

**COMPARATIVE GENOMIC ANALYSIS OF
VIBRIO CHOLERA AND ITS
COLONIZATION FACTORS**

CINDY TEH SHUAN JU

**THESIS SUBMITTED IN FULFILMENT OF
THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE
OF DOCTOR OF PHILISOPHY**

**INSTITUTE OF BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES
FACULTY OF SCIENCE
UNIVERSITY OF MALAYA
KUALA LUMPUR**

2011

UNIVERSITI MALAYA

ORIGINAL LITERARY WORK DECLARATION

Name of Candidate: Cindy Teh Shuan Ju

I.C/Passport No.: 820129-01-5654

Registration /Matric No: SHC080021

Name of Degree: Doctor of Philosophy (PhD)

Title of Project Paper/Research Report/ Dissertation/ Thesis (“this Work”):

Comparative Genomic Analysis of *Vibrio cholerae* and its Colonization Factors

Field of Study: Molecular Microbiology

I do solemnly and sincerely declare that:

- (1) I am the sole author/writer of this Work;
- (2) This Work is original;
- (3) Any use of any work in which copyright exists was done by way of fair dealing and for permitted purposes and any excerpt or extract from, or reference to or reproduction of any copyright work has been disclosed expressly and sufficiently and the title of the Work and its authorship have been acknowledged in this Work;
- (4) I do not have any actual knowledge nor do I ought reasonably to know that the making of this work constitutes an infringement of any copyright work;
- (5) I hereby assign all and every rights in the copyright to this Work to the University of Malaya (“UM”), who henceforth shall be owner of the copyright in this Work and that any reproduction or use in any form or by any means whatsoever is prohibited without the written consent of UM having been first had and obtained;
- (6) I am fully aware that if in the course of making this Work I have infringed any copyright whether intentionally or otherwise, I may be subject to legal action or any other action as may be determined by UM.

Candidate’s Signature

Date:

Subscribed and solemnly declared before,

Witness’s Signature

Date:

Name:

Designation:

Abstract

Vibrio cholerae, the causative agent of cholera, is endemic in Malaysia and is spread through the ingestion of contaminated food or water. The aims of this study were to develop a multiple PCR assay for differentiation of *V. cholerae* from other *Vibrio* species; to compare the efficiency of PCR assay with conventional biochemical tests and API 20E.; to characterize the strains based on their biotypes, serogroups, and virulotype by using a multiplex PCR assay; to determine the genetic relatedness of strains by using genotyping methods such as RAPD-PCR, ERIC-PCR, REP-PCR, MLVA, PFGE, MLST and MVLST; to study the virulence factors which cause colonization in different serogroups of *V. cholerae*; and the influence of host environment for colonization. Four pairs of primers were designed for differential detection of sister groups of *Vibrio* species. Strains tested were differentiated into *V. cholerae* (493/338 bp), *V. parahaemolyticus* (493/409 bp), *V. vulnificus* (493/656 bp), *Vibrio* species (493 bp), and non-*Vibrio* (no amplification) based on *pntA* and *gyrB* genes. This multiplex PCR assay was more sensitive and specific than API 20E identification assay. Another multiplex PCR assay based on *ompW*, *hlyA*, *orf* complex, *toxR*, *ctxA*, *tcpI* for *V. cholerae* biotyping, serogrouping and virulotyping was developed and tested on 43 *V. cholerae* strains. A total of 22 El Tor O1 and one O139 *V. cholerae* that harboured all virulence genes were identified. One El Tor O1 *V. cholerae* presented identical virulotype to 17 other non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae*, while the *tcpI* gene was detected in two non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae*. The 43 strains were also subtyped into 38, 40, 35, 30, 35, 38, 29 and 27 profiles by RAPD-PCR, ERIC-PCR, REP-PCR, VCR-PCR, PFGE, MLVA, MLST and MVLST, respectively with discriminatory power ranging from 0.910 to 0.996. Overall, genetic diversity of non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* strains was high while some of the O1 strains were indistinguishable. However,

the unrelated strains which shared the same profiles were distinguished based on the combined analyses of the eight genotyping methods. However, each method possesses its own limitations. MLST and MVLST gave precise description of point mutation but were expensive. Overall, MLVA developed in this study remains the most suitable genotyping methods based on discriminatory ability, ease of operation, cost, timeline and data management. However, a combination of several genotyping methods may overcome the inefficiency of each single method and therefore able to distinguish unrelated strains. Finally, clinical and environmental O1 strains could colonize the mouse intestines but prolonged colonization was only observed with environmental strains which showed upregulated expression of *rtxA* and *hlyA* genes. The *tcpI*⁺ non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* strain was a more efficient colonizer while the non-toxicogenic O1 *V. cholerae* could colonize the mouse intestine once the virulence genes were favourably enriched and ‘turn-on’ in the host environment. In conclusion, this study provided alternative approaches for rapid differentiation of *V. cholerae* from other pathogenic *Vibrio* species, as well as to biotype, serogroup and virulotype the *V. cholerae* strains. Regardless of serogroups, year, source and location of isolation, all the unrelated strains were distinguishable and therefore suggests a high diversity of *V. cholerae* population in Malaysia. Different traits of strains possess different colonization ability and *tcpI* gene might be the key regulator for colonization in non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae*. However, colonization in non-toxicogenic O1 *V. cholerae* might be facilitated once the virulence genes were ‘enriched’ in the host environment.

Abstrak

Vibrio cholerae merupakan agen penyebab kolera, adalah endemik di Malaysia dan tersebar melalui pengambilan makanan atau air yang tercemar. Terdapat beberapa tujuan dalam menjalankan kajian ini, terutamanya menghasilkan beberapa kaedah PCR untuk membezakan *V. cholerae* daripada spesis *Vibrio* yang lain serta membandingkan kecekapan PCR dengan ujian biokimia konvensional dan API 20E (suatu kit untuk pengenalan bakteria). Kajian ini juga bertujuan untuk menghasilkan suatu PCR multipleks yang boleh membezakan strain-strain dari berbagai ciri biokimia, jenis kumpulan serologi, dan gen kevirulenan. Seterusnya, perkaitan genetik antara strain disiasat dengan menggunakan kaedah genotip seperti RAPD-PCR, ERIC-PCR, REP-PCR, MLVA, PFGE, MLST dan MVLST. Selain itu, faktor kevirulenan yang menyebabkan gastroenteritidis bagi strain-strain *V. cholerae* yang berbeza kumpulan serologi juga dikaji. Keseluruhannya, empat pasang primer telah direka untuk mengesan perbezaan spesies *Vibrio* yang berlainan. PCR multipleks ini mampu membezakan *V. cholerae* (493/338 bp), *V. parahaemolyticus* (493/409 bp), *V. vulnificus* (493/656 bp), *Vibrio* species (493 bp), dan juga organisma bukan-*Vibrio* (tiada amplifikasi) berdasarkan gen *pntA* dan *gyrB*. PCR multipleks ini menunjukkan kepekaan dan kekhususan sebanyak 1.0 jika dibandingkan dengan ujian biokimia konvensional dan API 20E dalam pengesanan *V. cholerae*. Ujian biokimia konvensional juga didapati lebih berkesan dan khusus berbanding dengan API 20E. Di samping itu, PCR multipleks berdasarkan gen-gen *ompW*, *hlyA*, kompleks *orf*, *toxR*, *ctxA*, dan *tcpI* untuk membezakan *V. cholerae* telah dihasilkan. Sebanyak 22 El Tor O1 dan satu *V. cholerae* O139 yang mengandungi semua gen virulens telah dikenalpasti. Satu El Tor *V. cholerae* O1 telah menunjukkan jenis kevirulenan yang sama dengan 17 strain non-O1/non-O139. Gen *tcpI* dikesan dalam dua *V. cholerae* non-O1/non-O139. Empat puluh

tiga strain juga dibahagikan kepada 38, 40, 35, 30, 35, 38, 29 dan 27 corak dengan menggunakan RAPD-PCR, ERIC-PCR, REP-PCR, VCR-PCR, PFGE, MLVA, MLST dan MVLST, masing-masing. Kuasa pembezaan bernilai antara 0.910-0.996. Secara keseluruhan, kepelbagaian genetik strain *V. cholerae* non-O1/non-O139 adalah tinggi sementara terdapat beberapa strain O1 yang tidak dapat dibezakan. Strain-strain tertentu yang berkongsi jenis corak tersebut kemudiannya dibezakan berdasarkan analisis gabungan dari lapan kaedah genotip. Selain itu, setiap kaedah mempunyai kelemahannya. MLST dan MVLST memberikan gambaran tepat dari segi mutasi titik tetapi berkongsi tinggi. Secara keseluruhan, MLVA yang dihasilkan dalam kajian ini masih merupakan kaedah yang paling sesuai untuk menaipkan genotip *V. cholerae* berdasarkan kemampuan diskriminasi, kemudahan operasi, kos, masa dan pengurusan data. Walaubagaimanapun, penggabungan beberapa kaedah menaip genotipik dapat mengatasi ketidakcekapan daripada sesuatu kaedah dan strain yang tidak berkaitan dari segi genetik dapat dibezakan. Sementara itu, strain O1 dari klinikal dan persekitaran menunjukkan penjangkapan usus tikus tapi penjangkapan berpanjangan hanya didapati pada strain persekitaran yang menunjukkan pengekspresan gen *rtxA* dan *hlyA* yang tinggi. Strain *V. cholerae* bukan serogroup O1/O139 yang mengandungi *tcpI* adalah agen gastroenteritidis yang lebih kuat sedangkan strain O1 yang tidak toksik dapat menjangkit usus tikus selepas gen kevirulenan diperkayakan dan dimulakan dalam persekitaran perumah. Sebagai kesimpulan, kajian ini telah memberikan pendekatan alternatif untuk membezakan *V. cholerae* daripada *Vibrio* spesies lain yang berpatogenik selain daripada membezakan strain-strain *V. cholerae* yang berlainan ciri biokimia, kumpulan serologi dan kevirulenan dengan cekap. Semua strain yang tidak berkaitan dapat dibezakan dalam kajian ini dan menyarankan genetik kepelbagaian yang tinggi dalam populasi *V. cholerae* di Malaysia. Strain yang bersifat berlainan mempunyai kemampuan penjangkitan usus yang berbeza dan gen *tcpI* diyakinkan adalah salah satu

faktor di kalangan *V. cholerae* yang non-O1/non-O139 untuk menyebabkan gastroenteritidis. Sementara itu, penjajahan usus bagi *V. cholerae* O1 yang tidak berpatogenik dapat diamati selepas gen-gen kevirulenan 'diperkayakan' dalam persekitaran tuan rumah.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to extend my sincere gratitude to my supervisor, Professor Dr. Thong Kwai Lin for her valuable guidance, supervision throughout the whole research study and providing all necessary facilities to carry out this study. Her encouragement and guidance are very much appreciated. Besides, I would like to thank my co-supervisor, Dr. Chua Kek Heng for his supervision, valuable comments and helpful advises during the study.

I would like to thank my fellow lab mates, King Ting, for her suggestion, assistance, and motivation in writing this thesis; Boon Hong, Junie, Shabnam, Soo Tein, Wing Sze, Xiu Pei, Lai Kuan, Javad, Hosein and Omar for their kind helps and supports.

This thesis is dedicated to my beloved husband, father and mother. I would like to express my appreciation to my husband, Chun Wie for his persistent support, suggestions, and never-ending encouragement. A special thank to my father who provided me the inspiration to pursue my study, his all-time support, and pushing me to complete this thesis in time. I am grateful to my mother for her kind help, and being the great listener and my motivator in doing research and writing this thesis. To my father and mother-in-law, thank you for taking care of my son, so that I could concentrate in my research and thesis writing.

Finally, I would like to acknowledge IMR for contributing strains in this study. This study is financed by Science & Technology Research Grant from Malaysia Toray Science Foundation (MTSF) 2009, and Universiti Malaya for the scholarship and PPP grant (PS250/2008A).

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE	i
DECLARATION	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
ABSTRAK	v
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	viii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	ix
LIST OF FIGURES	xviii
LIST OF TABLES	xxi
LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS	xxiii
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Research Background	1
1.2 Objectives	3

CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1	<i>Vibrio cholerae</i>	5
2.2	Cholera	9
2.3	<i>V. cholerae</i> and other <i>Vibrio</i> spp.	10
2.4	Current methods for identification and confirmation of <i>V. cholerae</i>	11
2.4.1	Cultured-based identification	12
2.4.2	Biochemical tests	13
2.4.3	Polymerase chain reaction (PCR)	15
2.5	Molecular studies and characterization of <i>V. cholerae</i>	16
2.5.1	PCR-based fingerprinting of <i>V. cholerae</i>	16
2.5.2	Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE)	18
2.5.3	Multilocus variable number of repeats analysis (MLVA)	18
2.5.4	Multilocus sequencing typing (MLST)	19
2.6	Colonization factors and gene expression studies	20

CHAPTER THREE: DEVELOPMENT OF A MULTIPLEX PCR ASSAY FOR *VIBRIO CHOLERAE*, *VIBRIO PARAHAEMOLYTICUS*, *VIBRIO VULNIFICUS* AND *VIBRIO* SPECIES DIFFERENTIATION

3.1	Background	23
3.2	Materials and Methods	25
3.2.1	Bacterial strains	25
3.2.2	Oligonucleotide primer design for <i>V. cholerae</i> , <i>V. parahaemolyticus</i> , <i>V. vulnificus</i> , and other <i>Vibrio</i> species detection	25

3.2.3	DNA template preparation	27
3.2.4	Monoplex PCR for detection of <i>V. cholerae</i> , <i>V. parahaemolyticus</i> , <i>V. vulnificus</i> , and other <i>Vibrio</i> species	27
3.2.5	Agarose gel electrophoresis	28
3.2.6	DNA extraction from agarose gel	28
3.2.7	Confirmation of sequences amplified	29
3.2.8	Optimization of multiplex PCR for differentiation of <i>V. cholerae</i> , <i>V. parahaemolyticus</i> , <i>V. vulnificus</i> , and other <i>Vibrio</i> species	30
3.2.9	Sensitivity test of the multiplex PCR for differentiation of <i>Vibrio cholerae</i> , <i>Vibrio parahaemolyticus</i> , <i>Vibrio vulnificus</i> and <i>Vibrio</i> species	30
3.2.10	Specificity of <i>gyrB</i> compared with <i>dnaJ</i> for <i>Vibrio</i> species detection	31
3.3	Results	31
3.3.1	Oligonucleotide Design	31
3.3.2	Monoplex PCR for detection <i>V. cholerae</i> , <i>V. parahaemolyticus</i> , <i>V. vulnificus</i> , and other <i>Vibrio</i> species	34
3.3.3	Sequence Analysis	35
3.3.4	Optimization of a Multiplex PCR for differentiation of <i>V. cholerae</i> , <i>V. parahaemolyticus</i> , <i>V. vulnificus</i> , and other <i>Vibrio</i> species	35
3.3.5	Sensitivity of the multiplex PCR	40
3.3.4	Comparison of the specificity of <i>gyrB</i> and <i>dnaJ</i> primers	40
3.4	Discussion	44

CHAPTER FOUR: COMPARISON OF PCR, BIOCHEMICAL TESTS AND API 20E FOR DETECTION OF AQUATIC *VIBRIO CHOLERAE* IN MALAYSIA

4.1	Background	46
4.2	Materials and Methods	47
4.2.1	Samples collection and <i>V. cholerae</i> strains isolation	47
4.2.2	Biochemical tests	47
4.2.3	API 20E identification	48
4.2.4	DNA extraction and PCR differentiation of <i>V. cholerae</i> from other <i>Vibrio</i> species	49
4.2.5	The evaluation of effectiveness of biochemical tests, API 20E and <i>pntA/gyrB</i> -based multiplex PCR	50
4.3	Results	51
4.3.1	Biochemical Tests	51
4.3.2	API 20E	57
4.3.3	<i>gyrB/pntA</i> -based multiplex PCR for detection of <i>V. cholerae</i>	59
4.3.4	Evaluation of specificity and sensitivity for biochemical tests, API 20E and <i>gyrB/pntA</i> -based multiplex PCR	63
4.4	Discussion	65

CHAPTER FIVE: DEVELOPMENT OF A MULTIPLEX PCR FOR BIOTYPING, SEROGROUPING AND VIRULOTYPING OF *VIBRIO CHOLERAE*

5.1	Background	69
5.2	Materials and methods	71
5.2.1	Bacterial strains and template preparation	71
5.2.2	Primer design for <i>hlyA</i> gene	71

5.2.3	Conventional Voges-Proskauer (VP) test and Agglutination test	72
5.2.4	Optimization of multiplex PCR based on <i>hlyA</i> , <i>ompW</i> , <i>toxR</i> , <i>tcpI</i> , <i>ctxA</i> , and <i>orf</i> complex markers	73
5.2.5	Sensitivity of the multiplex PCR	75
5.3	Results	76
5.3.1	Specificity of the <i>hlyA</i> primer	76
5.3.2	Biotypes and serogroups determination	78
5.3.3	Multiplex PCR for biotyping, serogrouping and virulotyping	78
5.3.4	Sensitivity of the multiplex PCR	88
5.4	Discussion	88

CHAPTER SIX: COMPARISON OF PCR-BASED FINGERPRINTING FOR RELATEDNESS STUDY OF *VIBRIO CHOLERAE*

6.1	Background	91
6.2	Materials and Methods	92
6.2.1	Bacterial Strains	92
6.2.2	DNA preparation for PCR	92
6.2.3	Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA (RAPD)-PCR	92
6.2.4	Enterobacterial Repetitive Intergenic Consensus sequence (ERIC)-PCR	93
6.2.5	Repetitive Extragenic Palindromic (REP)-PCR	93
6.2.6	<i>Vibrio cholerae</i> Repeats (VCR)-PCR	94
6.2.7	Analysis of PCR amplicons	94
6.2.8	Analysis of fingerprinting patterns	94

6.2.9	Comparison of PCR-based fingerprinting methods	95
6.3	Results	95
6.3.1	RAPD-PCR	95
6.3.2	ERIC-PCR	98
6.3.3	REP-PCR	101
6.3.4	VCR-PCR	104
6.3.5	Comparison of the methods based on typeability, reproducibility, stability and discriminatory power	107
6.4	Discussion	109

CHAPTER SEVEN: DEVELOPMENT OF MULTIPLE-LOCUS VARIABLE-NUMBER OF TANDEM REPEAT ANALYSIS (MLVA) FOR RELATEDNESS STUDY OF *VIBRIO CHOLERA*E IN COMPARISON WITH PULSED-FIELD GEL ELECTROPHORESIS (PFGE)

7.1	Background	112
7.2	Materials and Methods	113
7.2.1	Bacterial Strains	113
7.2.2	DNA preparation for PCR	113
7.2.3	VNTR search and primers design	113
7.2.4	PCR and capillary electrophoresis	114
7.2.5	Data analysis for MLVA	115
7.2.6	Pulsed-Field Gel Electrophoresis (PFGE)	115
7.3	Result	117
7.3.1	MLVA	117

7.3.2	Application of MLVA on <i>V. cholerae</i> strains	120
7.3.3	PFGE Analysis	122
7.4	Discussion	125

**CHAPTER EIGHT: MULTILOCUS SEQUENCING TYPING (MLST) AND
MULTI-VIRULENCE-LOCUS SEQUENCING TYPING (MVLST) ASSAYS FOR
GENE VARIATION STUDY OF *VIBRIO CHOLERAE***

8.1	Background	128
8.2	Materials and Methods	129
8.2.1	Bacterial Strains	129
8.2.2	DNA preparation for PCR	129
8.2.3	MLST Analysis	130
8.2.4	MVLST Analysis	132
8.2.5	DNA extraction from agarose gel and DNA sequencing	132
8.2.6	Data Analysis	135
8.2.7	Nucleotide sequence accession numbers	136
8.3	Results	136
8.3.1	Allelic polymorphism of housekeeping genes	136
8.3.2	Allelic polymorphism of virulence and virulence-associated genes	142
8.3.3	Cluster Analysis of <i>V. cholerae</i> based on MLST, MVLST and combined analysis	143
8.4	Discussion	149

CHAPTER NINE: *IN-VIVO* GENE EXPRESSION STUDY FOR STRAINS OF DIFFERENT SEROGROUPS AND THE HOST ENVIRONMENTAL INFLUENCES

9.1	Background	153
9.2	Materials and Methods	155
9.2.1	Strains selection and mouse inoculation	155
9.2.2	RNA isolation from bacterial culture and mouse intestines	156
9.2.3	Reverse-transcription (RT)-PCR	157
9.2.4	Detection of virulence genes by conventional PCR	157
9.2.5	Comparative Expression Study	157
9.3	Result	158
9.3.1	Colonization and observation for fluid accumulation	158
9.3.2	Detection of <i>V. cholerae</i> from stools	159
9.3.3	PCR detection of virulence genes before and after inoculation	160
9.3.4	Genes expression via Real-time PCR	163
9.4	Discussion	165

CHAPTER TEN: GENERAL DISCUSSION 170

CHAPTER ELEVEN: CONCLUSIONS 181

CHAPTER TWELVE: REFERENCES 183

APPENDIX A 194

APPENDIX B	196
APPENDIX C	201
APPENDIX D	202
APPENDIX E	203
APPENDIX F	204

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 3.1	Monoplex PCR targeting <i>gyrB</i> for <i>Vibrio</i> species and <i>pntA</i> for <i>V. parahaemolyticus</i> , <i>V. cholerae</i> and <i>V. vulnificus</i> .	34
Figure 3.2	First optimization of multiplex PCR for differential detection of <i>V. cholerae</i> , <i>V. parahaemolyticus</i> , <i>V. vulnificus</i> and <i>Vibrio</i> species.	35
Figure 3.3	Second optimization of multiplex PCR for differential detection of <i>V. cholerae</i> , <i>V. parahaemolyticus</i> , <i>V. vulnificus</i> and <i>Vibrio</i> species.	37
Figure 3.4	Third optimization of multiplex PCR for differential detection of <i>V. cholerae</i> , <i>V. parahaemolyticus</i> , <i>V. vulnificus</i> and <i>Vibrio</i> species.	38
Figure 3.5	Fourth optimization of multiplex PCR for differential detection of <i>V. cholerae</i> , <i>V. parahaemolyticus</i> , <i>V. vulnificus</i> and <i>Vibrio</i> species.	39
Figure 4.1	Representative agarose gel showing the PCR results based on <i>pntA</i> and <i>gyrB</i> gene.	59
Figure 4.2	Representative agarose gel showing the PCR results based on <i>ompW</i> gene.	63
Figure 5.1	Monoplex PCR targeting El Tor and Classical <i>hlyA</i> gene.	76
Figure 5.2	Sequence alignment for the <i>hlyA</i> amplicons of El Tor and Classical strains.	77
Figure 5.3	First optimization of multiplex PCR for biotyping, serogrouping and virulotyping.	79
Figure 5.4	Second optimization of multiplex PCR for biotyping, serogrouping and virulotyping.	80
Figure 5.5	Third optimization of multiplex PCR for biotyping, serogrouping and virulotyping.	81
Figure 6.1	Representative agarose gels of RAPD profiles of <i>V. cholerae</i> strains using primer 1281.	96
Figure 6.2	Dendrograms derived from banding patterns of RAPD-PCR for 43 <i>V. cholerae</i> strains.	97

Figure 6.3	Representative agarose gels of ERIC profiles of <i>V. cholerae</i> strains using ERIC 1R and ERIC 2.	99
Figure 6.4	Dendrograms derived from banding patterns of ERIC-PCR for 43 <i>V. cholerae</i> strains.	100
Figure 6.5	Representative agarose gels of REP profiles of <i>V. cholerae</i> strains using primer REP.	102
Figure 6.6	Dendrograms derived from banding patterns of REP-PCR for 43 <i>V. cholerae</i> strains.	103
Figure 6.7	Representative agarose gels of VCR profiles of <i>V. cholerae</i> strains using primer VCR.	105
Figure 6.8	Dendrograms derived from banding patterns of VCR-PCR for 43 Malaysian <i>V. cholerae</i> strains.	106
Figure 7.1	Electropherogram showing separation of four fragments for <i>V. cholerae</i> O1 isolates (52/08) from multiplex 1 defined by the height and colours of the peaks	118
Figure 7.2	Electropherogram showing separation of four fragments for <i>V. cholerae</i> O1 isolates (52/08) from multiplex 2 defined by the height and colours of the peaks	118
Figure 7.3	Dendrogram derived from the 38 MLVA profiles identified among 43 <i>V. cholerae</i> strains.	121
Figure 7.4	<i>NotI</i> -PFGE profiles of representative <i>V. cholerae</i> strains.	123
Figure 7.5	Dendrogram generated using PFGE profiles showing the relatedness of 43 <i>V. cholerae</i> strains.	124
Figure 8.1	Mutation points of the 12 genes analyzed in MLST and MVLST.	138
Figure 8.2	Dendrogram generated for the MLST analysis showing the relatedness of 43 <i>V. cholerae</i> strains.	145
Figure 8.3	Dendrogram generated for the MVLST analysis showing the relatedness of 43 <i>V. cholerae</i> strains.	147
Figure 8.4	MST generated with the combine analysis of MLST and MVLST showing the relatedness of 43 Malaysian <i>V. cholerae</i> strains.	148
Figure 9.1	Intestines removed from the mice infected with different variants of strains showing gas and fluid accumulations compared with the non-infected mouse.	159

Figure 9.2	Agarose gels showing the results of PCR performed on the stools collected from the mice.	160
Figure 9.3	Agarose gels showing the results of PCR carried out on the cDNA (after inoculation).	161
Figure 9.4	The regulation of expressed genes for the five strains.	164

LIST OF TABLE

Table 2.1	Recorded pandemics for cholera, sources and extent of pandemics, and the associated <i>V. cholerae</i> strains	8
Table 3.1	Oligonucleotide primers designed and used for differentiation of <i>V. cholerae</i> , <i>V. parahaemolyticus</i> , <i>V. vulnificus</i> , and other <i>Vibrio</i> species	33
Table 3.2	Comparison results between multiplex PCR based on the <i>gyrB</i> and <i>pntA</i> genes with the <i>dnaJ</i> -based PCR on <i>Vibrio</i> species and other bacteria strains	42
Table 4.1	Biochemical characteristic of the 37 presumptive <i>V. cholerae</i> isolates from water and algae samples.	53
Table 4.2	API 20E ID and percentage of identity of the 37 presumptive <i>V. cholerae</i> isolates from water and algae samples.	57
Table 4.3	<i>gyrB</i> and <i>pntA</i> -based multiplex PCR results for the 37 presumptive <i>V. cholerae</i> isolates from water and algae samples.	60
Table 4.4	Binary classification table showing the calculation of specificity, sensitivity for biochemical test.	64
Table 4.5	Binary classification table showing the calculation of specificity, sensitivity for <i>gyrB/pntA</i> -based multiplex PCR.	64
Table 4.6	Binary classification table showing the calculation of specificity, sensitivity for API 20E test.	65
Table 5.1	Primers used for biotyping, serogrouping and virulotyping study.	74
Table 5.2	Comparison of conventional method and multiplex PCR for biotyping, serogrouping of <i>V. cholerae</i> .	83
Table 6.1	Comparison of RAPD-PCR, ERIC-PCR, REP-PCR, and VCR-PCR based on typeability, reproducibility, stability and discriminatory power.	108
Table 7.1	Characteristics of <i>Vibrio cholerae</i> VNTR loci in the MLVA study.	119
Table 8.1	Primers used for MLST of <i>V. cholerae</i> strains, numbers of alleles and discriminatory indices for different genes.	131

Table 8.2	Primers used for MVLST of <i>V. cholerae</i> strains, numbers of alleles and discriminatory indices for different genes.	133
Table 8.3	Allelic Type for analyzed genes and sequence type for MLST, MVLST and combined analyses.	139
Table 9.1	Strains, sources of isolation, and virulence profiles of the five <i>V. cholerae</i> strains based on conventional PCR	162
Table 10.1	Genotype profiles for the <i>Vibrio cholerae</i> strains based on RAPD-PCR, ERIC-PCR, REP-PCR, VCR-PCR, PFGE, MLVA, MLST and MVLST.	174

LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS

bp	base pair
cfu	colony forming unit
ddH ₂ O	double distilled water
DNA	deoxyribonucleic acid
dNTP	deoxynucleotide
EDTA	ethylenediamine-tetraacetic acid
ERIC	enterobacterial repetitive intergenic consensus
IMR	Institute for Medical Research
kb	kilobase
LB	Luria Bertani
M	molar
MgCl ₂	magnesium chloride
min	minute
ml	millilitre
MLVA	multiple-locus variable-number of tandem repeat analysis
mM	milimolar
NaCl	sodium chloride
ng	nanogram
OD	optical density
PCR	polymerase chain reaction
PFGE	pulse-field gel electrophoresis
RAPD	random amplified polymorphic DNA
REP	repetitive extragenic palindromic

rpm	revolutions per minute
RT	room temperature
<i>Taq</i> DNA polymerase	<i>Thermus aquaticus</i> DNA polymerase
TBE	Tris-borate EDTA
TCP	toxin-coregulated pili
TE	Tris-EDTA
Tris-HCl	Tris-hydrochloric acid
T _m	melting temperature
U	unit
UV	ultraviolet
V	volt
VCR	<i>V. cholerae</i> repeats
VPI	<i>Vibrio cholerae</i> pathogenicity island
WHO	World Health Organization
w/v	weight/volume
~	approximately
°C	degree Celcius
=	equals to
<	less than
>	more than
%	percent
μl	microlitre
μg	microgram
μM	micromolar