brought to you by T CORE





Are rapid diagnostic tests more accurate in diagnosis of malaria compared to microscopy?

Batwala, Vincent; Magnussen, Pascal; Nuwaha, Fred

Publication date: 2010

Document version Early version, also known as pre-print

Citation for published version (APA):
Batwala, V., Magnussen, P., & Nuwaha, F. (2010). Are rapid diagnostic tests more accurate in diagnosis of malaria compared to microscopy?. Poster session presented at Parasite to prevention: Advances in the understanding of malaria, Edinburgh, United Kingdom.

Download date: 07. Apr. 2020

Are rapid diagnostic tests more accurate in diagnosis of malaria compared to microscopy?



²Faculty of Life Sciences, Copenhagen University 1Mbarara University of Science & Technology, Uganda Vincent Batwala^{1,3}, Pascal Magnussen², Fred Nuwaha³

3Makerere University School of Public Health Kampala, Uganda



countries of sub-Saharan Africa where methods especially in low income evaluation of alternative diagnostic several limitations that necessitate field standard test for diagnosis of malaria has control. Blood film microscopy the current therapy remains vital to current malaria treatment with artemisinin combination Prompt, accurate diagnosis and

Setting I: Bushenyi – Low transmission



Setting II: Iganga - High transmission

Study profile

Enrolled, n=314

4 women withdrew consent, 3 efused finger-prick

studed: 5 left before interview



+ve, 48

PCR PCR

+ve, 7 -ve, 55 +ve, 0 -ve, 182

microscopy -ve, 182

-ve 7 +ve.5 -ve.2 +ve.34 -ve.21

+ve.8 -ve.174

Positive (+ve), 56

Health centre microscopy Final sample, n=300

Negative (-ve), 244

negative predictive value (NPV). endpoints were: sensitivity, specificity consecutively enrolled and provided of fever from a low and high positive predictive value (PPV) and blood samples for all tests. The transmission setting in Uganda were malaria based on fever and or history patients with a clinical suspicion of temperature, health centre reaction (PCR) as the gold standard. infection using polymerase chain HRP2-based rapid diagnostic test microscopy, expert microscopy and a We compared the accuracy of axillary Three hundred fourteen (314) (Paracheck) in predicting malaria

of Paracheck compared to microscopy was only shown by Paracheck 91.0% [95%CI 98.2]. The overall PPV was <88% for all shown by Paracheck 95.8% [95%CI: 91.9 89.1-96.3] and expert microscopy 97.2% Paracheck 86.3% [95%CI: 80.9-90.6] transmission intensity as well as by age maintained when data was stratified for centre microscopy. The superior sensitivity microscopy was 46%, similar to health 83.1-96.0]. The sensitivity of expert by PCR. The overall sensitivity >90% was 47(15.7%) by expert microscopy, 88(29.3%) had fever, 56(18.7%) were methods. [95%Cl: 93.9-98.9]. The NPV >90% was health centre microscopy 93.4% [95%Cl The overall specificity rates were: 110(36.7%) by Paracheck and 89(29.7%) positive by health centre microscopy,

Overall sensitivity, specificity & predictive values

San Street, Square, or other Persons	or or assessment disease	P. Northeast Assessment To	Statement of Statement	Stranger of the last of the la
Method	Sensitivity %[95%CI]	Specificity %[95%CI]	%[95%CI]	NPV %[95%CI]
Axillary temp	39.3[29.1-50.3]	74.9[68.5-80.6]	39.3[29.1-50.3] 74.9[68.5-80.6] 39.8[29.5-50.8] 74.5[68.1-80.2]	74.5[68.1-80.2]
HC microscopy	47.2[36.5-58.1]	93,4[89,1-96,3]	HC microscopy 47.2[36.5-58.1] 93.4[89.1-96.3] 75.0[61.6-85.6] 80.7[75.2-85.5]	80.7[75.2-85.5]
Expert	46.1[35.4-57.0]	97.2[93.9-98.9]	46.1[35.4-57.0] 97.2[93.9-98.9] 87.2[74.3-95.2] 81.0[75.6-85.7]	81.0[75.6-85.7]
Paracheck	91 0[83.1-96.0]	86.3[80.9-90.6]	91.0[83.1-96.0] 86.3[80.9-90.6] 73.6[64,4-81.6] 95.5[91.9-98.2]	95.8[91.9-98.2]

HC=Health centre, PPV=positive predictive value, NPV=negative

Conclusion

shown superior sensitivity compared to screening of malaria infection. HRP2-based rapid diagnostic test has an acute illness in vulnerable populations essential because the infection produces microscopy and may be more suitable for that can rapidly progress to death. The High sensitivity of malaria diagnosis is

Ethical considerations

minors) at the time of interview. participants (or parents/legal guardians for informed consent was sought from National Council for Science and Institutional Review Board; and the Uganda University School of Public Health The study was approved by Makerere Technology (Ref: HS 209). Written

Study registration

Clinicaltrials.gov (NCT00565071) The study is registered with the

Acknowledgement

of Public Health (MUSPH)/London School Denmark; the Belgian Technical of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine Consortium to Makerere University School from the TARGETS-DFID Research The study was funded in part with grants Ministry of Health. Cooperation local grant and the Ugandan Development, Copenhagen University, (LSHTM); Centre for Health Research and