. andFereent3oth YearsNo. Fercent |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Acceoting teachin positions in Illinois . . . . | ng 98 | '78.40 | 87 | 75.00 | 185 | 76.80 |
| 2. Accepting teachin positions out of illinois | $n \xi ;$ $2$ | 1.60 | 5 | 4.32 | 7 | 3.54 |
| 3. Look positions <br> otner than <br> teaching • • • | $16$ | 12.60 | 13 | 11.20 | 29 | 13.08 |
| 4. Gontinued further study |  | 7.20 | 11 | 9.48 | 20 | 6.20 |
| Totals of Groups | 125 | 100.00 | 116 | 100.0 | 241 | 100.00 |

SUMMARY

The objectives of the study were to aeterinine the performance, ages, sex, and ropout rate of Teacher bacauion schol rship students at Exstern Illinols University. A iurther ob,jective was to deternine what the legal qualifisations were for being a recipient of the scholsirship and to see who was responsible for the allotting of the scnolarship.
the related stuaies containea in the ituay aescribea a pattern concerning acadenic success of scholarship students at other universities, that was sifilar in nature with the purposes of this study. This was especialiy true in the area of aropouts ana number of students and size of each university academic department.

The results of the stuay inaicated many factors that may be of help in evaluating future Teacner Education scholarship students.

Students on these scholarships earned grades nearly two-tenths of $i$ grade point petter than the students griduated in 1959-1961 of the non-scholarship group.

The fields or departments containing the largest numper of students were Business Education and tlementary Education with Physical Education coming next.

There were nearly forty-five percent nore fenales in the scholirship group than there were males. More females received original scholarships than dia the males, out the nales receiveu more state pool scholarships tnan dia the fenales.

Blightly more than eleven per cent of the schol:arship group took jods other than ceaching inneaiately following graduation. Over seventy-fıve per cent, however, actually signed to teaching positions in Illinois.

There were nine studencs with tieacher didacation Scholarships that were not eligiole for the scholarship oenefits. these students may have orlginally been in the education curriculum, but at the tiae of completion, they were not seeking an eaucation degree.

Scnolarship stuaents oi the 1959 and 1951 class were younger than non-scholarship students of the saine years, out tne male scholarshap students nere nearly a year olaer than the females of the group. The fenales of the non-scholarohip group of 1959 and 1951 also were younger than the males of the non-scholarship group, but not half as mach as the difference between the sexes of the scholirshio group.

The rate or percentage of dropouts in the scholarship student category was much lower tnan for the overall student Dody of the 1959 nd 1961 grauates. F'orty-two per cent of the overall students graduated who started, while sligntly nore than sevent.y-five per cent of the deginning scholarship students graduated. Signlficant within this area is the fact that nearly eleven per cent aore aroppea from the 1901 group than from the 1959 group.

It is interestang to note that the fields of atheaatics and speech were consistent in having the highest acsdenic average of all the aepartments, while Physical saucation and Inuustrial Arts were nearly as consistent in being in the lowest areas of the aepartmental academic rankings.

Ihere were a number of stuaents (5) who were not legally qualified to receive the scholarshlps. These five students who graauated in 1959 an 1951 aid, however, perform nesrly as well as the qualified stuuents. One stuaent of the nonqualified group grauuated wita honors and in the upper ten per cent of the lo59 sraduating clais.
with over seventy-five per cent of tive scnolarship
students takint teacning positions in Illinois, it nay be said that the scholarshio law $\perp$ s effective enoum to druw a suostantial numver of stadents to the teaching profession. 'rhis aegree of effectiveness is, however, ninaered by over eleven per cent of the 1950 and 1961 scnolarship graduates taking positions other than teachınદ. Jonsidering that aore than fourteen-hundred studenus presently hold a Teacher Education Scholarship, it seems possible that aporoximately nine-hundred will gradaate and accept positions as teachers in lllinois if the pattern inaicated b.y this stuay is continued.

A follow-up of the classes used in this stuay wisht indicate some possible difference in toes of jobs hela conoared to the
original positiens of 1950 and l9ol. The niterials and data of the present study are filed in the ofice of the Testing Services of むastern ILlınois University.
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