# UNIVERSITY OF COPENHAGEN

## Large increases in Arctic biogenic volatile emissions are a direct effect of warming

Kramshøj, Magnus; Vedel-Petersen, Ida; Schollert, Michelle; Rinnan, Åsmund; Nymand, Josephine; Ro-Poulsen, Helge; Rinnan, Riikka

Published in: Nature Geoscience

DOI: 10.1038/NGEO2692

Publication date: 2016

Document version Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (APA): Kramshøj, M., Vedel-Petersen, I., Schollert, M., Rinnan, Å., Nymand, J., Ro-Poulsen, H., & Rinnan, R. (2016). Large increases in Arctic biogenic volatile emissions are a direct effect of warming. *Nature Geoscience*, 9(5), 349-352. https://doi.org/10.1038/NGEO2692

# 1 Large increases in arctic biogenic volatile organic compound

# 2 emissions are a direct effect of warming

- 3 Magnus Kramshøj<sup>1,2</sup>, Ida Vedel-Petersen<sup>1</sup>, Michelle Schollert<sup>1,2</sup>, Åsmund Rinnan<sup>3</sup>, Josephine
- 4 Nymand<sup>4</sup>, Helge Ro-Poulsen<sup>1,2</sup>, Riikka Rinnan<sup>1,2</sup>

5

- <sup>6</sup> <sup>1</sup>Terrestrial Ecology Section, Dept. of Biology, University of Copenhagen, Universitetsparken 15,
- 7 Building 1, DK-2100 Copenhagen Ø, Denmark
- 8 <sup>2</sup>Center for Permafrost (CENPERM), Dept. of Geography and Geology, University of Copenhagen,
- 9 Øster Voldgade 10, DK-1350 Copenhagen K, Denmark
- <sup>3</sup>Spectroscopy & Chemometrics Section, Dept. of Food Science, University of Copenhagen,
- 11 Rolighedsvej 26, DK-1958 Frederiksberg C, Denmark
- <sup>4</sup>Greenland Institute of Natural Resources, Kivioq 2, DK-3900, Nuuk, Greenland

14 Biogenic volatile organic compounds are reactive gases that can contribute to atmospheric aerosol formation<sup>1</sup>. Their emission from vegetation is dependent on temperature and light 15 availability<sup>2</sup>. Increasing temperature, changing cloud cover, and shifting composition of 16 17 vegetation communities can be expected to affect emissions in the Arctic, where the ongoing climate changes are particularly severe<sup>3</sup>. Here we present biogenic volatile organic compound 18 19 emission data from arctic tundra exposed to six years of experimental warming or reduced 20 sunlight treatment in a randomized block design. By separately assessing the emission 21 response of the whole ecosystem, plant shoots and soil in four measurements covering the 22 growing season, we have identified that warming increased the emissions directly rather than via a change in the plant biomass and species composition. Warming caused a 260% increase 23 24 in total emission rate for the ecosystem and a 90% increase in emission rates for plants, while 25 having no effect on soil emissions. Compared to the control, reduced sunlight decreased emissions by 69% for the ecosystem, 61-65% for plants and 78% for soil. The detected strong 26 27 emission response is considerably higher than observed at more southern latitudes, emphasizing the high temperature sensitivity of ecosystem processes in the changing Arctic. 28 All organisms release VOCs for a range of physiological and ecological reasons, but 29 the majority of emissions is derived from vegetation<sup>2</sup>. When low-volatile BVOC oxidation products 30 condensate onto aerosol surfaces, it enhances the formation and growth of secondary organic 31 aerosols<sup>4</sup>. The emission of BVOCs therefore indirectly affects the Earth's radiation balance, as 32 secondary organic aerosols scatter solar radiation and act as cloud condensation nuclei, leading to 33 enhanced albedo<sup>5</sup>. 34

BVOC emission rates in general peak in the tropics and then decrease towards the poles<sup>6</sup>. In the Arctic, models have assumed minimal emissions due to low temperatures, short growing seasons and sparse vegetation cover<sup>6,7</sup>. However, recent field studies indicate that

emissions from arctic tundra greatly exceed estimates based upon models relying on ambient air
temperatures rather than canopy temperatures<sup>8,9</sup>, which are strongly decoupled from air temperature
due to the low-stature canopy and adaptations of arctic plants ensuring maximal trapping of heat to
warm the canopy<sup>10,11</sup>.

Light is needed for photosynthesis, and BVOCs like isoprene that are directly released upon biosynthesis are emitted light-dependently<sup>12</sup>. The production and diffusion rates of BVOCs correlate with temperature, with typical exponential response curves<sup>12</sup>. Direct solar radiation has especially large influence on leaf temperatures in low canopy vegetation<sup>11</sup>, such as tundra, and changes in cloud cover could thus indirectly have a large impact on emission rates.

47 While the effect of changes in light availability has hardly been considered, long term 48 field studies suggest that BVOC emission response to experimental warming is much larger in high latitude compared to other ecosystems<sup>13–16</sup>, indicating that the effect of climate changes on BVOC 49 emission is dependent on the type and state of the ecosystem<sup>16</sup>. A potential reason for the large 50 temperature response in high latitude systems is that the increased BVOC emission is an indirect 51 result of temperature-driven plant biomass increase in these temperature-limited ecosystems<sup>13</sup>. The 52 53 ongoing warming of the Arctic has led to increased plant biomass and altered species composition in the tundra<sup>17</sup>, and experimental warming studies indicate that the biomass increase is linear over 54 time<sup>18</sup>. 55

In order to identify the mechanisms behind the large emission responses observed in the Arctic, we assessed the effects of warming (W) and reduced sunlight (RS) on BVOC emissions from arctic tundra separately for the whole ecosystem, dominant plants and soil. We examined the responses to elevated temperature using Plexiglas open top chambers, which increase air temperature by 2-3 °C, and to RS using dome-shaped hessian tents, which decrease photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) by 65%, in dry dwarf-shrub tundra in Western Greenland

62 (64°07'N, 51°21'W). The experiment had six replicate plots for each treatment. The vegetation was
63 homogeneous and co-dominated by the crowberry *Empetrum hermaphroditum* and grey willow
64 *Salix glauca* (Supplementary Table S1) with occasional spots of bare soil. Both plant species are
65 common dwarf shrubs with a circumboreal-polar distribution.

The BVOC emissions were estimated using enclosure technique and collection of the emitted volatiles in adsorbent cartridges, which were analyzed by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (see Methods). The ecosystem emissions were measured by enclosing whole ecosystem plots ( $33 \times 33$  cm) including intact vegetation and the underlain soil. The plant measurements were made on enclosed shoots of *E. hermaphroditum* and *S. glauca*, and the soil measurements were made on enclosed bare soil spots.

The unmanipulated heath proved to be a significant source of BVOCs having a daytime emission rate of 338  $\mu$ g m<sup>-2</sup> ground area h<sup>-1</sup> averaged across the season (Fig. 1a). Isoprene, which was primarily emitted by *S. glauca*, dominated the emission profile constituting 87% of the total emission (Supplementary Tables S1 and S2). Non-terpenoid compounds accounted for 7% of the total emission, while sesquiterpenes and monoterpenes, primarily emitted by *E*.

*hermaphroditum* (Supplementary Table S1 and S3), accounted for 5% and 1%, respectively. Across
the season, the ecosystem BVOC emission was consistently higher from W and lower from the RS
treatment compared to the control (Fig. 1a).

W increased the enclosure temperature by 3.1 °C averaged over all measurements (Supplementary Table S2), but it also decreased soil moisture from 22.0% in control to 17.8% in W (Supplementary Table S3). This decrease in soil moisture in response to warming is well in agreement with the projected changes in soil moisture under climate change<sup>19</sup>. The aboveground plant biomass was lower in both RS and W compared to the control (Supplementary Table 3S), and in order to not let this difference interact with the assessment of treatment effects on the emissions,

we proportioned the ecosystem emission to the estimated aboveground plant biomass in each plot
(see Methods). The decrease in aboveground plant biomass in W was in line with earlier findings
from water limited arctic ecosystems<sup>17,18</sup>, and was likely due to amplified drought stress in the W
plots<sup>11</sup>.

Proportioned to aboveground plant biomass, average ecosystem emissions increased 260% in W compared to the control (Fig. 2a). This temperature relationship corresponds to a Q10 of 22, in which Q10 describes the factor by which the emission rate increases with a 10 °C rise in temperature. The high Q10 highlights the extreme temperature sensitivity of BVOC emissions from arctic tundra relative to other processes affected by warming; for example, the Q10 for BVOC emissions is typically between 3-6 (ref. 16), and that for biological processes in general is around 2.5 (ref. 20).

97 The ecosystem BVOC emission increase was primarily driven by isoprene and nonterpenoid compounds, increasing 240% and 590% compared to the control, respectively (Fig. 2a). 98 99 The monoterpene and sesquiterpene emission response to warming was substantial but less 100 profound, with 140% and 60% increases in emissions, respectively. The effect of warming was much greater than expected based on the parameterizations used in models applying exponential 101 relationships between emission rate and temperature<sup>21</sup> and more drastic than the increase 102 documented for a subarctic heath<sup>14,22</sup>. Furthermore, the effect was a consequence of direct 103 stimulation of biosynthesis, rather than a result of higher plant biomass due to warming<sup>13</sup>. The large 104 105 response to warming may be linked to the effects of decreased soil moisture, which is a key driver of vegetation properties in arctic-alpine ecosystems<sup>23</sup>. Moderate drought stress has in some cases 106 increased isoprene emissions<sup>15,16</sup>, possibly by limiting the naturally cooling evapotranspiration 107 process, resulting in higher leaf temperatures<sup>11</sup>. 108

On average RS decreased PAR by 65%, air temperature in the enclosures by 5.7 °C (Supplementary Table S2) and soil temperature by 0.5 °C (Supplementary Table S3). Corrected for plant biomass, the total BVOC emission from RS was 69% lower than the control, mainly due to lower isoprene emissions (Fig. 2a). The decrease may be explained by the reduced temperature and probably to a higher extent by the light dependency of the emission of isoprene that is released upon synthesis, and thus coupled to photosynthesis<sup>21,25</sup>.

115 The treatment responses in the plant shoot BVOC emissions were in agreement with 116 the responses at the ecosystem level. Compared to the control, the total BVOC emissions from *S*. 117 *glauca* and *E. hermaphroditum* increased with 90% in W, and decreased with 61-65% in RS (Fig. 118 2b and 2c). *S. glauca* had an average emission rate of 7.8  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup> dw leaf biomass h<sup>-1</sup> with isoprene 119 accounting for 85% of the total emission (Fig. 2b). The average emission rate of *E. hermaphroditum* 120 was 2.6  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup> dw leaf biomass h<sup>-1</sup>, mainly consisting of sesquiterpenes and non-terpenoid 121 compounds (Fig. 2c).

In general, the emissions from bare soil proved to be substantial, constituting 20% of the total BVOCs released from the whole ecosystem measurements. Total soil BVOC emission rate was 59.1  $\mu$ g m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>, with non-terpenoid compounds accounting for 95%. The seasonal variation in soil and plant emissions is shown in Supplementary Figure S1, and complete lists of compounds emitted are shown in Supplementary Tables S4-S7.

127 The emission in RS was 78% lower than in the control (Fig. 2d), and thus it followed 128 the negative response observed also in the plant and ecosystem measurements. However, in contrast 129 to the stimulation of plant and ecosystem emissions, W had no effects on the soil emissions (Fig. 130 2d). The decrease in soil emissions by RS cannot be fully explained by abiotic factors since soil 131 temperature at 3-5 cm depth only decreased by 0.5 °C (Supplementary Table S3), and solar 132 radiation only directly affects the soil surface. Instead, we suggest that root biomass played a role.

The majority of BVOCs released from soil derive from the rhizosphere including emissions from 133 roots, rhizospheric bacteria and fungi<sup>26</sup>. Reduced light availability increases allocation of resources 134 to stem and leaves at the expense of roots<sup>27</sup> suggesting reduced biomass and thereby lower root-135 derived emissions in the RS treatment. Also the decrease in aboveground plant biomass in RS 136 137 (Supplementary Table S3) has probably been accompanied by a decrease in root biomass. Results 138 from an earlier long term warming experiment in arctic tundra indicate that belowground plant 139 biomass is unaffected by open top chamber warming, which could explain the lacking soil emission response to warming in our study $^{28}$ . 140

To assess which biological or environmental factors affect the ecosystem-level BVOC 141 emissions, we conducted a partial least squares (PLS) regression<sup>29</sup> analysis on the ecosystem 142 143 emission of the dominant BVOC, isoprene. Isoprene emission correlated positively with net ecosystem exchange, the biomass of S. glauca, a strong isoprene emitter<sup>2</sup>, and PAR (Fig. 3) which 144 is in agreement with the well-established light dependence of isoprene emission<sup>12</sup>. In contrast, 145 several soil-related, inter-correlated, variables had a negative relationship with ecosystem isoprene 146 emission (Fig. 3). The most influential of these variables were ecosystem respiration, soil microbial 147 148 biomass carbon and bacterial abundance (number of 16S rDNA copies). We hypothesize that the negative relationship demonstrates active soil bacterial uptake of isoprene in the ecosystem<sup>30</sup>. The 149 responses of this process to climate change and the importance to the ecosystem net emissions 150 remain to be untangled. 151

Our results demonstrate several-fold increased BVOC emission from a dry arctic tundra heath in response to a realistic temperature increase mimicking projected warming in the Arctic<sup>3</sup>. The drastic increase is in agreement, but cannot be fully explained by increases in emissions per gram leaf biomass of the dominant plant species. The unexplained emission increase may be due to strong temperature dependency in BVOC emission from mosses, lichens,

- decomposing litter and subdominant vascular plants in the whole ecosystem plots (Supplementary
  Table S1). Reduced sunlight decreased emission rates both from plants, soil, and the whole
  ecosystem, probably due to both reduced light availability *per se* and surface cooling.
- Our results emphasize the increasing importance of BVOC emissions from the Arctic 160 161 under climate change. Since 1979, arctic land surface has warmed at a rate of 0.5 °C per decade and in 2100 temperatures in the Arctic are projected to have increased by 2-8 °C (ref. 3). In remote 162 areas, such as the Arctic, where the air is clean, the growth of particles large enough to act as cloud 163 condensation nuclei, is tightly coupled to the emission of BVOCs<sup>1</sup>, and warming-induced emissions 164 in the Arctic may therefore lead to increased cloud formation. As BVOC emissions are highly 165 166 regulated by sunlight availability, the warming-induced emissions might in fact initiate a negative 167 feedback mechanism between the biosphere, aerosols and climate.
- 168
- 169

### 170 Additional Information

- 171 The processed data for this manuscript can be found in the Figshare database
- 172 (http://figshare.com/authors/Magnus\_Kramsh\_j/830572).
- 173
- 174
- 175
- 176
- 177
- 178
- 179
- 1/9
- 180

### 181 **References**

182	1.	Paasonen, P. et al. Warming-induced increase in aerosol number concentration likely to
183		moderate climate change. Nat. Geosci. 6, 438–442 (2013).
184	2.	Laothawornkitkul, J., Taylor, J. E., Paul, N. D. & Hewitt, C. N. Biogenic volatile organic
185		compounds in the Earth system: Tansley review. New Phytol. 183, 27–51 (2009).
186	3.	IPCC, 2013: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working
187		Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
188		[Stocker, T. F., Qin, D., Plattner, GK., Tignor, M. M. B., Allen, S. K., Boschung, J.,
189		Nauels, A., Xia, Y., Bex, V. & Midgley, P. M. (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press,
190		Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 1535 pp. at
191		<http: www.climatechange2013.org=""></http:>
192	4.	Smith, S. J., Edmonds, J., Hartin, C. A., Mundra, A. & Calvin, K. Near-term acceleration in
193		the rate of temperature change. <i>Nature Clim. Change</i> <b>5</b> , 333–336 (2015).
194	5.	Carslaw, K. S. et al. Atmospheric aerosols in the earth system: a review of interactions and
195		feedbacks. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 9, 11087–11183 (2009).
196	6.	Guenther, A. B. et al. The model of emissions of gases and aerosols from nature version 2.1
197		(MEGAN2.1): An extended and updated framework for modeling biogenic emissions.
198		Geosci. Model Dev. 5, 1471–1492 (2012).
199	7.	Grote, R. & Niinemets, Ü. Modeling volatile isoprenoid emissions - A story with split ends.
200		<i>Plant Biol.</i> <b>10,</b> 8–28 (2008).

- 8. Holst, T. *et al.* BVOC ecosystem flux measurements at a high latitude wetland site. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 10, 1617–1634 (2010).
- Potosnak, M. J. *et al.* Isoprene emissions from a tundra ecosystem. *Biogeosciences* 10, 871–
  889 (2013).
- 205 10. Rinnan, R., Steinke, M., McGenity, T. & Loreto, F. Plant volatiles in extreme terrestrial and
  206 marine environments. *Plant Cell Environ.* 37, 1776–1789 (2014).
- 207 11. Körner, C. in *Plant Growth and Climate Change* (eds. Morison, J. I. L. & Morecroft, M.) 48–
  208 69 (Blackwell Publishing Ltd, 2007). doi:10.1002/9780470988695.ch3
- 12. Niinemets, Ü., Loreto, F. & Reichstein, M. Physiological and physicochemical controls on
  foliar volatile organic compound emissions. *Trends Plant Sci.* 9, 180–186 (2004).
- 211 13. Valolahti, H., Kivimäenpää, M., Faubert, P., Michelsen, A. & Rinnan, R. Climate change-
- induced vegetation change as a driver of increased subarctic biogenic volatile organic
- compound emissions. *Glob. Change Biol.* (2015). doi:10.1111/gcb.12953
- 14. Faubert, P. *et al.* Doubled volatile organic compound emissions from subarctic tundra under
  simulated climate warming. *New Phytol.* 187, 199–208 (2010).
- Monson, R. K. *et al.* Isoprene emission from terrestrial ecosystems in response to global
  change: minding the gap between models and observations. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* 365,
  1677–1695 (2007).
- 219 16. Peñuelas, J. & Staudt, M. BVOCs and global change. *Trends Plant Sci.* 15, 133–144 (2010).

220	17.	Elmendorf, S. C. et al. Plot-scale evidence of tundra vegetation change and links to recent
221		summer warming. Nature Clim. Change 2, 453–457 (2012).
222	18.	Elmendorf, S. C. et al. Global assessment of experimental climate warming on tundra
223		vegetation: Heterogeneity over space and time. Ecol. Lett. 15, 164–175 (2012).
224	19.	AMAP, 2011. Snow, Water, Ice and Permafrost in the Arctic (SWIPA): Climate Change and
225		the Cryosphere. Arctic Monitoring and Assessment Programme (AMAP), Oslo, Norway. xii
226		+ 538 pp. at <http: doc="" documents="" snow-water-ice-and-permafrost-in-the-<="" td="" www.amap.no=""></http:>
227		arctic-swipa-climate-change-and-the-cryosphere/743>
228	20.	Niinemets, Ü. in Advances in Plant Physiology (ed. Hemantaranjan, A.) 233-268 (Scientific
229		Publishers, 2004)
230	21.	Guenther, A. B., Zimmerman, P. R., Harley, P. C., Monson, R. K. & Fall, R. Isoprene and
231		monoterpene emission rate variability: Model evaluations and sensitivity analyses. J.
232		Geophys. Res. <b>98,</b> 12609-12617 (1993).
233	22.	Tiiva, P. et al. Climatic warming increases isoprene emission from a subarctic heath. New
234		<i>Phytol.</i> <b>180,</b> 853–863 (2008).
235	23.	Le Roux, P. C., Aalto, J. & Luoto, M. Soil moisture's underestimated role in climate change
236		impact modelling in low-energy systems. Glob. Change Biol. 19, 2965–2975 (2013).
237	24.	Dani, S., Jamie, I. M., Prentice, I. C. & Atwell, B. J. Increased ratio of electron transport to
238		net assimilation rate supports elevated isoprenoid emission rate in eucalyptus under drought.
239		Plant Physiol. 166, 1059–1072 (2014).

240	25.	Loreto, F. & Schnitzler, JP. Abiotic stresses and induced BVOCs. Trends Plant Sci. 15,
241		154–166 (2010).
242	26.	Peñuelas, J. et al. Biogenic volatile emissions from the soil. Plant Cell Environ. 37, 1866–
243		1891 (2014).
244	27.	Poorter, H. et al. Biomass allocation to leaves, stems and roots: Meta-analyses of
245		interspecific variation and environmental control. New Phytol. 193, 30–50 (2012).
246	28.	Rinnan, R., Michelsen, A., Bååth, E. & Jonasson, S. Fifteen years of climate change
247		manipulations alter soil microbial communities in a subarctic heath ecosystem. Glob. Change
248		<i>Biol.</i> <b>13,</b> 28–39 (2007).
249	29.	Geladi, P. & Kowalski, B. R. Partial least-squares regression: A tutorial. Anal. Chim. Acta
250		<b>185,</b> 1–17 (1986).
251	30.	Cleveland, C. C. & Yavitt, J. B. Microbial Consumption of atmospheric isoprene in soil.
252		Geophys. Res. Lett. 24, 2379-2382 (1997).
253		
234		
255		
256		
257		
258		

- 259 Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Riikka Rinnan:
- 260 riikkar@bio.ku.dk
- 261

### 262 Acknowledgements

We thank Mathilde Borg Dahl, Peter Cornelius Brusvang and Merian Skouw Haugwitz for sharing excellent and invaluable datasets and Guy Schurgers and Christian Albers for constructive criticism and useful suggestions for the manuscript.

- We also thank Villum foundation, the Danish Council for Independent Research |
  Natural Sciences, and the Carlsberg foundation for funding the project. The Danish National
  Research Foundation supported the activities within the Center for Permafrost (CENPERM
  DNRF100). Pinngortitaleriffik Greenland Institute of Natural Resources and Greenland
- 20) Dividi 100). Timigoritaionnik Oroomana institute of Matural Resources and Oroomana
- 270 Ecosystem Monitoring Programme provided an excellent logistical basis for the work. Data from
- the Greenland Ecosystem Monitoring Programme were provided by the Department of Bioscience,
- 272 Aarhus University, Denmark in collaboration with Greenland Institute of Natural Resources, Nuuk,
- 273 Greenland, and Department of Biology, University of Copenhagen, Denmark.
- 274

### 275 Author Contributions

276 MK and IVP collected the data. MK, IVP, MS and RR analyzed and interpreted the dataset. ÅR

- 277 performed the PLS analysis. JN and HRP established the experimental site. MK wrote the
- 278 manuscript with contributions from all authors.

279

### 280 Author Information

281 The authors declare no competing financial interests.



Figure 1: Biogenic volatile organic compound emission across the season. a, Mean emission rate (n = 5) per ground area and b, mean emission rate (n = 5) per dry weight plant biomass. Statistically significant *p*-values for the repeated measures ANOVA are shown. Asterisks signify

statistically significant difference from the control within the measurement dates (Dunnett's test, \* p< 0.05). C, control; RS, reduced sunlight; W, warming. Error bars show standard error of the mean.







Emission from whole ecosystem corrected for plant biomass (n = 5) **b**, Emission from *Salix glauca* (n = 6) **c**, Emission from *Empetrum hermaphroditum* (n = 6) and **d**, Emission from soil (n = 6). The division of the total emissions to isoprene, monoterpenes, sesquiterpenes and non-terpenoids is shown. Symbols signify statistically significant difference compared to control (Mixed model, Dunnett's test, \* p < 0.05; † p < 0.1). Error bars represent standard error of the mean.







### 308 Methods

### 309 Study site and experimental setup

The data were collected during the growing season of 2013 in Kobbefjord, 20 km southeast of Nuuk, Greenland ( $64^{\circ}07$ 'N,  $51^{\circ}21$ 'W). The experiment that was initiated in 2007 (ref. 31) spanned approx. 50 x 100 m and consisted of 18 hexagon-shaped plots (diameter 1.2 m). Control plots and the treatments W and RS were distributed to the experiment in randomized complete block design (*n* = 6). One block was excluded from the "whole ecosystem" measurements, because the vegetation composition in this block differed from the other blocks. It did not have any *Salix* 

316 *glauca*, which was one of the two dominant species in the studied tundra (n = 5).

317

### 318 Sampling of BVOCs

319 Plant and soil BVOC emission was measured using a dynamic headspace sampling technique, while ecosystem emission was measured using a push-pull enclosure technique<sup>13,32</sup>. Air was circulated 320 321 through the systems by battery-operated pumps connected via Teflon tubes. The incoming air was 322 purified by an activated charcoal filter to remove particles and VOCs, and by a MnO<sub>2</sub> scrubber to remove ozone<sup>33</sup>. Air was pumped out of the enclosures through stainless steel adsorbent cartridges 323 324 containing 150 mg Tenax TA and 200 mg Carbograph 1TD (Markes International Limited, Llantrisant, UK) at 200 ml min<sup>-1</sup>. Following the 30 minute long sampling, the adsorbent cartridges 325 326 were sealed with Teflon-coated brass caps, and stored refrigerated until analysis. The used 327 adsorbent cartridges capture compounds in the range C5-C30. Using this method a number of 328 oxygenated BVOCs might not be captured quantitatively.

We used precleaned (120 °C for 1 hr.) disposable polyethylene terephthalate (PET) bags that were attached to a polyvinyl chloride (PVC) cylinder (diameter 10 cm) installed in bare

soil or around a shoot of *S. glauca* or *E. hermaphroditum*, for soil and plant enclosures,

respectively. The adsorbent cartridge was inserted into the PET bag through a hole cut in the corner, which was afterwards tightly closed with plastic-coated wire. Prior to each measurement, the bags were ventilated for five minutes with an inflow rate of 1000 ml min<sup>-1</sup>, and during measurements the inflow was set to 500 ml min<sup>-1</sup>. For ecosystem enclosures, a transparent polycarbonate chamber (25 L; Vink Finland, Kerava, Finland), equipped with a fan to ensure well-mixed headspace, was placed on the permanent chamber base in each plot. The cartridge was mounted directly on the chamber and the inflow rate was set to 215 ml min<sup>-1</sup>.

Blank samples were collected to account for VOCs released from sampling materials
or analysis system. During all measurements, shaded iButtons (Hygrochron, Maxim Integrated, San
Jose, USA) placed inside the enclosure logged temperature and relative humidity once per minute.
PAR was monitored in each treatment using S-LIA-M003 sensors connected to a HOBO micro
station data logger (H21-002, Onset computers corporation, Boston, USA).

344

### 345 Analysis of BVOCs

346 The BVOC samples were analyzed by a gas chromatograph-mass spectrometer (7890A Series GC

347 coupled with a 5975C inert MSD/DS Performance Turbo EI System, Agilent, Santa Clara, CA,

348 USA) after thermal desorption (UNITY2 coupled with an ULTRA autosampler, Markes,

Llantrisant, UK). The carrier gas was helium and oven temperature was held at 40 °C for 1 min,

350 then raised to 210 °C at a rate of 5 °C min<sup>-1</sup>, and finally further to 250 °C at a rate of 20 °C min<sup>-1</sup>.

351 BVOCs were separated using an HP-5 capillary column (50 m, diameter 0.2 mm, film thickness

352 0.33 μm).

BVOCs were identified using pure standards and according to their mass spectra in the NIST 8.0 mass spectral data library, and quantified with pure standards (see Supplementary Table S8 for a list of compounds). Standard solutions were injected into adsorbent cartridges in a stream of Helium and analyzed as samples. When quantifying compounds for which no pure standard was available,  $\alpha$ -pinene was used for monoterpenes, humulene was used for sesquiterpenes and toluene was used for non-terpenoids. Compounds were classified into one of following four groups: isoprene, monoterpenes, sesquiterpenes and non-terpenoids.

The plant emissions were calculated on leaf dry weight basis, soil emissions on ground area basis, and ecosystem emissions both on ground area basis and per dry weight estimated aboveground plant biomass in each plot. All emission rates are reported as actual, not normalized, emissions.

364

### 365 Vegetation analysis and estimation of plant biomass

The vegetation cover of vascular plants, mosses, lichens and litter was estimated in the plots subjected to ecosystem-level BVOC emission measurements and in 21 additional plots adjacent to the field experiment. The analysis was conducted in mid-July by the point intercept method using a  $35 \times 35$  cm frame with 25 fixed points<sup>34</sup>. In the additional plots, the vegetation was harvested, oven dried (60 °C for 72 hrs.) and weighed. For each species, linear regression was used to model the biomass in the experimental plots, based on the point intercept data.

372

### 373 Ecosystem emission per gram plant biomass

374 Isoprene emission was calculated per gram *S. glauca* present in each plot, monoterpene and

375 sesquiterpene emission was calculated per gram biomass of all vascular plants except *S. glauca* and

the emission of non-terpenoid compounds was calculated per gram total plant biomass.

377

### 378 **Q10-value for ecosystem emission**

379 Q10 was calculated using the following formula:

380 
$$Q10 = \frac{E_W^{10/(T_W - T_C)}}{E_C}$$

where  $E_W$  is the average ecosystem BVOC emission rate in the warmed plots and  $E_C$  is the average ecosystem BVOC emission rate in the controls.  $T_W$  is the average temperature inside the enclosures in the warmed plots and  $T_C$  is the average temperature inside the enclosures in the controls.

384

### 385 Background data

In each plot, soil temperature at 3-5 cm depth was monitored every hour using M-Log 5W Wireless
Temperature Data Loggers (Geoprecision, Ettlingen, Germany). Soil moisture was measured once a
week during the entire growing season.

389 Net ecosystem exchange, ecosystem respiration and soil respiration were measured weekly with a 390 LI-6400XT portable gas-analyzer (LI-COR, Biosciences, Lincoln, USA; see Haugwitz et al. paper 391 in preparation). The concentrations of dissolved organic nitrogen, ammonium, nitrate and phosphate 392 in the soil were determined in ddH<sub>2</sub>O-extracts of fresh soil using spectrophotometry (see Haugwitz et al. paper in preparation). Dissolved organic carbon (DOC) was analyzed with a Shimadzu TOC-L 393 CSH/CSN<sup>TM</sup> total organic carbon analyzer (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan), and microbial biomass was 394 395 estimated from the difference in the DOC concentration in the chloroform-fumigated and nonfumigated samples<sup>35</sup>. DNA was extracted from freeze-dried soil using FastDNA<sup>TM</sup> Spin Kit for Soil, 396 and subsequently quantitative polymerase chain reaction was performed targeting ITS2 region and 397 398 16S rDNA to estimate the fungal and bacterial abundance in the soil (see Haugwitz et al. paper in 399 preparation).

400

### 401 Statistical tests

402 The treatment effects on BVOC emissions in repeated measurements were tested by a linear mixed 403 model in SAS 9.2. including Treatment (three levels: Control, W and RS) and Time as fixed factors 404 and Block as a random factor. Interactions with p-values > 0.2 were stepwise removed from the 405 model. One-way ANOVA was used to test for treatment effects within each measurement and for growing season averages. A Dunnett's test was used as a post hoc test to compare each treatment to 406 407 the control. The effects of biological and environmental factors on the ecosystem-level isoprene 408 emission were assessed by the PLS analysis. The tested variables, measured in the same plot, 409 included enclosure temperature, soil temperature, soil moisture, PAR, S. glauca biomass and E. 410 *hermaphroditum* biomass described in the present study and net ecosystem exchange, ecosystem 411 respiration, soil respiration, microbial biomass, fungal and bacterial abundance, nitrate, ammonium, 412 phosphate, soil organic matter, dissolved organic carbon and dissolved organic nitrogen (see Haugwitz et al. paper in preparation). The PLS was performed with a cross-validation with six 413 414 segments in a Venetian blinds according to isoprene emission. In order to estimate the uncertainty in the regression coefficients of each of the factors a resampling scheme – bootstrapping  $^{36}$  – was 415 performed 1000 times. One component PLS models were used throughout the analysis, and the 416 417 standard error was calculated as given in Wehrens et al. (ref. 36).

# 419 Method references

420	13.	Valolahti, H., Kivimäenpää, M., Faubert, P., Michelsen, A. & Rinnan, R. Climate change-
421		induced vegetation change as a driver of increased subarctic biogenic volatile organic
422		compound emissions. Glob. Change Biol. n/a (2015). doi:10.1111/gcb.12953
423	31.	NERO 2008, Nuuk Ecological Research Operations, 1 <sup>st</sup> Annual Report, 2007 [Jensen, L. M.
424		& Rasch, M. (eds.) 2008] Copenhagen, Danish Polar Centre, Danish Agency for Science,
425		Technology and Innovation, Ministry of Science, Technology and Innovation, 112 pp. at
426		<http: annual-reports="" nuuk-basic.dk="" publications=""></http:>
427	32.	Tholl, D. et al. Practical approaches to plant volatile analysis. Plant J. 45, 540–560 (2006).
428	33.	Ortega, J. et al. Approaches for quantifying reactive and low-volatility biogenic organic
429		compound emissions by vegetation enclosure techniques - Part B: Applications.
430		Chemosphere <b>72</b> , 365–380 (2008).
431 432	34.	Jonasson, S. Evaluation of the Point Intercept Method for the Estimation of Plant Biomass. <i>Oikos</i> <b>52</b> , 101–106 (1988).
433	35.	Jenkinson, D. S. & Powlson, D. S. The effects of biocidal treatments on metabolism in soil-
434		V. A method for measuring soil biomass. Soil Biol Biochem 8, 209–213 (1976).
435	36.	Wehrens, R., Putter, H., Lutgarde, M. & Buydens, C. The bootstrap: A tutorial. Chemometr.

437

436

Intell. Lab. 54, 35–52 (2000).