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## Appendix B

## A SURVEY ON COLOUR USAGE

The purpose of this survey is to identify the user preferred colour. This survey is meant for research purposes only. All data collected and analysis made will be treated with the strictest confidence. As soon as the data have been recorded and double-checked, the questionnaires will be shredded.

Please do not identify yourself in any way.
For each of the following question, please tick the checkbox that best applies to you.

1. What is your group age?
$\square \quad$ Age $<18$
$\square$
$18 \leq$ Age $<25$ $\square$ $25 \leq$ Age $<35$
$\square 35 \leq$ Age $<45$ $\square$ $45 \leq$ Age $<55$ $\square$ Age $\leq 55$
2. Gender?
$\square$ Male $\square$ Female
3. Do you use Microsoft Office Word?


Yes $\square$ No
4. Are you familiar with the Colour Scheme used in Figure 1?
$\square$ Yes
$\square$ No


Figure 1: Colour Scheme from Microsoft Office Word 2003
5. What colour do you like (choose 10 colours only)?


Thank you for taking the time to participate in our survey.

## Appendix C

## A SURVEY ON USABILITY (PART I)

The purpose of this survey is to:
i. Determine the effectiveness of the proposed upload background picture feature in aiding users' memorability.
ii. Determine the effectiveness of the proposed grid line scaling feature in aiding users' memorability.
iii. Determine the effectiveness of the proposed loose authentication feature in aiding users' memorability.

This survey is meant for research purposes only. All data collected and analysis made from the data will be treated with the strictest confidence. As soon as the data have been recorded and double checked, the questionnaires will be shredded.

Please do not identify yourself in any way.

## For each of the following question, please tick the checkbox that best applies to you.

1. Current academic degree that you are pursuing?
$\square$ Undergraduate $\square$ Postgraduate
2. Gender?

Male
Female

3. Do you know what picture-based password authentication is?
$\square$
Yes $\square$ No
4. Do you agree that superimposing a background picture onto the BPG system is can users in memorising their password?


Please state your reason(s) if your answer is not 'Strongly Agree'.
5. Do you agree that the "Grid Line Scaling" function used in the enhanced BPG system is able to aid users in memorising their password?


Please state your reason(s) if your answer is not 'Strongly Agree'.
6. Do you agree that the loose authentication function used in the enhanced BPG system is able to aid users in memorising their password?


Please state your reason(s) if your answer is not 'Strongly Agree'.
7. Do you know what shoulder-surfing attack is?


Thank you for taking the time to participate in our survey.

## Appendix C

## A SURVEY ON USABILITY (PART II)

The purpose of this survey is to:
i. Evaluate the effectiveness of the proposed chronological story-based cued recall technique in aiding users' memorability.

This survey is conducted as part of a research on picture-based password authentication methods. All data collected and results obtained from the analysis of the data will be treated with the strictest confidentiality. This questionnaires form will be shredded at the conclusion of the research.

Please do not identify yourself in any way.

## For each of the following question, please tick the checkbox that best fits your answer.

1. Academic programme level?
$\square$ Undergraduate $\quad \square$ Postgraduate
2. Gender?
$\square$ Male $\square$ Female
3. Do you know what graphical authentication is?

$\square$ No
4. Do you have any difficulty in memorising the password that you have chosen prior to using the Chronological Story-Based Cued Recall Technique in the VIP Pro System?


Chronological Story-Based Cued Recall Technique: A technique that can help you in memorising your password by creating a story with your selected pictures.
5. Do you agree that the Chronological Story-Based Cued Recall Technique used in the VIP Pro system can help users in memorising their password?


Please state your reason(s) if your answer is NOT 'Agree' or 'Strongly Agree'.

Thank you for taking the time to participate in our survey.

## Appendix D

Table A1: Analysis and Observation Result for ${ }_{j_{1}}^{j_{1}}$


Secret password used cannot be obtained based on highest frequency of occurrence.

Table A2: Analysis and Observation Result for ${ }_{R_{2}}^{j_{4.4}}$


Secret password used cannot be obtained based on highest frequency of occurrence.

Table A3: Analysis and Observation Result for ${ }_{\mathrm{J}_{4}, 4}$


Secret password used cannot be obtained based on highest frequency of occurrence.

Table A4: Analysis and Observation Result for ${ }_{R_{4}}^{j_{4.4}}$


Secret password used cannot be obtained based on highest frequency of occurrence.

Table A5: Analysis and Observation Result for ${ }_{\mathrm{j}_{5} .4}^{R_{5}}$


Secret password used cannot be obtained based on highest frequency of occurrence.

Table A6: Analysis and Observation Result for ${ }_{j_{6}}^{j_{6}}$


Secret password used cannot be obtained based on highest frequency of occurrence.

Table A7: Analysis and Observation Result for ${ }_{\mathrm{J}_{7} .4}^{R_{7}}$


Secret password used cannot be obtained based on highest frequency of occurrence.

Table A8: Analysis and Observation Result for ${ }_{\mathrm{J}_{8} .4}^{R_{8}}$


Secret password used cannot be obtained based on highest frequency of occurrence.

Table A9: Analysis and Observation Result for ${ }_{j_{4.4}}^{R_{9}}$


Secret password used cannot be obtained based on highest frequency of occurrence.

Table A10: Analysis and Observation Result for ${ }_{R_{10}}^{j_{4.4}}$


Secret password used cannot be obtained based on highest frequency of occurrence.

Table A11: Analysis and Observation Result for $\stackrel{j_{4.4}}{R_{11}}$


Secret password used cannot be obtained based on highest frequency of occurrence.

Table B1: Analysis and Observation Result for ${ }_{\rho_{1}}^{j_{4}}$


Secret password used cannot be obtained based on highest frequency of occurrence.

Table B2: Analysis and Observation Result for ${ }_{R_{2}}^{\mathrm{j}_{4} .5}$


Secret password used cannot be obtained based on highest frequency of occurrence.

Table B3: Analysis and Observation Result for ${ }_{\mathrm{j}_{4.5}}^{R_{3}}$


Secret password used cannot be obtained based on highest frequency of occurrence.

Table B4: Analysis and Observation Result for ${ }_{\mathrm{J}_{4}}^{\mathrm{j}_{4}}$


Secret password used cannot be obtained based on highest frequency of occurrence.

Table B5: Analysis and Observation Result for ${ }_{\mathrm{j}_{5} .5}^{R_{5}}$


Secret password used cannot be obtained based on highest frequency of occurrence.

Table B6: Analysis and Observation Result for ${ }_{j_{6}}^{\delta_{4.5}}$


Secret password used cannot be obtained based on highest frequency of occurrence.

Table B7: Analysis and Observation Result for ${ }_{R_{7}}^{{ }_{7.5}}$


Secret password used cannot be obtained based on highest frequency of occurrence.

Table B8: Analysis and Observation Result for ${ }_{\mu_{8}}^{j_{4.5}}$


Secret password used cannot be obtained based on highest frequency of occurrence.

Table B9: Analysis and Observation Result for ${ }_{\mathrm{J}_{4} .5}^{R_{9}}$


Secret password used cannot be obtained based on highest frequency of occurrence.

Table B10: Analysis and Observation Result for $\stackrel{j_{4.5}}{R_{10}}$


Secret password used cannot be obtained based on highest frequency of occurrence.

Table C1: Analysis and Observation Result for ${ }_{\mathrm{j}_{4} .6}$


Table C2: Analysis and Observation Result for ${ }_{\mathrm{j}_{2} .6}$


Only a few decoy pictures have higher frequency of occurrence when compared with the secret password pictures in 10,100 and 10,000 iteration simulations. The secret password used can be obtained based on the highest frequency of occurrence in 100000 iteration simulations. (Reason: The upper bound $j$ secret password used is high.)

Table C3: Analysis and Observation Result for ${ }_{\mathrm{j}_{4} .6}$


One of the secret password pictures produces the same peak value as the decoy pictures in 10 iteration simulations. Only a few decoy pictures have higher frequency of occurrence when compared with the secret password pictures in 100 and 10,000 iteration simulations. The secret password used can be obtained based on the highest frequency of occurrence in 100,000 iteration simulations. (Reason: The upper bound $j$ secret password used is high.)

Table C4: Analysis and Observation Result for ${ }_{\mathrm{J}_{4}}^{\mathrm{j}_{4} .6}$


Table C5: Analysis and Observation Result for ${ }_{\mathrm{j}_{4} .6}^{R_{5}}$


Table C6: Analysis and Observation Result for ${ }_{\mu_{6}}^{j_{4.6}}$


Table C7: Analysis and Observation Result for ${ }_{\mu_{7}}^{j_{4} .6}$


Observation Result

The observation result is similar to ${ }_{R_{6} .6}^{j_{4}}$ where the secret password used cannot be obtained based on the highest frequency of occurrence in 10 iteration simulations. A few decoy pictures produced have higher frequency of occurrence when compared with the secret password pictures in 100 iteration simulations. The secret password used can be obtained based on the highest frequency of occurrence in 10,000 and 100,000 iteration simulations. (Reason: The upper bound $j$ secret password used is high.)

Table C8: Analysis and Observation Result for ${ }_{\mathrm{j}_{4} .6}^{R_{8}}$


Table C9: Analysis and Observation Result for ${ }_{\mathrm{j}_{4.6}}^{R_{9}}$


## Appendix E

Table D1: Analysis and Observation Result for Classification 1


The peak value of the frequency of occurrence goes to one of the secret password pictures in 10 iteration simulations. A few secret password pictures have higher frequency of occurrence when compared with the decoy pictures in 100 iteration simulations. However, The secret password used cannot be obtained based on the highest frequency of occurrence in 10,000 and 100,000 iteration simulations. (Reason: The upper bound volume for the decoy pictures used is too low.)

Table D2: Analysis and Observation Result for Classification 2


A few decoy pictures have higher frequency of occurrence compared to the secret password pictures in 10 iteration simulations. However, one of the secret passwords has the highest frequency of occurrence value when compared with the decoy pictures in 100 iteration simulations. In 10,000 iteration simulations, there are few decoy pictures produced have higher frequency of occurrence compared with the secret passwords pictures. Nevertheless, the secret password used cannot be obtained based on highest frequency of occurrence in 100,000 iteration simulations. (Reason: The upper bound volume for the decoy pictures used is too low.)

Table D3: Analysis and Observation Result for Classification 3


One of the secret password pictures produces the highest frequency of occurrence in 10 iteration simulations. A few decoy pictures produced have higher frequency of occurrence when compared with the secret passwords in 100 iteration simulations. However, the secret password used cannot be obtained based on highest frequency of occurrence in 10,000 and 100,000 iteration simulations. (Reason: The upper bound volume for the decoy pictures used is too low.)

Table D4: Analysis and Observation Result for Classification 4


The secret password used cannot be obtained based on highest frequency of occurrence in 10,100 , 10,000 and 100,000 iteration simulations.

Table D5: Analysis and Observation Result for Classification 5


The secret password used cannot be obtained based on highest frequency of occurrence in 10,100 , 10,000 and 100,000 iteration simulations.

Table D6: Analysis and Observation Result for Classification 6


The secret password used cannot be obtained based on highest frequency of occurrence in 10, 100, 10,000 , and 100,000 iteration simulations.

Table D7: Analysis and Observation Result for Classification 7


The secret password used cannot be obtained based on highest frequency of occurrence in 10,100 , 10,000 , and 100,000 iteration simulations.

Table D8: Analysis and Observation Result for Classification 8


## Appendix F



Figure 1: Shoulder-Surfing and Guessing Screenshot for Attacker No. 1


Figure 2: Shoulder-Surfing and Guessing Screenshot for Attacker No. 2


Figure 3: Shoulder-Surfing and Guessing Screenshot for Attacker No. 3


Figure 4: Shoulder-Surfing and Guessing Screenshot for Attacker No. 4


Figure 5: Shoulder-Surfing and Guessing Screenshot for Attacker No. 5


Figure 6: Shoulder-Surfing and Guessing Screenshot for Attacker No. 6


Figure 7: Shoulder-Surfing and Guessing Screenshot for Attacker No. 7


Figure 8: Shoulder-Surfing and Guessing Screenshot for Attacker No. 8


Figure 9: Shoulder-Surfing and Guessing Screenshot for Attacker No. 9


Figure 10: Shoulder-Surfing and Guessing Screenshot for Attacker No. 10


Figure 11: Shoulder-Surfing and Guessing Screenshot for Attacker No. 11


Figure 12: Shoulder-Surfing and Guessing Screenshot for Attacker No. 12


Figure 13: Shoulder-Surfing and Guessing Screenshot for Attacker No. 13


Figure 14: Shoulder-Surfing and Guessing Screenshot for Attacker No. 14


Figure 15: Shoulder-Surfing and Guessing Screenshot for Attacker No. 15


Figure 16: Shoulder-Surfing and Guessing Screenshot for Attacker No. 16


Figure 17: Shoulder-Surfing and Guessing Screenshot for Attacker No. 17


Figure 18: Shoulder-Surfing and Guessing Screenshot for Attacker No. 18


Figure 19: Shoulder-Surfing and Guessing Screenshot for Attacker No. 19


Figure 20: Shoulder-Surfing and Guessing Screenshot for Attacker No. 20


Figure 21: Shoulder-Surfing and Guessing Screenshot for Attacker No. 21


Figure 22: Shoulder-Surfing and Guessing Screenshot for Attacker No. 22


Figure 23: Shoulder-Surfing and Guessing Screenshot for Attacker No. 23


Figure 24: Shoulder-Surfing and Guessing Screenshot for Attacker No. 24


Figure 25: Shoulder-Surfing and Guessing Screenshot for Attacker No. 25


Figure 26: Shoulder-Surfing and Guessing Screenshot for Attacker No. 26


Figure 27: Shoulder-Surfing and Guessing Screenshot for Attacker No. 27


Figure 28: Shoulder-Surfing and Guessing Screenshot for Attacker No. 28


Figure 29: Shoulder-Surfing and Guessing Screenshot for Attacker No. 29


Figure 30: Shoulder-Surfing and Guessing Screenshot for Attacker No. 30

Table E1: Analysis and Observation Results

| No. of <br> Attackers | No. of <br> Attempts | Shoulder-Surfing And <br> Guessing Result | Attacking Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | FAIL | based on demonstrated password pictures and redundant pictures |
|  | 2 | FAIL | based on demonstrated password pictures and their categories |
|  | 3 | FAIL | based on demonstrated password pictures and their categories |
|  | 1 | FAIL | based on demonstrated password pictures and their categories |
|  | 2 | FAIL | based on demonstrated password pictures and similarity concept such |
| as colour, shape, category |  |  |  |

Table E1: Analysis and Observation Results (continued)

| No. of <br> Attackers | No. of <br> Attempts | Shoulder-Surfing And <br> Guessing Result | Attacking Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | 1 | FAIL | key logging |
|  | 2 | FAIL | based on demonstrated password pictures and similarity concept such |
|  |  |  |  |

## Appendix G

Table F1: Case Study Observation Results and Analysis

| Attempt | $\begin{gathered} \text { Group } \\ \text { No } \end{gathered}$ | Password Encoding Produced by the BPG System | Description/Observation Result |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | - | UserName:testing2 <br> Password: $\begin{aligned} & \{(3,5,[0,0,0])(5,7,[0,0,0])(6,5,[0,0,0])\}\{ \\ & (6,5,[0,0,0])(5,4,[0,0,0])(4,3,[0,0,0])(3,5 \\ & ,[0,0,0])\} \end{aligned}$ <br> Password Hashing value: <br> bbd063a23bb6c1b4b927955888e2758a | The password for testing2 consists of two penup events. <br> The first penup occurred at the following indicators: $(3,5,[0,0,0])(5,7,[0,0,0])(6,5,[0,0,0])$ <br> The second penup event occurred at the following indicators: $(6,5,[0,0,0])(5,4,[0,0,0])(4,3,[0,0,0])(3,5,[0,0,0])$ <br> The line indicator is a direct connection from $(3,5,[0,0,0])$ to $(5,7,[0,0,0])$ without going through the nearest neighbouring cell $(4,6,[0,0,0])$. |
| First <br> Second <br> Third | 2, 3, 5, <br> 10, 11, <br> 14,15 , <br> 18, 19 <br> $1,4,8$, <br> 14,15 , <br> 18, 19 <br> 13, 16 | UserName:testing2 <br> Password: $\begin{aligned} & \{(3,5,[0,0,0])(4,6,[0,0,0])(5,7,[0,0,0])(6, \\ & 5,[0,0,0])(5,4,[0,0,0])(4,3,[0,0,0])(3,5,[0 \\ & , 0,0])\} \end{aligned}$ <br> Password Hashing value: <br> c7aa8da023508e92b34cbfd89423512d <br> Percentage Matches: <br> 91\% | The shoulder-surfing attackers failed to login although they have produced the same password drawing. <br> Reason of failure: The shoulder-surfing attackers used one penup event to produce the password. <br> Correct password first penup encoding: $\{(3,5,[0,0,0])(5,7,[0,0,0])(6,5,[0,0,0])\}$ <br> second penup encoding: $\{(6,5,[0,0,0])(5,4,[0,0,0])(4,3,[0,0,0])(3,5,[0,0,0])\}$ <br> Attacker's password $\{(3,5,[0,0,0])(4,6,[0,0,0])(5,7,[0,0,0])(6,5,[0,0,0])(5,4,[0,0,0])(4,3,[0,0,0])$ $(3,5,[0,0,0])\}$ <br> Group no. $1,4,8,1314,15,16,18$ and 19 failed to login although hints for the BPG connectivity and penup event were notified after the first failure of logging in. <br> Group no. $14,15,18,19$ produced the same password encoding twice in the <br> first two attempts. <br> Group no. 13 and 16 failed to login in the third attempt although the importance of using the correct BPG connectivity and penup event were notified after the first two failure of logging in. |
| First <br> Second <br> Third | $\begin{aligned} & 6,12 \\ & 13,16 \\ & 17,20 \end{aligned}$ | UserName:testing2 <br> Password: $\begin{aligned} & \{(3,5,[0,0,0])(4,6,[0,0,0])\}\{(4,6,[0,0,0]) \\ & (5,7,[0,0,0])\}\{(5,7,[0,0,0])(6,5,[0,0,0])\} \\ & \{(6,5,[0,0,0])(5,4,[0,0,0])\}\{(5,4,[0,0,0]) \\ & (4,3,[0,0,0])\}\{(4,3,[0,0,0])(3,5,[0,0,0])\} \end{aligned}$ <br> Password Hashing value: <br> 8d377dd68e62ed69e8e70348458781e0 <br> Percentage Matches: <br> 58\% | The shoulder-surfing attackers failed to login although they have produced the same password drawing. <br> Reason of failure: The shoulder-surfing attackers (Group no. 4, 6, 12, 13, 16,17 and 20 ) used six penup event to produce the password. <br> Correct password <br> first penup encoding: <br> $\{(3,5,[0,0,0])(5,7,[0,0,0])(6,5,[0,0,0])\}$ <br> second penup encoding: <br> $\{(6,5,[0,0,0])(5,4,[0,0,0])(4,3,[0,0,0])(3,5,[0,0,0])\}$ <br> Attacker's password <br> first penup encoding: <br> $\{(3,5,[0,0,0])(4,6,[0,0,0])\}$ <br> second penup encoding: <br> $\{(4,6,[0,0,0])(5,7,[0,0,0])\}$ <br> third penup encoding: <br> $\{(5,7,[0,0,0])(6,5,[0,0,0])\}$ <br> forth penup encoding: <br> $\{(6,5,[0,0,0])(5,4,[0,0,0])\}$ <br> fifth penup encoding: <br> $\{(5,4,[0,0,0])(4,3,[0,0,0])\}$ <br> sixth penup encoding: <br> $\{(4,3,[0,0,0])(3,5,[0,0,0])\}$ |

Table F1: Case Study Observation Results and Analysis (continue)

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Attempt \& \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Group } \\
\text { No }
\end{gathered}
\] \& Password Encoding Produced by the BPG System \& Description/Observation Result \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
First \\
Second \\
Third
\end{tabular} \& 1,8

11 \& \begin{tabular}{l}
UserName:testing2 <br>
Password: <br>
$\{(3,5,[0,0,0])(4,6,[0,0,0])(5,7,[0,0,0])\}\{$ <br>
$(5,7,[0,0,0])(6,5,[0,0,0])\}\{(6,5,[0,0,0])($ <br>
$5,4,[0,0,0])(4,3,[0,0,0])\}\{(4,3,[0,0,0])(3$ <br>
,5,[0,0,0])\} <br>
Password Hashing value: <br>
428489767a29b6eb5e5042fdabaf8596 <br>
Percentage Matches: <br>
70\%

 \& 

The shoulder-surfing attackers failed to login although they had produced the same password drawing. <br>
Reason of failure: The shoulder-surfing attackers used four penup events to produce the password. <br>
Group no. 1 and 8 failed to login in the first attempt. <br>
Group no. 11 failed to login in the second attempt although suggestions about the connectivity and penup event were notified after the first failure of logging in. <br>
Group no. $4,6,8$ and 19 failed to login in the third attempt although the importance of using the correct BPG connectivity and penup event were notified after the first two failure of logging in. <br>
Correct password <br>
first penup encoding: <br>
$\{(3,5,[0,0,0])(5,7,[0,0,0])(6,5,[0,0,0])\}$ <br>
second penup encoding: <br>
$\{(6,5,[0,0,0])(5,4,[0,0,0])(4,3,[0,0,0])(3,5,[0,0,0])\}$ <br>
Attacker's password <br>
first penup encoding:

$$
\{(3,5,[0,0,0])(4,6,[0,0,0])(5,7,[0,0,0])\}
$$ <br>

second penup encoding:

$$
\{(5,7,[0,0,0])(6,5,[0,0,0])\}
$$ <br>

third penup encoding:

$$
\{(6,5,[0,0,0])(5,4,[0,0,0])(4,3,[0,0,0])\}
$$ <br>

forth penup encoding:

$$
\{(4,3,[0,0,0])(3,5,[0,0,0])\}
$$

\end{tabular} <br>

\hline | First |
| :--- |
| Second |
| Third | \& | $\text { 7, } 9$ |
| :--- |
| 10, 12 | \& | UserName:testing2 |
| :--- |
| Password: |
| $\{(3,5,[0,0,0])(4,6,[0,0,0])(5,7,[0,0,0])\}\{$ |
| $(5,7,[0,0,0])(6,5,[0,0,0])\}\{(6,5,[0,0,0])($ |
| $5,4,[0,0,0])\}\{(5,4,[0,0,0])(4,3,[0,0,0])(3$ |
| ,5,[0,0,0])\} |
| Password Hashing value: |
| 54d107eala320b2a54f8c97179c93935 |
| Percentage Matches: |
| 70\% | \& | The shoulder-surfing attackers failed to login although they had produced the same password drawing. |
| :--- |
| Reason of failure: The shoulder-surfing attackers used four penup events to produce the password. |
| Group no. 7 and 9 failed to login in the first attempt. |
| Group no. 10 and 12 failed to login in the second attempt although suggestions about the connectivity and penup event were notified after the first failure of logging in. |
| Group no. 20 failed to login in the third attempt although the importance of using the correct BPG connectivity and penup event were notified after the first two failure of logging in. |
| Correct password |
| first penup encoding: |
| $\{(3,5,[0,0,0])(5,7,[0,0,0])(6,5,[0,0,0])\}$ |
| second penup encoding: $\{(6,5,[0,0,0])(5,4,[0,0,0])(4,3,[0,0,0])(3,5,[0,0,0])\}$ |
| Attacker's password |
| first penup encoding: $\{(3,5,[0,0,0])(4,6,[0,0,0])(5,7,[0,0,0])\}$ |
| second penup encoding: $\{(5,7,[0,0,0])(6,5,[0,0,0])\}$ |
| third penup encoding: $\{(6,5,[0,0,0])(5,4,[0,0,0])\}$ |
| forth penup encoding: $\{(5,4,[0,0,0])(4,3,[0,0,0])(3,5,[0,0,0])\}$ | <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}

Table F1: Case Study Observation Results and Analysis (continue)

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Attempt \& \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Group } \\
\text { No }
\end{gathered}
\] \& Password Encoding Produced by the BPG System \& Description/Observation Result \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
First \\
Second \\
Third
\end{tabular} \& 9

2 \& \begin{tabular}{l}
UserName:testing2 <br>
Password: <br>
$\{(3,5,[0,0,0])(4,6,[0,0,0])\}\{(4,6,[0,0,0])$ <br>
$(5,7,[0,0,0])(6,5,[0,0,0])\}\{(6,5,[0,0,0])($ <br>
$5,4,[0,0,0])\}\{(5,4,[0,0,0])(4,3,[0,0,0])(3$ <br>
,5,[0,0,0])\} <br>
Password Hashing value: <br>
27b70a32fda5450bbae215eb1818339a <br>
Percentage Matches: <br>
70\%

 \& 

The shoulder-surfing attackers failed to login although they had produced the same password drawing. <br>
Reason of failure: The shoulder-surfing attackers used four penup events to produce the password. <br>
Group no. 9 and 2 failed to login in the second and third attempt respectively although suggestions and the importance of using the correct connectivity and penup event were notified after the after the first failure of logging in. <br>
Correct password <br>
first penup encoding:

$$
\{(3,5,[0,0,0])(5,7,[0,0,0])(6,5,[0,0,0])\}
$$ <br>

second penup encoding:

$$
\{(6,5,[0,0,0])(5,4,[0,0,0])(4,3,[0,0,0])(3,5,[0,0,0])\}
$$ <br>

Attacker's password <br>
first penup encoding:

$$
\{(3,5,[0,0,0])(4,6,[0,0,0])\}
$$ <br>

second penup encoding:

$$
\{(4,6,[0,0,0])(5,7,[0,0,0])(6,5,[0,0,0])\}
$$ <br>

third penup encoding:

$$
\{(6,5,[0,0,0])(5,4,[0,0,0])\}
$$ <br>

forth penup encoding:

$$
\{(5,4,[0,0,0])(4,3,[0,0,0])(3,5,[0,0,0])\}
$$

\end{tabular} <br>

\hline | First |
| :--- |
| Second |
| Third | \& 6

9 \& \begin{tabular}{l}
UserName:testing2 <br>
Password: <br>
$\{(3,5,[0,0,0])(5,7,[0,0,0])\}\{(5,7,[0,0,0])$ <br>
$(6,5,[0,0,0])(5,4,[0,0,0])(4,3,[0,0,0])\}\{($ <br>
$4,3,[0,0,0])(3,5,[0,0,0])\}$ <br>
Password Hashing value: <br>
72b850a2ca673cbf15620e808e7a8808 <br>
Percentage Matches: <br>
85\%

 \& 

The shoulder-surfing attackers failed to login although they had produced the same password drawing. <br>
Reason of failure: The shoulder-surfing attackers used three penup events to produce the password. <br>
Group no. 6 and 9 failed to login in the second and third attempt respectively although suggestions and the importance of using the correct connectivity and penup event were notified after the after the first failure of logging in. <br>
Correct password <br>
first penup encoding:

$$
\{(3,5,[0,0,0])(5,7,[0,0,0])(6,5,[0,0,0])\}
$$ <br>

second penup encoding:

$$
\{(6,5,[0,0,0])(5,4,[0,0,0])(4,3,[0,0,0])(3,5,[0,0,0])\}
$$ <br>

Attacker's password first penup encoding:

$$
\{(3,5,[0,0,0])(5,7,[0,0,0])\}
$$ <br>

second penup encoding:

$$
\{(5,7,[0,0,0])(6,5,[0,0,0])(5,4,[0,0,0])(4,3,[0,0,0])\}
$$ <br>

third penup encoding: <br>
$\{(4,3,[0,0,0])(3,5,[0,0,0])\}$
\end{tabular} <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}

Table F1: Case Study Observation Results and Analysis (continue)

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Attempt \& \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Group } \\
\text { No } \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
\] \& Password Encoding Produced by the BPG System \& Description/Observation Result \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
First \\
Second \\
Third
\end{tabular} \& \[
\begin{gathered}
20 \\
\\
\\
\\
1,3,12, \\
18
\end{gathered}
\] \& \begin{tabular}{l}
UserName:testing2 \\
Password:
\[
\begin{aligned}
\& \{(3,4,[0,0,0])(5,6,[0,0,0])(6,4,[0,0,0])(5, \\
\& 3,[0,0,0])(4,2,[0,0,0])\}\{(4,2,[0,0,0])(3,4 \\
\& ,[0,0,0])\}
\end{aligned}
\] \\
Password Hashing value: bb5b292437763d7f297199dd624915fc \\
Percentage Matches: 80\%
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
The shoulder-surfing attackers failed to login although they had produced the same password drawing. \\
Reason of failure: The shoulder-surfing attackers used two penup events. However, the connectivity among the indicators within the first and the second penup events were wrongly identified. \\
Group no. 20 failed to login in the second attempt although suggestions about the connectivity and penup event were notified after the first failure of logging in. \\
Group no. 1, 3, 12, and 18 failed to login in the third attempt although the importance of using the correct BPG connectivity and penup event were notified after the first failure of logging in. \\
Correct password \\
first penup encoding:
\[
\{(3,5,[0,0,0])(5,7,[0,0,0])(6,5,[0,0,0])\}
\] \\
second penup encoding:
\[
\{(6,5,[0,0,0])(5,4,[0,0,0])(4,3,[0,0,0])(3,5,[0,0,0])\}
\] \\
Attacker's password \\
first penup encoding:
\[
\{(3,4,[0,0,0])(5,6,[0,0,0])(6,4,[0,0,0])(5,3,[0,0,0])(4,2,[0,0,0])\}
\] \\
second penup encoding: \\
\(\{(4,2,[0,0,0])(3,4,[0,0,0])\}\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline First

Second

Third \& $7,16,17$

5,11 \& \begin{tabular}{l}
UserName:testing2 <br>
Password:
$$
\begin{aligned}
& \{(3,5,[0,0,0])(4,6,[0,0,0])(5,7,[0,0,0])\}\{ \\
& (5,7,[0,0,0])(6,5,[0,0,0])(5,4,[0,0,0])(4,3 \\
& ,[0,0,0])(3,5,[0,0,0])\}
\end{aligned}
$$ <br>
Password Hashing value: <br>
7d5093ee8b39c7266138494e4bd1ea41 <br>
Percentage Matches: <br>
85\%

 \& 

The shoulder-surfing attackers failed to login although they had produced the same password drawing. <br>
Reason of failure: The shoulder-surfing attackers used two penup events. However, the connectivity among the indicators within the first and the second penup events were wrongly identified. <br>
Group no. 7, 16 and 17 failed to login in the second attempt although hints were given. <br>
Group no. 5 and 11 failed to login in the third attempt although hints were provided. <br>
Correct password <br>
first penup encoding:

$$
\{(3,5,[0,0,0])(5,7,[0,0,0])(6,5,[0,0,0])\}
$$ <br>

second penup encoding:

$$
\{(6,5,[0,0,0])(5,4,[0,0,0])(4,3,[0,0,0])(3,5,[0,0,0])\}
$$ <br>

Attacker's password <br>
first penup encoding:

$$
\{(3,5,[0,0,0])(4,6,[0,0,0])(5,7,[0,0,0])\}
$$ <br>

second penup encoding: <br>
$\{(5,7,[0,0,0])(6,5,[0,0,0])(5,4,[0,0,0])(4,3,[0,0,0])(3,5,[0,0,0])\}$
\end{tabular} <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}

Table F1: Case Study Observation Results and Analysis (continue)

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Attempt \& Group No \& Password Encoding Produced by the BPG System \& Description/Observation Result <br>
\hline First

Second

Third \& 13

7,10 \& \begin{tabular}{l}
UserName:testing2 <br>
Password:
$$
\begin{aligned}
& \{(3,5,[0,0,0])(4,6,[0,0,0])(5,7,[0,0,0])(6, \\
& 5,[0,0,0])\}\{(6,5,[0,0,0])(5,4,[0,0,0])(4,3 \\
& ,[0,0,0])\}\{(4,3,[0,0,0])(3,5,[0,0,0])\}
\end{aligned}
$$ <br>
Password Hashing value: <br>
b6487c69dcef0762ff84f3626bf01a9c <br>
Percentage Matches: <br>
77\%

 \& 

The shoulder-surfing attackers failed to login although they had produced the same password drawing. <br>
Reason of failure: The shoulder-surfing attackers used three penup events. <br>
Group no. 13 failed to login in the second attempt although hints were given. <br>
Group no. 7 and 10 failed to login in the third attempt although hints were provided. <br>
Correct password <br>
first penup encoding: <br>
$\{(3,5,[0,0,0])(5,7,[0,0,0])(6,5,[0,0,0])\}$ <br>
second penup encoding: <br>
$\{(6,5,[0,0,0])(5,4,[0,0,0])(4,3,[0,0,0])(3,5,[0,0,0])\}$ <br>
Attacker's password <br>
first penup encoding: <br>
$\{(3,5,[0,0,0])(4,6,[0,0,0])(5,7,[0,0,0])(6,5,[0,0,0])\}$ <br>
second penup encoding: <br>
$\{(6,5,[0,0,0])(5,4,[0,0,0])(4,3,[0,0,0])\}$ <br>
third penup encoding: <br>
$\{(4,3,[0,0,0])(3,5,[0,0,0])\}$
\end{tabular} <br>

\hline First

Second

Third \& 3,5 \& \begin{tabular}{l}
UserName:testing2 <br>
Password:
$$
\begin{aligned}
& \{(3,5,[0,0,0])(4,6,[0,0,0])(5,7,[0,0,0])(6, \\
& 5,[0,0,0])(5,4,[0,0,0])(4,3,[0,0,0])\}\{(4,3 \\
& ,[0,0,0])(3,5,[0,0,0])\}
\end{aligned}
$$ <br>
Password Hashing value: <br>
70b8a93c71a9e660ed032f6f83779717 <br>
Percentage Matches: <br>
82\%

 \& 

The shoulder-surfing attackers failed to login although they had produced the same password drawing. <br>
Reason of failure: The shoulder-surfing attackers used two penup events. However, the connectivity among the indicators within the first and second penup events were wrongly identified. <br>
Group no. 3 and 5 failed to login in the third attempt although hints were given. <br>
Group no. 15 and 17 failed to login in the third attempt although clues were provided. <br>
Correct password <br>
first penup encoding:

$$
\{(3,5,[0,0,0])(5,7,[0,0,0])(6,5,[0,0,0])\}
$$ <br>

second penup encoding: <br>
$\{(6,5,[0,0,0])(5,4,[0,0,0])(4,3,[0,0,0])(3,5,[0,0,0])\}$ <br>
Attacker's password <br>
first penup encoding:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \{(3,5,[0,0,0])(4,6,[0,0,0])(5,7,[0,0,0])(6,5,[0,0,0])(5,4,[0,0,0])(4,3,[0,0,0]) \\
& \} \\
& \text { second penup encoding: } \\
& \{(4,3,[0,0,0])(3,5,[0,0,0])\}
\end{aligned}
$$

\end{tabular} <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}

Table F1: Case Study Observation Results and Analysis (continue)


