



6-1967

Selected Population and Agricultural Statistics for Tennessee Counties

University of Tennessee Agricultural Experiment Station

Charles L. Cleland

Follow this and additional works at: http://trace.tennessee.edu/utk_agbulletin

 Part of the [Agriculture Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

University of Tennessee Agricultural Experiment Station and Cleland, Charles L., "Selected Population and Agricultural Statistics for Tennessee Counties" (1967). *Bulletins*.

http://trace.tennessee.edu/utk_agbulletin/345

The publications in this collection represent the historical publishing record of the UT Agricultural Experiment Station and do not necessarily reflect current scientific knowledge or recommendations. Current information about UT Ag Research can be found at the [UT Ag Research website](#).

This Bulletin is brought to you for free and open access by the AgResearch at Trace: Tennessee Research and Creative Exchange. It has been accepted for inclusion in Bulletins by an authorized administrator of Trace: Tennessee Research and Creative Exchange. For more information, please contact trace@utk.edu.



AGRIC. LIBRARY
FEB 26 1968

UNIV. OF TENN.



BULLETIN 419

JUNE 1967

**SELECTED POPULATION
AND AGRICULTURAL
STATISTICS FOR
TENNESSEE COUNTIES**

by Charles L. Cleland

*The University of Tennessee
Agricultural Experiment Station
John A. Ewing, Director
Knoxville*

PREFACE TO REVISED EDITION

This bulletin was first published in 1963. The data used were mostly from the 1950 and 1960 Censuses. Data are now available from the Census of 1964.

As new statistics become available, the old must be revised. Data from the 1964 Census of Agriculture not only updates the old but adds information in areas not covered in previous censuses. One new section deals with the age and education characteristics of the population in farm operator households. Selected information from this section has been included to show changes in the formal educational level of the farm population.

The charts based on farm related data have been updated and four new ones added. The additions deal with the improvement in farm adult education (Figure 18), change in the value of farm products sold (Figure 21), and change in value of farm real estate (Figure 23).

The population figures from the 1960 Census of Population have not been changed. While it is known that substantial changes have been taking place in some areas since 1960, the estimation of the size of such changes without a substantial sampling or a census is hazardous. The results of the 1970 Census of Population will be used to revise this section when such results are available.

¹ The original edition was published as Tennessee Agricultural Experiment Station Bulletin 359 issued in January 1963.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<i>Page</i>
Preface to Revised Edition	2
List of Figures	5
Introduction	6
Part I—Population	7
The Range of General Population Characteristics (From Table 1)	8
Table 1. General Population Characteristics	10
The Range of Migration Characteristics (From Table 2)	13
The Range of Land Area and Population Density Characteristics (From Table 3)	14
Table 2. Migration Characteristics	15
Table 3. Land Area and Population Density	15
The Range of Age Characteristics (From Table 4)	18
Table 4. Age Characteristics	20
The Range of Vital Statistics (From Table 5)	23
Table 5. Vital Statistics	25
The Range of Marital Status and Sex Characteristics (From Table 6)	28
Table 6. Marital Status and Sex	30
The Range of Household Characteristics (From Table 7)	33
Table 7. Household Characteristics	34
The Range of Education, Income, and Labor Force Characteristics (From Table 8)	38
Table 8. Education, Income, and Labor Force (Part I)	41
Table 8. Education, Income, and Labor Force (Part II)	44

TABLE OF CONTENTS—CONTINUED

Part II—Agriculture	49
The Range of Number and Size of Farms (From Table 9)	50
Table 9. Number and Size of Farms (Part I)	54
Table 9. Number and Size of Farms (Part II)	57
The Range of Farm Operator Characteristics (From Table 10)	59
Table 10. Farm Operators	63
Comment on Figure 18	64
The Range of Miscellaneous Farm Facts (From Table 11)	66
Table 11. Miscellaneous Farm Facts	70
The Range of Commercial Farm Characteristics (From Table 12)	71
Table 12. Commercial Farms	75
Bibliography	80
Map of Tennessee Counties	

LIST OF FIGURES

<i>No.</i>	<i>Page</i>
1. Percent of Change in Population, 1950-60	9
2. Estimated Net Migration 1950-60 as Percent of 1950 Population	13
3. Median Age, 1960	14
4. Dependency Ratio, 1960 (Number Under 15 and Over 64 Per 100 Between 15 and 64)	19
5. Fertility Ratio (Number of Children Under 5 Years of Age Per 1,000 Women 15-49 Years of Age) 1960	24
6. Sex Ratio (Number of Males Per 100 Females), 1960	29
7. Population Per Household, 1960	33
8. Median School Year Completed, 1960	37
9. Percent of Persons in School 14 to 17 Years Old, 1960	37
10. Median Family Income (Dollars) 1959	40
11. Ratio of Employed Males to Employed Females, 1960	40
12. Percent Employed Persons Working in Manufacturing Industries, 1960	48
13. Percent Employed Persons Working in White Collar Occupations, 1960	48
14. Percent Change in Number of Farms, 1959-1964	53
15. Percent Change in Average Size of Farms, 1959-1964	53
16. Percent of Farms Operated by Tenants, 1964	58
17. Percent of Farm Operators Working Off Farm Operated 100 Days or More, 1964	58
18. Percent to Which Education of Young Farm Operators (and Their Wives) Exceeds That of All Farm Operators	62
19. Farm-Operator Household Level-of-Living Index, 1964	65
20. Average Value of Farm Products Sold, 1964	65
21. Percent Change in Average Value of Farm Products Sold, 1959-1964	69
22. Average Value of Land and Buildings Per Farm, 1964	69
23. Percent Change in Average Value of Land and Buildings Per Farm, 1959-1964	74

SELECTED POPULATION AND AGRICULTURAL STATISTICS FOR TENNESSEE COUNTIES

by

Charles L. Cleland*

INTRODUCTION

There is a common assumption made that since the data in the Census are published, they are readily available to anyone who has need of them. While this is perfectly true in theory, it is not always convenient in practice to go to a library to find a selected bit of information. For those who are not familiar with the Census publications, there is the additional problem of locating that particular statistic for which they are searching in the mass of figures which are included. Even for those only slightly less than thoroughly familiar with the Census, the exact location of specific figures can be quite elusive.

The present bulletin is an attempt to make selected county data from the 1960 Census of Population and the 1964 Census of Agriculture more accessible to the many potential users of such data.

The proper understanding of any number taken from the Census involves the use of some reference with which the number can be compared. For a given individual, the most meaningful reference may be the figure for an adjoining county, or it may be the state average or total. Others may want to know what is to be considered an extreme deviation from the state average.

The arrangement of the data in the present

* Associate Professor, Agricultural Economics and Rural Sociology Department.

publication is such that one county may be compared with any other county, the state, or the United States. First the range of the values on each characteristic presented in the tables is given. This serves the dual purpose of providing a list of the specific content of each of the detailed tables and indicating the counties that are most extreme on the given characteristic. The footnotes necessary to clarify the content of an entry are also provided with the listing of the extremes. The statistics for each county in the state, alphabetically ordered, follows in the detailed tables with the state average or total and the relevant figure for the United States, if available, at the bottom of each column.

In such lists of data as we find in the Census, the pattern throughout the state may not be

apparent. For this reason, selected statistics have been put in graphic form in the figures.

The following abbreviations have been used in the column heads of the various tables in order to fit the spacings available:

commercial—comml.

company—co.

manufacturing—mfg.

mile—mi.

minus signs (in table body)—

number—no.

percent—pct. or %

population—pop.

rural—rur.

square—sq.

week or weeks—wk., wks.

year or years—yr., yrs.

PART 1—POPULATION

The Range of General Population Characteristics (From Table 1)

Characteristic	High county	Low county
Population, 1960	Shelby 627,019	Moore 3,454
Percent change in population, 1950-1960 ^a	Hamblen 38.0	Jackson —25.2
Percent county is of state's total population, 1960	Shelby 17.6	Seven counties 0.1
Percent urban population, 1960 ^b	Shelby 87.8	Thirty-five counties 0.0
Percent rural non-farm population, 1960 ^c	Scott 90.2	Shelby 10.0
Percent rural farm population, 1960 ^d	Hancock 77.3	Hamilton & Davidson 1.3
Total non-white population, 1960	Shelby 228,082	Fentress 2
Percent non-white population of total population, 1960	Fayette 68.9	Five counties 0.05

^a The change is expressed as a percent of the 1950 population. The U. S. figure includes the addition of Alaska and Hawaii.

^b See census for definition of urban. In general, it includes all persons in places of 2,500 or larger at the time of the census and those in "urbanized areas" adjacent to places of 50,000 or larger.

^c See census for definition of rural nonfarm. In general, it is the population not classified as urban which does not live on farms.

^d The rural farm population includes all of those living on farms in rural areas. (See note 1, page 56 for definition of farm.)




Figure 1. Percent Change
In Population, 1950-1960

- | | |
|---|--|
|  5% or more increase |  8-13% decrease |
|  Less than 5% increase |  More than 13% decrease |
|  Decrease less than 8% | |

Table I. General Population Characteristics

COUNTY	Pop., 1960	Pct. Change in pop., 1950-1960	Pct. co. is of state's total pop., 1960	Pct. urban pop., 1960	Pct. rur. nonfarm pop., 1960	Pct. rur. farm pop., 1960	Total non-white pop., 1960	Pct. non-white pop. is of total pop., 1960
Anderson	60,032	1.1	1.7	53.4	43.1	3.5	2,059	3.4
Bedford	23,150	-2.0	0.7	45.2	30.1	24.7	2,763	11.9
Benton	10,662	-7.2	0.3	26.0	52.6	21.4	316	3.0
Bledsoe	7,811	-8.8	0.2	0.0	68.3	31.7	455	5.8
Blount	57,525	5.2	1.6	37.9	51.6	10.5	2,793	4.9
Bradley	38,324	18.5	1.1	42.3	48.1	9.6	2,000	5.2
Campbell	27,936	-18.7	0.8	22.2	65.4	12.4	264	0.9
Cannon	8,537	-6.9	0.2	0.0	51.1	48.9	200	2.3
Carroll	23,476	-11.6	0.7	15.4	47.8	36.7	3,163	13.5
Carter	41,578	-2.0	1.2	26.2	59.2	14.6	445	1.1
Cheatham	9,428	2.8	0.3	0.0	68.8	31.2	628	6.7
Chester	9,569	-14.2	0.3	28.1	30.9	40.9	1,295	13.5
Claiborne	19,067	-23.1	0.5	0.0	47.8	52.2	310	1.6
Clay	7,289	-16.2	0.2	0.0	43.3	56.7	166	2.3
Cocke	23,390	1.7	0.7	27.6	39.2	33.2	714	3.1
Coffee	28,603	24.1	0.8	56.0	26.6	17.4	1,022	3.6
Crockett	14,594	-12.2	0.4	0.0	43.5	56.5	3,566	24.4
Cumberland	19,135	1.4	0.5	24.4	50.7	24.9	6	a
Davidson	399,743	24.2	11.2	87.7	11.0	1.3	76,832	19.2
Decatur	8,324	-11.8	0.2	0.0	65.4	34.6	533	6.4
De Kalb	10,774	-7.8	0.3	0.0	54.5	45.5	276	2.6
Dickson	18,839	0.2	0.5	26.7	46.7	26.6	1,368	7.3
Dyer	29,537	-11.8	0.8	42.3	29.1	28.5	4,363	14.8
Fayette	24,577	-10.7	0.7	0.0	33.2	66.8	16,931	68.9
Fentress	13,288	-10.9	0.4	0.0	74.9	25.1	2	a
Franklin	25,528	0.4	0.7	19.2	55.7	25.0	2,276	8.9
Gibson	44,699	-7.1	1.3	40.1	26.1	33.8	9,629	21.5
Giles	22,410	-16.9	0.6	29.5	29.5	41.0	4,004	17.9
Grainger	12,506	-4.4	0.4	0.0	43.7	56.3	179	1.4
Greene	42,163	2.7	1.2	27.9	30.7	41.4	1,091	2.6
Grundy	11,512	-8.3	0.3	0.0	88.8	11.2	15	0.1
Hamblen	33,092	38.0	0.9	64.3	22.1	13.6	2,064	6.2

Hamilton	237,905	14.2	6.7	79.2	19.5	1.3	47,375	19.9
Hancock	7,757	-14.9	0.2	0.0	22.7	77.3	100	1.3
Hardeman	21,517	-7.7	0.6	15.5	45.9	38.5	8,392	39.0
Hardin	17,397	2.9	0.5	24.8	49.1	26.1	1,088	6.3
Hawkins	30,468	-0.1	0.9	10.2	50.6	39.2	1,092	3.6
Haywood	23,393	-10.8	0.7	23.2	11.4	65.4	14,338	61.3
Henderson	16,115	-6.2	0.5	24.5	34.3	41.3	1,713	10.6
Henry	22,275	-6.5	0.6	41.9	32.4	25.7	3,325	14.9
Hickman	11,862	-11.2	0.3	0.0	67.2	32.8	634	5.3
Houston	4,794	-9.9	0.1	0.0	75.3	24.7	363	7.6
Humphreys	11,511	4.4	0.3	25.1	52.9	22.0	574	5.0
Jackson	9,233	-25.2	0.3	0.0	37.3	62.7	34	0.4
Jefferson	21,493	-9.3	0.6	21.2	46.9	32.0	929	4.3
Johnson	10,765	-12.3	0.3	0.0	45.2	54.8	140	1.3
Knox	250,523	12.3	7.0	68.9	27.7	3.4	22,920	9.1
Lake	9,572	-17.9	0.3	0.0	53.8	46.2	2,212	23.1
Lauderdale	21,844	-12.8	0.6	17.3	35.8	46.9	8,383	38.4
Lawrence	28,049	-2.7	0.8	28.7	37.6	33.7	528	1.9
Lewis	6,269	3.1	0.2	0.0	76.8	23.2	122	1.9
Lincoln	23,829	-7.0	0.7	28.6	30.9	40.5	3,157	13.2
Loudon	23,757	2.5	0.7	37.0	44.2	18.8	447	1.9
McMinn	33,662	5.1	0.9	45.5	33.8	20.7	1,789	5.3
McNairy	18,085	-11.3	0.5	0.0	59.1	40.9	1,249	6.9
Macon	12,197	-10.3	0.3	0.0	39.1	60.9	121	1.0
Madison	60,655	0.9	1.7	61.0	19.6	19.5	20,675	34.1
Marion	21,036	2.5	0.6	19.6	73.3	7.1	1,341	6.4
Marshall	16,859	-5.1	0.5	37.6	32.9	29.5	1,982	11.8
Maury	41,699	3.3	1.2	49.3	29.1	21.7	8,385	20.1
Meigs	5,160	-15.1	0.1	0.0	58.7	41.3	255	4.9
Monroe	23,316	-4.9	0.7	17.8	50.8	31.4	912	3.9
Montgomery	55,645	25.9	1.6	47.6	38.1	14.3	11,049	19.9
Moore	3,454	-12.5	0.1	0.0	48.1	51.9	295	8.5
Morgan	14,304	-9.0	0.4	0.0	86.4	13.6	308	2.2
Obion	26,957	-7.2	0.8	42.1	31.3	26.6	3,204	11.9
Overton	14,661	-16.5	0.4	19.2	44.2	36.6	77	0.5
Perry	5,273	-18.4	0.1	0.0	69.2	30.8	194	3.7
Pickett	4,431	-13.0	0.1	0.0	37.4	62.6	6	0.1
Polk	12,160	-13.6	0.3	0.0	86.3	13.7	28	0.2

Table I (Cont'd.). General Population Characteristics

COUNTY	Pop., 1960	Pct. Change in pop., 1950-1960	Pct. co. is of state's total pop., 1960	Pct. urban pop., 1960	Pct. rur. nonfarm pop., 1960	Pct. rur. farm pop., 1960	Total non-white pop., 1960	Pct. non-white pop. is of total pop., 1960
Putnam	29,236	-2.1	0.8	26.7	50.6	22.7	536	1.8
Rhea	15,863	-1.1	0.4	22.1	64.0	14.0	653	4.1
Roane	39,133	23.6	1.1	36.3	55.7	8.0	1,621	4.1
Robertson	27,335	1.2	0.8	33.7	29.8	36.4	4,786	17.5
Rutherford	52,368	28.6	1.5	43.2	39.4	17.4	7,178	13.7
Scott	15,413	-11.2	0.4	0.0	90.2	9.8	3	^a
Sequatchie	5,915	4.0	0.2	0.0	79.9	20.1	3	0.1
Sevier	24,251	3.7	0.7	11.9	52.8	35.3	191	0.8
Shelby	627,019	30.0	17.6	87.8	10.0	2.2	228,082	36.4
Smith	12,059	-14.5	0.3	0.0	43.2	56.8	614	5.1
Stewart	7,851	-14.4	0.2	0.0	65.2	34.8	237	3.0
Sullivan	114,139	20.1	3.2	47.2	44.8	8.0	2,505	2.2
Sumner	36,217	8.0	1.0	21.8	47.0	31.1	4,126	11.4
Tipton	28,564	-4.1	0.8	18.5	35.5	46.0	11,198	39.2
Trousdale	4,914	-11.0	0.1	0.0	44.1	55.9	829	16.9
Unicoi	15,082	-5.1	0.4	21.3	60.0	18.7	7	^a
Union	8,498	-2.0	0.2	0.0	48.3	51.7	2	^a
Van Buren	3,671	-7.9	0.1	0.0	66.4	33.6	31	0.8
Warren	23,102	3.7	0.6	39.0	34.5	26.5	1,119	4.8
Washington	64,832	8.1	1.8	46.1	36.4	17.5	2,546	3.9
Wayne	11,908	-14.1	0.3	0.0	67.3	32.7	206	1.7
Weakley	24,227	-13.4	0.7	20.3	42.8	37.0	1,757	7.3
White	15,577	-3.9	0.4	29.0	34.4	36.7	438	2.8
Williamson	25,267	3.9	0.7	27.6	37.1	35.3	5,064	20.0
Wilson	27,668	5.1	0.8	38.0	32.3	29.7	4,140	15.0
The State	3,567,089	8.4	100.0	52.3	31.3	16.4	589,336	16.5
U. S.	179,323,175	18.5	..	69.9	22.6	7.5	20,491,443	11.4
References*	1B (46)	1B (55)	44B (13)	1B (42)	1C (65)	44C (82, 93)	44B (28, 14)	44B (13)
	44B (13)	44A (6)		44B (6)	44B (91, 93)	1C (65)	1B (42)	1B (55)

* Reference is to U. S. Census of Population, 1960, Volume I, unless otherwise indicated. The first number refers to the area (1 to U. S., 44

^a Less than 0.05%.

to Tenn.), while the letter refers to the chapter of the volume. The number in parenthesis refers to the appropriate table in the chapter.

The Range of Migration Characteristics
(From Table 2)

Characteristic	High county		Low county	
Percent of native population residing in state of birth, 1960	Smith	97.5	Shelby	56.2
Estimated net migration in number, 1950-1960 ^a	Shelby	36,676	Anderson	-13,241
Estimated net migration 1950-1960 as percent of 1950 population	Hamblen	21	Lake	-38

^a The estimated net migration is the difference between the 1960 census of population figure and the 1950 figure to which has been

added the natural increase (excess of births over deaths) for the decade.




Figure 2. Estimated Net Migration 1950-1960 as Percent of 1950 Population



The Range of Land Area and Population Density Characteristics
(From Table 3)

Characteristic	High county	Low county
Land area in square miles, 1960	Shelby 751	Trousdale 113
Population density per square mile, 1960 ..	Shelby 834.9	Perry 12.6
Rural population density per square mile, 1960	Knox 152.2	Perry 12.6




Figure 3. Median Age, 1960

Over 30.9
28.1-30.0

25.9-28.0
Under 25.9

Table 2. Migration Characteristics

COUNTY	Pct. of native pop. residing in state of birth, 1960	Est. net migration in no. 1950-1960	Est. net migration 1950-1960 as pct. of 1950 pop.
Anderson	69.1	-13,241	-22
Bedford	90.5	-3,067	-13
Benton	88.9	-1,732	-15
Bledsoe	95.7	-2,006	-23
Blount	85.9	-7,427	-14
Bradley	81.3	300	1
Campbell	87.0	-11,640	-34
Cannon	96.0	-1,467	-16
Carroll	93.1	-5,527	-21
Carter	80.8	-6,928	-16
Cheatham	92.5	-836	-9
Chester	90.9	-2,724	-24
Claiborne	85.6	-8,458	-34
Clay	86.8	-2,340	-27
Cocke	92.8	-3,025	-13
Coffee	79.0	1,451	6
Crockett	92.7	-4,045	-24
Cumberland	90.1	-3,145	-17
Davidson	78.6	20,769	6
Decatur	95.0	-1,991	-21
De Kalb	94.4	-1,947	-17
Dickson	91.9	-2,101	-11
Dyer	87.2	-7,526	-22
Fayette	91.6	-8,881	-32
Fentress	93.2	-4,220	-28
Franklin	82.1	-3,319	-13
Gibson	91.6	-9,138	-19
Giles	89.0	-6,684	-25
Grainger	94.0	-2,185	-17
Greene	89.4	-4,206	-10
Grundy	91.0	-3,068	-24
Hamblen	85.8	5,035	21

Table 3. Land Area and Population Density

COUNTY	Land area in sq. mi., 1960	Pop. density per sq. mi., 1960	Rur. pop. density per sq. mi., 1960
Anderson	338	177.6	82.7
Bedford	482	48.0	26.3
Benton	430	24.8	18.3
Bledsoe	404	19.3	19.3
Blount	579	99.4	61.7
Bradley	338	113.4	65.5
Campbell	447	62.5	48.6
Cannon	271	31.5	31.5
Carroll	596	39.4	33.3
Carter	355	117.1	86.4
Cheatham	301	31.3	31.3
Chester	285	33.6	24.1
Claiborne	446	42.8	42.8
Clay	235	31.0	31.0
Cocke	434	53.9	39.0
Coffee	435	65.8	28.9
Crockett	269	54.3	54.3
Cumberland	679	28.2	21.3
Davidson	532	751.4	92.5
Decatur	346	24.1	24.1
De Kalb	276	39.0	39.0
Dickson	485	38.8	28.5
Dyer	527	56.0	32.3
Fayette	704	34.9	34.9
Fentress	498	26.7	26.7
Franklin	560	45.6	36.8
Gibson	607	73.6	44.1
Giles	619	36.2	25.5
Grainger	310	40.3	40.3
Greene	617	68.3	49.3
Grundy	358	32.2	32.2
Hamblen	174	190.2	68.0
Hamilton	576	413.0	86.0

Table 2 (Cont'd.). Migration Characteristics

COUNTY	Pct. of native pop. residing in state of birth, 1960	Est. net migration in no. 1950-1960	Est. net migration 1950-1960 as pct. of 1950 pop.
Hamilton	65.7	-7,592	-4
Hancock	90.1	-2,484	-27
Hardeman	87.5	-5,216	-22
Hardin	86.1	-1,535	-9
Hawkins	88.9	-4,581	-15
Haywood	95.1	-8,023	-31
Henderson	95.3	-3,055	-18
Henry	85.6	-3,257	-14
Hickman	93.1	-2,946	-22
Houston	91.9	-1,037	-19
Humphreys	88.6	-927	-8
Jackson	96.3	-4,282	-35
Jefferson	89.6	-593	-3
Johnson	81.9	-2,886	-24
Knox	80.1	-9,303	-4
Lake	84.6	-4,487	-38
Lauderdale	89.8	-6,925	-28
Lawrence	79.4	-5,077	-18
Lewis	89.6	-629	-10
Lincoln	84.9	-4,744	-19
Loudon	90.4	-2,862	-12
McMinn	88.3	-2,974	-9
McNairy	87.7	-4,437	-22
Macon	90.9	-2,764	-20
Madison	85.7	-8,234	-14
Marion	85.3	-2,875	-14
Marshall	92.3	-2,521	-14
Maury	89.5	-4,138	-10
Meigs	94.0	-1,767	-29
Monroe	91.5	-5,150	-21
Montgomery	64.0	4,383	10
Moore	93.1	-749	-19

Table 3 (Cont'd.). Land Area and Population Density

COUNTY	Land area in sq. mi., 1960	Pop. density per sq. mi., 1960	Rur. pop. density per sq. mi., 1960
Hancock	230	33.7	33.7
Hardeman	656	32.8	27.7
Hardin	587	29.6	22.3
Hawkins	494	61.7	55.4
Haywood	519	45.1	34.6
Henderson	515	31.3	23.6
Henry	599	37.2	21.6
Hickman	613	19.4	19.4
Houston	207	23.2	23.2
Humphreys	555	20.7	15.5
Jackson	327	28.2	28.2
Jefferson	318	67.6	53.3
Johnson	299	36.0	36.0
Knox	511	490.3	152.2
Lake	164	58.4	58.4
Lauderdale	485	45.0	37.2
Lawrence	634	44.2	31.6
Lewis	285	22.0	22.0
Lincoln	580	42.1	29.4
Loudon	240	99.0	62.4
McMinn	435	77.4	42.2
McNairy	569	31.8	31.8
Macon	304	40.1	40.1
Madison	560	108.3	42.3
Marion	507	41.5	33.3
Marshall	377	44.7	27.9
Maury	614	67.9	34.5
Meigs	206	25.0	25.0
Monroe	662	35.2	29.0
Montgomery	543	102.5	53.7
Moore	124	27.9	27.9
Morgan	539	26.5	26.5
Obion	550	49.0	28.4

Morgan	88.9	-3,618	-23
Obion	80.5	-4,439	-15
Overton	94.6	-4,692	-27
Perry	95.5	-1,760	-27
Pickett	85.8	-1,285	-25
Polk	79.0	-4,067	-29
Putnam	90.4	-4,451	-15
Rhea	86.4	-2,634	-16
Roane	84.4	916	3
Robertson	88.0	-2,893	-11
Rutherford	74.7	2,557	6
Scott	91.3	-4,957	-29
Sequatchie	91.9	-608	-11
Sevier	91.0	-2,763	-12
Shelby	56.2	36,676	8
Smith	97.5	-3,207	-23
Stewart	89.8	-2,260	-25
Sullivan	62.3	679	1
Sumner	87.3	-1,151	-5
Tipton	88.2	-7,007	-24
Trousdale	95.6	-1,139	-21
Unicoi	75.7	-3,319	-21
Union	94.9	-1,193	-14
Van Buren	97.2	-982	-22
Warren	90.9	-2,030	-9
Washington	76.3	-4,221	-7
Wayne	89.9	-3,724	-27
Weakley	88.3	-4,885	-17
White	93.5	-2,579	-16
Williamson	94.2	-2,158	-9
Wilson	91.7	-1,546	6
State	77.6	-257,996	-8
U. S.	70.3
References*	44C (35) IC (68)	Tenn. Vital Statistics 1950-1959	44A (6)

Overton	439	33.4	27.0
Perry	419	12.6	12.6
Pickett	157	28.2	28.2
Polk	436	27.9	27.9
Putnam	406	72.0	52.8
Rhea	323	49.1	38.3
Roane	354	110.5	70.4
Robertson	476	57.4	38.1
Rutherford	360	83.1	47.2
Scott	549	28.1	28.1
Sequatchie	273	21.7	21.7
Sevier	603	40.2	35.4
Shelby	751	834.9	101.8
Smith	325	37.1	37.1
Stewart	484	16.2	16.2
Sullivan	425	268.6	141.8
Sumner	538	67.3	52.6
Tipton	458	62.4	50.8
Trousdale	113	43.5	43.5
Unicoi	185	81.5	64.4
Union	212	40.1	40.1
Van Buren	255	14.4	14.4
Warren	442	52.3	31.9
Washington	327	198.3	106.9
Wayne	741	16.1	16.1
Weakley	576	42.1	33.5
White	383	40.7	28.9
Williamson	593	42.6	30.8
Wilson	568	48.7	30.2
State	41,762	85.4	40.8
U. S.	3,022,387 ¹	59.0	17.8 ²
References*	1959 Census of Agr., Vol. II, Chap. I	44A (61) IB (42)	44A (6) IB (42)

* See footnote to Table 2

¹ Excludes Alaska and Hawaii.

* Reference is to U. S. Census of Population, 1960, Volume 1, unless otherwise indicated. The first number refers to the area (1 to U. S., 44 to Tenn.), while the letter refers to the chapter of the volume. The number in parenthesis refers to the appropriate table.

The Range of Age Characteristics
(From Table 4)

Characteristic	High county	Low county
Median age, 1960 (in years) ^a	Weakley 36.3	Fayette 19.2
Change in median age, 1950-1960 (in years)	Perry 7.0	Rutherford & Shelby -2.3
Percent of population under 5 years of age, 1960	Fayette 14.6	Weakley 7.9
Percent change in number of population under 5 years of age, 1950-1960	Montgomery 52.4	Jackson -44.9
Percent of population under 18 years of age, 1960	Fayette 48.5	Weakley 29.9
Percent change in number of population under 18 years of age, 1950-1960	Shelby 64.3	Jackson -35.3
Percent of population 18-65 years of age, 1960 ^b	Jefferson & Montgomery 57.9	Fayette 43.1
Percent change in number of population 18-65, 1959-1960	Hamblen 36.8	Lake -23.5
Percent of population 65 years of age and over, 1960	Weakley 15.4	Anderson 4.8
Percent change of population 65 years of age and over, 1950-1960	Sullivan 50.7	Meigs 0.2
Dependency ratio, 1960 ^c	Fayette 100.8	Jefferson 58.3

^a The median age is that age which equally divides the population, that is, there are just as many younger as there are older than the median age.

^b The age range 18 to 65 is usually considered the productive period of life.

^c The dependency ratio is the sum of those 14 or under and those over 64 divided by the number 15 through 64 with the result multiplied by 100. The figures can be interpreted as the number of dependents supported by 100 working age persons.




Figure 4. Dependency Ratio, 1960
 (Number Under 15 and Over 64 Per 100 Between 15 and 64)

Table 4. Age Characteristics

COUNTY	Median age, 1960 (in yrs.)	Change in median age, 1950-1960 (in yrs.)		Pct. change in no. of pop. under 5 yrs. of age 1950-1960		Pct. change in no. of pop. under 18 yrs. of age, 1950-1960		Pct. change in no. of pop. 18 to 65 yrs. of age, 1950-1960		Pct. change in no. of pop. 65 yrs. of age and over, 1950-1960		Dependency ratio, 1960
		Pct. of pop. under 5 yrs. of age, 1960	Pct. of pop. under 5 yrs. of age, 1960	Pct. of pop. under 5 yrs. of age, 1960	Pct. of pop. under 5 yrs. of age, 1960	Pct. of pop. under 18 yrs. of age, 1960	Pct. of pop. under 18 yrs. of age, 1960	Pct. of pop. 18 to 65 yrs. of age, 1960	Pct. of pop. 18 to 65 yrs. of age, 1960	Pct. of pop. 65 yrs. of age and over, 1960	Pct. of pop. 65 yrs. of age and over, 1960	
Anderson	26.1	0.6	11.9	-13.9	40.8	5.1	54.4	-4.1	4.8	41.4	66.6	
Bedford	31.8	2.5	9.6	-12.5	34.5	1.5	54.3	-7.4	11.2	19.2	67.1	
Benton	34.3	5.0	8.5	-27.6	33.3	-11.7	53.9	-9.7	12.8	22.9	68.7	
Bledsoe	23.3	2.9	10.5	-28.2	42.9	-14.5	48.0	-7.0	9.1	16.0	76.8	
Blount	27.0	2.4	10.1	-14.6	38.0	1.6	54.7	4.2	7.3	42.1	64.3	
Bradley	25.9	0.9	11.1	8.8	38.2	17.3	54.5	17.6	7.3	33.6	65.4	
Campbell	25.7	4.1	9.9	-40.4	40.4	-26.2	50.3	-17.7	9.3	29.1	74.1	
Cannon	30.2	3.4	9.0	-19.8	33.9	-14.8	55.6	-4.0	10.5	7.2	62.5	
Carroll	34.7	5.1	8.3	-27.0	32.8	-13.1	53.9	-16.6	13.3	23.5	67.6	
Carter	27.1	3.1	9.9	-22.1	37.5	-8.1	55.1	-1.2	7.4	34.6	63.3	
Cheatham	28.9	1.8	10.3	-2.6	36.7	0.3	52.7	1.7	10.6	23.3	71.4	
Chester	29.0	2.9	9.0	-32.6	34.5	-20.4	54.1	-14.9	11.5	18.5	66.6	
Claiborne	26.2	4.1	9.8	-39.3	38.2	-31.4	52.3	-20.8	9.5	14.6	70.2	
Clay	26.7	4.2	9.4	-38.2	38.7	-25.1	51.6	-13.4	9.7	19.3	70.8	
Cocke	25.7	1.6	11.4	-7.5	39.3	0.9	52.6	.3	8.2	30.0	70.8	
Coffee	27.2	0.8	11.5	26.1	39.0	30.5	53.4	20.2	7.5	21.3	68.5	
Crockett	30.5	3.2	10.2	-22.7	38.1	-10.9	50.0	-18.1	11.9	18.2	77.5	
Cumberland	24.6	2.9	11.2	-14.2	41.6	-5.4	49.2	2.0	9.2	42.5	78.4	
Davidson	28.6	-1.0	11.3	36.6	34.6	48.7	57.5	11.8	7.9	36.4	61.7	
Decatur	33.7	6.4	8.4	-34.7	33.9	-18.2	53.7	-13.9	12.4	29.3	68.6	
De Kalb	31.3	3.3	9.3	-18.4	34.2	-10.9	53.8	-10.7	12.0	22.5	67.7	
Dickson	30.5	1.6	10.1	-8.3	35.7	0.7	52.8	-3.8	11.5	21.1	71.2	
Dyer	31.9	3.9	9.7	-25.2	35.9	-11.9	52.6	-17.2	11.5	27.0	71.6	
Fayette	19.2	-1.5	14.6	-10.9	48.5	-5.4	43.1	-18.4	8.4	5.4	100.8	
Fentress	21.5	2.1	10.9	-34.8	44.3	-18.1	47.6	-8.3	8.1	28.9	81.3	
Franklin	26.7	1.8	10.8	-10.1	38.2	0.7	52.6	-2.4	9.2	17.7	71.7	
Gibson	33.4	4.1	9.3	-19.1	34.7	-4.1	52.9	-14.4	12.4	27.9	72.0	
Giles	32.8	5.1	8.8	-32.0	34.2	-21.1	53.0	-19.3	12.8	13.8	69.4	
Grainger	26.4	2.2	10.2	-13.6	37.8	-10.4	53.0	-2.2	9.2	11.6	69.1	
Greene	28.1	2.5	9.8	-13.1	35.6	-1.3	56.1	2.4	8.3	27.5	62.3	
Grunddy	24.4	1.8	11.6	-20.5	41.9	-11.3	48.7	-10.2	9.4	23.4	80.1	

Hamblen	36.9	0.9	11.3	32.6	36.3	38.9	56.5	36.8	7.2	43.7	61.6
Hamilton	29.0	0.1	11.2	14.4	36.1	28.2	56.1	4.1	7.8	42.0	64.6
Hancock	25.4	3.2	11.0	-26.4	40.5	-19.5	50.9	-13.9	8.7	6.3	73.5
Hardeman	31.0	3.7	10.8	-17.1	37.5	-7.4	50.7	-12.6	11.9	19.4	77.6
Hardin	29.3	3.8	9.4	-20.0	36.7	-2.2	53.4	3.2	9.9	24.7	69.7
Hawkins	27.7	2.9	10.5	-11.4	37.6	-4.8	53.5	0.4	8.9	22.0	67.7
Haywood	21.6	-1.7	13.5	-13.4	45.7	-3.2	45.3	-20.4	9.1	13.1	93.6
Henderson	31.1	3.7	9.7	-20.3	35.2	-7.3	53.6	-9.8	11.2	22.8	69.1
Henry	36.0	4.1	8.8	-19.0	32.3	-3.1	53.4	-14.5	14.3	27.6	71.2
Hickman	29.6	3.7	9.5	-25.7	35.9	-17.0	52.9	-12.8	11.2	29.2	70.3
Houston	31.3	2.8	9.8	-15.8	35.6	-15.0	51.1	-11.0	13.2	14.6	76.5
Humphreys	30.9	2.5	10.4	0.2	36.7	5.8	52.6	0.4	10.7	22.9	72.6
Jackson	31.3	6.5	8.6	-44.9	35.2	-35.3	52.9	-23.4	11.9	15.9	68.8
Jefferson	26.5	0.8	9.9	4.4	33.4	2.5	57.9	11.0	8.7	28.5	58.3
Johnson	29.0	4.9	9.0	-35.2	37.6	-19.4	51.7	-11.1	10.7	15.8	72.7
Knox	29.3	1.0	10.6	9.6	34.6	21.6	57.2	4.4	8.1	42.7	61.1
Lake	24.5	1.0	12.2	-27.9	42.1	-16.9	49.3	-23.5	8.6	39.6	80.2
Lauderdale	27.2	1.0	11.3	-16.8	39.4	-9.3	50.2	-19.6	10.4	18.2	77.4
Lawrence	27.6	2.8	10.6	-15.1	38.2	-7.8	52.1	-3.7	9.8	34.5	71.8
Lewis	28.1	2.7	10.4	-9.7	38.3	1.1	51.9	0.9	9.9	28.5	73.8
Lincoln	30.2	3.1	10.0	-16.7	36.5	-7.8	53.6	-9.3	9.9	11.6	67.7
Loudon	28.6	2.8	10.0	-12.6	36.8	0.6	54.7	0.5	8.5	39.3	65.0
McMinn	27.9	2.0	10.8	-1.9	36.8	2.4	54.1	3.4	9.1	31.9	66.9
McNairy	30.8	4.9	9.3	-30.4	36.1	-16.4	52.2	-13.1	11.7	23.8	70.6
Macon	31.6	4.3	8.9	-30.4	34.5	-15.5	54.2	-10.9	11.3	15.1	66.6
Madison	30.2	1.5	10.8	-1.4	36.2	10.1	52.9	-9.3	10.9	37.3	72.7
Marion	24.7	1.1	11.9	-6.9	41.6	2.2	50.4	0.1	8.0	25.8	76.7
Marshall	32.7	2.7	9.3	-16.5	34.3	0.5	54.0	-11.4	11.7	13.7	68.4
Maury	29.8	1.5	10.7	1.7	36.3	9.1	54.0	-2.5	9.6	19.3	69.0
Meigs	24.1	3.0	9.7	-39.1	41.7	-22.4	50.3	-10.4	8.1	0.2	75.1
Monroe	25.1	2.3	10.3	-26.3	39.4	-12.0	51.3	-3.3	9.2	27.2	72.5
Montgomery	23.1	-1.7	13.4	52.4	35.8	48.9	57.9	15.0	6.3	26.1	60.6
Moore	33.1	4.7	9.3	-23.3	34.1	-16.7	55.0	-12.9	10.9	7.1	64.5
Morgan	25.2	2.5	10.7	-26.5	40.7	-12.9	51.0	-9.9	8.3	25.3	72.9
Obion	34.3	3.2	9.4	-12.2	32.9	-6.3	53.7	-13.4	13.4	25.8	69.2
Overton	28.1	4.3	9.7	-35.5	37.7	-23.3	51.5	-15.9	10.8	14.7	73.2
Perry	32.9	7.0	8.1	-44.7	34.4	-25.8	53.9	-20.1	11.6	34.0	64.1
Pickett	25.7	4.2	9.8	-34.0	39.8	-22.1	50.8	-9.6	9.3	23.3	73.4

Table 4 (Cont'd.). Age Characteristics

COUNTY	Median age, 1960 (in yrs.)	Change in median age, 1950-1960 (in yrs.)	Pct. of pop. under 5 yrs. of age, 1960	Pct. change in no. of pop. under 5 yrs. of age, 1950-1960	Pct. of pop. under 18 yrs. of age, 1960	Pct. change in no. of pop. under 18 yrs. of age, 1950-1960	Pct. of pop. 18 to 65 yrs. of age, 1960	Pct. change in no. of pop. 18 to 65 yrs. of age, 1950-1960	Pct. of pop. 65 yrs. of age and over, 1960	Pct. change of pop. 65 yrs. of age and over, 1950-1960	Dependency ratio, 1960
Polk	26.0	3.5	10.1	-33.3	40.0	-19.9	51.2	-12.6	8.8	21.9	72.3
Putnam	26.9	2.1	9.3	-21.7	33.6	-8.8	56.2	-3.0	10.3	37.6	62.0
Rhea	26.1	1.1	11.0	-10.0	38.8	-3.0	51.8	-2.4	9.4	16.5	71.9
Roane	26.3	1.7	11.1	9.6	39.8	24.5	53.3	21.9	6.9	32.3	69.0
Robertson	30.0	1.2	10.6	-3.4	36.4	6.3	52.7	-4.9	10.9	18.8	72.5
Rutherford	25.3	-2.3	13.1	47.9	36.3	45.9	56.0	19.9	7.7	25.3	66.4
Scott	21.8	1.8	11.4	-31.0	44.6	-15.2	47.6	-10.7	7.7	15.1	81.1
Sequatchie	23.9	2.1	10.9	-17.9	41.9	-2.9	49.4	6.0	8.7	36.6	78.7
Sevier	26.9	2.7	10.2	-8.4	37.5	-3.5	54.3	5.5	8.2	35.2	65.3
Shelby	26.6	-2.3	12.6	42.6	38.0	64.3	54.6	12.0	7.3	46.2	69.2
Smith	34.2	4.5	8.8	-26.4	32.3	-18.0	54.8	-17.5	12.8	16.6	66.5
Stewart	32.0	5.4	9.3	-24.3	35.4	-20.4	51.5	-16.9	13.1	25.7	73.7
Sullivan	27.6	1.8	10.7	5.2	37.2	21.8	56.6	16.4	6.2	50.7	61.3
Sumner	30.5	2.3	10.2	.0	36.2	10.2	53.5	3.8	10.3	25.6	70.0
Tipton	22.9	-0.7	13.1	-5.9	43.8	0.9	47.9	-10.8	8.3	16.4	84.5
Trousdale	32.3	3.8	8.8	-26.9	33.9	-12.4	53.7	-15.3	12.4	20.9	70.4
Unicoi	28.0	3.8	10.7	-16.7	37.8	-9.6	53.0	-7.4	9.1	47.3	69.6
Union	25.3	1.9	11.0	-12.0	38.9	-8.9	52.1	0.9	9.0	16.7	71.5
Van Buren	23.9	2.1	11.3	-29.4	42.0	-12.3	49.3	-7.8	8.7	20.8	79.8
Warren	29.5	1.8	10.0	-8.4	36.1	5.0	53.7	0.4	10.3	19.4	69.2
Washington	29.5	1.5	10.0	-1.5	34.3	11.5	56.2	1.6	9.5	48.2	63.0
Wayne	25.9	3.6	10.5	-33.0	40.0	-21.2	50.8	-12.0	9.2	15.2	74.0
Weakley	36.3	4.2	7.9	-28.5	29.9	-16.0	54.7	-17.7	15.4	15.5	67.5
White	29.8	3.4	9.4	-25.5	36.2	-9.3	52.5	-4.7	11.3	25.7	70.9
Williamson	27.1	0.1	11.4	4.4	38.2	6.3	52.3	1.6	9.5	8.4	74.0
Wilson	31.4	1.5	9.9	-2.5	34.6	9.6	54.0	-1.0	11.5	26.5	69.0
State	28.0	.7	11.0	3.7	36.8	14.3	54.5	2.0	8.7	31.5	67.5
U. S.	29.5	-.7	11.3	25.1	35.8	36.7	55.0	7.1	9.2	34.7	67.6
References*	44B(17, 27)	44B(17, 27)	44B(27, 16)	44B(27)	44B(13)	44B(27)	44B(13)	44B(27)	44B(13)	44B(27)	44B(27)
	IB(45)	IB(45)	IB(45)	IB(45)	IB(55)	IB(46)	IB(55)	IB(46)	IB(55)	IB(46)	IB(46)

* Reference is to U. S. Census of Population, 1960, Volume 1, unless otherwise indicated. The first number refers to the area (1 to U. S., 44 to Tenn.).

while the letter refers to the chapter of the volume. The number in parentheses refers to the appropriate table.

The Range of Vital Statistics
(From Table 5)

Characteristic	High county	Low county
Total number of births, 1950-1960	Shelby 157,139	Moore 635
Total number of deaths, 1950-1960	Shelby 49,189	Van Buren 276
Total natural increase (births minus deaths), 1950-1960 (in numbers)	Shelby 107,950	Moore 255
Fertility ratio (number of children under 5 years of age per 1,000 women 15-49 years of age), 1960	Fayette 744	Perry 360
Percent change in fertility ratio, 1950-1960	Shelby 28.8	Meigs —28.6
Cumulative fertility rate, 1960 ^a	Fayette 2,385	Jefferson 1,511

^a The cumulative fertility rate is the number of children ever born per 1,000 women 15 to 44 years old of all marital classes, that is,

whether married presently, single, divorced, widowed, or separated.




Figure 5. Fertility Ratio
 (Number of Children Under 5 Years of Age Per 1000 Women 15-49 Years of Age), 1960

Table 5 (Cont'd.). Vital Statistics

COUNTY	Total no. of births, 1950-1960	Total no. of deaths, 1950-1960	Total natural increase (births minus deaths) 1950-1960 (in nos.)	Fertility ratio, 1960	Pct. change in fertility ratio, 1950-1960	Cumulative fertility rate, 1960
Hancock	1,828	703	1,125	496	-9.2	1,758
Hardeman	5,375	1,953	3,422	513	-2.5	2,020
Hardin	3,342	1,318	2,024	418	-17.7	1,778
Hawkins	6,987	2,432	4,555	443	-7.9	1,761
Haywood	7,578	2,374	5,204	671	11.6	2,353
Henderson	3,460	1,463	1,997	440	-5.2	1,780
Henry	4,258	2,554	1,704	406	-1.5	1,636
Hickman	2,649	1,194	1,455	425	-13.8	1,818
Houston	1,044	531	513	495	3.3	1,840
Humphreys	2,477	1,069	1,408	477	2.1	1,904
Jackson	2,160	993	1,167	403	-20.2	1,869
Jefferson	4,139	1,720	2,419	389	-2.8	1,511
Johnson	2,361	988	1,373	406	-23.8	1,780
Knox	56,437	19,618	36,819	420	9.1	1,588
Lake	3,386	982	2,404	562	-2.9	2,245
Lauderdale	6,126	2,404	3,722	552	7.2	2,274
Lawrence	6,447	2,139	4,308	457	-7.1	1,810
Lewis	1,386	566	820	460	-4.6	1,839
Lincoln	5,514	2,565	2,949	438	-3.3	1,814
Loudon	5,433	1,996	3,437	414	-10.4	1,666
McMinn	7,373	2,761	4,612	452	-1.7	1,733
McNairy	3,788	1,656	2,132	412	-17.4	1,815
Macon	2,601	1,239	1,362	397	-17.8	1,667
Madison	14,683	5,922	8,761	484	15.0	1,786
Marion	5,224	1,833	3,391	536	-3.2	2,141
Marshall	3,558	1,946	1,612	412	-2.8	1,782
Maury	9,654	4,185	5,469	457	7.3	1,763
Meigs	1,320	473	847	442	-28.6	2,024
Monroe	5,884	1,931	3,953	441	-23.2	1,874
Montgomery	10,849	3,773	7,076	596	26.8	1,727
Moore	635	380	255	413	-10.4	1,589
Morgan	3,231	1,036	2,195	528	-16.6	2,049
Obion	5,252	2,912	2,340	422	5.8	1,852

Overton	3,263	1,476	1,787	429	-23.7	1,716
Perry	1,130	559	571	360	-27.9	1,591
Pickett	978	355	623	443	-23.6	2,081
Polk	3,253	1,100	2,153	440	-20.9	1,928
Putnam	6,148	2,330	3,818	397	-15.4	1,627
Rhea	3,963	1,507	2,456	463	-6.1	1,707
Roane	9,346	2,794	6,552	452	-9.1	1,905
Robertson	5,866	2,662	3,204	492	6.5	1,772
Rutherford	12,887	3,772	9,115	570	20.8	1,690
Scott	4,339	1,331	3,008	513	-21.1	2,160
Sequatchie	1,300	462	838	478	-24.5	2,043
Sevier	5,452	1,813	3,639	425	-9.4	1,735
Shelby	157,139	49,189	107,950	519	28.8	1,778
Smith	2,536	1,368	1,168	405	-4.5	1,822
Stewart	1,762	826	936	443	-4.7	1,833
Sullivan	25,114	6,717	18,397	407	-6.0	1,629
Sumner	7,431	3,236	4,195	448	-0.7	1,785
Tipton	8,494	2,705	5,789	617	7.9	2,255
Trousdale	1,071	538	533	401	-12.3	1,742
Unicoi	3,623	1,108	2,515	452	-6.4	1,738
Union	1,661	640	1,021	490	-9.9	1,990
Van Buren	854	276	578	519	-22.5	2,307
Warren	5,068	2,207	2,861	439	-4.1	1,734
Washington	14,286	5,204	9,082	416	-2.8	1,570
Wayne	2,724	956	1,768	475	-18.4	2,132
Weakley	4,104	2,954	1,150	367	-9.2	1,664
White	3,441	1,489	1,952	404	-20.2	1,771
Williamson	5,583	2,465	3,118	515	6.0	1,867
Wilson	5,604	2,708	2,896	437	0.5	1,705
State	832,614	299,247	533,367	463	4.8	1,738
U. S.				488	16.8	1,746
References*	Tennessee	Vital Statistics, 1950-1959		44(13)	44B(13)	44C(35)
				1B(55)	1B(46, 55)	1C(81)

* Reference is to U. S. Census of Population, 1960, Volume 1, unless otherwise indicated. The first number refers to the area (1 to U. S., 44 to Tenn.),

while the letter refers to the chapter of the volume. The number in parenthesis refers to the appropriate table.

The Range of Marital Status and Sex Characteristics
(From Table 6)

Characteristic	High county	Low county
Percent of male population 14 years old and over—married, 1960	Benton 73.7	Bledsoe 58.1
Percent of female population 14 years old and over—married, 1960	Montgomery 72.1	Campbell 60.5
Percent of males 14 years old and over—widowed, 1960	Henry, Stewart & Weakley 4.7	Anderson 2.0
Percent of females 14 years old and over—widowed, 1960	Madison & Obion 15.9	Anderson 8.4
Sex ratio (number of males per 100 females), 1960	Montgomery 116.0	Hamilton 90.8
Change in sex ratio, 1950-1960	Lewis 1.0	Montgomery -7.9




Figure 6. Sex Ratio (Number of Males Per 100 Females), 1960

Table 6. Marital Status and Sex

COUNTY	Pct. of male pop. 14 yrs. old and over— married, 1960	Pct. of female pop. 14 yrs. old and over— married, 1960	Pct. of males 14 yrs. old and over— widowed, 1960	Pct. of females 14 yrs. old and over, widowed, 1960	Sex ratio (no. of males per 100 females), 1960	Change in sex ratio, 1950-1960
Anderson	73.2	69.8	2.0	8.4	96.9	-1.5
Bedford	71.7	67.1	3.6	13.3	94.3	-2.0
Benton	73.7	70.6	3.2	13.5	98.9	-4.6
Bledsoe	58.1	66.0	3.6	11.2	111.2	0.6
Blount	70.9	67.5	2.4	9.8	96.6	-2.8
Bradley	71.6	66.8	2.6	10.8	94.9	-0.5
Campbell	65.0	60.5	3.6	13.2	94.4	-3.5
Cannon	68.5	69.6	3.7	10.9	98.8	-5.7
Carroll	71.9	67.2	4.0	14.0	95.4	-2.9
Carter	67.7	64.7	2.8	10.9	96.4	-3.2
Cheatham	68.5	71.1	4.0	10.5	103.6	-0.9
Chester	66.0	62.7	4.1	13.2	92.0	-7.3
Claiborne	64.0	63.5	3.9	12.0	100.2	-0.8
Clay	63.7	63.9	3.5	12.6	99.2	-3.3
Cocke	65.5	64.1	3.8	11.9	97.4	-2.4
Coffee	72.4	69.1	2.7	11.0	96.9	-1.8
Crockett	71.0	68.0	4.1	14.2	97.0	-3.3
Cumberland	66.3	67.7	3.1	10.8	102.9	-0.6
Davidson	70.5	62.7	3.3	13.3	91.9	0.1
Decatur	70.5	69.0	4.0	12.2	96.7	-1.1
De Kalb	71.4	70.0	3.7	12.1	97.3	-3.1
Dickson	70.8	68.1	3.7	13.1	98.6	-2.2
Dyer	72.1	67.0	4.2	14.9	94.4	-3.3
Fayette	63.9	62.7	4.3	12.4	98.6	-3.5
Fentress	61.7	61.4	3.1	11.3	96.7	-4.0
Franklin	66.4	67.2	2.9	12.6	102.6	-2.4
Gibson	73.3	67.1	4.0	14.9	92.8	-2.7
Giles	68.4	62.8	4.3	15.3	94.6	-3.9
Grainger	65.4	64.5	3.8	12.0	99.9	-5.4
Greene	69.3	66.4	3.0	11.4	96.7	-4.4
Grundy	66.1	64.8	3.4	11.9	99.3	-3.3
Hamblen	71.4	66.9	2.5	10.6	94.8	-1.0

Hamilton	72.9	64.7	3.4	13.8	90.8	-0.5
Hancock	63.6	62.8	4.1	11.6	96.2	-4.5
Hardeman	63.0	62.0	4.4	13.8	94.4	-3.5
Hardin	69.6	68.2	3.5	11.9	98.4	-4.7
Hawkins	67.7	64.6	3.3	11.4	97.8	-1.3
Haywood	67.3	63.6	4.1	14.1	96.3	-1.0
Henderson	72.1	69.7	3.7	12.5	98.3	-0.8
Henry	73.1	67.1	4.7	14.9	93.8	-2.8
Hickman	68.1	67.6	3.7	12.7	100.3	-4.2
Houston	66.7	67.4	3.7	12.7	99.8	-1.2
Humphreys	70.3	69.6	3.8	12.3	100.2	-3.5
Jackson	67.2	65.0	4.0	12.8	98.2	-4.2
Jefferson	65.0	63.4	3.3	10.9	99.8	0.7
Johnson	66.3	65.3	3.7	11.4	98.0	-3.1
Knox	70.8	63.2	3.0	12.9	92.0	-1.4
Lake	69.6	66.2	3.8	14.1	97.1	-6.5
Lauderdale	66.8	64.9	4.1	14.8	99.5	-5.7
Lawrence	71.9	67.8	3.5	11.3	97.4	0.1
Lewis	70.6	67.8	3.2	13.2	98.3	1.0
Lincoln	69.4	66.5	3.7	13.4	96.2	-2.3
Loudon	70.3	66.4	2.9	11.6	95.9	-5.6
McMinn	70.3	66.4	3.2	11.4	95.2	-2.4
McNairy	71.2	67.9	3.8	12.1	97.7	-2.2
Macon	71.9	70.4	3.8	11.2	99.3	-2.5
Madison	70.3	62.7	4.1	15.9	91.7	-3.2
Marion	68.2	66.6	3.6	12.4	99.4	-0.3
Marshall	70.7	65.7	4.0	15.6	92.9	-4.6
Maury	71.4	66.2	3.7	13.7	94.8	-2.0
Meigs	63.5	66.9	3.6	8.9	103.9	-1.4
Monroe	65.8	65.1	3.2	10.4	98.5	-2.3
Montgomery	60.4	72.1	2.5	10.6	116.0	-7.9
Moore	70.6	67.8	3.2	12.0	101.2	-1.5
Morgan	63.0	64.9	3.2	10.7	110.5	-2.3
Obion	72.7	66.5	4.4	15.9	93.5	-3.9
Overton	67.2	64.8	3.9	11.8	97.8	-4.9
Perry	69.5	66.8	3.7	13.1	97.5	-5.7
Pickett	66.1	65.9	3.4	11.4	98.5	-6.2
Polk	65.9	64.2	3.4	12.7	97.9	-1.9

Table 6 (Cont'd.). Marital Status and Sex

COUNTY	Pct. of male pop. 14 yrs. old and over— married, 1960	Pct. of female pop. 14 yrs. old and over— married, 1960	Pct. of males 14 yrs. old and over— widowed, 1960	Pct. of females 14 yrs. and over— widowed, 1960	Sex ratio (no. of males per 100 females), 1960	Change in sex ratio, 1950-1960
Putnam	64.0	65.3	2.9	11.8	101.7	-1.0
Rhea	67.7	62.7	3.3	12.7	93.8	-2.7
Roane	71.2	67.3	2.8	11.0	95.4	-2.7
Robertson	69.8	68.5	4.1	12.8	97.9	-2.1
Rutherford	65.7	68.9	2.7	11.0	106.8	-3.4
Scott	63.4	63.1	3.7	10.6	99.3	-1.8
Sequatchie	69.3	66.3	2.8	11.0	98.2	-6.8
Sevier	69.2	66.3	3.2	10.1	97.2	-1.2
Shelby	70.4	64.8	3.6	14.0	92.9	-0.3
Smith	72.3	70.3	4.3	13.0	98.3	-4.6
Stewart	67.2	67.2	4.7	12.1	100.0	-3.8
Sullivan	73.6	67.9	2.1	10.2	94.0	-0.7
Sumner	72.0	69.5	3.9	11.9	98.5	-1.6
Tipton	68.3	66.5	4.0	12.5	98.2	-4.0
Trousdale	69.6	67.9	4.0	14.2	101.2	-0.4
Unicoi	70.1	66.4	2.7	11.6	94.4	-1.2
Union	66.2	66.0	3.6	10.9	99.3	-1.2
Van Buren	66.5	68.1	3.2	9.8	98.6	-4.2
Warren	71.2	69.1	3.6	11.8	98.0	-0.6
Washington	65.1	63.1	4.1	12.6	102.1	-2.9
Wayne	67.9	68.7	3.1	10.8	100.0	-0.9
Weakley	70.8	68.0	4.7	14.2	97.4	-1.7
White	69.9	65.4	3.6	12.9	94.3	-4.3
Williamson	69.1	66.0	3.8	12.5	97.0	-2.5
Wilson	71.3	67.4	4.0	13.3	96.3	-2.1
State	69.8	65.4	3.4	12.8	95.3	-2.0
U. S.	69.1	65.9	3.6	12.2	97.1	-1.6
*References	44B(13) 1B(49)	44B(13) 1B(49)	44B(28, 18) 1B(49)	44B(28, 18) 1B(49)	44B(27, 15) 1B(46)	44B(27) 1950 Census of Population

* Reference is to U. S. Census of Population, 1960, Volume 1, unless otherwise indicated. The first number refers to the area (1 to U. S., 44 to Tenn.).

while the letter refers to the chapter of the volume. The number in parenthesis refers to the appropriate table.

The Range of Household Characteristics
(From Table 7)

Characteristic	High county		Low county	
	County	Value	County	Value
Number of households, 1960 ^a	Shelby	174,758	Van Buren	920
Percent change in number of households, 1950-1960	Hamblen	47.8	Jackson	—14.9
Population per household, 1960	Fayette	4.55	Weakley	3.05
Percent of total population in group quarters, 1960 ^b	Hardeman	12.1	Eight counties	None

^a A household is defined as all of the persons who occupy a housing unit. See the census for a more detailed definition.

^b All persons not members of households are classified as living in

group quarters. Group quarters are living arrangements for institutional inmates or for groups containing five or more persons unrelated to the persons in charge. See the census for a more complete explanation.




Figure 7. Population Per Household, 1960

Over 3.71
3.53 - 3.71

3.40 - 3.52
Under 3.40

Table 7. Household Characteristics

COUNTY	No. of house- holds, 1960	Pct. change in no. of house- holds, 1950-1960	Pop. per house- hold, 1960	Pct. of pop. in group quarters, 1960
Anderson	16,374	8.6	3.63	0.9
Bedford	7,023	4.7	3.29	0.3
Benton	3,350	4.8	3.17	0.3
Bledsoe	1,948	2.5	3.85	4.1
Blount	15,778	14.9	3.60	1.1
Bradley	10,767	27.8	3.51	1.3
Campbell	7,356	-7.5	3.79	0.1
Cannon	2,489	5.4	3.42	0.2
Carroll	7,328	-2.8	3.18	0.7
Carter	11,283	8.9	3.65	1.0
Cheatham	2,667	10.5	3.53	0.1
Chester	2,713	-6.0	3.41	3.3
Claiborne	4,986	-12.1	3.77	1.3
Clay	1,969	-4.1	3.70	0.0
Cocke	6,217	10.3	3.75	0.2
Coffee	8,167	32.3	3.46	1.1
Crockett	4,298	-6.7	3.39	0.2
Cumberland	4,899	10.2	3.88	0.6
Davidson	114,635	29.2	3.32	4.7
Decatur	2,517	-0.9	3.30	0.3
De Kalb	3,208	2.4	3.35	0.2
Dickson	5,525	6.6	3.40	0.3
Dyer	9,016	-4.9	3.26	0.4
Fayette	5,381	-13.4	4.55	0.3
Fentress	3,152	-1.9	4.21	0.1
Franklin	6,884	9.1	3.61	2.5
Gibson	13,940	0.8	3.20	0.2
Giles	6,582	-8.2	3.38	0.7
Grainger	3,327	6.5	3.72	1.0
Greene	11,892	12.4	3.50	1.2
Grundy	2,975	-1.5	3.85	0.6
Hamblen	9,278	47.8	3.54	0.8
Hamilton	69,825	19.2	3.35	1.5

			—7.5	3.93	0.0
Hancock	1,976		—4.4	3.81	12.1
Hardeman	4,962		10.7	3.53	0.3
Hardin	4,908		10.9	3.71	0.1
Hawkins	8,201		—10.6	4.13	0.4
Haywood	5,643		1.0	3.43	0.0
Haywood	4,694		0.7	3.12	0.3
Henderson	7,112		—0.9	3.49	0.2
Henry	3,395		0.4	3.44	0.2
Hickman	1,392		11.2	3.39	0.3
Houston	3,384		—14.9	3.56	0.1
Humphreys	2,591		18.6	3.50	4.0
Jackson	5,902		—0.9	3.70	0.2
Jefferson	2,902		21.1	3.34	3.2
Johnson	72,576		—12.4	3.60	0.3
Knox	2,650		—9.3	3.59	2.7
Lake	5,915		7.0	3.57	0.3
Lauderdale	7,841		12.0	3.50	0.1
Lawrence	1,789		0.6	3.42	0.6
Lewis	6,926		13.1	3.53	0.3
Lincoln	6,717		14.2	3.49	0.9
Loudon	9,549		—1.5	3.44	0.3
McMinn	5,239		—1.6	3.38	0.2
McNairy	3,603		6.6	3.33	1.3
Macon	17,945		10.1	3.83	0.3
Madison	5,472		0.0	3.32	0.6
Marion	5,046		7.7	3.40	0.9
Marshall	12,163		—7.1	4.00	0.0
Maury	1,291		3.1	3.84	0.9
Meigs	6,022		35.1	3.54	9.9
Monroe	14,145		—3.4	3.39	0.4
Montgomery	1,016		—1.9	3.99	5.7
Moore	3,381		—0.3	3.13	0.4
Morgan	8,562		—5.4	3.68	0.2
Obion	3,982		—8.3	3.39	0.0
Overton	1,554		—0.9	3.76	0.0
Perry	1,179		—3.3	3.76	0.5
Pickett	3,221		6.0	3.41	5.4
Polk	8,124				
Putnam					

Table 7 (Cont'd.). Household Characteristics

COUNTY	No. of households, 1960	Pct. change in no. of households, 1950-1960	Pop. per household, 1960	Pct. of pop. in group quarters, 1960
Rhea	4,290	5.4	3.63	1.8
Roane	10,667	33.5	3.66	0.3
Robertson	7,839	5.3	3.48	0.3
Rutherford	13,822	32.0	3.53	6.9
Scott	3,714	-4.9	4.14	0.3
Sequatchie	1,525	15.5	3.86	0.4
Sevier	6,628	15.8	3.60	1.5
Shelby	174,758	30.9	3.49	2.8
Smith	3,737	-6.0	3.22	0.2
Stewart	2,239	-4.6	3.49	0.3
Sullivan	31,937	29.6	3.56	0.5
Sumner	10,586	15.1	3.40	0.5
Tipton	7,289	-1.6	3.90	0.5
Trousdale	1,443	-3.0	3.38	0.9
Unicoi	4,167	5.9	3.62	0.1
Union	2,208	6.1	3.84	0.2
Van Buren	920	-0.2	3.99	0.0
Warren	6,758	10.3	3.41	0.3
Washington	17,496	16.7	3.51	5.4
Wayne	3,212	-4.3	3.71	0.0
Weakley	7,749	-7.0	3.05	2.5
White	4,508	6.2	3.45	0.2
Williamson	6,816	9.1	3.66	1.4
Wilson	8,274	11.1	3.33	0.4
State	1,003,301	15.2	3.48	2.2
U. S.	53,021,061	25.1	3.29	2.8
References*	44B(13) 1B(55)	44B(13) 1B(55)	44B(13) 1B(55)	44B(13) 1B(55)

* Reference is to U. S. Census of Population, 1960, Volume 1, unless otherwise indicated. The first number refers to the area (1 to U. S., 41 to Tenn.),

while the letter refers to the chapter of the volume. The number in parenthesis refers to the appropriate table.




Figure 8. Median School Years Completed, 1960




Figure 9. Percent of Persons In School 14 to 17 Years Old, 1960



The Range of Education, Income, and Labor Force Characteristics
(From Table 8)

Characteristic	High county	Low county
Median school years completed (persons 25 years old and over), 1960 ^a	Anderson 11.0	Lake 6.7
Median school years completed (persons 25 years old and over), 1950 ^a	Anderson 10.4	Fayette, Fentress, Hancock 6.3
Percent persons in school, 14 to 17 years old, 1960	Weakley 90.3	Lake 57.1
Median family income, 1959 ^b	Anderson \$5,866	Fayette \$1,363
Median non-white family income, 1959 ..	Anderson \$3,574	Fayette \$854
Percent of families with incomes under \$3,000, 1959	Fayette 42.1	Davidson 5.5
Percent of families with incomes \$10,000 and over, 1959	Anderson 15.8	Van Buren 0.0
Percent of males 14 years old and over in labor force, 1960 ^c	Montgomery 85.2	Fentress 51.8

Percent of females 14 years old and over in labor force, 1960 ^c	Bedford	39.8	Hancock	8.8
Ratio of employed males to employed females, 1960 ^d	Hancock	7.4	Fentress	1.4
Percent of married women, husbands present, in labor force, 1960 ^c	Moore	42.9	Hancock	10.2
Percent employed persons working in manufacturing industries, 1960	Anderson	44.2	Fayette	6.6
Percent employed persons working in white collar occupations, 1960	Anderson	46.5	Pickett	13.4
Percent of workers working outside county of residence, 1960	Union	40.5	Shelby	2.0
Percent of persons working 50-52 weeks in 1959	Montgomery	59.4	Van Buren	21.3

^a The median school years completed is that number which divides the population equally.

^b The median family income is the income figure which exceeds the income of one-half of the families and is smaller than the income of the other half of the families.

^c The labor force includes all of those persons employed and unemployed (actively looking for work) and members of the Armed Forces. See the census for a more complete explanation.

^d The number of employed males divided by the number of employed females.








Figure 10. Median Family Income, 1959

 More than \$3,500
 \$2,801 - \$3,500

 \$2,300 - \$2,800
 Less than \$2,300




Figure 11. Ratio of Employed Males To Employed Females, 1960

 Over 2.7
 2.3 - 2.7

 2.0 - 2.2
 Under 2.0

Table 8. Education, Income, and Labor Force (Part I)

COUNTY	Median school yrs. completed (persons 25 yrs. old and over), 1960	Median school yrs. completed (persons 25 yrs. old and over), 1950	Pct. persons in school, 14 to 17 yrs. old, 1960	Median family income, 1959	Median non-white family income, 1959	Pct. of families with incomes under \$1,000, 1959	Pct. of families with incomes \$10,000 and over, 1959	Pct. of males 14 yrs. old and over in labor force, 1960
Anderson	11.0	10.4	86.2	\$5,866	\$3,574	8.3	15.8	76.7
Bedford	8.7	8.5	80.9	3,659	2,131	11.3	5.5	76.5
Benton	8.4	8.1	90.0	3,092	16.3	2.4	70.2
Bledsoe	7.9	7.1	73.1	1,984	34.4	1.1	61.2
Blount	8.9	8.5	84.2	4,582	3,254	10.5	6.9	74.1
Bradley	8.5	8.1	74.7	3,995	2,296	9.1	6.2	76.8
Campbell	7.7	6.9	76.7	2,579	21.1	3.0	60.0
Cannon	8.2	7.7	78.2	2,740	16.9	2.9	73.6
Carroll	8.4	8.3	88.6	2,575	1,225	22.3	3.5	68.0
Carter	8.5	8.3	83.8	3,847	12.1	4.8	68.6
Cheatham	8.3	7.1	75.4	3,527	13.8	3.3	71.6
Chester	8.3	8.2	79.4	2,553	1,545	16.3	2.6	63.8
Claiborne	7.6	6.8	71.1	1,865	27.7	2.2	65.2
Clay	7.7	7.2	76.3	1,704	31.8	2.0	68.7
Cocke	7.9	7.1	71.7	2,504	22.1	3.5	72.0
Coffee	8.9	8.3	87.2	4,121	2,586	12.1	7.6	76.1
Crockett	8.4	8.2	82.6	2,341	1,289	16.0	2.6	68.7
Cumberland	8.1	7.6	59.7	2,386	21.7	3.6	62.3
Davidson	10.3	9.4	87.3	5,332	2,788	5.5	13.1	75.5
Decatur	8.3	7.9	84.8	2,269	23.8	3.0	64.0
De Kalb	8.3	7.8	69.1	2,309	22.0	1.7	70.2
Dickson	8.4	8.2	82.3	3,285	1,435	14.4	3.8	70.3
Dyer	8.3	8.0	81.0	2,847	1,429	18.3	5.2	71.9
Fayette	7.0	6.3	72.6	1,363	854	42.1	1.6	69.2
Fentress	7.3	6.3	62.5	1,942	32.0	1.4	51.8
Franklin	8.7	8.2	83.1	3,462	2,120	13.6	5.8	70.3
Gibson	8.6	8.5	83.9	2,765	1,507	16.4	3.0	71.0
Giles	8.5	8.3	83.9	2,678	1,218	21.4	3.9	73.1
Grainger	7.4	6.9	67.1	2,473	21.0	1.1	76.9
Greene	8.5	8.2	75.9	3,128	2,122	16.3	3.7	77.1
Grundy	8.0	7.4	72.1	2,221	25.5	2.6	68.5

Table 8 (Cont'd.). Education, Income, and Labor Force (Part I)

COUNTY	Median school yrs. completed (persons 25 yrs. old and over), 1960	Median school yrs. completed (persons 25 yrs. old and over), 1950	Pct. persons in school, 14 to 17 yrs. old, 1960	Median family income, 1959	Median non-white family income, 1959	Pct. of families with incomes under \$1,000, 1959	Pct. of families with incomes \$10,000 and over, 1959	Pct. of males 14 yrs. old and over in labor force, 1960
Hamblen	8.7	8.2	76.9	3,932	2,759	10.5	5.8	79.7
Hamilton	9.9	8.9	86.0	5,047	2,834	7.0	11.5	76.9
Hancock	7.2	6.3	70.8	1,442	37.0	1.2	69.1
Hardeman	8.1	7.5	73.6	1,906	939	31.5	3.9	60.6
Hardin	8.3	8.0	78.1	2,350	1,324	22.4	2.6	68.5
Hawkins	8.2	7.7	74.7	2,887	1,935	20.4	3.2	72.4
Haywood	7.6	6.9	76.6	1,773	1,101	30.1	3.5	70.3
Henderson	8.3	7.9	82.6	2,360	1,581	20.7	2.8	70.8
Henry	8.7	8.4	90.2	3,134	1,677	16.2	4.9	70.0
Hickman	8.2	7.9	82.2	2,904	17.2	1.0	74.5
Houston	8.3	7.7	84.5	2,548	24.1	3.1	66.1
Humphreys	8.4	8.1	87.3	3,375	14.7	2.3	72.0
Jackson	7.6	6.8	63.6	1,684	32.4	1.8	71.4
Jefferson	8.5	8.1	74.4	3,395	12.5	4.0	74.4
Johnson	8.0	7.5	66.2	1,784	29.0	2.2	62.9
Knox	10.0	8.9	85.2	4,816	2,689	6.8	10.2	73.4
Lake	6.7	6.4	57.1	1,916	1,195	27.3	4.3	75.6
Lauderdale	7.7	7.1	79.7	1,847	1,144	30.5	3.1	68.0
Lawrence	8.2	7.9	78.8	3,178	14.6	3.2	70.8
Lewis	7.9	7.8	83.9	2,814	15.2	1.8	69.4
Lincoln	8.5	8.2	82.3	3,049	1,619	17.8	5.0	75.2
Loudon	8.3	7.7	84.4	3,983	10.9	4.8	72.4
McMinn	8.4	8.1	79.4	3,399	2,076	12.7	5.3	73.0
McNairy	8.4	8.0	87.6	2,012	992	26.7	1.6	65.6
Macon	7.3	6.7	79.2	2,055	25.3	2.4	74.2
Madison	8.9	8.6	86.8	3,509	1,689	13.7	6.7	73.4
Marion	8.1	7.6	70.6	3,414	2,321	14.9	4.2	70.2
Marshall	8.8	8.6	82.7	3,385	1,884	14.7	4.0	77.9
Maury	8.7	8.4	84.4	3,892	2,035	12.5	5.8	78.9
Meigs	8.0	7.6	69.8	1,956	26.2	2.2	66.6
Monroe	8.0	7.3	77.5	2,745	21.1	3.7	70.0

Montgomery	9.4	8.5	77.7	3,837	2,359	8.9	6.2	85.2
Moore	8.5	8.2	88.8	3,069	14.7	1.2	71.3
Morgan	8.0	6.9	77.3	2,308	24.5	1.6	53.3
Obion	8.8	8.6	88.0	3,232	1,829	13.7	4.2	75.8
Overton	7.6	6.9	66.4	2,019	29.0	2.0	67.5
Perry	8.2	7.6	85.3	2,207	22.2	2.2	67.7
Pickett	7.7	6.9	66.0	2,099	27.7	2.2	66.0
Polk	7.6	6.8	75.2	3,770	15.9	4.8	68.9
Putnam	8.2	7.8	78.2	2,839	17.4	3.8	64.3
Rhea	8.4	8.3	79.2	2,898	16.9	2.9	69.0
Roane	8.5	8.0	81.6	4,482	2,510	10.9	6.5	74.1
Robertson	8.5	8.1	81.5	3,229	1,705	12.1	4.2	79.0
Rutherford	9.7	8.6	84.5	3,857	1,993	9.3	6.5	74.0
Scott	7.4	6.4	80.1	2,286	20.1	2.6	55.4
Sequatchie	8.3	7.5	74.2	3,169	15.3	3.2	69.6
Sevier	8.3	7.7	70.0	2,890	17.0	3.3	74.6
Shelby	10.5	9.5	86.2	4,903	2,666	7.2	11.1	78.9
Smith	8.3	8.1	79.6	2,483	18.9	2.5	73.2
Stewart	8.2	7.6	82.1	2,179	23.0	2.0	69.0
Sullivan	9.0	8.6	81.9	5,115	2,665	8.5	10.7	77.4
Sumner	8.3	8.1	79.1	3,495	1,863	11.7	6.1	75.5
Tipton	8.0	7.5	77.8	2,610	1,150	24.0	3.8	72.4
Trousdale	7.8	8.0	75.3	2,598	19.8	1.6	75.0
Unicoi	8.5	8.1	85.3	3,836	12.5	3.7	74.1
Union	7.5	6.8	72.7	2,413	22.7	2.1	70.0
Van Buren	7.8	7.0	75.2	2,149	22.8	0	63.1
Warren	8.6	8.3	84.5	2,913	1,460	17.8	2.9	73.0
Washington	8.9	8.4	81.0	4,102	2,463	10.2	8.0	65.9
Wayne	8.1	7.2	75.1	2,350	25.1	3.1	69.3
Weakley	8.5	8.3	90.3	2,758	1,648	16.6	3.0	68.2
White	8.1	7.7	75.4	2,438	21.5	2.6	67.8
Williamson	8.6	8.1	82.7	3,614	2,128	11.5	7.2	75.9
Wilson	8.6	8.4	80.5	3,530	2,072	13.6	5.4	76.0
State	8.8	8.4	82.0	3,949	2,292	12.2	7.8	74.0
U. S.	10.6	9.3	87.4	5,660	\$3,161	5.6	15.1	77.4

Table 8 (Cont'd.). Education, Income, and Labor Force (Part I)

COUNTY	Median school yrs. completed (persons 25 yrs. old and over), 1960	Median school yrs. completed (persons 25 yrs. old and over), 1950	Pct. persons in school, 14 to 17 yrs. old, 1960	Median family income, 1959	Median non-white family income, 1959	Pct. of families with incomes under \$1,000, 1959	Pct. of families with incomes \$10,000 and over, 1959	Pct. of males 14 yrs. old and over in labor force, 1960
References*	44C(35) IC(76)	1950 Census P-B 42(10-12) IC(76)	44C(35) IC(74)	44C(66, 86) IC(106)	44C(88) IC(95)	44C(36) IC(106)	44C(36) IC(106)	44C(70, 83) IC(82)

* Reference is to U. S. Census of Population, 1960, Volume 1, unless otherwise indicated. The first number refers to the area (1 to U. S., 44 to Tenn.),

while the letter refers to the chapter of the volume. The number in parenthesis refers to the appropriate table.

Table 8 (Cont'd.). Education, Income, and Labor Force (Part II)

COUNTY	Pct. of females 14 yrs. old and over in labor force, 1960	Ratio of employed males to employed females, 1960	Pct. of married women, husbands present, in labor force, 1960	Pct. employed persons working in mfg. industries, 1960	Pct. employed persons working in white collar occupations, 1960	Pct. of workers working outside co. of res., 1960	Pct. of persons working 50-52 wks. in 1959
Anderson	29.9	2.4	27.0	44.2	46.5	14.6	58.3
Bedford	39.8	1.9	41.6	35.0	27.5	9.0	55.1
Benton	30.3	2.2	31.9	24.8	24.1	25.2	40.3
Bledsoe	29.5	2.3	32.9	34.4	18.7	20.1	30.6
Blount	24.0	2.1	22.6	36.6	32.7	18.3	53.9
Bradley	35.6	2.0	36.1	43.4	31.1	11.6	50.4
Campbell	26.5	2.0	26.6	26.5	28.7	12.3	38.5
Cannon	35.7	2.1	39.5	34.3	17.3	14.2	47.5
Carroll	32.8	1.9	36.1	28.7	24.3	8.4	42.9
Carter	26.8	2.4	27.2	40.4	32.4	21.9	49.2
Cheatham	24.6	3.0	26.3	24.1	23.4	39.8	50.4
Chester	32.2	1.8	34.3	21.9	22.9	16.1	30.8
Claiborne	18.8	3.4	17.8	11.0	24.7	14.9	36.0
Clay	25.9	3.6	26.8	22.2	16.9	11.9	31.7
Cocke	21.8	3.2	21.3	30.0	22.1	14.1	47.4
Coffee	31.3	2.3	31.3	22.6	35.5	6.3	53.7

Crockett	22.7	2.9	24.5	13.7	20.1	9.2	25.1
Cumberland	22.9	2.7	22.4	22.0	27.8	12.9	36.7
Davidson	39.1	1.7	36.2	23.1	45.9	3.1	58.8
Decatur	31.0	2.0	31.6	27.5	24.8	9.7	40.5
De Kalb	37.5	1.8	41.0	31.1	18.6	13.1	40.3
Dickson	30.8	2.1	32.1	30.7	26.7	23.5	50.0
Dyer	27.7	2.4	28.4	19.2	27.1	5.5	39.2
Fayette	20.5	3.3	19.3	6.6	15.0	14.2	28.5
Fentress	32.0	1.4	35.7	39.9	22.0	7.3	32.9
Franklin	32.2	2.1	32.0	22.5	28.4	16.8	48.1
Gibson	33.2	2.1	34.9	21.9	28.1	9.8	41.6
Giles	31.2	2.1	34.1	23.2	24.2	9.6	51.1
Grainger	20.1	3.8	19.7	23.9	15.6	36.2	45.3
Greene	29.4	2.7	29.3	24.8	24.6	7.4	44.9
Grundy	18.8	3.0	21.4	26.6	25.6	24.9	31.4
Hamblen	31.2	2.5	30.0	39.1	27.8	9.4	55.1
Hamilton	36.2	1.8	33.8	31.3	40.0	4.4	56.9
Hancock	8.8	7.4	10.2	9.4	13.5	11.5	39.2
Hardeman	19.2	2.9	24.4	18.4	22.2	6.4	37.2
Hardin	33.7	2.0	35.2	30.8	22.7	10.5	37.0
Hawkins	18.4	3.7	15.4	25.3	23.4	33.8	50.4
Haywood	29.9	2.2	31.9	7.9	18.0	4.9	29.2
Henderson	31.3	2.2	33.8	26.9	21.7	9.3	33.1
Henry	33.4	1.9	34.9	25.5	31.0	6.2	53.8
Hickman	25.3	3.0	27.7	36.7	19.3	17.7	43.2
Houston	22.4	3.0	25.0	26.1	32.4	20.6	38.2
Humphreys	27.0	2.6	27.1	23.1	24.1	9.1	44.4
Jackson	21.9	3.2	23.8	17.6	17.9	13.8	33.8
Jefferson	27.0	2.8	24.9	29.9	24.6	24.1	47.8
Johnson	14.8	4.1	13.7	12.8	21.5	13.8	37.9
Knox	34.4	1.8	32.4	25.9	42.9	9.2	55.3
Lake	21.1	3.7	22.6	9.7	22.3	4.1	21.9
Lauderdale	24.2	2.9	24.1	7.6	23.8	5.8	25.6
Lawrence	25.1	2.7	23.6	37.0	24.5	9.7	40.2
Lewis	27.6	2.5	29.0	36.3	27.8	11.8	40.7
Lincoln	35.5	2.0	37.8	24.5	25.5	14.2	47.9
Loudon	31.6	2.1	32.7	41.5	26.8	23.2	52.2
McMinn	32.0	2.1	33.4	37.9	28.5	11.2	45.9

Table 8 (Cont'd.). Education, Income, and Labor Force (Part II)

COUNTY	Pct. of females 14 yrs. old and over in labor force, 1960	Ratio of employed males to employed females, 1960	Pct. of married women, husbands present, in labor force, 1960	Pct. employed persons working in mfg. industries, 1960	Pct. employed persons working in white collar occupations, 1960	Pct. of workers working outside co. of res., 1960	Pct. of persons working 50-52 wks. in 1959
McNairy	29.3	2.1	33.7	32.3	22.3	12.8	30.0
Macon	33.5	2.4	35.5	23.1	18.9	9.8	45.4
Madison	34.8	1.9	34.4	18.3	36.1	4.7	48.3
Marion	22.9	2.8	21.0	27.2	26.2	29.7	44.1
Marshall	29.1	2.5	29.4	32.4	27.7	7.1	53.8
Maury	29.8	2.5	28.5	27.0	28.5	4.9	54.1
Meigs	21.9	3.1	19.0	22.4	17.3	33.7	33.0
Monroe	27.8	2.5	29.3	31.7	22.8	19.1	43.4
Montgomery	31.3	1.9	28.7	18.1	34.5	16.6	59.4
Moore	39.1	1.8	42.9	35.9	16.9	27.2	48.2
Morgan	17.9	3.2	18.7	28.8	24.2	32.6	35.2
Obion	34.2	2.0	34.5	22.2	27.7	12.8	47.8
Overton	31.2	2.0	34.1	32.2	19.5	10.6	36.8
Perry	32.8	2.0	38.1	25.7	25.0	15.6	32.6
Pickett	37.1	1.8	39.6	38.9	13.4	9.9	32.8
Polk	23.3	2.9	24.5	37.3	23.6	31.8	48.8
Putnam	32.9	1.9	35.5	27.2	30.2	7.0	37.4
Rhea	33.4	2.0	35.2	31.9	25.5	18.0	37.3
Roane	32.0	2.0	30.7	39.8	28.3	15.3	50.9
Robertson	28.7	2.7	28.5	23.2	24.7	18.0	50.9
Rutherford	32.4	1.9	30.4	17.0	36.3	11.2	57.5
Scott	20.7	2.4	21.1	27.9	26.3	7.9	35.1
Sequatchie	34.0	1.9	35.0	41.5	20.6	23.9	37.7
Sevier	27.2	2.7	25.8	23.1	26.2	24.9	38.8
Shelby	38.0	1.7	34.5	20.2	42.2	2.0	57.3
Smith	26.8	2.6	26.2	19.5	22.3	11.8	43.9
Stewart	19.6	3.5	19.4	17.1	25.2	23.8	43.0
Sullivan	31.0	2.2	28.0	38.7	36.7	13.4	57.3
Sumner	34.4	2.1	34.6	28.9	26.2	20.1	50.3
Tipton	25.3	2.8	26.5	11.5	24.3	17.7	32.1

Trousdale	34.4	2.1	36.9	19.8	18.5	9.2	56.1
Unicoi	28.6	2.4	28.5	22.1	26.5	15.1	45.1
Union	23.1	2.9	24.9	29.8	19.1	40.5	38.3
Van Buren	28.1	2.3	31.5	35.4	16.7	26.4	21.3
Warren	33.3	2.2	33.1	30.5	24.3	8.6	47.0
Washington	30.4	2.2	27.6	25.4	36.8	16.6	51.7
Wayne	27.4	2.8	27.1	38.5	22.9	19.4	38.3
Weakley	33.2	2.0	34.6	25.5	25.5	10.5	41.4
White	32.1	1.9	35.0	36.1	22.5	7.7	38.6
Williamson	30.7	2.3	31.0	20.0	29.1	31.6	51.2
Wilson	34.0	2.1	35.1	25.0	28.7	20.9	54.7
State	32.8	2.0	31.5	26.0	34.8	9.5	51.1
U. S.	34.5	2.1	30.7	27.1	41.1	13.9	56.8
References*	44C(70, 83) 1C(106)	44C(83) 1C(82)	44C(36) 1C(106)	44C(36) 1C(106)	44C(36) 1C(106)	44C(82) 1C(106)	44C(36) 1C(106)

* Reference is to U. S. Census of Population, 1960, Volume 1, unless otherwise indicated. The first number refers to the area (1 to U. S., 44 to Tenn.).

while the letter refers to the chapter of the volume. The number in parenthesis refers to the appropriate table.




Figure 12. Percent Employed Persons Working In Manufacturing Industries, 1960

Over 32.3%
26.1 - 32.3%

22.1 - 26.0%
Under 22.1%




Figure 13. Percent Employed Persons Working In White Collar Occupations, 1960

Over 28.2%
24.7 - 28.2%

22.1 - 24.6%
Under 22.1%

PART II—AGRICULTURE

PART II—AGRICULTURE
The Range of Number and Size of Farms
(From Table 9)

Characteristic	High county	Low county
Number of farms, 1954 ^a	Greene 5955	Sequatchie 433
Number of farms, 1964 ^b	Greene 4737	Lake 222
Percentage change in number of farms, 1959-1964 ^c	Trousdale 6.5	Lake —47.5
Average size of farms, 1964 (a.) ^d	Lake 387.2	Unicoi 46.3
Percentage change in average size of farms, 1959-1964	Scott 94.2	Robertson —6.2
Percentage of farms under 140 a., 1964	Carter 94.0	Lake 40.1
Percentage change in number of farms under 140 a., 1959-1964	Trousdale 6.4	Lake —66.9
Percentage of farms 140-219 a., 1964 ...	Hickman 22.9	Carter 3.5
Percentage change in number of farms 140-219 a., 1959-1964	Grundy 17.6	Houston —53.1
Percentage of farms 220-499 a., 1964 ...	Perry 26.8	Unicoi 1.5
Percentage change in number of farms 220-499 a., 1959-1964	Crockett 42.6	Scott —26.7
Percentage of farms 500-999 a., 1964 ...	Lake 15.8	Unicoi, Union 0.2
Change in number of farms 500-999 a., 1959-1964 ^e	Gibson 33	Wayne, Crockett —14
Percentage of farms 1,000 a. and over, 1964	Lake 9.9	Four counties 0.0
Change in number of farms 1,000 a. and over, 1959-1964 ^e	Fayette 24	Cocke —6

^a "Farm" as used in the 1954 Census of Agriculture included places with sales of agricultural products of at least \$150 for places under 3 acres or production of at least \$150 of agricultural products for places of 3 acres or more.

^b "Farm" as used in the 1964 Census of Agriculture included places with estimated sales of agricultural products of at least \$250 for places under 10 acres or sales of at least \$50 for places of 10 acres or larger.

^c The percent change in number of farms is the number reported in 1964 less the number reported in 1959 with the difference divided by the number reported in 1959. The result is then multiplied by 100.

^d The average used here was the mean which is the total number of acres in farms divided by the total number of farms.

^e The change in number of farms rather than percent change is used here because the number of farms in this size range is too small for percentages to be meaningful.

Table 9. Number and Size of Farms (Part I)

COUNTY	No. of farms, 1954	No. of farms, 1964	Pct. change in no. of farms, 1959-1964	Av. size of farms, 1964 (a.)	Pct. change in av. size of farms, 1959-1964	Pct. of farms under 140 a., 1964	Pct. change in no. of farms under 140 a., 1959-1964
Anderson	1,555	760	-18.8	80.8	17.8	87.0	-21.4
Bedford	2,299	1,589	-15.7	153.6	9.6	61.3	-18.0
Benton	1,035	712	-7.0	147.1	7.4	63.5	-12.2
Bledsoe	827	518	-30.3	231.0	49.1	60.6	-37.1
Blount	2,847	1,501	-29.9	80.7	6.9	73.4	-30.6
Bradley	1,430	946	-18.2	126.5	8.2	71.3	-18.5
Campbell	1,467	800	-20.0	67.7	4.8	90.5	-21.0
Cannon	1,503	1,028	-16.4	131.7	13.0	67.4	-20.4
Carroll	3,205	2,044	-15.7	123.2	16.4	71.8	-20.6
Carter	2,446	1,323	-27.5	48.5	19.5	94.0	-28.8
Cheatham	1,232	866	-13.9	114.2	2.1	74.5	-14.8
Chester	1,399	932	-11.0	129.2	11.4	68.9	-13.6
Claiborne	2,622	2,404	-4.5	67.9	1.8	89.0	-5.5
Clay	1,089	929	-4.0	115.6	4.0	73.2	-6.8
Cocke	2,451	1,662	-10.0	74.1	-5.0	87.2	-9.4
Coffee	1,698	1,371	-6.2	127.4	3.9	70.6	-6.7
Crockett	2,607	1,517	-25.0	110.3	40.5	79.1	-31.6
Cumberland	1,549	982	-22.3	121.3	29.3	80.0	-25.9
Davidson	2,374	1,180	-25.6	112.4	10.0	76.3	-28.9
Decatur	1,046	697	-23.1	165.9	3.0	57.5	-32.4
De Kalb	1,527	1,235	-18.0	109.0	15.3	74.4	-21.5
Dickson	1,898	1,367	-8.0	146.0	11.8	65.7	-13.3
Dyer	2,653	1,452	-22.5	168.8	20.6	66.6	-28.9
Fayette	4,188	2,495	-27.7	152.7	40.2	76.8	-33.1

Fentress	1,464	926	— 9.0	133.5	28.5	78.4	—12.3
Franklin	2,262	1,583	—19.4	120.9	20.7	74.4	—23.2
Gibson	4,880	3,037	—23.6	109.0	25.7	78.4	—29.4
Giles	3,301	2,297	—10.3	137.3	11.4	68.7	—13.6
Grainger	2,249	1,909	2.5	70.8	— 2.9	87.5	1.9
Greene	5,955	4,737	— 2.3	65.1	— 1.8	89.2	— 3.1
Grundy	596	454	— 8.8	103.9	14.9	80.0	—12.7
Hamblen	1,558	1,197	—10.3	66.2	3.1	88.0	—10.4
Hamilton	1,908	793	—15.4	111.5	9.2	79.4	—16.8
Hancock	1,748	1,328	— 9.4	82.5	6.2	82.2	—13.5
Hardeman	2,710	1,581	—16.2	171.0	17.0	66.8	—20.5
Hardin	2,008	1,047	—20.7	154.3	3.4	69.5	—21.3
Hawkins	3,646	2,690	—13.7	80.2	13.8	85.5	—16.7
Haywood	4,294	2,432	—24.2	102.9	24.0	82.9	—27.5
Henderson	2,423	1,483	—17.5	134.4	8.0	64.7	—21.3
Henry	2,503	1,569	—12.8	150.3	7.2	59.1	—15.3
Hickman	1,407	932	—11.8	190.5	15.0	49.7	—20.9
Houston	622	376	—14.0	159.6	2.3	60.9	—14.9
Humphreys	1,040	701	— 9.7	217.3	13.4	53.6	—12.8
Jackson	1,884	1,505	— 2.8	104.5	— 0.6	76.4	— 3.4
Jefferson	2,084	1,753	2.0	75.8	— 4.8	85.8	2.6
Johnson	1,807	1,561	— 7.1	68.6	11.4	90.0	— 7.9
Knox	3,599	1,803	—24.1	75.3	7.4	87.5	—26.1
Lake	760	222	—47.5	387.2	86.9	40.1	—66.9
Lauderdale	3,368	1,872	—23.8	121.7	32.6	79.9	—27.6
Lawrence	3,200	2,142	—10.8	111.2	5.3	77.2	—13.0
Lewis	529	337	—20.5	164.2	14.3	62.3	—27.3
Lincoln	3,407	2,301	—17.6	130.2	11.5	68.6	— 1.0
Loudon	1,400	1,105	— 1.3	101.9	— 0.6	78.4	—18.5
McMinn	2,016	1,504	—15.9	117.5	9.9	74.1	—25.4
McNairy	2,599	1,491	—20.1	130.2	13.5	68.3	—10.8
Macon	2,409	1,759	— 9.0	93.4	6.0	81.2	—29.1
Madison	3,594	2,047	—24.2	122.9	25.9	75.6	—27.8
Marion	894	513	—24.6	136.1	11.7	70.8	—18.4
Marshall	1,887	1,392	—14.4	143.0	10.8	64.2	—15.1
Maury	3,010	2,035	—10.4	146.9	9.0	64.5	—22.9
Meigs	684	464	—13.4	166.6	18.1	60.3	—18.7
Monroe	2,540	1,633	—16.6	102.3	9.9	78.4	—17.7
Montgomery	2,475	1,676	—13.7	135.5	10.5	67.3	— 7.1
Moore	764	558	— 3.8	112.7	— 0.3	70.6	—31.8
Morgan	908	559	—27.0	123.9	21.2	74.8	—35.2
Obion	2,488	1,533	—25.3	184.5	32.4	57.7	—32.3
Overton	2,194	1,207	—28.0	120.1	23.6	76.6	—19.8

Table 9 (Cont'd). Number and Size of Farms (Part I)

COUNTY	No. of farms, 1954	No. of farms, 1964	Pct. change in no. of farms, 1959-1964	Av. size of farms, 1964 (a.)	Pct. change in av. size of farms, 1959-1964	Pct. of farms under 140 a., 1964	Pct. change in no. of farms under 140 a., 1959-1964
Perry	715	473	-16.6	259.9	13.6	45.5	-24.1
Pickett	778	560	-21.3	90.7	13.2	82.1	-34.3
Polk	770	307	-30.2	146.9	12.7	66.8	-6.7
Putnam	2,544	1,870	-6.4	87.4	2.3	92.8	-6.7
Rhea	1,005	524	-23.9	131.0	8.7	72.0	-26.8
Roane	1,306	893	-10.0	104.1	8.4	77.7	-11.1
Robertson	3,002	2,104	1.8	126.2	-6.2	70.0	4.5
Rutherford	3,441	2,176	-15.2	145.2	14.6	65.5	-19.3
Scott	1,016	428	-39.6	163.9	94.2	79.9	-42.9
Sequatchie	433	231	-25.5	169.7	33.9	63.6	-36.1
Sevier	2,577	2,286	-2.9	74.8	1.4	87.2	-2.4
Shelby	5,145	2,052	-32.1	133.2	37.0	80.4	-35.9
Smith	2,505	1,742	-16.7	109.1	14.4	74.4	-21.7
Stewart	1,134	688	-20.2	157.7	4.2	64.8	-18.9
Sullivan	3,499	2,390	-7.2	56.9	0.4	91.2	-7.9
Sumner	3,522	2,757	-7.4	95.8	5.0	81.2	-9.1
Tipton	3,906	2,146	-22.3	109.3	25.2	78.5	-26.9
Trousdale	847	690	6.5	94.1	-5.4	77.5	6.4
Unicoi	954	668	-9.9	46.3	-1.3	93.0	-10.3
Union	1,426	994	-14.5	67.1	0.9	90.7	-14.1
Van Buren	479	299	-29.0	136.0	25.3	66.9	-38.3
Warren	2,253	1,713	-6.3	114.5	-0.3	74.1	-6.3
Washington	3,541	2,471	-17.8	55.5	6.5	91.8	-18.1
Wayne	1,447	947	-16.8	200.2	10.9	54.2	-18.6
Weakley	3,402	2,199	-17.6	117.6	12.0	72.6	-21.6
White	1,822	1,479	-8.9	116.9	19.9	78.4	-10.1
Williamson	2,669	1,772	-10.8	150.5	8.0	64.4	-13.1
Wilson	2,990	2,232	-12.0	125.4	8.9	67.8	-16.3
State	203,149	133,445	-15.4	114.4	12.2	76.2	-18.2
United States	4,782,416						
References ^a	a.						

^a 1964 Census of Agriculture, Preliminary Reports unless otherwise indicated.

^a 1954 Census of Agriculture, Volume I, Part 20 (Tennessee), County Table 1, and Volume II, General Report, Table 1.




Figure 14. Percent change in number of farms, 1959-1964.




Figure 15. Percent change in average size of farms, 1959-1964.



Table 9 (Cont'd). Number and Size of Farms (Part II)

COUNTY	Pct. of farms 140-219 a., 1964	Pct. change in no. of farms 140-219 a., 1959-1964	Pct. of farms 220-499 a., 1964	Pct. change in no. of farms 220-499 a., 1959-1964	Pct. of farms 500-999 a., 1964	Change in no. of farms 500-999 a., 1959-1964	Pct. of farms 1,000 a. and over, 1964	Change in no. of farms 1,000 a. and over, 1959-1964
Anderson	8.3	5.0	3.3	— 7.4	1.2	2	0.3	1
Bedford	18.3	—16.9	17.2	— 9.3	3.0	3	0.3	3
Benton	18.0	— 3.0	15.6	6.7	2.2	6	0.7	0
Bledsoe	13.3	—40.0	19.5	16.1	4.8	— 6	1.7	— 2
Blount	7.1	—30.1	5.7	—19.0	0.9	— 5	0.3	1
Bradley	15.1	—18.3	11.2	—17.8	1.5	— 6	1.0	4
Campbell	6.0	2.1	2.9	—25.8	0.3	— 2	0.4	1
Cannon	19.0	— 4.9	11.1	—14.9	1.9	1	0.6	5
Carroll	14.0	—15.8	12.3	24.1	1.6	5	0.2	1
Carter	3.5	—11.5	1.9	0.0	0.5	4	0.2	1
Cheatham	13.5	— 7.9	9.7	—16.8	1.8	0	0.5	— 1
Chester	17.0	—17.3	11.5	9.2	2.5	8	0.2	2
Claiborne	6.7	3.9	3.5	— 3.4	0.7	11	0.1	— 4
Clay	13.1	0.0	11.4	9.3	1.7	2	0.5	0
Cocke	7.3	—10.3	4.3	—24.5	1.0	8	0.2	— 6
Coffee	14.1	—11.9	12.3	0.6	2.4	0	0.7	4
Crockett	9.6	— 7.6	8.6	42.6	2.0	—14	0.7	6
Cumberland	7.8	—12.5	7.8	— 1.3	3.2	— 2	1.1	7
Davidson	10.8	—17.4	9.7	0.0	2.5	—12	0.7	— 1
Decatur	20.8	—12.7	16.8	—19.9	3.6	— 7	1.3	0
De Kalb	15.1	—12.3	9.0	— 1.8	1.0	3	0.6	5
Dickson	17.4	— 1.7	14.0	11.6	1.8	— 2	1.0	5
Dyer	11.2	—23.9	15.8	4.1	5.0	17	1.4	— 4
Fayette	8.1	— 8.6	8.4	—13.9	4.0	21	2.7	24
Fentress	9.4	—13.0	7.8	2.9	2.6	17	1.8	4
Franklin	13.6	—10.4	9.4	— 2.6	2.0	0	0.7	3
Gibson	10.2	— 9.1	8.6	23.0	2.3	33	0.5	5
Giles	16.3	— 8.3	11.5	0.4	3.0	15	0.5	2
Grainger	7.9	17.1	3.8	—16.3	0.6	6	0.2	1
Greene	6.5	— 3.4	3.9	22.0	0.3	1	0.1	— 1
Grundy	8.8	17.6	7.7	— 2.8	2.9	5	0.7	— 1
Hamblen	6.9	—12.6	4.2	—12.3	0.8	2	0.1	1

Table 9 (Cont'd). Number and Size of Farms (Part II)

COUNTY	Pct. of farms 140-219 a., 1964	Pct. change in no. of farms 140-219 a., 1959-1964	Pct. of farms 220-499 a., 1964	Pct. change in no. of farms 220-499 a., 1959-1964	Pct. of farms 500-999 a., 1964	Change in no. of farms 500-999 a., 1959-1964	Pct. of farms 1,000 a. and over, 1964	Change in no. of farms 1,000 a. and over, 1959-1964
Pickett	13.4	-15.2	6.1	3.0	1.6	4	0.2	- 1
Polk	15.2	-27.3	11.4	-18.6	5.5	1	0.7	- 1
Putnam	10.3	-10.2	5.6	0.0	1.0	6	0.2	0
Rhea	13.0	-23.6	10.7	-12.5	3.4	1	1.0	1
Rhoane	11.5	- 9.6	9.2	- 2.4	1.0	- 2	0.6	3
Robertson	15.0	- 9.5	12.8	5.1	1.8	- 7	0.4	0
Rutherford	16.5	-12.9	14.3	- 2.2	3.1	4	0.7	6
Scott	10.0	-24.1	7.7	-26.7	0.9	- 2	1.9	3
Sequatchie	15.6	2.9	13.0	- 3.2	5.6	2	2.2	2
Sevier	7.8	-10.6	4.4	- 9.0	0.5	- 1	0.1	0
Shelby	6.3	-19.3	7.9	- 8.9	3.1	- 8	2.3	10
Smith	15.8	1.8	8.6	- 3.2	1.0	7	0.2	3
Stewart	14.5	-40.5	16.7	7.5	2.9	- 7	1.0	- 3
Sullivan	4.8	0.0	3.4	6.5	0.6	2	0.0	- 4
Sumner	10.2	- 1.8	7.2	5.3	1.0	- 3	0.4	3
Tipton	8.1	-15.1	9.4	0.0	2.9	22	1.1	12
Trousdale	12.8	- 5.4	8.1	36.6	1.4	- 1	0.1	1
Unicoi	5.4	0.0	1.5	- 9.1	0.2	- 1	0.0	0
Union	5.9	-16.9	3.0	-15.8	0.2	- 1	0.1	0
Van Buren	13.4	- 4.8	16.4	6.5	3.3	2	0.0	- 1
Warren	13.8	- 4.8	9.9	- 7.6	1.8	- 4	0.5	0
Washington	4.9	-18.1	2.6	-13.3	0.5	4	0.1	1
Wayne	17.5	-26.9	21.8	- 3.3	4.9	-14	1.7	8
Weakley	15.0	-15.4	10.5	3.1	1.8	23	0.2	1
White	11.8	- 8.4	7.4	- 6.8	2.1	10	0.3	0
Williamson	15.9	-13.0	15.2	- 1.1	3.5	- 4	1.0	7
Wilson	17.9	- 4.3	12.3	2.6	1.7	0	0.2	1
State	11.6	-10.9	9.4	- 0.8	2.1	307	0.7	155
References*								

* 1964 Census of Agriculture, Preliminary Reports.

Characteristic	High county	Low county
Average age of farm operators, 1964 ^a . . .	Knox 56.3	Lawrence 49.8
Percentage of farm tenancy, 1964 ^b	Haywood 58.6	Morgan 1.6
Change in percentage of farms operated by tenants, 1959-1964	Robertson 9.2	Lake -20.9
Percentage of farm operators residing off farm operated, 1964	Lake 44.1	Morgan 1.8
Change in percentage of farm operators residing off farm operated, 1959-1964	Lake 24.0	Perry -2.9
Percentage of farm operators working off farm operated 100 days or more, 1964 ^c	Davidson 55.5	Haywood 14.7
Change in percentage of farm operators working off farm operated 100 days or more, 1959-1964	Scott 8.4	Carter -7.0
Percentage of farm operators with 4 years of high school completed or more, 1964	Polk 52.4	Macon 8.6
Percentage of persons in farm-operator households, 25-34 years of age, with 4 years of high school completed or more, 1964	Sequatchie 77.6	Scott 14.4

^a The term "farm operator" is used in the Census to designate a person who operates a farm, either doing the work himself or directly supervising the work. The number of farm operators is considered to be the same as the number of farms. The average used here is the mean which is the sum of the ages of the farm operators divided by the number of operators.

^b Tenants are defined as those farm operators who rent from others or work on shares for others all of the land they operate. In the

Census they are further classified on the basis of rental arrangements in regard to the payment of cash rent, sharing of crops, sharing of livestock or livestock products, and the furnishing of work power by the landlords.

^c Off-farm work was defined to include work on someone else's farm for pay as well as all types of nonfarm jobs, businesses, and professions, whether the work was done on the farm premises or elsewhere. Exchange work was not included.




Figure 16. Percent of farms operated by tenants, 1964.






Figure 17. Percent of farm operators working off farm operated 100 days or more, 1964.





Table 10. Farm Operators

COUNTY	Av. age of farm operators, 1964	Pct. of farm tenancy, 1964	Change in pct. of farm operated by tenants, 1959-1964	Pct. of farm operators residing off farm operated, 1964	Change in pct. of farm operators residing off farm operated, 1959-1964	Pct. of farm operators working off farm operated 100 days or more, 1964	Change in pct. of farm operators working off farm operated 100 days or more, 1959-1964	Pct. of farm operators with 4 years of high school com- pleted or more, 1964	Pct. of persons in farm-operator households, 25-34 years of age, with 4 years of high school completed or more, 1964
Anderson	54.9	4.9	— 2.6	6.4	0.7	51.1	0.1	30.7	43.5
Bedford	54.3	11.0	— 3.7	6.2	0.4	38.1	2.1	31.6	70.3
Benton	52.8	8.6	— 0.8	6.9	3.7	38.6	— 0.7	12.2	30.0
Bledsoe	53.2	4.8	— 1.9	7.1	1.7	39.0	2.5	28.6	60.9
Blount	54.1	4.1	— 1.1	5.6	1.3	54.7	0.4	34.8	62.5
Bradley	52.5	6.4	— 3.0	3.9	0.2	46.5	— 3.9	19.0	49.2
Campbell	54.1	6.1	0.6	5.9	2.9	35.1	2.4	17.5	36.7
Cannon	53.5	6.3	— 8.8	5.4	2.4	38.8	3.9	19.1	47.5
Carroll	52.1	13.3	— 5.1	9.9	5.2	32.3	7.8	15.0	41.9
Carter	55.1	2.4	— 0.4	3.7	0.6	47.5	— 7.0	21.8	33.7
Cheatham	53.1	11.8	— 5.0	9.0	2.9	42.7	6.7	21.8	46.2
Chester	51.0	20.2	— 8.7	9.1	4.7	26.4	3.8	12.7	36.3
Claiborne	52.2	11.0	— 3.8	7.7	2.7	24.1	2.9	14.0	24.1
Clay	51.2	11.5	— 1.4	7.0	0.8	24.7	1.4	14.1	29.3
Cocke	51.1	18.8	2.1	10.0	5.3	32.6	3.6	15.0	38.6
Coffee	52.3	6.6	— 2.6	6.1	3.1	42.4	4.8	28.1	46.1
Crockett	50.6	38.8	— 11.5	7.4	— 1.4	20.6	4.7	14.4	54.1
Cumberland	52.5	3.4	— 1.2	2.4	0.2	47.7	0.2	14.1	42.2
Davidson	55.3	7.7	— 1.3	7.7	2.0	55.5	3.4	40.4	66.4
Decatur	53.3	7.0	— 7.0	7.5	3.6	36.2	3.2	17.6	44.8
De Kalb	52.4	10.7	— 6.8	8.8	1.0	31.2	3.7	12.6	37.5
Dickson	53.3	4.9	— 3.6	5.4	0.4	46.3	5.7	17.4	42.2
Dyer	51.5	37.0	— 10.8	12.5	2.1	20.9	— 0.6	21.9	33.7
Fayette	51.3	56.3	— 11.7	8.0	3.6	16.7	3.5	18.2	28.2
Fentress	52.8	4.0	— 1.3	4.1	— 0.1	38.3	— 2.1	16.6	33.7
Franklin	51.9	9.8	— 6.0	4.5	— 0.8	39.8	2.3	26.0	50.4
Gibson	51.4	25.6	— 8.3	9.1	3.1	25.7	4.7	23.9	46.9
Giles	54.0	13.9	— 8.7	8.8	3.3	38.2	6.4	25.9	56.2


Table 10 (Cont'd.). Farm Operators

COUNTY	Av. age of farm operators, 1964	Pct. of farm tenancy, 1964	Change in pct. of farm operated by tenants, 1959-1964	Pct. of farm operators residing off farm operated, 1964	Change in pct. of farm operators residing off farm operated, 1959-1964	Pct. of farm operators working off farm operated 100 days or more, 1964	Change in pct. of farm operators working off farm operated 100 days or more, 1959-1964	Pct. of farm operators with 4 years of high school completed or more, 1964	Pct. of persons in farm-operator households, 25-34 years of age, of high school completed or more, 1964
Grainger	49.9	18.1	6.4	8.5	4.8	33.4	3.1	13.6	23.0
Greene	50.3	18.0	1.0	9.3	4.0	34.5	5.4	21.6	37.2
Grundy	51.1	2.2	— 3.8	6.2	2.0	39.6	— 1.8	18.1	35.8
Hamblen	53.8	11.4	1.7	7.2	2.7	41.1	4.5	22.2	42.4
Hamilton	53.5	4.5	— 2.3	6.7	3.0	53.5	1.2	38.6	62.9
Hancock	51.2	17.5	3.2	7.9	0.1	18.1	2.8	11.1	21.2
Hardeman	51.3	38.9	— 3.5	13.0	6.8	30.1	7.4	18.3	30.9
Hardin	51.3	19.2	— 4.0	7.5	5.0	29.9	2.3	13.2	38.9
Hawkins	53.6	11.5	— 2.9	5.7	— 0.4	33.2	2.9	18.0	56.4
Haywood	50.4	58.6	— 8.6	6.6	1.0	14.7	3.4	13.5	28.1
Henderson	51.6	16.5	— 7.5	6.3	1.4	27.2	3.5	10.0	39.2
Henry	53.5	8.1	— 1.3	7.1	2.5	34.4	3.5	23.4	52.4
Hickman	53.7	8.9	— 2.3	7.0	5.0	42.8	5.9	17.3	32.1
Houston	55.5	5.6	— 3.8	4.5	0.6	38.6	0.8	28.2	53.0
Humphreys	53.6	9.1	— 4.6	4.9	2.3	44.9	7.3	25.0	52.4
Jackson	53.1	13.9	— 5.0	3.7	— 0.8	25.6	4.6	12.8	23.8
Jefferson	52.7	11.5	7.3	9.4	5.6	41.4	4.5	27.0	58.9
Johnson	54.3	5.3	— 1.7	8.1	1.6	30.6	2.6	15.5	28.0
Knox	56.3	4.0	— 0.2	4.2	— 0.1	49.4	3.2	35.0	71.3
Lake	52.6	44.6	—20.9	44.1	24.0	18.5	— 0.4	30.6	68.2
Lauderdale	50.2	52.0	— 9.3	12.8	4.5	17.8	5.2	13.2	32.3
Lawrence	49.8	10.8	— 2.6	5.8	3.2	51.9	8.3	12.8	33.1
Lewis	52.0	5.9	— 2.1	6.5	0.8	47.5	1.5	14.8	o
Lincoln	52.1	19.8	— 9.9	7.4	2.3	34.6	6.0	21.7	37.7
Loudon	53.0	10.5	5.9	10.6	6.2	43.0	2.2	24.3	41.4
McMinn	53.4	7.0	— 4.1	6.4	1.0	44.7	2.9	18.1	40.6
McNairy	50.8	22.1	— 5.2	6.5	1.7	25.9	4.4	14.8	39.6
Macon	52.1	14.8	— 4.2	4.4	0.7	22.1	2.7	8.6	23.7
Madison	52.6	37.8	— 6.9	7.7	1.8	24.0	2.4	25.4	61.1
Marion	53.6	5.1	— 6.8	8.0	— 1.0	43.7	— 3.7	24.4	32.1

Marshall	54.3	9.6	— 6.6	6.6	1.1	41.9	1.0	32.2	66.9
Maury	53.4	14.1	— 2.8	8.5	1.8	39.3	5.3	23.0	39.2
Meigs	54.1	3.7	— 13.7	6.0	3.9	38.8	— 3.6	26.9	37.7
Monroe	53.8	7.8	— 4.8	6.9	0.8	41.7	2.8	17.0	29.7
Montgomery	52.5	16.8	— 5.3	11.6	6.1	36.1	4.8	27.7	58.8
Moore	52.2	15.6	— 2.0	3.2	1.3	44.6	5.5	21.1	34.7
Morgan	54.4	1.6	— 3.1	1.8	— 1.1	44.2	0.6	21.6	40.9
Obion	52.4	15.1	— 10.8	9.9	2.3	29.5	3.0	29.5	58.7
Overton	53.4	7.1	— 4.2	3.8	0.9	33.6	3.5	12.3	33.7
Perry	53.1	10.4	— 2.1	4.7	— 2.9	40.0	5.8	11.2	30.0
Pickett	52.1	4.3	— 4.4	5.7	— 1.2	29.8	— 2.9	10.4	29.5
Polk	52.9	5.9	— 5.7	4.2	0.8	49.8	— 0.4	52.4	76.9
Putnam	52.9	11.2	— 2.5	6.5	2.2	39.2	7.5	13.0	40.2
Rhea	52.0	2.9	— 3.1	6.7	1.2	46.6	2.9	22.3	41.2
Roane	52.2	7.3	— 1.7	5.5	0.6	49.3	0.9	19.3	23.1
Robertson	52.2	24.7	— 9.2	8.8	3.1	22.6	0.2	21.8	55.5
Rutherford	54.1	8.0	— 7.7	6.0	1.6	43.2	1.7	35.1	57.8
Scott	52.2	4.4	— 1.5	4.0	2.0	54.9	8.4	22.4	14.4
Sequatchie	53.3	3.0	— 3.8	6.5	— 0.3	43.7	— 4.4	32.9	77.6
Sevier	53.1	11.4	— 1.6	9.2	2.7	41.1	3.1	14.8	28.3
Shelby	53.5	38.6	— 5.7	9.5	3.0	33.4	— 1.0	29.5	41.2
Smith	52.9	16.2	— 7.3	8.9	4.6	22.9	3.8	16.0	40.1
Stewart	53.7	13.4	— 3.3	7.3	3.9	38.8	3.3	14.8	22.0
Sullivan	54.0	9.0	— 3.1	9.6	4.4	47.7	— 0.3	23.6	42.3
Sumner	53.7	11.9	— 1.4	6.2	1.2	36.2	3.8	25.0	35.8
Tipton	50.2	43.2	— 8.6	6.9	1.8	24.7	4.9	20.2	35.2
Trousdale	52.2	27.8	— 0.7	9.9	5.3	24.2	6.6	22.2	43.4
Unicoi	53.2	8.8	— 0.9	5.2	2.4	42.1	6.1	17.1	48.1
Union	53.8	8.6	— 5.3	5.4	— 1.2	36.7	1.2	15.5	33.9
Van Buren	53.1	1.7	— 2.6	6.0	3.4	39.1	1.8	22.1	53.8
Warren	51.9	5.2	— 2.4	6.7	2.5	38.9	3.9	27.9	50.2
Washington	53.2	10.1	— 1.2	6.1	2.2	41.4	2.1	21.8	49.7
Wayne	50.3	7.6	— 3.6	8.9	5.1	48.4	1.0	13.6	34.3
Weakley	53.5	8.6	— 4.7	6.0	1.9	29.2	2.7	20.4	49.6
White	52.8	3.5	— 6.5	7.7	3.8	38.7	6.4	17.4	36.2
Williamson	53.7	15.7	— 5.1	6.5	2.7	36.4	— 0.2	29.7	51.7
Wilson	54.7	9.9	— 5.0	10.0	4.4	39.5	4.6	25.2	47.1
State	52.6	16.1	— 4.4	7.5	2.4	35.2	3.2	20.9	40.9
References*									

* 1964 Census of Agriculture, Preliminary Reports.

† Information not available.



Difference greater than 27.7%

Difference between 21.4% and 27.4%



Difference between 15.0% and 21.2%

Difference less than 14.8%

Figure 18. Percent to which education of young farm operators (and their wives) exceeds that of all farm operators, 1964.

* The comparison is between the percent of those age 25 to 34 in farm-operator households with 4 or more years of high school completed and the percent of all the farm operators having the same amount of education. Caution should be used in interpreting these data because the young farm operators are included in both figures, and females (who tend to have a little more education than males) are included in the younger group.

COMMENT ON FIGURE 18

65 The comparison here is between the percent of those aged 25 to 34 years in farm-operator households with 4 or more years of high school completed and the percent of all the farm operators having the same amount of education. This younger age group was selected 1) because the data were available, and 2) because this group represents the "next generation" in the farm population. If the young person has not gone into some nonfarm occupation by the time he is 25, it is very likely that he will continue in farming. Because farm operations are becoming increasingly complex, it is important that the next generation have the formal educational background necessary to

readily comprehend new technology and the complexities of farming as a business. In general, the "next generation" shows a substantial increase in formal education. Caution must be exercised in the interpretation of these data, however, because the inclusion of the wives with the young farmers tends to increase the percentage having completed 4 years of high school or more. In addition, these data are based on information from a sample of farm operator households and so are subject to sampling variability. Nevertheless, the trend toward more formal education of the farm population is clear.

The Range of Miscellaneous Farm Facts
(From Table 11)

Characteristic	High county	Low county
Farm operator level-of-living index, 1964 ^a	Obion, Lake 137	Hancock 57
Farm operator level-of-living index, 1959 ^a	Knox 105	Hancock 39
Average value of farm products sold, 1964 ^b	Lake \$36,885	Carter \$1,366
Percent change in value of farm products sold, 1959-1964 ^c	Perry 195.7	Jackson -4.5
Average value of land and buildings, all farms, 1964 ^d	Lake \$124,308	Hancock \$9,929
Percent change in average value of land and buildings, all farms, 1959-1964 ^c	Fayette 279.0	Unicoi 8.0
Percent of farms with telephone, 1964	Knox 89.1	Wayne 20.6
Change in percent of farms with telephone, 1959-1964	Van Buren 42.6	Robertson -3.4

^a The Farm Operator Level-of-Living Index was based on five items: 1) average value of sales per farm; 2) average value of land and buildings per farm; 3) percentage of farms with telephone; 4) percentage of farms with home freezers; and 5) percentage of farms with automobiles.

^b Data for the value of farm products sold in 1964 were obtained by enumeration for some products and by estimation for others. See introduction to the Census of Agriculture for a detailed description of the method used.

^c No attempt was made to correct these figures for changes in the value of the dollar. The influence of such a correction would be minimal as indicated by the change in both the Index of Prices Paid for farm inputs, which rose 1.5%, and the Index of Prices Received for farm products, which declined 1.3% between 1959 and 1964.

^d The average values of land and buildings are estimates based on data obtained for a sample of farms. The values obtained in the enumeration were the farmer's expectations of what he would expect to receive for the land and buildings if they were sold on the day of the enumeration.




Figure 19. Farm-operator household level-of-living index, 1964.




Figure 20. Average value of farm products sold, 1964.



Table 11. Miscellaneous Farm Facts

COUNTY	Farm operator level-of-living index, 1964	Farm operator level-of-living index, 1959	Av. value of farm products sold, 1964	Pct. change in value of farm products sold, 1959-1964	Av. value of land and bldgs., all farms, 1964	Pct. change in av. value of land and bldgs., all farms, 1959-1964	Pct. of farms with telephone, 1964	Change in pct. of farms with telephone, 1959-1964
Anderson	99	75	\$ 1,726	20.0	\$17,225	62.2	67.9	13.2
Bedford	110	89	3,860	11.3	21,179	21.4	83.8	9.6
Benton	91	69	2,026	26.3	17,626	101.8	71.8	23.6
Bledsoe	93	62	3,485	48.2	22,130	86.6	74.9	19.9
Blount	109	88	2,745	38.5	22,454	63.7	80.9	7.6
Bradley	109	96	5,136	40.5	22,814	25.8	82.9	1.9
Campbell	78	59	1,607	21.3	11,539	66.2	56.6	0.9
Cannon	77	60	2,005	1.0	12,100	53.3	52.8	16.9
Carroll	98	75	3,555	23.5	15,248	86.5	71.2	12.3
Carter	89	69	1,366	48.0	13,967	62.2	59.9	15.2
Cheatham	99	79	2,717	21.8	20,009	85.7	71.6	9.2
Chester	94	67	4,297	28.8	20,215	139.7	64.9	15.2
Claiborne	83	49	2,086	11.1	11,653	38.3	50.1	25.8
Clay	68	50	2,396	20.9	13,430	83.4	35.1	9.0
Cocke	80	61	3,323	30.8	14,697	84.6	50.7	8.3
Coffee	99	75	3,439	24.4	20,917	55.1	69.1	17.1
Crockett	105	78	7,686	47.8	30,174	145.0	68.2	16.4
Cumberland	78	53	2,895	55.6	16,250	135.9	52.9	28.9
Davidson	118	101	4,196	16.6	42,681	40.3	88.6	4.6
Decatur	85	66	2,628	19.3	17,773	117.5	55.8	16.8
DeKalb	75	59	2,784	33.8	16,106	86.6	48.5	9.9
Dickson	104	72	2,286	8.0	17,472	87.5	78.7	12.5
Dyer	118	91	11,341	36.4	49,356	85.0	74.9	38.8
Fayette	75	51	6,199	80.5	26,541	279.0	32.9	13.5
Fentress	78	51	5,151	50.3	10,987	70.3	60.7	23.9
Franklin	101	80	4,245	21.6	22,013	55.6	80.9	12.1
Gibson	111	86	7,107	53.1	30,182	170.0	78.9	10.2
Giles	97	82	2,952	13.5	15,416	52.6	78.9	13.8
Grainger	70	56	2,120	18.4	11,640	43.9	40.4	5.3
Greene	95	68	3,390	40.4	19,818	50.4	60.1	28.6
Grundy	86	63	6,940	141.6	10,488	25.3	52.0	15.9
Hamblen	96	81	3,191	27.0	21,470	44.9	75.2	6.6
Hamilton	110	96	4,901	31.6	27,143	79.5	80.0	8.0
Hancock	57	39	2,039	12.1	9,929	17.9	36.4	18.6

Hardeman	81	61	\$ 5,222	55.1	\$ 19,348	84.5	49.0	11.5
Hardin	83	55	3,465	60.9	16,306	55.5	47.8	19.4
Hawkins	82	57	2,412	42.6	16,432	61.7	57.2	18.5
Haywood	80	58	5,913	52.5	25,224	176.5	44.3	10.4
Henderson	97	68	4,143	31.3	16,522	100.8	65.4	21.7
Henry	103	88	5,123	58.3	16,706	44.8	81.5	13.3
Hickman	97	76	2,846	17.2	16,239	53.9	67.3	18.2
Houston	84	57	1,886	33.8	13,782	87.9	60.4	34.3
Humphreys	95	71	2,836	30.0	22,523	108.9	73.0	11.7
Jackson	77	54	1,913	— 4.5	15,677	79.4	47.3	13.4
Jefferson	102	79	3,359	11.8	21,918	38.7	70.2	13.9
Johnson	74	50	2,212	0.7	12,794	11.9	52.0	25.0
Knox	116	105	3,608	24.6	29,630	38.9	89.1	9.6
Lake	137	93	36,885	71.3	124,308	120.6	75.7	21.6
Lauderdale	90	61	7,553	54.6	27,467	117.4	56.0	14.3
Lawrence	95	72	2,476	5.6	13,023	39.2	66.3	17.8
Lewis	83	64	2,263	30.8	12,229	38.9	62.0	12.0
Lincoln	97	76	3,561	13.6	16,064	48.2	75.5	9.5
Loudon	103	88	3,432	26.6	27,452	73.6	74.8	6.2
McMinn	103	78	3,834	27.1	19,991	63.3	72.6	12.5
McNairy	87	53	4,194	64.4	13,164	87.5	47.3	19.0
Macon	83	63	2,757	31.2	11,865	59.6	54.8	15.5
Madison	103	77	5,590	55.1	25,614	111.8	66.1	4.8
Marion	96	79	3,608	54.9	22,792	105.4	71.4	5.2
Marshall	107	86	3,755	13.8	15,934	45.4	84.1	8.9
Maury	109	93	3,830	9.4	26,224	46.5	88.1	7.9
Meigs	98	84	3,030	31.1	16,350	38.1	63.8	9.9
Monroe	94	71	3,162	37.7	20,898	69.3	61.4	14.3
Montgomery	100	88	4,471	24.6	24,687	79.9	74.2	1.4
Moore	99	63	2,833	28.7	12,637	46.5	79.7	21.8
Morgan	91	59	2,207	68.5	12,806	79.5	70.5	27.3
Obion	137	93	9,776	68.2	40,347	87.2	85.6	14.5
Overton	70	48	1,798	13.1	11,804	68.9	51.7	32.0
Perry	83	64	2,247	195.7	14,121	48.1	34.6	23.8
Pickett	76	46	2,093	31.3	12,342	73.7	45.2	16.3
Polk	94	71	3,064	11.1	22,275	62.7	74.9	19.9
Putnam	76	55	1,717	11.7	14,334	38.5	47.5	21.1
Rhea	90	82	2,857	50.8	16,637	65.3	74.4	— 0.2

Table 11 (Cont'd). Miscellaneous Farm Facts

COUNTY	Farm operator level-of-living index, 1964	Farm operator level-of-living index, 1959	Av. value of farm products sold, 1964	Pct. change in value of farm products sold, 1959-1964	Av. value of land and bldgs., all farms, 1964	Pct. change in av. value of land and bldgs., all farms, 1959-1964	Pct. of farms with telephone, 1964	Change in pct. of farms with telephone, 1959-1964
Roane	96	84	\$ 1,776	38.8	\$16,366	73.0	62.9	— 1.9
Robertson	110	103	6,559	15.8	25,213	23.0	80.0	— 3.4
Rutherford	103	82	3,415	15.2	24,263	77.9	82.1	10.2
Scott	93	62	5,351	105.6	16,198	115.4	69.2	29.6
Sequatchie	91	63	3,883	32.0	22,612	106.5	78.7	18.7
Sevier	76	63	2,039	9.5	13,496	40.4	52.2	5.1
Shelby	106	77	7,938	61.5	61,581	100.9	63.9	14.9
Smith	98	71	3,612	42.9	17,144	47.6	67.3	11.6
Stewart	84	57	3,051	33.9	21,162	158.3	61.1	29.5
Sullivan	103	85	2,269	14.1	21,847	14.0	71.2	11.5
Sumner	101	85	3,983	12.1	23,819	39.7	75.5	8.2
Tipton	97	73	7,135	37.9	31,561	129.0	61.6	13.0
Trousdale	102	87	3,945	17.9	16,084	39.7	70.2	4.6
Unicoi	77	59	1,587	54.1	11,183	8.0	41.0	16.7
Union	76	51	1,560	18.4	10,138	37.4	42.6	23.7
Van Buren	91	63	2,090	68.8	12,855	89.2	61.6	42.6
Warren	93	64	4,235	24.9	20,250	69.0	66.7	29.0
Washington	102	84	3,727	45.2	24,862	43.6	67.4	20.4
Wayne	68	46	1,847	1.9	11,479	37.0	20.6	14.6
Weakley	112	88	5,101	54.1	18,850	67.6	82.1	22.2
White	92	65	2,823	27.0	16,555	43.2	64.3	24.0
Williamson	108	92	4,518	7.4	34,672	74.6	80.9	8.7
Wilson	104	82	4,045	43.9	21,247	83.4	80.2	15.2
State	94	71	3,968	31.9	21,088	58.7	65.0	13.9
References*	USDA, ERS, Stat. Bulletin 321. ^a							

* Unless otherwise noted, data are from the 1964 Census of Agriculture, Preliminary Reports.

^a Figures for 1964 were computed using the formula for the 1959 figures modified for changes in the average value of farm products

and the average value of farm real estate. The same counties were combined for computation of the index in both years. The 1964 U. S. average could not be computed on the basis of data available at the time of publication, but it is certain to have been greater than the 100 of 1959.




Figure 21. Percent change in average value of farm products sold, 1959-1964.




Figure 22. Average value of land and buildings per farm, 1964.



The Range of Commercial Farm Characteristics
(From Table 12)

Characteristic	High county	Low county
Number of commercial farms, 1964 ^a	Greene 2959	Polk 119
Percent commercial farms are of all farms, 1964	Lake 93.2	Anderson 32.4
Percentage of commercial farms in Economic Class I (\$40,000 and over), 1964	Lake 34.8	7 counties 0.0
Percentage of commercial farms in Economic Class II (\$20,000—\$39,999), 1964	Lake 20.8	Union, Van Buren 0.0
Percentage of commercial farms in Economic Class III (\$10,000—\$19,999), 1964	Scott 24.8	Unicoi 1.4
Percentage of commercial farms in Economic Class IV (\$5,000—\$9,999), 1964	Robertson 34.7	Union 5.2
Percentage of commercial farms in Economic Class V (\$2,500—\$4,999), 1964	Macon 43.7	Lake 6.3
Percentage of commercial farms in Economic Class VI (\$50—\$2,499), 1964	Campbell 72.5	Lake 3.8

^a Farms were classified as commercial 1) if the total value of products sold amounted to \$2,500 or more, or 2) if the sales were between \$50 and \$2,499, the operator was under 65 years of age, and he did not work off the farm 100 days or more during the year, and the nonfarm

income of the operator and his family was less than the value of all farm products sold. The data for commercial farms were based on a sample of farms.

Table 12. Commercial Farms

COUNTY	Number of commercial farms, 1964	Pct. comml. farms are of all farms, 1964	Pct. of comml. farms in Economic Class I (\$40,000 & over), 1964	Pct. of comml. farms in Economic Class II (\$20,000-\$39,999), 1964	Pct. of comml. farms in Economic Class III (\$10,000-\$19,999), 1964	Pct. of comml. farms in Economic Class IV (\$5,000-\$9,999), 1964	Pct. of comml. farms in Economic Class V (\$2,500-\$4,999), 1964	Pct. of comml. farms in Economic Class VI (\$50-\$2,499), 1964
Anderson	246	32.4	0.8	2.5	6.9	8.5	17.5	63.8
Bedford	882	55.5	0.9	4.0	9.7	22.2	35.0	28.2
Benton	355	49.9	0.0	0.8	3.7	18.0	26.8	50.7
Bledsoe	292	56.4	1.0	3.1	10.6	18.9	21.9	44.5
Blount	510	34.0	2.9	5.9	9.2	12.8	25.9	43.3
Bradley	484	51.2	2.9	10.3	22.1	18.2	13.8	32.7
Campbell	385	48.1	0.3	1.0	2.8	6.0	17.4	72.5
Cannon	491	47.8	0.2	0.6	5.1	10.2	32.0	51.9
Carrroll	1271	62.2	0.5	2.1	8.0	21.9	36.0	31.5
Carter	461	34.8	0.6	0.9	1.9	6.3	19.1	71.1
Cheatham	448	51.7	0.2	0.7	6.5	24.3	38.2	30.1
Chester	657	70.5	0.5	2.4	9.3	30.0	33.9	23.9
Claiborne	1484	61.7	0.2	0.5	2.2	7.2	30.2	59.7
Clay	609	65.6	0.0	0.8	3.8	10.9	31.0	53.5
Cocke	977	52.9	1.2	2.3	5.9	12.3	27.3	51.0
Coffee	710	51.8	0.8	3.7	10.6	20.4	29.2	35.3
Crockett	1218	86.9	2.5	7.8	14.5	26.8	32.4	16.0
Cumberland	413	42.1	2.2	4.1	10.4	10.9	21.3	51.1
Davidson	433	36.7	2.3	5.6	11.3	17.3	31.9	31.6
Decatur	379	54.4	0.3	1.0	5.5	21.4	29.3	42.5
De Kalb	731	59.2	0.5	1.2	4.8	15.2	34.1	44.2
Dickson	608	44.5	0.5	1.8	5.4	16.1	35.4	40.8
Dyer	1184	81.5	7.1	12.0	20.7	23.3	23.1	13.8
Fayette	1926	77.2	3.8	3.3	7.4	14.0	35.8	35.7
Fentress	482	52.1	4.8	9.3	12.9	10.4	14.5	48.1
Franklin	868	54.8	1.7	3.6	11.0	19.5	33.4	30.8
Gibson	2209	72.7	3.3	6.5	14.7	26.0	31.0	18.5
Giles	1219	53.1	1.1	1.8	7.0	16.7	33.4	40.0
Grainger	1070	56.1	0.4	0.8	3.0	8.6	30.3	56.9
Greene	2959	62.5	0.5	1.9	6.5	20.6	38.8	31.7
Grundy	298	65.6	2.3	14.8	20.5	14.4	14.8	33.2
Hamblen	586	49.0	0.5	2.4	8.5	14.9	35.8	37.9

Table 12 (Cont'd). Commercial Farms

COUNTY	Number of commercial farms, 1964	Pct. comml. farms are of all farms, 1964	Pct. of comml. farms in Economic Class I (\$40,000 & over), 1964	Pct. of comml. farms in Economic Class II (\$20,000-\$39,999), 1964	Pct. of comml. farms in Economic Class III (\$10,000-\$19,999), 1964	Pct. of comml. farms in Economic Class IV (\$5,000-\$9,999), 1964	Pct. of comml. farms in Economic Class V (\$2,500-\$4,999), 1964	Pct. of comml. farms in Economic Class VI (\$50-\$2,499), 1964
Hamilton	316	39.8	5.1	8.5	11.1	12.7	18.0	44.6
Hancock	903	68.0	0.0	0.3	2.2	7.4	28.3	61.8
Hardeman	1103	69.8	2.4	4.3	8.1	21.4	35.5	28.3
Hardin	682	65.1	0.4	1.3	8.1	23.8	29.6	36.8
Hawkins	1420	52.8	0.3	1.0	4.0	12.1	31.2	51.4
Haywood	1961	80.6	2.2	5.4	8.3	19.9	37.0	27.2
Henderson	1066	71.9	0.3	2.0	9.3	25.5	37.0	25.9
Henry	928	59.1	0.3	4.1	11.3	23.4	31.3	29.6
Hickman	433	46.5	0.2	3.9	7.9	22.4	27.3	38.3
Houston	178	47.3	0.0	1.7	2.3	13.5	33.1	49.4
Humphreys	310	44.2	0.3	5.8	8.4	17.8	25.8	41.9
Jackson	889	59.1	0.0	0.4	2.1	10.2	28.7	58.6
Jefferson	845	48.2	1.4	2.5	9.3	14.1	27.8	44.9
Johnson	811	52.0	0.6	1.2	2.9	10.1	26.9	58.3
Knox	631	35.0	3.5	5.9	7.3	12.8	22.8	47.7
Lake	207	93.2	34.8	20.8	14.5	19.8	6.3	3.8
Lauderdale	1530	81.7	3.5	6.1	9.8	21.7	34.9	24.0
Lawrence	995	46.5	0.4	1.7	6.1	18.7	33.7	39.4
Lewis	152	45.1	0.7	4.6	4.6	9.9	23.0	57.2
Lincoln	1396	60.7	0.8	3.1	6.7	18.2	35.5	35.7
Loudon	503	45.5	2.6	4.8	9.7	11.9	25.4	45.6
McMinn	646	43.0	3.1	6.8	12.2	12.9	21.5	43.5
McNairy	1061	71.2	0.6	2.2	9.5	25.1	32.5	30.1
Macon	1209	68.7	0.0	0.3	2.7	16.5	43.7	36.8
Madison	1530	74.7	2.2	4.8	8.7	19.5	33.6	31.2
Marion	249	48.5	2.0	8.8	12.5	10.0	19.3	47.4
Marshall	691	49.6	1.9	4.8	9.2	21.4	33.3	29.4
Maury	1134	55.7	0.7	3.7	10.4	23.1	34.6	27.5

Meigs	237	51.1	1.7	1.7	8.8	16.9	21.1	49.8
Monroe	738	45.2	2.8	4.5	9.2	10.6	22.9	50.0
Montgomery	1059	63.2	1.0	4.7	9.7	20.8	34.1	29.7
Moore	266	47.7	0.8	1.5	7.5	18.0	38.4	33.8
Morgan	229	41.0	0.9	3.9	10.5	10.0	14.4	60.3
Obion	1118	72.9	4.7	12.4	21.4	24.9	23.5	13.1
Overton	625	51.8	0.2	1.3	4.1	7.5	19.5	67.4
Perry	244	51.6	0.4	1.2	4.1	12.7	32.0	49.6
Pickett	324	57.9	0.3	1.5	3.7	7.7	20.7	66.1
Polk	119	38.8	0.7	7.6	20.2	14.3	16.0	41.2
Putnam	873	46.7	0.2	0.5	3.8	8.9	27.1	59.5
Rhea	239	45.6	2.1	3.8	5.8	13.4	20.5	54.4
Roane	368	41.2	0.3	1.1	6.5	10.9	19.3	61.9
Robertson	1610	76.5	1.4	4.8	16.3	34.7	30.7	12.1
Rutherford	1058	48.6	1.4	4.2	11.0	18.4	30.0	35.0
Scott	202	47.2	2.5	10.4	24.8	14.9	11.4	36.1
Sequatchie	124	53.7	1.6	6.5	13.7	21.8	12.9	43.5
Sevier	1016	44.4	1.1	1.1	4.7	9.9	21.4	61.8
Shelby	1265	61.6	6.1	6.5	7.9	15.6	26.8	37.1
Smith	1179	67.7	0.4	1.4	5.7	24.1	39.9	28.5
Stewart	365	53.1	0.8	0.8	5.7	20.3	40.3	32.3
Sullivan	949	39.7	1.1	1.7	8.0	10.8	25.7	52.7
Sumner	1559	56.5	1.9	3.5	7.7	21.7	32.2	33.0
Tipton	1605	74.8	4.0	8.3	10.3	19.5	33.2	24.7
Trousdale	488	70.7	0.2	1.6	7.6	24.6	43.5	22.5
Unicoi	291	43.6	0.0	1.7	1.4	6.5	21.3	69.1
Union	446	44.9	0.2	0.0	3.4	5.2	18.8	72.4
Van Buren	151	50.5	2.0	0.0	8.0	15.2	24.5	50.3
Warren	882	51.5	2.2	3.3	8.8	19.6	27.9	38.2
Washington	1293	52.3	1.6	3.4	7.5	20.4	33.0	34.1
Wayne	443	46.8	0.2	0.9	3.2	12.6	27.1	56.0
Weakley	1418	64.5	1.3	5.7	13.1	24.9	30.6	24.4
White	766	51.8	0.8	1.6	7.2	17.3	29.0	44.1
Williamson	1071	60.4	1.7	4.0	12.3	22.5	29.6	29.9
Wilson	1108	49.6	2.1	3.4	7.6	19.8	32.0	35.1
State	76352	57.2	1.7	3.7	8.6	18.1	30.6	37.3
References*								

* 1964 Census of Agriculture, Preliminary Reports.




Figure 23. Percent change in average value of land and buildings per farm, 1959-1964.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Cowhig, James D. *Farm Operator Level-of-Living Indexes for Counties of the United States, 1950 and 1959*, U. S. Department of Agriculture, Statistical Bulletin No. 321, Economic Research Service, Washington, D. C. 20250.
2. *Tennessee Vital Statistics*, State of Tennessee, Department of Public Health (Volumes for 1950 through 1959), Nashville, Tennessee 37200.
3. *United States Census of Agriculture, 1954*. Vol. I, Part 20 (Tennessee), U. S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Washington, D. C. 20233.
4. *United States Census of Agriculture, 1954*, Vol. II, General Report, U. S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Washington, D. C. 20233.
5. *United States Census of Agriculture, 1964*, Preliminary Reports, Series AC-64 P1, U. S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Washington, D. C. 20233.
6. *United States Census of Population, 1950, Tennessee, Characteristics of the Population*, U. S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Washington, D. C. 20233.
7. *United States Census of Population, 1960, Tennessee, Number of Inhabitants*, U. S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Washington, D. C. 20233.
8. *United States Census of Population, 1960, Tennessee, General Population Characteristics*, U. S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Washington, D. C. 20233.
9. *United States Census of Population, 1960, Tennessee, General Social and Economic Characteristics*, U. S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Washington, D. C. 20233.
10. *United States Census of Population, 1960 United States Summary, Number of Inhabitants*, U. S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Washington, D. C. 20233.
11. *United States Census of Population, 1960, United States Summary, General Population Characteristics*, U. S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D. C. 20233.
12. *United States Census of Population, 1960, United States Summary, General Social and Economic Characteristics*, U. S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Washington, D. C. 20233.

THE UNIVERSITY OF TENNESSEE
AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENTAL STATION
KNOXVILLE, TENNESSEE

Agricultural Committee

Board of Trustees

Andrew D. Holt, President
Clyde M. York, Chairman
Ben Douglass, Harry W. Laughlin, Wassell Randolph
W. F. Moss, Commissioner of Agriculture

STATION OFFICERS

Administration

Andrew D. Holt, President
Webster Pendergrass, Dean of Agriculture
E. J. Chapman, Assistant Dean
J. A. Ewing, Director
Eric Winters, Associate Director
J. L. Anderson, Budget Officer

Department Heads

S. E. Bennett, Agricultural Biology	Grace E. Goertz, Food Science and Institution Management
T. J. Whatley, Agricultural Economics and Rural Sociology	M. R. Johnston, Food Technology
J. J. McDow, Agricultural Engineering	J. T. Miles, Dairying
O. G. Hall, University of Tennessee at Martin	J. W. Barrett, Forestry
L. F. Seatz, Agronomy	Myra L. Bishop, Home Management Equipment, and Family Economics
C. S. Hobbs, Animal Husbandry	B. S. Pickett, Horticulture
Veterinary Science	R. L. Hamilton, Information
Ruth L. Highberger, Child Development and Family Relationships	Mary R. Gram, Nutrition
	K. L. Hertel, Physics
	O. E. Goff, Poultry
	Anna J. Treece, Textiles and Clothing

**University of Tennessee Agricultural
Research Units**

Main Station, Knoxville, J. N. Odom, Superintendent of Farms, University
of Tennessee-Atomic Energy Commission Agricultural Research Laboratory,
Oak Ridge, N. S. Hall, Laboratory Director

Branch Stations

Dairy Experiment Station, Lewisburg, J. R. Owen, Superintendent
Highland Rim Experiment Station, Springfield, L. M. Safley, Superintendent
Middle Tennessee Experiment Station, Spring Hill, J. W. High, Jr.,
Superintendent
Plateau Experiment Station, Crossville, J. A. Odom, Superintendent
Tobacco Experiment Station, Greeneville, J. H. Felts, Superintendent
West Tennessee Experiment Station, Jackson, B. P. Hazlewood,
Superintendent

Field Stations

Ames Plantation, Grand Junction, James M. Bryan, Manager
Cumberland Forestry Field Station, Wartburg, J. S. Kring, Manager
Friendship Forestry Field Station, Chattanooga
Highland Rim Forestry Field Station, Tullahoma, P. J. Huffman, Jr.,
Manager
Milan Field Station, Milan, T. C. McCutchen, Manager
Oak Ridge Forest and Arboretum, Oak Ridge, R. D. McDonald, Manager

