

University of Tennessee, Knoxville

TRACE: Tennessee Research and Creative **Exchange**

MTAS History

Municipal Technical Advisory Service (MTAS)

5-1960

Municipal Water Works Operating Data for Tennessee

W. T. Chaffin Municipal Technical Advisory Service

M. U. Snoderly Municipal Technical Advisory Service

Follow this and additional works at: https://trace.tennessee.edu/utk_mtashist



Part of the Public Administration Commons

The MTAS publications provided on this website are archival documents intended for informational purposes only and should not be considered as authoritative. The content contained in these publications may be outdated, and the laws referenced therein may have changed or may not be applicable to your city or circumstances.

For current information, please visit the MTAS website at: mtas.tennessee.edu.

Recommended Citation

Chaffin, W. T. and Snoderly, M. U., "Municipal Water Works Operating Data for Tennessee" (1960). MTAS History.

https://trace.tennessee.edu/utk_mtashist/17

This Report is brought to you for free and open access by the Municipal Technical Advisory Service (MTAS) at TRACE: Tennessee Research and Creative Exchange. It has been accepted for inclusion in MTAS History by an authorized administrator of TRACE: Tennessee Research and Creative Exchange. For more information, please contact trace@utk.edu.

Water Works

The University of Tennessee May 1960

MUNICIPAL WATER WORKS OPERATING DATA FOR TENNESSEE

W. T. CHAFFIN AND M. U. SNODERLY

TECHNICAL BULLETIN NUMBER 39 /

MAR 1 4 1961

WIAS LIBRIAY

Municipal Technical Advisory Service, Division of University Extension

in cooperation with the

Tennessee Municipal League

DO NOT CIRCULATE

																							Page
Foreword .		0 0	• •	0	•	•	• •		۰	•	•	•	•	0	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	i
Highlights	of Surv	ey R	esul	ts	•	•			•	•	۰	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	۰	•	•	1
Summary of	Survey	Resu	lts a	and	Co	om	nen	ts	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	2-8
					12																		
Copy of Sur	vey For	m .	0 0	•	•	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	۰	9
Tabulation (of Resu	lts																					
Cities	over 1	00,00	. 00	•	•	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	10-14
Cities	5,000	to 3	5,000)	0	۰ ،	• 0	۰	٠	۰	۰	•	۰	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	10-14
Cities	2,500	to 5	,000	•	•	•	• •	٠	•	•	۰	•	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	15-19
Cities	1.000	to 2	.500								۰					•	•						15-24

FOREWORD

This is a tabulation and interpretation of the results of a survey made by MTAS in the fall of 1959 designed to obtain statistical information on the operation of municipally-owned water utilities in the State. The questionnaire is reproduced on page 9.

Comparing some of these data is most difficult because of combined financing of water and sewer services in some cities. An effort has been made to mark with a star (*) unallocable water and sewer amounts in the tabulations.

Another problem encountered in making comparisons involves the area of inflation. For instance, the fixed assets of a system and plant built in the 1930's would be much lower than a similar project built in the late 1950's. The same problems are encountered in comparing gravity systems with those requiring pumping systems; wells only with those requiring treatment plants; systems in sandy loam areas with those in rocky areas; and cities serving small compact areas with those serving large sparsely settled areas. These, and other factors not mentioned, add to the difficulty of evaluating per capita or per customer averages and other comparative data.

We wish to thank all those who took the time to provide answers to our questionnaire.

- The authors -

MUNICIPAL WATER WORKS OPERATING DATA FOR TENNESSEE CITIES - 1959 Edition

Behind every story of community progress or community decline is the story of its water system. An adequate supply of fresh, potable water and efficient management of municipal water systems have become fundamental necessities for every community in Tennessee. Our water systems face the challenge of recognizing and eliminating deficiencies in the existing systems and obtaining realistic rate schedules that will finance adequately the needed expansion programs.

This statewide study of water utilities is designed to give readers the facts of the situation and perhaps point out courses of action necessary in many communities to meet present and future needs.

Here are a few highlights of the survey results:

- 88% of Tennessee cities over 1,000 reported.
- Half the water systems are operated as a department of the city government.
- In over three-fourths of the cities, the water and sewer utilities are operated by the same body.
- 68% of the cities have adopted a sewer service charge.
- About one-fourth have a satisfactory minimum water loss while one-third do not know the amount of loss.
- Only one in six pays the city an in lieu tax.
- Three out of four charge more for water sold outside the city.
- 62% have changed rates in the last five years and 19% have not changed in over 10 years.
- 60% of the cities require some contribution from the subdivider on main extensions; 71% of these give no refunds.
- At least six cities do not obtain title to water lines before turning in city water.
- About half the cities have adopted and printed rules and regulations.
- 458,825 customers are served by the 110 cities which reported, and they pay almost \$21 million per year for water service.

SUMMARY OF SURVEY RESULTS

There are 140 cities in the State over 1,000 in population. Of these 14 do not own their water works and one has no water service, leaving 125 municipal systems. In August 1959 a 30-question form was sent to the managers or superintendents of these 125 systems, and 110, or 88%, replied. In 1957, 119 were sent and 65, or 54.6%, replied. Thus the response has increased 6%.

Cities	0ver 100,000	5,000 to 35,000	2,500 to 5,000	1,000 to 2,500	TOTAL
Number of cities Number reporting	3	37 35	29 29	56 43	125 110
Per cent reporting	100%	95%	100%	77%	Av. 88%

Since 45 more cities responded this time comparisons of some items will not be meaningful. Much more information was obtained in the 1959 survey, so meaningful comparisons may be possible in future, editions of this series.

ADMINISTRATION OF WATER UTILITY

D mail	Over	5,000 to 35,000	2,500 to 5,000	1,000 to 2,500	TOTAL
1. Water Utility operated by? A Dept. of City Water Board (or Commission) Utility Board	1 0	16 14	14 8	25 12	56 34 20
Total no. of cities	3	35	29	43	110

COMMENTS: Thus roughly half of the cities operate the water works as a department; 30% turn the operation over to a water board and the remaining 20% to a board with other utilities. There is no significant change in this since the 1957 survey.

2.	Does water utility also operate	sewer uti	lity?	l li	n I	
200000100	Yes	1,	28	24	23	76
	No	2	6	5	5	18
	No Sewer System	0	1	0	15	16
	Total no. of cities	3	35	29	43	110

COMMENTS: This shows that the water utility and sewer utility are most always operated by the same department or board (77.5%).

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Over 100,000	5,000 to 35,000	2,500 to 5,000	1,000 to 2,500	TOTAL
Sources of sewer utility	operating fund		0.1	10	<i>(</i>),
Sewer Service charge Water Revenue	1	24	21	18	64 10
General Fund	1	7	5	7	20
General Pund					20
No Sewer System	0	i	0	15	16

COMMENTS: Sewers are financed by 68% of the cities by a sewer service charge; 12% by increasing water revenue and 20% from general funds.

4. Per cent of "unaccounted for	" water				
% of water loss unknown	0	7	8	27	42
Over 25% loss	0	1	3	2	6
25 - 35% loss	0	4	3	3	10
15-25% loss	1	15	7	3	26
Less than 15%	2	8	8	8	26
Total no. of cities	3	35	29	43	110

COMMENTS: 42 cities (38%) have no knowledge of their water losses; 6 acknowledged that their losses are over 35%; 10 have 25-35% loss and 26 have 15-25%. Only 26 (23%) have a satisfactory minimum of loss (less than 15%). It is obvious that well over half of the cities could profit by having a water waste survey made. Reports on this question appear to be more accurate than in 1957.

5. Is in lieu of tax paid to ci	ty?				
Yes	1	7	7	2	17
No	2	27	19	37	85
No report	0	1	3	4	8
Total no. of cities	3	35	29	43	110

COMMENTS: Only 17 out of 102 reporting (16.7%) pay city an in lieu tax.

6. Does water utility do own h	oilling?				
Yes	3	13	11	30	57
No	0	22	17	10	49
No report	0	0	1	3	4
Total no. of cities	3	35	29	43	110

COMMENTS: All the large cities do their own billing; 75% of small ones do likewise but 39 out of 63 (62%) of the middle groups do not.

7.	Outside rate differential?					
	Plus 100%	1	1	3	1	6
	60-75%	0	2	2	1	5
	50%	2	18	12	9	41
	25-40%	0	4	6	4	14
	50% 25-40% Less than 25%	0	1	0	4	5
	Plus fixed amount	0	1	3	5	9
	Same	0	6	3	17	26
	No outside city customers	0	0	0	2	4
	Total no. of cities	3	35	29	43	110

		5,000	2,500	1,000	
	Over	to	to	to	
Market State of the State of th	100,000	35,000	5,000	2,500	TOTAL

7. (continued)

COMMENTS: 26 cities charge the same while 80 cities charge from a flat fee of 75¢ more to 100% more. The predominating increase is 50% as 41 cities use this differential. Since the 1957 report was issued several cities which charged the same for outside service have increased outside rates. The probabilities are that more cities will follow this trend.

8. Are rates revised periodical	Lly?				
Yes	3 1	26	18	20	67
No	0	7	9	17	33
No report	0	2	2	6	10
Total No. of cities	3	35	29	43	110

COMMENTS: Thirty-three (or 1/3) of those reporting do not revise rates periodically. Actually the 10 which did not report should probably be added to this making 3% as the proportion which do not keep up to date in rate revisions. (Construction costs have increased an average of 5% per year for many years.) Small rate increases every few years may be justified in some cases.

9. Dates last two revisions in rates.

Last Revision					
1959	0	1 3	5 1	7	15
1959 1958	1	, 4	5	2	12
1957	0	6	1	* 7	14
1956	1	1	2	6	10
1955	1	3	3	1	8
Prior to 1955	0	13	8	16	37
No report	0	7	6	16	29
Total no. of cities	3	37	30	55	125

COMMENTS: 16% have changed rates in the last year; 28% in last two years; 42% in the last three years; 53% in the last four years; and 62% of the cities (59 of 96 reporting) have changed rates in the last five years. A check of TSPC reports indicate that 23 cities of 122 (19%) have rates more than 10 years old.

10.	Extension of mains financed	by?				
	Sub-divider	1	17	7	6	31
	Water utility	1	11	7	23	42
	Jointly	1	7	14	10	32
	No. report	0	0	1	4	5
	Total no. of cities	3	35	20	43	110

COMMENTS: 30% of those reporting require subdivider to finance main extensions. About the same number share the cost jointly with the subdivider and 40% of them extend mains from water utility funds altogether.

	Over 100,000	5,000 to 35,000	2,500 to 5,000	1,000 to 2,500	TOTAL
11. Title to extended ma	ins obtained at onc	<u>e?</u>		X	16.750
Yes	3	31	27	35	96
No	0	2	1	3	6
No report	0	2	1	5	8
Total no. of citie	The second secon	35	29	43	110

COMMENTS: This shows at least 6-cities do not have full control of all the mains in which the city processed water is distributed. There may be more as eight cities did not report on this question.

12. Type of refunds on extended m	ains		65.4		
No refunds	0 1	20	19	32	71
Tap refunds - limited time	2	5	5	14	16
Tap refunds - unlim. time	. 0	3	0	0	3
% Revenue - limited-time	1	3	2	0	6
% Revenue - unlim. time	0	0	1	0	1
% of cost	0	1	0	0	1
% of taxes paid	0	1	0	0	1
No report	0	2	2	7	11
Total no. of cities	3	35	29	43	110

COMMENTS: 71% of those reporting give no refund at all when others pay for extending mains. The balance or 2% give some type of refund. tap fees, per cent of revenue, per cent of cost when area is developed or per cent of taxes paid. Four cities give a refund for an unlimited time.

13.	Rules and regulations adopted	and prin	ted?			
-12	Yes	3	18	9	21	51
	No	0	15	20	20	55
	No report	0	2	0	2	4
	Total no. of cities	3	35	29	43	110

COMMENTS: 51 cities (48%) reported that rules and regulations have been adopted and printed. The other 55 cities have not. This is quite an increase over the 1957 report which showed only 24 cities with R & R adopted.

14.	Extension policies reviewed	periodically?				
	Yes	3	24	14	16	57
	No	0	6	10	19	35
	No report	0	5	5	8	18
	Total no. of cities	3	35	29	43	110

COMMENTS: 57 cities (62%) review extension policies periodically - 38% do not. Note that the large the city the more periodic attention is given to reviewing extension policies.

The state of the s		41.0		the material of the second	
	Over 100,000	5,000 to 35,000	2,500 to 5,000	1,000 to 2,500	TOTAL
15. Dates of last two revisions Extension policies revised	of extension	n policies			
last in: 1959	1 0	1 5	6	6	17
1958	1	5	2	5	13
1957	0	2	3	2	7
1956 1955	0	0	0	0	0
1954	ĭ	1	0	Ō	2
Prior to 1954	1	1	1	0	3
Not reporting	O O_	17	17	29	63
Total no. of cities	3	34	30	43	110

COMMENTS: Only 22 cities gave the dates of the last two revisions and 47 gave the last revision date. (Response was very poor on this question.) Forty-two have revised policies in the last five years 300 of these being in the last two years.

FINANCIAL DATA - STATUS AT END OF LAST FISCAL YEAR

16. Type of accounting system?					
Cash	0	12	16	35	63
Accrual	3	19	7	3	32
Semi-accrual	0	4	0	0 '	4
No report	0	0	6	5	11
Total no. of cities	3	35	29	43	110

COMMENTS: The larger the city the more the accrual method is used, but 63 cities out of 99 use the cash method.

17. Number of water customers			1		
Number of customers	241,914	146,747	38,022	32,182	458,865
Total no. of cities	3	35	29	43	110

COMMENTS: These 110 cities have 458,825 customers. The 64 cities recorded in the 1957 report had 356,268 customers, so two years growth and the addition of 46 cities has added 102,600 customers.

18. Amount of fixed assets.

COMMENTS: Comparison of fixed assets is not practical as it would involve too many factors but see "A" below which is based on amount of fixed assets.

A. Investment per customer		*			
High for group	\$378	\$746	\$841	\$1,096	
Low for group	293	172	142	55	
Average for group	\$333	\$349	\$365	\$ 421	

COMMENTS: Data for those cities whose water and sewer accounts are mixed were not used in making these calculations. The Oak Ridge figure (\$1,552) was not used as it is not a normal operation and if used would distort the average.

	5,000	2,500	1,000	
Over	to	to	to	
100,000	35,000	5,000	2,500	TOTAL

A. (continued)

In the large group Memphis is high and Knoxville is low; Millington leads the next group; Humboldt is low and Brownsville is average; Clinton is at the top for the next group, Trenton is low and Winchester is average; in the small group Westmoreland (with a new system and plant) is high, Greenfield (with wells) is low, and Norris is about average. (See comments in the fourth paragraph of the FOREWORD.)

B. Investment to obtain \$1 Gross Annual Revenue

High for group	\$8.93	\$13,00	\$16.90	\$27.20	
Low for group	6.45	3.89	3.54	1.30	1 - 1
Average for group	7.69	7.72	8.10	8.59	
Total no. of cities	2	27	19	22	

COMMENTS: In the large group Memphis is high and Knoxville low; in the next group Greeneville is high and Pulaski is low; next group Manchester is high and McKenzie is low and the small group Tiptonville is high with \$27.20 and Greenfield low with \$1.30. A high investment cost per \$1 of gross annual revenue may indicate the need for a study of the rate schedule.

19. Total accumulated allowance or reserve for depreciation

				CTI. CT. CT. CT. CT. CT. CT. CT. CT. CT. CT		- 10	
Wit	h depreciation account	3	27	20	14	64	
Wit	hout depreciation account	0	6	5	24	35	
No.	failing to answer	0	2	4	5	12	
	Total no. of cities	3	35	29	43	1 110	

COMMENTS: 65% of those reporting maintain a depreciation account and 35% do not.

20. Water Bonded Debt and Notes Payable

COMMENTS: No city reported being "debt free," The city with the lowest water debt is Englewood which owes only \$5,000. Memphis has a water debt of \$10,900,000.

21. Accounts payable

COMMENTS: 29 cities reported "no" accounts payable, indicating use of the less desirable cash basis of accounting.

22. Surplus (retained earnings) amount - No comment

23.	Total Revenue - amount					
	Total for group	\$10,395,995	\$7,551,736	\$1,7756671	\$17178,542	\$20,901,904
	Total no. of cities	3 (37	28	39	104
C.	Average revenue per cus	tomer per year				
	Water revenue only					
	High	\$45.50	\$86.90	\$75.60	\$99.40	
	Low	42.50	18.35	26.20	18.00	
	Average	44.00	47.50	45.00	42.90	
	Total no. of cities	2	281	20	39	89

	0ver	5,000 to 35,000	2,500 to 5,000	1,000 to 2,500	TOTAL
(continued)					
Combined water - sew	er revenue				
772 - 1-	AFT (O	1 407 10	1 400 00	14770 00	
High Low	\$57.60 57.60	\$91.40	\$71.80	\$119.00	1 1 2 1 2 2 W
High Low Average	\$57.60 57.60 57.60	\$91.40 . 48.01 64.30	\$71.80 38.00 57.00	\$119.00 24.75 61.00	

24. Fire hydrant rental income included in total water revenue? - No comment

Av. increase for sewers

25. Total operating expense

Total for group \$7,956,001 \$5,488,779 \$1,160,692 \$846,343 \$15,451,815

No. Cities 3 37 28 39 104

Group difference
Rev. less Oper. Expense 2,439,994 2,062,957 614,979 332,199 5,450,129

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Only cities which reported both revenue and expense figures were used in this tabulation. The differences in revenue and expense shown does not mean this is profit but includes reserves, operating capital, etc.

26. through 30 incl. -- are self-explanatory and no comments are deemed necessary.

Local conditions inherent in a particular water system make further comparisons of various features of the finance data shown very difficult. For example, the fact that a city has a low amount of fixed assets (as compared to a second city) does not mean necessarily that the first city has an inferior system. Comparisons of other data pose similar problems.

	SECOND MTAS WATER UTILITY SURVEY	MODE			
Aug	gust 1959			ill out an	
	or of			TAS as so Envelop	
CIA	y of		closed.	_	e en-
Dre	pared by			U. Snode	rlv -
I	you desire a copy of the tabulated results? Yes	No		T. Chaff	
	ERAL				
	Is the water utility administered directly by a departme	ent of	the city	governmen	t?
	; by a water board (or commission)?; by a board	with	other uti	lities? _	
2.	Is the sewer utility administered by the same body as the	ne wate	r utility	? Ye	S
	No; Other (state)				
3.	Does city make a separate charge for sewer service (other				
	is sewer utility financed through the city's general fun	nd?	_; or oth	er? (desc	ribe)
	What percentage of water pumped (or supplied) is "unaccou				
5.	Does the utility pay a payment in lieu of tax to the cit	.y?	res	No. If	yes"
-	how is the amount of the payment determined?	No			
RAT		NO			
75	By what percentage are rates increased for outside the c	ita an	stomers?		
	Are water rates periodically reviewed for adequacy?				7
	Dates of last two revisions	- 105 -			
The same of the sa	ENSION POLICIES			-	
and the last of th	Are extensions of water mains to new sub-divisions insid	e the	city fina	nced by t	he
	sub-divider, the water utility, or jointly?				
11.	Does water utility obtain immediate title to extended ma	ins?	Yes	No	
12.	Are refunds made to the financer of water mains? Yes	No	o. If "y	es" are r	e-
	funds based on fees from new taps; or a per cent of	water	revenues	; fo	r a
	limited, or unlimited time?				
13.	Have rules and regulations including water main extension	n polic	cies been	formally	a-
	dopted and printed? Yes No	236		3-1-25	
	Are extension policies periodically reviewed and revised	?	Yes	No	
	Approximate dates of last two revisions	, , , , , ,	mun Tan	ADD HADVE	
FIN	ANCE (IF SEWER UTILITY IS NOT OPERATED BY THE SAME BOD	Y AS WA	ATER, IGN	ORE "SEWE.	K
16	UTILITY" COLUMN) Is the utility accounting on the cash or accrual	boot	102		
10.	AT THE END OF THE LAST FISCAL YEAR WHAT WAS THE TOTAL:	Weter	11+111++	Sauen II+	171+4
17	Number of accounts?	Water	OCILICA	bewel Ut.	LIICY
	Fixed assets (total plant in service & equipment)?	\$.		\$	-
	Total accumulated allowance or reserve for depreciation?	*		\$	-
	Bonded debt and notes payable?	\$		\$	
	Accounts payable?	\$		\$	
	Surplus (retained earnings)?	\$	1	\$	
	Total revenue?	\$		\$	
	Does total water revenue (Q.23 above) include income	10.5			
	from fire hydrant rental? Yes No. If "yes"				
	give amount	\$.			
	Total operating expense?	\$		\$	
26.	Does total operating expense (Q.25 above) include de-		William Control of the Control		
	preciation? Yes No. If "yes" give amount	\$		\$	
27.	Does total operating expense (Q.25 above) include in-	1			
20	terest? Yes No. If "yes" give amount	\$		\$	
58.	Does total operating expense (Q.25 above) include in-	4		4	
20	lieu-of tax? Yes No. If "yes" give amount	<u>\$</u>		<u>\$</u>	
٠9.	Payments by utility to general fund (other than in-lieu-	ф		d	
30	of tax)	Ψ		Ψ	
50.	utility debt retirement expenses from general funds?				
	Vec No. If "yes" give amount	¢		4	

ADMINISTRATION OF WATER UTILITIES BY TENNESSEE CITIES

1

				GENE	RAL		
		1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.
		Water	Does W.	Source of	% of "Un-	Is In-	Does
		Utility	Util.also	Sew.Util.	accounted	Lieu-of-	W. Util.
		Oper-	operate	Operating	for"	Tax Paid	Do Own
City	Population	ated by:	Sew.Util.	Funds	Water	To City?	Billing
	Cities over	100.000 (Ch	attanooga do	es not own	Water Utili	tv)	
Memphis	488,550	UB	No No	Gen.F.	18-20%	No	Yes
Nashville	176,170	Dept.	Yes	In W.B.	11%	No	Yes
Knoxville	124,769	UB	No	S.S.Ch	11.2%	Yes	Yes
Milos			1.0	20200		100	100
	Cities 5,000	to 35,000	(Alcoa, East	Ridge, and	Red Bank-W	hite Oak do	not own
		0,,			recently acq		
Jackson	33,354	UB	Yes	W. Rev.	16.9	Yes	No
Johnson City	29,011	Dept.	Yes	S.S.Ch	25-30%	No	Yes
Oak Ridge	27,387	Dept.	Yes	S.S.Ch	15%	No	Yes
Kingsport	24,540	Dept.		W.Rev.& G.F		No	Yes
Clarksville	21,425	Dept.	Yes	S.S.Ch	20%	No	Yes
Bristol	17,785	Dept.	Yes	S.S.Ch	10%	No	Yes
Morristown	17,161	UB	No	S.S.Ch	20%	No	No
Murfreesboro	16,017	WB	Yes	S.S.Ch	3%	No	No
Cleveland	15,217	WC2	Yes	In W.B.	30-35%	No	No
Columbia	13,297	UB	No	Gen.F.	15%	Yes	No
Dyersburg	12,063	Dept.	Yes	S.S.Ch	38%	Yes	Yes
Tullahoma	11,618	UB	Yes	S.S.Ch	?	No	No
Athens	11,400	UB	No	S.S.Ch	21.6%	No	No
Elizabethton	10,754	Dept.	Yes	Gen.F.	10%	No	Yes
Maryville	10,723	UB	Yes	S.S.Ch	24%	No	No
Greeneville	10,354	WB	Yes	S.S.Ch	?	No	No
Shelbyville	10,082	UB	Yes	S.S.Ch	14%	No	No
Lebanon	9,238	Dept.	Yes	Gen.F.	20.7%	No	No
Paris	9,164	UB	Yes	S.S.Ch	18.1%	No	No
Springfield	8,675	02	100		_0 v_/v		
Humboldt	7,996	UB	Yes	S.S.Ch	?	Yes	No
Inion City	7,665	Dept.	Yes	S.S.Ch	20%	No	No
McMinnville	7,577	Dept.	Yes	S.S.Ch	2 7	No	Yes
cookeville	7,414	Dept.	Yes	S.S.Ch	20.3%	No	No
awrenceburg	6,456	Dept.	Yes	S.S.Ch	4.8%	No	No
larriman	6,389	UB	Yes	S.S.Ch	10%	No	Yes
ayetteville	6,283	Dept.	-		3	-	Yes
aFollette	6,141	OR Pober	No	Gen.F.	12%	No	No
allatin	6,113	Dept.	Yes	S.S.Ch	15%	No	Yes
lewport	5,884	W. B.	No	Gen.F.	27%	No	Yes
dillington	5,837	Dept.	Yes	Gen.F.	?	No	Yes
ewisburg	5,776	WB	Yes	S.S.Ch	15%	No	No
ulaski	5,762	Dept.	Yes	S.S.Ch	33%	As. Val.	No
ilan	5,637	UB	No	S.S.Ch	3	No	No
ranklin	5,475	02	2.0				
rownsville	5,358	UB	Yes	S.S.CH	18%	Yes	No
Lenoir City	5,159	UB	Yes	Gen.F.	10%	No	No

lincludes all unmetered water abolished

		RATES	5			EXTENSION PO	LICIES		23.1
	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.
	Out-				Title	Type Ref.	Rules	& Regui	lations
	side	Rates	Revised	Ext.	Ext Mains	Lim.or	Adopt.	Revis.	Dates
	Rate	Perio	od- Dates	Fin.	Obt.At	Unlim.	&	Period-	Last 2
City	Dif.	ical	Ly Last 2	by	Once?	Time	Print.	ically	Revis.
My Carlotte								- Arthur Hannes Arthur	
	Citie	s over	100,000						
Memphis	f 50%	Yes	122-156	SD	Yes	% RevaLim.	Yes	Yes	' 39 -
Nashville	100%	Yes	149-153	Jt	Yes	Taps-Lim.	Yes	Yes	147-154
Knoxville	£ 50%	Yes	155-158	WU	Yes	Taps-Lim.	Yes	Yes	155-158
press.							1		
	Cities)					
Jackson	£ 50%	Yes	-155	Jt	Yes	ИС	Yes	Yes	- '55
Johnson City		Yes	-	SD	Yes	No	No	No	
Oak Ridge	No O C	Yes	153-158	SD	No	No No	Yes	Yes	-159
Kingsport	1 25%	Yes	129-155	WU	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	153-158
Clarksville	£ 50%	Yes	' 39 -' 52	SD	Yes	% Rev-Lim.	No	Yes	145-159
Bristol	100%	No	'37-	WU	Yes	None	Yes	Yes	158-
Morristown	£ 50%	Yes	149-155	Jt	Yes	No	No	Yes	149-155
Murfreesboro	f 50%	Yes	151-158	SD	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	156-159
Cleveland	same	No	152-154	Jt.	Yes	Taps-Lim.	No	No	
Columbia	≠ 50%	Yes	<u>'53-'57</u>	SD	Yes	No	No	Yes	
Dyersburg	No O C	Yes	151-	SD	Yes	Taps-Unlim.	No.	Yes	-158
Tullahoma	£ 50%	Yes	158-	SD	Yes	RevLim.	No	Yes	
Athens	£ 50%	Yes	134-157	SD	Yes	Taps-Lim.	Yes	Yes	155-158
Elizabethtor		Yes	-157	Jt	0	No	No		
Maryville	<i>f</i> \$1.20	Yes	-154	SD	Yes	Taps-Lim.	Yes	Yes	
Greeneville	1, 50%	Yes		Jt	Yes	Yes-Unlim.	No		
Shelbyville	£ 30%	No	115-154	SD	Yes	No	No		115-154
Lebanon	f 50%	Yes	158-159	SD	Yes	Taps-Unlim.	Yes		157-159
Paris	f 25%	Yes	149-154	WU	Yes	Revlim.	Yes	Yes	155-157
Springfield			-149	~~					
Humboldt	same	Yes		SD	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Union City	same	No	-154	SD	Yes	Yes ²	No	No	
McMinnville	f 50%	Yes	154-159	WU	Yes	None	Yes	Yes	
Cookeville	£ 50%	Yes	149-153	WU	Yes	None	Yes		155-158
Lawrenceburg		Yes	151-156	SD	Yes	None	No	Yes	156-157
Harriman	£ 20%	Yes	146-157	WU	Yes	None			
Fayetteville		37	150	SD		N7	37 -	37	1.55
LaFollette	£ 50%	Yes	-152	Jt	Yes	None	Yes	Yes	-155
Gallatin	1 50%	No	3	SD	No	None	No	No	
Newport	£ 25%	Yes	-157	WU	Yes	None	Yes	Yes	
Millington	1 50%	No		WU	Yes	Yes-Lim.	Yes	No	
Lewisburg	50%	37	• 52 • 50	SD	Yes	None	Yes	V	152 150
Pulaski	f 60%	Yes	153-158	WU	Yes	None	Yes		153-158
Milan	same	Yes	147-153	WU	Yes		Yes	Yes	151-152
Franklin	1 500		-150		17		N7	37 = -	157 150
Brownsville	+ 50%	Yes	148-150	Jt	Yes	Taps-?	No		156-159
Lenoir City	88.MG	No	153-159	WU	Yes	No	No	No	

one-third cost when developed per cent taxes paid

	FINANCIAL STATUS AT END LAST FISCAL YEAR							
		16.	17.	18.	Α,	В.	19.	
		Cash or	Number	Water	Invest-	Invest.	Depreci-	
		Accrual	of Cus-	Plant in	ment per	per \$1	ation	
City	Population	Basis	tomers	Service	Customer	Revenue	Reserve	
					ATOMATS AND			
1-2	Cities ove							
Memphis	488,550	Accrual	124,752	47,308,799	378	8.93	10,485,061	
Nashville	176,170	Accrual	56,454	18,562,555	329	5.70*	1,688,771	
Knoxville	124,769	Accrual	40,708	11,924,975	293	6.45	3,812,122	
	Cities 5 O	00 to 35,000						
Jackson	33,354	Cash	8,653	2 270 1014	2004	0.30		
Johnson City	29,011	Cash	10,080	3,379,184*	390*	8.13*	1,301,266*	
Oak Ridge	27,387	S-Accuual		2,142,637	214	4.59	- 0 -	
Kingsport	24,540	Cash	7,350	11,425,000	1552	17.90	2,626,000	
Clarksville	21,425		10,912	4,078,693*	373*	7.10	- 0 -	
Bristol	17,785	Accuual Cash	5,409 6,700	2,527,930	467	10.00	452,116	
Morristown	17,161	Accrual		2,000,000	299	9.65	100,000	
Murfreesboro	16,017	S-Accrual	5,830	2,145,600	368	8,48	565,123	
Cleveland	15,217	Accural	5,053	2,636,486	520	10.09	- 0 -	
Columbia	13,297		6,810	3,897,989	572	9.48*	601,536*	
Dyersburg	12,063	Accuual Accuual	5,931	2,521,422	425	7.59	368,090	
Tullahoma	11,618	Cash	3,950	987,652	250	7.00	225,004	
Athens	11,400		3,481	836,644	240	5.95	- 0 -	
Elizabethton	10,754	Accrual	3,630	773,736	213	4.52	264,418	
Maryville	10,723	Cash	4,100	776,909	190	4.06	21,078	
Greeneville	10,354	Accuual	3,808	2,452,304*	906*	12.20*	244,328*	
Shelbyville	10,082	Accrual Accrual	4,186	2,489,937*	594*	13,00	475,063*	
Lebanon	9,238	Accrual	3,453	1,062,617	308	6.65	373,664	
Paris	9,164		2,896	1,827,182	630	9,62	158,956	
Springfield	8,675	Accrual	3,122	1,141,344	365	9.28	185,414	
Humboldt	7,996	Cash	2,303	1,039,283	450	9.32		
Union City	7,665	S-Accrual	2,542	437,032	172	6.35	24,198	
McMinnville	7,577	Cash	2,648	812,747	307	6.76	216,621	
Cookeville	7,414	S-Accrual	3,200	No Records*	-	0	- 0 -	
Lawrenceburg	6,456		3,146	952,952	302	5,78	288,252	
Harriman	6,389	Accrual	2,588	1,186,228	458	7.78	96,084	
Fayetteville	6,283	Accrual	2,742	2,696,104*	982	10.74	324,463	
LaFollette	6,141	Cash	2,000	969,393	485	7.95		
Callatin	6,113	Accuual	1,984	1,098,687	554	8.70	258,525	
Newport	5,884	Accuual Cash	3,017	998,188	331	6.38	183,361*	
Millington	5,837		2,000	419,893	210	11.43	- 0 -	
Lewisburg	5,776	Accrual Cash	1,480	1,105,990	746*	11.66 *		
Pulaski	5,762	Cash	2,300	1,241,901*	540*	10.17*	371,724*	
Milan	5,637		1,987	524,718	264	3.89	176,312	
Franklin	5,475	Accrual Accrual	1,965	553,811	282	8.37	128,812	
Brownsville	5,358	Accuual	2,175	E80 00E	360	10 50		
Lenoir City	5,159	Cash	1,684	589,927	350	10.50	207,979	
0 + 0 /	ノ,+ノフ	Casii	1,632	308,720	189	4,76	141,510	

Water and sewer accounts combined

20, 21, 22, 23, 0, 24, 24, 24, 24, 24, 24, 25, 26, 24,				FINANCIAL STATU	S AT END LAST	FISCAL YEA	.R
Bonded Paysh le			21.	22.	23.	C.	24.
City Debt Amount Surplus Amount P/Cust. Col. 25		Water	Accounts		Total	Average	Hydrant
Cities over 100,000		Bonded	Payab le	Total	Revenue	Revenue	
Cities over 100,000	City	Debt	Amount	Surplus	Amount	P/Cust.	Col. 25
			NOT 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				ATT ASSISTED BY
Cities 5,000 to 35,000		Cities over 1	.00,000				
Cities 5,000 to 35,000 Jackson 984,000* 80,553* 632,348* 415,411* 48.01 12,000 Jackson 984,000* 80,553* 632,348* 415,411* 48.01 12,000 Oak Ridge -0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 637,539 86.90 8,388 Kingsport 1,323,000* 8,328 520,912* 573,792* 74.20* 1,799 Clarksville 1,574,000 23,365 244,765 252,880 46.70 - No - Bristol 60,000 -0 - 100,000 207,454 31.00 - No - Norfreesboro 2,909,000* 5,737* 1,700,958* 261,582 51.60 16,798 Cleveland 3,010,000* 2,732* 1,908,210* 401,082* 58.90 - No - Bullahoma 1,556,000 6,734 606,087 332,027 56.00 8,685 Dyersburg 103,000 1,856 787,000 141,133 35.76 - No - Mullahoma 1,168,000* 66,328* 1,230,065 140,839 40.40 - No - Maryville 2,520,000* 7,262* 341,037* 283,607* 74,400* No - Maryville 1,319,089 8,543* 649,844* 191,341 45.60 5,686 Shelbyville 177,000 10,950 857,360 159,818 46.30 13,902 Lebanon 1,547,000 55,734 396,089 189,527 65.50 - No - Coreneville 1,319,089 8,543* 649,844* 191,341 45.60 5,686 Shelbyville 177,000 10,950 857,360 159,818 46.30 13,902 Lebanon 1,547,000 55,734 396,089 189,527 65.50 - No - Barrisa 525,000 1,472 490,296 123,000 39.30 2,697 Byrntngfield Dimboldt 46,000* 14,641* 141,196* 120,046 45.40 No - Laving 10 446,000* 14,636 594,452 165,000 52.50 - No - Laving 10 446,000 4,836 594,52 165,000 52.50 - No - Laving 10 446,000 8,837 7 122,204 61.00 Laving 451,500 No - Laving 10 48,500	Memphis	10,900,000	557,619	20,961,855	5,296,936	42.50	- No -
Cities 5,000 to 35,000		4,939,273	49,398*	677,993	3,249,059*	57.60	146,185
Jackson 984,000	Knoxville	2,000,000	34,000	263,000	1,850,000	45.50	84,000
Jackson 984,000					3/3/3/10/11		
Johnson City 1,950,000 46,973 95,7774 466,767 46,50 - No- Oak Ridge - 0 0 - 0 - 637,539 86.90 8,388 Kingsport 1,323,000* 8,328 520,912* 573,792* 74,20* 1,799 Clarksville 1,574,000 23,365 244,765 252,880 46.70 - No- Fristol 60,000 - 0 - 100,000 207,454 31.00 - No- Orristown 689,000 9,837 329,747 253,198 43,50 - No- Ourfreesbore 2,090,000* 5,737* 1,700,958* 261,582 51.60 16,798 Cleveland 3,010,000* 2,732* 1,908,210* 401,082* 58.90 - No- Cleveland 1,556,000 6,734 606,087 332,027 56.00 8,685 Dyersburg 103,000 1,856 787,000 141,133 35.75 - No- Tullahoma 1,168,000* 66,328* 1,230,065 140,839 40.40 - No- Athens 192,000 3,794 355,647 170,998 47.10 - No- Athens 1,209,600* - 0 - 810,299 191,473 46.70 - No- Creeneville 1,319,089 8,543* 649,844* 191,341 45.60 5,686 Shelbyville 177,000 10,950 857,360 159,818 46.30 13,902 Labanon 1,547,000 55,734 396,089 189,527 65.50 - No- Paris 525,000 1,472 499,296 123,000 39.30 2,697 Springfield Emboldt 284,125* - 0 - 64,938* 68,862 27.05 - No- Conserville 464,000* 14,641* 141,196* 120,046 45.40 8,750 Conserville 464,000* 14,641* 141,196* 120,046 45.40 8,750 Conserville 464,000* 14,641* 141,196* 120,046 45.40 8,750 Conserville 3,8000 2,638 255,901 152,396 58.80 - No- Conserville 464,000* 14,641* 141,196* 120,046 45.40 8,750 Conserville 30,000 2,338 (17,496) 36,691 18.35 Conserville 450,000 47,626 46,613 33.60 - No- Conserville 450,000 700 83,756 175,626 66,013 33.60 - No- Conserville 196,000 700 700 700 700 700 700					Table Disease		
Oak Ridge - O - - O - 637,539 86.90 8,388 Kingsport 1,323,000* 8,328 520,912* 573,792* 72.20* 1,799 Olarkwille 1,574,000 23,365 244,765 528,880 46,70 No - Bristol 60,000 - O - 100,000 207,454 31.00 - No - Norristown 689,000 9,837 329,747 253,198 43.50 No - Murfreesboro 2,909,000* 5,737* 1,700,958* 261,582 51.60 16,798 Oleveland 3,010,000* 2,732* 1,908,210* 401,082* 58.90 No - Boyersburg 103,000 1,856 787,000 141,133 35,75 No - Tullahoma 1,168,000* 66,328* 1,230,065 140,839 40.40 No - Blizabethton 1,209,600* - O - 810,299 191,473 46,70 No - Buryville 2,520,000* 7,262* 341,037* 283,607*<							12,000
Ringsport 1,323,000* 8,328 520,912* 573,792* 72.20* 1,799 Clarksville 1,574,000 23,365 244,765 252,880 46.70 - No Pristol 60,000 - O - 100,000 207,454 31.00 - No - Murfreesboro 2,990,000* 5,737* 1,700,958* 261,582 51.60 16,798 Cleveland 3,010,000* 2,732* 1,998,210* 401,082* 58.90 - No - Golumbia 1,556,000 6,734 606,087 332,027 56.00 8,685 Dyersburg 103,000 1,856 787,000 141,133 35.75 - No - Tullahoma 1,168,000* 66,328* 1,230,065 140,839 40.40 - No - Athems 192,000 3,794 355,647 170,998 47.10 - No - Blizabethton 1,209,600* - O - 810,299 191,473 46.70 - No - Blizabethton 1,319,089 8,543* 649,844* 191,341 45.60 5,686 Blelbyville 177,000 10,950 857,360 159,818 46.30 13,902 Lebanon 1,547,000 55,734 396,089 189,527 65.50 - No - Bringfield 111,585 48.40 Bumboldt 284,125* - O - 64,938* 66,862 27.05 - No - Bringfield 111,585 48.40 Bumboldt 284,125* - O - 64,938* 66,862 27.05 - No - Bringfield 446,000* 14,641* 141,196* 120,046 45,40 8,750 Bound 2,360,000 871 46,420 25,396 58.80 - No - Bringfield 446,000* 4,836 594,452 165,000 52.50 - No - Barriman 2,360,000 871 46,420 25,396 58.80 - No - Barriman 2,360,000 2,638 255,901 152,396 58.80 - No - Barriman 30,000 2,318 (17,456) 36,691 48.35 Bringfield 1,233,000* 5,508* 317,102* 156,286 51.90 - No - Barriman 2,360,000 2,318 (17,456) 36,691 48.35 Bringfield 1,233,000* 5,508* 317,102* 156,286 51.90 - No - Barriman 2,360,000 2,318 (17,456) 36,691 48.35 Bringfield 1,233,000* 5,508* 317,102* 156,286 51.90 - No - Bringfield 1,233,000* 5,508* 317,102* 156,286 51.90 - No - Brownsville 150,000 1,644 393,002 56,295 33.40 - No - Brown							
Starkeville 1,574,000 23,365 244,765 252,880 46.70 No - No - Bristol 60,000 -0 - 100,000 207,454 31.00 No - No - Norristown 689,000 9,837 329,747 253,198 43.50 No - No - Norristown 689,000 9,837 329,747 253,198 43.50 No - No - Norriseoboro 2,090,000* 5,737* 1,700,958* 261,582 51.60 16,798						86.90	8,388
Bristol 60,000 -0 - 100,000 207,454 31.00 -No - No - Morristown 689,000 9,837 329,747 253,198 43,50 -No - 100,000 2,090,000* 5,737* 1,700,958* 261,582 51.60 16,798 261,582 51.60 16,798 261,582 51.60 16,798 261,582 51.60 16,798 261,582 51.60 16,798 261,582 51.60 16,798 261,582 51.60 20,738 20,732* 1,908,210* 401,082* 58,90 -No - 20,000 1,856 787,000 141,133 35.75 -No - 20,000 1,856 787,000 141,133 35.75 -No - 20,000 3,794 355,647 170,998 47.10 -No - 20,000 3,794 355,647 170,998 47.10 -No - 20,000 3,794 355,647 170,998 47.10 -No - 20,000 7,262* 341,037* 283,607* 74,40* -No - 20,000 7,262* 341,037* 283,607* 74,40* -No - 20,000 2,538 364,84* 191,341 45.60 5,686 36,865		and the second s					1,799
Morristown 689,000 9,837 329,747 253,198 43.50 - No	The state of the s		NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY.				- No -
Marfreesboro 2,090,000* 5,737* 1,700,958* 261,582 51.60 16,798							- No -
Cleveland 3,010,000* 2,732* 1,908,210* 401,082* 58.90 - No - Columbia 1,556,000 6,734 606,087 332,027 56.00 8,685						43.50	- No -
Columbia 1,556,000 6,734 606,087 332,027 56.00 8,685					261,582	51.60	16,798
Dyersburg				1,908,210*	401,082*	58.90	- No -
Tullahoma 1,168,000* 66,328* 1,230,065 140,839 40.40 - No - Athens 192,000 3,794 355,647 170,998 47.10 - No - Elizabethton 1,209,600* - 0 - 810,299 191,473 46.70 - No - Maryville 2,520,000* 7,262* 341,037* 283,607* 74.40* - No - Greeneville 1,319,089 8,543* 649,844* 191,341 45.60 5,686 8helbyville 177,000 10,950 857,360 159,818 46.30 13,902 12banon 1,547,000 55,734 396,089 189,527 65.50 - No - Paris 525,000 1,472 490,296 123,000 39.30 2,697 8pringfield 111,585 48.40 111,585							8,685
Athens 192,000 3,794 355,647 170,998 47.10 - No - Blizabethton 1,209,600* - O - 810,299 191,473 46.70 - No - Maryville 2,520,000* 7,262* 341,037* 283,607* 74.40* - No - Greeneville 1,319,089 8,543* 649,844* 191,341 45.60 5,686 Shelbyville 177,000 10,950 857,360 159,818 46.30 13,902 Lebanon 1,547,000 55,734 396,089 189,527 65.50 - No - Paris 525,000 1,472 490,296 123,000 39.30 2,697 Springfield Humboldt 284,125* - O - 64,938* 68,862 27.05 - No - Union City 464,000* 14,641* 141,196* 120,046 45.40 8,750 MoMinnville 940,000 - O - 64,938* 68,862 27.05 - No - 162,874* 50.80 3,690 Gookeville 446,000 4,836 594,452 165,000 52.50 - No - Rarriman 2,360,000 2,638 255,901 152,396 58.80 - No - Rarriman 2,360,000 871 46,420 251,280 91,40 Yes Fayetteville 308,000 - O - 122,042 61.00 LaFollette 556,000 871 46,420 251,280 91,40 Yes Fayetteville 1,233,000* 5,508* 317,102* 156,286 51.90 - No - No - Rarriman 2,360,000 2,318 (17,456) 36,691 18.35 Millington 570,000 94,959* 64,10* - No - Rarriman 262,000 None 47,621 134,717 67.80 3,025 Rulaski 262,000 Saraki 262,000 None 47,621 134,717 67.80 3,025 Rulaski 262,000 Saraki 262,	The second secon						
Elizabethton 1,209,600* - 0 - 810,299 191,473 46.70 - No - Maryville 2,520,000* 7,262* 341,037* 283,607* 74.40* - No - Greeneville 1,319,089 8,543* 649,844* 191,341 45.60 5,686 8helbyville 177,000 10,950 857,360 159,818 46.30 13,902 lebanon 1,547,000 55,734 396,089 189,527 65.50 - No - Faris 525,000 1,472 490,296 123,000 39.30 2,697 111,585 48.40 80 111,585 80 111,585	Tullahoma	1,168,000*	66,328*	1,230,065	140,839	40.40	- No -
Maryville 2,520,000* 7,262* 341,037* 283,607* 74.40* - No - Greeneville 1,319,089 8,543* 649,844* 191,341 45.60 5,686 Shelbyville 177,000 10,950 857,360 159,818 46.30 13,902 Lebanon 1,547,000 55,734 396,089 189,527 65.50 - No - Paris 525,000 1,472 490,296 123,000 39.30 2,697 Springfield 111,585 48.40 111,585 48.40 111,585 48.40 Rumboldt 284,125* - 0 - 64,938* 68,862 27.05 - No - Union City 464,000* 14,641* 141,196* 120,046 45.40 8,750 MoMinnville 940,000 - 0 - - 0 - 162,874* 50.80 3,690 Cookeville 446,000 4,836 594,452 165,000 52.50 - No - Lawrenceburg 798,000 2,638 255,901	Athens		3,794	355,647	170,998	47.10	- No -
Greeneville 1,319,089 8,543* 649,844* 191,341 45.60 5,686 Shelbyville 177,000 10,950 857,360 159,818 46.30 13,902 Lebanon 1,547,000 55,734 396,089 189,527 65.50 - No - Paris 525,000 1,472 490,296 123,000 39.30 2,697 Springfield 111,585 48.40 Sumboldt 284,125* - O - 64,938* 68,862 27.05 - No - Union City 464,000* 14,641* 141,196* 120,046 45.40 8,750 McMinnville 940,000 - O O - 162,874* 50.80 3,690 Cookeville 446,000 4,836 594,452 165,000 52.50 - No - Lawrenceburg 798,000 2,638 255,901 152,396 58.80 - No - Harriman 2,360,000 871 46,420 251,280 91.40 Yes Fayetteville 308,000 - O - 122,042 61.00 LaFollette 556,000 Gallatin 1,233,000* 5,508* 317,102* 156,286 51.90 - No - Newport 30,000 2,318 (17,456) 36,691 18.35 Millington 570,000 Sumbold 12,088* 53.00 Sumbold 12,088* 53.00 Sumbold 12,088* 53.00 Sumbold 12,088* 53.00 Sumbold 134,717 67.80 3,025 Milan 170,000 33,564 175,626 66,013 33.60 - No - Franklin 625,000 Sumbold 196,000 1,644 393,002 56,295 33.40 - No - Sumbold 196,000 1,644 393,002 56,295 33.40 - No -		1,209,600*		810,299		46.70	- No -
Shelbyville 177,000 10,950 857,360 159,818 46.30 13,902 Lebanon 1,547,000 55,734 396,089 189,527 65.50 - No - Paris 525,000 1,472 490,296 123,000 39.30 2,697 Springfield 111,585 48.40 Rumboldt 284,125* - O - 64,938* 68,862 27.05 - No - Union City 464,000* 14,641* 141,196* 120,046 45.40 8,750 McMinnville 940,000 - O - - O - 162,874* 50.80 3,690 Cookeville 446,000 4,836 594,452 165,000 52.50 - No - Lawrenceburg 798,000 2,638 255,901 152,396 58.80 - No - Layriman 2,360,000 871 46,420 251,280 91.40 Yes Fayetteville 308,000 - O - 126,159 63.50 22,800 Gallatin						74.40*	- No -
Lebanon 1,547,000 55,734 396,089 189,527 65.50 - No - 2,697 Paris 525,000 1,472 490,296 123,000 39.30 2,697 Springfield 111,585 48.40 Humboldt 284,125* - O - 64,938* 68,862 27.05 - No - Union City 464,000* 14,641* 141,196* 120,046 45.40 8,750 McMinnville 940,000 - O - - O - 162,874* 50.80 3,690 Cookeville 446,000 4,836 594,452 165,000 52.50 - No - Lawrenceburg 798,000 2,638 255,901 152,396 58.80 - No - Lawrenceburg 798,000 871 46,420 251,280 91.40 Yes Fayetteville 308,000 - O - 122,042 61.00 126,159 63.50 22,800 Gallatin 1,233,000* 5,508* 317,102* 156,286 51.90 - No -						45.60	5,686
Paris 525,000 1,472 490,296 123,000 39.30 2,697 Springfield	Shelbyville						13,902
Springfield		the state of the s			189,527	65 .5 0	- No -
Humboldt 284,125* - 0 - 64,938* 68,862 27.05 - No - Union City 464,000* 14,641* 141,196* 120,046 45.40 8,750 McMinnville 940,000 - 0 - - 0 - 162,874* 50.80 3,690 Cookeville 446,000 4,836 594,452 165,000 52.50 - No - Lawrenceburg 798,000 2,638 255,901 152,396 58.80 - No - Lawrenceburg 798,000 871 46,420 251,280 91.40 Yes Fayetteville 308,000 - 0 - 122,042 61.00 126,159 63.50 22,800 Gallatin 1,233,000* 5,508* 317,102* 156,286 51.90 - No - Newport 30,000 2,318 (17,456) 36,691 18.35 Millington 570,000 94,959* 64.10* - No - Lewisburg 451,500* 8,337 121,088* 53.00 Pulaski 262,000 None 47,621 134,717 67.80 3,025		525,000	1,472	490,296			2,697
Union City 464,000* 14,641* 141,196* 120,046 45.40 8,750 McMinnville 940,000 - 0 - 0 - 162,874* 50.80 3,690 Cookeville 446,000 4,836 594,452 165,000 52.50 - No - Lawrenceburg 798,000 2,638 255,901 152,396 58.80 - No - Harriman 2,360,000 871 46,420 251,280 91.40 Yes Fayetteville 308,000 - 0 - 122,042 61.00 LaFollette 556,000 Gallatin 1,233,000* 5,508* 317,102* 156,286 51.90 - No - Newport 30,000 2,318 (17,456) 36,691 18.35 Millington 570,000 94,959* 64.10* - No - Lewisburg 451,500* 8,337 2 121,088* 53.00 Fulaski 262,000 None 47,621 134,717 67.80 3,025 Milan 170,000 33,564 175,626 66,013 33.60 - No - Franklin 625,000 Brownsville 196,000 1,644 393,002 56,295 33.40 - No -		STEPPEN.	The second second			48.40	WILL THE
McMinnville 940,000 - 0 - - 0 - 162,874* 50.80 3,690 Cookeville 446,000 4,836 594,452 165,000 52.50 - No - Lawrenceburg 798,000 2,638 255,901 152,396 58.80 - No - Harriman 2,360,000 871 46,420 251,280 91.40 Yes Fayetteville 308,000 - 0 - 122,042 61.00 126,159 63.50 22,800 Gallatin 1,233,000* 5,508* 317,102* 156,286 51.90 - No - Newport 30,000 2,318 (17,456) 36,691 18.35 Millington 570,000 94,959* 64.10* - No - Lewisburg 451,500* 8,337 121,088* 53.00 Pulaski 262,000 None 47,621 134,717 67.80 3,025 Milan 170,000 33,564 175,626 66,013 33.60 - No - Brownsville 196,000 1,644 393,002 56,295 33.40 - No -					68,862	27.05	- No -
Cookeville 446,000 4,836 594,452 165,000 52.50 - No - Lawrenceburg 798,000 2,638 255,901 152,396 58.80 - No - Harriman 2,360,000 871 46,420 251,280 91.40 Yes Fayetteville 308,000 - O - 122,042 61.00 Yes Fayetteville 556,000 126,159 63.50 22,800 Gallatin 1,233,000* 5,508* 317,102* 156,286 51.90 - No - Newport 30,000 2,318 (17,456) 36,691 18.35 Millington 570,000 94,959* 64.10* - No - Lewisburg 451,500* 8,337 2 121,088* 53.00 Fullaski 262,000 None 47,621 134,717 67.80 3,025 Milan 170,000 33,564 175,626 66,013 33.60 - No - Brownsville 196,000 1,644 393,002			14,641*	141,196*	120,046		8,750
Lawrenceburg 798,000 2,638 255,901 152,396 58.80 - No - Harriman 2,360,000 871 46,420 251,280 91.40 Yes Fayetteville 308,000 - O - 122,042 61.00 LaFollette 556,000 126,159 63.50 22,800 Gallatin 1,233,000* 5,508* 317,102* 156,286 51.90 - No - Newport 30,000 2,318 (17,456) 36,691 18.35 Millington 570,000 94,959* 64.10* - No - Lewisburg 451,500* 8,337 ? 121,088* 53.00 Pulaski 262,000 None 47,621 134,717 67.80 3,025 Milan 170,000 33,564 175,626 66,013 33.60 - No - Franklin 625,000 1,644 393,002 56,295 33.40 - No -				- 0 -	162,874*	50.80	3,690
Harriman 2,360,000 871 46,420 251,280 91.40 Yes Fayetteville 308,000 - 0 - 122,042 61.00 LaFollette 556,000 126,159 63.50 22,800 Gallatin 1,233,000* 5,508* 317,102* 156,286 51.90 - No - Newport 30,000 2,318 (17,456) 36,691 18.35 Millington 570,000 94,959* 64.10* - No - Lewisburg 451,500* 8,337 121,088* 53.00 Pulaski 262,000 None 47,621 134,717 67.80 3,025 Milan 170,000 33,564 175,626 66,013 33.60 - No - Brownsville 196,000 1,644 393,002 56,295 33.40 - No -				594,452	165,000		- No -
Fayetteville 308,000 - 0 - 122,042 61.00 LaFollette 556,000 126,159 63.50 22,800 Gallatin 1,233,000* 5,508* 317,102* 156,286 51.90 - No - Newport 30,000 2,318 (17,456) 36,691 18.35 Millington 570,000 94,959* 64.10* - No - Lewisburg 451,500* 8,337 121,088* 53.00 Pulaski 262,000 None 47,621 134,717 67.80 3,025 Milan 170,000 33,564 175,626 66,013 33.60 - No - Brownsville 196,000 1,644 393,002 56,295 33.40 - No -							- No -
LaFollette 556,000 126,159 63.50 22,800 Gallatin 1,233,000* 5,508* 317,102* 156,286 51.90 - No - Newport 30,000 2,318 (17,456) 36,691 18.35 Millington 570,000 94,959* 64.10* - No - Lewisburg 451,500* 8,337 121,088* 53.00 Fulaski 262,000 None 47,621 134,717 67.80 3,025 Milan 170,000 33,564 175,626 66,013 33.60 - No - Franklin 625,000 146,387* 67.20* Brownsville 196,000 1,644 393,002 56,295 33.40 - No -			871	46,420	251,280		Yes
Gallatin 1,233,000* 5,508* 317,102* 156,286 51.90 - No - Newport 30,000 2,318 (17,456) 36,691 18.35 Millington 570,000 94,959* 64.10* - No - Lewisburg 451,500* 8,337 2 121,088* 53.00 Pulaski 262,000 None 47,621 134,717 67.80 3,025 Milan 170,000 33,564 175,626 66,013 33.60 - No - Franklin 625,000 146,387* 67.20* Brownsville 196,000 1,644 393,002 56,295 33.40 - No -			- 0 -				
Newport 30,000 2,318 (17,456) 36,691 18.35 Millington 570,000 94,959* 64.10* - No - Lewisburg 451,500* 8,337 121,088* 53.00 Fulaski 262,000 None 47,621 134,717 67.80 3,025 Milan 170,000 33,564 175,626 66,013 33.60 - No - Franklin 625,000 146,387* 67.20* Brownsville 196,000 1,644 393,002 56,295 33.40 - No -		556,000			126,159	63.50	22,800
Newport 30,000 2,318 (17,456) 36,691 18.35 Millington 570,000 94,959* 64.10* - No - Lewisburg 451,500* 8,337 121,088* 53.00 Pulaski 262,000 None 47,621 134,717 67.80 3,025 Milan 170,000 33,564 175,626 66,013 33.60 - No - Franklin 625,000 146,387* 67.20* Brownsville 196,000 1,644 393,002 56,295 33.40 - No -							- No -
Milington 570,000 94,959* 64.10* - No - Lewisburg 451,500* 8,337 121,088* 53.00 Pulaski 262,000 None 47,621 134,717 67.80 3,025 Milan 170,000 33,564 175,626 66,013 33.60 - No - Franklin 625,000 146,387* 67.20* Brownsville 196,000 1,644 393,002 56,295 33.40 - No -		30,000	2,318	(17,456)	36,691	18.35	
Pulaski 262,000 None 47,621 134,717 67.80 3,025 Milan 170,000 33,564 175,626 66,013 33.60 - No - Franklin 625,000 146,387* 67.20* Brownsville 196,000 1,644 393,002 56,295 33.40 - No -				1 100 100		64.10*	- No -
Fulaski 262,000 None 47,621 134,717 67.80 3,025 Milan 170,000 33,564 175,626 66,013 33.60 - No - Franklin 625,000 146,387* 67.20* Brownsville 196,000 1,644 393,002 56,295 33.40 - No -			8,337				
Franklin 625,000 146,387* 67.20* Brownsville 196,000 1,644 393,002 56,295 33.40 - No -		262,000	None				3,025
Brownsville 196,000 1,644 393,002 56,295 33.40 - No -			33,564	175,626		33.60	- No -
			5- X- 18- 16-			67.20*	
enoir City 52,000 - 0 - (66,577) 64,488 39.70 - No -	A THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF		1,644			33.40	- No -
	Lenoir City	52,000	- 0 -	(66,577)	64,488	39.70	- No -

^{*}Water and sewer accounts combined

25. 26. 27. 28. 29. 30.			FIN	ANCIAL STATUS A	T END LAST	FISCAL YEAR	
Ott		25.	26.			the same of the sa	30.
Opt Expense Col. 25		Total	Deprec.	Interest	In-Lieu		
Expense Col. 25 In Col. 25 Col. 25 Fund Gen. Fund Citites over 100,000 1,072,971* None 100,000 None 282,240* None 1,343,378* 138,116* 309,865* None 881,037* 282,240* None		Operating	Exp. in	Expense	Tax in		
Cittles over 100,000	City	Expense	Col. 25	In Col. 25	Col. 25		
		Cities over 10	00,000	31 607	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Cities 5,000 to 35,000	Memphis	4,935,745*	847,373*	1,072,971*	- None-	100,000	- None -
Cities 5,000 to 35,000 286,464* 76,411 24,538 12,000 - None - Non	Nashville	1,434,378*	138,116*		- None -		
Cities 5,000 to 35,000 Jackson 286,464* 76,411 24,538 12,000 - None - None - Johnson City 337,829 - None - 154,729 - None - 135,000 - None -	Knoxville	1,585,878	170,000				
Jackson 286,464* 76,411 24,538 12,000 None None None Johnson City 337,829 None 154,729 None 135,000 None					AND A CONTRACTOR		
Johnson City 337,829 - None - 154,729 - None - 135,000 - None - None Ridge 407,430 - None - Son, 522* - None - 36,421* 22,000* - None - None - None - None - None - Son, 535,522* - None - 36,421* 22,000* - None - None - None - None - Son, 535,538 51,675 - 41,644 - None		Cities 5,000 t	o 35,000				
Johnson City	Jackson	286,464*	76,411	24,538	12,000	- None -	- None -
Oak Ridge 407, 430 None - No	Johnson City	337,829	- None -				
Mingsport 193,538 51,675 41,644 None No	Oak Ridge	407,430	- None -				
Starkeville		503,522*	- None -	36,421*			
Bristol 149,367 - None -							
Morristown 166,785					NAME AND ADDRESS OF TAXABLE PARTY.		
Murfreesboro 207,089* - None - 61,037* - None - 61,662* - None - 900,000 - None - 900,000 Columbia 208,012 51,840 No - (\$50,000) 5,525 - None - None - 900,000 Dyersburg 66,769 - None		166,785	47,494				
Cleveland 391,838* 162,995* 61,662* None None 900,000				61,037*			
Solumbia 208,012 51,840 No - (\$50,000) 5,525 - None - None	cleveland		162,995*				
Dyersburg				The state of the s			
Tullahoma							THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 2 IS NOT THE OWNER.
Athens 103,724 23,449 - None - None - None - Water - No			- None -				
Elizabethton 50,032 - None - No - (\$1,325) - None - 10\$\frac{1}{2},000 - 1,209,600* Maryville 150,39\frac{1}{2}* 65,935* No - 56,000 - None - None - None - None - Greeneville 135,915	Athens						
Maryville 150,394* 65,935* No - 56,000 None -	Elizabethton						
Creeneville	Maryville					Company of the Compan	
Shelbyville	Greeneville				Name and Address of the Owner, where the Owner, which is the Owner,		
Tebanon	Shelbyville						
Paris 89,481 21,000 16,000 - None - - No	Lebanon						
Springfield 82,132 Yes Yes None - None - None - None -	Paris						
Humboldt 106,400* 33,170* 12,948* 9,909* - None - - None - Union City 102,625 30,804 11,887* - None -	Springfield						
102,625 30,804 11,887* None	Humboldt				the state of the s		
McMinnville 153,702* - None -	Union City		30.804				
Cookeville 89,087 26,403 - None - - None - - None - - None - Yes - Sewer Lawrenceburg 119,106 17,383 25,892 - None - -				the same of the sa			
Lawrenceburg 119,106 17,383 25,892 - None None - None	Cookeville						
Harriman 204,760 32,158 65,788 - None	Lawrenceburg						
Fayetteville 76,000 - None - Yes - None	Harriman						
LeFollette 78,037 Yes-32,107 17,260 - None	Fayetteville						None
Gallatin 160,175* Yes-41,337* 32,244* - None	LaFollétte					- None -	- None -
Newport 44,782 None - None - None - None - None - None - None - None - None - None - None - None - None -	Gallatin						
Millington 85,244* None	Newport	44,782	the second secon				
Lewisburg 115,334* 30,368 15,265 1 None - No	Millington		***	THE RESIDENCE OF SHIP			
Pulaski 58,402 19,461 - None -	Lewisburg		30,368				
Wilan 47,649 15,542 - None - N	Pulaski						- None -
Franklin 137,066 - None - 10,668 - 0 196,000	Milan						
Brownsville 41,104 12,082 - None - 4,183 - None - 196,000	Franklin	137,066					
enote 011	Brownsville					The second secon	
	Lenoir City						

Water and sewer accounts combined

				GENERAI			re
		1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.
		Water	Does W.	Source of	% of "Un-	Is in-	Does
		Utility	Util.also	Sew.Util.	accounted		W. Util.
		Oper-	operate	Operating	for"	Tax Paid	Do Own
City	Population	ated by:	Sew. Util.?	-	Water	To City?	Billing?
	Cities 2,500		ak Hill, Good ater Utility)		and Belle	Meade do	not own
Rockwood	4,885	WC.	Yes	S.S.Ch	3%	Yesl	Yes
Covington	4,884	Dept.	Yes	S.S.Ch	?	?	No
Dickson	4,685	Dept.	Yes	S.S.Ch	15%		No
Sparta	4,662	Dept.	Yes	G.F.	20-25%	No	Yes
Winchester	4,640	UB	No	S.S.Ch	20%	No	No
Martin	4,534	Dept.	Yes	S.S.Ch	3%	No	Yes
Jefferson City		Dept.	Yes	S.S.Ch	10%	No	No
Clinton	4,259	WC	Yes	S.S.Ch	25%	Yes	No
Trenton	4,242	Dept.	Yes	G.F.	3	No	No
Sweetwater	4,199	Dept.	Yes	S.S.Ch	?	No	Yes
Manchester	3,845	Dept.	Yes	S.S.Ch	20.2%	No	No
McKenize	3,774	WB	Yes	S.S.Ch	3%	No	Yes
Loudon	3,567	UB	No	S.S.Ch	22%	No	No
Lexington	3,566	WB	No	S.S.Ch	?	Yes	Yes
Erwin	3,387	UB	No	G.F.	39%	Yes	No
Ripley	3,318	UB	No	S.S.Ch	18%	No	No
Dayton	3,305	Dept.	Yes	G.F.	10%	No	No
Savannah	3,280	UB	Yes	S.S.Ch	?	No	No
Etowah	3,261	UB	Yes	S.S.Ch	8%	Yes	Yes
Mt. Pleasant	3,097	Dept.	Yes	S.S.Ch	3	No	?
Waverly	2,959	Dept.	Yes	S.S.Ch	43%	No	No
Signal Mt.	2,946	Dept.	Yes	G.F.	13%	None	Yes
Rogersville	2,916	WB	Yes	S.S.Ch	25%	No	No
Bolivar	2,884	WB	Yes	S.S.Ch	3	No	No
Jellico	2,602	UB	Yes	W.Rev.	31%	Yes	No
S.Pittsburg	2,573	WB	Yes	S.S.Ch	20%	Yes	Yes
Henderson	2,532	Dept.	Yes	W.Rev.	12%	No	Yes
Camden	2,523	WB	Yes	S.S.Ch	50%	No	Yes
Livingston	2,510	Dept.	Yes	in WB	?	No	Yes
	Cities 1,000						
Smithville	2,452	Dept.	None		?	No	Yes
Crossville	2,291	Dept.	Yes	S.S.Ch	?	No	No
S. Fulton	2,239	Dept.	Yes	□ G.F.	5%	No	Yes
Kingston	2,195	WB	Yes	W.Rev.	9%	No	No
Portland	2,140	Dept.	Yes	No Sew.	?	No	Yes
Jamestown	2,115	Depu.	100	110 DCW 8	•	110	100
Hohenwald	2,049	Dept.	Yes	S.S.Ch	?	No	Yes
Carthage	2,049		Yes	S.S.Ch	?	No	Yes
Huntingdon	2,044	UB UB	Yes	S.S.Ch	1%	No	Yes
Monterey	2,043		None	D.D.OII	3,	No	Yes
Centerville	1,953	WB_ Dept.	Yes	S.S.Ch	29%	No	No
Tiptonville		Dept.	Yes	S.S.Ch	20%	No	Yes
Whitwell	1,953	_	None	None	10%	No	Yes
	1,912	Dept.	MOHE	None	10 p	110	100

^{15%} of water receipts less pumping expense

		RATES				EXTENSION PO	LICIES		
	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.
	Out-	1.			Title	Type Ref.		& Regui	
	side	Rates	Revised	Ext.	Ext.Mains	Lim.or	Adopt.	Revis.	Dates
	Rate		od- Dates	Fin.	Obt.At	Unlim.	&		- Last 2
City	Dif.		y Last 2	ру	Once?	Time		ically	
323			<u> </u>					10011	110122
	Citie	s 2,50	00 to 5,000						
Rockwood	£ 50%	Yes	-154	Jt	Yes	No	No s		
Covington	≠ 50¢	No	3.0	WU	Yes	No	No	No	
Dickson	£ 50%	Yes	154-158	Jt	Yes	RevUnlim.	No	Yes	156-157
Sparta	£ 50%	?	?	SD	No	None	No	?	?
Winchester	same	Yes	151-159	SD	Yes	No	Yes	No	?
Martin	f 50¢	Yes	- '58	Jt	Yes	No	No	Yes	- 159
Jefferson C		Yes	156-157	Jt	Yes	No	No	No	•
Clinton	1 60%	No	- 155	SD	Yes	None	No	No	- 155
Trenton	£ 30%	No	152-154	WU	Yes	No	No	No	
Sweetwater	7 25%	No	- '59	WU	Yes	None	No	No	
Manchester	/ 100%	No	152-159	WU	Yes	None	No	Yes	11 150
McKenize		Yes	147-158	Jt	Yes	None		Yes	159
Loudon	25%	Yes	-1 56	WU	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	-159 153 -1 57
	1 50%		- 148			NO	No	Yes	75- 71
Lexington	same	No	146-158	Jt	Yes	По о Т 4 н			158
Erwin	/ 50%	37	140-150	<u>Jt</u>	Yes	Taps-Lim.	Yes	Yes	- '58
Ripley	£ 50%	Yes	. =2	Jt	Yes	Taps-Lim.	Yes	17	• = 0
Dayton	.25¢	Yes	- ' 53	WU	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	-158
Savannah	100%	Yes	155-159	Jt		RevLim.	No	Yes	
Etowah	+ 50¢	Yes	154-156	Jt	Yes	No	No	No	
Mt.Pleasant		No		Jt	Yes	None	No	No	
Waverly	1 50%	Yes	- '55	SD	Yes	RevLim.	Yes	Yes	- '57
Signal Mt.	£ 50%	No		SD	Yes	Taps-Lim.	Yes	No.	?
Rogersville	<i>/</i> \$1.00	Yes	3	WU	Yes	No	No	No	\$
Bolivar	1 50%	Yes	-158	SD	Yes	Taps-Lim.	Yes		
Jellico	£ 50%	Yes	143-148	Jt	Yes	Yes-Lim.	Yes	Yes	143-148
S.Pittsburg	same	No	152-154		Yes	No	No	No	
Henderson	£ 25%	Yes	- 150	Jt	Yes	No	No	Yes	
Camden	7 50%	Yes	146-159	SD	Yes	No	No	Yes	-159
Livingston	/100 <u>%</u>	Yes	154-155	Jt	Yes	No	No	Yes	1.01/59
		1,000	to 2,500			27			
Smithville	£ 50%			WU	Yes	No	Yes	No	
Crossville	£ 50%	No		Jt	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	-159
S. Fulton	<i>\\$</i> 1.00	Yes	'58-'5 9	WU	Yes	No	No	No	
Kingston	same	Yes		SD	No	Taps-Lim,	No	No	
Portland			-152	WU		NO .	No	No	
Jamestown			-157						
Hohenwald	f 42%	No		SD	Yes	Taps-	Yes	No	
Carthage	same	Yes	-157	WU	Yes	No	Yes	No	
Huntingdon	£ 50%	Yes	y ·	WU	Yes	No	No	Yes	- '5 9
Monterey	same	Yes	- '57	SD	Yes	No	No	No	
Centerville	<i>f</i> 18%	Yes	-157	SD	No	None	No	Yes	156-158
Tiptonville	100%	No	148-152	WU	Yes	No	No		
Whitwell	1 20%	Yes	-159	SD	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	-159
William To Company	, 1	_							

			FINANCI	IAL STATUS AT	END LAST	FISCAL YEAR	
		16. Cash or Accumal	17. Number of Cus-	18. Water Plant in	A. Invest- ment per	B. Invest. per \$1	19. Depreciation
City	Population	Basis	tomers	Service	Customer	Revenue	Reserve
	Cities 2,50	0 to 5,000					
Rockwood	4,885	Cash	1,746	795,037*	456*	7.26*	9,570
covington	4,884	Cash	1,625	545,867	336	12.37	93,965
Dickson	4,685	Cash	1,583	717,001	330	40.01	75,707
Sparta	4,662	Cash	1,600	491,927	308	7.35	None
Winchester	4,640	?	1,555	567,372	365	8.70	173,917
Martin	4,534	Cash	1,575	288,000	183	4.90	8,622
Jefferson Ci		?	1,143	550,586*	482	8.14	140,250*
clinton	4,250	Accrual	1,458	1,227,762*	841	12.80	209,100
Trenton	4,242	Cash	1,554	221,290	142	5.45	209,100
Sweetwater	4,199	Cash	1,250	221,290	142	2,42	- 0 -
Manchester	3,845	Cash	1,442	1,076,794	755	16.90	64,531
McKenize	3,774	Accrual	1,281	268,180	209		The second secon
Loudon		Accrual				3.54	79,270
	3,567	Accruat	1,280	324,219	254	6.58 7.26	136,268
Lexington	3,566	A 7	1,104	306,000	277		
Erwin	3,387	Accrual	2,312	639,964	277	6.75 6.48	170,213
Ripley	3,318	Cash	1,216	341,017	281		158,889
Dayton	3,305	?	1,375	295,576	214	5.44	168,968
Savannah	3,280	Cash	1,300	633,623	488	10.36	155,803
Etowah	3,261	Accrual	1,652	826,477	500*	9.11	111,657
Mt. Pleasant	3,097	?	1,324	3			?
Waverly	2,959	Cash	960	001 197	olio), 777	
Signal Mt.	2,946	?	914	221,187	242	4.77	- 0 -
Rogersville	2,916	Cash	1,162	557,255	480	9.82	79,624*
Bolivar	2,884	Cash	1,013	563,831	555	7.34	159,774*
Jellico C. Ditti	2,602	Accrual	745	194,696*	262*	5 • 35*	83,326*
S.Pittsburg	2,573	Cash	1,100	543,601*	496*	7-38*	79,189*
Henderson	2,532	Cash	826				- 0 -
Camden	2,523	Cash	950	- ? -	?	?	- 0 - 90 hez-
Livingston	2,510	Accrual	997	399,695*	401*	10.57*	80,457*
	Cities 1,000	to 2,500					
Smithville	2,452	Cash	800	104,775	131.	5.72 14.36*	- 0 -
Crossville	2,291	Cash	1,260	1,184,681*	940*	14.36*	69,234
S. Fulton	2,239	Cash	784				
Kingston	2,195	Accrual	1,186	442,391 ¹	373	7.10	28,657
Portland	2,140	Cash	815	374,497	460	12.80	- 0 -
Jamestown	2,115		270				
Hohenwald	2,049	Cash	883	267,434	303	8.40	55,373
Carthage	2,044	Cash	656	160,000	544	4.78	-0-
Huntingdon	2,043	Cash	730	175,000	239	5.31*	- 0 -
Monterey	2,043	Cash	487	Unknown			- 0 -
Centerville	1,953	Cash	585	261,340	446.	8.95	- 0 -
Tiptonville	1,953	Cash	600	600,000	1,000	27.20	- 0 -
Whitwell	1,912	Cash	600	440,000	733		?

^{*}Water and sewer accounts combined
To be subtracted from the total Water & Sewer plant in service.

20. 21. 22. 23. C. 24.			FINAN	NCIAL STATUS AT	END LAST FISCA	AL YEAR	
Water Bonded Payable Total Revenue Revenue Rent in Debt Amount Surplus Amount P/Gust. Col. 25			21.				24.
City Debt Amount Surplus Amount P/Gust. Col. 25		Water	Accounts		Total	Average	Hydrant
Cities 2,500 to,5,000 Rockwood 803,000 * -0 9,680 109,436* 62.60* None -0 109,436* 62.60* None -1 109,436* 62.60* None -1 109,436* 62.60* None -1 109,436* 109,			Payable	Total	Revenue	Revenue	The state of the s
Cities 2,500 to,5,000 Bockwood 803,000 * - 0 - 9,680 109,436* 62.60* - None - Covington 253,000 1,770 44,132 27.20 - None - Dickson 522,000 63,392 67,260 42.30 Yes - 120 5parta 272,000 214,032 67,000 41.80 - None - State 279,000 1,204 35,808 65,316 48.00 2,243 36fference 101ty 327,000 58,629 37.20 - None - State 27,000 58,629 37.20 - None - Colinton 63,000 2,179 209,662 95,801 65,80 Yes-2,675 - None - Colinton 63,000 2,179 209,662 95,801 65,80 Yes-2,675 - None - State 25,000 628 2,617 40,589 26.20 - None - State 25,000 63,740 44,80 Yes-5,340 McKentze 304,000 - None - 120,335 75,669 59.00 Yes-1,740 Loudon 62,240 2,560 68,857 49,321 38.50 - None - Lexington 198,000 1,700 42,097 38.20 Yes-3,400 Ervin 493,000 3,976 185,000 94,565 41.00 Yes-4,978 Ripley 148,000 2,285 121,178 52,582 43.30 54,402 Yes-7 7,500 Yes-1,770 Yes-7 7,500 Yes-1,770 Yes-7 7,500 Yes-1,770 Yes-7 7,500 Yes-7,560 Yes-7,560 Yes-7,560 Yes-7,570 Y	City	_Debt_	Amount	Surplus	Amount	P/Cust.	Col. 25
Rockwood 803,000 *			The state of the s			And the second	
Dougland 253,000					700 hack	(0 (0)	27
Dickson 522,000 63,392 67,260 42.30 Yes - 120				9,680			
Sparta 272,000	Market Market Street Control of the					The second secon	
Winchester 379,000							
Martin 237,000							
Jefferson City 327,000* 3,894* 5,817* 67,736* 59.20* - None - Citinton 683,000 2,179 209,662 95,801 65.80 Yes-2,675		Name and Address of the Owner, where the Owner, which the	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T	NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY.			
Clinton 683,000 2,179 209,662 95,801 65,80 Yes-2,675 Trenton 628 2,617 40,589 26.20 - None - Sweetwater 625,000 65,285* 52.20* Yes Manchester 466,500 1,108 63,740 44.80 Yes-5,340 McKenize 304,000 - None - 120,335 75,669 59.00 Yes-1,740 Loudon 62,240 2,560 68,857 49,321 38.50 - None - Lexington 198,000 1,700 42,097 38.20 Yes-3,400 Erwin 493,000 3,976 185,000 94,565 41.00 Yes-4,978 Ripley 145,000 2,285 121,178 52,582 43.30 Dayton 67,500 8,919 54,425 39.50 - None - Savannah 366,750 108,900 61,041 47.00 Yes-7 Etowah 905,000* 387 245,777* 90,769 55.00 Yes-2,565 Mt. Pleasant 7 18,200 61,041 47.00 Yes-7 Signal Mountain 102,000 1,734 155,934 46,351 47.70 2,475 Rogersville 242,000 4,900 20,015* 56,783 48.80 - None - Solivar 580,000* 150 29,613* 36,369* 48.80* Yes-2,952 Welliar 580,000* 20,700 49,480* 73,599* 68.80* Yes-2,952 Welliar 580,000* 62 3,929 37,810* 38,00* - None - Mingston 360,000* 62 3,929 37,810* 38,00* - None - Mingston 360,000* 62 3,929 37,810* 38,00* - None - Mingston 360,000* 62 3,929 37,810* 38,00* - None - Mingston 564,000 184 72,934 62,339 52,50 Yes-11,300 Portland 135,000 7 18,299 22.85 - None - Mingston 564,000 184 72,934 62,339 52,50 Yes-11,300 Portland 135,000 - 0 - 7 - 29,232 35,90 - None - Mingston 564,000 184 72,934 62,339 52,50 Yes-11,300 Portland 135,000 - 0 - 7 - 29,232 35,90 - None - Mingston 564,000 184 72,934 62,339 52,50 Yes-11,300 Portland 135,000 - 0 - 7 - 29,243 30,723 34.80 - None - Mingston 564,000 184 72,934 62,339 52,50 Yes-11,300 Portland 135,000 - 0 - 79,243 30,723 34.80 - None - Mingston 564,000 184 72,934 62,339 52,50 Yes-11,300 Portland 135,000 - 0 - 79,243 30,723 34.80 - None - Mingston 564,000 184 72,934 62,339 52,50 Yes-11,300 Portland 218,000 9,005 - 7 - 29,232 35,90 - None - Mingston 88,000 - 0 - 91,441* 32,955 45.10 - None - Mingston 88,000 - 0 - 91,441* 32,955 45.10 - None - Mingston 88,000 - 0 - 91,441* 32,955 45.10 - None - Mingston 88,000 - 0 - 61,38 29,164 49.80 - None - Mingston 120,000 1,015 2,822 19,076 39.20 1,210							
Trenton 628 2,617 40,589 26.20 - None - Sweetwater 625,000 65,285* 52.20* Yes Manchester 466,500 1,108 63,740 44.80 Yes-5,340 McKenize 304,000 - None - 120,335 75,669 59.00 Yes-1,740 Loudon 62,240 2,560 68,857 49,321 38.50 - None - Lexington 198,000 1,700 42,097 38.20 Yes-3,400 Ervin 493,000 3,976 185,000 94,565 41.00 Yes-4,978 Ripley 148,000 2,285 121,178 52,582 43.30 Swannah 366,750 108,900 61,041 47.00 Yes-7 Etowah 905,000* 387 245,779* 90,769 55.00 Yes-2,565 Mt. Pleasant ? ? \$2,620 39.70 Reverly 515,000* 1,391 28,437* 66,385* 69.00* Yes-1,850 Signal Mountain 102,000 1,734 155,934* 46,4351 47.70 2,475 Rogersville 242,000 4,900 20,015* 56,783 48.80 - None - Bolivar 580,000* 16,000 287,685* 76,707 75.60 Yes-2,952 Bouth Pittsburg 380,000* 20,700 49,480* 73,599* 68.80* Yes-2,920 Henderson 57,000* -0 - 12,313* 36,053* 48.80* Yes-3,571 South Pittsburg 380,000* 62 3,929 37,810* 38,00* - None - Livingston 360,000* 62 3,929 37,810* 38,00* - None - Livingston 564,000 184 72,934 62,339 52.50 Yes-11,300 Portland 218,000 9,005 -? - 28,253 28,42 None - Livingston 564,000 184 72,934 62,339 52.50 Yes-11,300 Portland 218,000 9,005 -? - 29,232 35.90 - None - Livingston 564,000 184 72,934 62,339 52.50 Yes-11,300 Portland 218,000 9,005 -? - 29,232 35.90 - None - Livingston 564,000 184 72,934 62,339 52.50 Yes-11,300 Portland 218,000 9,005 -? - 29,232 35.90 - None - Livingston 564,000 184 72,934 62,339 52.50 Yes-11,300 Portland 218,000 9,005 -? - 29,232 35.90 - None - Livingston 564,000 184 72,934 62,339 52.50 Yes-11,300 Portland 218,000 9,005 -? - 29,232 35.90 - None - Livingston 564,000 184 72,934 62,339 52.50 Yes-11,300 Portland 218,000 9,005 -? - 29,232 35.90 - None - Livingston 564,000 184 72,934 62,339 52.50 Yes-11,300 Portland 218,000 9,005 -? - 29,232 35.90 - None - Livingston 86,000 -0 - 91,441* 32,951 45.10 - None - Livingston 86,000 -0 - 91,441* 32,951 45.10 - None - Livingston 86,000 -0 - 91,441* 32,951 45.10 - None - Livingston 86,000 -0 - 91,441* 32,951 45.10 - None - Livingston 8	Control of the Contro						
Sweetwater						the state of the s	
Manchester 466,500 1,108 63,740 44.80 Yes-5,340 McKenize 304,000 - None - 120,335 75,669 59.00 Yes-1,740 Loudon 62,240 2,550 68,857 49,321 38.50 - None - Lexington 198,000 1,700 42,097 38.20 Yes-3,400 Ervin 493,000 3,976 185,000 94,565 41.00 Yes-4,978 Hipley 148,000 2,285 121,178 52,582 43.30 108,900 61,041 47.00 Yes-7 78,425 39.50 - None - Savannah 366,750 108,900 61,041 47.00 Yes-7 78,425 39.50 Yes-2,565 Mt. Pleasant 7 7 25,620 39.70 18,771 80,770 75.60 Yes-2,565 Mt. Pleasant 7 7 25,620 39.70 18,771 80				the state of the s			
McKenize 304,000 - None - 120,335 75,669 59.00 Yes-1,740 Loudon 62,240 2,560 68,857 49,321 38.50 - None - Lexington 198,000 1,700 42,097 38.20 Yes-3,400 Erwin 493,000 3,976 185,000 94,565 41.00 Yes-4,978 Ripley 148,000 2,285 121,178 52,582 43.30 Dayton 67,500 8,919 54,425 39,50 - None - Savannah 366,750 108,900 61,041 47,00 Yes-7, 80 Yes-2,565 Yes		AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAMED IN COLUM	Providence Control of the State				
Loudon 62,240 2,560 68,857 49,321 38.50 - None - Lexington 198,000 1,700 42,097 38.20 Yes-3,400 Ervin 493,000 3,976 185,000 94,565 41.00 Yes-4,978 Ripley 148,000 2,285 121,178 52,582 43.30 Dayton 67,500 8,919 54,425 39.50 - None - Savannah 366,750 108,900 61,041 47.00 Yes-? Etowah 905,000* 387 245,779* 90,769 55.00 Yes-2,565 Mt. Pleasant ? ? 52,620 39.70 Noverly 515,000* 1,391 28,437* 66,385* 69.00* Yes-1,850 Signal Mountain 102,000 1,734 155,934 46,351 47.70 2,475 Rogersville 242,000 4,900 20,015* 56,783 48.80 - None - Bolivar 580,000* 16,000 287,685* 76,707 75.60 Yes-2,952 Usllico 62,500* 150 29,613* 36,369* 48.80* Yes-2,952 Usllico 62,500* 150 29,613* 36,369* 48.80* Yes-3,571 South Pittsburg 380,000* 20,700 49,480* 73,599* 68.80* Yes-2,920 Renderson 57,000* -0 - 12,313* 36,053* 43.60* Yes-2,920 Camden 166,000* 62 3,929 37,810* 38,00* - None - Livingston 360,000* 62 3,929 37,810* 38,00* - None - Livingston 564,000 184 72,934 62,339 52.50 Yes-11,300 Portland 218,000 9,005 -? - 29,232 35.90 - None - Ringston 564,000 184 72,934 62,339 52.50 Yes-11,300 Portland 218,000 9,005 -? - 29,232 35.90 - None - Ringston 564,000 184 72,934 62,339 52.50 Yes-11,300 Portland 218,000 9,005 -? - 29,232 35.90 - None - Ringston 88,000 -0 - 79,243 30,723 34.80 - None - Ringston 88,000 -0 - 91,441* 32,951 45.10 - None - Ringstingdon 88,000 -0 - 91,441* 32,951 45.10 - None - Ringstingdon 88,000 -0 - 91,441* 32,951 45.10 - None - Ringstingdon 88,000 -0 - 61,38 29,164 49.80 - None - Rington 110 223,000 600 22,066 36.80 - None - Rington 110 223,000 600 22,066 36.80 - None - Rington 110 223,000 600 22,066 36.80 - None - Rington 110 223,000 600 22,066 36.80 - None - Rington 110 223,000 600 22,066 36.80 - None - Rington 110 223,000 600 22,066 36.80 - None - Rington 110 223,000 -0 - 61,38 29,164 49.80 - None - Rington 110 223,000 -0 - 61,38 29,164 49.80 - None - Rington 110 223,000 -0 - 61,38 29,164 49.80 - None - Rington 110 223,000 -0 - 61,38 29,164 49.80 - None - Rington 110 220,000 -0 - 61,38 29,164 -0 - None - Ri							
Lexington 198,000 1,700 42,097 38.20 Yes-3,400 Erwin 493,000 3,976 185,000 94,565 41.00 Yes-4,978 Hipley 148,000 2,285 121,178 52,582 43.30 54,425 39.50 - None - Savannah 366,750 108,900 61,041 47.00 Yes-2,565 Mt. Pleasant ? ? 52,620 39.70 Naverly 515,000* 1,391 28,437* 66,385* 69.00* Yes-2,565 Mt. Pleasant 102,000 1,734 155,934 46,351 47.70 2,475 Nogersville 242,000 4,900 20,015* 56,783 48.80 - None - None - Solivar 580,000* 16,000 287,685* 76,707 75.60 Yes-2,952 Jellico 62,500* 150 29,613* 36,369* 48.80* Yes-3,571 Nouth Pittsburg 380,000* 20,709 49,480* 73,599* 68.80* Yes-2,920 Lenderson 57,000* -0 - 12,313* 36,053* 43.60* Yes-892 Nome - None -							
Erwin				68,857			
Hipley 148,000 2,285 121,178 52,582 43.30 Dayton 67,500 8,919 54,425 39.50 - None - Savannah 366,750 108,900 61,041 47.00 Yes ? Etowah 905,000* 387 245,779* 90,769 55.00 Yes-2,565 Mt. Pleasant ?	Market Control of the						
Dayton 67,500 8,919 54,425 39.50 - None - Savannah 366,750 108,900 61,041 47.00 Yes- ? Etowah 905,000* 387 245,779* 90,769 55.00 Yes-2,565 Mt. Pleasant ? ? ? 52,620 39.70 Naverly 515,000* 1,391 28,437* 66,385* 69.00* Yes-1,850 Signal Mountain 102,000 1,734 155,934* 46,351 47.70 2,475 Rogersville 242,000 4,900 20,015* 56,783 48.80 - None - Bolivar 580,000* 16,000 287,685* 76,707 75.60 Yes-2,952 Jellico 62,500* 150 29,613* 36,369* 48.80* Yes-3,571 South Pittsburg 380,000* 20,700 49,480* 73,599* 68.80* Yes-2,920 Henderson 57,000* -0 - 12,313* 36,053* 43.60* Yes-892 Camden 166,000* -0 ? - 68,190* 71.80* - None - Livingston 360,000* 62 3,929 37,810* 38,00* - None - Crossville 847,193* 23,269* 300,905* 82,479* 65,50* - None - None - Mingston 564,000 184 72,934 62,339 52.50 Yes-11,300 Portland 218,000 9,005 - ? - 29,232 35.90 - None - Mingston 564,000 184 72,934 62,339 52.50 Yes-11,300 Portland 218,000 9,005 - ? - 29,232 35.90 - None - Mingston 564,000 184 72,934 62,339 52.50 Yes-11,300 Portland 218,000 9,005 - ? - 29,232 35.90 - None - Mingston 564,000 184 72,934 52,339 52.50 Yes-11,300 Portland 218,000 9,005 - ? - 29,232 35.90 - None - Mingston 88,000 - 0 - 91,441* 32,951 45.10 - None - Mingstingdon 88,000 - 0 - 91,441* 32,951 45.10 - None - Mingstingdon 88,000 - 0 - 61,138 29,164 49.80 - None - Minterville 223,000 - 0 - 61,138 29,164 49.80 - None - Minterville 223,000 - 0 - 61,138 29,164 49.80 - None - Minterville 223,000 - 0 - 61,138 29,166 36.80 - None - Minterville 223,000 - 0 - 61,138 29,166 36.80 - None - Minterville 220,000 600 2,500 2,500 22,066 36.80 - None - Minterville 220,000 600 2,500 2,500 22,066 36.80 - None - Minterville 220,000 600 2,500 2,500 22,066 36.80 - None - Minterville 220,000 600 2,500 2,500 22,066 36.80 - None - Minterville 220,000 600 2,500 2,500 22,066 36.80 - None - Minterville 220,000 600 2,500 2,500 22,066 36.80 - None - Minterville 220,000 600 2,500 2,500 22,066 36.80 - None - Minterville 220,000 600 2,500 2,500 22,066 36.80 - None - Minterville 220,000 600 2,500	And the second of the second o			The same of the sa			Yes-4,978
Savannah 366,750 108,900 61,041 47.00 Yes-? Etowah 905,000* 387 245,779* 90,769 55.00 Yes-2,565 Mt. Pleasant ? 52,620 39.70 Naverly 515,000* 1,391 28,437* 66,385* 69.00* Yes-1,850 Signal Mountain 102,000 1,734 155,934* 46,351 47.70 2,475 Rogersville 242,000 4,900 20,015* 56,783 48.80 - None - Bolivar 580,000* 16,000 287,685* 76,707 75.60 Yes-2,952 Jellico 62,500* 150 29,613* 36,369* 48.80* Yes-2,952 Jenth Pittsburg 380,000* 20,700 49,480* 73,599* 68.80* Yes-2,952 Jenth Pittsburg 380,000* - 0 - - ? - 68,190* 71.80* - None - Livingston 360,000* - 0 - - ? - - 18,299				121,178			
## Pleasant	Dayton		8,919			39.50	- None -
Mt. Pleasant ? ?	Savannah					47.00	
Waverly 515,000* 1,391 28,437* 66,385* 69.00* Yes-1,850 81gnal Mountain 102,000 1,734 155,934* 46,351 47.70 2,475 Rogersville 242,000 4,900 20,015* 56,783 48.80 - None - Bolivar 580,000* 16,000 287,685* 76,707 75.60 Yes-2,952 Jellico 62,500* 150 29,613* 36,369* 48.80* Yes-3,571 South Pittsburg 380,000* 20,700 49,480* 73,599* 68.80* Yes-2,920 Renderson 57,000* - 0 - 12,313* 36,053* 43.60* Yes-892 Camden 166,000* - 0 ? - 68,190* 71.80* - None - Livingston 360,000* 62 3,929 37,810* 38,00* - None - Crossville 847,193* 23,269* 300,905* 82,479* 65,50* - None - Crossville 847,193* 23,269* 300,905* 82,479* 65,50* - None - Kingston 564,000 184 72,934 62,339 52.50 Yes-11,300 Portland 218,000 9,005 - ? - 29,232 35.90 - None - Jamestown 135,000 - 0 - 79,243 30,723 34.80 - None - Jamestown 135,000 - 0 - 79,243 30,723 34.80 - None - Monterey 20,000 1,015 2,822 19,076 39.20 1,210 Centerville 223,000 - 0 - 6,138 29,164 49,80 - None - Riptonville 120,000 600 2,500 22,066 36.80 - None - Riptonville 120,00		905,000*	387	245,779*		55.00	Yes-2,565
Signal Mountain 102,000 1,734 155,934** 46,351 47.70 2,475 Rogersville 242,000 4,900 20,015* 56,783 48.80 - None - Bolivar 580,000* 16,000 287,685* 76,707 75.60 Yes-2,952 Jellico 62,500* 150 29,613* 36,369* 48.80* Yes-2,957 Benderson 57,000* -0 - 12,313* 36,053* 43.60* Yes-2,920 Henderson 57,000* -0 - -2 - 68,190* 71.80* - None - Camden 166,000* -0 - -7 - 68,190* 71.80* - None - Livingston 360,000* 62 3,929 37,810* 38,00** - None - Cities 1,000 to 2,500 500	The same of the sa		The state of the s				the state of the s
Rogersville 242,000 4,900 20,015* 56,783 48.80 - None - Bolivar 580,000* 16,000 287,685* 76,707 75.60 Yes-2,952 Jellico 62,500* 150 29,613* 36,369* 48.80* Yes-3,571 South Pittsburg 380,000* 20,700 49,480* 73,599* 68.80* Yes-2,920 Henderson 57,000* - 0 - 12,313* 36,053* 43.60* Yes- 892 Camden 166,000* - 0 7 - 68,190* 71.80* - None - Livingston 360,000* 62 3,929 37,810* 38,00* - None - Cities 1,000 to 2,500							
Bolivar 580,000* 16,000 287,685* 76,707 75.60 Yes-2,952 Jellico 62,500* 150 29,613* 36,369* 48.80* Yes-3,571 South Pittsburg 380,000* 20,700 49,480* 73,599* 68.80* Yes-2,920 Henderson 57,000* - 0 - 12,313* 36,053* 43.60* Yes-892 Camden 166,000* - 0 ? - 68,190* 71.80* - None - Livingston 360,000* 62 3,929 37,810* 38,00* - None - Cities 1,000 to 2,500 Smithville 144,000 - 0 ? - 18,299 22.85 - None - Crossville 847,193* 23,269* 300,905* 82,479* 65,50* - None - Kingston 96,000 - 0 ? - 22,253 28.42 - None - Kingston 564,000 184 72,934 62,339 52.50 Yes-11,300 Portland 218,000 9,005 - ? - 29,232 35.90 - None - Jamestown Hohenwald 135,000 - 0 - 79,243 30,723 34.80 - None - Carthage 74,000 600 23,000 33,494 51.00 - None - Monterey 20,000 1,015 2,822 19,076 39.20 1,210 Denterville 223,000 - 0 - 6,138 29,164 49.80 - None - Liptonville 120,000 600 2,500 22,066 36.80 - None -							2,475
Jellico 62,500* 150 29,613* 36,369* 40.80* Yes-3,571 South Pittsburg 380,000* 29,700 49,480* 73,599* 68.80* Yes-2,920 Henderson 57,000* - 0 - 12,313* 36,053* 43.60* Yes-892 Camden 166,000* - 0 - - ? - 68,190* 71.80* - None - Livingston 360,000* 62 3,929 37,810* 38,00* - None - Cities 1,000 to 2,500 Smithville 144,000 - 0 - - ? - 18,299 22.85 - None - Orossville 847,193* 23,269* 300,905* 82,479* 65,50* - None - Kingston 96,000 - 0 - - ? - 22,253 28.42 - None - Kingston 564,000 184 72,934 62,339 52.50 Yes-11,300 Portland 218,000 9,005 - ? - 29,232 35.90 - None - Jamestown 26,849							- None -
South Pittsburg 380,000* 20,700 49,480* 73,599* 68.80* Yes-2,920 Henderson 57,000* - 0 - 12,313* 36,053* 43.60* Yes-892 Camden 166,000* - 0 ? - 68,190* 71.80* - None - Livingston 360,000* 62 3,929 37,810* 38,00* - None - Cities 1,000 to 2,500 Smithville 144,000 - 0 ? - 18,299 22.85 - None - Crossville 847,193* 23,269* 300,905* 82,479* 65,50* - None - S. Fulton 96,000 - 0 ? - 22,253 28.42 - None - Kingston 564,000 184 72,934 62,339 52.50 Yes-11,300 Portland 218,000 9,005 - ? - 29,232 35.90 - None - Jamestown Hohenwald 135,000 - 0 - 79,243 30,723 34.80 - None - Carthage 74,000 600 23,000 33,494 51.00 - None - Hungtingdon 88,000 - 0 - 91,441* 32,951 45.10 - None - Monterey 20,000 1,015 2,822 19,076 39.20 1,210 Denterville 223,000 - 0 - 6,138 29,164 49.80 - None - Liptonville 120,000 600 2,500 22,066 36.80 - None -							Yes-2,952
Henderson 57,000* - 0 - 12,313* 36,053* 43.60* Yes-892 166,000* - 0 ? - 68,190* 71.80* - None - 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1							Yes-3,571
Camden 166,000* - 0 ? - 68,190* 71.80* - None - 14vingston 360,000* 62 3,929 37,810* 38,00* - None - 14vingston 360,000* 62 3,929 37,810* 38,00* - None - 14vingston 564,000 - 0 ? - 18,299 22.85 - None - 14vingston 564,000 184 72,934 62,339 52.50 Yes-11,300 Portland 218,000 9,005 - ? - 29,232 35.90 - None - 14vingston 564,000 184 72,934 62,339 52.50 Yes-11,300 Portland 218,000 9,005 - ? - 29,232 35.90 - None - 14vingston 564,000 184 72,934 30,723 34.80 - None - 14vingston 74,000 600 23,000 33,494 51.00 - None - 14vingstingdon 88,000 - 0 - 91,441* 32,951 45.10 - None - 14vingstingdon 88,000 - 0 - 91,441* 32,951 45.10 - None - 14vingstingdon 88,000 - 0 - 6,138 29,164 49.80 - None - 14vingstingdon 120,000 600 2,500 22,066 36.80 - None - 14vingstingdon 120,000 600 2,500 22,066 36.80 - None - 14vingstingdon 80,000 - 0 - 6,138 29,164 49.80 - None - 14vingstingdon 80,000 - 0 - 6,138 29,164 49.80 - None - 14vingstingdon 80,000 - 0 - 6,138 29,164 49.80 - None - 14vingstingdon 80,000 - 0 - 6,138 29,164 49.80 - None - 14vingstingdon 80,000 - 0 - 6,138 29,164 49.80 - None - 14vingstingdon 80,000 - 0 - 6,138 29,164 49.80 - None - 14vingstingdon 80,000 - 0 - 6,138 29,164 49.80 - None - 14vingstingdon 80,000 - 0 - 6,138 29,164 49.80 - None - 14vingstingdon 80,000 - 0 - 6,138 29,164 49.80 - None - 14vingstingdon 80,000 - 0 - 6,138 29,164 49.80 - None - 14vingstingdon 80,000 - 0 - 6,138 29,164 49.80 - None - 14vingstingdon 80,000 - 0 - 6,138 29,164 49.80 - None - 14vingstingdon 80,000 - 0 - 6,138 29,164 49.80 - None - 14vingstingdon 80,000 - 0 - 6,138 29,164 49.80 - None - 14vingstingdon 80,000 - None - 14vingstingdon 80,000 - 0 - 6,138 29,164 49.80 - None - 14vingstingdon 80,000 - 0 - 6,138 29,164 49.80 - None - 14vingstingdon 80,000 - 0 - 6,138 29,164 49.80 - None - 14vingstingdon 80,000 - 0 - 6,138 29,164 49.80 - None - 14vingstingdon 80,000 - 0 - 6,138 29,164 49.80 - None - 14vingstingdon 80,000 - 0 - 6,138 29,164 49.80 - None - 14vingstingdon 80,000 - 0 - 6,138 29,164 49.80 - None - 14vingstingdon 80,000 - 14vingsti	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR		20,700			68.80*	Yes-2,920
Cities 1,000 to 2,500 Smithville 144,000 - 0 - 7 - 18,299 22.85 - None - Crossville 847,193* 23,269* 300,905* 82,479* 65,50* - None - Ringston 564,000 184 72,934 62,339 52.50 Yes-11,300 Portland 218,000 9,005 - 7 - 29,232 35.90 - None - Lamestown			- 0 -	12,313*	36,053*	43.60*	Yes- 892
Cities 1,000 to 2,500 Smithville 144,000 - 0 ? - 18,299 22.85 - None - Crossville 847,193* 23,269* 300,905* 82,479* 65,50* - None - 22,253 28.42 - None - 23,250 28,250 Yes-11,300 Yes				- ? -	68,190*	71.80*	- None -
Smithville 144,000 - 0 - - ? - 18,299 22.85 - None - Crossville 847,193* 23,269* 300,905* 82,479* 65,50* - None - S. Fulton 96,000 - 0 - - ? - 22,253 28.42 - None - Kingston 564,000 184 72,934 62,339 52.50 Yes-11,300 Portland 218,000 9,005 - ? - 29,232 35.90 - None - Jamestown 26,849 99.40 Hohenwald 135,000 - 0 - 79,243 30,723 34.80 - None - Carthage 74,000 600 23,000 33,494 51.00 - None - Hungtingdon 88,000 - 0 - 91,441* 32,951 45.10 - None - Monterey 20,000 1,015 2,822 19,076 39.20 1,210 Centerville 223,000 - 0 - 6,138 29,164 49.80 - None - Tiptonville 120,000 600 2,500 22,066 36.80 - None - <td>Livingston</td> <td>360,000*</td> <td>62</td> <td>3,929</td> <td>37,810*</td> <td>38,00**</td> <td>- None -</td>	Livingston	360,000*	62	3,929	37,810*	38,00**	- None -
Smithville 144,000 - 0 - - ? - 18,299 22.85 - None - Crossville 847,193* 23,269* 300,905* 82,479* 65,50* - None - S. Fulton 96,000 - 0 - - ? - 22,253 28.42 - None - Kingston 564,000 184 72,934 62,339 52.50 Yes-11,300 Portland 218,000 9,005 - ? - 29,232 35.90 - None - Jamestown 26,849 99.40 Hohenwald 135,000 - 0 - 79,243 30,723 34.80 - None - Carthage 74,000 600 23,000 33,494 51.00 - None - Hungtingdon 88,000 - 0 - 91,441* 32,951 45.10 - None - Monterey 20,000 1,015 2,822 19,076 39.20 1,210 Centerville 223,000 - 0 - 6,138 29,164 49.80 - None - Tiptonville 120,000 600 2,500 22,066 36.80 - None - <td></td> <td>7.00</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		7.00					
Crossville 847,193* 23,269* 300,905* 82,479* 65,50* - None - 8. Fulton 96,000 - 0 - 7 - 22,253 28.42 - None - 24,000 564,000 184 72,934 62,339 52.50 Yes-11,300 Portland 218,000 9,005 - 7 - 29,232 35.90 - None - 26,849 99.40 Hohenwald 135,000 - 0 - 79,243 30,723 34.80 - None - 26,849 99.40 Hohenwald 135,000 600 23,000 33,494 51.00 - None - 26,849 88,000 - 0 - 91,441* 32,951 45.10 - None - 26,849 20,000 1,015 2,822 19,076 39.20 1,210 Centerville 223,000 - 0 - 6,138 29,164 49.80 - None - 21,000 120,000 600 2,500 22,066 36.80 - None - 2,500					10 000	00.05	FOR KELLEY
8. Fulton 96,000 - 0 - 22,253 28.42 - None - 184 72,934 62,339 52.50 Yes-11,300 Portland 218,000 9,005 - 7 - 29,232 35.90 - None - 26,849 99.40 Hohenwald 135,000 - 0 - 79,243 30,723 34.80 - None - 184 74,000 600 23,000 33,494 51.00 - None - 184 184 185 185 185 185 185 185 185 185 185 185							
Kingston 564,000 184 72,934 62,339 52.50 Yes-11,300 Portland 218,000 9,005 - ? - 29,232 35.90 - None - Jamestown 26,849 99.40 Rohenwald 135,000 - 0 - 79,243 30,723 34.80 - None - Carthage 74,000 600 23,000 33,494 51.00 - None - Hungtingdon 88,000 - 0 - 91,441* 32,951 45.10 - None - Monterey 20,000 1,015 2,822 19,076 39.20 1,210 Centerville 223,000 - 0 - 6,138 29,164 49.80 - None - Tiptonville 120,000 600 2,500 22,066 36.80 - None -							
Portland 218,000 9,005 - ? - 29,232 35.90 - None - Jamestown 26,849 99.40 Hohenwald 135,000 - 0 - 79,243 30,723 34.80 - None - Carthage 74,000 600 23,000 33,494 51.00 - None - Hungtingdon 88,000 - 0 - 91,441* 32,951 45.10 - None - Monterey 20,000 1,015 2,822 19,076 39.20 1,210 Centerville 223,000 - 0 - 6,138 29,164 49.80 - None - Tiptonville 120,000 600 2,500 22,066 36.80 - None -							
Jamestown 26,849 99.40 Hohenwald 135,000 - 0 - 79,243 30,723 34.80 - None - Carthage 74,000 600 23,000 33,494 51.00 - None - Hungtingdon 88,000 - 0 - 91,441* 32,951 45.10 - None - Wonterey 20,000 1,015 2,822 19,076 39.20 1,210 Centerville 223,000 - 0 - 6,138 29,164 49.80 - None - Tiptonville 120,000 600 2,500 22,066 36.80 - None -				The state of the s			THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T
Hohenwald 135,000 - 0 - 79,243 30,723 34.80 - None - Carthage 74,000 600 23,000 33,494 51.00 - None - Hungtingdon 88,000 - 0 - 91,441* 32,951 45.10 - None - Monterey 20,000 1,015 2,822 19,076 39.20 1,210 Centerville 223,000 - 0 - 6,138 29,164 49.80 - None - Tiptonville 120,000 600 2,500 22,066 36.80 - None -	THE RESERVE THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE	218,000	9,005	- 7 -			- None -
Carthage 74,000 600 23,000 33,494 51.00 - None - Hungtingdon 88,000 - 0 - 91,441* 32,951 45.10 - None - Monterey 20,000 1,015 2,822 19,076 39.20 1,210 Centerville 223,000 - 0 - 6,138 29,164 49.80 - None - Tiptonville 120,000 600 2,500 22,066 36.80 - None -	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	A CONTRACTOR AND	and the state of t				
Hungtingdon 88,000 - 0 - 91,441* 32,951 45.10 - None - Monterey 20,000 1,015 2,822 19,076 39.20 1,210 Denterville 223,000 - 0 - 6,138 29,164 49.80 - None - Tiptonville 120,000 600 2,500 22,066 36.80 - None -							
Monterey 20,000 1,015 2,822 19,076 39.20 1,210 Centerville 223,000 - 0 - 6,138 29,164 49.80 - None - Tiptonville 120,000 600 2,500 22,066 36.80 - None -							
Centerville 223,000 - 0 - 6,138 29,164 49.80 - None - Tiptonville 120,000 600 2,500 22,066 36.80 - None -							
Piptonville 120,000 600 2,500 22,066 36.80 - None -			1,015				
				6,138			- None -
whitwell 440,000 0 - 16,378 46.80 Yes- 650	THE RESERVE AND ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY OF THE		600	2,500			
	Whitwell	440,000		- 0 -	16,378	46.80	Yes- 650

^{*}Water and sewer accounts combined

	FINANCIAL STATUS AT END LAST FISCAL YEAR							
	25.	26.	27.	28.	29.	30.		
	Total	Deprec.	Interest	In-Lieu	Profit	W & S Debt		
	Operating	Exp. in	Expense	Tax in	To Gen.	Paid by		
City	Expense	Col. 25	In Col. 25	Col. 25	Fund	Gen. Fund		
				STONE VIEW SM		ANGENINE ENTREM		
	Cities 2,50							
Rockwood	104,092*	22,470*	38,205*	2,217	- 0 -	- 0 -		
Covington	28,594	- None -	- None -	- None -	- None -	- None -		
Dickson	88,392		- None -	- None -		- None -		
Sparta	30,949	- None -	- None -	- None -	- None -	Yes-Sew?		
Winchester	50,197	Yes 14,339	- None -	- None -	- None -	- None -		
Martin	26,415	- None -	- None -	- None -	- None -	237,000		
Jefferson City	40,132*	- None -	- None -	- None -	- None -	- None -		
Clinton	59,003	Yes-26,520	- None -	Yes-2,675	967	- None -		
Trenton	20 2004	- None -	- None -	- None -	- None 😜	- None -		
Sweetwater	28,968*	- None -	- None -	- None -	- None -	- None -		
Manchester	19,975	- None -	- None -	- None -	- None -	- None-		
McKenize	53,664	20.050						
Loudon	33,068	10,258	- None -	- None -	- None -	- None -		
Lexington	20,365	Yes	- None -	Yes	- 0 -	- None -		
Erwin	37,858	- None -	- None -	- None -	- None -	- None-		
Ripley	32,537	- None -	- None -	- None -	- None -	- None -		
Dayton	60,129	Yes-9,462	Yes-1,858	- None -	- None	- None -		
Savannah	20,244	- None -	Yes13,462			- None -		
Etowah	61,355*	Yes 12,376	- None -	Yes 1,600	- None -	- 0 -		
Mt. Pleasant Waverly	36,841	?	3		?	?		
Signal Mt.	39,911*	- None -	- None -	- None -	- None -	- None -		
Rogersville	26,355	- None -	7 120	- None -	- None -	- None -		
Bolivar	47,073*	- None -	7,130	- None -	- None -	125,005		
Jellico	46,838 32,114*	22,695*	- None -	- None -	- None -	- None -		
South Pittsburg		Yes-4,454 (es - 12,338	Yes-1,452 * - None -	Yes-12,057	- None -	- None -		
Henderson	10,108*	- None -		- None -	- None -	- None -		
Camden	49,019*		- None - Yes-14,115	- None -	- None -	- None -		
Livingston		es-10,102	- None -	- None -	- None -	- None -		
THE THE TOTAL	20,502	168-10,102	- None -	- None -	- None -	- None -		
	Cities 1,000	to 2.500						
Smithville	6,938	- None -	- None -	- None -	- None	None		
Crossville	47,123*	- None -	- None -	- None -	- None -	- None -		
South Fulton	15,695	- None -	- None -	- None -	- None -	- None -		
Kingston		es-18,162	- None -	- None -	- None -	- None -		
Portland	27,045	- None -	5,536	?	= 0 =	- None -		
Jamestown			1,37,30			- None -		
Hohenwald	26,235	Yes5,376	Yes-56,000	- None -	- None -	- None -		
Carthage	?	- None -	Yes	Yes	- None -	- None -		
Huntingdon	32,829*	- None -	- None -	- None -	- None -	- None -		
Monterey	17,976	- None -	- None -	- None -	- None -	- None -		
Centerville	26,740	- None -	Yes -9,785	- None -	- None -	- None -		
Tiptonville	19,200	- None -	- None -	- None -	- None -	120,000		
Whitwell	15,695	- None -	9,900	- None -	- None -	- None -		
				TA AM U		THE RESERVE TO SERVE THE PARTY OF THE PARTY		

Water and sewer accounts combined.

			THE RESPECT				
		1.	2.	GENERAL 3.	4.	5.	6.
		Water	Does W.	Source of	% of "Un-	Is In-	Does
		Utility	Util.also	Sew.Util.	accounted	Lieu-of-	W. Util.
		Oper-	operate	Operating	for"	Tax Paid	Do Own
city	Population	ated by:	Sew.Util.?		Water	To City?	Billing?
greenfield	1,879	UB	Yes	G.F.	35%		
Dyer	1,864						
Spring City	1,847	Dept.	Yes	S.S.Ch	?		Yes
Cowan	1,835	UB	No	S.S.Ch	10%	No	Yes
Lake City	1,827	Dept.	Yes	S.S.Ch	?	No	No
Newbern	1,809	Dept.	Yes	WB	15%	No	No
Halls	1,808	Dept.	Yes	GF & FFA	?	No	No
gatlinburg	1,803	Dept.	Yes	S.S.Ch	2%	Yes-Ass.	No
somerville	1,760	UB	No	Gen.F.	?	No	Yes
Selmer	1,759	WB	Yes	S.S.Ch	30%	No	Yes
Parsons	1,724						
Madisonville	1,717	Dept.	No Sew.	None	?	?	?
lamo	1,703	UB	Yes	W. Rev.	10%	No	Yes
collierville	1,643						
evierville	1,620	UB	Yes	S.S.Ch	?	No	No
nglewood	1,545	WC	No	No Sew.	?	No	No
buntain City	1,545	Dept.	No Sew.	No Sew.	?	No	Yes
myrna	1,544	Dept.	Yes	S.S.Ch	?	No	Yes
resden	1,509	Dept.	No	No	?	No	Yes
idgely	1,504	Dept.	Yes	S.S.Ch	?	No	Yes
racy City	1,452	WB	None		?	No	Yes
echerd	1,435						
lartsvill e	1,367	WB	No	G.F.	?	No	Yes
loodbury	1,310	Dept.	Yes	G.F.	?	No.	Yes
neida	1,304	WC	Yes	S.S.Ch	25%	No	Yes
rin	1,242	Dept.	None		?	No	Yes
ells	1,225	UB	No	Gen.F.	10%	No	Yes
bion	1,212						
ruceton	1,204	Dept.	Yes	S.Ch	?	No *	Yes
afayette	1,195	Dept.	None	.1.7.1	?	No	Yes
reenbrier	1,160	Dept.	No Sew.	None	?	No	Yes
damsville	1,155						
aynesboro	1,147						
elina	1,136	Dept.	No Sew.	None	?	No	Yes
orris	1,134	WC	Yes	S.S.Ch	37%	Yes	No
onesboro	1,126						
liver, Springs							
luff City	1,074						
leason	1,063						
utherford	1,053						
hite Pine	1,043	WB	No	None	?	No	Yes
shland City	1,040	WB	No	S.S.Ch	?	No	Yes
estmoreland	1,080	Dept.	None		10%	No	Yes

	RATES			EXTENSION POLICIES					
	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.
	Out-				Title	Type Ref.	Rules	& Regui	
	side		Revised	Ext.	Ext.Main	sLim.or	Adopt.	Revis.	Dates
	Rate	Perio	d- Dates	Fin.	Obt.At	Unlim.	&	Period.	Last 2
City	Dif.	icall	y Last 2	by	Once?	Time	Print.	ically	Revis.
Greenfield	same	No		Jt	Yes		No	No	
Dyer	Баше	NO		00	169		NO	NO	
Spring City	1 60%		-157			Taps	No		
Cowan	No O C	No	-156	Jt	Yes	No	No	No	
Lake City	£ 50%	Yes	155-158	WU	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	155-158
Newbern	same	No	-138	WU	Yes	No	No	No	-))=-)0
Halls	≠ 25%	No	?	WU	Yes	No	Yes	No	?
Gatlinburg	£ 25%	No	-148	Jt	Yes	No	No	No	•
Somerville	•	Yes	- '57	WU	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	-157
Selmer	same	No	- 101	WU	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	
Parsons	same	NO	-153	WU	168	INO	168	168	- '57
Madisonville	+ 75¢	Yes	- 154	Jt	No	None	No	Yes	-159
Alamo	r 179 same	Yes	156-	WU	Yes	None	No	No	- 79
Collierville		168	-157	MO	ies	NO	NO	NO	
Sevierville	£ 50%	Yes	-156	None			No		
Englewood	None	No	-136	WU	Yes	None	No No		
Mountain City		Yes	- 30	WU	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	-158
Smyrna	f 50%	No		WU	Yes	No	Yes	165	
Dresden	£1.00	No	1 5 6 76	WU				N7 -	
			154		Yes	No	Yes	No	• = 0 • = 0
Ridgely	/1.00	Yes No	- ' 57 - ' 54	WU WU	Yes Yes	No	Yes Yes	Yes_ No	153-158
Tracy City Decherd	#1.00	NO	-156	WU	ies	NO	ies	NO	
Hartsville	£ 30%	No	154	Jt	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	-158
Woodbury		NO	- 153	Jt	Yes	No	169	168	- 100
Oneida	same	No	152-156		Yes	No	No	No	
Erin	8ame		155-159	Jt WU	Yes	NO	Yes	No	
Bells	same	No	. 55= . 59	WU	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	-159
Obion	same			WU	ies	NO	res	ies	- 79
	\$4.00fl	Yes			Yes	N-	Yes	Yes	
Lafayette	£ 50%		-156	WU		No No	No	No	
		No No	- 59/7 - 59	Jt	Yes Yes	No	Yes		-59/7-59
Greenbrier Adamsville	<i>f</i> 50%	ies i	-146 -146	JU	res	NO	169	169 7	-73/1-73
Waynesboro			-152						
Celina		No	- 192	WU	Yes T	Paps & Rev.Lim.	Vac	No	
Norris	same + 20%	Yes		SD	Yes	No No	Yes	Yes	
Jonesboro	7 2070	res	-158	עם	169	TAO	169	100	
	70		-155						
Oliver Spring Bluff City	50		- '59						
Gleason									
			-155						
Rutherford	/ FOM	Vec	- 152	Jt	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	-155
White Pine	£ 50%	Yes	149-159			NO	No	No	- 1
Ashland City	same	Yes		WU	Yes			110	
Westmore.Lend	No O C	No	-159				No		

		FINANCIAL STATUS AT END LAST FISCAL YEAR								
		16.	17.	18.	A.	В.	19.			
		Cash or	Number	Water	Invest-	Invest.	Depreci-			
		Accreal	of Cus-	Plant in	ment per	per \$1	ation			
City	Population	Basis	tomers	Service	Customer	Revenue	Reserve			
Greenfield	1,879	Cash	550	30,000	55	1.30	- 0 -			
Dyer	1,864		700							
Spring City	1,847		607	? *			7,476*			
Cowan	1,835	Cash	541	260,054	480	9.35	- Ó -			
Lake City	1,827	Cash	599	201,963*		7.72*	None			
Newbern	1,809	Cash	627	275,000*		17.70*	-0-			
Halls	1,808	Cash	689	105,075*		4,55*	17,680*			
Gatlinburg	1,803	Accaual	680	515,095	759	8.24	125,864			
Somerville	1,760	Cash	537							
Selmer	1,759	Cash	800	200,000*	250	7.42	- 0 -			
Parsons	1,724		600							
Madisonville	1,717	Cash	650	123,357	190	4.83	2,425			
Alamo	1,703	Cash	608	500,000	822*	14.90*				
Collierville	1,643		635							
Sevierville	1,620	Cash .	630	602,986*	957*	10.18*	59,353*			
Englewood	1,545	Accrual	498	91,149*	183*	4.15	32,244			
Mountain City	1,545	Cash	500	40,000	80	5.45				
Smyrna	1,544	Cash	600	430,167*	718*	6.05*				
Dresden	1,509		600							
Ridgely	1,504	Cash	599	200,000*	400*	8.85*				
Tracy City	1,452	Cash	345	220,000	637	3.72	10,000			
Decherd	1,435		530							
Hartsville	1,367	Cash	470	257,633	547	9.65	59,647			
Woodbury	1,310	Cash	575							
Oneida	1,304	Cash	575	175,000	304*	4.95*				
Erin	1,242	Cash	363							
Bells	1,225	Cash	395	72,472	183	5.23	36,573			
0bion	1,212									
Bruceton	1,204	Cash	450							
Lafayette	1,195	Cash	580	247,799	427	7.35	None			
Greenbrier	1,160	Cash	403	200,000	495	12.50	1,000			
Adamsville	1,155		331							
Waynesboro	1,147		500							
Celina	1,136	Cash	320	159,131	479	13.90	SIDE STATE OF THE			
Norris	1,134	3	430	168,365*	391*	4.80*	20,431 *			
Jonesboro	1,126		1,450							
Oliver Springs			620							
Bluff City	1,074		360							
Gleason	1,063		351							
Rutherford	1,053		330							
White Pine	1,043	?	320	151,000	470	12.50				
Ashland City	1,040	Cash	440	125,000	280	8.74				
Westmoreland	1,080		237	260,000	1,096		-0-			

^{*} Water and sewer accounts combined

	20.	21.	STATUS AT END [O)
	Water	Accounts	24.	23.	Ø.	24.
	Bonded		Moto 1	Total	Average	Hydrant
Cddar		Payable	Total	Revenue	Revenue	Rent in
City	Debt	Amount	Surplus	Amount	P/Cust.	Col. 25
Greenfield	143,000	- 0 -	42,618	23,085	42.00	- None
Dyer				26,247	37.70	The State of the
Spring City	418,000*	- 0 -	4,476*	39,467*	65.00*	- None
Cowan	233,000	432	43,147*	27,799	53.40*	- None
Lake City	352,500*	3,211*	4,555*	26,016*	43.50*	- None
Newbern	6,000	597	1,318*	15,534*	24.75*	- None-
Halls	22,000*	- 0 -	~ ? ~	23,055*	33.40*	Yes-1,682
Gatlinburg	470,000	423	247	62,606	92.00	Yes-1,799
Somerville	48,000	-205-74	7,888	17,399	29.80	- None
Selmens	450,000	3,500	7,000	26,915	33.60	Yes
Parsons				25,819	43.00	168
dadisonville	79,000	- 0 -	28,607	25,450	39.20	1,880
Alamo	13,000		20,001	33,613*	55 <u>630*</u>	
Collierville				19,765	31.00	- None
Sevierville	683,000*	422	18,732*			7 900
Englewood	5,000	3	NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE OWNER, TH	59,332*	94.10* 44.10	1,800
Mountain City	37,500	- 0 -	?	22,000		?
Smyrna	153,000*	-0-		9,000	18.00	- None
resden	1)3,000*	- 0 -	16,000*	71,274*	119.00*	- None
Ridgely	19,000*		10 000×	25,665	42.70	esteva Milite
Cracy City		- 0 -	10,022*	22,599*	45.10*	720
echerd	217,000	- 0 -	12,412	13,800	40.00	Yes
Martsville	021 000		1.0 026	33,916	64.00	
	231,000	- 0 -	48,916	26,664	56.70	- None
loodbury Dneida	162,000	- 0 -	7	27,521	47.80	3
rin	152,000	346	15,000*	35,347*	61.50*	Yes- 928
ells	The second secon	1,174		11,605	32.00	- None
	146,000	- 0 -	?	13,780	34.80	Yes-1,150
bion	217 :000 X				Company of the	
ruceton	37,000*			19,253*	42.70*	- None
aFayette	287,000	693	- None -	33,671	58.00	- None
reenbrier	43,000	10,000	6,000	16,000	39.60	1,000
damsville						
aynesboro		To 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				
elina	111,000	~ 0 -	- None -	11,432	35.70	- None
orris	154,000*	1,100*	25,966 *	35,069*	81.50*	Yes-2,530
onesboro				55,104	38.00	
liver Springs						
luff City				9,044	25.00	
leason				16,702	47.00	
utherford				8,400	25.40	
hite Pine	77,000	-0-		12,000	37.40	Yes- 645
shland City	43,000		12,186	18,503	42.00	- None
estmoreland	260,000	TELLEN THE STATE OF THE STATE O				10110

^{*}Water and sewer accounts combined

	FINANCIAL STATUS AT END LAST FISCAL YEAR								
	25.	26.	27.	28.	29.	30.			
	Total	Deprec.	Interest	In-Lieu	Profit	W & S Debt			
	Operating	Exp. in	Expense	Tax in	To Gen.	Paid by			
City	Expense	Col. 25	In Col. 25	Col. 25	Fund	Gen. Fund			
Greenfield	12,643	- None -	- None -	YES		Yes-10,500			
Dyer									
Spring City	28,510	- None -	16,880	- None -	- None -	- None -			
Cowan	21,942	6,178	9,624	- None -	?	?			
Lake City	16,962*	- None -	- None -	- None -	- None -				
Newbern	24,713*	- None -	Yes- 360	- None -	- None -	The state of the s			
Halls	24,608	- None -	Yes - 866	No- 752	- None -				
Gatlinburg	22,882	- None -	- None -	Yes- 2,248	- None -				
Somerville	13,027	- None -	- None -	Yes- 1,888	- None -				
Selmer	32,128	- None -	- None -	YES	?	- None -			
Parsons	1000 1100	640 Cathal			SUBJECT THE				
Madisonville	14,996	- 0 -	- None -	- None -	- None -	- None -			
Alamo	19,665*	Yes-1,200	Yes-7,694	- None -	- None -				
Collierville		TRUMBER 3							
Sevierville	51,000	11,458	16,158	- None -	- None -	- None -			
Englewood	22,000	4,300	900	- None -	?	YES			
Mountain City	3,700	- None -	- None -	- None -	- None -	- None -			
Swyrna	54,500*					- None -			
Dresden									
Ridgely	16,289	- None -	788	- None -	?	- None -			
Tracy City	406-4018#8#7#C	- None -	YES	- None -	- None -	- None -			
Decherd									
Hartsville	26,198	Yes-7,463	Yes-9,980	- None -	- None -	- None -			
Woodbury	25,739	- None -	Yes-2,000	YES					
<u>Oneida</u>	20,385*	- None -	- None -	- None -	- None -	- None -			
Erin	?	?	?	?	?	?			
Bells	11,875	- None -	- None -	Yes-1,559	- None -	- None -			
Obion									
Bruceton	5,382*	- None -	- None -	- None -	- None -	- None -			
LaFayette	15,884	5,711	No-10,565	- None -	- None -	- None -			
Greenbrier	6,000	- None -	- None -	- None -	= O =	- None -			
Adamsville		r							
Waynesboro									
Celina	6,924	- None -	- None -	- None -	- None-	Yes-1,887			
Norris	21,587*	- None -	24 50 100	Yes- 500	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	- None -			
Jonesboro				The state of the s	The state of	The second second			
Oliver Springs									
Carteoreland									
Bluff City									
Gleason									
Rutherford									
White Pine	9,375	- None -	- None -	- None -	2,313	Yes-4,400			
Ashland City	14,238	Yes 691	No 545	- 0 -	- 0 -	- 0 -			
Westmoreland						THE RESIDENCE			

^{*}Water and sewer accounts combined