

Associations between IgG reactivity to Plasmodium falciparum erythrocyte membrane protein 1 (PfEMP1) antigens and Burkitt lymphoma in Ghana and Uganda case-control studies

Derkach, Andriy; Otim, Isaac; Pfeiffer, Ruth M.; Onabajo, Olusegun O.; Legason, Ismail D.; Nabalende, Hadijah; Ogwang, Martin D.; Kerchan, Patrick; Talisuna, Ambrose O.; Ayers, Leona W.; Reynolds, Steven J.; Nkrumah, Francis; Neequaye, Janet; Bhatia, Kishor; Theander, Thor G.; Prokunina-Olsson, Ludmila; Turner, Louise; Goedert, James J.; Lavstsen, Thomas; Mbulaiteye, Sam M.

Published in: EBioMedicine

DOI: 10.1016/j.ebiom.2018.12.020

Publication date: 2019

Document version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Document license: CC BY-NC-ND

Citation for published version (APA):

Derkach, A., Otim, I., Pfeiffer, R. M., Onabajo, O. O., Legason, I. D., Nabalende, H., ... Mbulaiteye, S. M. (2019). Associations between IgG reactivity to *Plasmodium falciparum* erythrocyte membrane protein 1 (PfEMP1) antigens and Burkitt lymphoma in Ghana and Uganda case-control studies. *EBioMedicine*, *39*, 358-368. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ebiom.2018.12.020



EBioMedicine



journal homepage: www.ebiomedicine.com

Associations between IgG reactivity to *Plasmodium falciparum* erythrocyte membrane protein 1 (*Pf*EMP1) antigens and Burkitt lymphoma in Ghana and Uganda case-control studies



EBioMedicine

Published by THE LANCET

Andriy Derkach^a, Isaac Otim^b, Ruth M. Pfeiffer^a, Olusegun O. Onabajo^c, Ismail D. Legason^b, Hadijah Nabalende^b, Martin D. Ogwang^d, Patrick Kerchan^e, Ambrose O. Talisuna^f, Leona W. Ayers^g, Steven J. Reynolds^h, Francis Nkrumahⁱ, Janet Neequaye^j, Kishor Bhatia^a, Thor G. Theander^k, Ludmila Prokunina-Olsson^c, Louise Turner^k, James J. Goedert^a, Thomas Lavstsen^k, Sam M. Mbulaiteye^{a,*}

^d EMBLEM Study, St. Mary's Hospital, Lacor, Gulu, Uganda

^e EMBLEM Study, Kuluva Hospital, Arua, Uganda

^f World Health Organization, Regional Office for Africa, Brazzaville, Congo

^g Department of Pathology, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, USA

^h Division of Intramural Research, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA

ⁱ Noguchi Memorial Institute, Accra, Ghana

^j Department of Child Health, Korle Bu University Teaching Hospital in Accra, Ghana

^k Centre for Medical Parasitology, Department of International Health, Immunology & Microbiology, University of Copenhagen and Department of Infectious Diseases, Rigs Hospitalet, Copenhagen, Denmark

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 19 November 2018 Received in revised form 10 December 2018 Accepted 12 December 2018 Available online 20 December 2018

Keywords: Non-Hodgkin lymphoma Plasmodium falciparum malaria PfEMP1 Epstein-Barr virus Burkitt lymphoma Africa

ABSTRACT

Background: Endemic Burkitt lymphoma (eBL) is an aggressive childhood B-cell lymphoma linked to *Plasmodium falciparum* (*Pf*) malaria in sub-Saharan Africa. We investigated antibody reactivity to several human receptorbinding domains of the *Pf* erythrocyte membrane protein 1 (*Pf*EMP1) that play a key role in malaria pathogenesis and are targets of acquired immunity to malaria.

Methods: Serum/plasma IgG antibody reactivity was measured to 22 *Pf* antigens, including 18 to *Pf*EMP1 CIDR domains between cases and controls from two populations (149 eBL cases and 150 controls from Ghana and 194 eBL cases and 600 controls from Uganda). Adjusted odds ratios (aORs) for case-control associations were estimated by logistic regression.

Findings: There was stronger reactivity to the severe malaria associated CIDR α 1 domains than other CIDR domains both in cases and controls. eBL cases reacted to fewer antigens than controls (Ghana: p = 0.001; Uganda: p = 0.03), with statistically significant lower ORs associated with reactivity to 13+ antigens in Ghana (aOR 0.39, 95% CI 0.24–0.63; $p_{heterogeneity} = 0.0001$) and Uganda (aOR 0.60, 95% CI 0.41–0.88; $p_{heterogeneity} = 0.008$). eBL was inversely associated with reactivity, coded as quartiles, to group A variant CIDR α 1 ($p_{trend} = 0.035$) in Ghana and group B CD36-binding variants CIDR α 2.2 ($p_{trend} = 0.006$) and CIDR α 2.4 ($p_{trend} = 0.033$) in Uganda, and positively associated with reactivity to SERA5 in Ghana ($p_{trend} = 0.017$) and Uganda ($p_{trend} = 0.007$) and group A CIDR α 1.5 variant in Uganda only ($p_{trend} = 0.034$).

Interpretation: eBL cases reacted to fewer antigens than controls using samples from two populations, Ghana and Uganda. Attenuated humoral immunity to *Pf* EMP1 may contribute to susceptibility to low-grade malaria and eBL risk.

Funding: Intramural Research Program, National Cancer Institute and National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Department of Health and Human Services.

Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license

(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

* Corresponding author at: 9609 Medical Center Dr, Rm. 6E118 MSC 9706, Bethesda, MD 20892-9704, USA.

E-mail address: mbulaits@mail.nih.gov (S.M. Mbulaiteye).

Burkitt lymphoma (BL) is an aggressive B-cell lymphoma characterized by chromosomal translocation involving c-MYC oncogene and immunoglobulin gene regions [1]. An endemic form of BL (eBL) occurs

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ebiom.2018.12.020

2352-3964/Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

^a Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA

^b EMBLEM Study, African Field Epidemiology Network, Kampala, Uganda

^c Laboratory of Translational Genomics, Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA

Research in context

Evidence before this study

Endemic Burkitt lymphoma (eBL) is a germinal centre B-cell tumour that was described in African children >50 years ago and linked to Plasmodium falciparum (Pf) malaria infection because of similar geographical distributions. This association was confirmed in studies measuring IgG reactivity to malaria schizont antigens. However, those results provided limited insights about malariarelated immunological predisposition because the malaria schizont antigens tested are not known to play a role in protective immunity against malaria. Immunity to malaria is thought to be mediated through acquisition of antibodies targeting and inhibiting human receptor binding by the highly polymorphic malarial Pf erythrocyte membrane protein 1 (PfEMP1). PfEMP1 is exported to the erythrocyte surface where it binds endothelial receptors, thereby allowing the parasites to escape blood circulation and destruction in the spleen. To escape immune detection, the PfEMP1 protein family has diversified into four main types of PfEMP1: VAR2CSA PfEMP1, expressed by parasites binding chondroitin sulphate A in the placenta; and three types of PfEMP1 characterized by presence either a CIDR α 1, CIDR α 2–6 or CIDR β / δ / γ domains that bind to EPCR, CD36 or an unknown receptor, respectively, in endothelial tissues. Infection with parasites expressing EPCR-binding PfEMP1 is associated with severe malaria, whereas acquisition of antibodies to CIDRa1 correlates with development of immunity to severe malaria. We searched PUBMED with terms ("Burkitt lymphoma" AND "malaria") to identify studies that have evaluated immune responses to PfEMP1 antigens in relation to eBL. We found only two papers that reported some data about IgG reactivity to PfEMP1 antigens in eBL cases and controls. One study tested two PfEMP1 antigens (DBL-α and VAR2CSA) as part of a 15malaria antibody Luminex panel, while the other study focused only on reactivity to VAR2CSA. Thus, the relationship between eBL and IgG reactivity to PfEMP1 antigens, which represent the main functional diversification of PfEMP1 and mediate severe childhood malaria, remains open for investigation.

Added value of this study

We report the first quantitative and qualitative relationships between eBL and IgG reactivity to 13 *Pf*EMP1 and 3 non-*Pf*EMP1 antigens reliably tested using case-control data from Ghana and Uganda. We observed lower IgG reactivity to 13 *Pf*EMP1 antigens in eBL cases compared to controls — 0 ·39-fold in Ghana and 0 ·60fold in Uganda. These results are not readily explained by selection bias, confounding or reverse causality, as eBL is associated with elevated IgG reactivity to other antigens, particularly Epstein-Barr virus. Our study highlights the potential to untangle the predisposition to eBL through study of immune responses to malaria antigens that play a central role in pathogenesis of severe malaria.

Implications of all the available evidence

Endemic BL develops in a small fraction of children 4–6 years after the general peak age of severe malaria. Our findings of a pattern of attenuated IgG reactivity to *Pf*EMP1 antigens in eBL children than controls prompt two opposing questions: a) does clinical eBL decrease reactivity to *Pf*EMP1 antigens and, if so, do children with eBL become more susceptible to malaria infection? or b) is the attenuated reactivity to *Pf*EMP1 antigens a pattern that precedes eBL and predisposes to recurrent low-grade malaria and eBL risk in malaria-exposed children. Further research focused on eBL cases and children exposed to heavy malaria may uncover a profile of susceptibility to severe malaria or a profile of attenuated IgG reactivity pattern associated with resistance to severe malaria but susceptibility to recurrent low-grade malaria and eBL risk.

in sub-Saharan African countries, including Ghana and Uganda [2]. The similar distribution of eBL with *Plasmodium falciparum (Pf)* malaria infection suggested etiological linkage [3]. A Mendelian randomization analysis using the sickle cell trait as an instrumental variable supported a causal role of malaria in eBL [4]. *Pf* infection increases eBL risk by stimulating polyclonal expansion of B-cells, including those with chromosomal translocations [1].

Although *Pf* causes lethal severe malaria, starting at a median age of 10 months with a peak in children aged 2–3 years old [5], the relationship between immunity to malaria and eBL, which occurs 4-6 years after the peak age of severe malaria, is poorly defined. Severe malaria pathogenesis is closely tied to Pf parasite's capacity to sequester infected red blood cells (iRBCs) to the endothelium of the microvasculature [6]. This sequestration allows iRBCs to escape destruction in the spleen and is mediated by Pf erythrocyte membrane protein 1 (PfEMP1) binding to the host endothelial receptors. PfEMP1-derived antigens are targets of acquired immunity to malaria [6], and as a result, the PfEMP1-encoding var genes have expanded to ~60 different var genes, bestowing each parasite a similar repertoire of PfEMP1 phenotypes. PfEMP1s have diversified to four mutually exclusive adhesion phenotypes, associated with different complications of malaria: parasites expressing VAR2CSA PfEMP1 cause pregnancy-associated malaria by binding placental chondroitin sulfate A (CSA) [7]; CIDRα1 domaincontaining PfEMP1 bind to endothelial protein C receptor (EPCR) [8] and are expressed by parasites causing severe malaria [9]; PfEMP1 with CIDR α 2–6 domains bind the leukocyte differentiation antigen (CD36) [10] and are frequently detected in parasites causing mild or asymptomatic malaria infections [11]; and PfEMP1 with CIDR $\beta/\delta/\gamma$ domains bind unknown receptors but have not been associated with any specific malaria complication. In addition to blood congestion and local inflammation due to sequestration, parasites expressing the EPCR-binding CIDR α 1 type *Pf*EMP1s are thought to aggravate pathogenesis by inhibiting EPCR binding to activated protein C leading to dysfunctional regulation of inflammation, coagulation and endothelial cell integrity [12].

Acquisition of anti-*Pf*EMP1 antibodies also reflects the association of EPCR-binding *Pf*EMP1 with severe malaria, as individuals living in malaria endemic areas acquire antibodies to CIDR α 1 antigens before antibodies to other CIDR α variants, i.e., early in life when risk of severe malaria is highest [13]. Because eBL develops at a median age of 6–9 years, after the peak age for severe malaria [2], study of IgG reactivity to *Pf*EMP1 subsets or to *Pf*EMP1 in general may shed light on both the nature of malaria immunity and eBL risk. We characterized the repertoire of IgG reactivity to 13 *Pf*EMP1, as well as 3 non-*Pf*EMP1 antigens (Supplementary Table 1) reliably tested, and associations of this repertoire to eBL in children from Ghana and Uganda.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study design and participants

We analyzed archival serum/plasma samples from 150 eBL cases and 150 eBL-free controls aged 0–15 years from Ghana (enrolled1965–1994) [14] and 194 eBL cases and 600 eBL-free controls from Uganda (enrolled 2010–2015) exposed to malaria from birth [4]. The Ghana cases were enrolled at Korle Bu Hospital in Accra and the controls were enrolled contemporaneously from the same village as the cases [14]. Age, sex, and date of enrollment of participants were

recorded and pre-treatment venous samples were collected in serum separation tubes and serum aliquots were prepared and stored at -70 °C until testing [15]. The Uganda cases and controls were from the Epidemiology of Burkitt Lymphoma in East African Children and Minors (EMBLEM) in malaria and eBL endemic regions of Northern Uganda [4,16]. The eBL cases were enrolled at two hospitals with facilities to diagnose and treat eBL in Northern Uganda (St. Mary's Hospital, Lacor, in Gulu, and Kuluva Hospital in Arua district). Awareness messages about eBL were dissemnated to increase case spotting and referral and diagnosis and treatment of eBL. The controls were healthy children in the same age range as the eBL cases enrolled from 100 random villages in the study area, as described previously [16]. Cases and controls were regular residents of Northern Uganda (at least 4 months prior to enrollment). The season of enrollment and the rural or urban status or proximity to water of the village was captured to enable adjustment for unmeasured factors correlated with climatic or microgeographical factors [16].

Age, sex, address, and detailed information about lifetime inpatient or outpatient malaria treatment (never, 0–12 months, or >13 months ago) and other household exposures were captured using structured questionnaires administered to both cases and controls. Pre-treatment venous blood specimens were collected in EDTA tubes for research (10 ml) and clinical tests (4 ml). Clinical specimens were immediately tested for malaria using microscopy and malaria-rapid diagnostic tests (malaria-RDTs) [16]. Research specimens were transported in cold boxes to field laboratories within two hours of collection, and centrifuged for 15 min at 1300g to separate plasma, buffy coat, and red cell fractions and stored at -80 °C.

2.2. Ethical issues

The Office of Human Subject Research at the National Institutes of Health gave ethical approval to study the anonymized Ghana samples. Ethical approval for the EMBLEM study was given by the Uganda Virus Research Institute Research Ethics Committee, the Uganda National Council for Science and Technology, and the National Cancer Institute Special Studies Institutional Review Board. Written informed consent was obtained from the child's parents and assent from children aged \geq 7 years old.

2.3. Laboratory procedures

IgG reactivity to 22 Pf-derived recombinant antigens was measured in previously unthawed or minimally thawed serum/plasma samples by a multiplex Luminex bead assay. Tetanus toxoid and bovine serum albumin (BSA) antigens were included in the assav to assess nonmalaria-related reactivity. The Pf antigens included 18 functional but genetically diverse 3D7 PfEMP1 CIDR domains [8] and 4 non-PfEMP1 proteins (Supplementary Table 1: glutamate-rich protein (GLURP), 6NANP protein, SE36, a recombinant antigen of serine repeat antigen 5 (SERA5), and histidine rich protein-2 (HRP-2)) [14]. The selected PfEMP1 variants represent the functional and sequence diversification of PfEMP1, including 9 EPCR-binding phenotype CIDR α 1 domains with antigens representing all sequence sub-groups CIDR α 1–1·8 domain classes; 6 group B CD36-binding phenotype CIDR α 2·2-3·3 domains; and 3 CIDR₀₁ domains with unknown receptor binding phenotype. The CIDR proteins were produced in a Baculovirus expression system and functionally validated for receptor binding as previously described [10.17.18].

Each protein was covalently coupled to particular fluorescencecoded, carboxylated Luminex microspheres (beads) according to the manufacturer's protocol [17]. Before multiplexing the assay, antigenbead coupling was validated using standard control serum and Tagspecific antibodies. IgG reactivity of each sample to each antigen was measured using a 96-well plate format Luminex instrument, mixing Standard reference sera from 40 malaria-naïve individuals from Denmark (DK controls) were included in all runs. IgG reactivity per antigen was reported as median fluorescent intensity (MFI) readings for 100 read beads. Blinded quality control (QC) duplicate samples from 25 Ghanaian children were included to assess variability within and between plates. The case-control and QC status and the country of origin of the samples were masked during testing.

2.4. Statistical analysis

Intra-class correlation coefficients (ICCs) of MFI values were calculated using 24 of 25 successfully tested technical replicates. Antigens with ICCs >70% were included in the analyses. Results for 5 PfEMP1 antigens with ICCs <70% (Supplementary Table 1) and for HRP-2 (total of 6 antigens), whose reactivity in the cases and controls was lower than in the DK controls, were excluded from further analysis. MFI values for the remaining 16 antigens (13 PfEMP1 and 3 non-PfEMP1) were transformed using the natural logarithm before analysis. The threshold of reactivity for each antigen was defined separately for Ghana and Uganda samples using two methods: a) the mean + 2 times the standard deviation of the DK controls [17]; and b) using a flexible two-component mixture models [19] fitted to the MFI values from the controls and the BL-free controls for the respective country. This model assumes that the MFI values for each antigen reflect distributions from two subpopulations with distinct malaria infection experience, but makes no assumptions about normality of the distributions, and maximizes the sum of sensitivity and specificity of the threshold [19]. The models for SE36 did not converge for the Uganda data so the threshold obtained from the Ghana data was used for the Ugandan samples. The two methods yielded similar patterns except for six antigens (Supplementary Table 2), whose model-determined IgG threshold resulted in a prevalence 30-50% higher than that determined by the SD-based method in one or both populations. Model-determined results were considered more realistic for children from these populations, who have been exposed to malaria since birth, and are presented hereafter.

The Ghana and Uganda studies were analyzed separately. IgG reactivity according to basic epidemiological variables was verified separately for cases and controls (results for the controls only are presented: Supplementary Tables 3 and 4). These confirmed no sex differences but higher reactivity with older age, with malaria antigenemia (anti-HRP-2 antibodies in Ghana [14] and RDT in Uganda [16], and without the sickle cell trait in Uganda and Ghana [4]. Chi-squared tests were used to assess differences in reactivity for categorical variables. Odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for case-control associations were calculated using logistic regression models with IgG reactivity to malaria antigens coded as a binary variable, as quartiles based on cutoffs determined from the controls, or breadth of reactivity (number of antigens with reactivity above threshold). The basic models were adjusted for age group and year of enrollment for Ghana, and for age group, proximity of village to surface water (near/far), rural/urban status of village [16], and assay plate number for Uganda. We assessed independent effects by including all the antigens with either a marginal two-sided *p*-value for heterogeneity or trend < 0.05 in the same logistic model. For the given sample sizes the study had 80% power to detect an OR of 2.4 for the highest compared to the lowest quartiles of IgG reactivity with an alpha level of 0.05 in Ghana and an OR of 1.9 for the highest compared to the lowest quartile in Uganda. Because our study was conducted to generate hypotheses about the antibody reactivity against PfEMP1 and selected non-PfEMP1 antigens with eBL, we did not formally adjust for multiple comparisons. Two-sided p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Characteristics of cases and controls in Ghana and the Uganda EMBLEM study.

Characteristics	Ghana			Uganda			
	Controls (%) ^{a,b}	Cases (%) ^a	p value	Controls (%) ^a	Cases (%) ^a	p value	
All subjects	149	150		600	194		
Sex			1			0.222	
Female	54 (36%)	55 (37%)		271 (45%)	76 (39%)		
Male	95 (64%)	95 (63%)		329 (55%)	115 (59%)		
Age group, years			0.759			0.731	
ʻ0–2	5 (3%)	2 (1%)		33 (6%)	7 (4%)		
·3–5	26 (17%)	29 (19%)		73 (12%)	27 (14%)		
'6-8	54 (36%)	62 (41%)		162 (27%)	48 (25%)		
·9–11	43 (29%)	36 (24%)		191 (32%)	60 (31%)		
'12–14	13 (9%)	13 (9%)		129 (22%)	49 (25%)		
15+	8 (5%)	8 (5%)		12 (2%)	3 (2%)		
Enrolment years			0.042				
1965–1974	53 (36%)	46 (31%)		_	-		
1975–1984	45 (30%)	66 (44%)		-	-		
1985-1994	51 (34%)	38 (25%)		-	_		
2010-2012				60 (10%)	104 (54%)		
2013-2015				540 (90%)	90 (46%)	<0.0001	
Enrollment season						<0.0001	
Drv	_	_		443 (74%)	89 (46%)		
Wet	_	_		157 (26%)	105 (54%)		
Rural/urban						0.840	
Rural	_	_		380 (63%)	115 (59%)		
Urban	_	_		220 (37%)	70 (36%)		
Proximity to surface water					()	<0.0001	
Near	_	_		166 (28%)	25 (13%)	0 0001	
Far	_	_		434 (72%)	160 (82%)		
Region		_		10 1 (72,0)	100 (02.0)	1	
Northwest	_	_		134 (22%)	43 (22%)	1	
North-central	_	_		466 (78%)	151 (78%)		
Outpatient malaria				400 (70%)	151 (70%)	<0.0001	
In past 12 months	_	_		407 (68%)	88 (45%)	-0 0001	
>12 months ago	_	_		55 (9%)	43 (22%)		
No		_		134 (22%)	60 (31%)		
Innationt malaria				134 (22/0)	00 (01/0)	0.0461	
In past 12 months				83 (1/9)	15 (8%)	0.0401	
>12 months ago	_	-		116 (10%)	13 (0%)		
No	-	-		396 (66%)	47 (24%)		
Malaria infection			1	550 (00%)	125 (00%)	<0.0001	
Positivo	102 (69%)	102 (60%)	1	220 (55%)	69 (25%)	<0.0001	
Negative	102 (00%)	103 (03%)		270 (75%)	124 (64%)		
Sickle coll gonotume	4/ (32/6)	47 (31/6)		270 (43%)	124 (04%)	0 012	
				400 (92%)	166 (96%)	0.012	
	-	-		490 (82%) 110 (19%)	100 (80%)		
H2/22	-	-		110(18%)	19 (10%)		

^a Percentages do not add up to 100% for covariates missing data for certain categories.

^b Quality control failed for IgG results from one control subject, so this subject was excluded from all analyses.

3. Results

3.1. Baseline characteristics of the study participants

As detailed in Table 1, the eBL cases and controls did not differ with respect to sex, and age-group. Qualitatively, IgG reactivity seemed generally stronger in Ghana than in Uganda. IgG reactivity to tetanus toxoid was much lower in Ghana and Ugandan subjects than DK controls (MFI range: 146–2286 versus 752–26,622), likely reflecting higher known tetanus vaccination rates in DK controls compared to African children, or perhaps malaria-related immunosuppression. In Uganda, more cases were enrolled during 2010–2012, whereas most controls were enrolled in 2013–2015 ($p_{heterogeneity} < 0.0001$). Cases were less likely to be malaria-RDT positive at enrollment (p < 0.0001) and to have the sickle cell trait (p = 0.013) [4].

3.2. IgG reactivity to PfEMP1-derived antigens in the control children

Among controls only, IgG reactivity to *Pf*-derived antigens was unrelated to sex but increased significantly with older age, was positively associated with malaria antigenemia, and was inversely associated with carriage of the sickle cell trait (Supplementary Table 4). EPCR-binding CIDR α 1 antigens (associated with severe malaria) were more often recognized than other CIDR variants. Although children who reported no lifetime inpatient or outpatient malaria treatment may be considered to have been exposed lightly or not at all, we found strong reactivity against EPCR-binding *Pf*EMP1 CIDR α 1 antigens in the controls (Supplementary Table 4), suggesting that these children in Uganda were indeed exposed to malaria and the sparseness of symptoms likely reflects antidisease immunity (capacity to tolerate parasite infections without developing a fever).

3.3. Association between eBL and IgG reactivity to PfEMP1-derived antigens

As detailed in Table 2, IgG reactivity to 11 of the *Pf*EMP1-derived antigens, and to the 6NANP antigen was lower in eBL cases compared to controls in Ghana. Similarly, Ugandan eBL cases had lower IgG reactivity to three *Pf*EMP1-derived antigens, two of which matched those found in Ghana. Consistently, significant inverse associations between eBL and IgG reactivity, as a binary variable, were observed for all functional types of CIDR, suggesting that reduced IgG reactivity in eBL is not linked to *Pf*EMP1 function. As noted for controls, cases also recognized CIDR α 1 antigens (associated with severe malaria) more commonly than other CIDR domain types. By

Association between endemic Burkitt lymphoma and serum IgG reactivity to 16 P falciparum malaria antigens successfully tested in Ghana and Uganda EMBLEM study.

Domain Binding		Antigen	Ghana			Uganda				
	phenotype		% Controls positive ^a	% Cases positive ^a	OR (95% CI) ^b	p value	% Controls positive ^a	% Cases positive ^a	OR (95% CI) ^b	p value
CIDRa1·1	EPCR	<i>Pf</i> EMP1_IT4var06	83%	73%	0.53 (0.30-0.95)	0.031	81%	83%	1.00 (0.62-1.63)	0.987
CIDRa1·1	EPCR	PfEMP1_raj116_var8	83%	71%	0.50 (0.28-0.89)	0.016	73%	75%	1.00(0.65 - 1.54)	0.992
CIDRα1·8a	EPCR	PfEMP1_GA026	84%	73%	0.50(0.28-0.90)	0.019	70%	70%	0.83(0.55-1.25)	0.371
CIDRα1.6b	EPCR	PfEMP1_GA019	86%	73%	0.41 (0.22-0.76)	0.004	74%	78%	1.02(0.66 - 1.56)	0.941
CIDRa1.5	EPCR	PfEMP1_1965-2	82%	65%	0.39(0.22-0.70)	0.001	65%	76%	1.68 (1.10-2.56)	0.014
CIDRô	Unknown	PfEMP1_HB3var05	79%	60%	0.35 (0.20-0.60)	0.000	59%	59%	0.86 (0.60-1.25)	0.434
CIDRô	Unknown	PfEMP1_IT4var02	86%	70%	0.36 (0.20-0.66)	0.001	72%	74%	0.96 (0.63-1.45)	0.836
CIDRa2·2	CD36	PfEMP1_IT4var24	81%	65%	0.45 (0.26-0.77)	0.003	78%	62%	0.41 (0.28-0.61)	0.000
CIDRa3·3	CD36	<i>Pf</i> EMP1_IT4var26	80%	67%	0.52 (0.30-0.90)	0.018	76%	80%	1.20 (0.77-1.87)	0.418
CIDRa2·9	CD36	PfEMP1_IT4var30	77%	61%	0.48 (0.29-0.81)	0.006	73%	75%	0.99 (0.65-1.51)	0.955
CIDRa2·4	CD36	PfEMP1_IT4var33	79%	65%	0.55 (0.32-0.93)	0.026	68%	60%	0.59 (0.40-0.85)	0.006
CIDRa2·9	CD36	PfEMP1_IT4var45	77%	65%	0.59 (0.35-1.00)	0.051	71%	71%	0.81 (0.54-1.20)	0.297
CIDRa2·9	CD36	PfEMP1_IT4var61	79%	69%	0.59 (0.34-1.02)	0.056	70%	74%	1.14 (0.75–1.73)	0.544
non-PfEMP1	-	GLURP_R2	79%	79%	1.06 (0.59-1.88)	0.850	54%	50%	0.70 (0.49-1.00)	0.050
non-PfEMP1	-	SE36	47%	56%	1.60 (0.99-2.59)	0.053	20%	24%	1.24 (0.82-1.86)	0.310
non-PfEMP1	-	6NANP	75%	59%	0.47 (0.28-0.78)	0.003	69%	70%	0.90 (0.60-1.36)	0.626
No of antigen	s with reactivi	ty ^c								
no or unugen.	5 With reactive	0-7	15%	23%	Ref		22%	19%	Ref	
		8–12	19%	35%	1.07(0.52-2.22)	0.856	32%	44%	1.37(0.83-2.27)	0.215
		13-15	40%	23%	0.34(0.17-0.69)	0.003	39%	31%	0.76(0.44-1.31)	0.322
		15+	26%	19%	0.51(0.24-1.09)	0.083	6%	6%	0.83(0.35-1.95)	0.667
		X^2 trend (P-value)			0.71(0.56-0.90)	0.004			0.83(0.66-1.04)	0.108
		X^2 Heterogeneity (3df)			(- 50 0 50)	0.001			(0.034
		IgG reactivity to 13+ antigens ^d	66%	42%	0.39 (0.24-0.63)	0.000	45%	37%	0.60 (0.41-0.88)	0.008

^a IgG reactivity defined as being above the MFI threshold from the mixture model (See Methods) · Results for 5 antigens (*Pf*EMP1_1994–2, *Pf*EMP1_HB3var03, *Pf*EMP1_IT4var22, *Pf*EMP1_2083–1, *Pf*EMP1_HB3var35, and HRP-2) that had low reliability results or were unexpectedly low were excluded from analysis (See Methods).

^b Case-control associations with IgG reactivity were determined using logistic regression models, controlling for age group and calendar year enrollment period in Ghana and age group, proximity to water, rural urban status, and plate number in Uganda · Chi-square results are provided assuming linear relationship across categories, based on quartiles of MFI values, or heterogeneity of associations across the quartiles (See Methods).

^c The groupings for the numbers of antigens with reactivity above threshold were determined to assess trends.

^d The grouping of the numbers of antigens with reactivity above threshold was chosen to assess effects among highly reactive children.

country, significant but opposite associations were observed between eBL and IgG reactivity to group A EPCR-binding variant CIDR α 1·5, being inverse in Ghana (OR = 0·39, 95% CI 0·22–0·70; p_{heterogeneity} = 0·0012) and positive in Uganda (OR = 1·68, 95% CI 1·10–2·56; p_{heterogeneity} = 0·014). IgG reactivity to GLURP was the most intense, but it was not associated with eBL.

The overall breadth of IgG reactivity to the antigens was lower in the eBL cases than controls in Ghana ($p_{heterogeneity} = 0.001$) and in Uganda $(p_{heterogeneity} = 0.034)$ (Table 2). IgG reactivity to 13 or more antigens (median among all children) was inversely associated with eBL in Ghana (OR 0.39, 95% CI 0.24–0.63; $p_{heterogeneity} = 0.0011$) and in Uganda (OR 0.60, 95% CI 0.41–0.88; $p_{heterogeneity} = 0.008$). Breadth of IgG reactivity was also decreased within groups of CIDR antigens representing EPCR-, or CD36-, or unknown-binding phenotypes in Ghana (CIDR α 1: aOR = 0.30, 95% CI 0.14–0.63; p_{heterogeneity} = 0.0011; and CIDR $\alpha 2.2-2.9$: aOR = 0.35, 95% CI 0.17-0.70; $P_{heterogeneity} = 0.0025$; and CIDR δ : aOR = 0.28, 95% CI 0.14-0.53; $p_{heterogeneity} \leq 0.0001$, respectively), and with a similar trend for the CD36-binding-phenotypes in Uganda (CIDR α 2·2–2·9: aOR = 0·59, 95% CI 0·33–1·06; $p_{heterogeneity} = 0.077$). Reactivity to SE36 was positively associated with eBL in Ghana ($p_{trend} = 0.017$) and Uganda (p_{trend} = 0.007).

Finally, in models that included all antigens with univariate eBL associations (13 CIDR types and 2 non-*Pf*EMP1 in Ghana, four CIDR antigens and 2 non-*Pf*EMP1 in Uganda; Tables 3 and 4, last columns), IgG reactivity level, coded as quartiles of MFI, against 14 antigens, including all evaluated CIDR types in Ghana and three antigens in Uganda, was inversely associated with eBL. However, we also found positive associations between eBL and IgG reactivity to one antigen in Ghana (Table 3) and two antigens in Uganda (Table 4), but with no specific association to functional CIDR domain type.

4. Discussion

We investigated the breadth and intensity of IgG reactivity to 13 PfEMP1- and 3 non-PfEMP1-derived antigens reliably tested in children with eBL and similar-age, geographically-matched healthy controls exposed to malaria from birth in Ghana and Uganda. Serum from eBL cases and controls more often reacted on the PfEMP1 CIDR a1 domains associated with severe malaria. Counterintuitively, compared to controls, serum from eBL cases in both Ghana and Uganda showed lower breadth and intensity of IgG reactivity to PfEMP1 CIDR domains. This finding mirrors our previous observation of decreased IgG reactivity to VAR2CSA in a different set of samples from the Ghana study [20]. The lower breadth and intensity of IgG reactivity to PfEMP1-derived antigens affected all PfEMP1 functional domain types in Ghana and Uganda; thus, the reduced IgG reactivity is unrelated to PfEMP1 functional phenotype. We hypothesize that the observed IgG hyporeactivity is a pattern that presents pre-eBL and this attenuated immunity to malaria predisposes children to breakthrough Pf infections, with eBL as a rare complication.

IgG antibodies to *Pf*EMP1 are significantly higher in patients with uncomplicated malaria compared to those with severe malaria [21]. The reduced diversity of *Pf*EMP1 antibodies in our eBL patients suggests that these patients should become more susceptible to severe malaria. However, eBL patients were less likely to have had inpatient or outpatient malaria in the past year, thus the attenuated IgG reactivity to *f*MP1 antigens is not associated with increased risk of severe malaria. Risk of severe malaria is not known to be increased in eBL children. Because children in eBL areas are continually exposed to malaria parasites from birth, their low risk of development of severe malaria could mask the fact that these young children are heavily exposed and maintain a more chronic, but uncomplicated type of malaria with smoldering inflammation [12]. This would be sufficient to maintain a high B cell

Association between endemic Burkitt lymphoma and IgG reactivity to P falciparum malaria antigens successfully tested in Ghana.

PfEMP group	Domain class	Binding phenotype	PfEMP1 assay	Ghana			
				Controls (%)	Cases (%)	OR (95% CI) ^a	Adjusted OR (95% CI) ^b
А	CIDRaCIDRa1 · 1	EPCR	PfEMP1_IT4var06				
			Q1	24%	41%	Ref∙	Ref∙
			Q2	25%	25%	0.60 (0.32-1.12)	0.80 (0.3-2.18)
			Q3	25%	18%	0.44 (0.23-0.85)	0.76 (0.22-2.62)
			Q4	26%	17%	0.37 (0.19-0.72)	0.41 (0.1-1.72)
			X ² trend (P-value)	•	•	0.001	0.232
A	CIDRoCIDRo1.1	FPCR	X ² _{Heterogeneity} (3df) (P-value) PfEMP1 rai116 var8	•	•	0.013	0.569
			01	24%	40%	Ref∙	Ref∙
			02	25%	27%	0.65(0.35 - 1.22)	1.13(0.4-3.17)
			03	25%	17%	0.39(0.20-0.77)	0.86(0.23 - 3.22)
			Q4	26%	16%	0.37 (0.19-0.73)	0.9 (0.18-4.4)
			X ² trend (P-value)			0.001	0.832
			X ² _{Heterogeneity} (3df) (P-value)	•	•	0.008	0.956
Α	CIDR _{\alpha} CIDR _{\alpha} 1.8a	EPCR	PfEMP1_GA026				
			Q1	24%	37%	Ref∙	Ref∙
			Q2	25%	28%	0.67 (0.36-1.26)	$1 \cdot 1 (0 \cdot 44 - 2 \cdot 73)$
			Q3	25%	17%	0.45 (0.23-0.89)	$1 \cdot 6 (0 \cdot 48 - 5 \cdot 36)$
			Q4	26%	18%	0.46(0.24-0.9)	2.14 (0.53-8.71)
			X ² trend (P-value)	•	•	0.009	0.276
			X ² _{Heterogeneity} (3df) (<i>P</i> -value)	•	•	0.055	0.717
А	CIDRaCIDRa1.6b	EPCR	PfEMP1_GA019	e 10/	1.001		
			Q1	24%	46%	Ref.	Ref.
			02	25%	20%	0.41(0.22-0.79)	0.8(0.28-2.28)
			Q3	25%	19%	0.41(0.22-0.79)	0.69(0.22-2.17)
			$\sqrt{2}$	20%	15%	0.29(0.15-0.57)	0.353 (0.14-2.08)
			X trend (P-value) X^2 (2df) (D value)	•	•	0.001	0.949
٨	CIDPorCIDPor1.5	EDCD	A Heterogeneity (Sul) (P-Value)	•	·	0.001	0.040
A	CIDRACIDRAT	EPCK	PJEWP1_1903-2	219	15%	Rof.	Rof.
			02	24%		0.48(0.25-0.91)	0.57(0.22-1.46)
			03	25%	14%	0.27(0.13-0.55)	0.42(0.14-1.26)
			04	26%	17%	0.33(0.17-0.66)	0.66(0.2-2.18)
			X^2 trend (P-value)			0.000	0.495
			$X^{2}_{\text{Heterogeneity}}$ (3df) (<i>P</i> -value)			0.001	0.410
А	CIDRô	Unknown	PfEMP1 HB3var05				
			01	24%	43%	Ref∙	Ref∙
			Q2	25%	20%	0.42(0.22-0.8)	0.46(0.18-1.17)
			Q3	25%	24%	0.48 (0.25-0.91)	0.63 (0.22-1.84)
			Q4	26%	13%	0.24 (0.11-0.49)	0.22 (0.06-0.73)
			X ² trend (P-value)	•		0.000	0.035
			X ² _{Heterogeneity} (3df) (<i>P</i> -value)		•	0.001	0.047
A	CIDRô	Unknown	PfEMP1_IT4var02				
			Q1	24%	40%	Ref∙	Ref∙
			Q2	25%	25%	0.60(0.32 - 1.12)	1.09(0.4-2.96)
			Q3	25%	21%	0.49(0.26-0.94)	0.86 (0.29-2.58)
			Q4	26%	14%	0.31(0.16-0.62)	0.85(0.23-3.13)
			X^2 trend (P-value)	•	•	0.001	0.687
D	CIDD: 2.2	CD2C	X ² _{Heterogeneity} (3df) (P-value)	•	•	0.007	0.951
В	CIDRa2·2	CD36	PfEMP1_114var24	2.49/	270/	Def	Def
				24%	37% 20%	0.51(0.26,0.08)	0.52(0.10, 1.41)
			Q2 03	25%	20%	0.83 (0.45 1.55)	2.76 (0.8-0.52)
			04	25%	2 <i>5/</i> 0 15%	0.38 (0.10_0.75)	1.04 (0.23-4.8)
			X^2 trend (<i>P</i> -value)			0.029	0.455
			X^2 (3df) (<i>P</i> -value)			0.019	0.006
В	CIDRa3.3	CD36	PfEMP1 IT4var26			0 015	0 000
-			01	24%	40%	Ref∙	Ref
			02	25%	27%	0.64(0.35-1.2)	1.44(0.53-3.95)
			03	25%	14%	0.34(0.17-0.68)	0.46(0.15-1.46)
			04	26%	19%	0.47(0.24-0.9)	0.79(0.24 - 2.58)
			X^2 trend (P-value)			0.006	0.328
			$X^{2}_{Heterogeneity}$ (3df) (<i>P</i> -value)			0.013	0.137
В	CIDRa2.9		PfEMP1_IT4var30				
			Q1	24%	39%	Ref∙	Ref∙
			Q2	25%	27%	0.63 (0.34-1.18)	0.88 (0.32-2.37)
			Q3	25%	17%	0.44 (0.23-0.87)	1.12 (0.36-3.46)
			Q4	26%	16%	0.40 (0.20-0.78)	0.93 (0.27-3.22)
			X ² trend (P-value)			0.003	0.976
			X ² _{Heterogeneity} (3df) (P-value)			0.025	0.958
В	CIDRa2·4	CD36	PfEMP1_IT4var33				
			Q1	24%	43%	Ref∙	Ref∙
			Q2	25%	26%	0.65 (0.35-1.2)	0.52 (0.18-1.45)
							(continued on most mana)

Table 3 (continued)

PfEMP group	Domain class	Binding phenotype	PfEMP1 assay	Ghana			
				Controls (%)	Cases (%)	OR (95% CI) ^a	Adjusted OR (95% CI) ^b
			Q3	25%	14%	0.35 (0.17-0.69)	0.25 (0.07-0.89)
			Q4	26%	17%	0.41 (0.21-0.8)	0.75 (0.17-3.41)
			X ² trend (P-value)	•	•	0.002	0.481
В	CIDRa2·9	CD36	X ² _{Heterogeneity} (3df) (P-value) PfEMP1_IT4var45		•	0.008	0.057
			Q1	24%	35%	Ref∙	Ref∙
			Q2	25%	28%	0.79 (0.42-1.49)	1.35 (0.43-4.27)
			Q3	25%	23%	0.69 (0.36-1.32)	2.4 (0.62-9.3)
			Q4	26%	13%	0.38 (0.19-0.78)	1.06(0.21-5.43)
			X ² trend (P-value)			0.010	0.779
В	CIDRa2.9	CD36	X ² _{Heterogeneity} (3df) (P-value) PfEMP1 IT4var61			0.059	0.313
			01	24%	38%	Ref∙	Ref∙
			Q2	25%	29%	0.75 (0.41-1.4)	0.86 (0.32-2.34)
			Q3	25%	18%	0.45 (0.23-0.88)	1.07(0.34 - 3.37)
			Q4	26%	15%	0.43 (0.21-0.85)	0.97 (0.24-3.86)
			X ² trend (P-value)			0.004	0.911
_	_	_	X ² _{Heterogeneity} (3df) (<i>P</i> -value) GLURP R2			0.034	0.966
			01	24%	23%	Ref∙	_
			02	25%	33%	1.44(0.75-2.76)	-
			03	25%	18%	0.70(0.34 - 1.43)	-
			04	26%	26%	1.09(0.56-2.13)	-
			X^2 trend (<i>P</i> -value)			0.677	-
_	_	_	X ² _{Heterogeneity} (3df) (P-value) SF36	•	•	0.211	_
			01	24%	29%	Ref	Ref
			02	25%	9%	0.31(0.14-0.67)	0.30(0.11-0.79)
			03	25%	38%	1.43(0.76-2.67)	2.31 (1.01-5.3)
			04	26%	25%	0.92(0.48-1.77)	1.77(0.69-4.53)
			X ² trend (P-value)			0.391	0.017
			X^2_{ustance} (3df) (P-value)			0.001	0.000
-	-	-	6NANP			0 001	0.000
			Q1	24%	39%	Ref∙	Ref∙
			Q2	25%	27%	0.64 (0.34-1.19)	0.92 (0.32-2.69)
			Q3	25%	18%	0.44 (0.23-0.86)	0.53 (0.15-1.86)
			Q4	26%	16%	0.38 (0.19-0.76)	0.59 (0.16-2.12)
			X ² trend (P-value)		•	0.002	0.342
			X ² _{Heterogeneity} (3df) (P-value)	•	•	0.022	0.658

^a Case-control associations with IgG reactivity to malaria antigens determined using logistic regression · IgG reactivity is coded as quartiles 0, 1, 2, and 3 estimated from MFI values in the country-specific controls, and the results are controlled for age group and calendar year enrollment period in Ghana (minimally adjusted models).

^b Case-control associations with IgG reactivity to malaria antigens determined using logistic regression with additional adjustment for antigens associated with eBL at a p < 0.05 based on trend or heterogeneity analysis in the minimally adjusted models.

proliferative index in the germinal center reaction and increase the load of proliferating B cells with primary deleterious IG-MYC translocations. There is some evidence that PfEMP1 on infected iRBC membranes activates lytic EBV replication [22], a known carcinogen of eBL. However, as outlined in a later paper from same authors [23], it was not clear which PfEMP1 type was expressed by the parasites activating EBV, and thus if the EBV activation was specific to any specific type of PfEMP1. Regardless of which actual protein is involved, EBV and Pf appear to synergistically deregulate adenosine-induced cytosine deaminase (AICDA) expression, which plays a key role in generating aberrant somatic hypermutation to generate DNA changes that contribute to progression of B-cells with IG-MYC translocations to eBL. Repeated cycles of parasite-driven inflammation and germinal center reactions could also lead to increased PD-1 expression on T follicular helper cells, a form of T cell exhaustion [24]. This can lead to hyperproliferative B cell phenotypes including hypergammaglobulinemia [25], a condition characterized by increased poly-specific IgG but lack of antigen-specific IgG, probably due to defective formation of memory B cells [26]. Interestingly, PD-1-dependent exhaustion of CD8+ T cells has been associated with chronic malaria [24].

Because malaria is deadly and eBL occurs in older children, another hypothesis is that eBL occurs in children who are more resistant to severe malaria, possibly manifested by the attenuated IgG reactivity observed in eBL children. We found a report on Tanzanian children without eBL who never developed patent parasitemia during followup from birth [5]. These malaria-resistant children were similar to those who were non-resistant for seroprevalence of sporozoite stage antibody responses and, similar to our eBL cases, had lower IgG reactivity to three non-*Pf*EMP1 antigens [5]. Our finding that eBL cases were less likely to have malaria-antigenemia supports the idea that eBL cases can control patent malaria. We found similar reports from a study in Kenya [27] and a prospective study in Uganda [28], with results being dismissed by the author's assumption that eBL cases were more likely to have been treated with anti-malarial drugs prior to admission. Our results are based on malaria-RDT, which remains positive for approximately 35–42 days after treatment of symptomatic malaria due to persistent malaria antigenemia [29], and were supplemented by questionnaires data, which show a lower frequency of inpatient and outpatient malaria treatment in the cases one year before eBL onset.

The reduced diversity of *Pf*EMP1 antibodies in malaria-resistant (or eBL) children may be due to dysfunction in the T cell compartment as a result of chronic malaria infection [24]. The reduced diversity of *Pf*EMP1 antibodies raises the question whether protection against malaria disease in the eBL children is less dependent on antibodies. Those children may have stronger immunity against liver stage *Pf* forms, thereby reducing the load of liver-stage merozoites released into the blood stream. Another possibility is that they utilize non-humoral mechanisms to control blood-stage infection. Such mechanisms may include soluble EPCR [12], CD36 on platelets [10], altered regulation of cytokines released by Natural Killer (NK) cells [30], or $\gamma\delta$ T cells [31].

Association between endemic Burkitt lymphoma and IgG reactivity to P falciparum malaria antigens successfully tested in Uganda EMBLEM study.

A	CIDRαCIDRα1·1 CIDRαCIDRα1·1	EPCR EPCR EPCR	PfEMP1_IT4var06 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 X ² trend (P-value) X ² _{Heterogeneity} (3df) (P-value) PfEMP1_raj116_var8 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 X ² trend (P-value) X ² _{Heterogeneity} (3df) (P-value)	Controls (%) 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25%	Cases (%) 24% 24% 27% 25%	OR (95% CI) ^a Ref. 0.86 (0.52–1.43) 1.02 (0.62–1.67) 0.91 (0.55–1.51) 0.915 0.893 Ref. 0.97 (0.58–1.64)	Adjusted OR (95% CI) ^b
A A A	CIDRαCIDRα1·1 CIDRαCIDRα1·1	EPCR EPCR EPCR	PfEMP1_IT4var06 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 X ² trend (<i>P</i> -value) X ² _{Heterogeneity} (3df) (P-value) PfEMP1_raj116_var8 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 X ² trend (<i>P</i> -value) X ² trend (<i>P</i> -value) X ² _{Heterogeneity} (3df) (P-value)	25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25%	24% 24% 27% 25%	Ref. 0.86 (0.52-1.43) 1.02 (0.62-1.67) 0.91 (0.55-1.51) 0.915 0.893 Ref. 0.97 (0.58-1.64)	- - - - -
A	CIDRαCIDRα1·1 CIDRαCIDRα1·8a	EPCR	Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 X ² trend (<i>P</i> -value) X ² _{Heterogeneity} (3df) (P-value) <i>P</i> fEMP1_raj116_var8 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 X ² trend (<i>P</i> -value) X ² trend (<i>P</i> -value) X ² _{Heterogeneity} (3df) (P-value)	25% 25% 25% 25%	24% 24% 27% 25%	Ref. 0.86 (0.52-1.43) 1.02 (0.62-1.67) 0.91 (0.55-1.51) 0.915 0.893 Ref. 0.97 (0.58-1.64)	-
A	CIDRαCIDRα1·1 CIDRαCIDRα1·8a	EPCR	Q2 Q3 Q4 X ² trend (<i>P</i> -value) X ² _{Heterogeneity} (3df) (<i>P</i> -value) <i>Pf</i> EMP1_raj116_var8 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 X ² trend (<i>P</i> -value) X ² _{Heterogeneity} (3df) (<i>P</i> -value)	25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25%	24% 27% 25% 23% 24% 26%	Ref. 0.97 (0.58–1.64) Ref. 0.97 (0.58–1.64)	-
A	CIDRαCIDRα1·1 CIDRαCIDRα1·8a	EPCR	Q3 Q4 X ² trend (<i>P</i> -value) X ² _{Heterogeneity} (3df) (<i>P</i> -value) <i>Pf</i> EMP1_raj116_var8 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 X ² trend (<i>P</i> -value) X ² _{Heterogeneity} (3df) (<i>P</i> -value)	25% 25% 25% 25% 25% 25%	27% 25% 23% 24% 26%	1.02 (0.62–1.67) 0.91 (0.55–1.51) 0.915 0.893 Ref. 0.97 (0.58–1.64)	-
A	CIDRαCIDRα1·1 CIDRαCIDRα1·8a	EPCR	Q4 X ² trend (<i>P</i> -value) X ² _{Heterogeneity} (3df) (<i>P</i> -value) <i>Pf</i> EMP1_raj116_var8 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 X ² trend (<i>P</i> -value) X ² _{Heterogeneity} (3df) (<i>P</i> -value)	25% - 25% 25% 25%	23% 23% 24% 26%	0.91 (0.55-1.51) 0.915 0.893 Ref. 0.97 (0.58-1.64)	-
A	CIDRαCIDRα1·1 CIDRαCIDRα1·8a	EPCR	X ² trend (<i>P</i> -value) X ² _{Heterogeneity} (3df) (P-value) <i>Pf</i> EMP1_raj116_var8 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 X ² trend (<i>P</i> -value) X ² _{Heterogeneity} (3df) (P-value)	25% 25% 25% 25% 25%	23% 23% 24% 26%	0.915 0.893 Ref. 0.97 (0.58–1.64)	-
A	CIDRαCIDRα1·1	EPCR	$\begin{array}{l} \chi^{2}_{\text{Heterogeneity}} (3df) (P-value) \\ \chi^{2}_{\text{Heterogeneity}} (3df) (P-value) \\ \chi^{2}_{\text{Heterogeneity}} (2df) (P-value) \\ \chi^{2}_{\text{Heterogeneity}} (3df) (P-value) \\ \chi^{2}_{\text{Heterogeneity}} (3df) (P-value) \end{array}$	25% 25% 25% 25%	23% 24% 26%	0.893 0.893 Ref. 0.97 (0.58–1.64)	-
A	CIDRαCIDRα1·1	EPCR	PfEMP1_raj116_var8 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 X ² trend (<i>P</i> -value) X ² _{Heterogeneity} (3df) (<i>P</i> -value)	25% 25% 25% 25%	23% 24% 26%	Ref· 0.97 (0.58–1.64)	_
A	CIDRaCIDRa1·8a	EPCR	Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 X^2 trend (<i>P</i> -value) $X^2_{\text{Heterogeneity}}$ (3df) (<i>P</i> -value)	25% 25% 25% 25%	23% 24% 26%	Ref· 0·97 (0·58-1·64)	_
A	CIDRαCIDRα1·8a	EPCR	Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 X^2 trend (<i>P</i> -value) $X^2_{Heterogeneity}$ (3df) (<i>P</i> -value)	25% 25% 25% 25%	23% 24% 26%	0.97(0.58-1.64)	-
A	CIDRαCIDRα1·8a	EPCR	Q2 Q3 Q4 X ² trend (<i>P</i> -value) X ² _{Heterogeneity} (3df) (<i>P</i> -value)	25% 25% 25%	24%	0.9/(0.04)	
A	CIDRαCIDRα1·8a	EPCR	Q3 Q4 X^2 trend (<i>P</i> -value) $X^2_{Heterogeneity}$ (3df) (<i>P</i> -value)	25% 25%	167	1 02 (0 C 1 72)	-
A	CIDRαCIDRα1-8a	EPCR	Q4 X ² trend (<i>P</i> -value) X ² _{Heterogeneity} (3df) (P-value)	25%	20%	1.02(0.6-1.73)	-
A	CIDRαCIDRα1·8a	EPCR	X ² trend (<i>P</i> -value) X ² _{Heterogeneity} (3df) (P-value)		21%	1.15 (0.68-1.93)	-
А	CIDRαCIDRα1∙8a	EPCR	X ² _{Heterogeneity} (3df) (P-value)	•	•	0.540	-
A	CIDKαCIDKα I • 8a	EPCR	DELADA CAODO	•	·	0.910	-
			PfEMP1_GA026		A 101	P (
			Q1	25%	24%	Ret	-
			Q2	25%	28%	1(0.61 - 1.66)	-
			Q3	25%	24%	0.81(0.48 - 1.38)	-
			Q4	25%	25%	0.83 (0.49–1.41)	-
			X ² trend (<i>P</i> -value)	•		0.363	-
			X ² _{Heterogeneity} (3df) (P-value)		•	0.762	-
А	CIDRaCIDRa1.6b	EPCR	PfEMP1_GA019				
			Q1	25%	22%	Ref∙	-
			Q2	25%	28%	1.05 (0.64-1.72)	-
			Q3	25%	29%	1.04 (0.62-1.73)	-
			04	25%	21%	0.8 (0.47-1.37)	-
			X ² trend (P-value)			0.414	-
			$X^{2}_{Heterogeneity}$ (3df) (<i>P</i> -value)			0.686	_
А	CIDRoCIDRo1.5	EPCR	PfEMP1 1965-2			0 000	
	cibitacibitar 5	Li ch	01	25%	12%	Ref	Ref
			02	25%	40%	2.74(1.56-4.8)	3.91(2.08-7.34)
			03	25%	25%	1.95(1.07-3.54)	3.0(1.5-6.01)
			04	25%	23%	1.78(0.96-3.28)	3.47(1.63-7.36)
		V^2 trand (D value)	23/0	23/0	0.544	0.024	
			X^2 (2df) (D value)		•	0.002	0.000
٨	CIDDS	Umlimerum	A Heterogeneity (SUI) (P-Value)	·	•	0.002	0.000
A	CIDRO	UIIKIIOWII	PJEIVIP I_HB3Val05	25%	22%	Def	
			QI	25%	23%	Ker·	-
			02	25%	24%	1.04(0.63 - 1.72)	-
			Q3	25%	28%	1.06 (0.64–1.76)	-
			Q4	25%	25%	0.91(0.53 - 1.53)	-
			X ² trend (P-value)	•	•	0.731	-
			X ² _{Heterogeneity} (3df) (<i>P</i> -value)	•	•	0.918	-
A	CIDRõ	Unknown	PfEMP1_IT4var02				
			Q1	25%	22%	Ref	-
			Q2	25%	31%	$1 \cdot 18 (0 \cdot 71 - 1 \cdot 97)$	-
			Q3	25%	25%	0.92(0.54 - 1.58)	-
			Q4	25%	22%	0.86 (0.49-1.5)	-
			X ² trend (P-value)		•	0.349	-
			X ² _{Heterogeneity} (3df) (P-value)		•	0.575	-
В	CIDRa2·2	CD36	PfEMP1_IT4var24				
			Q1	25%	39%	Ref∙	Ref.
			Q2	25%	25%	0.58 (0.37-0.93)	0.58 (0.34-0.98)
			Q3	25%	19%	0.42 (0.26-0.68)	0.48 (0.26-0.88)
			Q4	25%	17%	0.37 (0.22-0.61)	0.38 (0.19-0.77)
			X ² trend (P-value)			0.000	0.006
			$X^{2}_{Heterogeneity}$ (3df) (<i>P</i> -value)			0.000	0.038
В	CIDRa3.3	CD36	PfEMP1 IT4var26				
	-		01	25%	20%	Ref∙	-
			02	25%	32%	1.55 (0.95-2.53)	_
			03	25%	22%	0.94(0.55-1.61)	_
			04	25%	26%	1.1 (0.63_1.89)	_
			X^2 trend (P-value)	23/0	20/0	0.698	_
			X^2 (2df) (D value)		-	0.152	-
R	CIDRov2.0		A Heterogeneity (Jul) (F-Value)	•	•	0.177	-
U	CIDIA2.9		1 JEIVIF 1_114Val 30	25%	25%	Pof	
				23%	23%	NEL.	-
			02	25%	22%	0.82(0.48 - 1.33)	-
			Q3	25%	21%	0.93(0.56-1.55)	-
			Q4	25%	26%	0.93 (0.55-1.56)	-
			X ² trend (P-value)		•	0.955	-
P		6D26	X ² _{Heterogeneity} (3df) (P-value)		•	0.847	-
В	CIDRa2·4	CD36	PfEMP1_II4var33		0.404		
			QI	25%	34%	Ket.	Kel.
			Q2	25%	25%	0.62 (0.39–1)	0.56(0.31-1.01)

(continued on next page)

Table 4	(continued)
---------	-------------

PfEMP group	Domain class	Binding phenotype	PfEMP1 assay	Uganda			
				Controls (%)	Cases (%)	OR (95% CI) ^a	Adjusted OR (95% CI) ^b
			Q3	25%	24%	0.51 (0.31-0.84)	0.52 (0.26-1.03)
			Q4	25%	18%	0.4 (0.23-0.68)	0.41 (0.19-0.89)
			X ² trend (P-value)		•	0.000	0.033
			X ² _{Heterogeneity} (3df) (P-value)		•	0.005	0.121
В	CIDRa2.9	CD36	PfEMP1_IT4var45				
			Q1	25%	25%	Ref∙	Ref.
			Q2	25%	32%	0.99 (0.61-1.59)	1.37 (0.76-2.46)
			Q3	25%	21%	0.62(0.37 - 1.05)	$1 \cdot 36 (0 \cdot 65 - 2 \cdot 82)$
			Q4	25%	22%	0.66 (0.39-1.12)	$1 \cdot 8 (0 \cdot 8 - 4 \cdot 04)$
			X ² trend (P-value)	·	•	0.041	0.189
			X ² _{Heterogeneity} (3df) (<i>P</i> -value)	·	•	0.129	0.521
В	CIDR $\alpha 2 \cdot 9$	CD36	<i>Pf</i> EMP1_IT4var61				
			Q1	25%	22%	Ref∙	-
			Q2	25%	24%	0.97(0.57 - 1.63)	-
			Q3	25%	24%	0.95(0.56-1.61)	-
			Q4	25%	30%	$1 \cdot 41 (0 \cdot 83 - 2 \cdot 39)$	-
			X ² trend (<i>P</i> -value)	•	•	0.183	-
-	_	_	X ² _{Heterogeneity} (3df) (P-value) GLURP_R2	•	•	0.310	-
			Q1	25%	26%	Ref∙	-
			Q2	25%	27%	0.88 (0.55-1.42)	_
			Q3	25%	24%	0.79 (0.48-1.3)	_
			Q4	25%	23%	0.66 (0.39-1.09)	_
			X ² trend (P-value)	•	•	0.096	-
_	_	_	X ² _{Heterogeneity} (3df) (P-value) SF36		•	0.420	-
			01	25%	20%	Ref	Ref
			02	25%	21%	1.12(0.67-1.9)	1.14(0.65-2)
			03	25%	27%	1.47(0.88-2.43)	1.69(0.98-2.92)
			04	25%	32%	1.61(0.98-2.65)	1.92(1.1-3.36)
			X^2 trend (P-value)			0.032	0.007
			$X^{2}_{Hotorogeneity}$ (3df) (P-value)			0.190	0.054
-	_	_	6NANP				
			Q1	25%	22%	Ref∙	Ref.
			Q2	25%	34%	1.23 (0.75-2)	0.94 (0.52-1.68)
			Q3	25%	28%	1.09 (0.65-1.83)	0.94 (0.49-1.82)
			Q4	25%	17%	0.62 (0.35-1.12)	0.54 (0.26-1.15)
			X ² trend (P-value)		•	0.084	0.109
			X ² _{Heterogeneity} (3df) (<i>P</i> -value)	•	·	0.056	0.199

^a Case-control associations with IgG reactivity to malaria antigens determined using logistic regression · IgG reactivity is coded as quartiles 0, 1, 2, and 3 estimated from MFI values in the country-specific controls, and the results are controlled for age group, proximity to water, rural urban status, and plate number in Uganda (minimally adjusted models).

^b Case-control associations with IgG reactivity to malaria antigens determined using logistic regression with additional adjustment for antigens associated with eBL at a p < 0.05 based on trend or heterogeneity analysis in the minimally adjusted models.

Parasites could also down-regulate antibody response through expression of inhibitory receptors, such as RIFINs, to dampen detrimental Bcell-mediated immune response and render the host more hospitable to parasites [32]. Finally, co-infections, especially Epstein-Barr virus (EBV), which has been reported to induce suppression of humoral immunity to malaria [33], may down-modulate malaria-related inflammation in a manner beneficial to the host, parasite and virus.

We considered alternative explanations, including whether eBL cases were exposed to malaria to the same degree as the controls, or whether eBL is associated with impaired humoral immunity. While the casecontrol design does not allow assessment of temporality of the associations, it is an efficient design, and we closely matched the eBL cases and controls geographically. We found that the Ugandan eBL cases were more likely than their matched controls to be exposed to malaria, based on enrolment during the wet season and being more likely to report recent (one year before onset of symptoms) outpatient (22% versus 9%) and inpatient (24% versus 19%) malaria treatment. Whether eBL is associated with decrease in plasma IgG reactivity, due to increased catabolism or loss in urine, cannot be excluded. There are multiple reports of lower levels of total and malaria-specific IgG, IgM and IgA antibodies in eBL cases than controls in Uganda, Ghana, and Nigeria, and lower IgG response to measles in Uganda (reviewed in [14]). However, the reported lower levels of IgG, IgA, and IgM did not change when the cases were monitored before treatment and through long-term remission in Uganda and Ghana. We also note that eBL cases are more likely to have

higher IgG reactivity to EBV capsid antigens [28] and to other malaria antigens (Pf whole schizont extract and HRP-2 protein [14]). Behavior change after eBL diagnosis, such as hospitalizations associated with treatment for malaria or mosquito bed-net usage may reduce exposure to malaria and loss of immunological memory response, but this explanation is not supported by our finding that recent inpatient and outpatient malaria treatment was less frequent in eBL cases than controls; overall, all biases cannot be eliminated. However, we adjusted for other factors, including age, geographical variables (season, rural-urban status, proximity of village to water) and sickle cell trait, malaria antigenemia in sensitivity analyses, to increase the validity of our findings [4]. The strengths of this study are the use of a broad panel of antigen targets of immunity to malaria representing all main functional types of PfEMP1 variants and the utilization of well-matched samples from two geographically distinct studies. The use of samples from two populations is a strength because the consistent findings, despite apparent unexplained differences in sample variability (Ghana samples seemed to have less variability than the Ugandan samples), suggest that the observed patterns are likely valid. Our findings for SE36, a recombinant protein comprising the N-terminal of SERA5, conflict with those from our previous study in Ghana in which antibody levels to SE36 was found to be lower in eBL cases compared to controls [14]. This might be due to differences in the antigens used (Pf Honduras 1 versus 3D7 Pf).

In conclusion, we found significant inverse associations between eBL and a narrower breadth and intensity of reactivity to *Pf*EMP1-derived antigens in Ghana and Uganda. These findings suggest that attenuated humoral immunity to *Pf* may be an eBL risk factor for children who remain exposed to malaria.

Data sharing

The de-identified data, including individual participant data and a data dictionary defining each field can be requested from the authors via the request for collaboration link on the EMBLEM website: https://www.emblem.cancer.gov/.

Acknowledgements

We thank Ms. Janet Lawler-Heavner at Westat Inc. (Rockville, MD, USA) and Mr. Erisa Sunday at the African Field Epidemiology Network (Kampala, Uganda) for managing the study. We are grateful to Mr. Wilson Nyegenye at Uganda Bureau of Statistics (Kampala, Uganda) for training EMBLEM staff in field survey methods. We thank Ms. Laurie Buck, Dr. Carol Giffen, and Mr. Greg Rydzak at Information Management Services Inc. (Calverton, MD, USA) for coordinating data, preparing data analysis files. We thank Dr. Michael Theisen, Statens Serum Institut (Copenhagen, Denmark) for providing the glutamate-rich protein (GLURP), and the study participants for their participation.

Funding sources

The study was funded by the Intramural Research Program of the Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, National Cancer Institute (NCI) (Contracts HHSN261201100063C and HHSN261201100007I) and, in part, by the Intramural Research Program, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (SJR), National Institutes of Health, US Department of Health and Human Services. The content of this publication does not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the Department of Health and Human Services, nor does mention of trade names, commercial products, or organizations imply endorsement by the US Government. The content of this manuscript is the sole responsibility of the authors. The sponsors had no role in the study design, data collection, analysis, interpretation, writing of the manuscript, or the decision to submit the paper for publication. The corresponding author had full access to all the data in the study and had final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

Declaration of interests

We declare no competing interest

Author contributors

SMM and TL conceived the idea, designed the study and supervised the work. IO, IDL, HN, MDO, KB, SJR and JJG conducted and monitored EMBLEM field work. AOT and LWA consulted in study design and diagnostic aspects of the fieldwork. FN and JN conducted fieldwork in Ghana. TGT, LT, and TL conducted laboratory studies of PfEMP1 and interpreted data. LPO conducted genetic studies of sickle cell genotypes in EMBLEM and Ghana. AD and RMP conducted statistical analysis and interpreted data. SMM drafted the manuscript; JJG, OOO and LPO helped with critical editing. All authors contributed to the manuscript and read and approved the final manuscript.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ebiom.2018.12.020.

References

- Robbiani DF, Deroubaix S, Feldhahn N, et al. Plasmodium infection promotes genomic instability and AID-dependent B cell lymphoma. Cell 2015;162(4):727–37.
- [2] Emmanuel B, Kawira E, Ogwang MD, et al. African Burkitt lymphoma: age-specific risk and correlations with malaria biomarkers. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2011;84(3): 397–401.
- [3] Kafuko GW, Burkitt DP. Burkitt's lymphoma and malaria. Int J Cancer 1970;6(1):1–9.
- [4] Legason ID, Pfeiffer RM, Udquim KI, et al. Evaluating the causal link between malaria infection and endemic burkitt lymphoma in Northern Uganda: a mendelian randomization study. EBioMedicine 2017;25:58–65.
- [5] Nash SD, Prevots DR, Kabyemela E, et al. A malaria-resistant phenotype with immunological correlates in a tanzanian birth cohort exposed to intense malaria transmission. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2017;96(5):1190–6.
- [6] Bull PC, Abdi AI. The role of PfEMP1 as targets of naturally acquired immunity to childhood malaria: prospects for a vaccine. Parasitology 2016;143(2):171–86.
- [7] Salanti A, Dahlback M, Turner L, et al. Evidence for the involvement of VAR2CSA in pregnancy-associated malaria. J Exp Med 2004;200(9):1197–203.
- [8] Turner L, Lavstsen T, Berger SS, et al. Severe malaria is associated with parasite binding to endothelial protein C receptor. Nature 2013;498(7455):502–5.
- [9] Mkumbaye SI, Wang CW, Lyimo E, et al. The severity of Plasmodium falciparum infection is associated with transcript levels of var genes encoding endothelial protein c receptor-binding P. falciparum erythrocyte membrane protein 1. Infect Immun 2017;85(4).
- [10] Hsieh FL, Turner L, Bolla JR, Robinson CV, Lavstsen T, Higgins MK. The structural basis for CD36 binding by the malaria parasite. Nat Commun 2016;7:12837.
- [11] Warimwe GM, Keane TM, Fegan G, et al. Plasmodium falciparum var gene expression is modified by host immunity. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2009;106(51):21801–6.
- [12] Petersen JE, Bouwens EA, Tamayo I, et al. Protein C system defects inflicted by the malaria parasite protein PfEMP1 can be overcome by a soluble EPCR variant. Thromb Haemost 2015;114(5):1038–48.
- [13] Turner L, Lavstsen T, Mmbando BP, et al. IgG antibodies to endothelial protein C receptor-binding cysteine-rich interdomain region domains of Plasmodium falciparum erythrocyte membrane protein 1 are acquired early in life in individuals exposed to malaria. Infect Immun 2015;83(8):3096–103.
- [14] Aka P, Vila MC, Jariwala A, et al. Endemic Burkitt lymphoma is associated with strength and diversity of Plasmodium falciparum malaria stage-specific antigen antibody response. Blood 2013;122(5):629–35.
- [15] Guech-Ongey M, Yagi M, Palacpac NM, et al. Antibodies reactive to Plasmodium falciparum serine repeat antigen in children with Burkitt lymphoma from Ghana. Int J Cancer 2012;130(8):1908–14.
- [16] Maziarz M, Kinyera T, Otim I, et al. Age and geographic patterns of Plasmodium falciparum malaria infection in a representative sample of children living in Burkitt lymphoma-endemic areas of northern Uganda. Malar J 2017;16(1):124.
- [17] Cham GK, Kurtis J, Lusingu J, Theander TG, Jensen AT, Turner L. A semi-automated multiplex high-throughput assay for measuring IgG antibodies against Plasmodium falciparum erythrocyte membrane protein 1 (PfEMP1) domains in small volumes of plasma. Malar J 2008;7:108.
- [18] Lau CK, Turner L, Jespersen JS, et al. Structural conservation despite huge sequence diversity allows EPCR binding by the PfEMP1 family implicated in severe childhood malaria. Cell Host Microbe 2015;17(1):118–29.
- [19] Pfeiffer RM, Carroll RJ, Wheeler W, Whitby D, Mbulaiteye S. Combining assays for estimating prevalence of human herpesvirus 8 infection using multivariate mixture models. Biostatistics 2008;9(1):137–51.
- [20] Agerbaek MO, Pereira MA, Clausen TM, et al. Burkitt lymphoma expresses oncofetal chondroitin sulfate without being a reservoir for placental malaria sequestration. Int J Cancer 2017;140(7):1597–608.
- [21] Chan JA, Boyle MJ, Moore KA, et al. Antibody targets on the surface of Plasmodium falciparum-infected erythrocytes that are associated with immunity to severe malaria in young children. J Infect Dis 2018. https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jiy580.
- [22] Chene A, Donati D, Guerreiro-Cacais AO, et al. A molecular link between malaria and Epstein-Barr virus reactivation. PLoS Pathog 2007;3(6):e80.
- [23] Albrecht L, Moll K, Blomqvist K, Normark J, Chen Q, Wahlgren M. Var gene transcription and PfEMP1 expression in the rosetting and cytoadhesive Plasmodium falciparum clone FCR3S1.2. Malar J 2011;10:17.
- [24] Horne-Debets JM, Faleiro R, Karunarathne DS, et al. PD-1 dependent exhaustion of CD8+ T cells drives chronic malaria. Cell Rep 2013;5(5):1204–13.
- [25] Petrovas C, Yamamoto T, Gerner MY, et al. CD4 T follicular helper cell dynamics during SIV infection. J Clin Invest 2012;122(9):3281–94.
- [26] Moir S, Buckner CM, Ho J, et al. B cells in early and chronic HIV infection: evidence for preservation of immune function associated with early initiation of antiretroviral therapy. Blood 2010;116(25):5571–9.
- [27] Asito AS, Piriou E, Odada PS, et al. Elevated anti-Zta IgG levels and EBV viral load are associated with site of tumor presentation in endemic Burkitt's lymphoma patients: a case control study. Infect Agent Cancer 2010;5:13.
- [28] De-The G, Geser A, Day NE, et al. Epidemiological evidence for causal relationship between Epstein-Barr virus and Burkitt's lymphoma from Ugandan prospective study. Nature 1978;274(5673):756–61.
- [29] Grandesso F, Nabasumba C, Nyehangane D, et al. Performance and time to become negative after treatment of three malaria rapid diagnostic tests in low and high malaria transmission settings. Malar J 2016;15(1):496.
- [30] Artavanis-Tsakonas K, Riley EM. Innate immune response to malaria: rapid induction of IFN-gamma from human NK cells by live Plasmodium falciparum-infected erythrocytes. J Immunol 2002;169(6):2956–63.

- [31] Costa G, Loizon S, Guenot M, et al. Control of Plasmodium falciparum erythrocytic cycle: gammadelta T cells target the red blood cell-invasive merozoites. Blood 2011;118(26):6952–62.
- [32] Saito F, Hirayasu K, Satoh T, et al. Immune evasion of Plasmodium falciparum by RIFIN via inhibitory receptors. Nature 2017;552(7683):101–5.
- [33] Matar CG, Anthony NR, O'Flaherty BM, et al. Gammaherpesvirus Co-infection with malaria suppresses anti-parasitic humoral immunity. PLoS Pathog 2015;11(5): e1004858.