

### Flooding-induced N2O emission bursts controlled by pH and nitrate in agricultural soils

Hansen, Mette; Clough, Tim J.; Elberling, Bo

Published in: Soil Biology & Biochemistry

DOI: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2013.10.031

Publication date: 2014

Document version Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (APA): Hansen, M., Clough, T. J., & Elberling, B. (2014). Flooding-induced N<sub>2</sub>O emission bursts controlled by pH and nitrate in agricultural soils. Soil Biology & Biochemistry, 69, 17-24. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2013.10.031

2	Flooding-induced N <sub>2</sub> O emission bursts controlled by
3	pH and nitrate in agricultural soils
4	
5	
6	
7	Mette Hansen <sup>a</sup> , Tim J. Clough <sup>b</sup> & Bo Elberling <sup>c*</sup>
8	
9	<sup>a</sup> Department of Geosciences and Natural Resource Management, University of Copenhagen,
10	Øster Voldgade 10, DK-1350 Copenhagen K., Denmark, metha@geo.ku.dk
11	<sup>b</sup> Agriculture & Life Sciences Division, PO Box 84, Lincoln University, Canterbury, New
12	Zealand, timothy.clough@lincoln.ac.nz
13	<sup>c</sup> Center for Permafrost (CENPERM), Department of Geosciences and Natural Resource
14	Management, University of Copenhagen, Øster Voldgade 10, DK-1350 Copenhagen K.,
15	Denmark, be@geo.ku.dk,
16 17	* Corresponding author: be@geo.ku.dk, 0045 35322520
18	
19	Keywords: $N_2O$ , flooding, denitrification, dissimilatory $NO_3^-$ reduction to ammonium
20	(DNRA), agriculture, microsensor, stabile isotope

21

1

#### 22 Abstract

Agricultural soils are a major source of the greenhouse gas nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) to the 23 atmosphere. Increasing frequency and severity of flooding as predicted for large intensively 24 25 cropped areas may promote temporary denitrification and N<sub>2</sub>O production but the effect of flooding events on N<sub>2</sub>O emissions is poorly studied for agricultural systems. The overall N<sub>2</sub>O 26 27 dynamics during flooding of an agricultural soil and the effect of pH and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentration has been investigated based on a combination of the use of microsensors, stable isotope 28 29 techniques, KCl extractions and modelling. This study shows that non-steady state peak N<sub>2</sub>O emission events during flooding might potentially be at least in the order of reported annual 30 31 mean  $N_2O$  emissions, which typically do not include flood induced  $N_2O$  emissions, and that more than one-third of the produced N<sub>2</sub>O in the soil is not emitted but consumed within the 32 33 soil. The magnitude of the emissions are, not surprisingly, positively correlated with the soil NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentration but also negatively correlated with liming (neutral pH). The redox 34 potential of the soil is found to influence N<sub>2</sub>O accumulation as the production and 35 consumption of N<sub>2</sub>O occurs in narrow redox windows where the redox range levels are 36 negatively correlated with the pH. This study highlights the potential importance of N<sub>2</sub>O 37 bursts associated with flooding and infers that annual N2O emission estimates for tilled 38 agricultural soils that are temporarily flooded will be underestimated. Furthermore, this study 39 shows that subsurface N<sub>2</sub>O reduction is a key process limiting N<sub>2</sub>O emission and that a 40 reduction in N<sub>2</sub>O emissions is achievable if highly fertilized N-rich soils are limed. 41

## 42 **1. Introduction**

Future climate change will lead to changes in precipitation worldwide. A higher frequency of extreme rainfall events is predicted for temperate areas such as New Zealand and Northern Europe (IPCC, 2007; Min et al., 2011). This increases the risk of flooding for low-lying or poorly drained areas, which are the same areas receiving run off and ground water with potentially high nitrate ( $NO_3^-$ ) concentrations. As a consequence it can be expected that there will be an increase in nitrous oxide ( $N_2O$ ) production and emissions from these areas, particularly fertilized and nitrogen-rich agricultural fields (Knowles, 1982).

Nitrous oxide is a greenhouse gas with a global warming potential relative to CO<sub>2</sub> of 298 on 50 a 100 year time horizon assuming a lifetime of 114 years in the atmosphere (IPCC, 2007). 51 52 Additionally, N<sub>2</sub>O has a negative effect on stratospheric ozone as NO and other free radical 53 species (NO<sub>x</sub>), generated from N<sub>2</sub>O, deplete the ozone layer (Badr & Probert, 1993). The atmospheric concentration of N<sub>2</sub>O has increased since pre-industrial times by 16% from 270 54 ppb to 319 ppb in 2005 (IPCC, 2007) and it is currently considered the dominant 55 anthropogenic ozone depleting substance emitted (Ravishanakara et al., 2009). Soils are the 56 main source of both anthropogenically and naturally produced N<sub>2</sub>O and changes in land use 57 have been the primary driver for the observed increase in tropospheric N<sub>2</sub>O concentration 58 59 (IPCC, 2007). Today, agricultural fields account for 42% of the total anthropogenic 60 contribution of N<sub>2</sub>O to the atmosphere and N<sub>2</sub>O is the single most important greenhouse gas when looking at agricultural soils (IPCC, 2007). 61

In oxygen  $(O_2)$  limited environments production of  $N_2O$  in soil occurs as microbial processes utilize nitrogenous compounds as electron acceptors (Knowles, 1982). During denitrification  $N_2O$  is an obligate intermediary product in the reduction of  $NO_3^-$  to  $N_2$ , a

process performed by heterotrophic microorganisms. It is also a by-product during 65 dissimilatory  $NO_3^-$  reduction (DNRA) to ammonium ( $NH_4^+$ ) as  $NO_3^-$  is reduced to  $NH_4^+$  via 66 nitrite (NO<sub>2</sub>) by fermentative microorganisms (Tiedje et al., 1982). Denitrification rates 67 increase with organic C and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> availability, soil water content, pH and temperature 68 (Knowles, 1982; Šimek and Cooper, 2002). The N<sub>2</sub>O:N<sub>2</sub> ratio, describing the end product of 69 denitrification, shifts in favour of N<sub>2</sub>O as soil NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentrations and acidity increase 70 (Knowles, 1982; Weier et al., 1993). Not all N<sub>2</sub>O produced in a soil will be emitted as it can 71 72 be consumed during denitrification to N<sub>2</sub> a process controlled by the presence of N<sub>2</sub>O reductase (NOS) (Knowles, 1982). Highly anoxic conditions, caused by high soil water 73 content and high availability of easily degradable organic matter, favour the consumption of 74 75 N<sub>2</sub>O (Wrage et al., 2001) as NOS is strongly inhibited by the presence of O<sub>2</sub> (Knowles, 1982). Thus the balance between N<sub>2</sub>O consumption and production rates controls N<sub>2</sub>O emissions as 76 well as the transport properties of N<sub>2</sub>O in the soil (Clough et al., 2005). The primary mode of 77 transport for N<sub>2</sub>O in the soil is diffusion, which is controlled by concentration gradients 78 according to Fick's law of diffusion. 79

The environmental factors for production of N<sub>2</sub>O are optimal when fertilized fields are 80 81 flooded. Non-steady state draining experiments have established the relationship between water-filled pore space and N<sub>2</sub>O emissions (Castellano et al., 2010), however, to the authors 82 knowledge, no studies on agricultural soils and only a few studies on natural soils have 83 examined the effect of soil flooding on N<sub>2</sub>O dynamics: the temporal and spatial trends of 84 subsurface N<sub>2</sub>O concentrations and net surface emissions. Jørgensen and Elberling (2012) 85 found a distinct pulse pattern in N<sub>2</sub>O concentrations and emissions when flooding an un-86 managed wetland peat soil. An increase in N2O concentrations was observed within the first 87 24 hrs followed by a rapid decline in concentration, until the N<sub>2</sub>O concentration was below 88

detection after 40 hrs. It was concluded that for these wetland peat soils the increase in  $N_2O$ production would not affect the annual  $N_2O$  emission budget, even if flooding event frequency increases in the future (Jørgensen and Elberling, 2012). This may not be the case for agricultural fields, where tillage events can increase the availability of  $NO_3$ -N (Eriksen and Jensen, 2001; Silgram and Shepherd, 1999) and thereby the potential for  $N_2O$  production via denitrification.

95 The aim of this study was to investigate the overall N<sub>2</sub>O dynamics during a flooding event of a New Zealand agricultural soil as affected by soil pH and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentration. Specific 96 97 aims of the study were to determine the balance between produced, consumed and emitted N<sub>2</sub>O from the soil and to determine the depth- and time-specific production and consumption 98 of N<sub>2</sub>O. Two methods were used in combination to achieve the aims: depth-specific profiling 99 100 of the soil N<sub>2</sub>O concentration and the redox potential using microsensors as well as 2 M KCl extractions of 3 soil layers per soil core after <sup>15</sup>N labelled NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> addition. The study was 101 designed based on the hypothesis that it is possible to mitigate N<sub>2</sub>O emissions by changes in 102 agricultural practises (with a focus on changes in soil pH and N-input) and that annual N<sub>2</sub>O 103 inventories made to date have potentially been underestimated because the impact of flooding 104 105 has not been included in annual budgets.

#### 106 **2. Materials and methods**

107 A Templeton silt loam soil (Udic Ustochrept) was collected from a field, with a management history of perennial pasture, from the top layer (0-10 cm deep) during cultivation for pasture 108 renovation, Lincoln, Canterbury (43° 38.720S; 172° 26.753E Lat/Lon). The Canterbury 109 region is temperate with mean annual precipitation of 600-700 mm and a daily mean air 110 111 temperature range of 1-10°C in the coldest months and 12-22°C in the warmest (Cappelen and Jensen, 2001). The Templeton soil and similar inceptisols represents app. 25% of the 112 113 Canterbury Plains (Molloy, 1988). Inceptisols in temperate areas are soils with high inputs of fertilizer N (Potter et al., 2010) with crop types typically consisting of cereals such as barley 114 and wheat (Leff et al., 2004). 115

116 The sampled soil was air-dried, sieved (< 2 mm) and kept dry and cold (4°C). The soil pH was determined (10 g air-dried soil:25 mL water). Half of the soil was treated with 2.08 g 117 Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> kg<sup>-1</sup> dry soil (quicklime) in powder form to increase the pH by one unit. Lime 118 119 treatment and the resulting pH increase were made consistently with previous experiments (Clough et al., 2003). Inorganic-N and dissolved organic carbon (DOC) were determined for 120 both the un-treated and the limed soil. Inorganic-N was determined in a 2 M KCl extraction (4 121 g soil:70 mL KCl, shaken on an end-over-end shaker for 60 min and filtered through 122 Whatman 42 filter paper. Filtered samples were analysed using an Alpkem FS3000 twin 123 124 channel flow injection analyser (FIA) with Alpkem Winflow 4.03 software). The DOC was analysed by a DI water extraction (1:10 soil:water ratio), shaken on an end-over-end shaker 125 for 30 min followed by centrifuging at 3500 rev/min for 20 min and filtered through a 126 Whatman 42 filter paper into a 30 mL sample vial (Ghani et al., 2003). The DOC was 127 determined based on the difference between the total organic carbon (TOC) and the inorganic 128

129 carbon (IC) analysed using a Shimadzu Total Organic Carbon Analyser (TOC-5000A) fitted
130 with a Shimadzu ASI-5000A autosampler.

#### 131 2.1 Core preparations

Soil was packed into either stainless steel metal cores (D = 7.4 cm) for microsensor 132 measurements or PVC plastic cores (D = 7.5 cm) for KCl extractions (see below). Soil core 133 bases were covered with a 1 mm nylon mesh and packed to a depth of 3.5 cm. The soil was 134 packed in layers to ensure an even bulk density of 1 g cm<sup>-3</sup> throughout the profile. Four 135 treatments were made: control (soil with no additions, TC), limed soil (soil plus lime, TL), 136 soil with N added (soil plus nitrate-<sup>15</sup>N, **TN**), and soil with N and lime added (soil plus 137 nitrate-<sup>15</sup>N and lime, **TLN**). For treatment TN and TLN a known volume of <sup>15</sup>N enriched (50 138 atom%) KNO<sub>3</sub> solution (0.0154 M) was sprayed onto a designated mass of dry soil prior to 139 packing the soil cores, supplying 100  $\mu$ g NO<sub>3</sub>-N g<sup>-1</sup> soil. Since NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-1</sup> is evenly distributed in 140 the surface of a cultivated soil, the <sup>15</sup>N-NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> was applied to the entire depth of soil in the 141 packed soil core. Soil cores were packed and adjusted with KNO<sub>3</sub> immediately before 142 flooding. The soil cores were then flooded from below immediately prior to commencement 143 of microsensor measurements, to mimic the rise of a high groundwater table, by placing them 144 in a water-filled box. This method of soil flooding also minimised soil drainage during 145 wetting. In total 108 cores were made, of which 12 were used for microsensor measurements 146 and 96 for KCl extractions. KCl extractions were performed on three replicates at eight time 147 steps for each treatment. The timing of the KCl extraction was distributed throughout the 148 pulse of N<sub>2</sub>O production (see supporting information (SI) (Fig. S1)). Due to measurement 149 constraints of the microsensor, replication was done in time by sequentially measuring one 150 151 soil core from one treatment at any given time. For each treatment a total of 3 replicates were measured at t<sub>1</sub>, t<sub>2</sub> and t<sub>3</sub>. In practice, two replicates of each treatment (at t<sub>1</sub> and t<sub>2</sub>) were 152

measured after each other. All  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  measurements for all four treatments were finished within 50 days. All  $t_3$  measurements were subsequently measured after this period (see SI Fig. S2 for exact specifications of the timing of  $t_1$ ,  $t_2$  and  $t_3$  for all treatments). Each soil core was followed until no more N<sub>2</sub>O evolved (up till 7 days) before the next soil core was measured, hence only one soil core for microsensor measurements was flooded at a time. Soil remained sieved but unpacked at 4°C and unamended with <sup>15</sup>N, with these procedures performed prior to microsensor measurements starting.

#### 160 2.2 Microsensors

161 A standard N<sub>2</sub>O microsensor (N<sub>2</sub>O-100, Unisense, Science Park, DK-8000 Aarhus, Denmark), a redox microsensor (RD-100, Unisense) and a redox reference electrode (REF-162 RM, Unisense) were used to measure the N<sub>2</sub>O concentration and the redox potential from the 163 soil:water interface, down through the soil profile at 500 µm steps (71 points per profile), with 164 movement controlled by a motorized micromanipulator. To ensure a complete mixing of the 165 166 water phase above the soil, an ambient air flow was generated over the water surface to avoid N<sub>2</sub>O accumulation in the water overlying the soil core. To ensure the soil water remained at a 167 constant level at all times, water was added several times daily to offset any evaporation, 168 maintaining a 1 cm water depth above the soil surface. The output current for the N<sub>2</sub>O 169 microsensor was measured using a Microsensor Multimeter while the redox signal was 170 measured using a pH/mV-METER. The N<sub>2</sub>O microsensor was calibrated with a five-point 171 calibration using a saturated N<sub>2</sub>O solution to make standard solutions increasing by 100 µM 172 each step. Repeated calibration, after profiling, revealed that instrument drift was 173 insignificant. Each soil core profile was measured every two hours for 7 days or until the N<sub>2</sub>O 174 concentration was below the detection limit (<  $0.1 \mu$ M) at all depths. The room temperature 175 during the microsensor measurements was in the range of 21-23°C. Based on the microsensor 176

measurements, contour maps of the subsurface N<sub>2</sub>O concentration and the redox potential
were constructed using kriging interpolation (Surfer Version 9.785, Golden Software Inc.,
Colorado, USA).

#### 180 2.2.1 Flux determination

The observed flux of N<sub>2</sub>O from the flooded soil core was determined as the diffusive gas 181 exchange across the diffusive boundary layer (DBL) according to Elberling and Damgaard 182 (2001). The DBL is a thin film of water at the soil:water interface (often < 2 mm) where the 183 only form of transport is molecular diffusion (Gundersen and Jørgensen, 1990). The linear 184 concentration gradient over the DBL was used to determine the N<sub>2</sub>O flux across the DBL 185 using Fick's law (Clough et al., 2005). The diffusion coefficient for N<sub>2</sub>O in water at 20°C was 186 taken to be  $2.2295 \times 10^{-5}$  cm<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> (Ramsing and Gundersen, 2009). Verification of the flux was 187 made based on dark, closed chambers (INNOVA 1313, LumaSense, Inc., Ballerup, 188 Denmark), where the observed flux was compared to chamber measurements performed 189 immediately after the microsensor measurements (see SI Fig. S3). 190

#### 191 2.2.2 Modelling N<sub>2</sub>O production and consumption

Assuming the N<sub>2</sub>O concentration profile represents a pseudo-steady state, the SensorTrace PRO 3.0 programme (Unisense A/S, Science Park, DK-8000 Aarhus, Denmark) was used to model the depth-specific N<sub>2</sub>O production and consumption zones. The programme is based on the model PROFIL by Berg et al. (1998). Here the effective gas diffusion coefficient through the soil is described as a function of the water-filled pore space, the soil porosity and the associated gas diffusion coefficient through air (Berg et al., 1998).

#### 198 2.3 KCl extractions

Based on the preliminary results of the maximum  $N_2O$  concentrations in the soil core, measured using the microsensors, 8 time steps for the KCl extractions were chosen for each treatment distributed over time: One extraction was made at time zero (and subsequently used as a reference for the initial conditions), three were made between time zero and maximum  $N_2O$  concentration where a further extraction was made, and then two further extractions taken after the maximum  $N_2O$  concentration and the final extraction was taken when  $N_2O$ was no longer measurable (for details, see SI Fig. S1).

206 Prior to KCl extraction, the flooded cores were removed to drain for app. 10 minutes. A 207 subsample of the soil, 10 g, from the top (0-1.2 cm) of the core was mixed with 60 mL 2 M KCl in a 107 mL glass bottle capped with an aluminium screw-top lined with a rubber septum 208 leaving a headspace of 43 mL and vigorously shaken (30 seconds). Gas samples (8 mL) were 209 210 then collected from the headspace, using a gas-tight syringe fitted with a stop-cock to prevent under-pressurisation of the sample, and stored in a pre-evacuated 6 mL Exetainer®. For all 211 <sup>15</sup>N-NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> treated samples an additional 16 mL gas sample was collected in a similar manner 212 and placed in a pre-evacuated 12 mL Exetainer<sup>®</sup>. The soil/KCl solution was then shaken for 1 213 214 hour on an end-over-end shaker, left to settle for 5 minutes and filtered through Whatman 42 filter paper into a 30 mL sample vial and frozen (-20°C) until analysis. The KCl extraction 215 was repeated with a soil sample from the middle of the soil core (1.2-2.3 cm) and from the 216 bottom (2.3-3.5 cm). The remainder of the soil at each depth was weighed and dried to 217 determine the gravimetric water content. 218

Gas samples in the 6 mL Exetainers were analysed for methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) and N<sub>2</sub>O using a SRI 8610C gas chromatograph (SRI, Ca. USA) linked to a Gilson 222XL autosampler. CH<sub>4</sub> was determined using a flame ionisation detector (FID) while N<sub>2</sub>O was determined with an electron capture detector (ECD) calibrated with certified gas standards (BOC gases) that 223 covered the range of sample concentrations (Mosier and Mack, 1980). The gas samples in the 12 mL Exetainers were analysed for <sup>15</sup>N-N<sub>2</sub>O and <sup>15</sup>N-N<sub>2</sub> using a continuous flow isotope 224 ratio mass spectrometer (IRMS) on a Sercon 20-20 IRMS with <sup>15</sup>N calculations performed 225 according to the equations of Mulvaney and Boast (1986) and Stevens et al. (1993). When 226 calculating the release of <sup>15</sup>N labelled gas entrapped in the soil, corrections were made to 227 allow for headspace gas dissolved in the KCl solution using the appropriate Bunsen 228 coefficient (Moraghan and Buresh, 1977). Derived <sup>15</sup>N data permitted the transformation and 229 redistribution of the original <sup>15</sup>NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> to be observed across inorganic-N and gaseous N species 230 at the times KCl extractions were performed. 231

Soil KCl extracts were thawed at room temperature and analysed for  $NH_4^+$ -N and  $NO_3^-$ -N concentrations using an Alpkem FS3000 twin channel flow injection analyser (FIA) with Alpkem Winflow 4.03 software. Following the method of Brooks et al. (1989) sub-samples of the KCl extracts were diffused and analysed to determine their  $NH_4^{+-15}N$  and  $NO_3^{--15}N$ enrichments.

## 237 2.4 Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses of Pearson product-moment correlation, F-test and one-way ANOVA analyses were performed using the SPSS statistical package (v. 19.0), with a significance level of at least 95 % (\*P < 0.05). For KCl extractions three replicates were analysed (n = 3) while for microsensor N<sub>2</sub>O determinations two replicates were analysed (n= 2), t<sub>1</sub> and t<sub>2</sub>.

## 242 **3. Results**

The initial values of the soil pH,  $NO_3^-$  concentration and DOC concentration as well as the effect of liming on the parameters can be seen in Table 1.

#### 245 3.1 N<sub>2</sub>O dynamics

For all microsensor measurements the repeated measurements at  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  can be seen as replicates because of the low variation (see SI Fig. S4) whereas  $t_3$  differs markedly. For all treatments, the maximum N<sub>2</sub>O concentrations were lower and peaked in half the time compared with  $t_1$  and  $t_2$ , and at much lower levels. In the following, results will be based on  $t_1$ and  $t_2$ , (see SI Fig. S4 for comments on  $t_3$ ).

Regardless of treatment the N<sub>2</sub>O concentrations initially increased and then decreased over 251 time but with differences in the timing of the maximum concentration (26-34 hrs) and the 252 maximum magnitude of the N<sub>2</sub>O concentration (Fig. 1 and SI Fig. S5). There was a 253 significant correlation between the observed N2O diffusion flux and the maximum N2O 254 concentration in the soil (r = 0.94, n = 256, \*\*\*P < 0.0001) (Fig. 1a). Both parameters had a 255 skewed bell-shaped pattern with a steep increase followed by a steep decrease after which 256 they levelled out app. 72 hrs after flooding. The highest N<sub>2</sub>O concentrations occurred in 257 treatment TN, followed by TL, TC and TLN. The maximum  $N_2O$  diffusion flux was  $2.5 \cdot 10^{-11}$ , 258 3.8<sup>-10<sup>-11</sup></sup>, 5.7<sup>-10<sup>-11</sup></sup> and 2.9<sup>-10<sup>-11</sup></sup> mol N<sub>2</sub>O cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> for treatments TC, TL, TN and TLN, 259 respectively. N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes obtained using microsensor measurements are in agreement with 260 levels obtained by INNOVA chambers (SI Fig. S3). During the first 96 hrs of flooding, the 261 mean ( $\pm$  stdev, n = 2) integrated N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes for treatments TC, TL, TN and TLN were 29  $\pm$ 262 4.3, 41  $\pm$  0.9, 54  $\pm$  5.2 and 39  $\pm$  8.9 mmol N<sub>2</sub>O m<sup>-2</sup> respectively. 263

After the initial short lag phase of app. 5 hrs the  $N_2O$  concentrations increased primarily in the zone 1-2 cm below the soil surface (Fig. 1b). Concentrations of  $N_2O$  below 2 cm depth decreased faster than in shallower depths and reached zero within 24-50 hrs after flooding (Fig. 1b and SI Fig. S5). After the maximum  $N_2O$  concentrations in the soil profile occurred, they then decreased with time in all depths still with the highest concentrations in the middle zone of the soil profile, until the  $N_2O$  concentrations were zero at all depths.

The decrease in  $NO_3^{-1}$  concentrations correlated negatively with increasing N<sub>2</sub>O concentrations (Fig. 1d) within the first 24-36 hrs (three time steps) for all four treatments. Subsequently, the rate of  $NO_3^{-1}$  decrease reached a minimum over the last three time steps. For treatment TLN, the rate difference in  $NO_3^{-1}$  decrease was small between the first and the last three time steps and the  $NO_3^{-1}$  concentration did not reach zero during the experiment. Despite this, the N<sub>2</sub>O concentrations were below detection for treatment TLN after 96 hrs.

As a consequence of flooding, the soil redox potential decreased over time with the rate of 276 decrease increasing with increasing soil depth (Fig. 1c and SI Fig. S5). This depth driven 277 278 change in redox potential happened primarily after the first 16 hrs of flooding. The primary 279 redox range, where N<sub>2</sub>O accumulates in the soil, for each of the treatments can be seen in Table 1. High N<sub>2</sub>O concentrations were found in the same range for treatments TC, TN and 280 TLN while the redox range for treatment TL was 100 mV lower. The N<sub>2</sub>O concentrations and 281 the redox potentials were significantly (\*P < 0.05) correlated (Table 1), as the  $N_2O$ 282 concentrations portray a bell-shaped curve over time with a decreasing redox potential where 283 a 'build-up' and a 'build-down' phase are divided around the occurrence of the maximum 284 N<sub>2</sub>O concentration (see SI Fig. S6). 285

 $3.2 N_2 O$  production and consumption

287 The depth-specific consumption and production rates over time were modelled using the SensorTrace PRO 3.0 programme for each treatment, while assuming that the porosity and the 288 effective diffusion coefficient were uniform throughout the packed soil core. The diffusion 289 flux was simultaneously determined for each profile. In Fig. 2, 6 time intervals of the depth-290 specific activity are shown for treatments TC and TN. The corresponding figure for TL and 291 TLN can be seen in SI (Fig. S7). The production (positive activity rates) of  $N_2O$  commenced 292 after 10 hrs, primarily right below the DBL. The activity was several orders of magnitude 293 294 larger for treatment TN compared to TC. After 20 hrs of flooding, N<sub>2</sub>O was produced in the near-surface zone (2 cm) of the soil while consumption of N<sub>2</sub>O (negative activity rates) 295 increased below this depth. Thirty hrs after flooding, high production and maximum 296 297 consumption rates were found, with consumption in the top (< 0.5 cm) and below 1.5 cm and production in a 1 cm zone from 0.5 to 1.5 cm below the surface. This pattern remained 298 throughout the experiment, with rates of production and consumption decreasing with time. 299 The model was successfully validated as a significant correlation, with a slope of 0.9, was 300 found between the observed flux and the modelled flux (r = 0.77, n = 754, \*\*\*P < 0.0001). 301

Based on the time-integrated modelled diffusion flux and the time and depth-integrated N<sub>2</sub>O production, more than one-third of N<sub>2</sub>O produced in the soil was consumed within the soil and not released. Consumption accounted for  $41 \pm 6.9$ ,  $34 \pm 3.7$ ,  $51 \pm 0.4$  and  $48 \pm 10.9\%$  of N<sub>2</sub>O produced for treatments TC, TL, TN and TLN, respectively.

306 *3.3* <sup>15</sup>*N recovery* 

Percentage recovery of <sup>15</sup>N as  $NO_3^-$ ,  $N_2O$ ,  $N_2$  and  $NH_4^+$  over time for treatments TN and TLN can be seen in Fig. 3. The atom% <sup>15</sup>N enrichment for  $N_2O$ ,  $NO_3^-$  and  $NH_4^+$  as well as <sup>15</sup>X<sub>N</sub> for  $N_2$  (the mole fraction of <sup>15</sup>N in the N pool from which the  $N_2$  was derived) are shown in SI

(Fig. S8). At time 0 all added <sup>15</sup>N was present as NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>. Over time recovery of <sup>15</sup>N in the NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>. 310 <sup>15</sup>N labelled pool is reduced while the other components increase. <sup>15</sup>N recovered as N<sub>2</sub>O 311 312 increased for the first 6 time steps (38 and 48 hrs after flooding for treatments TN and TLN respectively) after which it decreased to zero at 96 and 144 hrs after flooding. <sup>15</sup>N recovered 313 as NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> increased steadily over the entire flooding period and ended up constituting 1.0 and 314 0.6% of the <sup>15</sup>N initially added for treatments TN and TLN, respectively. <sup>15</sup>N recovered as N<sub>2</sub> 315 also increased over time. For treatment TN the increase was slow for the first 38 hrs, 316 subsequently it increased rapidly to  $115 \pm 12\%$  <sup>15</sup>N recovered at 72 hrs and levels out at 108 ± 317 13% after 96 hrs within the same range of the standard deviation. For treatment TLN the <sup>15</sup>N 318 recovery of N<sub>2</sub> was close to zero within the first 72 hrs. After 144 hrs of flooding <sup>15</sup>N-N<sub>2</sub> 319 constituted 26% of the initially added <sup>15</sup>N label. The recovered <sup>15</sup>N<sub>2</sub> is displayed without 320 standard deviations as less replicates are available as some fluxes were too low to be detected 321 with only one replicate available in some cases. This is primarily the case for treatment TLN, 322 and results should only be seen as best estimates. 323

## 324 **4. Discussion**

325 The soil profile N<sub>2</sub>O concentrations measured using the microsensor are in the same range as the methodologically comparable study by Jørgensen and Elberling (2012), as the maximum 326 N<sub>2</sub>O concentration for TC is a factor 1.5 higher and the maximum N<sub>2</sub>O diffusion flux is a 327 factor of 4 higher than their measurements on a flooded temperate peat soil. However, the 328 duration of the high N<sub>2</sub>O emissions is longer when compared to other studies, resulting in an 329 accumulated N<sub>2</sub>O release (29-54 mmol N<sub>2</sub>O  $m^{-2}$  for the four treatments) that is at least a factor 330 of 10 higher: For the study by Jørgensen and Elberling (2012), the duration was 40 hrs, 331 resulting in an accumulated N<sub>2</sub>O release of 0.06 mmol N<sub>2</sub>O  $m^{-2}$ , while an experimentally 332 flooded natural tropical wetland soil resulted in peak emissions of 2.92 and 3.7 mmol  $N_2 O \ m^{-2}$ 333 for a 2.3 and 3 day peak duration (Liengaard et al., 2013). 334

There is a lack of studies examining peak N<sub>2</sub>O emissions during flooding events from 335 temperate agricultural fields. Choudhary et al. (2001) found the annual N<sub>2</sub>O emission for a 336 conventionally grown maize field in New Zealand to be 8.5-12.2 mmol N<sub>2</sub>O m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> while 337 Roelandt et al. (2005), summarizing the data from 30 studies recording the annual N<sub>2</sub>O 338 emissions from croplands and grasslands in North America and Europe, found emissions 339 varied from 0.7 to 20.7 mmol  $N_2O$  m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>. These reported values are of the same order or 340 smaller than the peak emissions found in this study. Thus, the non-steady state emissions, 341 over just a single four day flooding period, reported here, can potentially contribute more N<sub>2</sub>O 342 to the atmosphere than the annual emissions of N<sub>2</sub>O on croplands and grasslands. This 343 emphasizes the importance of incorporating flooding events in studies of annual N<sub>2</sub>O 344 emissions and the need for further in-situ measurement of N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes during flood events. 345

346 4.1 Treatment effects

347 During flooding redox conditions and  $N_2O$  concentrations were markedly affected. The pH of 348 the soil is also likely to have increased in all treatments following flooding due to reduction 349 processes such as Fe and Mn oxide reduction (Yu and Patrick, 2003) and denitrification 350 (Zárate-Valdez et al., 2006). Thus pH effects on  $N_2O$  emission are therefore a consequence of 351 both the direct effect of liming and the indirect effects of redox processes.

The redox potential in the soil was a time- and depth-specific parameter during flooding (Fig. 1c). The fact that the reduction was faster in the bottom part of the soil relative to the top is in line with the fact that reduction in the top will be counter-balanced by diffusion of atmospheric  $O_2$  into the soil core. A lower redox potential at a higher pH is in agreement with the negative correlation between the two parameters described by Yu & Patrick (2003). The range in values of these parameters is likewise in agreement with their findings.

During flooding, the development of the subsurface  $N_2O$  concentration over time portrays the same bell-shaped profile and the same depth-specific distribution, independent of treatment, also described by Liengaard et al. (2013). Higher  $NO_3^-$  concentrations resulted in higher  $N_2O$  concentrations and emissions, except in treatment TLN. The reduced  $N_2O$ production due to higher soil pH was significant when comparing TN and TLN, as expected (Šimek & Cooper 2002), whereas this effect was not seen between TC and TL.

The unambiguous depth-specific distribution of the  $N_2O$  concentration can be explained by the correlation between  $N_2O$  concentration and redox potential based on the distinct redox ranges for  $N_2O$  accumulation and reduction. The low  $N_2O$  concentration in the top soil can be explained by the combination of redox potentials being too high for  $N_2O$  accumulation and by the diffusion of  $N_2O$  to the atmosphere. The level of reduction in the middle part of the soil displayed the optimum redox potential where the denitrification process is promoted, but not to an extent where  $N_2O$  was rapidly reduced to  $N_2$ . The low  $N_2O$  concentrations found in the bottom part of the soil was either due to the fact that the low redox potentials favoured complete denitrification, not allowing  $N_2O$  to accumulate, or that the denitrification process was completely inhibited, allowing  $NO_3^-$  to be preserved (as seen for treatment TLN), where any measured  $N_2O$  was a result of diffusion of  $N_2O$  produced in the middle zone. Subsurface accumulation of  $N_2O$  and resulting emissions are therefore time-dependent as the redox potential of flooded soils will continuously decrease.

The depth-specific distribution of the N<sub>2</sub>O concentrations and the finding that distinct zones 377 of production and consumption of N<sub>2</sub>O occur underlines the fact that spatial and temporal 378 changes in denitrification rates are not a sequential process, but rather a consequence of micro 379 zones of specific environmental conditions affecting the N<sub>2</sub>O dynamics. More than one-third 380 of the produced N<sub>2</sub>O in the soil was consumed within the soil, with the highest ratios for 381 treatments TN and TLN with high NO3<sup>-</sup> concentrations. The high N2O production rate 382 383 observed for treatment TN was counterbalanced by high consumption rates. The consumption fraction of N<sub>2</sub>O is low when compared to the study by Liengaard et al. (2013) where about 384 two-thirds of the produced N<sub>2</sub>O was consumed within the soil. The balance between 385 386 produced, consumed and emitted N<sub>2</sub>O is therefore not a universal value, but a soil and environment dependent value. 387

The net effect of flooding, liming and addition of N was reduced N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, as treatment TLN had the lowest emission during the time of study. The high NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentration of treatment TLN and the lower maximum N<sub>2</sub>O concentration indicate that the denitrification process was limited even at the NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> reducing step. The lack of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> reduction explains the higher redox potential, as the soil was not exhausted of easily available electron acceptors. The limitation was not caused by the addition of <sup>15</sup>N as the incomplete reduction of <sup>15</sup>N-NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> was of the same magnitude as the total  $NO_3^-$  reduction over time, dismissing isotope fractionation as the cause. Additionally, Pan et al. (2012) did not find  $NO_3^-$  reduction to be sensitive to pH variations within the pH range of the present study. Contrary to the other treatments, no CH<sub>4</sub> production was seen for TLN (see SI Fig. S9) despite the fact that a higher pH should increase the production (Le Mer and Roger, 2001). Thus, the net effect of the treatment on the gas producing processes remains uncertain.

Small concentrations of  $CH_4$  were produced during flooding (see SI Fig. S9). Compared to N<sub>2</sub>O,  $CH_4$  is not a significant greenhouse gas to consider during short term floodings as the redox potential in the soil is not fully reduced to levels (< -100 mV) where  $CH_4$  is the primary end product of mineralisation over  $CO_2$  (Yu and Patrick, 2003).

## 404 4.2 Pathways of N transformation

The primary production mechanism of N<sub>2</sub>O in the anoxic soil environment is denitrification. 405 The intermediate products of denitrification were detected following the reduction of <sup>15</sup>N 406 labelled NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> (Fig. 3). The <sup>15</sup>N not accounted for 14-26 hrs after flooding is expected to be 407 found as NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> or NO. The recovery of <sup>15</sup>N was quantitative in treatment TN with effectively 408 100% of <sup>15</sup>N recovered as N<sub>2</sub> after 72 hrs. However, this was not the case for treatment TLN 409 where after 144 hrs only 26% of the  $^{15}$ N was recovered as N<sub>2</sub> with total  $^{15}$ N recovery of 54 ± 410 5%. Reasons for the lower recovery in TLN may be due to volatilization of  $NH_4^+$  to  $NH_3$ 411 enhanced by the higher pH (Sommer and Hutchings, 2001), as the NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> concentration was 412 lower in treatment TLN compared to TN (see SI Fig. S9) or that the residual <sup>15</sup>N was 413 incorporated in other non-measured N pools. Alternatively, a major difference between the 414 TN and TLN treatments at the end of the 144 hours was the higher  $NO_3^-$  concentration in the 415 416 TLN treatment. Thus if any  $NO_3^-$  was lost as the soil cores were drained prior to performing

the KCl extracts then this may have had a greater impact on the <sup>15</sup>N balance in the TLN 417 treatment. Another pathway may contribute to N<sub>2</sub>O production. Only a small fraction of the 418 applied <sup>15</sup>N was recovered as NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> for both treatments (Fig. 3). Although small, the increase 419 over time indicates that  $NH_4^+$  has been produced from DNRA of the applied  ${}^{15}N-NO_3^-$  (Buresh 420 and Patrick, 1978). The  $NH_4^+$  produced from the applied  ${}^{15}N-NO_3^-$  was minimal when 421 compared to the total  $NH_4^+$  concentration during the flooding event (see SI Fig. S9), but the 422 process could potentially be important if flooding continued for prolonged periods. It also 423 cannot be dismissed that the increase in <sup>15</sup>N-NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> was caused by a release of assimilatory 424 reduced <sup>15</sup>N-NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> during extraction, even though the extraction setup should not destroy 425 microbial cells. 426

## 427 4.3 N<sub>2</sub>O emissions and agricultural management practice under future climate conditions

Based on these results, a marked reduction in N2O production and emission during soil 428 flooding could be achieved if soils were limed prior to tillage. As the reduction is achieved 429 with only an increase in pH of 1.3 units it emphasises the importance of liming often, to keep 430 the pH constant. Low lying areas are of highest risk of flooding and are also likely to receive 431 additional inputs of N from the surrounding elevated areas. To reduce the risk of flood-432 433 induced N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, N-application in low lying areas should be minimized and where possible these areas should be drained. If flooded, drainage should be avoided, as it is at the 434 boundary between aerobic and anaerobic conditions when N2O accumulation is seen. 435 436 Consequently, there is a need for additional experiments to include more soil types and land uses before implications are scaled to larger areas. 437

# 438 **5. Acknowledgement**

- 439 The authors wish to thank Annie Wejhe Simonsen for performing the validation of the  $N_2O$
- 440 diffusion results by INNOVA chambers and to thank the two anonymous journal reviewers
- 441 for helpful comments,

## 442 6. References

- Badr, O., Probert, S.D., 1993. Environmental impacts of atmospheric nitrous oxide. Applied
  Energy 44, 197-231.
- Berg, P., Risgaard-Petersen, N., Rysgaard, S., 1998. Interpretation of measured concentration
  profiles in sediment pore water. Limnology and Oceanography 43, 1500-1510.
- Brooks, P.D., Stark, J.M., McInteer, B.B., Preston, T., 1989. Diffusion method to prepare soil
  extracts for automated nitrogen-15 analysis. Soil Science Society of America Journal 53,
  1707-1711.
- Buresh, R.J., Patrick, W.H.Jr., 1978. Nitrate reduction to ammonium in anaerobic soils. Soil
  Science Society of America Journal 42, 913-918.
- Cappelen, J., Jensen, J.J., 2001. Jordens klima Guide til vejr og klima i 156 lande (update).
  Teknisk rapport 01.07. Danmarks Meteorologiske Institut, Trafikministeriet, 191-193.
- 454 Castellano, M.J., Schmidt, J.P., Kaye, J.P., Walker, C., Graham, C.B., Lin, H., Dell, C.J.,
- 2010. Hydrological and biogeochemical controls on the timing and magnitude of nitrous
  oxide flux across an agricultural landscape. Global Change Biology 16, 2711-2720.

Choudhary, M.A., Akramkhanov, A., Saggar, S., 2001. Nitrous oxide emissions in soils

- 458 cropped with maize under long-term tillage and under permanent pasture in New Zealand.
- 459 Soil & Tillage Research 62, 61-71.

457

- Clough, T.J., Sherlock, R.R., Kelliher, F.M., 2003. Can liming mitigate N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes from a
  urine-amended soil? Australian Journal of Soil Research, 41, 439-457.
- 462 Clough, T.J., Sherlock, R.R., Rolston, D.E., 2005. A review of the movement and fate of N<sub>2</sub>O
- in the subsoil. Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems 72, 3-11.

- Elberling, B., Damgaard, L.R., 2001. Microscale measurements of oxygen diffusion and
  consumption in subaqueous sulphide tailings. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 65, 18971905.
- 467 Eriksen, J., Jensen, L.S. 2001. Soil respiration, nitrogen mineralization and uptake in barley
  468 following cultivation of grazed grasslands. Biology & Fertility of Soils 33, 139-145.
- 469 Ghani, A., Dexter, M., Perrott, K.W., 2003. Hot-water extractable carbon in soils: a sensitive
- 470 measurement for determining impacts of fertilisation, grazing and cultivation. Soil Biology &
- 471 Biochemistry 35, 1231-1243.
- Gundersen, J.K., Jørgensen, B.B., 1990. Microstructure of diffusive boundary layers and the
  oxygen uptake of the sea floor. Nature 345, 604-607.
- 474 IPCC, 2007. Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working
- 475 Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.
- 476 [Solomon, S., Qin, D., Manning, M., Chen, Z., Marquis, M., Averyt, K.B., Tignor, M., Miller,
- 477 H.L. (eds)]. Cambridge University Press.
- 478 Jørgensen, C.J., Elberling, B., 2012. Effects of flooding-induced N<sub>2</sub>O production,
- 479 consumption and emission dynamics on the annual  $N_2O$  emission budget in wetland soil. Soil
- 480 Biology & Biochemistry 53, 9-17.
- 481 Knowles, R., 1982. Denitrification. Microbiological Reviews 43-70.
- 482 Leff, B., Ramankutty, N., Foley, J.A., 2004. Geographic distribution of major crops across the
  483 world. Global Biogeochemical Cycles 18, GB1009.
- Le Mer, J., Roger, P., 2001. Production, oxidation, emission and consumption of methane by
- 485 soils: A review. European Journal of Soil Science 37, 25-50.

- 486 Liengaard, L., Nielsen, L.P., Revsbech, N.P., Priemé, A., Elberling, B., Enrich-Prast, A.,
- 487 Kühl, M., 2013. Extreme emission of N<sub>2</sub>O from tropical wetland soil (Pantanal, South
  488 America). Frontiers in Microbiology 3, 1-13.
- 489 Min, S.-K., Zhang, X., Zwiers, F.W., Hegerl, G.C., 2011. Human contribution to more-intense
- 490 precipitation extremes. Nature 470, 378-381.
- Molloy, L., 1988. Soils in the New Zealand Landscape, the living mantle. Mallinson Rendel
  in association with the New Zealand Society of Soil Science, 179-181.
- 493 Moraghan, J.T., Buresh, R., 1977. Correction for dissolved nitrous oxide in nitrogen studies.
- 494 Soil Science Society of America Journal 41, 1201-1202.
- Mosier, A.R., Mack, L., 1980. Gas chromatographic system for precise, rapid analysis of
  nitrous oxide. Soil Science Society of America Journal 44, 1121-1123.
- Mulvaney, R.L., Boast, C.W., 1986. Equations for determination of nitrogen-15 labeled
  dinitrogen and nitrous oxide by mass spectrometry. Soil Science Society of America Journal
  50, 360-363.
- 500 Pan, Y., Ye, L., Ni, B.-J., Yan, Z. 2012. Effect of pH on N<sub>2</sub>O reduction and accumulation
- 501 during denitrification by methanol utilizing denitrifiers. Water Research 46, 4832-4840.
- 502 Potter, P., Ramankutty, N., Bennett, E.M., Donner, S.D., 2010. Characterizing the spatial
- patterns of global fertilizer application and manure production. Earth Interactions 14, 1-22.
- Ramsing, N., Gundersen, J., 2009. Seawater and Gases. Tabulated physical parameters of
  interest to people working with microsensors in marine systems. Unisense.
- 506 Ravishankara, A.R., Daniel, J.S., Portmann, R.W., 2009. Nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O): The dominant
- 507 ozone-depleting substance emitted in the 21st century. Science 326, 123-125.

- Roelandt, C., Van Wesemael, B., Rounsevell, M., 2005. Estimating annual N<sub>2</sub>O emissions
  from agricultural soils in temperate climate. Global Change Biology 11, 1701-1711.
- 510 Silgram, M., Shepherd, M.A., 1999. The effects of cultivation on soil nitrogen mineralization.
- 511 Advances in Agronomy 65, 267-307.
- 512 Šimek, M., Cooper, J.E., 2002. The influence of soil pH on denitrification: progress towards
- the understanding of this interaction over the last 50 years. European Journal of Soil Science53, 345-354.
- Sommer, S.G., Hutchings, N.J., 2001. Ammonia emission from field applied manure and its
  reduction invited paper. European Journal of Agronomy 15, 1-15.
- Stevens, R.J., Laughlin, R.J., Atkins, G.J., Prosser, S.J., 1993. Automated determination of
  nitrogen-15 labelled dinitrogen and nitrous oxide by mass spectrometry. Soil Science Society
  of America Journal 57, 981-988.
- Tiedje, J.M., Sexstone, A.J., Myrold, D.D., Robinson, J.A., 1982. Denitrification: ecological
  niches, competition and survival. Antonie van Leeuwenhoek 48, 569-583.
- 522 Weier, K.L., Doran, J.W., Power, J.F., Walters, D.T., 1993. Denitrification and the 523 dinitrogen/nitrous oxide ratio as affected by soil water, available carbon, and nitrate. Soil 524 Science Society of America Journal 57, 66-72.
- Wrage, N., Velthof, G.L., van Beusichem, M.L., Oenema, O., 2001. Role of nitrifier
  denitrification in the production of nitrous oxide. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 33, 17231732.
- Yu, K., Patrick, W.H.Jr., 2003. Redox range with minimum nitrous oxide and methane
  production in a rice soil under different pH. Soil Science Society of America Journal 67,
  1952-1958.

531 Zárate-Valdez, J.L., Zasosiki, R.J., Läuchli, A.E., 2006. Short-term effects of moisture
532 content on soil solution pH and soil Eh. Soil Science 171, 423-431.

## 533 Supporting information

- 534 Figure S1: Distribution of KCl extractions over time.
- 535 Figure S2: Timing of repeated measurements.
- 536 Figure S3: Validation of microsensor measurements by chamber measurements.
- Figure S4: Maximum N<sub>2</sub>O concentration over time for  $t_1$ ,  $t_2$  and  $t_3$ .
- 538 Figure S5:  $N_2O$  concentration, diffusion flux, redox potential and  $NO_3^-$  concentration
- 539 development over time.
- 540 Figure S6: Relationship between N<sub>2</sub>O concentration and redox potential.
- 541 Figure S7: Depth-specific activity of N<sub>2</sub>O production and consumption.
- 542 Figure S8: Atom% <sup>15</sup>N enrichment.
- Figure S9: Concentration of  $NO_3^-$ ,  $NH_4^+$ ,  $CH_4$  and the total sum of N over time.

**Table 1.** Overview of soil pH,  $NO_3^-$  and DOC concentration as well as the absolute and the primary redox range and the redox range for the 'build-up' and 'build-down' phase of  $N_2O$ 

	Control (TC)	Limed (TL)	N added (TN)	N added & limed (TLN)
pН	$6.1 \pm 0.1$	$7.4 \pm 0.1$		
$NO_3^-$ ( $\mu gN g soil^{-1}$ )	$97.8\pm27.6$	$108.9\pm49.9$	$197.9\pm73.3$	$183.3\pm31.8$
$DOC \ (\mu g \ g \ soil^{-1})$	$129.4\pm3.9$	$160.9\pm2.7$		
Primary redox range (mV)	300-450	200-350	250-450	300-400
$N_2O$ build-up redox range (mV)	414-339	335-242	418-312	384-331
$N_2O$ build-down redox range (mV)	366-289	298-194	342-232	361-282

546 for all four treatments (n = 3).

**Figure 1.** Development of mean maximum  $N_2O$  concentration and observed diffusion flux (a), time- and depth-specific contour plot of the  $N_2O$  concentration over time for treatment TC (b), time- and depth-specific contour plot of the redox potential over time for treatment TC (c) and the sum of the  $NO_3^-$  concentration in the soil core over time (d). For contour plots of treatment TL, TN and TLN see SI (Fig. S5; 5A, 5B and 5C respectively).

553

**Figure 2.** Modelled activity of N<sub>2</sub>O production (positive values) and consumption (negative values) over 6 time intervals (10, 20, 30, 50, 70 and 90 hrs after flooding) for treatment TC (solid line) and TN (dashed line). Values < 0.0007 mol cm<sup>-3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> are not shown.

557

Figure 3. <sup>15</sup>N recovered as NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, N<sub>2</sub>O, N<sub>2</sub> and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> (secondary axis) over time for treatment TN (a) and TLN (b). All values are normalized against the recovered <sup>15</sup>N-NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> at time 0 (n = 3).