



**Book review: Bertrand Wägenbaur (Ed.), Court of Justice of the EU. Commentary on Statute and Rules of Procedure**

**Bertrand Wägenbaur (Ed.), Court of Justice of the EU. Commentary on Statute and Rules of Procedure. Oxford: Hart Publishing, 2013. 922 pages. ISBN: 9781841139951.**

Broberg, Morten

*Published in:*

Common Market Law Review

*Publication date:*

2015

*Document version*

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

*Citation for published version (APA):*

Broberg, M. (2015). Book review: Bertrand Wägenbaur (Ed.), Court of Justice of the EU. Commentary on Statute and Rules of Procedure: Bertrand Wägenbaur (Ed.), Court of Justice of the EU. Commentary on Statute and Rules of Procedure. Oxford: Hart Publishing, 2013. 922 pages. ISBN: 9781841139951. *Common Market Law Review*, 41(5), 1421-1422.

# COMMON MARKET LAW REVIEW

## CONTENTS Vol. 52 No. 5 October 2015

Editorial comments: *Public enforcement of EU competition law: Why the European antitrust family needs a therapy* 1191–1200

### Articles

M. Dougan, Judicial review of Member State action under the general principles and the Charter: Defining the “scope of Union law” 1201–1246

M. Botta, A. Svetlicinii and M. Bernatt, The assessment of the effect on trade by the national competition authorities of the “new” Member States: Another legal partition of the Internal Market? 1247–1276

I. Govaere, “Setting the international scene”: EU external competence and procedures post-Lisbon revisited in the light of ECJ Opinion 1/13 1277–1308

### Case law

#### A. Court of Justice

Juggling centralized constitutional review and EU primacy in the domestic enforcement of the Charter: *A.v. B.*, M. de Visser, Schengen and Charter-related *ne bis in idem* protection in the Area of Freedom, Security and Justice: *M and Zoran Spasic*, J. Vervaele 1309–1338

The Charter, detention and possible regularization of migrants in an irregular situation under the Returns Directive: *Mahdi*, D. Acosta Arcarazo 1339–1360

Securing the institutional balance in the procedure for concluding international agreements: *European Parliament v. Council (Pirate Transfer Agreement with Mauritius)*, P. Van Elsuwege 1361–1378

**Book reviews** 1379–1398

**Book reviews** 1399–1434

## **Aims**

The Common Market Law Review is designed to function as a medium for the understanding and implementation of European Union Law within the Member States and elsewhere, and for the dissemination of legal thinking on European Union Law matters. It thus aims to meet the needs of both the academic and the practitioner. For practical reasons, English is used as the language of communication.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written permission of the publishers.

Permission to use this content must be obtained from the copyright owner. Please apply to: Permissions Department, Wolters Kluwer Legal, 111 Eighth Avenue, 7th Floor, New York, NY 10011-5201, United States of America. E-mail: [permissions@kluwerlaw.com](mailto:permissions@kluwerlaw.com).

---

*Common Market Law Review* is published bimonthly.

Subscription prices 2015 [Volume 52, 6 issues] including postage and handling:

Print subscription prices: EUR 802/USD 1134/GBP 572

Online subscription prices: EUR 758/USD 1075/GBP 544

This journal is also available online. Online and individual subscription prices are available upon request. Please contact our sales department for further information at +31(0)172 641562 or at [sales@kluwerlaw.com](mailto:sales@kluwerlaw.com).

Periodicals postage paid at Rahway, N.J. USPS no. 663-170.

U.S. Mailing Agent: Mercury Airfreight International Ltd., 365 Blair Road, Avenel, NJ 07001.  
Published by Kluwer Law International, P.O. Box 316, 2400 AH Alphen aan den Rijn, The Netherlands

---

*Printed on acid-free paper.*

## COMMON MARKET LAW REVIEW

**Editors:** Thomas Ackermann, Loïc Azoulay, Michael Dougan, Christophe Hillion,  
Niamh Nic Shuibhne, Wulf-Henning Roth, Ben Smulders, Stefaan Van den Bogaert

### Advisory Board:

Ulf Bernitz, Stockholm  
Kieran Bradley, Luxembourg  
Alan Dashwood, Cambridge  
Jacqueline Dutheil de la Rochère, Paris  
Claus-Dieter Ehlermann, Brussels  
Giorgio Gaja, Florence  
Walter van Gerven†, Leuven  
Roger Goebel, New York  
Daniel Halberstam, Ann Arbor  
Gerard Hogan, Dublin  
Laurence Idot, Paris  
Francis Jacobs, London  
Jean-Paul Jacqué, Brussels  
Pieter Jan Kuijper, Amsterdam  
Ole Lando, Copenhagen

Miguel Poiares Maduro, Lisbon  
Siofra O'Leary, Strasbourg  
Sacha Prechal, Luxembourg  
Gil Carlos Rodriguez Iglesias, Madrid  
Allan Rosas, Luxembourg  
Eleanor Sharpston, Luxembourg  
Piet Jan Slot, Amsterdam  
John Spencer, Cambridge  
Christiaan W.A. Timmermans, Brussels  
Ernö Várnáy, Debrecen  
Joachim Vogel†, München  
Armin von Bogdandy, Heidelberg  
Joseph H.H. Weiler, Florence  
Jan A. Winter, Bloemendaal  
Miroslaw Wyrzykowski, Warsaw

**Managing Editor:** Alison McDonnell  
*Common Market Law Review*  
Europa Instituut  
Steenschuur 25  
2311 ES Leiden  
The Netherlands  
e-mail: [a.m.mcdonnell@law.leidenuniv.nl](mailto:a.m.mcdonnell@law.leidenuniv.nl)

tel. + 31 71 5277549  
fax: + 31 71 5277600

### Aims

The Common Market Law Review is designed to function as a medium for the understanding and analysis of European Union Law, and for the dissemination of legal thinking on all matters of European Union Law. It thus aims to meet the needs of both the academic and the practitioner. For practical reasons, English is used as the language of communication.

### Editorial policy

The editors will consider for publication manuscripts by contributors from any country. Articles will be subjected to a review procedure. The author should ensure that the significance of the contribution will be apparent also to readers outside the specific expertise. Special terms and abbreviations should be clearly defined in the text or notes. Accepted manuscripts will be edited, if necessary, to improve the general effectiveness of communication.

If editing should be extensive, with a consequent danger of altering the meaning, the manuscript will be returned to the author for approval before type is set.

### Submission of manuscripts

Manuscripts should be submitted, together with a covering letter, to the Managing Editor. At the time the manuscript is submitted, written assurance must be given that the article has not been published, submitted, or accepted elsewhere. The author will be notified of acceptance, rejection or need for revision within three to nine weeks.

Authors may be requested to submit a hard copy of their manuscript, in addition to a digital copy, together with a summary of the contents. Articles should preferably be no longer than 28 pages (approx. 9,000 words). Annotations should be no longer than 10 pages (approx. 3,000 words). The title of an article should begin with a word useful in indexing and information retrieval. Short titles are invited for use as running heads. All notes should be numbered in sequential order, as cited in the text, \*Except for the first note, giving the author's affiliation. The author should submit biographical data, including his or her current affiliation.

© 2015 Kluwer Law International. Printed in the United Kingdom.

Further details concerning submission are to be found on the journal's website  
<http://www.kluwerlawonline.com/productinfo.php?pubcode=COLA>

Bertrand Wägenbaur (Ed.), *Court of Justice of the EU. Commentary on Statute and Rules of Procedure*. Oxford: Hart Publishing, 2013. 922 pages. ISBN: 9781841139951. GBP 165.

This work is based on the author's German-language publication *EuGH Verfo: Satzung und Verfahrensordnungen des EuGH/EuG*. Or rather, the English edition has been "inspired" by the German text as the author puts it in the preface – adding that he has completely rewritten and restructured the text. The structure chosen for the work is what appears to be the obvious one for a commentary like this. Thus, first there is a brief introduction to the Statute of the Court of Justice of the European Union followed by comments for each of the Statute's provisions. Thereafter, the same approach is taken with regard to the Rules of Procedure of the Court of Justice followed by the (pre-2015) Rules of Procedure of the General Court of the European Union. But this is it: the Rules of Procedure of the European Union Civil Service Tribunal have been left out. In the view of the present reviewer, this omission is somewhat unfortunate, and also does not seem to fully correspond to the author's pledge in the preface that "the book seeks to illuminate the procedural rules governing the three judicial instances of the EU by *providing commentary on every article of the Statute of the European Court of Justice as well as the Rules of Procedure of its three constituent instances*" (our emphasis).

As a commentary, the work is first of all a work of reference – a handbook – targeted at practitioners. Examining the work on this premise, it is very good. Wägenbaur provides clear, concise and extensive information on those provisions where this is justified, whilst he does not spend much effort on provisions where there is no need to do so. For example, comments on Article 44 of the Rules of Procedure of the General Court (contents of an application to the General Court) covers 22 pages (pp. 585–607), whereas Article 45 of the same Rules of Procedure (regarding serving the application) merely takes six and a half lines on page 607. At times, however, Wägenbaur becomes too economical with his commentaries – for example, with regard to commentaries on Article 99 of the Rules of Procedure of the Court of Justice

concerning the Court replying to a preliminary reference by reasoned order. One of the situations where the Court may reply by reasoned order is where a question referred “is identical to a question on which the Court has already ruled ...” In this regard, Wägenbaur explains that the “identical question” requirement “does not mean that the wording of the question must be verbatim the same as that of a question referred in the past, as this would be very rarely the case. It suffices that according to the ECJ the *spirit of the question* referred is the same as that of a question already answered” (p. 338, emphasis in original). This reviewer is convinced that most readers would have appreciated some more guidance as to what “the spirit of the question” really means.

For practitioners, the book bursts with information that may turn out to constitute the difference between success and failure. For example, the author points out (p. 577) that an application may be rejected as inadmissible where “the lawyer fails to sign the application personally and therefore delegates this to a colleague, even though the latter is neither mentioned in the power of attorney, nor entitled to appear before a Court ... of a Member State”. In this respect the author refers to the (almost tragic) Case T-37/98, *FTA v. Council*, where a lawyer introduced an application challenging an anti-dumping duty imposed by the Council. On the last page of the application the name of the lawyer representing the parties had been typewritten. Nevertheless, this lawyer had not signed the application herself, but had let another partner in the law firm do this. This other lawyer had accompanied his signature by the abbreviation “p.p.” (*per procuracionem*). However, since the application had not been signed by the lawyer representing the parties (and since the partner actually signing the application was not entitled to plead before the EU courts in Luxembourg), the application was rendered inadmissible by the (then) Court of First Instance. With Wägenbaur’s fine book in hand, it will be easier to avoid such unfortunate and embarrassing situations.

To my mind there is no doubt that Wägenbaur has produced a very useful book of high quality. I also have some reservations, though. First of all, I found the index to be acceptable – but no more. I would have preferred a much more detailed index that could lead the reader more directly to the place (or places) where a given (sometimes narrow) topic is treated. Moreover, whilst the author has been very careful to provide references to case law whenever relevant, the number of references is generally limited. Hence, in most places only a single case is quoted – sometimes two. But that seems to be it. This may be fine where one (or two) reference(s) fully suffice. But where the case law is not set in stone, so that there is room for interpretation, it may be useful for the reader to be able to consult a larger sample of the applicable case law. I would also have liked the work to include more references to relevant literature or at least a bibliography; the work does include some references, but they seem to be sporadic rather than consistent. Similarly, the book’s factual and concise examination would have benefitted from being complemented by critical academic examinations – and evaluations. Indeed, although in the preface the author writes that the book “combines an academic approach with practical advice ...”, the present reviewer found the “academic layer” surprisingly thin.

The preceding few, critical remarks do not detract from the fact that Wägenbaur has produced a fine commentary which deserves to find its place on the bookshelves of practitioners pleading before the EU courts in Luxembourg.

Morten Broberg  
Copenhagen

## COMMON MARKET LAW REVIEW

### Subscription information

Online subscription prices for 2015 (Volume 52, 6 issues) are: EUR 758/USD 1075/GBP 544. Print subscription prices for 2015 (Volume 52, 6 issues): EUR 802/USD 1134/GBP 572

Personal subscription prices at a substantially reduced rate are available upon request. Please contact our sales department for further information at +31 172641562 or at [sales@kluwerlaw.com](mailto:sales@kluwerlaw.com).

Payments can be made by bank draft, personal cheque, international money order, or UNESCO coupons.

Subscription orders should be sent to:

Kluwer Law International  
c/o Turpin Distribution Services Ltd  
Stratton Business Park  
Pegasus Drive  
Biggleswade  
Bedfordshire SG18 8TQ  
United Kingdom  
e-mail: [sales@kluwerlaw.com](mailto:sales@kluwerlaw.com)

All requests for further information  
and specimen copies should be addressed to:

Kluwer Law International  
P.O. Box 316  
2400 AH Alphen aan den Rijn  
The Netherlands  
fax: +31 172641515

or to any subscription agent

For Marketing Opportunities please contact [marketing@kluwerlaw.com](mailto:marketing@kluwerlaw.com)

Please visit the Common Market Law Review homepage at <http://www.kluwerlawonline.com> for up-to-date information, tables of contents and to view a FREE online sample copy.

**Consent to publish** in this journal entails the author's irrevocable and exclusive authorization of the publisher to collect any sums or considerations for copying or reproduction payable by third parties (as mentioned in Article 17, paragraph 2, of the Dutch Copyright act of 1912 and in the Royal Decree of 20 June 1974 (S.351) pursuant to Article 16b of the Dutch Copyright act of 1912) and/or to act in or out of court in connection herewith.

**Microfilm and Microfiche** editions of this journal are available from University Microfilms International, 300 North Zeeb Road, Ann Arbor, MI 48106, USA.

The Common Market Law Review is indexed/abstracted in Current Contents/Social & Behavioral Sciences; Current Legal Sociology; Data Juridica; European Access; European Legal Journals Index; IBZ-CD-ROM; IBZ-Online; IBZ-International Bibliography of Periodical literature on the Humanities and Social Sciences; Index to Foreign Legal Periodicals; International Political Science Abstracts; The ISI Alerting Services; Legal Journals Index; RAVE; Social Sciences Citation Index; Social Scisearch.