UNIVERSITY OF COPENHAGEN



Adherence to medication in patients with chronic kidney disease

a systematic review of qualitative research

Mechta Nielsen, Trine; Frøjk Juhl, Metha; Feldt-Rasmussen, Bo; Thomsen, Thordis

Published in: Clinical Kidney Journal

DOI: 10.1093/ckj/sfx140

Publication date: 2018

Document version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Citation for published version (APA):

Mechta Nielsen, T., Frøjk Juhl, M., Feldt-Rasmussen, B., & Thomsen, T. (2018). Adherence to medication in patients with chronic kidney disease: a systematic review of qualitative research. *Clinical Kidney Journal*, *11*(4), 513-527. https://doi.org/10.1093/ckj/sfx140

i:S



Clinical Kidney Journal, 2018, vol. 11, no. 4, 513–527

doi: 10.1093/ckj/sfx140 Advance Access Publication Date: 25 December 2017 CKJ Review

CKJ REVIEW

Adherence to medication in patients with chronic kidney disease: a systematic review of qualitative research

Trine Mechta Nielsen¹, Metha Frøjk Juhl¹, Bo Feldt-Rasmussen² and Thordis Thomsen³

¹Department of Nephrology, Copenhagen University Hospital, Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen, Denmark, ²Department of Nephrology, University of Copenhagen, Rigshospitalet Copenhagen, Denmark, and ³Abdominal Centre, Department of Clinical Health, University of Copenhagen, Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen, Denmark

Correspondence and offprint requests to: Trine Mechta Nielsen; E-mail: trine.mechta.nielsen@regionh.dk

Abstract

Non-adherence to multipharmacological treatment increases the risk of morbidity, mortality and hospitalization. We know little about the perspective of patients with chronic kidney disease regarding factors influencing medicine taking. This study aimed to synthesize findings from qualitative studies of patients' experiences of factors that facilitate and hinder adherence to medication. A systematic review of qualitative studies adhering to the Enhancing transparency in reporting the synthesis of qualitative research (ENTREQ) framework. Systematic searches were conducted in several databases. We used thematic synthesis and the Confidence in the Evidence from Reviews of Qualitative Research (CERQual) approach to assess the confidence of the evidence. Nineteen studies involving 381 patients with chronic kidney disease were included. We identified three analytical themes; logistics, benchmarking the need for medication; and the quality of the patient-physician relationship, with seven descriptive sub-themes as factors influencing patients' adherence to medications. Helping patients to map their everyday activities and motivating them to associate medications with everyday activities may facilitate adherence to medications. Addressing patient beliefs about medications, supporting patients in coping with side effects of medications and eliciting patients' wishes for involvement in treatment decisions may also facilitate adherence. Barriers to adherence were the costs of buying medications, and lacking understanding of the indications and effects of medications. The findings in this synthesis resonate with previous research and extend the known literature by synthesizing and formally assessing confidence in the evidence.

Key words: chronic kidney disease, medication adherence, patient perspective, qualitative review, systematic review

Received: June 30, 2017. Editorial decision: November 10, 2017

© The Author 2017. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of ERA-EDTA.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

Introduction

Adherence to medication is defined as 'the extent to which the patient's behaviour matches agreed recommendations from the prescriber' [1]. In chronic kidney disease (CKD), adherence to medication is a key component of effective disease management [2, 3]. The main goals of medication are to slow progression of the disease and monitor and correct disease-associated complications and comorbidities while treating the underlying aetiology [3]. Patients with CKD are prescribed a regime of multipharmacological treatment often starting with antihypertensives and antidiabetics and subsequently phosphate binders, vitamin D preparations, calcimimetics, erythropoiesis stimulating agents and iron supplements [4]. This infers a high burden of pill intake with sometimes >20 pills/day [2]. Managing multiple medications and health care appointments, including, for some, dialysis several times a week, is a challenging task. Not surprisingly, patients may miss medications, intentionally or un-intentionally (1). Estimates of non-adherence to medication vary from 17 to 74% among patients with CKD and from 3 to 80% among patients on haemodialysis, depending on the methods used to assess nonadherence [2, 3, 5]. This poses a major obstacle to achieving treatment goals and increases the risk of morbidity, mortality and hospitalization [3, 4]. Optimizing adherence to medicine is therefore a priority issue for health care providers.

There is a growing body of research into non-adherence to medication among patients with CKD. Quantitative studies have focused on the incidence of adherence and identification of potential risk factors for non-adherence [2]. These studies provide valuable insight into the prevalence of non-adherence and associated risk factors [2]. They do not, however, uncover life circumstances that may influence adherence from the patient perspective. Qualitative research may contribute to our knowledge by elaborating the patients' perspectives on nonadherence. The aim of this qualitative research on factors that facilitate and hinder adherence to medication from the perspective of patients with CKD.

Materials and methods

A systematic review and thematic synthesis of qualitative studies was undertaken. The review was prospectively registered in PROSPERO (CRD42016033070) and conducted according to the Enhancing Transparency in Reporting the Synthesis of Qualitative Research (ENTREQ) framework [6].

Inclusion criteria

We considered English-language studies that explored the experience of medicine taking in adults with CKD. We excluded studies involving kidney transplant recipients and alternative medicine. Due to the anticipated limited evidence base, no search restrictions with regard to year limits were imposed.

Data source and search

We searched MEDLINE, Embase and CINAHL using the systematic search method SPIDER (sample, phenomenon of interest, design, evaluation, research type) [7]. We combined search terms such as 'chronic kidney failure', 'medication adherence', 'qualitative research', 'patient experience' and 'qualitative research' among others (for a full overview of search terms see Table 1). The search strategy was refined in collaboration with an experienced librarian and adapted to each database, first We uploaded the search into the Covidence software, where two authors (T.M.N., T.T.) independently screened the search results for eligibility. The search was conducted in January 2016 and updated in June 2017. Figure 1 illustrates the literature search and selection.

Quality assessment

We used the Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (CASP) checklist for qualitative studies to assess individual study quality [8]. Two authors (T.M.N., M.F.J) independently assessed the studies.

Data extraction and analysis

Included studies were imported into QSR NVivo 10 computer data analysis software (QRS International, Melbourne, VIC, Australia). Following the method for thematic synthesis described by Thomas and Harden [9], we extracted data about medicine taking from the included studies, for example, all relevant data presented in the 'abstract, results and discussion' section. To enhance transparency and reproducibility, two authors (T.M.N., M.F.J.) independently conducted the open line-by-line coding of extracted data. This resulted in a total of 31 initial codes that were condensed into 16 preliminary descriptive themes. The descriptive themes were subsequently discussed in the author group and a summary of the findings across studies was drafted. All authors commented on this draft and, through further discussion of the preliminary descriptive themes, three analytical themes with seven descriptive sub-themes emerged.

Assessment of confidence in the review findings

We used the Confidence in the Evidence from Reviews of Qualitative Research (CERQual) criteria to assess how much confidence could be placed in our findings [10, 11]. CERQual is based on four key components: methodological limitations, relevance to the review question, coherence and adequacy of the data contributing to a review finding [14]. Methodological limitations of the individual studies contributing to each review finding were assessed using the CASP tool. Relevance was assessed by evaluating the applicability of the review findings to the context (perspective, population, setting) of our review question. Coherence was assessed by the extent to which the pattern that constitutes a review finding was based on evidence that was consistent across multiple individual studies. Adequacy was assessed through an overall determination of the degree of richness and the quantity of data supporting a review finding [11]. After assessing each of the four components, we judged the confidence in the themes as high, moderate, low or very low. Our starting point was 'high confidence', reflecting the assumption that each review finding was a reasonable representation of the phenomenon of interest unless there were CERQual components that weakened this assumption [11]. See the summary of the qualitative findings and CERQual assessments in Table 2.

Results

Study characteristics

Of 1044 potentially relevant articles identified in the search, we included 19 studies [12–30]. In total, 381 patients with CKD

Table 1. Search method (SPIDER) and search terms used

Search	Query
MEDLINE	
Sample	Search kidney diseases [Mesh] OR kidney failure, chronic [Mesh] OR renal insufficiency [Mesh] OR renal insufficiency, chronic [Mesh] OR acute kidney injury [Mesh] OR hypertension CKD OR CKD hypertension OR CKD treatment OR haemo- dialysis OR CKD OR peritoneal dialysis OR renal dialysis/pharmacology [Mesh] or kidney failure, chronic* OR renal dialy- sis* OR kidney failure, chronic/drug therapy [Mesh] OR kidney failure, chronic/nursing [Mesh] OR kidney disease* and
Phenomenon of interest	Search patient compliance/drug effects [Mesh] OR treatment refusal [Mesh] OR self-medication [Mesh] OR self-administra- tion [Mesh] OR patient medication knowledge [Mesh] OR attitude to health/drug effects [Mesh] OR medication adherence [Mesh] OR concordance medication OR patient acceptance of health care/drug effects [Mesh] OR drug therapy OR poly- pharmacy OR treatment refusal* OR medication adherence* OR prescription drug* OR drug* and
Design	Search grounded theory OR hermeneutic method OR phenomenology OR ethnographic research OR narratives OR discourse analysis OR qualitative research [Mesh] OR nursing evaluation research [Mesh] OR interview [Publication Type] OR inter- views as topic [Mesh] OR nursing methodology research [Mesh] OR observation [Mesh] OR grounded theory [Mesh] OR hermeneutics [Mesh] OR focus groups/methods [Mesh] OR interview* and
Evaluation	Search patient acceptance OR patient perception OR patient perspective OR patient satisfaction OR patient experience OR patient preference [Mesh] OR patient acceptance of health care [Mesh] OR life change events [Mesh] OR motivation [Mesh] OR patient motivation OR trust [Mesh] OR patient confidence OR health literacy* OR health knowledge, attitude, practice OR quality of life OR patient acceptance and
Research type	Search qualitative research [Mesh]
Embase	
Sample	Search kidney disease* OR kidney failure OR chronic kidney failure OR renal disease* OR renal insufficiency OR chronic renal insufficiency OR renal replacement therapy OR haemodialysis [Mesh] OR peritoneal dialysis [Mesh] OR dialysis patient* OR haemodialysis patient [Mesh] OR kidney disease/drug therapy [Mesh] OR chronic kidney failure/drug therapy [Mesh] OR kidney failure/drug therapy [Mesh] OR renal replacement therapy [Mesh] and
Phenomenon of interest	Search medication compliance [Mesh] OR patient compliance [Mesh] OR drug therapy [Mesh] OR treatment refusal [Mesh] OR drug refusal OR medication refusal OR health knowledge and behaviour OR self-medication [Mesh] OR drug self- administration [Mesh] OR medication adherence OR patient medication knowledge OR stitude to health [Mesh] OR poly- pharmacy OR chronic drug therapy OR drug, prescription OR prescription [Mesh] OR drug efficacy [Mesh] and
Design	Search qualitative research [Mesh] OR qualitative research OR qualitative method OR interview [Mesh] OR qualitative anal- ysis [Mesh] OR research, nursing [Mesh] OR ethnographic research [Mesh] OR ethnography [Mesh] OR observational method [Mesh] OR observation [Mesh] OR focus group interview OR clinical nursing research [Mesh] OR grounded theory [Mesh] OR thematic analysis [Mesh] OR narrative [Mesh] OR phenomenology OR hermeneutics [Mesh] OR phenomenolog- ical research [Mesh]
- 1 .:	and
Evaluation	Search patient preference [Mesh] OR patient attitude [Mesh] OR patient satisfaction [Mesh] OR patient experience OR patient perception OR patient motivation OR motivation [Mesh] OR patient perspective OR Personal experience [Mesh] OR patient acceptance
Research type	Search qualitative research [Mesh]
Sample	Search kidney disease [Mesh] OR kidney failure, chronic [Mesh] OR renal insufficiency [Mesh] OR renal insufficiency, chronic [Mesh] OR renal replacement therapy [Mesh] OR haemodialysis [Mesh] OR peritoneal dialysis [Mesh] OR dialysis patient [Mesh]
	and
Phenomenon of interest	Search patient compliance/drug effects [Mesh] OR treatment refusal/drug effects [Mesh] OR treatment refusal OR pharma- cological and biological treatments [Mesh] OR health behavior/drug effects [Mesh] OR self-medication [Mesh] OR self- administration [Mesh] OR patient medication knowledge [Mesh] OR knowledge medication [Mesh] OR medication history [Mesh] OR drug therapy [Mesh] OR drug therapy OR attitude to health/drug effects [Mesh] OR polypharmacy [Mesh] OR chronic drug therapy [Mesh] OR drug, prescription [Mesh] OR drugs [Mesh] OR medication adherence OR drug adherence and
Design	Search qualitative research [mesh] OR field study [mesh] or research, nursing [mesh] or ethnographic research [Mesh] or clinical nursing research [Mesh] OR interview [mesh] OR semi structured interview [Mesh] OR observational method [mesh] OR participant observation [Mesh] OR non participant observation [Mesh] OR focus group [mesh] or hermeneutics OR grounded theory [Mesh] OR discourse analysis or thematic analysis or narratives or phenomenological research [Mesh]
	and

Table 1. Continued

Search	Ollery
beuren	(au)
Evaluation	Search patient preference OR patient experience OR patient perception or patient attitude [Mesh] OR patient motivation [Mesh] OR life experience [Mesh] OR patient acceptance or patient perspective OR patient satisfaction OR beliefs or health belief/drug effects [Mesh] or motivation/drug effects [Mesh] OR motivation or patient participation [Mesh] OR patient par- ticipation or health literacy
	and
Research type	Search qualitative research [Mesh]



Fig. 1. Flow diagram illustrating literature search and selection.

participated in the included studies. Of these, 171 patients were on haemodialysis and/or peritoneal dialysis and 133 patients attended renal clinics; for 77 patients, treatments were not clearly described. For a full overview of study characteristics see Table 3. The studies originated from nine countries (Australia, Brazil, Canada, Chile, China, Singapore, Sweden, UK and USA). Data were collected using face-to-face interviews (13 studies), motivational interviewing via telephone (2 studies), focus group interviews (2 studies) and face-to-face interviews and observation/focus group interviews in combination (2 studies). Sample sizes ranged from 7 to 39 patients and the overall age range was 19–90 years. The majority of studies were assessed to have minor to moderate methodological limitations (8 low, 6 medium, 5 high).

Table 2. Summary of qualitative findings and CERQual assessments

	Relevant	CERQual assessment of confidence in the	
Review finding	articles	evidence	Explanation of CERQual assessment
Logistics			
Establishing and maintaining routines Establishing and maintaining daily routines in relation to med- ications facilitated medicine taking. Across studies, patients described the difficulty of remembering to take their medica- tions, cope with the complexity of a high pill burden with dif- ferent dosage times throughout the day and additional instructions about how and when to take certain medica- tions. They were also challenged by the task of remembering to order and renew prescriptions on time, specifically when medicines ran out at different times. Establishing routines promoted maintenance of prescriptions and medicine tak- ing. Changes in established daily routines disrupted medi-	[16, 17, 18, 19, 21, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30]	High confidence	In all, 13 studies with minor to significant methodological limitations, where most studies had minor to moderate methodological limitations (6 low, 4 medium, 3 high). Thick data from six countries across five geographical con- tinents, but predominantly high income countries. High coherence
The costs of buying medication The costs associated with buying prescribed medications reduced medicine taking. Patients who were financially bur- dened described that they tried to make their supply of med- ications last longer by skipping some doses, taking lower doses of medicine than prescribed or, alternatively, asking their physician to prescribe double-strength medication to reduce the costs associated with buying medications	[16, 25, 27, 28, 29]	Moderate confidence	In all, five studies with minor to moderate methodological limitations (three low, two medium). Moderate data from two countries (Singapore and Australia) across two geographical continents and only high-income countries. High coherence
Benchmarking the need for medication			
Absence of effect from a lay perspective Absence of any tangible effect of a medication influenced some patients' adherence to medication. A majority of patients prioritized the medications that they believed to be impor- tant and those they felt produced noticeable effects, that is, symptom relief, pain relief or improved clinical parameters. Medications benchmarked as 'less important' was most pro- nounced in patients with few or no symptoms and/or when patients experienced that 'less important' medications were hard to swallow or tasted bad. Patients also described reduc- ing dose, timing regime or not taking the medication at all, if the medication imposed side effects and concerns regarding potential interactions	[14, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30]	High confidence	In all, 15 studies with minor to significant methodological limitations, where most studies had minor to moderate methodological limitations (7 low, 5 medium, 3 high). Thick data from eight countries across seven geographical continents and predominantly high- income countries. High coherence
Lacking understanding of medication indications and effects Lacking understanding of the indication for medications, pri- marily the importance of preventive medications, was a bar- rier to adherence. Some patients did not know why medications were prescribed or how they worked, resulting in a lack of understanding of the importance of taking the medication. Conversely, knowing why medications were prescribed and how they worked facilitated adherence Sourced by emergent symptoms	[12, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 27, 28, 29, 30]	Moderate confidence	In all, 10 studies with minor to significant methodological limitations, where most studies had minor to moderate methodological limitations (5 low, 3 medium, 2 high). Moderate data from five countries across four geographical continents and predominantly high- income. High coherence In all five studies with minor to signifi-
Emergent symptoms of disease progression made some patients regret failing to be adherent to their prescribed medications despite any side effects. Facing dialysis and dis- ease-associated complications, these patients reflected on the importance of medical adherence. Emergent symptoms changed their benchmarking of the importance of medica- tions, leading to a higher degree of adherence.	[13, 10, 23, 20, 27]	confidence	cant methodological limitations (one low, two medium, two high). Moderate data from three countries (UK, USA and Australia) across three geographical continents and only high-income coun- tries. High coherence
The quality of the patient–physician relationship			
 Eliciting patients' wishes for involvement in decisions concerning medication Eliciting patients' wishes for involvement in decisions concerning medication influenced some patients' adherence to medication. Across studies patients expressed different wishes for being involved in decisions concerning medications. Some patients placed all their trust in the physicians and readily left them to take control and make decisions on their 	[12, 13, 14, 15, 18, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29]	High confidence	In all, 13 studies with minor to significant methodological limitations, where most studies had minor to moderate methodological limitations (5 low, 5 medium, 3 high). Thick data from five countries across five geographical con- tinents and predominantly high- income. High coherence

Table 2. Continued

Review finding	Relevant articles	CERQual assessment of confidence in the evidence	Explanation of CERQual assessment
behalf while others wished to collaborate as equal partners with physicians about treatment-related decisions, including medication. Lack of continuity, time, trust and involvement of patients wishing to partake in treatment led to patients taking matters into their own hands in relation to medicine taking.			
Lacking information Feeling insufficiently informed about the indications, effects and side effects and interactions between prescribed medi- cations affected adherence negatively. Some patients sus- pected physicians of withholding information while others described getting conflicting information. For example, dif- ferent information from different physicians or physician information that differed from the drug information sheet. This resulted in confusion and apprehension about the med- ication, which in some patients posed a barrier to adherence	[14, 18, 22, 23, 24, 25, 28]	Moderate confidence	In all, seven studies with minor to signifi- cant methodological limitations, where most studies had minor to moderate methodological limitations (three low, three medium, one high). Moderate data from four countries across four geographical continents and only high- income countries. High coherence

Synthesis

We identified three analytical themes with seven descriptive subthemes.

(1) 'Logistics', with the subthemes establishing and maintaining routines, and the costs of buying medication.

(2) 'Benchmarking the need for medication', with the subthemes absence of effect from a lay perspective, lacking understanding of medication indications and effects and being spurred by emergent symptoms.

(3) 'Quality of the patient-physician relationship', with the subthemes eliciting patients' wishes for involvement in decisions concerning medication and lacking information.

A summary of the main analytical and descriptive themes are presented in Table 4. Table 5 presents a selection of patient quotations illustrating each descriptive theme.

Logistics

The logistics surrounding medicine taking were important for facilitating or hindering adherence. Logistics involved the practical challenges of managing complex medication regimes, numerous prescriptions and health care appointments, all of which were challenging in the patients' everyday lives. Furthermore, logistics included the costs of buying medication.

Establishing and maintaining routines (high CERQual confidence)

Establishing and maintaining daily routines in relation to medications facilitated adherence. Across studies, patients described the difficulty of remembering to take medications and coping with the complexity of a high pill burden, different dosage times throughout the day and specific instructions about how to take certain medications. They were also challenged by the task of remembering to renew prescriptions on time, as prescriptions expired at different times. Daily routines promoted the maintenance of prescriptions and medicine taking. Facilitating routines included memory aids (tally charts, calendars, mobile phone), assisting devices (dosette boxes), taking medicines in relation to daily activities (meal times or prayers) and reminders and/or practical support from family or pharmacists (preparing medications, refilling dosette boxes, ordering and renewing prescriptions, reminders to take medications). Memory aids and dosette boxes appeared especially important for patients suffering from fatigue, nausea and poor memory. A downside of dosette boxes was that patients had to be able to see well enough to read the date and to be able pick up or replace a lost pill with an identical one [16, 18, 25].

Participating in activities such as family and social gatherings, health care appointments and other meetings tended to disrupt daily routines. Potentially this resulted in patients forgetting to take their medication or deliberately leaving it at home and postponing the medication until later. Frequent changes in the number of medications, type, dosage and timing of medications also disrupted established routines and reduced adherence.

The costs of buying medication (moderate CERQual confidence)

In some studies, patients described the costs of buying prescribed medications as a barrier to adherence. Patients who were financially burdened tried to make their supply of medications last longer by skipping doses, taking lower doses of medicine than prescribed or, alternatively, asking their physician to prescribe double-strength medication to reduce the costs of buying medications.

Benchmarking the need for medication

Benchmarking the need for medication was both a facilitator and barrier to adherence. Patients used their lay beliefs and experiences of effects and side effects of medications to benchmark which medications were important to take and which medications could be omitted, completely or occasionally.

Absence of effect from a lay perspective (high CERQual confidence)

Patients prioritized the medications they felt produced noticeable effects such as symptom relief, pain relief or improved clinical parameters. Effective medications from the perspective of patients included antihypertensive medications, diabetes medications and analgesics. Medications with less noticeable effects were less important to patients and were more likely to be

luded studies	
the inc	
tics of	
Characterist	
Table 3.	

				Total					
Study	Country	Treatment	Stage of disease	number of participants	Age (years)	Data collection	Methodology	Analysis	Topic
Roso et al. [12]	Brazil	Attending renal	CKD Stage 3–5	15	19–85	Face-to-face	Qualitative	Thematic	To explore how patients in
		clinic				interviews	exploratory		conservative treatment
									of chronic renal insuffi-
									ciency care for
									themselves
Clarkson and	USA	Peritoneal dialy-	CKD Stage 5	10	26–85	Face-to-face	Qualitative	Not stated	To explore the lived experi-
Robinson		sis and				interviews	exploratory		ence of patients with
[13]		haemodialysis							end-stage renal disease
Costantini	Canada	Attending renal	CKD Stage 1–3	14	19–69	Face-to-face	Qualitative	Content	To explore the self-manage-
[14]		clinic				interviews	exploratory		ment experiences of peo-
									ple with mild to
									moderate CKD
Curtin and	USA	Haemodialysis	CKD Stage 5	18	38–63	Face-to-face	Qualitative	Content	To gain an insight into the
Mapes [15]						interviews	exploratory		factors that are associ-
									ated with some dialysis
									patients' ability to live
									long lives on dialysis
Griva [16]	Singapore	Haemodialysis	CKD Stage 5	37	51.3	Face-to-face inter-	Qualitative	Thematic	To explore cultural perspec-
					(mean	views and focus	exploratory		tives on facilitators and
					age)	group interviews			barriers to treatment
									adherence in HD patients
Guerra-	Chile	Haemodialysis	CKD Stage 5	15	22–82	Face-to-face inter-	Hermeneutic	Thematic	To explore the lived experi-
Guerrerro						views (indirect	phenomenological		ences of patients on hae-
et al. [17]						method			modialysis regarding
						observation)			adhering to treatment
									regimens and their per-
									ception of quality of life
Mckillop and	UK	Attending renal	CKD, stage not	10	29–82	Face-to-face	Qualitative	Thematic	To explore attitudes
Joy [18]		clinic	described (but			interviews	exploratory		towards medicines, poly-
			not Stage 5)						pharmacy and adherence
									in patients with CKD
Karamanidou	UK	Haemodialysis	CKD Stage 5	7	32–68	Face-to-face	Qualitative	Interpretative	The experience of renal
et al. [19]						interviews	exploratory	phenomenological	patients undergoing dial-
									ysis treatment, focusing
									on beliefs about their ill-
									ness, prescribed treat-
									ment and the challenge
									of adherence

(continued)

				Total number of					
Study	Country	Treatment	Stage of disease	participants	Age (years)	Data collection	Methodology	Analysis	Topic
Lam et al. [20]	China	Peritoneal dialysis	CKD Stage 5	39	35-76	Face-to-face interviews	Qualitative exploratory	Content	To explore adherence from patients' perspectives and to describe changes in adherence to a thera- peutic regimen among patients undergoing con- tinuous ambulatory peri-
Lindberg and Lindberg [21]	Sweden	Peritoneal dialy- sis and haemodialysis	CKD Stage 5	10	39-83	Face-to-face interviews	Qualitative exploratory	Content	toneal dialysis To explore obstacles to adherence to phosphate- binding medication and to describe the measures taken by dialysis patients to overcome these
Mason et al. [22]	UK	Treatment not described	CKD, stage not described	თ	56–76	Focus group interviews	Qualitative exploratory	Framework approach with tenets of grounded theory	obstactes To identify and explore knowledge and attitudes regarding the control of blood pressure, patient empowerment and edu-
Rifkin et al. [23]	USA	Dialysis and attending renal clinic	CKD Stage 3–5	20	55-84	Face-to-face interviews	Qualitative exploratory	Thematic and ethnographic	cational needs To explore the major themes surrounding medication use and adherence decisions in older kidney disease
Walker et al. [24]	UK	Attending renal clinic	CKD Stage 4	Q	63-83	Face-to-face interviews	Qualitative exploratory	Thematic	Pauerus To explore the experiences of patients attempting to integrate lifestyle
Williams et al. [25]	Australia	Attending renal clinic	CKD Stage 1–5 (but not on dialysis)	53	30-77	Face-to-face interviews	Qualitative exploratory	Johnson's model of medication adherence	To explore factors affecting adherence to multiple prescribed medications for consumers with dia- betic kidney disease from the time of prescription to the time they took their medications

Table 3. Continued

(continued)

Table 3. Continued

				Total					
Study	Country	Treatment	Stage of disease	number of participants	Age (years)	Data collection	Methodology	Analysis	Topic
Williams et al. [26]	Australia	Attending renal clinic	CKD, stage not described (but not on dialysis)	23	59.3 (mean age)	Face-to-face interviews	Qualitative exploratory	Framework approach accord- ing to Ritchie and Spencer	To examine how irrational thinking affects people's adherence to multiple medicines prescribed to manage their diabetic
Williams and Manias [27]	Australia	Attending renal clinic	CKD Stage 2–4	6	68 (mean age)	Motivational inter- viewing via telephone	Qualitative exploratory	Thematic approach incorporating the modified Health Belief Model	kidney disease To explore the motivation and confidence of people with coexisting diabetes, CKD and hypertension to take their medicines as
Williams et al. [28]	Australia	Attending renal clinic	CKD, stage not described (but not on dialysis)	26	73.5 (mean age)	Motivational inter- viewing via tele- phone with interpreter	Qualitative exploratory	Framework method according to Ritchie and Spencer	prescribed To examine the perceptions of a group of culturally and linguistically diverse participants with the comorbidities of diabetes, CKD and cardiovascular disease to determine fac- tore that influence their
Ghimire et al. [29]	Australia	Haemodialysis	CKD Stage 5	õ	44-84	Face-to-face interviews	Qualitative exploratory	Thematic approach incorporating WHO medication adherence model	through the use of moti- vational interviewing To explore factors associ- ated with medication adherence, and examine the differential perspec- tives on medication-tak-
Bowling et al. [30]	USA	Treatment not described	CKD Stage 3-5	30	75.1 (mean age)	Focus group interviews	Qualitative exploratory	Grounded theory	ing behaviour shown by haemodialysis patients To identify and describe the relationship among fac- tors that facilitate or impede CKD self-man- agement for older veter- ans with moderate to severe CKD

Table 4. Summary	7 of the main	analytical and	descriptive themes
------------------	---------------	----------------	--------------------

Logistics	Benchmarking the need for medication	Quality of the patient–physician relationship
 Establishing and maintaining routines (high CERQual confidence) Cost of buying medication (moderate CERQual confidence 	 Absence of effect from a lay perspective (high CERQual confidence) Lacking understanding about medica- tion indication and effects (moderate CERQual confidence) Spurred by emergent symptoms (mod- erate CERQual confidence) 	 Eliciting patients wishes for involvment in decisions concerning medication (high CERQual confidence) Lacking information (moderate CERQual confidence)

skipped. Skipping 'less important' medications was most pronounced in patients with few or no symptoms and/or when patients experienced that 'less important' medications were hard to swallow or tasted bad [14, 16, 17, 19, 25, 33]. These medications included lipid-lowering agents, histamine H2-receptor antagonists, calcium, phosphate-binding agents, statins, aspirin, metoclopramide, pantoprazole and vitamins. Specifically, calcium and phosphate-binding agents were often missed because of their size, taste and texture [12, 13, 19, 25, 29].

Patients were also prone to non-adherence when they experienced that side effects outweighed intended therapeutic effects. Side effects could range from minor and tolerable to severe, affecting the patient's quality of life. Side effects included loss of appetite, nausea, stomach pain, hair loss, body aches, muscle pain, weight loss, coated mouth, tremors, dizziness, skin discoloration, low blood sugar, headache, diarrhoea, constipation, loss of sexual function or 'feeling terrible'. Concerns about side effects and long-term adverse effects of polypharmacy also affected adherence, with patients altering doses or the timing of medications or skipping medications to prevent side effects.

Lacking an understanding of medication indications and effects (moderate CERQual confidence)

Lacking an understanding of the indication for medications, primarily the importance of preventive medications, was a barrier to adherence. Some patients did not know why medications were prescribed or how they worked, resulting in a lack of understanding of the importance of taking the medication. Conversely, knowing why medications were prescribed and how they worked facilitated adherence.

Spurred by emergent symptoms (moderate CERQual confidence)

Patients suffering from disease-related complications expressed regret about having been non-adherent. Facing dialysis and disease-associated complications, these patients acknowledged the importance of medical adherence. Emergent symptoms changed how they benchmarked the importance of medications, leading to a higher degree of adherence.

The quality of the patient-physician relationship

The quality of the patient-physician relationship was both a facilitator and barrier to adherence. Some patients highlighted that they were more likely to adhere to medications when they felt well-informed and involved in decisions concerning medication. Others happily delegated medication decisions. Patients who lacked information or felt their side effects were not considered tended to be less adherent to prescribed medications.

Eliciting patients' wishes for involvement in decisions concerning medication (high CERQual confidence)

Patients expressed different wishes for being involved in decisions concerning medications. Some placed all their trust in physicians and readily let the physician take control and make decisions on their behalf. Others wished to collaborate as equal partners with physicians about treatment-related decisions, including medication. They wanted physicians to acknowledge their concerns and opinions about medication and wished to discuss the pros and cons of changes in medications, doses and effects versus side effects. They also wished to discuss how to manage the disease, the prescribed medication and side effects to suit their lifestyle preferences, including what to expect if they chose not to follow the prescribed treatment. Some wished to negotiate medicine doses in order to minimize side effects. In several studies, patients experienced insufficient time for discussing medications with physicians in the outpatient clinic [16, 18, 19, 33], a large turnover in physicians [16, 17], mistrust of the reasons for prescriptions (some suspected that medications were prescribed for research or financial gain) [15, 19, 25, 27] and non-empathetic communication [14, 15, 17, 19]. Lack of continuity, time, trust and involvement of patients wishing to partake in treatment decisions led to patients taking matters into their own hands in relation to medicine taking.

Lacking information (moderate CERQual confidence)

Feeling insufficiently informed about the indications, effects, side effects and interactions of prescribed medications affected adherence negatively. Some patients suspected physicians of withholding information, while others described getting conflicting information. For example, different information from different physicians or physician information differed from the drug information sheet. This resulted in apprehension, which in some patients posed a barrier to adherence.

Discussion

The aim of this review was to synthesize the available qualitative evidence on patient experiences of factors that facilitate and hinder adherence to medication in patients with CKD. We identified three main themes: logistics, benchmarking the need for medication and the quality of the patient-physician relationship.

Logistics referred to the complexity of managing and adhering to polypharmacy. Patients' efforts to cope with this complexity included daily medication routines, aids and practical help from family and others. We assessed the confidence of the evidence for this theme to be high. Similar to our findings, a cross-sectional study of medication adherence among kidney transplant recipients' showed that practical barriers, including

Table 5. Selection of quotes from patients to illustrate each descriptive theme

Themes	Quotations	Contributing studies
Logistico		
Logistics Establishing and maintaining routines	 'When you get into a habit, you're less likely to forget taking one.' [26] 'once or twice you might forget or if I am somewhere and the medication is at home or you are with friends at a particular time you were supposed to have taken the medication when you don't have the medication with you so at that timeI try not to do that but' [19] 'I have, as I said, a table system when my tablets are running out to re-order because there are so many of them it's not just as easy as saying once a month, but they all run out different times and take different levels and what have you. So, I have to keep track of what we've got and when we have got it.' [24] 'They've [the pharmacy] run out of the drug, go to get the prescription and find the prescription has run out, got to go back to the doctor to get another prescription before I get another tablet and that might take a couple of days and then you find you're back to square one [disease is uncontrolled].' [25] 'I have two pill organizers that I prepare at the same timeput five in the first compartment in the box and the rest in the others. I take the first ones straight away in the morning when I wake updrink coffee and eat in the morning and then I take my other morning medications.' [21] 'my medicine is part of my prayers, okay? So that's a good way to remember it. Like, I gotta say my prayers; I have to take my [medication].' [23] ''m okay if I'm in the house. It's when I go outhalf the time I'm sitting thinking I forgot my tablets.' [18] 	[16, 17, 18, 19, 21, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30]
	'Has so many pills I get daughter to refill [medicine prescriptions).' [28] 'My wife makes sure I take themshe helps. She gets all medicines ready.' [29] 'Been given new tabs (hypoglycaemic agents) to replace other ones. Does not know what they are-	
The cost of buy- ing medication	 chemist fills Dosette box.' [28] and they cost money more every monthI take but I take halfsometimesI make test take half and if I feel OK then OKLasts longer and save moneyIf I feel bad then I go polyclinic and take all.' [16] ''m living on my again and a bit of again.''m living again again.''m again again again again again.'' 	[16, 25, 27, 28, 29]
	one month's supply, so that makes it much more expensive which is a lot of money when you're just living on the pension. It's just money I saved when I was working.' [25]	
Benchmarking the r	need for medication	
Absence of effect from a lay perspective	 'It's to control the blood pressure for your kidneys. Even if I was to miss the other seven, I will take that one because I know to keep my blood pressure at a good level.' [18] 'And I said, yeah, well, no problem I'm gonna take [niacin]. It's a benefit for my heartI'm gonna go for it. And I took it for a whilebut I didn't notice any benefit. I mean, nothing direct. I didn't feel it. If I take niacin, or I don't take it, no difference. I don't take metoprolol, I know there's a difference. I feel different, I feel some fatigue, I feel something missing.' [23] 'If my Levomepromazine wasn't as good and effective for me I wouldn't take it because of the side effects.' [18] 'I spent a good I'd say at least 6 months dancing around with my treatment, just not taking it serious. I wouldn't say really serious, just it seemed a bit excessive the amount of medication There's no way it could be that bad, you feel good, that's the worst thing about it in the beginning you don't realize' [14] 'Take all the medicines that I need—just not statin and aspirin—and only take half coversyl [peri- 	[14, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30]
	 ndopril Prefer ramipril' [28] 'I think it's just calcium tablets; it's not important. I skip it. I dare not do this with other medicines.' [20] 'I had to take [antihypertensive medication], whether it was totally necessary or not, never really occurred to me, it was more like "well this is a preventative measure," so if I ran out of prescriptions sometimes I wouldn't go and get it filled straight away and I'd go for days, sometimes weeks without taking those medications.' [25] 'the tablets are so disgusting, their consistency is so disgusting, so disgusting you don't want to takeit's a big enough job taking the pills I'm supposed to takethey (the phosphate binding agent) didn't taste that great. They're orange flavoured so that chewing on them is no great joythe chewable tablet was much too big. You couldn't take it with you anywhere.' [21] 'Sometimes I wonder if it's the tablets so I miss them to see and it definitely does affect how I feel, if I'm feeling a bit yucky for a while, feeling a bit nauseous and just want to lie down basically. 	
	 So I do get side effects that put me off taking them.' [24] 'but then I have other pills against nausea that I add to itmy stomach is so weaknourishment firstfor me it (the phosphate-binding agent) is absorbed just as well after a mealnowadays I go and lie down for a while (after taking the phosphate-binding agent). Ten to fifteen minutes' [21] 	

Table 5. Continued

Themes	Quotations	Contributing studies
Lacking under- standing of medication indications and effects	 'I don't take medicine for my kidneys; there is no medicine for kidneys, only for high blood pressure. There is one for the heart, which is metoprolol, and there are two for high blood pressure, which are losartan and amlodipine. I only take these three medicines. And at night I take simvastatin, but only sometimes; I don't take it often, because I do the diet and so I sleep well.' [12] 'Well I know what some of them are for but I don't know what they're all for. Like when you were asking me before, merely because I can't read what's on the packet, I just pick which ones I like but I couldn't tell you which one's doing what job.' [25] 'I've managed to get away with it. It's only the transplant people [who have to take their medicines strictly! / [27] 	[12, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 27, 28, 29, 30]
Spurred by emer- gent symptoms	 ' if I had known that not taking my binders would cause my bones to get brittle from the beginning, I would not care how sick they were making me, and keep having side effects and nobody explained why I have this or what caused it.' [13] 'I was a lot more spasmodic [taking medications] until my kidney function got to a point where it is now and I'm looking down the barrel of dialysis and that. I'm probably far more regimented than, and more fearful of not taking it, whereas in the past you know I've probably gone six months withoutsort of (taking my tablets).' [25] 'I wasn't aware of [the dangers of] blood pressure earlier and if I was, it would have been different.' [27] 	[13, 18, 25, 26, 27]
Quality of the patiet Eliciting patients' wishes for involvement in decisions concerning medication	 ht-physician relationship 'Explaining the disease to the fullest, the meds, what's involved, what can happen, changes that are going to be happening in your life so that when it happens you're not wondering what's going on now.' [14] 'But II adjust by myself, cause you can't listen to the doctor all the time, because I don't know whether they're writing a thesis or what, the way they prescribe the medication, every time you know more and more medications. But it doesn't work with me. I refuse to listen, I said no, I don't want to take. Too much of medication you know ah. Even the renal tabletsI never touch.' [15] 'These [two medicines] are for cholesterol, and I was only taking one pill for cholesterol, and my cholesterol was fine. Sometimes I think these salesmen come around and talk to the doctors and sell them a bill of goods, and the next thing you know, you're on it.' [23] 'If you read what's on the prescription [insert] you'd be deadbut they should've said, "If you have any side effects such as," and then only two or three of the major onesThose are not discussed. So, I had to assume that there's nothing adverse except in rare cases. Well, it turns out that's not true because everyone I've mentioned the [edema] to, they didn't blink an eye. They sort of smiled, "Oh, we know." That irritated me a lotThey acted as if they were getting paid to [prescribe it].' [23] 'The problem I see is that it's making me lose my hairThey say it aren't the medicine. But naturally they're going to say it can't be the medicine. But what else can it beI've tried stopping them all! I go every other night! Then I notice I don't lose so much hairHe tells me it aren't got nothing to do with the medicine. But I still believe it does.' [23] 'I think I'm the bosts in thisyou've got to be the one to do it. So basically I think I've played a major role. The doctor can only guide you; you've got to be the one to basically do it.' [14] 	[12, 13, 14, 15, 18, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29]
Lacking information	 'I think information should be offered in the beginning, it shouldn't be withheld, and it's much harsher to find it out in the end. Hey okay, you're looking at being on these blood pressure meds the rest of your life, it's not going to go away. You need to have that realistic expectation from the beginning.' [14] 'So see these are things doctors never tell youEverything I get I go home and check it. Every single one of my other medicines says the same thing. And you know, you say: "Well, which one is it?''' [23] 'Now I go to somebody senior to him (previous doctor) and when I go to see himhe sayswell you shouldn't have been on that and you do tend to get confused!' [22] 	[14, 18, 22, 23, 24, 25, 28]

changes in daily routines, were associated with non-adherence [31]. In another qualitative study, kidney transplant recipients' reported the importance of developing and maintaining routines to facilitate medication adherence [22].

Like other studies, we found that memory aids, assisting devices, associated activities, reminders and practical help from family and pharmacists facilitated adherence [23, 24, 35]. Living with a partner or spouse has likewise been shown to increase adherence [23, 35].

Using tools such as dosette boxes and memory tools may affect adherence both positively and negatively [1, 23, 36]. These tools may constitute a potential barrier to adherence for patients with impaired vision, sensory disturbances or reading difficulties. Clinicians should also be aware that medicine packaging, memory tools and multicompartment devices such as dosette boxes do not necessarily increase medication adherence [1, 36]. They may, however, be helpful for patients who are forgetful or have practical problems with managing complex medication regimes [1, 36]. The potential benefits of memory tools for adherence in patients with physical and/or cognitive impairments warrant further examination.

Adherence improved when patients associated medications with daily routines. An approach to supporting medication adherence could be that clinicians systematically help patients map their everyday activities and encourage them to associate medication routines with these activities [1, 35–38].

Patients used their lay beliefs and experiences of effects versus side effects of medications to benchmark which medications to take and which to potentially omit. The confidence of the evidence for this theme was high. The Necessity-Concerns Framework (NCF) suggests that medication adherence is influenced by individual beliefs about the necessity of medications and their potential adverse effects [39]. For patients in the early stages of kidney disease who did not experience a high symptom burden, it appeared harder to acknowledge the need for medications, particularly if unpleasant side effects overshadowed therapeutic effects. Studies have previously found that side effects and the way in which they are addressed by health professionals influence adherence to medication [23, 24]. Those patients experiencing side effects that were acknowledged and well-managed became more adherent [23]. A recent systematic review found that behavioural interventions for coping with side effects increased adherence in patients with type 2 diabetes [40]. Acknowledging patient experiences of side effects and exploring patient preferences for managing side effects, reducing doses and switching medications are alternative approaches [1].

The quality of the patient-physician relationship was important for adherence. The confidence of the evidence for this theme was also high. Importantly, while some patients were happy to delegate medication and other treatment decisions to physicians, others desired more information, continuity and involvement in decisions concerning treatment and medications. These findings are confirmed in a systematic review of patient preferences for shared decisions in which the majority of patients preferred shared decisions [41]. Similarly, all studies identified a subset of patients who wanted to delegate decisions [41]. Consequently, the challenge for health professionals is to solicit patient preferences for involvement and tailor consultations accordingly [41]. Furthermore, health professionals need to be aware that patient preferences may evolve over time [41]. In line with this, current guidelines urge health professionals to support patients in making informed decisions about treatment, including decisions to not take medications if this is what they wish [1, 36].

Several instruments have been created to elicit patients' preferences for involvement in decision making, but none of them have been found feasible in routine clinical settings [1, 36]. Chewning *et al.* [41] stress that we need neutral modes of assessing patient preferences for involvement in decision making in clinical practice so patients do not feel coerced into involvement or non-involvement. Measures for assessing patient wishes should therefore include explicit response options for both shared decision making and decision delegation [41]. Furthermore, we need insight into how patients perceive decisional processes regarding medications and which decisions they prefer to share with health professionals [41].

A recent Cochrane review assessing decision aids in people facing screening and treatment decisions concluded that decision aids improved people's knowledge of risks and benefits and their feeling of being informed and clear about their values [42]. The authors further concluded that more research is needed regarding the effect of decision aids on adherence to decisions taken [42]. There is evidence that educational and behavioural interventions increase adherence to phosphate control in adults receiving haemodialysis [43]. Elwyn *et al.* [44] expand the field by advocating the potential of integrating shared decision making and motivational interviewing, an integration that would be relevant to examine in the context of medication adherence in patients with CKD.

The strengths of our study include a comprehensive systematic review method adhering to the ENTREQ framework, the use of investigator triangulation to enhance transparency and reproducibility and the use of the CERQual approach to assess the confidence in our findings. In our review, we report on patient-experienced factors influencing adherence to medication. They correspond to those identified in a World Health Organization (WHO) report on adherence to medication across a range of chronic conditions [45]. The focus of our synthesis was patient-experienced factors. Therefore, we cannot comment on, for example, political or organizational influences mentioned in the WHO report [45]. The sample sizes in the included studies could be characterized as relatively small (median 20). Nevertheless, all studies reported that data saturation was achieved. We cannot rule out dissemination bias [46]. In all, 4 of 19 studies included were from the same group of authors. We cannot rule out that this may have introduced a risk of systematic distortion of the phenomenon of interest [11]. We defined data as all text labelled 'results' or 'findings', including patient quotations, as described by Thomas and Harden [9]. This involved the use of patient quotations gathered in one context to answer the review question specified in the current article. To assess the extent to which quotations and other data from the primary studies supporting our findings were applicable to the context (perspective or population, phenomenon of interest, setting) specified in our review question, we used the CERQual component relevance [11]. We attempted to distinguish variations in factors influencing adherence across treatments and stages of disease throughout the analysis. However, it was difficult to conclude decisively about the influence of, for example, stages of disease on this basis. Finally, the CERQual approach is a developing method for assessing how much confidence to place in findings from a qualitative evidence synthesis [11]. As it is still under development, experience with the approach is increasing and will contribute to further development. A limitation of CERQual is that it does not currently address the potential risk of dissemination bias.

Implications for research and clinical practice

We need more evidence on the effect of memory tools, including digital solutions, in patients with CKD, including those with cognitive and/or physical impairments. Studies examining the effect of behavioural interventions for coping with side effects are also relevant. Furthermore, research into the effect of models for shared decision making on adherence in patients with CKD is needed. Finally, additional qualitative studies involving subgroups of patients with CKD and different treatment regimens and stages of disease are needed to further contextualize adherence.

Our review suggests that health professionals play an important role in influencing patients' medication adherence. Helping patients to map daily routines and associate medicine taking with daily routines may facilitate adherence. Likewise, our findings indicate that health professionals should acknowledge patients' wishes for being involved in decisions concerning medications.

Conclusion

Helping patients to map their everyday activities and associate medications with everyday activities may facilitate adherence to medications. Memory aids supported medicine taking in patients with memory problems. Eliciting patient beliefs and values about medications and supporting patients to cope with side effects influenced adherence positively. Finally, a patientcentred approach addressing patients' wishes for involvement in medication decisions facilitated adherence. The findings in this synthesis resonate with previous research. It extends the known literature by synthesizing and formally assessing the confidence in our review findings.

Funding

This research was supported by the Abdominal Centre, Rigshospitalet. Copenhagen University Hospital. Copenhagen, Denmark.

Conflict of interest statement

None declared.

References

- Nunes V, Neilson J, O'Flynn N. Clinical Guidelines and Evidence Review for Medicines Adherence: Involving Patients in Decisions about Prescribed Medicines and Supporting Adherence. London: National Collaborating Centre for Primary Care and Royal College of General Practitioners, 2009; pp. 1–325
- Burnier M, Pruijm M, Wuerzner G et al. Drug adherence in chronic kidney disease and dialysis. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2015; 30: 39–44
- Ellis RJB, Welch JL. Medication-taking behaviors in chronic kidney disease with multiple chronic conditions: a metaethnographic synthesis of qualitative studies. J Clin Nurs 2016; 26: 586–598
- Mason NA. Polypharmacy and medication-related complications in the chronic kidney disease patient. Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens 2011; 20: 492–497
- 5. Schmid H, Hartmann B, Schiffl H. Adherence to prescribed oral medication in adult patients undergoing chronic

hemodialysis: a critical review of the literature. *Eur J Med Res* 2009; 14: 185–190

- 6. Tong A, Flemming K, McInnes E et al. Enhancing transparency in reporting the synthesis of qualitative research: ENTREQ. BMC Med Res Methodol 2012; 12: 181
- Cooke A, Smith D, Booth A. Beyond PICO: the SPIDER tool for qualitative evidence synthesis. Qual Health Res 2012; 22: 1435–1443
- Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (CASP) Qualitative Checklist. http://docs.wixstatic.com/ugd/dded87_7e983a320087439e94
 533f4697aa109c.pdf (22 November 2017, date last accessed)
- Thomas J, Harden A. Methods for the thematic synthesis of qualitative research in systematic reviews. BMC Med Res Methodol 2008; 8: 1–10
- Noyes J, Lewin S. Supplemental guidance on selecting a method of qualitative evidence synthesis, and integrating qualitative evidence with Cochrane intervention reviews. In: Noyes J (ed). Supplementary Guidance for Inclusion of Qualitative Research in Cochrane Systematic Reviews of Interventions. London: Cochrane Collaboration Qualitative Methods Group, 2011
- Lewin S, Glenton C, Munthe-Kaas H et al. Using qualitative evidence in decision making for health and social interventions: an approach to assess confidence in findings from qualitative evidence syntheses (GRADE-CERQual). PLoS Med 2015; 12: 1–18
- 12. Roso CC, Beuter M, Kruse MHL et al. Self-care of patients in conservative treatment of chronic renal insufficiency. *Text* Context Nurs 2013; 22: 739–745
- Clarkson KA, Robinson K. Life on dialysis: a lived experience. Nephrol Nurs J 2010; 37: 29–35
- Costantini L, Beanlands H, McCay E et al. The selfmanagement experience of people with mild to moderate chronic kidney disease. Nephrol Nurs J 2008; 35: 147–155
- Curtin RB, Mapes, DL. Health care management strategies of long-term dialysis survivors Nephrol Nurs J 2001; 28: 385–392
- 16. Griva K, Ng HJ, Loei J et al. Managing treatment for end-stage renal disease—a qualitative study exploring cultural perspectives on facilitators and barriers to treatment adherence. Psychol Health 2013; 28: 13–29
- Guerra-Guerrerro V, Camargo Plazas MDP, Cameron BL et al. Understanding the life experience of people on hemodialysis: adherence to treatment and quality of life. Nephrol Nurs J 2014; 41: 289–297
- McKillop G, Joy J. Patients' experience and perceptions of polypharmacy in chronic kidney disease and its impact on adherent behavior. J Ren Care 2013; 39: 200–207
- Karamanidou C, Weinman J, Horne R. A qualitative study of treatment burden among haemodialysis recipients. J Health Psychol 2014; 19: 556–569
- 20. Lam LW, Lee DTF, Shiu ATY. The dynamic process of adherence to a renal therapeutic regimen: Perspectives of patients undergoing continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis. Int J Nurs Stud 2014; 51: 908–916
- Lindberg M, Lindberg P. Overcoming obstacles for adherence to phosphate binding medication in dialysis patients: a qualitative study. Pharm World Sci 2008; 30: 571–576
- Mason J, Stone M, Khunti K et al. Educational needs for blood pressure control in chronic kidney disease. J Ren Care 2007; 33: 134–138
- Rifkin DE, Laws MB, Rao M et al. Medication adherence behavior and priorities among older adults with CKD: a semistructured interview study. Am J Kidney Dis 2010; 56: 439–446

- 24. Walker R, James H, Burns A. Adhering to behaviour change in older pre-dialysis populations—what do patients think? A qualitative study. J Ren Care 2012; 38: 34–42
- 25. Williams AF, Manias E, Walker R. Adherence to multiple, prescribed medications in diabetic kidney disease: a qualitative study of consumers' and health professionals' perspectives. Int J Nurs Stud 2008; 45: 1742–1756
- 26. Williams AF, Manias E, Walker R. The role of irrational thought in medicine adherence: people with diabetic kidney disease. J Adv Nurs 2009; 65: 2108–2117
- Williams AF, Manias E. Exploring motivation and confidence in taking prescribed medicines in coexisting diseases: a qualitative study. J Clin Nurs 2013; 23: 471–481
- Williams AF, Manias E, Cross W et al. Motivational interviewing to explore culturally and linguistically diverse people's comorbidity medication self-efficacy. J Clin Nurs 2014; 24: 1269–1279
- Ghimire S, Castelino RL, Jose MD et al. Medication adherence perspectives in haemodialysis patients: a qualitative study. BMC Nephrol 2017; 18: 167
- Bowling CB, Vandenberg AE, Phillips LS et al. Older patients' perspectives on managing complexity in CKD self-management. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2017; 12: 635–643
- 31. Weng FL, Chandwani S, Kurtyka KM et al. Prevalence and correlates of medication non-adherence among kidney transplant recipients more than 6 months post-transplant: a cross-sectional study. BMC Nephrol 2013; 14: 261
- 32. Ruppar MT, Russell LC. Medication adherence in successful kidney transplant recipients. Prog Transplant 2009; 19: 167–172
- 33. Geissler J, Sharf G, Bombaci F. Factors influencing adherence in CML and ways to improvement: results of a patientdriven survey of 2546 patients in 63 countries. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol 2017; 143: 1167–1176
- Mohammed MA, Moles RJ, Chen TF. Medication-related burden and patients' lived experience with medicine: a systematic review and metasynthesis of qualitative studies. BMJ Open 2016; 6: 1–16
- 35. Munro SA, Lewin SA, Smith HJ et al. Patient adherence to tuberculosis treatment: a systematic review of qualitative research. PLoS Med 2007; 4: 1230–1245
- Nunes V, Neilson J, O'Flynn N. Appendix A: Summary of New Evidence from 8-Year Surveillance of Medicines Adherence. Nice

Guideline Cg76. London: National Collaborating Centre for Primary Care and Royal College of General Practitioners, 2016

- 37. Hilbink M, Lacroix J, Bremer van der Heiden L *et al.* Effectiveness of a medication-adherence tool: study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. Trials 2016; 17: 274
- 38. van der Laan DM, Elders PJM, Boons CCLM et al. The (cost-) effectiveness of a patient-tailored intervention programme to enhance adherence to antihypertensive medication in a community pharmacies: study protocol of a randomized controlled trial. Trials 2017; 18: 29
- 39. Horne R, Chapman SCE, Parham R et al. Understanding patients' adherence-related beliefs about medicines prescribed for long term conditions: a meta-analytic review of the necessity-concerns framework. PLoS One 2013; 8: e80633
- 40. Vignon Zomahoun HT, de Bruin M, Guillaumie L et al. Effectiveness and content analysis of interventions to enhance oral antidiabetic drug adherence in adults with type 2 diabetes: systematic review and meta-analysis. Value Health 2015; 18: 530–540
- Chewning B, Bylund CL, Shah B et al. Patient preferences for shared decisions: a systematic review. Patient Educ Couns 2012; 86: 9–18
- 42. Stacey D, Légaré F, Lewis K. Decision aids to help people who are facing health treatment or screening decisions. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2017; 4: 1–238
- 43. Milazi M, Bonner A, Douglas C. Effectiveness of educational or behavioral interventions on adherence to phosphate control in adults receiving hemodialysis: a systematic review. JBI Database System Rev Implement Rep 2017; 15: 971–1010
- Elwyn G, Edwards A, Thomson R. Shared Decision Making in Health Care—Archieving Evidence-Based Patient Choice, 3rd edn. Oxford; 2016
- 45. World Health Organization. Adherence to Long Term Therapies: Evidence for Action. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2003. http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/42682/1/9241545992 .pdf (22 November 2017, date last accessed)
- Toews I, Booth A, Berg RC et al. Further exploration of dissemination bias in qualitative research required to facilitate assessment within qualitative evidence synthesis. J Clin Epidemiol 2017; 88: 133–139