Phenotypic and genotypic analysis of newly obtained interspecific hybrids in the Campanula genus

Röper, Anna Catharina; Orabi, Jihad; Lütken, Henrik Vlk; Christensen, Brian; Skou, Anne-Marie Thonning; Müller, Renate

Published in: PLoS ONE

DOI: [10.1371/journal.pone.0137537](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0137537)

Publication date: 2015

Document version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Document license: CC BY

Citation for published version (APA): Röper, A. C., Orabi, J[., Lütken, H. V.,](https://curis.ku.dk/portal/da/persons/henrik-vlk-lutken(b1b4cd74-3a89-4435-9905-54961540c79c).html) Christensen, B., Skou, A-M. T[., & Müller, R.](https://curis.ku.dk/portal/da/persons/renate-muller(cbb540fa-1b78-4ded-8d1e-f00b5c01699f).html) (2015). [Phenotypic and](https://curis.ku.dk/portal/da/publications/phenotypic-and-genotypic-analysis-of-newly-obtained-interspecific-hybrids-in-the-campanula-genus(b1c0af5a-2310-43a9-9e0a-929386cb8b25).html) [genotypic analysis of newly obtained interspecific hybrids in the](https://curis.ku.dk/portal/da/publications/phenotypic-and-genotypic-analysis-of-newly-obtained-interspecific-hybrids-in-the-campanula-genus(b1c0af5a-2310-43a9-9e0a-929386cb8b25).html) [Campanula](https://curis.ku.dk/portal/da/publications/phenotypic-and-genotypic-analysis-of-newly-obtained-interspecific-hybrids-in-the-campanula-genus(b1c0af5a-2310-43a9-9e0a-929386cb8b25).html) [genus](https://curis.ku.dk/portal/da/publications/phenotypic-and-genotypic-analysis-of-newly-obtained-interspecific-hybrids-in-the-campanula-genus(b1c0af5a-2310-43a9-9e0a-929386cb8b25).html). PLoS ONE, 10(9), [e0137537]. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0137537>

OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Röper A-C, Orabi J, Lütken H, Christensen B, Thonning Skou A-M, Müller R (2015) Phenotypic and Genotypic Analysis of Newly Obtained Interspecific Hybrids in the Campanula Genus. PLoS ONE 10(9): e0137537. doi:10.1371/journal. pone.0137537

Editor: Roberto Papa, Università Politecnica delle Marche, ITALY

Received: November 2, 2014

Accepted: August 18, 2015

Published: September 9, 2015

Copyright: © 2015 Röper et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License,](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: This project has partly been funded by the Danish Agency for Science, Technology and Innovation and supported by Copenhagen University by co-funding of the PhD project of A-CR [\(http://ufm.](http://ufm.dk/en/the-minister-and-the-ministry/organisation/the-danish-agency-for-science-technology-and-innovation) [dk/en/the-minister-and-the-ministry/organisation/the](http://ufm.dk/en/the-minister-and-the-ministry/organisation/the-danish-agency-for-science-technology-and-innovation)[danish-agency-for-science-technology-and](http://ufm.dk/en/the-minister-and-the-ministry/organisation/the-danish-agency-for-science-technology-and-innovation)[innovation](http://ufm.dk/en/the-minister-and-the-ministry/organisation/the-danish-agency-for-science-technology-and-innovation)). Nordic Seed A/S and AgroTech A/S provided support in the form of salaries for authors

JO, BC and AMTS, but did not have any additional role in the study design, data collection and analysis, RESEARCH ARTICLE

Phenotypic and Genotypic Analysis of Newly Obtained Interspecific Hybrids in the Campanula Genus

Anna-Catharina Röper¹*, Jihad Orabi^{2¤}, Henrik Lütken¹, Brian Christensen³, Anne-Marie Thonning Skou³, Renate Müller¹

1 Department of Plant and Environmental Sciences, Faculty of Science, University of Copenhagen, Højbakkegaard Allé 9-13, 2630, Taastrup, Denmark, 2 Department of Plant and Environmental Science, Faculty of Science, University of Copenhagen, Thorvaldsensvej 40, 1871, Frederiksberg, Denmark, 3 AgroTech A/S, Institute for Agri-Technology and Food Innovation, Højbakkegaard Allé 21, 2630, Taastrup, Denmark

¤ Current address: Nordic Seed A/S, Grindsnabevej 25, Dyngby, 8300, Odder, Denmark * ac.roeper@gmail.com

Abstract

Interspecific hybridisation creates new phenotypes within several ornamental plant species including the Campanula genus. We have employed phenotypic and genotypic methods to analyse and evaluate interspecific hybridisation among cultivars of four Campanula species, i.e. C. cochleariifolia, C. isophylla, C. medium and C. formanekiana. Hybrids were analysed using amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP), flow cytometry and biometrical measurements. Results of correlation matrices demonstrated heterogeneous phenotypes for the parental species, which confirmed our basic premise for new phenotypes of interspecific hybrids. AFLP assays confirmed the hybridity and identified self-pollinated plants. Limitation of flow cytometry analysis detection was observed while detecting the hybridity status of two closely related parents, e.g. C. cochleariiafolia \times C. isophylla. Phenotypic characteristics such as shoot habitus and flower colour were strongly influenced by one of the parental species in most crosses. Rooting analysis revealed that inferior rooting quality occurred more often in interspecific hybrids than in the parental species. Only interspecific hybrid lines of C. formanekiana 'White' \times C. medium 'Pink' showed a high rooting level. Phenotype analyses demonstrated a separation from the interspecific hybrid lines of C. formanekiana 'White' × C. medium 'Pink' to the other clustered hybrids of C. formanekiana and C. medium. In our study we demonstrated that the use of correlation matrices is a suitable tool for identifying suitable cross material. This study presents a comprehensive overview for analysing newly obtained interspecific hybrids. The chosen methods can be used as guidance for analyses for further interspecific hybrids in Campanula, as well as in other ornamental species.

decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. The specific roles of these authors are articulated in the 'author contributions' section.

Competing Interests: Jihad Orabi is employed by Nordic Seed A/S. There are no patents, products in development or marketed products to declare. This does not alter the authors' adherence to all the PLOS ONE policies on sharing data and materials.

Introduction

With more than 300 species, *Campanula* is one of the largest genera within the Campanulaceae family $[1]$ $[1]$. Many *Campanula* species distributed in the Mediterranean and Balkan regions have been classified thoroughly and their phylogeny has been determined $[2-4]$ $[2-4]$ $[2-4]$. The inflorescences which comprise one or more flowers, with a tubular corolla which is funnel formed or rotated, are characteristic for this ornamental genus. The corolla colour is mostly blue or dark, seldom white [[1](#page-19-0)]. Analysis from Roquet et al. proved that the *Campanula* core is divided into two main groups: the C. rapunculus L. clade and the Campanula s. str. clade [\[4](#page-19-0)]. The genetic diversity within several cultivated plant genera has been diminished due to the breeding focusing on few traits. Commercially important Campanula cultivars are derived from few species e.g. C. formanekiana, C. medium, C. isophylla and C. portenschlagiana, by which C. portenschlagiana is the most produced species with 19 mio. potted plants in Denmark in 2013 [[5](#page-19-0)].

Wide hybridisation between genera and species is a tool to increase genetic variability by introgression of new traits. Interspecific hybridisation is often prevented due to hybridisation barriers. These are caused either by prezygotic through gametic incompatibilities or by postzygotic barriers through failed endosperm development [[6,7\]](#page-19-0). The greater the genetic distance, the lower the chance of achieving a successful hybridisation. Model plants such as Arabidopsis thaliana have been investigated intensely to identify barriers that inhibit interspecific hybridisation $[8-10]$ $[8-10]$ $[8-10]$ $[8-10]$ $[8-10]$. In A. thaliana, barriers due to ploidy level and to the epigenetic status of donor and recipient genomes were detected [[9](#page-19-0),[10](#page-19-0)]. Additionally, commercially important ornamental plants need to be investigated to verify whether mechanisms identified in model plants are universal in different genetic backgrounds. Interspecific hybrids were also obtained from several ornamental interspecific hybrids e.g. Jatropha, Helleborus and Cyclamen [[11](#page-19-0)–[13](#page-19-0)].

During recent years understanding of the molecular structure of interspecific crossing barriers has increased. Failed development of the endosperm plays a key role in obtaining hybrids of parental species with different ploidy levels, due to an imbalance of imprinted genes [\[14\]](#page-20-0). In A. thaliana, the role of imprinted genes and parent-of-origin gene expression due to differences in DNA methylation of ovule and pollen were related to the endosperm development [\[9](#page-19-0)[,15](#page-20-0)]. Delay in flower induction as phenotypic expression for hybridisation incompatibilities was also explored in A. thaliana $[16]$ $[16]$ $[16]$. Another indicator for incompatibility in wide hybridisation is albinism [[17\]](#page-20-0). Albinism occurs when both nuclear and chloroplast genomes are incompatible. Whether the plastid DNA (ptDNA) has been inherited maternally, paternally or biparentally, it is always species specific and was explored in diverse interspecific crosses of $Azalea$ [\[17\]](#page-20-0). One indicator for unidirectional inheritance is when only one cross direction results in albino plants as observed for interspecific hybridisation in Lonicera caerulea \times L. gracilipes [[18\]](#page-20-0).

For assessing genetic diversity among the germplasm of plant species, different DNA based marker methods have been constructed e.g. restriction fragment length polymorphisms (RFLP) [[19](#page-20-0)], random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) [\[20\]](#page-20-0) or amplified fragment-length polymorphism (AFLP) [[21](#page-20-0)]. AFLP was chosen as a method in this study because no prior knowledge of the DNA sequence is needed. Furthermore, the results are reproducible and reliable [[21](#page-20-0)]. In Campanula, AFLP has been applied to distinguish among different species from the C. rapunculus clade and the Campanula s.str. clade [\[22,23](#page-20-0)].

In a previous study, interspecific hybrids between cultivars of C . medium (Cm) and C . for*manekiana* (Cf) were produced by ovule culture $[24]$ $[24]$ $[24]$. Additional hybrid lines were obtained by the breeder PKM A/S, Odense, Denmark. In the present study interspecific hybrids from both sources were analysed. Ten interspecific hybrids in total were selected for detailed morphological and molecular investigations. Biometrical data of important breeding traits were analysed and hybridity was proven. Moreover, genetic distances between parental species and offspring

were determined by DNA molecular markers. Two methods, flow cytometry and AFLP were used to identify interspecific hybrids in the Campanula genus.

The overall aim of the present study was to investigate the genetic influence of the parental species on the phenotype of obtained interspecific hybrids.

Materials and Methods

Plant Material, Cultivation and Experimental Design

In total ten interspecific Campanula hybrids and four parental Campanula species were used for morphological characterisation and molecular proof of hybridity [\(Table 1\)](#page-4-0). All species originate from South-Eastern Europe, have the same ploidy level (2n) and 32 or 34 chromosomes [\[25](#page-20-0)–[30\]](#page-20-0) ([Table 2](#page-5-0)). For each interspecific cross combination two hybrid lines were selected. The plant material was produced by the breeder PKM A/S (Odense, Denmark). When possible ten cuttings were taken for each interspecific hybrid and parental plant species [\(Table 1\)](#page-4-0) and placed in 11 cm pots with soil type Special recipe 1 (Pindstrup, Ryomgaard, Denmark), containing 0–20 mm peat size with 15% perlite (pH 5.4–6), cultivated at 19°C with a photoperiod of 9 h and a photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) of 80–140 μ mol m² s⁻¹ (Lucalox 1U, Gavita, Andebu, Norway).

After ten weeks the plants were vernalised at 5°C to induce flowering. When C. formanekiana was used as a maternal plant, the interspecific hybrids required six weeks of vernalisation. C. medium cultivars did not need vernalisation to induce flowers, but in order to give all genotypes the same treatment, all C. medium cultivars and interspecific hybrids, when C. medium was used as maternal plant, were exposed to a vernalisation of three weeks. All genotypes were acclimatised for seven weeks at 18°C, 18 h photoperiod supplemented with photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) of 100 μ mol m² s⁻¹ (Lucalox 1U, Gavita A/S, Andebu, Norway). After acclimatisation the plant material was transported to The University of Copenhagen (Taastrup, Denmark) for conducting the morphological characterisation at 20°C, 18 h of photoperiod supplemented with PPFD of approximately 165 μ mol m 2 s⁻¹ (MASTER SON-T PIA Hg Free 400W/ E E40, Philips, Amsterdam, The Netherlands). The Campanula cultivars are mentioned throughout the text by abbreviations. The full names are shown in [Table 1.](#page-4-0)

The plant material was divided into two parallel experiments, placed separately in different parts of the greenhouse, each containing five plants of each interspecific hybrid and the parental plant species. The experiments were carried out as a randomized block design with five blocks containing one plant in each block. As the selected parental plant species and their progeny exhibited different periods for flower induction, the biometrical data were collected during the following 3 periods: August to October 2013, September to October 2013 and January to March 2014 [\(Table 1\)](#page-4-0).

Morphological Characterisation

For the characterisation of the obtained interspecific hybrids, 13 biometric parameters were selected and measured. The first open and wilted flower was recorded three times per week. Flowering time (FT) was defined as the period from the opening of the first flower to the first wilted flower. The first and the second open flower (OF) were labelled and two days later flower diameter and length (FD, FL) were measured. At the time point of the first open flower the greenness of the leaves was evaluated by measuring the relative chlorophyll content (CC) and Chlorophyll Content Index (CCI) using a chlorophyll content meter (Chlorophyll content meter, CCM-200 plus, Apogee Instruments, Logan, UT, USA). CCI values around 1 describe leaves with nearly no chlorophyll content, i.e. an albino plant. The root formation (RF) was

[Table 1.](#page-3-0) Parental species and interspecific hybrids, with period of morphological analyses and number of plants used.

 $*P$ = parental species; I = Interspecific hybrid; S = self-pollination

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0137537.t001

[Table 2.](#page-3-0) Origin, ploidy and chromosome number from selected Campanula species.

[\[25](#page-20-0)–[30](#page-20-0)]

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0137537.t002

scored in three categories 1, 2 and 3, whereby root levels 1 and 3 exhibit the lowest and highest root formation level, respectively.

When the first wilted flower was monitored, pollen quality (P) was analysed by staining the pollen with 1% (w/v) acetocarmine (1 g carmine powder dissolved in 45 ml glacial acetic acid and 55 ml ddH₂O) [[31\]](#page-20-0). For this purpose, two open flowers were harvested and the pollen was removed and placed on a glass slide with three drops of 1% (w/v) acetocarmine. After 10 min it was possible to identify red stained pollen grains as potentially fertile pollen by using a light microscope (DM750, Leica, Wetzlar, Germany) [[31](#page-20-0)]. When possible, a minimum of 100 pollen grains were examined from each sample. Furthermore, the number of open flowers per plant (NFP), total plant height and diameter of the plant (PH, PD) were recorded. Finally, the fresh and dry weight (FW, DW) (after 72 h at 70°C) was determined. All results are averages with standard error.

Chromosome Counting

Fresh, white root tips of approx. 2 cm in length were collected and fixed in α- monobromnaphtalene solution (6 ml dist. H_2 0 with 2 drops of 1- monobromnaphtalene) (Sigma B73104, St. Louis, MO, USA) for 4 h. Afterwards, the roots were transferred into a Clark solution of (1:3) acetic acid glacial (Scharlau AC0344, Barcelona, Spain) and 99% ethanol (VWR, Darmstadt, Germany) and kept for 24 hours at room temperature. Roots were then stored at -20°C for 48 h, and then the solution was changed to 70% ethanol. Root tips remained then at -20°C until slide preparation. Rinsed root tips were placed twice in 0.01 M citrate buffer (citric acid pH 4.6, (Honeywell, Seelze, Germany) with tri-sodium-citrat dihydrat (Honeywell, Seelze, Germany) under gentle orbital shaking (Rotamax 120, Heidolph, Schwabach, Germany) for 10–15 min. Root tips were then transferred to an enzyme solution (20% (v/v) pectinase (Aspergillus niger, Sigma 17389, St. Louis, MO, USA) and 2% (w/v) cellulase (R-10 C8001, Saveen Werner, Limhamn, Sweden) for 2 min at 37°C. Roots were again placed in new citrate buffer for a minimum of 15 minutes under gentle orbital shaking. Afterwards, a root tip of approximately 1 cm was cut with a sharp scalpel by using a stereo microscope (Tagarno, TM 320, Horsens, Denmark) and placed on a microscopy slide with a drop of 45% aqueous acetic acid for 3 minutes. Root tips were completely chopped to release the inner cells and placed on a slide with a cover slip. The slide was warmed up under a flame and the cover slip was tapped to remove air bubbles, warmed again and then gently pressed with the thumb. After that the slide was exposed to liquid nitrogen for approximately 10 seconds and the cover slip was removed gently. Finally, 2 μl/ml DAPI staining solution (PanReac AppliChem A4900, Darmstadt, Germany) was added and dry samples were fixed with 27 μl mounting medium CITIFLUOR (Citifluor Ltd, London,

Great Britain). The examination was followed using a fluorescence microscope (Leica DM 2000 and fluorescence source Leica EL 6000, Solms, Germany).

Flow Cytometry Detection of Relative DNA Content

For analysis of the relative DNA content each sample was treated according to the protocol of Partec CyStain UV Precise P (Partec, Münster, Germany) [[32\]](#page-20-0). For each sample, 0.5 cm² of leaf material was chopped with a razor blade for one minute and incubated in 400 μl extraction buffer for five minutes (Partec, Münster, Germany). Afterwards, the liquid solution was poured through a 30 μm CellTrics Disposable Filter (Partec, Münster, Germany). This was followed by the addition of 1.6 ml DAPI staining solution (4.6 diamidino-2-phenyldole) nuclei staining buffer (Partec, Münster, Germany) to the sample, which was then incubated in darkness for a minimum of one minute. Finally, the fluorescence of the nuclei was measured by the flow cytometer BD FACSAria III U (Becton Dickinson Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA). Fluorescence was excited by a 405 nm laser and DAPI detector from 430 to 470 nm counting in total 10,000 events. The threshold for DAPI-H was set to 20,000. Mean fluorescence intensity, standard deviation of the mean (SD), coefficient of variation (CV) and counts of stained nuclei were calculated based on the two replicates with the software Flowjo V10 [\(www.flowjo.com](http://www.flowjo.com)).

DNA Extraction and AFLP

Three to four leaves (approx. 12 cm²) per plant were collected and freeze dried [\(Table 1](#page-4-0)). DNA was isolated using the CTAB protocol [[33\]](#page-20-0). AFLP assay was carried out as described by Vos et al (1995), with the following modifications: digestion was performed in a total reaction volume of 10 μl by adding 500 ng genomic DNA, 0.5 M NaCl, 1 x T4 ligase-buffer with ATP DNA, 1 U of MseI and 2 U of Pstl. The digestion was performed at 37°C for 90 min followed by 65°C for 90 min. The ligation mix containing 1 x T4 DNA ligase-buffer with ATP, 25 μM Mse1, 2.5 μM Pstl and 2 U/μl T4 DNA ligase (Fermentas, Thermo Scientific, Slangerup, Denmark) was added to the digestion reaction. After incubation for 3 hours at 37°C, all samples were diluted 10 fold with ddH₂O.

Pre-amplification was performed by adding 1x Extra buffer (15 mM $MgCl₂$), 4 mM dNTP-Mix and pre-selective Pstl and Msel primers and 5 U/μl Taq-DNA-polymerase together. Finally, 16 μl of the pre-amplification mix and 4 μl of each restriction/ligation reaction were mixed. The pre-amplification PCR product was diluted 10 fold by adding $ddH₂O$. Main amplification was conducted in 1x Extra buffer (15 mmM $MgCl₂$), 10 mM $MgCl₂$, 4 mM dNTP-Mix, 5 μM Msel selective primer, 1 μM Pstl selective primer and 5 U/μl Taq-DNA-Polymerase. To each 17 μl of the master mix 3 μl of the diluted pre-amplification product was used as a template. Four AFLP primer combinations were selected based on the number of informative bands to amplify the DNA ([S1 Fig\)](#page-18-0): M50/ P16, M62/P20, M47/ P35 and M49/ P11 ([http://](http://wheat.pw.usda.gov/ggpages/keygeneAFLPs.html) wheat.pw.usda.gov/ggpages/keygeneAFLPs.html accessed 09.05.14). Pstl primers were labelled with different fluorescent dyes.

Detection and Genotyping

AFLP fragments were detected using the AB 3130xl Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). Analysis of DNA fragments and genotyping was carried out using GeneMarker version 2.5.2 (Softgenetics LLC, State College, PA, USA). Polymorphic bands with a size ranging from 52 to 581 base pairs were scored, either as band present (1) or absent (0). Samples showing an unusual curve pattern were excluded from further analysis. The result was a binary matrix including 309 loci. Genetic information is given in [S1 Table.](#page-19-0)

Data Analysis

Calculation for correlation matrix and principal component analysis (PCA) was based on selected biometrical parameters of plant height (PH), plant diameter (PD), fresh weight (FW), dry weight (DW), pollen quality (PQ) and flowering time (FT). For presenting the heterogeneity of the used species for interspecific hybridisation, the selected biometrical parameters were analysed using a correlation matrix $([34,35])$ $([34,35])$ $([34,35])$. The correlations between the parameters were displayed in a correlation matrix by giving Pearson correlation coefficients. The correlation coefficient represents positive correlation of maximum 1 (both parameters increase together) and a maximum negative correlation of -1 (if one parameter increases the other decreases). If no correlation exits between the parameters, the correlation coefficient is expressed as 0. Correlations were calculated separately for each species. P-values were calculated and presented in the [S1 Table.](#page-19-0) The principal component analysis (PCA) allowed for interpreting the relationships between parental species and interspecific hybrids based on 13 biometrical parameters.

Genetic distances based on the AFLP data were estimated using Jaccard's dissimilarity index. Jaccard's dissimilarity index was calculated as follows:

$$
J' = \frac{M_{01} + M_{10}}{M_{01} + M_{10} + M_{11}}
$$

where M_{01} represents the total number of markers, assuming that accession *i* presents no band (0), while accession *j* does present a band (1); M_{10} represents the total number of markers, where accession *i* presents a band (1), and accession *j* is 0; and $M₁₁$ represents the total number of markers, assuming that both i and j present a band (i.e., double presence of the same allele). Cases in which both i and j are (0) were ignored, as such a scenario cannot be confirmed due to the dominant nature of the AFLP markers. Distance-based Neighbour-Net-unrooted trees were created using SplitsTree4 $[36]$ $[36]$ (version 4.13.1). The Bootstrap method was applied to check confidentiality.

All analysis of variance and multiple comparisons of means of the following morphological parameters: NFP, quotient of FD and FL and the CCI, were analysed by Tukey Contrast tests using R software, whereby an error of 5% was accepted. Additionally, p-values were Holm adjusted to take inflated false positives due to multiple comparisons into account. Descriptive statistics of PCA were conducted with the R package 'FactoMineR' [\[37\]](#page-21-0). Correlation matrices were created utilising the R package 'PerformanceAnalytics' [[38\]](#page-21-0). The average Mean, SD, Median and CV were calculated from the values of relative DNA content and analysed using the software Flowjo V10.

Results

Breeding Material

Cultivars from different species were selected for interspecific hybridisation with heterogeneous phenotypes. A correlation matrix was calculated to demonstrate the diversity of the breeding material ([Fig 1,](#page-8-0) [S2 Table](#page-19-0)). The parental species CfB and CmP were crossed in both directions to obtain interspecific hybrids. For CfB, the correlations were mostly negative ([Fig](#page-8-0) [1a](#page-8-0), [S2 Table](#page-19-0)). In contrast, the correlation pattern from CmP exhibited predominatly positive correlations. The strongest negative correlation for this parental species was identified between PD and P with a correlation coefficient of -0.26.

The parental species CfW and CmP were crossed in one direction ([Fig 1b](#page-8-0), [S2 Table](#page-19-0)). CfW, selected as the maternal species, exhibited divergent correlation patterns in comparison to the paternal species of CmP, by exhibiting strong positive as well as negative correlations of the

[Fig 1. C](#page-7-0)orrelation matrix presenting the correlation for each parental species separately. a: CfB and CmP; b: CfW and CmP; c: CfW and CmD. Correlation matrices are separated by the white line. Correlations of the parameters are expressed as Pearson correlation coefficients. The maximum positive correlation is given at a correlation coefficient of 1 (blue) and the maximum negative correlation at -1 (red). If no correlation exists between parameters, the correlation coefficient is 0 (white). P-values from correlation matrices are provided in [S2 Table](#page-19-0). Parental species and interspecific hybrids codes are provided in [Table 1.](#page-4-0)

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0137537.g001

O. PLOS ONE

parameters. A strong positive correlation between FW and FT of 0.8 existed, whereas the correlation between the parameters FW and PH was strongly negative (-0.94). This negative correla-tion represents a shorter shoot habitus showed increased FW ([Fig 1b](#page-8-0), [S2 Table](#page-19-0)). Selected parameters from CmP were only weakly positively correlated e.g. FT to FW with the value 0.22. The strongest correlation was identified between PH and PD (0.64).

CfW and CmD were crossed in one direction. CfW was again used as the maternal species and showed both strong positive and negative correlations ($Fig 1c$, $S2$ Table). For CmD, most of the parameters showed strong positive correlations. For this species, eight out of fifteen correlations were above 0.75. Additionally, no negative correlation could be identified.

In general, our results showed the heterogeneity of the breeding material, because of the different correlation patterns. No correlation matrix could be calculated for the parental species Cc and Ci because only one individual plant was analysed.

Proof of Hybridity

A Neighbour-joining bootstrap consensus tree based on the AFLP assay was generated to demonstrate the genetic distance of the parental species. In general, the genetic distance between the Cf and Cm species was relatively high ($Fig 2$). When Cf was used as the maternal species, all interspecific hybrids were located between the parental species, indicating true hybridity. In contrast, the interspecific hybrids, where Cm was selected as the mother species, were genetically closer to both Cm species and to the self-pollinations of Cm $E_{1,2}$, which was used as a control. The proximity indicated that interspecific hybrids of this cross direction might be derived from self-pollination. Interspecific hybrids of $CfB \times CmP$ G_{1.2} were closer related to each other in comparison to hybrids when CfW was used as the mother species and crossed with CmP or CmD $H_{1,2}$ and $I_{1,2}$.

A Neighbour-joining bootstrap consensus tree was also calculated for the species Cc and Ci and the interspecific hybrids and showed a central position of the interspecific hybrid $L_{1,2}$ between the parental species ([S2 Fig\)](#page-18-0)

Fig 2. Neighbour-joining bootstrap consensus tree based on AFLP assay for parental Campanula species CmP, CmD, CfW and CfB and their interspecific hybrids. Numbers indicate bootstrap values for 1000 replicates. Parental species are labelled with squared boxes. All interspecific hybrids are surrounded by a dashed ellipse when Cf was used as the maternal species. In contrast, all interspecific hybrids are surrounded by a solid ellipse when Cm was selected as the maternal species. The scale represents relative genetic distance of 0.1. Parental species and interspecific hybrids codes are provided in [Table 1.](#page-4-0)

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0137537.g002

Table 3. Relative DNA content measured according to fluorescent from fluorescent, DAPI stained nuclei from parental species and interspecific hybrids.

DNA content for each species and interspecific hybrid based on counted stained nuclei, mean fluorescence intensity, standard deviation of the mean (SD), and coefficient of variation in percentage (CV) n.a. = not examined

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0137537.t003

The observations from the genetic distance ($Fig 2$) were confirmed by flow cytometry analyses (Table 3), which were used to identify interspecific hybrids. Relative DNA content was determined relative to the fluorescence intensity from the DAPI stained nuclei. For investigating the relative DNA content, 10,000 events were counted. The analyses resulted in 56833 \pm 4836 counts for CmP and 39615 \pm 8025 counts for CfB (Table 3). Interspecific hybrids of CfB x CmP G_{1,2} exhibited intermediate counts of 50.050 \pm 270 and 52079 \pm 409. The reciprocal crosses F_{1,2} had 58610 ± 3209 and 60615 ± 3651 counts, which were similar to the maternal species CmP. Interspecific hybrids of each CfW x CmD' and CmP $H_{1,2}$ and $I_{1,2}$ also exhibited intermediate counts ($Table 3$). The interspecific cross with Cc as the maternal species had 32244 \pm 4583 counts. With 34972 \pm 4247 counts, the paternal species *Ci* had a similar number of stained nuclei. Interspecific crosses of $Cc \times Ci$ both $L_{1,2}$ showed higher counts in comparison to both parental species of 39197 \pm 4796 for L₁ and 38315 \pm 4669 for L₂.

The chromosome number of the parental species was determined by chromosome counting of root tips and was found to be within the values of $2n = 34$ for Cm , $2n = 34$ for Cf and $2n = 32$ for Ci.

Morphological Characterisation

The parental species CmD B and CfW C differed in flower colour and flower shape. In addition, they showed differences in shoot habitus. When CfW C was used as the maternal species, it had a solitary shoot, whereas CmP A and CmD D had a bushy shoot habitus ([Fig 3](#page-11-0)).

[Fig 3. M](#page-10-0)orphology of shoot, flower and leaf shape from parental species and interspecific hybrids. a: CfW C; b: CmD B; c: CfW x CmD H₁; d: CfW x CmD H_{2;} e: CfW C; f: CmP A; g: CfW x CmP I₁; h: CfW x $CmPI₂$; i: Cc K; j: Ci J; k: Cc x Ci L₁; l: Cc x Ci L₂. White scale bars represent 1 cm. Parental species and interspecific hybrids codes are provided in [Table 1.](#page-4-0)

O PLOS ONE

Interspecific hybrids of $CfW \times CmD H_{1,2}$ exhibited light violet flowers and a shoot morphology similar to the maternal plant species of CmW.

When CfW C was crossed with CmP A, no plants of hybrid I_1 induced flowers during the experiment. The flower induction was also inhibited in the I_2 hybrid. Only one plant of hybrid I_2 induced flowers ([Fig 3](#page-11-0)). Interspecific hybrids G_{1,2} of CfB D and CmP A exhibited a similar purple flower colour, but differed from each other in petal structure and shoot habitus [\(S3 Fig](#page-19-0)). The petal tips from G_1 were broader in comparison to the pointed petals of G_2 . G_1 clearly exhibited a bushy shoot habitus, whereas G_2 was solitary.

Parental species of interspecific hybrids $Cc \times Ci$ $L_{1,2}$ differed morphologically in shoot, flower and leaf shape. Cc exhibited less shoot growth and smaller, more uniform coloured petals compared to Ci. Both parental species had intense green leaves. Interspecific hybrids of Cc \times Ci L_{1,2} differed from each other in the CCI [\(Fig 3](#page-11-0)), flower number and shoot height. Hybrid L_1 had yellowish leaves, which resulted in a low chlorophyll content index in comparison to the other green hybrid line L_2 . Additionally, the hybrid L_1 had fewer open flowers per plant than hybrid L_2 .

Failure or delay of flower induction is a known indicator for incompatibility in wide hybridisation in Arabidopsis [[10](#page-19-0)]. In addition, the NFP is an important criterion for the quality of ornamentals. To investigate the phenomenon of failed flower induction, the number of open flowers was counted. Furthermore, an ornamental plant with an increased number of flowers enhances the product value. Within the parental species both cultivars from CmP and CmD and CfB and CfW each had similar NFP ($Fig 4a$). Both self-pollinations of CmP $E_{1,2}$ differed significantly from each other in NFP. In general, most replicates of the two hybrid lines from all interspecific hybrids exhibited significant differences in the number of open flowers, e.g. CfW x CmD H₁ had 92.0 \pm 16.1 and H₂ had 42.5 \pm 5.3 open flowers [\(Fig 4a\)](#page-13-0). No flowers were induced in the cross CfW x CmP I_1 and only one plant induced flowers in the hybrid line I_2 . The NFP differed among the interspecific hybrids of $Cc \times Ci$. Hybrid L₁ had only 9.0 \pm 1.9 open flowers, whereas the hybrid L_2 exhibited 197.0 \pm 17.3 open flowers [\(Fig 4a](#page-13-0)). The results demonstrated that a parental species significantly differs from the interspecific hybrids in general, but significant differences were also identified between the two related hybrid lines.

To describe the flower shape, a quotient of FD and FL was determined. This quotient demonstrated that the parental species did not exhibit a significantly different flower shape. Only CfB D had a significantly elongated flower shape compared to the other parental species [\(Fig](#page-13-0) $4b$). The self-pollinated C. *medium* hybrid lines $E_{1,2}$ lacked a significantly different flower shape as hybrids of $CmP \times CfB F_{1,2}$. Flower shape of these crosses was round. Furthermore, they exhibited a higher flower quotient than the reciprocal cross. In the cross of $C_c \times C_i L_{1,2}$, both the parental species and the interspecific hybrids showed high quotients greater than 1, i.e. the flower shape was broad ($Fig 4b$). Nevertheless, both interspecific hybrid $L_{1,2}$ were very contrary to each other as $Cc \times Ci$ L₂ had the lowest quotient of 1.14 \pm 0.05. In contrast, the hybrid line $Cc \times Ci$ L₁ had the highest quotient of all used cultivars and interspecific hybrids with a value of 1.83 ± 0.10 . Examinations of the flower shape show that all Cm cultivars, selfpollinations and interspecific hybrids, when Cm was used as the maternal species, have a wider campanulate flower shape. In the reciprocal cross, the flowers had an elongated shape. Interspecific hybrids of $Cc \times Ci$ as well as the parental species, exhibited a wider campanulateshaped flower.

Lack of chlorophyll is an important indicator for incompatibilities between parental species in wide hybridisation. For this reason, the CCI was determined. Most parental species of Cm and Cf exhibited dark green leaves with a similar CCI ranging from approximately 32–36 ([Fig](#page-13-0) $4c$), with the exception of CfW C, which had the darkest green leaves with the highest CCI (approx. 68). Both self-pollinated C. medium hybrids $E_{1,2}$ also showed a similar CCI to the

hybrids of CmP \times CfB F_{1,2}. Both interspecific hybrids of CfB \times CmP G_{1,2} and CfW \times CmD H_{1,2} had a significantly lower CCI than the parental species. Interspecific hybrids of $CfW \times CmPI_{1,2}$ exhibited a CCI similar to the parental species.

In the crosses of $Cc \times Ci$ L_{1,2} both interspecific hybrids had lower CCIs than the parental species, whereas plants from hybrid L_1 clearly exhibited a lower CCI (1.4 \pm 0.13 ([Fig 4c](#page-13-0))).

RF is an important criterion for a potted plant. The investigation of the rooting levels illustrated that more than 60% of the plants from parental species exhibited an RF of level 3, which represented the highest RF ($Fig 5$). In general, approx. 66% of the interspecific hybrids exhibited a low number of plants with level 3 root formation. Only 20% of the interspecific hybrids $CmP \times CfB$ F_{1,2} had an RF level of 3, which demonstrated that most plants had a lower RF. In contrast, both interspecific hybrid $I_{1,2}$ from CfW and CmP developed more roots, so that 85% and 100% of plants, respectively, achieved level 3 ($\underline{Fig 5}$). Most interspecific hybrids had a reduced RF in comparison to the parental species.

The variables factor map, resulting from the PCA, showed the relation among the parameters from all parental species of Cm and Cf and the interspecific hybrids (Fig $6a$). The variables factor map presents the amount of variance from each parameter on the total variance in the PCA. When the arrow is longer, the amount from the total variance is larger, i.e. the

 $PLOS |$ ONE

[Fig 6. P](#page-14-0)rincipal component analysis (PCA) (Individuals factor map and variables factor map) displaying the variability of interspecific hybrids and parental species. PCA based on biometrical parameters: plant height (PH), plant diameter (PD), fresh weight (FW), dry weight (DW), pollen quality (P) and flowering time (FT). The variables factor map presents the amount of variance from each parameter on the total variance in the PCA. When the arrow is longer, then the amount from the total variance is larger. a: Values examined from parental species CmP and CmD and CfB and CfW ('Blue' and 'White'). A squared box is the average of values for each parental species and interspecific hybrid. The solid ellipse includes all Cf plants and interspecific hybrids when Cf was the maternal species (cycle a). The dashed ellipse includes all Cm plants and interspecific hybrids when Cm was used as the maternal species (cycle b); b: Values originated from parental species Cc, Ci and interspecific hybrids. Parental species and interspecific hybrids codes are provided in [Table 1.](#page-4-0)

distribution of the individuals is wider. The first dimension explained approximately 36% of the total variation considering all parameters and described most of the variation of the parameters for both dry and fresh weight. Both parameters were described as strongly positively correlated by the orientation of the arrows. Dimension 2 explained approximately 26% of the total variation considering all parameters, presenting most of the variation of plant diameter and pollen quality. The variables factor map indicated a very low variation for the parameter flowering time. The results showed that nearly all parameters have a influence similar to the total variance, except flowering time, which had a lower influence compared to the other parameters (Fig 6a). In general, the PCA presents the morphological differences between the individual plants from A, B (Cm) and C, D (Cf) (Fig 6a, [Table 1](#page-4-0)). Only individual plants of B (CmD) overlapped in the phenotypic expression with individual plants from both A, B and C, D species. All hybrids from the crosses $F_{1,2}$ (CmP \times CfB) were located in the proximity of the parental species A (CmP). The same observation was made for interspecific hybrids from the reciprocal cross $G_{1,2}$. Here most of the interspecific hybrids were closely located to the C,D (Cf) species. Both interspecific crosses of $H_{1,2}$ and $I_{1,2}$ were separately located from both cycles a and b, which showed that both interspecific hybrids differed in the phenotype from all other $Cf \times Cm$ hybrids and both parental species.

The variables factor map represents the relationship among the parameters in the crosses between Cc and Ci (Fig 6b). The first dimension explained approximately 74% of the total variation considering all parameters and described most of the variation of the parameters PH, PD, and DW and FW. These parameters were described as strongly positively correlated.

Dimension 2 explained approximately 13% of the total variation; none of the parameters were close to that dimension ([Fig 6b](#page-15-0)). The results indicate that all parameters exhibited a similar influence to the total variation. Only FT showed a lower variance in comparison to the other parameters. The parameters of FT and P were both only weakly correlated with the other parameters.

In the PCA, the interspecific hybrids of $Cc \times Ci$ L_{1,2} were not clustered between the parental species Cc K, Ci J ($Fig 6b$). Both interspeciific hybrids of L₁ and L₂ had a different phenotype. The parental species of Cc K and Ci J are intermediately located between both interspecific hybrids L_1 and L_2 . L_1 expressed a phenotype more similar to the parental species Cc K, whereas L_2 is more comparable to Ci J.

Discussion

Breeding Material

Breeding strategies in ornamental plants focus mainly on plant morphology. Flower morphology and leaf shape are especially important breeding goals. In the present study, the phenotypic variation was investigated by calculating a correlation matrix for each parental cultivar ($Fig 1$, [S2 Table\)](#page-19-0). The study showed that both Cm cultivars exhibited a similar phenotype with the strongest positive correlation between the selected morphological parameters. In contrast to Cm cultivars, Cf cultivars displayed another phenotype, presented by both strong positive and negative correlation of selected parameters. Our results confirmed that the selected breeding material is phenotypically heterogeneous and suitable for obtaining diverse offspring. Furthermore, similarity in chromosome numbers (*Cm*, *Cf*, *Cc* = 34 chromosomes, *Ci* = 32 chromosomes) and ploidy level (2n) should increase the likelihood of success in interspecific hybrids [[25](#page-20-0)–[28\]](#page-20-0).

Genetic Characterisation

For most of the interspecific hybrids, hybridity was confirmed by applying AFLP marker analysis ([Fig 2\)](#page-9-0). Application of AFLP assays to identify reliable hybrids is a suitable method, as reported in Bromeliaceae and Campanulaceae [[20](#page-20-0),[39](#page-21-0)]. To demonstrate the genetic distance among parental cultivars and the interspecific hybrids, a Neighbour-joining bootstrap consen-sus tree was constructed, which identified three clear clusters ([Fig 2](#page-9-0)). The first cluster represented the Cf cultivars, the second comprised the interspecific hybrids in the cross direction of $C_f \times C_m$, and the third cluster included cultivars of Cm, self-pollination of CmP and interspecific hybrids of the cross combination $Cm \times Cf$. Both interspecific hybrid lines per cross combination in the cross direction of $C_f \times C_m$ exhibited low genetic distance from each other, except for the interspecific H₁ and H₂hybrids, which had a larger genetic distance [\(Fig 2\)](#page-9-0). This is also indicated by the relative DNA content, where H_1 had a lower amount than H_2 . Interspecific hybrids of $CmP \times CfB$ were identified as potential self-pollinations of CmP . The phenotypic similarity of these interspecific hybrids with the maternal cultivar CmP was confirmed by the very low genetic distance among them. Collectively, the results showed that AFLP markers could be used in future research for identifying interspecific hybrids in Campanula.

These results were verified through determination of the fluorescent nuclei related to the relative DNA content, which had a similar relative DNA content of 58610 ± 3208 and 60614 \pm 3650 from interspecific hybrids of $CmP \times CfB$ and maternal cultivar CmP with 56833 \pm 4836 ([Table 3](#page-10-0)). In the *Campanula* genus, self-pollination is often inhibited as reported for C. dichotoma $[40]$ $[40]$ $[40]$. To the authors' knowledge, no reports exist describing autogamy in Cm. Our results indicate that CmP is highly susceptible to self-pollination and should be carefully used as a maternal cultivar. Even though the flow cytometry confirmed the hybrid status of crosses between Cm and Cf cultivars, the method indicated difficulties in verifying interspecific hybrids of $Cc \times Ci$. Here, the genome size was too similar; hence the flow cytometer could not differentiate the peaks, leading to incorrect values [\(Table 3\)](#page-10-0). The problem of clearly identifying hybrids, when the parental cultivars have a low genetic distance, is a well-known issue. For Centaurium, the application of flow cytometry to identify hybrids was not possible, because the cultivars had very similar DNA content [[41](#page-21-0)]. Nevertheless, with the conduction of AFLP marker analysis the identification of hybridity was successful. Both interspecific hybrid lines of $Cc \times Ci$ exhibited equal genetic distance to the parental cultivars ($S2$ Fig). Collectively, our results proved the suitability of the AFLP marker-based analysis to confirm interspecific hybrids in *Campanula* and suggest a critical use of the flow cytometry method, because similar genome sizes could not be determined.

Morphological Characterisation

Selected interspecific hybrids exhibited interesting traits, which differed from their parental cultivars. Both interspecific hybrid lines $H_{1,2}$ of CfW \times CmD had similar shoot habitus, but clearly differed in flower colour in comparison to the parental cultivars ($Fig 3$). The genetic distance of both interspecific hybrid lines $H_{1,2}$ from the parental cultivars was found to be similar, but the phenotype was strongly determined by the maternal cultivar CfW.

For the cross combination of $CfW \times CmP$, CfW was also used as the maternal cultivar, whereas CmD was chosen as the paternal cultivar. Failed flower induction (only one plant was flowering) was observed (Fig_3) . One explanation for this could be that this cross combination needed a longer period of vernalisation for flower induction. Furthermore, failed flower induction could be caused by incompatibilities, as reported for interspecific hybrids of Arabidopsis [\[10](#page-19-0)]. NFP is an important ornamental parameter; therefore, further investigations in Campanula are needed to determine the reason for this incompatibility.

The interspecific hybrids $L_{1,2}$ from the cross combination of $Cc \times Ci$ had similar phenotypes, but differed in the shoot height and flower number. Especially the lack of chlorophyll in hybrid L_1 could be an indication of incompatibilities between the parental cultivars as observed in interspecific hybrids of Lonicera (L. caerulea \times L. gracilipes) [[18](#page-20-0)]. Studies on nuclear-cytoplasmic incompatibility in Pea demonstrated that insufficient chlorophyll can be a result of unusual biparental plastome DNA inheritance $[42]$. The normal inheritance of plastid DNA through maternal, parental or bilateral directions in generative propagation cannot explain the phenomenon that only L_1 is lacking chlorophyll. Interestingly, this observation showed that both related hybrids lines can exhibit traits differently.

The different NFP, flower size and CCI revealed differences among the cross combinations as well as between the two hybrid lines for each cross combination. Most studies on interspecific hybrids are lacking the comparison of two related hybrid lines. These comparisons are important to determine the stability of inherited traits. Only the investigation of two or more hybrids will demonstrate the variation within the cross combinations. The phenotypic traits of both NFP and the quotient of FD and FL for the interspecific hybrids of Cm and Cf showed an intermediate phenotype in comparison to the parental cultivars [\(Fig 4a and 4b](#page-13-0)). Additionally, differences between the two hybrid lines were explored. Further research would be needed to investigate the segregation of the traits. Interestingly, interspecific hybrids of $Cc \times Ci$ differed from both parental cultivars e.g. in the flower quotient [\(Fig 4b\)](#page-13-0).

Investigations of the CCI in interspecific hybrids of Cm and Cf demonstrated that most interspecific hybrids exhibited no significantly different CC in comparison with at least one parental cultivar ([Fig 3c](#page-11-0)). Only hybrids G_2 and H_2 had significantly lower CCIs ([Fig 4c](#page-13-0)).

Both interspecific hybrids of $Cc \times Ci$ L_{1,2} showed significantly lower CCI in comparison to both parental cultivars. L_1 could be classified as an albino plant, due to a very low CCI. Our study indicated higher incompatibilities between these crossed species in comparison to the crosses between Cm and Cf. Molecular studies on albino wheat plants showed a lack of plastid ribosomes, altered transcription and translations pattern in comparison to green plants [\[43\]](#page-21-0). Moreover, embryogenesis examination of barley microspores detected genes in relation to albinism [\[44\]](#page-21-0).

Most of the interspecific hybrids displayed reduced RF (Fig 5), a phenomenon which has not been described for other hybrids. Presumably, RF was not the focus when describing new interspecific hybrids. Our results showed that interspecific hybridsation in the selected Campanula cultivars mostly resulted in plants with reduced RF ability. However, this study indicates that RF is a trait that should be explored when evaluating new hybrids.

Conduction of PCA to explore the relationship of traits is commonly applied in field crop breeding to identify correlated traits [\[45,](#page-21-0) [46\]](#page-21-0). Correlated traits will influence each other when one trait is the focus of a breeding strategy. In this study it was the aim to conduct PCA to investigate the potential use for ornamental breeding. Correlation among selected traits were examined to determine the phenotypic relationship of interspecific hybrids according to the selected traits. The PCA revealed distributions of the phenotypes which differed from the intespecific hybrids. Most Cm cultivars were clustering closely together with the interspecific hybrids of $CmP \times CfB$ in cycle b ($Fig 6a$). In contrast, most individuals of Cf, cultivars and interspecific hybrids of $C_f \times \textit{Cm}$ were widely separated from each other within cycle a. PCA results suggest a greater influence on the phenotypic formation from the maternal plant species, because most of the interspecific hybrids exhibted a characteristic trait similar to the maternal cultivar ([Fig 6a](#page-15-0)).

Both interspecific hybrids of $Cc \times Ci$ were completely different in their traits in comparison to each other. Each of them was similar to one of the parental cultivars. This observation was unexpected, because both hybrids are sibling lines in the same cross direction. It was estimated that both hybrid lines $L_{1,2}$ showed a higher similarity to the maternal cultivar Cc , as observed in most of the interspecific hybrids of Cf and Cm. Additionally, the PCA can be recommended as a method of comparing phenotypes based on many biometrical parameters to analyse the phenotypic distribution.

In general, the presented results clearly demonstrate the usefulness of genetic analyses combined with phenotyping methods to evaluate newly combined traits and to characterise the novel interspecific hybrids.

Conclusion

Collectively, genetic distances between the parental cultivars were presented; the hybridity status of obtained hybrids was proven in crosses of $C f \times C m$ cultivars and $C c \times C i$. Interspecific hybrids of the reciprocal cross $Cm \times Cf$ were identified as self-pollinations. The phenotypic variation was determined by biometrical data in the morphological analysis. Our results prove the usefulness of the AFLP DNA marker system to verify interspecific hybrids for the selected Campanula cultivars. The comprehensive study of both phenotypic and genotypic data makes it possible to optimise breeding strategies in Campanula and to evaluate hybrid performance.

Supporting Information

[S1 Fig.](http://www.plosone.org/article/fetchSingleRepresentation.action?uri=info:doi/10.1371/journal.pone.0137537.s001) Allele diversity of AFLP primer. (EPS)

[S2 Fig.](http://www.plosone.org/article/fetchSingleRepresentation.action?uri=info:doi/10.1371/journal.pone.0137537.s002) Neighbour-joining bootstrap consensus tree based on AFLP assay for parental Campanula species Cc, Ci and their interspecific hybrids. (EPS)

[S3 Fig.](http://www.plosone.org/article/fetchSingleRepresentation.action?uri=info:doi/10.1371/journal.pone.0137537.s003) Morphology of shoot, flower and leaf shape from parental species CmP, CfB and interspecific hybrids.

(EPS)

[S1 Table](http://www.plosone.org/article/fetchSingleRepresentation.action?uri=info:doi/10.1371/journal.pone.0137537.s004). AFLP primers, number of polymorphic alleles, average PIC and average allele diversity.

(DOCX)

[S2 Table](http://www.plosone.org/article/fetchSingleRepresentation.action?uri=info:doi/10.1371/journal.pone.0137537.s005). P-values for correlation matrix [\(Fig 1](#page-8-0)), with species abbreviation provided in [Table 1](#page-4-0).

(DOCX)

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the company PKM A/S, Odense, Denmark for providing plant material, Philipp Matthias Steffan and Anne Raymonde Joelle Mocoeur for support with the statistical analyses.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: A-CR HL RM BC. Performed the experiments: A-CR. Analyzed the data: A-CR. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: A-CR JO AMTS. Wrote the paper: A-CR HL RM JO BC AMTS.

References

- [1.](#page-2-0) Fedorov AA (1957) Campanulaceae. ed. Flora 24: 92–321.
- [2.](#page-2-0) Park JM, Kovacic S, Liber Z, Eddie WMM, Schneeweiss GM (2006) Phylogeny and biogeography of isophyllous species of Campanula (Campanulaceae) in the Mediterranean area. Systematic Botany 31: 862–880.
- 3. Kovacic S (2004) The genus Campanula L. (Campanulaceae) in Croatia, circum-Adriatic and west Balkan region. Acta Bot Croat 63: 171–202.
- [4.](#page-2-0) Roquet C, Saez L, Aldasoro JJ, Susanna A, Alarcon ML (2008) Natural delineation, molecular phylogeny and floral evolution in Campanula. Systematic Botany 33: 203–217.
- [5.](#page-2-0) Floradania Marketing A/S (2014) Top 10 produced plants in Denmark 2013. Available: [http://floradania.](http://floradania.dk/fileadmin/s3/pdf/Markedsinformation/Top_lister/2013_Top_10_over_kulturer_i_Danmark.pdf) [dk/fileadmin/s3/pdf/Markedsinformation/Top_lister/2013_Top_10_over_kulturer_i_Danmark.pdf](http://floradania.dk/fileadmin/s3/pdf/Markedsinformation/Top_lister/2013_Top_10_over_kulturer_i_Danmark.pdf).
- [6.](#page-2-0) Butlin R, Debelle A, Kerth C, Snook RR, Beukeboom LW (2012) What do we need to know about speciation? Trends in Ecology & Evolution 27: 27–39.
- [7.](#page-2-0) Walia H, Josefsson C, Dilkes B, Kirkbride R, Harada J (2009) Dosage-Dependent Deregulation of an AGAMOUS-LIKE Gene Cluster Contributes to Interspecific Incompatibility. Current Biology 19: 1128– 1132. doi: [10.1016/j.cub.2009.05.068](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2009.05.068) PMID: [19559614](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19559614)
- [8.](#page-2-0) Jorgensen MH, Ehrich D, Schmickl R, Koch MA, Brysting AK (2011) Interspecific and interploidal gene flow in Central European Arabidopsis (Brassicaceae). Bmc Evolutionary Biology 11.
- [9.](#page-2-0) Kradolfer D, Wolff P, Jiang H, Siretskiy A, Kohler C (2013) An Imprinted Gene Underlies Postzygotic Reproductive Isolation in Arabidopsis thaliana. Developmental Cell 26: 525–535. doi: [10.1016/j.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2013.08.006) [devcel.2013.08.006](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2013.08.006) PMID: [24012484](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24012484)
- [10.](#page-2-0) Martienssen RA (2010) Heterochromatin, small RNA and post-fertilization dysgenesis in allopolyploid and interploid hybrids of Arabidopsis. New Phytologist 186: 46–53. doi: [10.1111/j.1469-8137.2010.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2010.03193.x) [03193.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2010.03193.x) PMID: [20409176](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20409176)
- [11.](#page-2-0) Sujatha M, Prabakaran AJ (2003) New ornamental Jatropha hybrids through interspecific hybridization. Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution 50: 75–82.
- 12. Ishizaka H (2008) Interspecific hybridization by embryo rescue in the genus Cyclamen. Plant Biotechnology 25: 511–519.
- [13.](#page-2-0) Meiners J, Winkelmann T (2012) Evaluation of reproductive barriers and realisation of interspecific hybridisations depending on genetic distances between species in the genus Helleborus. Plant Biology 14: 576–585. doi: [10.1111/j.1438-8677.2011.00542.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1438-8677.2011.00542.x) PMID: [22284227](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22284227)
- [14.](#page-2-0) Kinoshita T (2007) Reproductive barrier and genomic imprinting in the endosperm of flowering plants. Genes & Genetic Systems 82: 177–186.
- [15.](#page-2-0) Reik W, Walter J (2001) Genomic imprinting: Parental influence on the genome. Nature Reviews Genetics 2: 21–32. PMID: [11253064](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11253064)
- [16.](#page-2-0) Ikeda Y, Kobayashi Y, Yamaguchi A, Abe M, Araki T (2007) Molecular basis of late-flowering phenotype caused by dominant epi-alleles of the FWA locus in Arabidopsis. Plant and Cell Physiology 48: 205–220. PMID: [17189287](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17189287)
- [17.](#page-2-0) Kumari M, Clarke HJ, Small I, Siddique KHM (2009) Albinism in Plants: A Major Bottleneck in Wide Hybridization, Androgenesis and Doubled Haploid Culture. Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences 28: 393–409.
- [18.](#page-2-0) Miyashita T, Hoshino Y (2010) Interspecific hybridization in Lonicera caerulea and Lonicera gracilipes: The occurrence of green/albino plants by reciprocal crossing. Scientia Horticulturae 125: 692–699.
- [19.](#page-2-0) Botstein D, White RL, Skolnick M, Davis RW (1980) Construction of a Genetic-Linkage Map in Man Using Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphisms. American Journal of Human Genetics 32: 314– 331. PMID: [6247908](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/6247908)
- [20.](#page-2-0) Williams JGK, Kubelik AR, Livak KJ, Rafalski JA, Tingey SV (1990) DNA Polymorphisms Amplified by Arbitrary Primers Are Useful as Genetic-Markers. Nucleic Acids Research 18: 6531–6535. PMID: [1979162](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1979162)
- [21.](#page-2-0) Vos P, Hogers R, Bleeker M, Reijans M, Van de Lee T, Hornes M, et al. (1995) Aflp—a New Technique for DNA-Fingerprinting. Nucleic Acids Research 23: 4407–4414. PMID: [7501463](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7501463)
- [22.](#page-2-0) Alarcon M, Roquet C, Garcia-Fernandez A, Vargas P, Aldasoro JJ (2013) Phylogenetic and phylogeographic evidence for a Pleistocene disjunction between Campanula jacobaea (Cape Verde Islands) and C. balfourii (Socotra). Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 69: 828–836. doi: [10.1016/j.ympev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2013.06.021) [2013.06.021](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2013.06.021) PMID: [23835079](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23835079)
- [23.](#page-2-0) Ronikier M, Cieslak E, Korbecka G (2008) High genetic differentiation in the alpine plant Campanula alpina Jacq. (Campanulaceae): evidence for glacial survival in several Carpathian regions and long-term isolation between the Carpathians and the Alps. Molecular Ecology 17: 1763-1775. doi: [10.1111/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2008.03664.x) [j.1365-294X.2008.03664.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2008.03664.x) PMID: [18284572](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18284572)
- [24.](#page-2-0) Röper A-C, Lütken H., Christensen B., Boutilier K., Petersen K.K., Müller R. (accepted) Production of interspecific Campanula hybrids by ovule culture—exploring the effect of ovule isolation time. **Euphytica**
- [25.](#page-3-0) Tornadore N, Popova M, Garbari F (1974) Numeri chromosmici per al flora Italiana: Informatore Botanico Italiano.
- 26. Strid A, Andersson IA (1985) Chromosome numbers of Greek mountain plants. An annotated list of 115 species: Botanische Jahrbücher für Systematik, Pflanzengeschichte und Pflanzengeographie.
- 27. Lammers TG, Hensold N (1996) Documented chromosome numbers 1996: 4. Chromosome numbers of Campanulaceae. IV. Miscellaneous counts. Sida 17, 17: 519–522.
- [28.](#page-16-0) Geslot AP (1980) Biométrie des graines et nombres chromosomiques dans la sous-section Heterophylla du genre Campanula (Campanulaceae). Plant Systematics and Evolution 134:: 193–206.
- 29. Nicchols G (2006) Dwarf Campanulas and associated genera. Timber Pr Inc Portland, OR USA.
- [30.](#page-3-0) Lammers GL (2007) World Check list and Bibliography of Campanulaceae, Royal Botanic Gardens Kew: 144.
- [31.](#page-5-0) Singh RJ (2003) Plant Cytogenetics. United States of America: CRC Press.
- [32.](#page-6-0) Aleza P, Juarez J, Cuenca J, Ollitrault P, Navarro L (2010) Recovery of citrus triploid hybrids by embryo rescue and flow cytometry from 2x x 2x sexual hybridisation and its application to extensive breeding programs. Plant Cell Rep 29: 1023–1034. doi: [10.1007/s00299-010-0888-7](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00299-010-0888-7) PMID: [20607244](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20607244)
- [33.](#page-6-0) Saghaimaroof MA, Soliman KM, Jorgensen RA, Allard RW (1984) Ribosomal DNA spacer-length polymorphisms in barley: Mendelian inheritance, chromosomal location and population dynamics 81(24): 8014–8018.
- [34.](#page-7-0) Fourar-Belaifa R, Fleurat-Lassard F,Bouznad Z (2011) A systemic approach to qualitative changes in the stored-wheat ecosystem: Prediction of deterioration risks in unsafe storage conditions in relation to relative humidity level, infestation by Sitophilus oryzae (L.), and wheat variety. Journal of Stored Products Research 47: 48–61.
- [35.](#page-7-0) Haarman BCM, Riemersma-Van der Lek RF, Nolen WA, Mendes R, Drexhage HA, Burger H (2014) Feature-expression heat maps—a new visual method to explore complex associations between two variable sets. Journal of Biomedical Informatics in press.
- [36.](#page-7-0) Bryant D, Moulton V (2004) Neighbor-Net: An Agglomerative Method for the Construction of Phylogenetic Networks, 21 (2): 255–265.
- [37.](#page-7-0) Husson F, Josse J, Lê S, Mazet J (2014) Multivariate Exploratory Data Analysis and Data Mining with R. <http://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/FactoMineR/FactoMineR.pdf:agrocampus-ouest>, Frankreich. pp. 16.
- [38.](#page-7-0) Carl P, Peterson BG (2013) Econometric tools for performance and risk analysis. Available: [http://cran.](http://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/PerformanceAnalytics/PerformanceAnalytics.pdf) [r-project.org/web/packages/PerformanceAnalytics/PerformanceAnalytics.pdf.](http://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/PerformanceAnalytics/PerformanceAnalytics.pdf)
- [39.](#page-16-0) Schulte K, Silvestro D, Kiehlmann E, Vesely S, Novoa P (2010) Detection of recent hybridization between sympatric Chilean Puya species (Bromeliaceae) using AFLP markers and reconstruction of complex relationships. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 57: 1105-1119. doi: [10.1016/j.ympev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2010.09.001) [2010.09.001](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2010.09.001) PMID: [20832496](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20832496)
- [40.](#page-16-0) Nyman Y (1991) Crossing Experiments within the Campanula dichotoma Group (Campanulaceae). Plant Systematics and Evolution 177: 185–192.
- [41.](#page-17-0) Banjanac T, Siler B, Skoric M, Ghalawenji N, Milutinovic M (2014) Interspecific in vitro hybridization in genus Centaurium and identification of hybrids via flow cytometry, RAPD, and secondary metabolite profiles. Turkish Journal of Botany 38: 68–79.
- [42.](#page-17-0) Bogdanova VS (2007) Inheritance of organelle DNA markers in a pea cross associated with nuclearcytoplasmic incompatibility. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 114: 333–339. PMID: [17080258](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17080258)
- [43.](#page-18-0) Hofinger B, Ankele E, Gülly C, Heberle-Bors E, Pfosser M (2000) The involvement of the plastid genome in albino plant regeneration from microspores in wheat. In: B B, editor. Biotechnological approaches for utilization of gametic cells. Luxembourg: COST 824. OP-EUR. pp. 215–228.
- [44.](#page-18-0) Munoz-Amatriain M, Svensson JT, Castillo AM, Close TJ, Valles MP (2009) Microspore embryogenesis: assignment of genes to embryo formation and green vs. albino plant production. Functional & Integrative Genomics 9: 311–323.
- [45.](#page-18-0) Evgenidis G, Traka-Mavrona E, Koutsika-Sotiriou M (2011) Principal Component and Cluster Analysis as a Tool in the Assessment of Tomato Hybrids and Cultivars. Hindawi Publishing Corporation International Journal of Agronomy 2011: 1–7.
- [46.](#page-18-0) Ziems B, Hickey LT, Hunt CH, Mace ES, Platz GJ, Franckowiak JD, et al. (2014) Association mapping of resistance to Puccinia hordei in Australian barley breeding germplasm. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 127: 1199–1212. doi: [10.1007/s00122-014-2291-1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00122-014-2291-1) PMID: [24626954](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24626954)