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## ABSTRACT

A numerical algorithm is formulated to solve the first order, nonlinear differential equations that describe a multistage flash evaporator. The nonlinearities appearing in the formulation of the algorithm are products of up to three terms with each term being a dependent variable raised to some power.

To develop the algorithm, the first order differential equations are written in integral form. The dependent variables are then assumed to have a purely exponential dependence over a finite time step thereby allowing for the explicit integration of all terms. The solution of the differential equations is then reduced to the determination of the exponential dependences. The exponential dependences are determined by an iterative method.

A computer code based upon the aforementioned algorithm was written. Before the algorithm was used to obtain solutions to a flash evaporator system, it was applied to several differential equations with known solutions. The algorithm was then used to obtain solutions for two perturbations in the twenty-third order system that describes a three stage flash evaporator. These solutions are compared with solutions obtained by other methods.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER PAGE
I. INTRODUCTION ..... 1
Purpose of the Study ..... 1
Previous Use of the Exponential Algorithm and Its Extension to Nonlinear Differen- tial Equations ..... 2
II. FLASH EVAPORATORS ..... 4
A Description of a Three Stage Flash
Evaporator and the Variables Used
to Describe a Multistage Flash Evaporator ..... 4
The Differential Equations Used to Describe
a Three Stage Flash Evaporator ..... 6
III. THE NUMERICAL ALGORITHM ..... 15
Development of the Finite Differenced
Equations ..... 15
Determination of the Exponential Parameters ..... 21
IV. NUMERICAL RESULTS ..... 26
Introduction ..... 26
A Numerical Solution to the Modified Bessel's
Equation ..... 26
Numerical Solutions to Van der Pol's Equation. ..... 29
Numerical Solutions of Three Stage Evaporator
System ..... 30
CHAPTER PAGE
v. CONCLUSIONS ..... 47
BIBLIOGRAPHY . ..... 49
APPENDIXES ..... 52
A. TERMINOLOGY USED FOR THE FLASH EVAPORATOR ..... 53
B. THE COMPUTER CODE ..... 56
VITA ..... 77

## LIST OF TABLES

TABLEPAGE1. Definition of the Variables Used in the Three
Stage Evaporator Model ..... 7
2. Solutions to Modified Bessel's Equation for
$v=1, w(l)=1$, and $d w(l) / d t=1$ ..... 28
3. Tabulated Results for a $10^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. Step Change in the
Steam Heater Temperature of a Three Stage
Flash Evaporator ..... 34
4. Tabulated Results for a $20 \%$ Step Change in the
Brine Flowrate of a Three Stage Flash
Evaporator ..... 37
5. Schematic Diagram of Three Stage Evaporator System. ..... 5
6. Block Diagram for Determination of Exponential
Parameters. ..... 24
7. Solutions to Van der Pol's Equation for $y(0)=2$ and $d y(0) / d x=0$. ..... 31
8. Transient Response of Vapor Mass in Stage 1 for a $10^{\circ}$ F Step Change in the Steam Heater Temperature of a Three Stage Flash Evaporator . . . . . . . . . . . . 405. Transient Response of Brine Mass in Stage 1 for a$10^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ Step Change in the Steam Heater Temperatureof a Three Stage Flash Evaporator41
9. Transient Response of Brine Mass in Stage 3 for a $10^{\circ}$ F Step Change in the Steam Heater Temperature of a Three Stage Flash Evaporator ..... 42
10. Transient Response of Vapor. Mass in Stage 1 for a 20\% Step Change in the Brine Flowrate of a Three Stage Flash Evaporator. ..... 43
11. Transient Response of Brine Mass in Stage 1 for a 20\% Step Change in the Brine Flowrate of a Three Stage Flash Evaporator. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 44
12. Transient Response of Brine Mass in Stage 3 for a 20\% Step Change in the Brine Flowrate of a Three Stage Flash Evaporator. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 45
13. ESNDE Input Data Arrangement . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 58

## CHAPTER I

## INTRODUCTION

## I. PURPOSE OF THE STUDY

Although considerable effort has gone into the development and the study of linearized models of multistage flash evaporators, $1,2,3,4,5,64$ solutions of the nonlinear models are needed to aid in the interpretation of experimental data and in the design of control systems. ${ }^{7}$ Currently numerical solutions of the nonlinear models of multistage flash evaporators are being obtained using MATEXP, ${ }^{8}$ a general purpose computer program for solving differential equations. ${ }^{6}$

The purpose of this thesis is to develop a solution algorithm applicable to nonlinear differential equations and in particular to the nonlinear models of multistage flash evaporators. The algorithm will be used to check the use of MATEXP in the solution of the nonlinear models of flash evaporators by presenting an alternate and independent solution algorithm. If the computation time permits, the solution algorithm may become a useful tool for solving the large sets of nonlinear differential equations that describe multistage flash evaporator systems.

[^0]
## II. PREVIOUS USE OF THE EXPONENTIAL <br> ALGORITHM AND ITS EXTENSION TO <br> NONLINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

Hansen et al. ${ }^{9,10}$ have developed a computational algorithm for solving the time-dependent neutron multi-group diffusion equations that is numerically stable, rapid in operation, and accurate. In essence, Hansen's algorithm was developed by integrating the differential equations describing the time-dependent neutron fluxes and precursor concentrations over a finite time step and assuming an exponential time dependence of the fluxes and precursor concentrations. In this thesis numerical algorithms for solving differential equations by assuming an exponential dependence of the dependent variables over a finite time step are referred to as exponential algorithms.

Others have since applied modifications of Hansen's exponential algorithm to other problems. Specifically, Swanks ${ }^{l l}$ used an exponential algorithm to obtain solutions to the time-dependent discrete ordinate neutron transport equations, and Stevenson and Bingham ${ }^{12}$ used an exponential algorithm for a liquid metal fast breeder transient analysis.

Because of its speed and accuracy in the solution of large sets of linear differential equations, an extension of the exponential algorithm to large sets of nonlinear differential equations seems appropriate. However, the question of numerical stability remains unanswered when applying the exponential algorithm to nonlinear differential equations.

To develop the exponential algorithm for first order, nonlinear differential equations, the dependent variables are assumed to behave as pure exponentials over a finite time step. The differential equations are then integrated over the finite time step, and the solution of the differential equations is reduced to the determination of the exponential dependences of the variables.

## FLASH EVAPORATORS

## I. A DESCRIPTION OF A THREE. STAGE FLASH EVAPORATOR AND THE VARIABLES USED TO DESCRIBE <br> A MULTISTAGE FLASH EVAPORATOR

In the model employed in this thesis, ${ }^{6}$ the differential equations describing the state of any interior stage of a multistage flash evaporator are a function of the variables used to describe that stage and.the variables used to describe the two adjacent stages. For this reason, a three stage evaporator system is sufficiently general to include the coupling that arises in multistage flash evaporator systems and is used as a reference system in this study.

A schematic diagram of the three stage flash evaporator used as the reference system is shown in Figure l. The brine is heated in the steam heater section and pumped into Stage 1 where partial flashing occurs as the brine enters the stage. Pressure differences due to the vapor pressures and the hydraulic heads support the brine flow from Stage 1 to Stage 2 and from Stage 2 to Stage 3, and partial flashing occurs as the brine enters the stages. Fresh water is used in the coolant loop to condense the vapor, and the condensate is removed from the system.

As shown in Figure l, six dependent variables are used to describe the state :of each stage. Specifically, these variables are

1. The tray brine mass,


FIGURE 1
SCHEMATIC DIAGRAM OF THREE
STAGE EVAPORATOR SYSTEM
2. The average tray brine temperature,
3. The cell vapor mass,
4. The average condenser tube temperature,
5. The average coolant temperature, and
6. The coolant outlet temperature.

In addition, five dependent variables are used.to describe the heating and cooling loops.

A state variable vector representation, $\bar{X}$, is used to describe the state of the entire system. Table $l$ defines the variables used to describe the three stage evaporator system and denotes the ordering of the variables in the state vector.

## II. THE DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS <br> USED TO DESCRIBE A THREE <br> STAGE FLASH EVAPORATOR

The development of the differential equations used to describe a multistage flash evaporator is well documented. $1,2,6$ Therefore, no attempt will be made here to present their development. The nonlinear differential equations used to describe a three stage flash evaporator were obtained from the equations given in the Appendix of Reference 6, except for the introduction of the nonlinear flashing flowrate discussed in Reference 6.

The nonlinear differential equations used to describe a three stage flash evaporator are

TABLE 1
DEFINITION OF THE VARIABLES
USED IN THE THREE STAGE
EVAPORATOR MODEL

| Model <br> Variable (units) | State Variable | Physical Significance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{TOl}}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$ | $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ | Coolant outlet temperature in Stage 1 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{Tl}}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$ | $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ | Average coolant temperature in Stage 1 |
| $T_{\text {Tube } 1}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$ | $\mathrm{x}_{3}$ | Average condenser tube temperature in Stage 1 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{CV1}}$ (pounds) | $x_{4}$ | Cell vapor mass in Stage 1 |
| $\overline{\mathrm{T}}_{\mathrm{TBI}}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$ | $\mathrm{x}_{5}$ | Average tray brine temperature in Stage 1 |
| $M_{\text {TBI }}$ (pounds) | ${ }^{6} 6$ | Tray brine mass in Stage 1 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{TO} 2}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$ | ${ }^{1} 7$ | Coolant outlet temperature in Stage 2 |
| $\bar{T}_{T 2}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$ | ${ }^{\text {x }}$ | Average coolant temperature in Stage 2 |
| $\left.\bar{T}_{\text {Tube }} 2^{(0} \mathrm{F}\right)$ | ${ }^{\mathbf{x}} 9$ | Average condenser tube temperature in Stage 2 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{CV} 2}$ (pounds) | ${ }^{x_{10}}$ | Cell vapor mass in Stage 2 |
| $\overline{\mathrm{T}}_{\mathrm{TB} 2}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$ | ${ }^{1} 11$ | Average tray brine temperature in Stage 2 |
| $M_{\text {TB2 }}$ (pounds) | ${ }^{1} 12$ | Tray brine mass in Stage 2 |
| $\left.\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{TO}}{ }^{(0}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$ | $\mathrm{x}_{13}$ | Coolant outlet temperature in Stage 3 |
| $\overline{\mathrm{T}}_{\mathrm{T} 3}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$ | ${ }^{1} 14$ | Average coolant temperature in Stage 3 |
| $\left.\overline{\mathrm{T}}_{\text {Tube }} 3^{(0} \mathrm{F}\right)$ | $\mathrm{x}_{15}$ | Average condenser tube temperature in Stage 3 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{CV} 3}$ (pounds) | ${ }^{1} 16$ | Cell vapor mass in Stage 3 |

## TABLE 1 (continued)

| Model State <br> Variable (units) Variable | ysical Significance |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\bar{T}_{T B 3}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right) \quad \mathrm{x}_{17}$ | Average tray brine temperature in Stage 3 |
| $M_{\text {TRB3 }}$ (pounds) $\mathrm{x}_{18}$ | Tray brine mass in Stage 3 |
| $\overline{\mathrm{T}}_{\mathrm{CL}}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right) \quad \mathrm{x}_{19}$ | Average coolant temperature in reservoir |
| $\overline{\mathrm{T}}_{I P}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right) \quad \mathrm{x}_{20}$ | Average inlet plenum temperature |
| $\overline{\mathrm{T}}_{\mathrm{BR}-\mathrm{SH}}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right) \quad \mathbf{x}_{21}$ | Average brine temperature in brineheater |
| $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{BRO}-\mathrm{SH}}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right) \quad \mathrm{x}_{22}$ | Brine outlet temperature in brineheater |
| $T_{\text {Tube-SH }}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right) \quad \mathbf{x}_{23}$ | Average tube temperature in brineheater |
| $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{BR}}$ (pounds/second) | Brine flowrate |
| $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{C}}$ (pounds/second) | Coolant flowrate |
| $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{IN}}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$ | Temperature of coolant feed |
| $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{SH}}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$ | Temperature of steam in brine heater |
| $\mathrm{W}_{\text {FEED }}$ (pounds/second) | Brine feed rate |
| $\mathrm{W}_{\text {BLEED }}$ ( pounds/second) | Brine extraction rate |

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d x_{1}}{d t}=-\left(\frac{2 W_{C}}{M_{T 1}}\right) x_{1}+\left[\frac{2 W_{C}}{M_{T I}}-\left(\frac{h_{i} A_{i}}{\left(M C_{p}\right)_{T}}\right)_{1}\right]_{2}+\left(\frac{h_{i} A_{i}}{\left(M C_{p}\right)_{T}}\right)_{1} x_{3}  \tag{la}\\
& \left.\frac{d x_{2}}{d t}=-\left[\frac{2 W_{C}}{M_{T I}}+\left(\frac{h_{i} A_{i}}{\left(M C_{p}\right)_{T}}\right)\right]_{1}\right] x_{2}+\left(\frac{h_{i} A_{i}}{\left(M C_{p}\right)_{T}}\right)_{1} x_{3}+\left(\frac{2 W_{C}}{M_{T I}}\right) x_{7} \tag{lb}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\frac{d x_{3}}{d t}=\left(\frac{h_{1} A_{1}}{\left(M C_{p}\right)_{\text {Tube }}}\right)_{1} x_{2}-\left[\left(\frac{h_{1} A_{1}}{\left(M C_{p}\right)_{\text {Tube }}}\right)_{1}+\left(\frac{h_{0} A_{0}}{\left(M C_{p}\right)_{\text {Tube }}}\right)_{I}\right] x_{3}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\alpha_{1}\left(\frac{h_{0} A_{0}}{\left(M C_{p}\right)_{\text {Tube }}}\right)_{1} x_{4}+\beta_{1}\left(\frac{h_{0} A_{0}}{\left(M C_{p}\right)_{T u b e}}\right)_{1} \tag{lc}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d x_{4}}{d t} & =\left(\frac{h_{0} A_{0}}{h_{f g}}\right)_{1} x_{3}-\alpha_{1}\left[K_{1}\left(W_{B R}\right)^{1 / 2}+\left(\frac{h_{0} A_{0}}{h_{f g}}\right)_{1}\right]_{L_{4}} \\
& +K_{1}\left(W_{B R}\right)^{1 / 2} x_{20}-\beta_{1} K_{1}\left(W_{B R}\right)^{1 / 2}+\left(\frac{h_{0} A_{0}}{h_{f g}}\right)_{1} \tag{ld}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\frac{d x_{5}}{d t}=\alpha_{1} K_{1}\left(W_{B R}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{h_{f g}}{C_{p T B}}\right)_{1} \frac{x_{4}}{x_{6}}-\left(W_{B R}\right) \frac{x_{5}}{x_{6}}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\left[W_{B R}-K_{1}\left(W_{B R}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{h_{f B}}{C_{p T B}}\right)\right] \frac{x_{1}}{x_{6}}+K_{1}\left(W_{B R}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{n_{f R}}{C_{p T B}}\right)_{1} \frac{1}{x_{6}} \tag{le}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d x_{6}}{d t} & =\alpha_{1} K_{1}\left(W_{B R}\right)^{1 / 2} x_{4}-K_{1}\left(W_{B R}\right)^{1 / 2} x_{20} \\
& -\left(X W l_{1}\right) x_{24}^{1 / 2}+\beta_{1} K_{1}\left(W_{B R}\right)^{1 / 2}+W_{B R} \tag{li}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d x_{7}}{d t}=-\left(\frac{2 W_{C}}{M_{T 2}}\right) x_{7}+\left[\frac{2 W_{C}}{M_{C 3}}-\left(\frac{h_{i} A_{i}}{\left(M C_{p}\right)_{T}}\right)_{2}\right] x_{8}+\left(\frac{h_{i} A_{i}}{\left(M C_{p}\right)_{T}}\right)_{2} x_{9} \tag{1g}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d x_{8}}{d t}=-\left[\frac{2 W_{C}}{M_{T 2}}+\left(\frac{n_{1} A_{1}}{\left(M C_{p}\right)_{T}}\right)_{2}\right] x_{8}+\left(\frac{n_{1} A_{1}}{\left(M C_{p}\right)_{T}}\right)_{2} x_{9}+\left(\frac{2 W_{C}}{M_{T 2}}\right) x_{13} \tag{1h}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d x_{9}}{d t} & =\left(\frac{h_{1} A_{1}}{\left(M C_{p}\right)_{\text {Tube }}}\right)_{2} x_{8}-\left[\left(\frac{h_{1} A_{1}}{\left(M C_{p}\right)_{\text {Tube }}}\right)_{2}+\left(\frac{h_{0} A_{0}}{\left(M C_{p}\right)_{\text {Tube }}}\right)_{2} x_{9}\right. \\
& +\alpha_{2}\left(\frac{h_{0} A_{0}}{\left(M C_{p}\right)_{T u b e}}\right)_{2} x_{10}+B_{2}\left(\frac{h_{0} A_{0}}{\left(M C_{p}\right)_{\text {Tube }}}\right)_{2} \tag{li}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d x_{10}}{d t}= & \left(\frac{h_{0} A_{0}}{h_{f g}}\right) x_{9}-\alpha_{2}\left(\frac{h_{0} A_{0}}{h_{f g}}\right)_{2} x_{10} \\
& -\left(\beta_{2} K_{2} X W I_{1}\right) x_{24}^{1 / 2}+\left(K_{2}^{X W I} I_{1}\right) x_{24}^{1 / 2} x_{5} \\
& -\left(\alpha_{2} K_{2} X W I_{1}\right) x_{24}^{1 / 2} x_{10}-\beta_{2}\left(\frac{h_{0} A_{0}}{h_{f g}}\right)_{2} \tag{1j}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d x_{11}}{d t}= & \left(\mathrm{XWI}_{1}\right) \frac{x_{24}^{1 / 2} x_{5}}{x_{12}}-K_{2}\left(\mathrm{XWI}_{1}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{h_{f R}}{c_{p T B}}\right)_{2} \frac{x_{24}^{1 / 4} x_{5}}{x_{12}} \\
& +\alpha_{2} K_{2}\left(x W 1_{1}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{h_{f g}}{C_{p T B}}\right)_{2} \frac{x_{24}^{1 / 2} x_{10}}{x_{12}} \\
& -\left(X_{12}\right) \frac{x_{24}^{1 / 2} x_{11}}{x_{12}}+\beta_{2} K_{2}\left(X_{1} I_{1}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{h_{f g}}{C_{p T B}}\right)_{2} \frac{x_{24}^{1 / 4}}{x_{12}} \tag{lk}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d x_{12}}{d t}= & -K_{2}\left(\mathrm{XWI}_{1}\right)^{1 / 2} x_{24}^{1 / 4} x_{5}+\alpha_{2} K_{2}\left(\mathrm{XWI}_{1}\right)^{1 / 2} x_{24}^{1 / 4} x_{10} \\
& +\beta_{2} K_{2}\left(\mathrm{XWI}_{1}\right)^{1 / 2} x_{24}^{1 / 4}+\left(\mathrm{XWI}_{1}\right) x_{24}^{1 / 2}-\left(\mathrm{XWI}_{2}\right) x_{25}^{1 / 2} \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d x_{13}}{d t}=-\left(\frac{2 W_{C}}{M_{T 3}}\right) x_{13}+\left[\frac{2 W_{C}}{M_{T 3}}-\left(\frac{h_{1} A_{1}}{\left(M C_{p}\right)_{T}}\right)\right] x_{14}+\left(\frac{h_{1} A_{1}}{\left(M C_{p}\right)_{T}}\right)_{3} x_{15} \tag{lm}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d x_{14}}{d t}=-\left[\frac{2 W_{C}}{M_{T 3}}+\left(\frac{h_{1} A_{i}}{\left(M C_{p}\right)_{T}}\right)\right] x_{3}+\left(\frac{h_{1} A_{1}}{\left(M C_{p}\right)_{T}}\right)_{3} x_{15}+\left(\frac{2 W_{C}}{M_{T 3}}\right) x_{19} \tag{ln}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d x_{15}}{d t}=\left(\frac{h_{i} A_{i}}{\left(M_{p}\right)_{\text {Tube }}}\right)_{3} x_{14}-\left[\left(\frac{h_{i} A_{i}}{\left(M_{p}\right)_{\text {Tube }}}\right)_{3}+\left(\frac{h_{1} A_{0}}{\left(M C_{p}\right)_{\text {Tube }}}\right)_{3}\right]_{15} \\
& +\alpha_{3}\binom{h_{0} A_{0}}{\overbrace{M}^{M} C_{p})_{\text {Tube }}}_{3} x_{16}+B_{3}\left(\frac{h_{0} A_{0}}{\left(\frac{A_{0}}{M C_{p}}\right)_{\text {Tube }}}\right)_{3}  \tag{10}\\
& \frac{d x_{16}}{d t}=\left(\frac{h_{0} A_{0}}{h_{f g}}\right)_{3} \quad x_{15}-\alpha_{3}\left(\frac{h_{0} A_{0}}{h_{f g}}\right)_{3} x_{16} \\
& +K_{3}\left(X_{1} I_{2}\right)^{1 / 2} x_{25}^{1 / 4} x_{11}-\alpha_{3} K_{3}\left(X W I_{2}\right)^{1 / 2} x_{25}^{1 / 4} x_{16} \\
& -\beta_{3} K_{3}\left(X W I_{2}\right)^{1 / 2} x_{25}^{1 / 4}-\beta_{3}\left(\frac{h_{0} A_{0}}{h_{f g}}\right)_{3}  \tag{lp}\\
& \frac{d x_{17}}{d t}=\left(X W 1_{2}\right) \frac{x_{25}^{1 / 2} x_{11}}{x_{18}}-K_{3}\left(X W 1_{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{h_{f g}}{C_{p T B}}\right)_{3} \frac{x_{25}^{1 / 4} x_{11}}{x_{18}} \\
& +\alpha_{3} K_{3}\left(X W 1_{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{h_{f g}}{C_{p T B}}\right)_{3} \frac{x_{25}^{1 / 4} x_{16}}{x_{18}} \\
& -\left(X W I_{2}\right) \frac{x_{25}^{1 / 2} x_{11}}{x_{18}}+\beta_{3} K_{3}\left(X W 1_{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{h_{f g}}{C_{p T B}}\right)_{3} \frac{x_{25}^{1 / 4}}{x_{18}} \tag{1q}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d x_{18}}{d t}= & \left(\mathrm{XWI}_{2}\right) x_{25}^{1 / 2}-K_{3}\left(\mathrm{XWI}_{2}\right)^{1 / 2} x_{25}^{1 / 4} x_{11}+\alpha_{3} K_{3}\left(X W I_{2}\right)^{1 / 2} x_{25}^{1 / 4} x_{16} \\
& +\beta_{3} K_{3}\left(X W I_{2}\right)^{1 / 2} x_{25}^{1 / 4}-W_{B R}+W_{\text {FEED }}-W_{\text {BLEED }} \tag{lr}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d x_{19}}{d t}=\left(\frac{W_{C R} \operatorname{Rec} f}{M_{C L}}\right) x_{1}-\left(\frac{W_{C}}{M_{C L}}\right) x_{19}+\left(\frac{W_{C}}{M_{C L}}\right)(1-\operatorname{Rec} f) T_{I N} \tag{ls}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d x_{20}}{d t}=-\left(\frac{W_{B R}}{M_{I P}}\right) x_{20}+\left(\frac{W_{B R}}{M_{I P}}\right) x_{22}\left(t-\tau_{2}\right) \tag{lt}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d x_{21}}{d t}= & \left(\frac{2 W_{B R}}{M_{S H}}\right) x_{17}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)-\left[\frac{2 W_{B R}}{M_{S H}}+\left(\frac{h_{i} A_{i}}{\left(M C_{p}\right)_{T}}\right)_{S H}\right] x_{21} \\
& +\left(\frac{h_{i} A_{i}}{\left(M C_{p}\right)_{T}}\right)_{S H} x_{23} \tag{lu}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d x_{22}}{d t}=\left[\frac{2 W_{B R}}{M_{S H}}-\left(\frac{h_{1} A_{i}}{\left(M C_{p}\right)_{T}}\right)_{S H}\right] x_{21}-\left(\frac{2 W_{B R}}{M_{S H}}\right) x_{22}+\left(\frac{h_{i} A_{i}}{\left(M C_{p}\right)_{T}}\right)_{S H} x_{23} \tag{lv}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d x_{23}}{d t} & =\left(\frac{h_{i} A_{i}}{\left(M C_{p}\right)_{\text {Tube }}}\right)_{S H} x_{21}-\left[\left(\frac{h_{i} A_{i}}{\left(M C_{p}\right)_{\text {Tube }}}\right)_{S H}+\left(\frac{h_{0} A_{0}}{\left(M C_{p}\right)_{\text {Tube }}}\right)_{S H}\right] x_{23} \\
& +\left(\frac{h_{0} A_{0}}{\left(M C_{p}\right)_{\text {Tube }}}\right)_{S H} T_{S H} \tag{lw}
\end{align*}
$$

The definitions of the coefficients used in Equation (1) are given in Appendix A.

In Equation (1), $x_{24}$ and $x_{25}$ are the effective pressure drops from Stage 1 to Stage 2 and from Stage 2 to Stage 3 respectively. These pressure drops are given by the following equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{24}=r_{1} x_{4}+\left(\frac{1}{A F C_{1}}\right) x_{6}-r_{2} x_{10}-\left(\frac{\mathrm{K} 2}{A F C_{2}}\right) x_{12}+\xi_{1}-\xi_{2} \\
& x_{25}=r_{2} x_{10}+\left(\frac{1}{A F C_{2}}\right) x_{12}-r_{3} x_{16}-\left(\frac{\mathrm{K} 2}{A F C_{3}}\right) x_{18}+\xi_{2}-\xi_{3}-\text { XM3B. }
\end{aligned}
$$

The definitions of the coefficients used in Equation (2) are given in Appendix A.

In Equation (1) the nonlinear terms are products of the dependent variables and the effective pressure drops.* An exponential algorithm can be readily formulated for nonlinearities of this form.
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## CHAPTER III

THE NUMERICAL ALGORITHM

## I. DEVELOPMENT OF THE FINITE

DIFFERENCED EQUATIONS

The first order, nonlinear differential equations for a three stage flash evaporator given in Equation (1) can be written in the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \bar{X}}{d t}=C \bar{X}+\bar{F}(\bar{X})+\bar{Z}(\bar{X})+\bar{S} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ is a $N$ dimensional, time dependent state vector; $C$ is a linear, $N$ dimensional square matrix; $\bar{F}(\bar{X})$ is a $N$ dimensional column vector containing all the nonlinear terms; $\bar{Z}(\bar{X})$ is a $N$ dimensional column vector containing all time-lagged terms and $\overline{\mathrm{S}}$ is a N dimensional column vector. The C matrix in Equation (3) is factored into two parts:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C=A+D \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D$ is strictly a diagonal matrix and $A$ is the remaining part of the C matrix. Substitution of Equation (4) into Equation (3) and rearrangement of terms yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \bar{X}}{d t}-D \bar{X}=A \bar{X}+\bar{F}(\bar{X})+\bar{Z}(\bar{X})+\bar{S} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The algorithm used to obtain solutions to Equation (3) will be developed from the general concepts of Hansen's exponential algorithm ${ }^{9,10}$; however, the algorithm will be formulated by considering the differential equation describing an arbitrary dependent variable, $x_{i}$, instead of using a matrix representation. The differential equation describing this arbitrary variable is
$\frac{d x_{i}}{d t}-d_{i 1} x_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{i j} x_{j}+f_{i}(\bar{x})+z_{i}(\bar{x})+s_{i}$
where the definition of all terms can be inferred by comparison of Equations (5) and (6).

Equation (6) is multiplied by the integrating factor $\exp \left(-\alpha_{11} t\right)$, and the resulting equation is integrated from $t_{\alpha}$ to $t_{\alpha}+h$ yielding

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{i}\left(t_{\alpha}+h\right) \exp \left(-\alpha_{i 1}\left(t_{\alpha}+h\right)\right)-x_{i}\left(t_{\alpha}\right) \exp \left(-\alpha_{i 1} t_{\alpha}\right) \\
& \quad=\int_{t_{\alpha}}^{t_{\alpha}^{+h}} \exp \left(-\alpha_{i 1} t^{\prime}\right)\left[\sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{i j} x_{j}\left(t^{\prime}\right)+f_{i}(\bar{x})+z_{i}(\bar{x})+s_{i}\right] d t^{\prime} . \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Multiplying Equation (7) by $\exp \left(+\alpha_{i i}\left(t_{\alpha}+h\right)\right)$ and rearranging yields

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{i}\left(t_{\alpha}+h\right)= & x_{i}\left(t_{\alpha}\right) \exp \left(d_{i i} h\right)+\exp \left(\alpha _ { i i } ( t _ { \alpha } + h ) \int _ { t _ { \alpha } } ^ { t _ { \alpha } ^ { + h } } \operatorname { e x p } ( - \alpha _ { i i } t ^ { - } ) \left[\sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{i j} x_{j}\left(t^{-}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.+f_{i}(\bar{x})+z_{i}(\bar{x})+s_{i}\right] d t^{\prime} \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

It should be noted at this point that $f_{i}(\bar{X})$ and $z_{i}(\bar{X})$ are functions of $t^{\prime}$.

For the flash evaporator described by Equation (1), the nonlinear terms, $f_{i}(\bar{X})$ 's, can in general be represented by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i}(\bar{x})=\sum_{j=1}^{J_{i}} b_{j}\left[x_{k}^{p}(t) x_{l}^{q}(t) x_{m}^{r}(t)\right]_{j} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J_{i}$ is the number of nonlinearities in the $i^{\text {th }}$ differential equation; the $b_{j}$ 's are coefficients of the individual nonlinear terms; the k's, l's, and m's are integers corresponding to the indices of the state vector $\bar{X}$; and the $p^{\prime} s, q^{\prime} s$, and $r$ 's are real numbers; i.e., for each nonlinear term of the $i^{\text {th }}$ differential equation there is a corresponding $\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{l}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}$, and r .

The time-lagged terms are expressed in the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{i}(\bar{x})=\sum_{j=1}^{T_{i}} e_{j}\left[x_{n}(t-\tau)\right]_{j} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{i}$ is the number of time-lagged terms in the $i^{\text {th }}$ differential equation; the $e_{j}$ 's are the coefficients of the individual time-lagged terms; the n's are integers corresponding to the indices of the dependent state vector $\bar{X}$; and the $\tau$ 's are the time lags; i.e., for each time-lagged term in the $i^{\text {th }}$ differential equation, there is a corresponding $e, n$, and $\tau$.

Substitution of Equations (9) and (10) into Equation (8) yields

$$
x_{i}\left(t_{\alpha}+h\right)=x_{i}\left(t_{\alpha}\right) \exp \left(d_{i 1} h\right)
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\exp \left(d_{i 1}\left(t_{\alpha}+h\right)\right) \int_{t_{\alpha}}^{t_{\alpha}^{+h}} \exp \left(-d_{i 1^{\prime}} t^{\prime}\right)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{i j^{\prime}} x_{j}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\sum_{j=1}^{J_{i}} b_{j}\left[x_{k}^{p}\left(t^{\prime}\right) x_{l}^{q}\left(t^{\prime}\right) x_{m}^{r}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right]_{j}+\sum_{j=1}^{T_{i}} e_{j}\left[x_{n}\left(t^{\prime}-\tau\right)\right]_{j}+s_{i}\right) d t^{\prime} \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

At this point, the assumption that $x_{n}\left(t^{-}-\tau\right)$, where $t_{\alpha} \leq t^{\prime} \leq t_{\alpha}+h$, can be accurately approximated by $x_{n}\left(t_{\alpha}+\frac{h}{2}-\tau\right)$ is made. Using this assumption and the assumption that the $a_{i j}$ 's, $b_{j}$ 's, $e_{j}$ 's, and $s_{i}$ are constant over the time step $t_{\alpha}$ to $t_{\alpha}+h$, Equation (II) becomes

$$
x_{i}\left(t_{\alpha}+h\right)=x_{i}\left(t_{\alpha}\right) \exp \left(d_{i i} h\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\exp \left(d_{i i}\left(t_{\alpha}+h\right)\right) \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{i j} \int_{t_{\alpha}}^{t_{\alpha}^{+h}} \exp \left(-\alpha_{i i} t^{\prime}\right) x_{j}\left(t^{\prime}\right) d t^{\prime} \\
& +\exp \left(d_{i i}\left(t_{\alpha}+h\right)\right) \sum_{j=1}^{J_{i}} b_{j} \int_{t_{\alpha}}^{t_{\alpha}+h} \exp \left(-\alpha_{i i^{\prime}} t^{\prime}\right)\left[x_{k}^{p}\left(t^{\prime}\right) x_{l}^{q}\left(t^{\prime}\right) x_{m}^{r}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right] j t^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\exp \left(d_{i i}\left(t_{\alpha}+h\right)\right)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{T_{i}} e_{j}\left[x_{n}\left(t_{\alpha}+\frac{h}{2}-\tau\right)\right]_{j}+s_{i}\right) \int_{t_{\alpha}}^{t_{\alpha}^{+h}} \exp \left(-\alpha_{i i} t^{-}\right) d t \cdot \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integration of the last term in Equation (12) and rearrangement of the resulting equation yields

$$
x_{i}\left(t_{\alpha}+h\right)=x_{i}\left(t_{\alpha}\right) \exp \left(d_{i i} h\right)
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\exp \left(\alpha_{i i}\left(t_{\alpha}+h\right)\right) \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{i j} \int_{t_{\alpha}}^{t_{\alpha}^{+h}} \exp \left(-\alpha_{i i^{\prime}} t^{\prime}\right) x_{j}\left(t^{\prime}\right) d t^{\prime} \\
& +\exp \left(d_{i i}\left(t_{\alpha}+h\right)\right) \sum_{j=1}^{J_{i}} b_{j} \int_{t_{\alpha}}^{t_{\alpha}^{+h}} \exp \left(-\alpha_{i i^{\prime}} t^{\prime}\right)\left[\sum_{k}^{p}\left(t^{\prime}\right) x_{l}^{q}\left(t^{\prime}\right) x_{m}^{r}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right]_{j} d t^{\prime} \\
& +\left(\sum_{j=1}^{T} e_{j}\left[x_{n}\left(t_{\alpha}+\frac{h}{2}-\tau\right)\right]_{j}+s_{i}\right)\left(\exp \left(d_{i i^{\prime}}\right)-1\right) / \alpha_{i i} \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Some assumption must now be made concerning the behavior of the dependent variables over the time step $t_{\alpha}$ to $t_{\alpha}+h$. The dependent variables are assumed to have a purely exponential time dependence over the time step; i.e., on the interval $t_{\alpha} \leq t^{\prime} \leq t_{\alpha}+h, x_{i}\left(t^{\circ}\right)$ is given by the expression:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i}\left(t^{\prime}\right)=x_{i}\left(t_{\alpha}\right) \exp \left(\omega_{i}\left(t^{\prime}-t_{\alpha}\right)\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $\omega$ 's are a set of real parameters to be determined numerically." A discussion of the method of determining the $w^{\prime}$ s is presented in the next section. Introduction of the exponential assumption into Equation (13) and rearrangement of the resulting equation yields $x_{i}\left(t_{\alpha}+h\right)=x_{i}\left(t_{\alpha}\right) \exp \left(d_{i 1} h\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\exp \left(d_{i i}\left(t_{\alpha}+h\right)\right) \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{i j} x_{j}\left(t_{\alpha}\right) \exp \left(-\omega_{j} t_{\alpha}\right) \int_{t_{\alpha}}^{t_{\alpha}^{+h}} \exp \left(\left(\omega_{j}-\alpha_{i i}\right) t^{\prime}\right) d t^{\prime} \\
& +\exp \left(d_{i i}\left(t_{\alpha}+h\right)\right) \sum_{j=1}^{J_{i}} b_{j}\left[x _ { k } ^ { p } ( t _ { \alpha } ) x _ { l } ^ { q } ( t _ { \alpha } ) x _ { m } ^ { r } ( t _ { \alpha } ) \operatorname { e x p } \left(-p \omega_{k}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.+q_{l}+r \omega_{m}\right) t_{\alpha}\right) \int_{t_{\alpha}}^{t_{\alpha}^{+h}} \exp \left(\left(p \omega_{k}+q \omega_{\ell}+r \omega_{m}-d_{i i}\right) t^{\prime}\right)\right]_{j} d t^{\prime} \\
& +\left(\sum_{i} e_{j}\left[x_{n}\left(t_{\alpha}+\frac{h}{2}-\tau\right)\right]_{j}+s_{i}\right)\left(\exp \left(d_{i i} h\right)-1\right) / d_{i i} .
\end{align*}
$$

[^2]After evaluation of the integrals, Equation (15) is written as

$$
x_{i}\left(t_{\alpha}+h\right)=x_{i}\left(t_{\alpha}\right) \exp \left(d_{i i} h\right)
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\exp \left(\alpha_{i i} h\right) \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{i j} x_{j}\left(t_{\alpha}\right)\left(\exp \left(\left(\omega_{j}-\alpha_{i i}\right) h\right)-1\right) /\left(\omega_{j}-\alpha_{i i}\right) \\
& +\exp \left(\alpha_{i i} h\right) \sum_{j=1}^{J_{i}} b_{j}\left[\sum _ { k } ^ { p } ( t _ { \alpha } ) x _ { l } ^ { q } ( t _ { \alpha } ) x _ { m } ^ { r } ( t _ { \alpha } ) \left(\operatorname { e x p } \left(\left(p \omega_{k}\right.\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.\left.+q \omega_{l}+r \omega_{m}-\alpha_{i i}\right) h\right)-1\right) /\left(p \omega_{k}+q \omega_{l}+r \omega_{m}-\alpha_{i i}\right)\right]_{j} \\
& +\left(\sum_{i=1} e_{j}\left[x_{n}\left(t_{\alpha}+\frac{h}{2}-\tau\right)\right]_{j}+s_{i}\right)\left(\exp \left(d_{i i} h\right)-1\right) / d_{i i} .
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (16) forms the basis of the exponential algorithm. This equation expresses, in finite difference form, the components of the state vector $\bar{X}\left(t_{\alpha}+h\right)$ in terms of $\bar{X}\left(t_{\alpha}\right)$ and the undetermined exponential parameters.
II. DETERMINATION OF THE EXPONENTIAL PARAMETERS

Equation (16) shows that the solution of the differential equations for the time step $t_{\alpha}$ to $t_{\alpha}+h$ has been reduced to the problem of determining the appropriate $\omega$ 's for the time step. The $w$ 's are determined by an iterative method.

Solving Equation (14) for $\omega_{i}$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{i}=\frac{1}{t^{\prime}-t_{\alpha}} \ln \left(\frac{x_{i}\left(t^{\prime}\right)}{x_{i}\left(t_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)}\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

By letting $t^{\prime}=t_{\alpha}+h$, Equation (17) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{i}=\frac{1}{h} \ln \left(\frac{x_{i}\left(t_{\alpha}+h\right)}{x_{i}\left(t_{\alpha}\right)}\right) . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Once a set of $\omega^{\prime}$ s which satisfies Equation (18) is obtained, the solution is said to have converged on the interval $t_{\alpha}$ to $t_{\alpha}+h$. In general, Equation (18) can not be satisfied exactly. As a test upon convergence, the $\omega_{i}$ 's are required to satisfy either

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left|\omega_{i}-\frac{1}{h} \ln \right| \frac{x_{i}\left(t_{\alpha}+h\right)}{x_{i}\left(t_{\alpha}\right)}\right) \mid \leq \varepsilon_{\ell} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{\omega_{i}-\frac{1}{h} \ln \left(\frac{x_{i}\left(t_{\alpha}+h\right)}{x_{i}\left(t_{\alpha}\right)}\right)}{\omega_{i}}\right| \leq \varepsilon_{f} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon_{\ell}$ and $\varepsilon_{f}$ are small positive numbers. For very small values of $\omega_{i}$, the linear convergence test given by Equation (19) is used; otherwise, the fractional convergence test given by Equation (20) is used.

The iterative method used in this thesis to calculate the $\omega^{\prime}$ s is a modification of the method used by Swanks. ${ }^{l l}$ The method employs two schemes to estimate the $\omega^{\prime} s$. Scheme $l$ calculates new estimates of the w's from Equation (18), while Scheme 2 calculates new estimates of the $\omega^{\prime} s$ by a linear interpolation based upon previous estimates of the $\omega^{\prime} s$. For a detailed discussion of Scheme 2, the reader is referred to Reference 11.

The use of the iterative method in the determination of the w's is diagramed in Figure 2. In Figure 2, the looping between control points 3 and 4 is an inner-iteration, and the looping between control points 2 and 5 is an outer-iteration. An inner-iteration estimates the individual $\omega_{i}$ 's and hence the $x_{i}\left(t_{\alpha}+h\right)^{\prime} s$. The completion of an outer-iteration yields a complete set of the $\omega^{\prime} s$ and hence an estimate of $\bar{X}\left(t_{\alpha}+h\right)$.

The initial estimates of the $\omega^{\prime}$ s are obtained from the derivatives of the dependent variables by using a first order Taylor series expansion of $\overline{\mathrm{X}}(\mathrm{h})$ about $\mathrm{t}=0$. " The mathematical expression used to obtain the $^{\text {. }}$ on initial estimates of the $\omega^{\prime} s$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i}(h)=x_{i}(0) \exp \left(w_{i} h\right) \sim x_{i}(0)+h \frac{d x_{i}}{d t}(0) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

or
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FIGURE 2
BLOCK DIAGRAM FOR DETERMINATION OF
EXPONENTIAL PARAMETERS

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{i} \sim \frac{1}{h} \ln \left(1+h \frac{d x_{i}}{d t}(0) / x_{i}(0)\right) . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

At the beginning of subsequent time steps, the $\omega$ 's are set equal to the values calculated during the previous time step.

To initiate a time step, its size, $h$, is set equal to the input quantity $\Delta t$. An outer-iteration is then started and the values of $x_{i}\left(t_{\alpha}+h\right)$ are calculated individually. After the calculation of each $x_{i}\left(t_{\alpha}+h\right)$, a check is made to determine if $\omega_{i}$ satisfies the appropriate convergence criterion given by Equation (19) or Equation (20). If a $w_{i}$ does not satisfy the convergence criterion, up to three successive estimates of this $\omega_{i}$ are obtained. The first estimate is obtained from Scheme 1, and the next two estimates are obtained from Scheme 2. After each new estimate of $\omega_{i}$ is made, $x_{i}\left(t_{\alpha}+h\right)$ is calculated again and convergence is checked. Once a converged $\omega_{i}$ is found, the calculation proceeds to the next $x_{i}\left(t_{\alpha}+h\right)$. If a converged $\omega_{i}$ cannot be found in three estimates, the size of the time step, $h$, is reduced and the outer-iteration is started again. The above procedure is repeated until an outer-iteration is accomplished.

If a new estimate of any $\omega_{i}$ is made during an outer-iteration, an additional outer-iteration is performed (an additional outer-iteration is performed if ISTOP $=0$ ). Although this requirement may be relaxed, it is employed because of the coupling of the differential equations. The maximum number of outer-iterations is specified by the input quantity NOUTIT. Once an outer-iteration in which all the $\omega$ 's remain constant is completed or after NOUTIT outer-iterations have been performed, $t_{\alpha}$ is incremented and a new time step is started.

## CHAPTER IV

## NUMERICAL RESULTS

## I. INTRODUCTION


#### Abstract

The computer code ESNDE (Exponential Solution to Nonlinear Differential Equations) was developed from the exponential algorithm presented in Chapter III. A listing of this code and a discussion of input data is given in Appendix B. Although the code was developed specifically to solve the nonlinear multistage flash evaporator equations, it can be used to obtain solutions to sets of first order, nonlinear differential equations..whose nonlinearities are of the form given by Equation (15) and whose dependent variables retain their original sign over the solution interval. The computer code handles variable coefficients by evaluating new coefficients at the beginning of each time step.

Solutions to several differential equations were obtained before the exponential algorithm was used to obtain solutions to a three stage evaporator system. Numerical solutions of two of these differential equations and the results obtained for two perturbations of the three stage flash evaporator described by Equation (l) are presented in this chapter.


II. A NUMERICAL SOLUTION TO THE MODIFIED BESSEL'S EQUATION The modified Bessel's equation is ${ }^{13}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{2} \frac{d^{2} w}{d t^{2}}+t \frac{d w}{d t}-\left(t^{2}+v^{2}\right)_{w}=0 \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to apply the exponential algorithm to Equation (23), the equation is written as the following set of coupled, first order differential equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d x_{1}}{d t}=x_{2} \\
& \frac{d x_{2}}{d t}=x_{1}+v^{2} \frac{x_{1}}{x_{3}^{2}}-\frac{x_{2}}{x_{3}} \\
& \frac{d x_{3}}{d t}=1 \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

where $x_{1}=w, x_{2}=\frac{d w}{d t}$, and $x_{3}=t$. A solution of the modified Bessel's equation was obtained from the code ESNDE by solving Equation (24) with $v=1, x_{1}(1)=1, x_{2}(1)=1$, and $x_{3}(1)=1$. Tabulated results of the exponential algorithm solution are presented in Table 2 along with numerical values of the analytic solution,

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=a I_{l}(t)+b K_{l}(t), \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
a=\left(2 K_{1}(1)+K_{0}(1)\right) /\left(I_{0}(1) K_{1}(1)+I_{1}(1) K_{0}(1)\right),
$$

## TABLE 2

## SOLUTIONS TO MODIFIED BESSEL'S

EQUATION FOR $v=1, w(l)=1$, AND $d w(1) / d t=1$

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Time } \\ & \text { (Seconds) } \end{aligned}$ | Exponential Algorithm Solution | Numerical Values of Analytic Solution |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.0 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| 2.0 | 2.603 | 2.604 |
| 4.0 | $1.586 \times 101$ | $1.586 \times 101$ |
| 6.0 | $9.967 \times 10{ }^{1}$ | $9.967 \times 10{ }^{1}$ |
| 8.0 | $6.497 \times 10_{3}^{2}$ | $6.497 \times 10_{3}^{2}$ |
| 10.0 | $4.340 \times 10{ }_{4}$ | $4.340 \times 10{ }_{4}^{3}$ |
| 12.0 | $2.947 \times 10^{4}$ | $2.948 \times 105$ |
| 14.0 | $2.026 \times 10 \%$ | $2.026 \times 106$ |
| 16.0 | $1.405 \times 106$ | $1.406 \times 106$ |
| 18.0 | $9.816 \times 107$ | $9.819 \times 107$ |
| 20.0 | $6.896 \times 108$ | $6.898 \times 108$ |
| 22.0 | $4.867 \times 109$ | $4.868 \times 109$ |
| 24.0 | $3.448 \times 1010$ | $3.449 \times 1010$ |
| 26.0 | $2.451 \times 1011$ | $2.451 \times 1011$ |
| 28.0 | $1.745 \times 1012$ | $1.747 \times 1012$ |
| 30.0 | $1.248 \times 1012$ | $1.248 \times 1012$ |
| 32.0 | $8.935 \times 1013$ | $8.938 \times 1013$ |
| 34.0 | $6.409 \times 1014$ | $6.412 \times 1014$ |
| 36.0 | $4.605 \times 1015$ | $4.607 \times 1015$ |
| 38.0 | $3.314 \times 1015$ | $3.315 \times 1016$ |
| 40.0 | $2.388 \times 10^{16}$ | $2.389 \times 10^{16}$ |

$$
\begin{equation*}
b=\left(1-a I_{1}(1)\right) / K_{1}(1), \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $I_{1}, I_{0}, K_{0}$, and $K_{1}$ are modified Bessel functions.
The agreement of the exponential algorithm solution of the modified Bessel's equation with the analytic solution is excellent over an extremely large range of numerical values. Comparison of the results shows that the fractional difference between the two solutions is generally less than $10^{-3}$.
III. NUMERICAL SOLUTIONS TO VAN

DER POL'S EQUATION

Van der Pol's equation, describing a triode oscillator, is ${ }^{14}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} y}{d x^{2}}-\varepsilon\left(1-y^{2}\right) \frac{d y}{d x}+y=0 \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to apply the exponential algorithm to Equation (27), the transformations

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{1}=y+\gamma \\
& x_{2}=\frac{d y}{d x}+\rho \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

are made; and the resulting transformed differential equation is written as the following set of coupled, first order differential equations:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d x_{1}}{d x}=x_{2}-\rho \\
\frac{d x_{2}}{d x}=-(2 \varepsilon \gamma \rho+1) x_{1}+\varepsilon\left(1-\gamma^{2}\right) x_{2} \\
+\varepsilon \rho \dot{x}_{1}^{2}+2 \varepsilon \gamma x_{1} x_{2}-\varepsilon x_{1}^{2} x_{2} \\
+\varepsilon \rho\left(\gamma^{2}-1\right)+\gamma . \tag{29}
\end{gather*}
$$

In Equation (28), $\gamma$ and $\rho$ are positive real constants whose magnitudes are sufficiently large to insure that $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ are always positive. Numerical solutions of Van der Pol's equation with initial conditions of $y(0)=2$ and $\frac{d y}{d x}(0)=0$ and values of $\varepsilon$ between 0.5 and 5.0 were obtained by applying the exponential algorithm (ESNDE) to Equation (29). The results are presented in Figure 3 along with approximate solutions given by Davis. ${ }^{14}$ Figure 3 shows good agreement between the exponential algorithm solutions and the approximate solutions of Davis.
IV. NUMERICAL SOLUTION OF THREE STAGE

EVAPORATOR SYSTEM

Solutions for two perturbations in the three stage flash evaporator system described by Equation (l) were obtained from the code ESNDE. Two approaches were taken to include the time dependence of the pressure differences given by Equation (2) given on page 14. The first approach was the introduction of two additional differential equations to describe


SOLUTIONS TO VAN DER POL'S EQUATION FOR $y(0)=2$ AND $d y(0) / d x=0$
the time dependence of the pressure differences by differentiating Equation (2.). The second approach consisted of the evaluation of the pressure differences at the end of each time step from Equation (2) and an iterative solution of an assumed exponential dependence of the pressure differences based upon Equations (19) and (20) given on page 22. When the time dependence of the pressure differences was included, the computation time required to obtain a converged solution became prohibitive.

Solutions were then obtained by assuming that the pressure differences remained constant over each time step. This assumption is not very restrictive since the changes in the pressure differences are small over a time step and only fractional powers, less than or equal to onehalf, of the pressure differences appear in Equation (1). The solutions obtained by including the time dependence of the pressure differences and by assuming the pressure difference remained constant over a time step agreed very well. For the above reasons, the pressure differences were assumed constant over a time step and were evaluated from Equation (2) at the end of each time step.

Solutions of the three stage evaporator system obtained from the exponential algorithm (ESNDE) are compared with solutions obtained by Ball ${ }^{15}$ using MATEXP and from a computer program written to solve Equation (1) by the Euler method. ${ }^{16}$ The solutions obtained by the Euler method are taken as the reference solutions because reductions by a factor of ten in the time step yielded no significant changes in any of the dependent variables.

The first perturbation was a ten degree $\left(10^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$ step change in the steam heater temperature, $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{SH}}$. The second perturbation was a twenty percent step change in the brine flowrate, $W_{B R}$. The initial conditions used were not the steady state values of the system. For this reason, the perturbations were introduced after a forty second interval.

Tabulated results of the solutions are presented in Tables 3 and 4. Plots of the transient responses of the vapor mass and brine mass in the first stage and the brine mass in the third stage are presented in Figures 4, 5, and 6 for the first perturbation and in Figures 7, 8, and 9 for the second perturbation. The time steps were 0.2 seconds for ESNDE, 0.1 seconds for MATEXP, and 0.02 seconds for the Euler method.

The tabulated results show a general agreement between the three methods of solution. The transient responses show excellent agreement between the Euler solution and the exponential algorithm solution and some discrepancies between the Euler solution and the MATEXP solution primarily due to the appearance of oscillations in the MATEXP solution. The oscillations in the MATEXP solution are attributed to either the use of too large a time step since similar oscillations appearing in other problems have been eliminated by a reduction in the size of the time step ${ }^{15}$ or the possibility that a slightly different set.of differential equations was used to obtain the MATEXP solutions.

The exponential algorithm results were obtained by requiring that at each time step none of the values of the exponential parameters

TABLE 3

```
TABULATED RESULTS FOR A 100}\textrm{F}\mathrm{ STEP CHANGE
    IN THE STEAM HEATER TEMPERATURE OF A
            THREE STAGE FLASH EVAPORATOR
```

| Variable | Method of Solution | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Initial } \\ & \text { Condition } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Value of Variable at 40 Seconds | Value of Variable at 100 Seconds | Value of Variable at 200 Seconds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{1}$ | ESNDE | 89.00 | 90.34 | 93.06 | 97.15 |
|  | Euler |  | 90.33 | 93.05 | 97.10 |
|  | MATEXP |  | 90.32 | 93.01 | 97.07 |
| $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ | ESNDE | 87.00 | 88.46 | 91.00 | 94.95 |
|  | Euler |  | 88.45 | 90.99 | 94.90 |
|  | MATEXP |  | 88.44 | 90.95 | 94.87 |
| ${ }^{\text {x }} 3$ | ESNDE | 89.00 | 98.17 | 102.0 | 106.6 |
|  | Euler |  | 98.16 | 102.0 | 106.6 |
|  | MATEXP |  | 98.15 | 102.0 | 106.6 |
| $x_{4}$ | ESNDE | . 4114 | . 4256 | . 4902 | . 5530 |
|  | Euler |  | . 4256 | . 4900 | . 5524 |
|  | MATEXP |  | . 4258 | . 4959 | . 5743 |
| $\mathrm{x}_{5}$ | ESNDE | 108.1 | 107.1 | 112.1 | 117.3 |
|  | Euler |  | 107.1 | 112.1 | 117.3 |
|  | MATEXP |  | 107.1 | 112.1 | 117.3 |
| $\mathrm{x}_{6}$ | ESNDE | 421.4 | 433.7 | 407.3 | 393.3 |
|  | Euler |  | 433.6 | 407.6 | 393.7 |
|  | MATEXP |  | 432.6 | 404.6 | 385.2 |
| $\mathrm{x}_{7}$ | ESNDE | 84.99 | 86.59 | 88.94 | 92.74 |
|  | Euler |  | 86.58 | 88.92 | 92.70 |
|  | MATEXP |  | 86.57 | 88.89 | 92.68 |
| $\mathrm{x}_{8}$ | ESNDE | 82.99 | 84.61 | 86.77 | 90.43 |
|  | Euler |  | 84.59 | 86.76 | 90.39 |
|  | MATEXP |  | 84.58 | 86.73 | 90.37 |
| ${ }^{\prime} 9$ | ESNDE | 84.99 | 95.21 | 98.63 | 103.0 |
|  | Euler |  | 95.20 | 98.62 | 103.0 |
|  | MATEXP |  | 95.20 | 98.58 | 103.0 |

TABLE 3 (continued)

| Variable | Method of Solution | $\begin{gathered} \text { Initial } \\ \text { Condition } \end{gathered}$ | Value of Variable at 40 Seconds | Value of Variable at 100 Seconds | Value of Variable at 200 Seconds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{1} 10$ | ESNDE | . 5901 | . 6155 | . 6989 | . 7881 |
|  | Euler |  | . 6155 | . 6988 | . 7876 |
|  | MATEXP |  | . 6160 | . 7067 | . 8178 |
| ${ }^{1} 11$ | ESNDE | 105.7 | 104.8 | 109.0 | 114.1 |
|  | Euler |  | 104.8 | 108.9 | 114.0 |
|  | MATEXP |  | 104.8 | 108.9 | 114.0 |
|  | ESNDE | 1048. | 1069. | 1051. | 1041. |
| $\mathrm{x}_{12}$ | Euler |  | 1069. | 1051. | 1041. |
|  | MATEXP |  | 1069. | 1048. | 1030. |
| $\mathrm{x}_{13}$ | ESNDE | 80.98 | 82.62 | 84.61 | 88.12 |
|  | Euler |  | 82.61 | 84.59 | 88.08 |
|  | MATEXP |  | 82.61 | 84.57 | 88.05 |
| $\mathrm{x}_{14}$ | ESNDE | 78.98 | 80.26 | 82.06 | 85.39 |
|  | Euler |  | 80.25 | 82.05 | 85.35 |
|  | MATEXP |  | 80.25 | 82.03 | 85.33 |
| $\mathrm{x}_{15}$ | ESNDE | 80.98 | 93.50 | 96.51 | 100.8 |
|  | Euler |  | 93.49 | 96.50 | 100.8 |
|  | MATEXP |  | 93.49 | 96.47 | 100.8 |
| ${ }^{1} 16$ | ESNDE | . 3222 | . 3406 | . 3788 | . 4271 |
|  | Euler |  | . 3406 | . 3787 | . 4266 |
|  | MATEXP |  | . 3410 | . 3827 | . 4420 |
| ${ }^{1} 17$ | ESNDE | 102.8 | 102.0 | 105.1 | 110.1 |
|  | Euler |  | 101.9 | 105.0 | 110.1 |
|  | MATEXP |  | 101.9 | 105.0 | 110.0 |
| ${ }^{1} 18$ | ESNDE | 1705. | 1721. | 1800. | 1834. |
|  | Euler |  | 1721. | 1800. | 1834. |
|  | MATEXP |  | 1720. | 1801. | 1840. |
| ${ }^{1} 19$ | ESNDE | 77.00 | 77.91 | 79.52 | 82.67 |
|  | Euler |  | 77.89 | 79.50 | 82.63 |
|  | MATEXP |  | 77.89 | 79.49 | 82.61 |

TABLE 3 (continued)

| Variable | Method of Solution | Initial Condition | Value of Variable at 40 Seconds | Value of Variable at 100 Seconds | Value of Variable at 200 Seconds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{x}_{20}$ | ESNDE | 110.3 | 109.4 | 115.0 | 120.3 |
|  | Euler |  | 109.4 | 115.0 | 120.2 |
|  | MATEXP |  | 109.4 | 114.9 | 120.2 |
| $\mathrm{x}_{21}$ | ESNDE | 106.5 | 105.6 | 110.2 | 115.2 |
|  | Euler |  | 105.6 | 110.2 | 115.2 |
|  | MATEXP |  | 105.6 | 110.1 | 115.2 |
| $\mathrm{x}_{22}$ | ESNDE | 110.3 | 109.2 | 116.1 | 120.9 |
|  | Euler |  | 109.2 | 116.0 | 120.8 |
|  | MATEXP |  | 109.2 | 116.0 | 120.8 |
| $\mathrm{x}_{23}$ | ESNDE | 125.3 | 120.0 | 134.2 | 138.2 |
|  | Euler |  | 120.0 | 134.2 | 138.2 |
|  | MATEXP |  | 120.0 | 134.1 | 138.1 |

## TABLE 4

TABULATED RESULTS FOR A 20\% STEP CHANGE
IN THE BRINE FLOWRATE OF A THREE STAGE FLASH EVAPORATOR

| Variable | Method of Solution | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Initial } \\ & \text { Condition } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Value of Variable at 40 Seconds | Value of Variable at 100 Seconds | Value of Variable at 200 Seconds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{1}$ | ESNDE | 89.00 | 90.34 | 90.74 | 91.23 |
|  | Euler |  | 90.33 | 90.71 | 91.17 |
|  | MATEXP |  | 90.32 | 90.70 | 91.15 |
| ${ }^{2}$ | ESNDE | 87.00 | 88.46 | 88.98 | 89.50 |
|  | Euler |  | 88.45 | 88.95 | 89.46 |
|  | MATEXP |  | 88.44 | 88.94 | 89.42 |
| $x_{3}$ | ESNDE | 89.00 | 98.16 | 98.08 | 98.42 |
|  | Euler |  | 98.16 | 98.06 | 98.38 |
|  | MATEXP |  | 98.15 | 98.05 | 98.34 |
| $x_{4}$ | ESNDE | . 4114 | . 4256 | . 4176 | . 4196 |
|  | Euler |  | . 4256 | . 4174 | . 4192 |
|  | MATEXP |  | . 4258 | . 4174 | . 4186 |
| $\mathrm{x}_{5}$ | ESNDE | 108.1 | 107.1 | 106.7 | 106.8 |
|  | Euler |  | 107.1 | 106.7 | 106.8 |
|  | MATEXP |  | 107.1 | 106.7 | 106.8 |
| ${ }^{x_{6}}$ | ESNDE | 421.4 | 433.7 | 497.0 | 511.2 |
|  | Euler |  | 433.7 | 497.0 | 511.3 |
|  | MATEXP |  | 432.6 | 497.1 | 511.3 |
| $x_{7}$ | ESNDE | 84.99 | 86.59 | 87.22 | 87.77 |
|  | Euler |  | 86.58 | 87.20 | 87.73 |
|  | MATEXP |  | 86.57 | 87.18 | 87.70 |
| ${ }^{8} 8$ | ESNDE | 82.99 | 84.60 | 85.32 | 85.90 |
|  | Euler |  | 84.59 | 85.30 | 85.86 |
|  | MATEXP |  | 84.58 | 85.29 | 85.83 |
| ${ }^{\mathbf{x}} 9$ | ESNDE | 84.99 | 95.21 | 95.44 | 95.82 |
|  | Euler |  | 95.20 | 95.42 | 95.78 |
|  | MATEXP |  | 95.20 | 95.41 | 95.74 |

TABLE 4 (continued)

| Variable | Method of Solution | Initial Condition | Value of Variable at 40 Seconds | Value of Variable at 100 Seconds | Value of Variable at 200 Seconds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{10}$ | ESNDE |  | . 6154 | . 6116 | . 6148 |
|  | Euler | . 5901 | . 6155 | . 6113 | . 6142 |
|  | MATEXP |  | . 6160 | . 6116 | . 6138 |
| $x_{11}$ | ESNDE |  | 104.8 | 104.7 | 104.9 |
|  | Euler | 105.7 | 104.8 | 104.7 | 104.9 |
|  | MATEXP |  | 104.8 | 104.7 | 104.8 |
| ${ }^{1} 12$ | ESNDE |  | 1069. | 113.9 | 1174. |
|  | Euler | 1048. | 1069. | 113.9 | 1174. |
|  | MATEXP |  | 1069. | 113.7 | 1173. |
| ${ }_{13}$ | ESNDE |  | 82.62 | 83.42 | 84.03 |
|  | Euler | 80.98 | 82.61 | 83.40 | 83.99 |
|  | MATEXP |  | 82.61 | 83.39 | 83.97 |
| $\mathrm{x}_{14}$ | ESNDE |  | 80.26 | 81.12 | 81.76 |
|  | Euler | 78.98 | 80.25 | 81.10 | 81.72 |
|  | MATEXP |  | 80.25 | 81.10 | 81.71 |
| $\mathrm{x}_{15}$ | ESNDE |  | 93.50 | 93.94 | 94.32 |
|  | Euler | 80.98 | 93.49 | 93.91 | 94.28 |
|  | MATEXP |  | 93.49 | 93.90 | 94.25 |
| ${ }^{1} 16$ | ESNDE |  | . 3407 | . 3421 | . 3440 |
|  | Euler | . 3222 | . 3406 | . 3419 | . 3437 |
|  | MATEXP |  | . 3410 | . 3421 | . 3438 |
| $x_{17}$ | ESNDE |  | 102.0 | 102.3 | 102.5 |
|  | Euler | 102.8 | 101.9 | 102.3 | 102.5 |
|  | MATEXP |  | 101.9 | 102.3 | 102.4 |
| ${ }^{1} 8$ | ESNDE |  | 1721. | 1696. | 1766. |
|  | Euler | 1705. | 1721. | 1697. | 1767. |
|  | MATEXP |  | 1720. | 1692. | 1762. |
| ${ }^{\mathrm{X}_{19}}$ | ESNDE |  | 77.90 | 78.82 | 79.50 |
|  | Euler | 77.00 | 77.89 | 78.80 | 79.46 |
|  | MATEXP |  | 77.89 | 78.80 | 79.45 |

TABLE 4 (continued)

| Variable | Method of Solution | Initial Condition | Value of Variable at 40 Seconds | Value of Variable at 100 Seconds | Value of Variable at 200 Seconds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{x}_{20}$ | ESNDE | 110.3 | 109.4 | 108.5 | 108.6 |
|  | Euler |  | 109.4 | 108.5 | 108.6 |
|  | MATEXP |  | 109.4 | 108.5 | 108.5 |
| $\mathrm{x}_{21}$ | ESNDE | 106.5 | 105.6 | 105.4 | 105.6 |
|  | Euler |  | 105.6 | 105.3 | 105.5 |
|  | MATEXP |  | 105.6 | 105.3 | 105.5 |
| $\mathrm{x}_{22}$ | ESNDE | 110.3 | 109.2 | 108.5 | 108.7 |
|  | Euler |  | 109.2 | 108.4 | 108.6 |
|  | MATEXP |  | 109.2 | 108.4 | 108.6 |
| $x_{23}$ | ESNDE | 125.3 | 120.0 | 118.2 | 118.4 |
|  | Euler |  | 120.0 | 118.2 | 118.4 |
|  | MATEXP |  | 120.0 | 118.2 | 118.3 |



FIGURE 4
TRANSIENT RESPONSE OF VAPOR MASS IN STAGE 1 FOR A $10^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ STEP CHANGE IN THE STEAM HEATER TEMPERATURE OF A THREE STAGE FLASH EVAPORATOR


FIGURE 5
TRANSIENT RESPONSE OF BRINE MASS IN STAGE 1 FOR A $10^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ STEP CHANGE IN THE STEAM HEATER TEMPERATURE OF A THREE STAGE FLASH EVAPORATOR


TRANSIENT RESPONSE OF BRINE MASS IN STAGE 3 FOR A $10^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ STEP CHANGE


TRANSIENT RESPONSE OF VAPOR MASS IN STAGE 1 FOR A 20\% STEP CHANGE IN THE BRINE FLOWRATE OF A THREE STAGE FLASH EVAPORATOR


TRANSIENT RESPONSE OF BRINE MASS IN STAGE 1 FOR A 20\% STEP CHANGE
IN THE BRINE FLOWRATE OF A THREE STAGE FLASH EVAPORATOR


TRANSIENT RESPONSE OF BRINE MASS IN STAGE 3 FOR A 20\% STEP CHANGE
IN THE BRINE FLOWRATE OF A THREE STAGE FLASH EVAPORATOR
changed during the last outer-iteration. In order to reduce the computation time, this requirement was relaxed, and only one outeriteration was performed at each time step. The solutions obtained in this manner were essentially identical to the solutions presented in this section. In addition, it was found that comparable results could be obtained by increasing the size of the time step to 0.6 seconds. The time step of 0.6 seconds was found to be the maximum acceptable time step regardless of the number of outer-iterations allowed. At larger time steps, several of the dependent variables attempted to take on negative values which are physically unacceptable.

The computation time required by the exponential algorithm to obtain solutions to the three stage evaporator system with only one outer-iteration and a time step size of 0.6 seconds is almost identical to the time required by MATEXP to obtain the solutions presented in this section.

CONCLUSIONS

The results presented in Chapter IV demonstrate the successful use of the exponential algorithm in the solution of specific nonlinear differential equations. Analytic predictions of the numerical stability of the algorithm are not available, but the algorithm is useful for obtaining solutions to the type of nonlinear equations encountered in this study.

Since the exponential algorithm presented in this thesis is based upon an iterative method of solution, it should allow the use of larger time steps than are allowed in non-iterative methods of solution. The results presented in Chapter IV for the three stage evaporator system tend to confirm this statement. The ability to use a larger time step coupled with the option to reduce the time step when convergence is difficult makes the exponential algorithm attractive when estimates of a suitable time step are not available for other methods of solution.

As discussed in Chapter IV, a reduction in the MATEXP time step may be required in order to remove the oscillations in the three stage evaporator solutions. Since the computation time required by MATEXP is approximately proportional to the number of time intervals, the time required to obtain accurate solutions for a three stage evaporator system will be less for the exponential algorithm than for MATEXP if a reduction of the MATEXP time step is required.

As noted in Chapter IV, only one outer-iteration was required to obtain solutions for the three stage evaporator system. When only one outer-iteration is specified, the computation time is approximately proportional to the number of equations to be solved. For this reason, the use of the exponential algorithm with one outer-iteration is attractive for the solution of the large sets of nonlinear differential equations that describe a multistage flash evaporator.
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## APPENDIX A

## TERMINCLOGY USED FOR THE FLASH EVAPORATOR

The terminology used to describe the three stage flash evaporator is basically that used in Reference 6. The terms used in the development of Equations (1) and (2) are defined below:
$M=$ mass (pounds)
W = mass flowrate (pounds/second)
$T$ = temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$
$P=$ pressure (psi)
$C_{p}=$ specific heat (Btu/pound $/{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ )
$h=$ heat transfer coefficient (Btu/second $/ \mathrm{ft}^{2} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ )
$h_{f g}=$ heat of vaporization (Btu/pound)
$\rho=$ density (pounds/ft ${ }^{3}$ )
$A=$ heat transfer area $\left(f t^{2}\right)$
AFC $=$ base area of channel $\cdot\left(f t^{2}\right)$
$V V=$ vapor volume $\left(f t^{3}\right)$
Recf $=$ coolant recirculation fraction
XW1 = flow coefficient between stages (pounds/second/psi ${ }^{1 / 2}$ )
$K=$ flashing flow coefficient (pounds ${ }^{1 / 2} /$ second ${ }^{l / 2} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ )
XM3B = bias term accounting for curvature of Stage 3 sides (psi)
K2 = importance factor for downstream liquid level
$\tau=$ time delay (seconds)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha=\left(\frac{\partial T_{V-S A T}}{\partial P_{V}}\right)_{P_{V O}}\left(\frac{1}{V V}\right)\left(\frac{\partial P_{V}}{\partial \rho_{V-S A T}}\right) \rho_{V-S A T O} \quad\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F} /\right. \text { pound) } \\
& \beta=T_{V O}-\alpha M_{V O}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right) \\
& \gamma=\left(\frac{\partial P_{V}}{\partial \rho_{V-S A T}}\right) P_{V O}\left(\frac{1}{V V}\right) \quad \text { (psi/pound) } \\
& \xi=P_{V O}-\gamma M_{V O} \quad \text { (psi). }
\end{aligned}
$$

The following subscripts are applied to the above terms:

```
0 = initial
I = Stage 1
    2 = Stage 2
    3 = Stage 3
    i = inside
    o = outside, outlet
    T = water in tubes
    TB = tray brine
    CV = cell vapor
    BR = brine
    C = coolant water
    CL = coclant in reservoir
    IP = inlet plenum
    SH = steam heater
```

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Tube = tube } \\
& \text { SAT = saturated } \\
& V=\text { vapor }
\end{aligned}
$$

## APPENDIX B

## THE COMPUTER CODE

## I. INPUT INFORMATION

The following general representation of the $i^{\text {th }}$ differential equation is used to discuss the input data required by the computer code ESNDE:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d x_{i}}{d t}=\sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{i j} x_{j}+d_{i i} x_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{I l_{i}} C l_{j} x_{V l_{j}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { In }{ }_{i} \\
& +\sum_{j=1} C 4_{j} x_{V L_{j}}\left(t-\tau_{j}\right)+s_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

where
$N$ is the number of dependent variables;
$x_{i}$ is the $i^{\text {th }}$ dependent variable;
$a_{i j}$ is the if ${ }^{\text {th }}$ element of the linear matrix $A$ in Equation (5);
$d_{i i}$ is the $i i^{\text {th }}$ element of the diagonal matrix $D$ in Equation (5);
$\mathrm{Il}_{i}$ is the number of typed nonlinearities in the $i^{\text {th }}$ differential equation;

I2 $i$ is the number of type-2 nonlinearities in the $i^{\text {th }}$ differential equation;
I3 $i$ is the number of type- 3 nonlinearities in the $i^{\text {th }}$ differential equation;

I4 $i_{i}$ is the number of pure time delays in the $i^{\text {th }}$ differential equation;
$C 1{ }_{j}$ 's, $C 2{ }_{j}$ 's, and $C 3_{j}$ 's are the coefficients of the type-1, type-2, and type-3 nonlinearities respectively;
$C 4_{j}$ 's are the coefficients of the time-lagged terms;
 integers corresponding to the indices of the dependent variables;
$P l_{j}$ 's, $P 21_{j}$ 's, $P 22_{j}$ 's, $P 31_{j}$ 's, $P 32_{j}$ 's, and $P 33_{j}$ 's are real numbers denoting the powers to which the dependent variables are raised;
$\tau_{f}$ 's are the time delays; and
$s_{i}$ is the $i^{\text {th }}$ element of forcing function vector $\bar{S}$ in Equation (5). The arrangement of the data cards for a typical problem is shown in Figure 10. The layouts of the individual data cards are:

## Title Card

This card may contain up to 80 alphanumeric characters.

## Control Card 1

The following data are specified on this card in a $2 I 4$ format:


FIGURE 10
ESNDE INPUT DATA ARRANGEMENT

1. $N=$ the number of dependent variables, and
2. NOUTIT = the maximum number of outer-iterations.

## Control Card 2

The following data are specified on this card in a 7El0.3 format:

1. $T O=$ starting time for solution,
2. DELTAT = maximum size of solution time step,
3. $\quad$ TMAX $=$ final solution time,
4. HMIN $=$ minimum size of solution time step,
5. CONVLR $=$ line or convergence constant $\left(\varepsilon_{\ell}\right)$,
6. CONVFR $=$ fractional convergence constant $\left(\varepsilon_{f}\right)$, and
7. $\operatorname{CONVCH}=$ value of exponential parameters ( $\omega$ 's) above which fractional convergence test is applied and below which linear convergence test is applied.

Linear Matrix Cards.
These cards are used to input the linear matrix A. The elements of the linear matrix, $a_{i j}$ 's, are specified by rows in a $8 E l 0.3$ format. Diagonal Matrix Cards

These cards are used to input the diagonal elements of the diagonal matrix D. The diagonal elements, $d_{i i}$ 's, are specified in a 8El0.3 format.

Type Cards
For each differential equation, four integers are required to
denote the number and type of nonlinearities and the number of timelagged terms. These numbers, corresponding to $I 1_{i}, I 2_{i}, I 3_{i}$, and I4 $i_{i}$ in Equation (30), are specified in the aforementioned order in a $20 I 4$ format.

Type-1 Cards.
For each nonlinearity of the form $\mathrm{Cl}_{j} X^{\mathrm{Pl}} \mathrm{Vl}_{j}$, a type-1 card is required. Each type-l card specifies a $C l_{j}, V l_{j}$, and $P l_{j}$ in a ElO.3, I3, ElO. 3 format.

Type-2 Cards.
For each nonlinearity of the form $\mathrm{C2}{ }_{j} x_{V 21}{ }^{\mathrm{P} 21}{ }_{j}{ }_{x_{V 22}}{ }^{\mathrm{P} 22}$, , a type-2 card is required. Each type-2 card specifies a $\mathrm{C} 2_{j}, \mathrm{~V} 21_{j}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{l}} 1_{j}, \mathrm{~V} 22_{j}$, and P22 in a ElO.3, I4, ElO.3, I4, ElO.3 format.

## Type-3 Cards.

 type -3 card is required. Each type-3 card specifies a $C 3_{f}, V 3 I_{f}$, $P 31_{j}, V 32_{j}, P 32_{j}, V 33_{j}$, and $P 33_{j}$ in a ElO.3, I4, E1O.3, I4, E1O.3, I4, El0. 3 format.

Type-4 Cards.
For each time lagged term, a type-4 card is required. Each type -4 card specifies a $\mathrm{CH}_{j}, V 4_{j}$, and a time lag $\tau_{j}$ in a ElO.3, I4, El0. 3 format.

Forcing Function Cards.
These cards are used to input forcing function vector $\overline{\mathrm{S}}$. The elements of this vector, $s_{i}$ 's, are specified in a $8 E 10.3$ format. Initial Condition Cards.

These cards are to input the initial conditions. The initial conditions are specified in a 8E10.3 format.

At the beginning of each time step, the subroutine COEFF is called in order to update the values of time-varying coefficients. The user must supply his own COEFF subroutine.

## II. LISTING OF THE CODE

A listing of the computer code ESNDE is presented on the following pages.


C
READ 5,901$)(D(I), I=1, N)$

## 13 IF (TYPE3.LE.O) GO TO 14

WE HAVE TERMS DF THE FORM C $(X(I) * * A)(X(J) * * B)(X(K) * * D)$ READ(5,906) (COEF3(I), VAR 31(I), POW31 (I), VAR32(I), POW 321
1I), VAR33(I), POW33(I), I = 1 , TYPE3)
COEF3'S CORRESPOND TO THE C'S
C
C
C
C
C
D IS N DIMENSION DIAGONAL VECTOR
WRITE 6,3002$)$
WRITE( 6,3001 ) (D(I), I $=1, N$ )
READ(5,900)(ITYPE1(I), ITYPE2(I), ITYPE3(I), ITYPE4(I), I= 11,N)
FOR THE I-TH DIFFENTIAL EQUATION\#
ITYPEI=NUMBER OF TERMS OF THE FORM C(XII)**A)
ITYPE2=NUMBER OF TERMS OF THE FORM C(X(I)**A) $(X(J) * * B)$
ITYPE 3=NUMBER OF TERMS OF THE FORM
$C(X(I) * * A)(X(J) * * B)(X(K) * * D)$
ITYPE4=NUMBER OF PURE TIME DELAYS OF THE FORM
C(XII)AD TIME $=T-T A U$
WRITE $(6,3003)$
WRITE(6,3004) (ITYPE1(I),ITYPE2(I),ITYPE3(I), ITYPE4(I) $1 ; I=1 ; N$ )
$0011 \mathrm{I}=1, \mathrm{~N}$
TYPE1=TYPE1 +ITYPEI(I)
TYPE 2=TYPE2 +ITYPE2(I)
TYPE3 $=$ TYPE3 + ITYPE3(I)
TYPE4=TYPE4 + ITYPE4(I)
11 CONTINUE
IF(TYPEL.LE.O) GO TO 12
WE HAVE TERMS OF THE FORM C(X(I)**A)
READ (5,904)(COEFI(I),VARI(I), POWI(I),I=1,TYPE1)
CDEFI'S CORRESPOND TO THE C'S
VAR I'S CORRESPOND TO THE I'S
POWI'S CORRESPOND TO THE A'S
12 IF (TYPE2.LE.0) GO TO 13
WE HAVE TERMS DF THE FORM C(X(I)**A)(X(J)**B)
READ 5, 905 )(COEF2(I), VAR21(I), POW21(I), VAR22(I), POW221
1I), I=1, TYPE 2 )
COEF2'S CORRESPOND TO THE C'S
VAR 21'S CORRESPOND TO THE I'S
POW21'S CORRESPOND TO THE A'S
VAR22'S CORRESPOND TO THE J•S
POW22'S CORRESPOND TO THE B'S
C
VAR 31'S CORRESPOND TO THE I'S

POW $31{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ CORRESPOND TO THE A'S
VAR 32'S CORRESPOND TO THE J'S
POW32'S CORRESPOND TO THE B'S
VAR $33^{\circ}$ S CORRESPOND TO THE K'S
POW33'S CORRESPOND TO THE D'S
14 IF(TYPE4.LE:0) GO TO 15
WE HAVE TERMS OF THE FORM CIXIIIIA TIME=T-TAU
THAT IS \# WE HAVE PURE TIME DELAYS

```
    READ(5,907)(COEF4(I),VAR4(I),TAU(I),I=1,TYPE4)
C COEF4'S CORRESPOND TO THE C'S
C VAR4'S CORRESPOND TO THE I'S
C TAU'S CORRESPOND TO THE TIME DELAYS( TO THE TAU`SI
    15 CONTINUE
    WRITE{6,3005)
    IF(TYPEI:LE.O) GO TO 4000
    WRITE(6;3006) (COEF1(I);VAR1(I),POW1(I),I=1,TYPEI)
    4 0 0 0
        IF(TYPE2.LE.O) GO TO 4001
        WRITE{6,3009) {COEF2(I),VAR21(I),POW21(I),VAR22(I),POW
    122(I),I=1;TYPE2)
    4001 WRITE(6;3010)
        IF(TYPE3.LE.O) GO TO 4002
        WRITE(6,301I) (COEF3(I),VAR31(I),POW31(I),VAR32(I),POW
        132(I),VAR33(I),POW33(I);I=1,TYPE3)
    4002 WRITE(6,3012)
        IF{TYPE4.LE.O) GO.TO 4003
        WRITE(6,3013) (COEF4(I),VAR4(I),TAU(I),I=1,TYPE4)
    4003 CONTINUE
    READ(5,901){CONSOR(I),I=1,N)
C CONSOR IS N DIMENSION CONSTANT SOURCE VECTOR
    WRITE(6,3014)
    WRITE(6,3001) (CONSOR(I),I=1,N)
    READ IN INIFIAL CONDITIONS
    READ(5,901)}(X(I);I=1,N
C INITIALIZE TIME LAG ARRAYS
    CALL LAG(O)
    T= TO
    THE SUBROUTINE OMGAI ESTIMATES THE FIRST VALUE OF
C THE OMEGA'S
    CALL OMGA I
    1 CONTINUE
    WRITE{6,1000) T
    WRITE(6,3001) (X(I),I=1,N)
    WRITE(6,3001) (OMEGA(I),I=1,N)
    IF(T.GE.TMAX) GO TO 2000
    UPDATE TIME LAGGED ARRAVS
    IF(T.NE.TOI CALL LAG(I)
C THE SUBROUTINE COEFF IS USED TO UPDATE TIME VARING
C COEFFICIENTS AT THE BEGINNING OF EACH TIME STEP
    CALL COEFF
    H=DELTAT
        3 CONTINUE
            ISTOP=1
            DO 4 II=1,NOUTIT
            IF(ISTOP.EQ.O) GO TO 8
        7 CONTINUE
            C FINO TIME LAGGED VARIABLES
            CALL LAG(2)
            IFLAG=0
```

```
            I STEP 1=0
            I STEP 2=0
            I STEP3=0
            I STEP4=0
            I STOP=0
            DO 5 I= 1,N
            ISTEP=0
C SUBROUTINE EVALXT CALCULATES THE X'S AT TIME=T&H
        6 CALL EVALXTII,ISTEP1,ISTEP2,ISTEP3,ISTEP4,IFLAGI
            IFLAG=0
            OMESTR=(ALOG(XT(I)/X(I)|)/H
            IF(ABS{OMEGA(IH).GE.CONVCH) GO TO }5
            IF(ABSIOMESTR-OMEGA(I)H.LE.CONVLR) GO TO }
            GO TO 51
        50 IF(ABSP(OMESTR-OMEGAII)|/OMEGA(I)I.LE.CONVFR)GO TO 5
        51 CONTINUE
            I STEP=ISTEP+1
            ISTOP=I STOP +1
C SUBROUTINE ITER ITERATES UPON THE OMEGA'S AND RETURNS
C NEW ESTIMATES OF THE OMEGA'S
    CALL ITER(I,OMESTR,ISTEP)
    IF(H.LE.HMIN) WRITE(6,1002) H,I
    IF(H.LE.HMIN) CALL EXIT
    IFLAG=1
    IF\ISTEP.NE.4) GO TO 6
    GO TO:7
        5 ~ C O N T I N U E
        IF(II:EQ.NOUTIT) WRITE(6,1.009)
        4 CONTINUE
        8 \text { CONTINUE}
        T=T+H
        DO 2I=1,N
        2 XIIT=XT(I)
        GO TO 1
2000 CONTINUE
    777. FORMATIIHO, 'N=',I3,3X,'NOUTIT=*,I2,3X,' TO=', E12.4,3X,
        1' DELTAT = 0, E12.4,3X, 'TMAX=`,E12.4)
    778 FORMAT(1HO, HMIN=, E12.4,3X;'CONVLR=,.E12.4,3X,
    1.CONVFR=',E12.4,3X,'CONVCH=`,E12.4)
    800 FORMAT(20A4)
    801 FORMAT(1H1,15X,20A4)
    900 FORMAT(20I4)
    901 FORMAT(8E10:3)
    904 FORMAT(E10.3,I3,E10.3)
    905 FORMATIE10.3,I 3,E10.3,I3,E10.3)
    906 FORMAT(E10.3,I3,E10.3,I3,E1O.3,I3,E1O.3)
    907 FORMATIE10.3,I3,E10.3)
1000 FORMAT(IHO,'X(I) ANO OMEGAII) FOR TIME=',F13.4,1X,
        1*ARE*)
    1002 FORMAT(1H1,'CALCULATION TERMINATED H LE HMIN H=',
        1E10.3,'I=`,I4)
```

```
1009 FORMATIIHO,'PROBLEM MAY NOT HAVE CONVERGED AT THE ',
    1'FOLLOWING TIME STEP')
3000 FORMATIIHI,'THE LINEAR MATRIX IS BY ROWS')
3001 FORMAT(1H ,1OE12.4)
3002 FORMAT(IHI,'THE DIAGONAL ELEMENTS ARE')
3003 FORMAT(1H1,'ITYPE1,ITYPE2,ITYPE3,ITYPE4 ARE')
3004 FORMAT(1H ,7X,4I4;8X,4I4,8X,414,8X,414,8X,414)
3005 FORMAT(1H1, 'COEF1,VAR1,POW1 ARE')
3006 FORMAT(1H ,E12.4,14,E12.4)
3008 FORMAT(1HO, 'COEF2,VAR21,POW21,VAR22,POW22 ARE')
3009 FORMATIIH, E12.4,14,E12.4,14,E12.4)
3010 FORMATI1HO, 'CDEF3,VAR31,POW31,VAR32,POW32,VAR33,POW33'
    1;' AREO
3011 FORMAT(1H ,E12.4,14,E12.4,I4,E12.4,14,E12.4)
3012 FORMAT(IHO, 'COEF4,VAR4,TAU ARE')
3013 FORMATIIH ,E12.4,I4,E12.4)
3014 FORMATIIH1,'THE CONSTANT SOURCE TERMS ARE')
    CALL EXIT
    END
```

```
        SUBROUTINE ITER(I,OMESTR,ISTEP)
        COMMON/OMEG/OMEGA(30) ,H
        COMMON/LUG/TYPE4,DELTAT, XL(10),TO,T,N
        INTEGER TYPE4
        DATA EPL,EPER,BMX,HRD/.001,1.0,0.75,0.9/
        HRD=FACTOR BY WHICH TIME STEP IS REDUCED IF A
C
C CONVERGED OMEGA CAN NOT BE FOUND IN THREE ESTIMATES
C THE TIME STEP IS REDUCE
    EPLEPR=EPL*EPER
    THE PRODUCT EPL*EPER SHOULD ALWAYS BE LESS THAN OR
    EQUAL TO CONVLR
    IF\ISTEP.GT.1)GO TO 1
    BELOW IS SCHEME 1
        ERI=OMESTR-OMEGA(I )
        OM1=OMEGA (I )
        OM2=OME STR
        OMEGA I I )=OM2
        RETURN
    1 IFIISTEP.GT.2I GO TO 2
        BELOW IS SCHEME 2
        OM3=OMESTR
        ER2=0M3-DM2
        IF{ABS(ER2).GE.ABS(ER1)) GO TO 7
        IF(ABS(ER2).GE.EPLEPR) GO TO 7
        OMEGAII I=OM3
        RETURN
        7 B=1.0-ER1/ER2
        IF(ERI*ER2.LT.O.0) GO TO }
        IF(ABS(B)..GE.BMX) GO TO 8
        IF(B.GT.O.O) GO TO }
    B=-BMX
    GO TO 10
    9 B=BMX
    10 IF(ABS(ER1).GE.ABS(ER2)) GO TO 8
    A=ER1*(1.0-B)
        GO TO 11
    8 A =ER2-B*(OM3-OM1)
    11 OM3=OM1-A/B
    OMEGA(I)=OM3
    RETURN
    2 IF IISTEP.GT.3) GO TO 3
    BELOW IS SCHEME 2
    OM4=OMESTR
    ER3=OM4-DM3
    IF(ABS(ER 3).GE.ABS(ER2)) GO TO 4
    IF(ABS(ER 3).GE.EPLEPR) GO TO 4
    OMEGA(I)=0M4
    RETURN
    4 B=1.0-ER2/ER3
    IF(ER2*ER3.LT.0.0) GO TO 5
    IF(ABS(B).GE.BMX) GO TO 5
```

```
        IF(B.GT.O.OI GO TO 6
        B=-BMX
        GO TO 12
    6 B=BMX
    12 IF(ABS(ER2).GE.ABS(ER3)) GO TO 5
        A=ER2*(1.0-R)
        GO TO 13
        5 A = E R 3 - B * ( O M 4 - O M 2 ) ~
    13OM4=OM2-A/B
        OMEGA( I )=OM4
        RETURN
C TIME STEP IS REDUCED HERE
    3 H=H*HRD
        WRITE(6,20) H,I
    20 FORMATIIH,'TIME STEP REDUCED TO ,,E12.6,'FOR I=',I41
        RETURN
        END
```

```
    SURROUTINE EVALXT(I,ISTEP1,ISTEP2,ISTEP3,ISTEP4,IFLAG)
    COMMON/MATRIX/X(30), XT(30),LMAT(30,30),D(30),CONSOR(30
    1), ITYPE1(30), ITYPE 2(30), ITYPE3(30), ITYPE4(30), COEF1(30
    2), COEF2(30), COEF3(30), COEF4(30), VAR1(30), POW1(30),
    3VAR21(30), PDW21(30),VAR22(30), POW22(30),VAR31(30).,
    4POW31(30), VAR 32(30), POW32(30), VAR33(30), POW33(30),
    5VAR4(30), TAU(30)
        COMMON/OMEG/OMEGA(30) ,H
        COMMON/LUG/TYPE4,DELTAT,XL(1O),TO,T,N
        INTEGER TYPE4
        REAL LMAT
        INTEGER VAR1,VAR21,VAR22,VAR31,VAR32,VAR33,VAR4
        DATA DEMMIN/.001/
        ST=CONSOR(I)
        XT(I)=X(I)*EXP(DII)*H)
        IF(ABS(D(I)).LE.DEMMIN)GO TO I
        XT(I)=XT(I)+(EXP(D(I)*H)-1.0)*ST/D(I)
        GO TO*2
    A SERIES EXPANSION IS TO BE MADE FOR THE TERM
    (EXP(D)-1.0)/D. BECAUSE D IS VERY SMALL.
    THIS SERIES EXPANSION IS MADE WHENEVER D IS
    LESS THAN.OR EQUAL TO DEMMIN.
    1 XT(I)=XT(I)+ST*H*(1.0+D(I)*H/2.0+((D)I)*H)**2)/6.0)
    2 CONTINUE
        DO-3 K=1,N
        XK=OMEGA(K)-D(I)
        IF(ABS(XK).LE.DEMMIN ) GO TO 4
        XT(I)=XT(I)+LMAT(I,K)*EXP(D(I)*H)*(EXP( XK*H)-1.0)*X(
        1K)/XK
        GO TO-3
    A SERIES EXPANSION IS TO BE MADE FOR THE TERM
    (EXP(XK)-1.0)/XK BECAUSE XK IS VERY SMALL.
    THIS SERIES EXPANSION IS MADE WHENEVER XK IS
    LESS THAN DR EQUAL TO DEMMIN.
    4 XT(I)=XT(I) +LMAT(I,K)*EXP(D(I)*H)*X(K)*H*(1.0*XK*H/2.0
    1+((XK*H)**2)/6.0)
    3 CONTINUE
    IF(ITYPEI(I).LE.O)GO TO 2O
    WE HAVE TERMS OF THE FORM C(XII)**A)
    NO= ITYPEI I I I
    IF(IFLAG.EQ.1) ISTEP1=ISTEP1-NO
    DO 11 K=1;NO
    I STEP I = ISTEP I +1
    XK=POW1(ISTEP1)*OMEGA(VARI(ISTEP1)]-D(I)
    IF(ABS(XK):LE:DEMMIN)GO TO 12
    XT(I)=XT(I)+COEF1(ISTEP1)*EXP(D(I)*H)*(X(VARI(ISTEP1))
    1**POW1(ISTEP1))*(EXP(XK*H)-1.0)/XK
    GO TO-11
    A SERIES EXPANSION IS TO BE MADE FOR THE TERM
    (EXP(XK)-1.0)/XK BECAUSE XK IS VERY SMALL.
    THIS SERIES EXPANSION IS MADE HHENEVER XK IS
```

C
12 CONTINUE
XT(I) = XT(I) +COEFI(ISTEP1)*EXP(D(I)*H)*(X(VARI(ISTEPI))
1**POWI(ISTEP1))*H*(1.0+XK*H/2.0+((XK*H)**2)/6.0)
11 CONTINUE
20 IFIITYPEZII I.LE.OIGO TO 30
WE HAVE TERMS OF THE FORM $C(X(I) * * A)(X(J) * * B)$
NO=ITYPE 2 (I)
IFIIFLAG.EQ. 11 ISTEP2=ISTEP2-NO
DO $21 \mathrm{~K}=1$, NO
I STEP $2=$ I STEP 2+1
XK=POW21(ISTEP2)*OMEGA(VAR21(ISTEP2)) +POW22 (ISTEP 2)*OM
1EGA(VAR22(ISTEP2))-D(I)
IF(ABS(XK).LE.DEMMIN)GO TO 22
XT(I) = XT(I) +COEF2 (ISTEP2)*EXP(D(I)*H)*(X(VAR21 (ISTEP2)
1)**POW21(ISTEP2))*(X(VAR22(ISTEP2))**POW22(ISTEP2))*(E
$2 \times P(X K * H)-1.0) / X K$
GO TO 21
A SERIES EXPANSION IS TO BE MADE FOR THE TERM
(EXP (XK)-1.0)/XK BECAUSE XK IS VERY SMALL.
THIS SERIES EXPANSION IS MADE WHENEVER XK IS
LESS THAN OR EQUAL TO DEMMIN.
22 CONTINUE
XT(I)=XT(I) +COEF2(ISTEP2)*EXP(D(I)*H)*(X(VAR21(ISTEP2) 1)**PDW21(ISTEP2))*(X(VAR22(ISTEP2))**POW22(ISTEP2))*H*
$2(1.0+X K * H / 2.0+((X K * H) * * 2) / 6.0)$
21 CONTINUE
30 IF(ITYPE3(II.LE.OIGO TO 40
WE HAVE TERMS OF THE FORM $C(X(I) * * A)(X(J) * * B)(X(K) * * D)$
NO=ITYPE3(I)
IFIIFLAG.EQ. 1 I ISTEP3=ISTEP3-NO
DO $31 \mathrm{~K}=1$, NO
[ STEP 3=1 STEP 3+1
XK=POW31(ISTEP3) *OMEGA(VAR31(ISTEP3)) +POW32(ISTEP 3)*OM 1EGA(VAR32(ISTEP3)) +POW33(ISTEP3)*OMEGA(VAR33(ISTEP3))2D(I)
IF (ABS(XK).LE.DEMMINIGO TO 32
XT(I) = XT(I) +COEF3(ISTEP3)*EXP(D(I)*H)*(X(VAR31(ISTEP3)
1)**POW31(ISTEP3))*(X(VAR32(ISTEP3))**POW32(ISTEP3))*(X
$2(V A R 33(I S T E P 3)) * * P O W 33(I S T E P 3)) *(E X P(X K * H)-1.0) / X K$
GO TO 31
A SERIES EXPANSION IS TO BE MADE FOR THE TERM
(EXP(XK)-1.0)/XK BECAUSE XK IS VERY SMALL.
THIS SERIES EXPANSION IS MADE WHENEVER XK IS
LESS THAN OR EQUAL TO DEMMIN.
32 CONTINUE
XT(I) $=\mathrm{XT}(\mathrm{I})+$ COEF $3(I S T E P 3)$ *EXP(D(I)*H)*(X(VAR31(ISTEP3) 1)**POW31(ISTEP3))*(X(VAR32(ISTEP3))**POW32(ISTEP3))*(X 2(VAR33(ISTEP3))**POW33(ISTEP3))*H*(1.0+XK*H/2.0*( (XK*H 3) **21/6.01

31 CONTINUF

```
    40 IF(ITYPE4(I).LE.OIGO TO 50
    WE HAVE TERMS DF THE FORM C(X(I))d. TIME=T-TAU
    THAT IS # WE HAVE PURE TIME DELAYS
        NO=ITYPE4(I)
        IF(IFLAG.EQ.1) ISTEP4=ISTEP4-NO
        DO 41 K=1,NO
        I STEP 4=I STEP4+1
        IF(ABS(D(I)).LE.DEMMIN) GO TO 42
        XT(I)=XT(I)+COEF4(ISTEP4)*(EXP(D(I)*H)-1.0)*XL(ISTEP4)
    2/D(I)
        GO TO 41
    A SERIES EXPANSION IS TO BE MADE FOR THE TERM
C (EXP(D)-1.0)/D BECAUSE D IS VERY SMALL.
C THIS SERIES EXPANSION IS MADE WHENEVER D IS
C LESS THAN OR EQUAL TO DEMMIN.
42 CONTINUE
    XT(I)=XT(I)+COEF4(ISTEP4)*H*(1.0+D(I)*H/2.0+(ID(I)*H)*
    1*2)/6.01*XL(I STEP4)
41 CONTINUE
5 0 ~ C O N T I N U E
    RETURN
    END
```

```
        SURROUTINE OMGAI
        COMMON/MATRIX/X(30),XT(30),LMAT(30, 30),D(30),CONSOR(30
    1), ITYPE1(30), ITYPE2(30), ITYPE3(30), ITYPE4(30), COEF1(30
    2),COEF2(30), COEF 3(30), COEF4(30),VAR1(30), POW1(30).
    3VAR 21(30), POW21(30), VAR22(30), POW22(30),VAR31(30),
    4POW31(30),VAR32(30), POW32(30),VAR33(30), POW33(30).
    5VAR4(301); TAU(30)
    COMMON/OMEG/OMEGA(30) ,H
    COMMON/LUG/TYPE4,DELTAT,XL{10},TO,T,N
    REAL LMAT
    INTEGER VAR1,VAR21,VAR22,VAR31,VAR32,VAR33,VAR4
    INTEGER TYPE4
    THIS SUBROUTINE ESTIMATES THE FIRST VALUE OF
C THIS SUBROU
    I STEP 1=0
    I STEP 2=0
    I STEP 3=0
    I STEP4=0
    DO 11 I=1,N
    XT(I)=CON SOR(I)
    XT(I)=XT(I)*D(I)*X(I)
    DO 2 J=1,N
2 XT(I)=LMAT(I,J)*X{J)+XT(I)
    IF(ITYPEI(I).LE.O) GO TO 4
    NO= ITYPEI\I)
    DO 3 K=1,NO
    I STEP 1= I STEP 1+1
3 XT(I)=XT(I)+COEFI(ISTEPI)*(XIVARI(ISTEPI))**POWI(ISTEP
    11)
4 IF(ITYPE2(I).LE.O) GO TO 6
    NO=ITYPE2(I)
    DO 5 K=1;NO
    I STEP 2=ISTEP 2+1
5 XT(I)=XT(I)+COEF2(ISTEP2)*(X(VAR2I(ISTEP2))**POW21(IST
    1EP2))*(X(VAR22(ISTEP2))**POW22(ISTEP2))
6 IF(ITYPE3(I).LE.O1 GO TO 8
    NO= ITYPE3(I)
    DO.7 K=1;NO
    I STEP 3= I STEP3+1
7 XT(I)=XT(I) +COEF3(ISTEP3)*(X(VAR31(ISTEP3))**POW31SIST
    1EP3))*{X(VAR32(ISTEP3))**PON32(ISTEP3))*{X(VAR33(ISTEP
    23||*POH33(ISTEP3))
8*IF{ITYPE4(II.LE.O) GO TO 10
    NO=ITYPE4(I)
    DO-9 K=1,NO
    ISTEP4=I STEP4+1
    9 XT(I)=XT(I) +COEF4(ISTEP4)*X(VAR4(ISTEP4))
10 CONTINUE
11 CONTINUE
    WRITE(6,100)
    HRITE(6,101) (XT(I),I=1,N)
```

[^4]```
    SUBROUTINE LAG(LGCODE)
    COMMON/MATRIX/X(30),XT(30),LMAT(30,30),D{30),CONSOR(30
    1), ITYPE1(30),ITYPE2(30),ITYPE3(30), ITYPE4(30),COEF1(30
    2), COEF2(30), COEF3(30), COEF4(30),VAR1(30), POW1(30),
    3VAR21(30), POW21(30), VAR22(30), POW22(30), VAR31(30).,
    4POW31 (30), VAR 32(30), POW32(30),VAR33(30), POW33(30).,
    5VAR4(30), TAU(30)
    COMMON/OMEG/OMEGA(30),H
    COMMON/LUG/TYPE4,DELTAT, XL(10),TO,T,N
    DIMENSION XLAG(10,100),TLAG(100)
    DIMENSION XXLAG(10,100),TTLAG(100)
    REAL LMAT
    INTEGER VAR1,VAR21,VAR22,VAR31,VAR32,VAR33,VAR4
    INTEGER TYPE4
    THIS SUBROUTINE STORES AND FINDS THE LAGGED VARIABLES.
    IF ANY TIME LAGS EXCEED APPROXIMATELY 80*DELTAT THEN
    NL SHOULD BE INCREASED AND THE DIMENSIONS OF 100 IN
    THIS SUBROUTINE SHOULD BE INCREASEO LIKEWISE.
    WHEN LGCODE=0, THE INITIAL LAGGED TERMS ARE SET EQUAL
    TO THE INITIAL CONDITIONS AND LAGGED ARRAYS ARE
    PRDDUCED.
    WHEN LGCODE=1, THE LAGGED ARRAYS ARE UPDATED.
    WHEN LGCODE=2, THE LAGGED VARIABLES ARE FOUND AND
    RETURNED TD THE MAIN CODE.
    ALL TAU'S MUST BE GREATER THAN DELTAT.
    IF(TYPE4.EQ.OI RETURN
    NL=100
    IF{LGCODE.GT.O\ GO TO I
    TLAG(1)=TO
    DO 2 K=1,TYPE 4
    2 XLAG(K,1)=X(VAR4(K))
    DO 3 KK=2,N
    TLAG(KK)=TLAG(KK-1)-DELTAT
    DO 3 K=1,TYPE4
    3 XLAG(K,KK)=X{VAR4(K))
    RETURN
    1 IFILGCODE.GT. 1) GO TO }
    NN=NL-1
    DO 12 KK=2,NL
    TTLAG(KK)=TLAG(KK-1)
    DO }12\textrm{K}=1,\mathrm{ ,TYPE4
12 XXLAG(K,KK)=XLAG(K,KK-1)
    DO 6 KK=2,NL
    TLAG(KK)=TTLAG(KK)
    DO 6 K=1,TYPE4
    6 XLAG(K,KK)=XXLAG(K,KK)
    TLAG(1)=T
    DO 7 K=1, TYPE4
7 XLAG(K,1)=X(VAR4(K))
    RETURN
    5 \text { CONTINUE}
```

```
            DD 11 IX=1,TYPE4
            TC=T+H/2.0-TAU(IX)
            DO }8\textrm{I}=1\mathrm{ ,NL
            IF(TC.GE.TLAG(I)) L=I
            IF(TC.GE.TLAG(I)) GO TO 9
    8 CONTINUE
            WRITE(6;100) VAR4(IX)
100 FORMAT(1H,******* CAN NOT FIND LAGGFD VARIABLE =', I4)
            CALL EXIT
    9 IF(TC.NE.TLAG(L)) GO TO 10
            XL(IX)=XLAG(IX,L)
            GO TO 11
10 XL(IX)=XLAG(IX,L)+(XLAG(IX,L-I)-XLAG(IX,L))*(TC-TLAGIL
    1))/(TLAG(L-1)-TLAG(L))
11 CONTINUE
    RETURN
    END
```

SUBROUTINE COEFFCOMMON/MATRIX/X(30), XT(30),LMAT(30,30), D(30), CONSOR(301), ITYPE1(30), ITYPE 2(30), ITYPE3(30), ITYPE4(30), CNEF1 (302), COEF2 (30), COEF 3(30), COFF4(30), VAR1(30), POW1(30),3VAR $21(30), \operatorname{POH21(30),~VAR22(30),~POW22(30),~VAR31(30),~}$4POW 31(30), VAR 32(30), POW32 (30), VAR3 3(30), POW33(30),5VAR4(30), TAU(30)
COMMON /OMEG /OMEGA(30) ,HCOMMON/LUG/TYPE4,DELTAT,XL(10),TO,T,NREAL LMATINTEGER VAR1,VAR21,VAR22,VAR31,VAR32,VAR33,VAR4
C THIS SUBROUTINE MAY BE USED TO CHANGE ANYC COEFFICIENTS AT THE BEGINNING OF EACH TIME STEP, ALSOC PERTURBATION MAY BE INTRODUCED INTO THE SYSTEM IN THIS
C SUBROUTINE
RETURN
END
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[^0]:    Superscript numbers in the text refer to similarly numbered entries in the bibliography.

[^1]:    The effective pressure drops are formulated as dependent variables, and differential equations describing their time dependence can be obtained by differentiating Equation (2).

[^2]:    It is noted that the exponential assumption given by Equation (15) assumes that the values of the dependent variables do not change sign over a time step.

[^3]:    It has been found that it is advantageous, when introducing binary perturbations of the brine flowrate into a three stage flash evaporator, to use a modification of Equation (22) to estimate new exponential parameters whenever the sign of the perturbation changes.

[^4]:    DO $1 \quad I=1, N$
    IF(ABS\{XT(I)).LE•1•OE-03) OMEGA(I)=0.0
    IF (ABS(XT(I)).LF•1•OE-03) GO TO 1
    OMF GA(I)=(ALOG(1.O+DELTAT*XT(I)/X(I)|)/DELTAT
    1 CONTINUE
    100 FORMAT(IHI, DXDT\&I) INITIALLY IS •)
    101 FORMAT(1H . 10 E12.4)
    RETURN
    END

