

Western Michigan University ScholarWorks at WMU

Academic Leadership Academy

Office of Faculty Development

Fall 9-20-2019

Enhancing SAMPI Personnel Evaluation Procedures

Cody T. Williams Western Michigan University, cody.t.williams@wmich.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.wmich.edu/acad_leadership



Part of the Educational Leadership Commons

WMU ScholarWorks Citation

Williams, Cody T., "Enhancing SAMPI Personnel Evaluation Procedures" (2019). Academic Leadership Academy. 103.

https://scholarworks.wmich.edu/acad_leadership/103

This Poster is brought to you for free and open access by the Office of Faculty Development at ScholarWorks at WMU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Academic Leadership Academy by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks at WMU. For more information, please contact wmu-scholarworks@wmich.edu.





Enhancing SAMPI Personnel Evaluation Procedures

Cody T. Williams, Ph.D.

SAMPI Director and Assistant Professor, Western Michigan University Academic Leadership Academy 2018-2019



Introduction

- Science and Mathematics Program Improvement (SAMPI) exclusively using WMU Human Resources "Performance Management Program Annual Review Form" for staff annual performance reviews.
- Form provides annual opportunity for SAMPI staff and Director to meet and discuss past performance and future development plans.
- Experiences with staff performance reviews and ALA convinced me of need for additional procedures to develop team trust and encourage staff buy-in (Lencioni, 2007).

Literature Review

- Performance management literature and Personnel Evaluation Standards were reviewed to generate ideas for enhancing current process.
- Review of relevant evaluation standards led to prioritizing ideas that focused on staff strengths, practicality, and productivity (Table 1).

Table 1. Relevant Personnel Evaluation Standards (Gullickson & Howard, 2009).

Standard	Key Questions
Comprehensive Evaluation	Do procedures and expectations allow both strengths and weaknesses to be identified rather than solely deficits?
Follow-Up and Professional Development	Is a structure in place to allow the data generated to be used in professional development plans?
Practical Procedures	Are procedures for collecting data as simple and job-embedded as possible?
Reliable Information	Is there oversight to ensure that the evaluation procedures are the same for all evaluatees?

New Process

- Several new activities identified from the performance management literature(Bouskila-Yam & Kluger, 2011; Budworth et al., 2015):
 - Feedforward Interview(FFI): staff member asked to describe experience at work where they felt their best.
 - Reflected best self feedback (RBSF): Director shares story with staff member.
 - •Online-questionnaire: Director and staff complete questionnaire based on strengths from FFI and RBSF.

"Facebook Reactions Vector Download" by Estúdio Sttark, Alexandre Magno Oliveira is licensed under CC BY 4.0

- Some team members also noted that there is anxiety with any new review process.
- The team also felt that the new processes need to be piloted.

Staff Discussion



Figure 1. SAMPI Staff Photo

- Meeting held to discuss the proposed changes to staff evaluation.
- Staff were provided the opportunity to provide feedback.
- All staff felt the review process provides useful feedback on how they are doing and how they can improve.
- Some expressed that they were hopeful the new process could help identify strengths and team growth.

Conclusions/Future Plans

- High-quality performance review should focus on strengths rather deficits.
- There are some relatively simple practices that can be employed by supervisors to increase staff participation in performance review.
- The hope is that the new staff review process will lead to increased staff ownership of staff evaluations and individual/team growth.
- We will pilot test the new review system this fall in order to use the information the WMU HR review process next year.

Literature Cited

- •Bouskila-Yam, O., & Kluger, A. (2011). Strength-based performance appraisal and goal setting. *Human Resource Management Review,* 21(2), 137–147.
- •Bludworth, M.-H., Latham, G. P., & Manroop, L. (2015). Looking Forward to Performance Improvement: A Field Test of the Feedforward Interview for Performance Management. *Human Resource Management*, *54*(1), 45–54.
- •Gullickson, A.R. and Howard, B.B. (2009). *Personnel evaluation standards: How to assess systems for evaluating educators* (2nd ed.), Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.
- •Lencioni, P. (2007). *The five dysfunctions of a team*. Lafayette, CA: The Table Group.