

8-1-2013

Accretion Variability of Herbig Ae/Be Stars Observed by X-Shooter HD 31648 and HD 163296

I Mendigutia
Clemson University

Sean D. Brittain
Clemson University, sbritt@clemson.edu

C Eiroa
Universidad Autonoma de Madrid

G Meeus
Universidad Autonoma de Madrid

B Montesinos
European Space Astronomy Centre

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://tigerprints.clemson.edu/physastro_pubs

 Part of the [Astrophysics and Astronomy Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Please use publisher's recommended citation.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Physics and Astronomy at TigerPrints. It has been accepted for inclusion in Publications by an authorized administrator of TigerPrints. For more information, please contact kokeefe@clemson.edu.

Authors

I Mendigutia, Sean D. Brittain, C Eiroa, G Meeus, B Montesinos, A Mora, J Muzerolle, R D. Oudmaijer, and E Rigliaco

ACCRETION VARIABILITY OF HERBIG AE/BE STARS OBSERVED BY X-SHOOTER
HD 31648 AND HD 163296

I. MENDIGUTÍA

Department of Physics and Astronomy, Clemson University, Clemson, SC 29634-0978, USA

S.D. BRITTAIN

Department of Physics and Astronomy, Clemson University, Clemson, SC 29634-0978, USA

C. EIROA

Departamento de Física Teórica, Módulo 15, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, PO Box 28049, Cantoblanco, Madrid, Spain.

G. MEEUS

Departamento de Física Teórica, Módulo 15, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, PO Box 28049, Cantoblanco, Madrid, Spain.

B. MONTESINOS

Centro de Astrobiología, Departamento de Astrofísica (CSIC-INTA), ESAC Campus, P.O. Box 78, 28691 Villanueva de la Cañada, Madrid, Spain.

A. MORA

GAIA Science Operations Centre, ESA, European Space Astronomy Centre, PO Box 78, 28691, Villanueva de la Cañada, Madrid, Spain.

J. MUZEROLLE

Space Telescope Science Institute, 3700 San Martin Dr., Baltimore, MD, 21218, USA

R.D. OUDMAIJER

School of Physics & Astronomy, University of Leeds, Woodhouse Lane, Leeds LS2 9JT, UK

E. RIGLIACO

Department of Planetary Science, Lunar and Planetary Lab, University of Arizona, 1629, E. University Blvd, 85719, Tucson, AZ, USA
Draft version August 16, 2013

ABSTRACT

This work presents X-Shooter/VLT spectra of the prototypical, isolated Herbig Ae stars HD 31648 (MWC 480) and HD 163296 over five epochs separated by timescales ranging from days to months. Each spectrum spans over a wide wavelength range covering from 310 to 2475 nm. We have monitored the continuum excess in the Balmer region of the spectra and the luminosity of twelve ultraviolet, optical and near infrared spectral lines that are commonly used as accretion tracers for T Tauri stars. The observed strengths of the Balmer excesses have been reproduced from a magnetospheric accretion shock model, providing a mean mass accretion rate of 1.11×10^{-7} and $4.50 \times 10^{-7} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ for HD 31648 and HD 163296, respectively. Accretion rate variations are observed, being more pronounced for HD 31648 (up to 0.5 dex). However, from the comparison with previous results it is found that the accretion rate of HD 163296 has increased by more than 1 dex, on a timescale of ~ 15 years. Averaged accretion luminosities derived from the Balmer excess are consistent with the ones inferred from the empirical calibrations with the emission line luminosities, indicating that those can be extrapolated to HAe stars. In spite of that, the accretion rate variations do not generally coincide with those estimated from the line luminosities, suggesting that the empirical calibrations are not useful to accurately quantify accretion rate variability.

Subject headings: Accretion, accretion disks — circumstellar matter — Line: formation — Proto-planetary disks — Stars: pre-main sequence — Stars: variables: T Tauri, Herbig Ae/Be

1. INTRODUCTION

The star formation process can be studied from the evolution of the accretion rate (see e.g. Hartmann 1998; Sicilia-Aguilar et al. 2004; Fedele et al. 2010). At the initial stages, protostars show both envelope-to-disk and disk-to-star accretion, which can show variations of several orders of magnitude on relatively short timescales (FUor and EXor outbursts, e.g. Hartmann & Kenyon 1996; Herbig 2008; Liskowsky 2010). During the pre-main sequence (PMS) phase, once the envelope dissipates and the central object becomes optically visible, the mass accretion rate (\dot{M}_{acc}) decreases to typical values of $\sim 10^{-8}$ and $10^{-7} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ for T Tauri (TT) and Herbig Ae/Be (HAeBe) stars, respectively (Hartmann et al. 1998; Mendigutía et al. 2011b). The accretion rate variability in the PMS phase is also expected to be lower than in previous stages. However, the precise strength of those variations is not well known, which can be partly attributed to discrepancies measuring accretion.

Primary signatures of accretion are the excess of UV/optical continuum emission and the veiling in the spectroscopic absorption lines that are observed in accreting stars. Both can be modeled from hot accretion shocks whose emission superimposes to that of the stellar atmosphere (Calvet & Gullbring 1998). The way that material from the inner disk reaches the stellar surface is understood from the magnetospheric accretion (MA) scenario, according to which gas does not fall directly from the disk to the star but follows the magnetic field lines that connect them (Uchida & Shibata 1985; Koenigl 1991; Shu et al. 1994). This is the accepted view for TT stars and seems to be valid at least for several HAeBes (see e.g. Vink et al. 2002; Muzerolle et al. 2004; Mottram et al. 2007; Donehew & Brittain 2011; Mendigutía 2013). Accretion rates obtained from MA shock modeling correlate with the luminosity of several emission lines that span from the ultraviolet (UV) to the infrared (IR) (see e.g. the compilation in Rigliaco et al. 2011). These types of empirical calibrations have been extended to late-type HAeBes for the [O I]6300, H α (Mendigutía et al. 2011b) and Br γ (Donehew & Brittain 2011; Mendigutía et al. 2011b) lines. The origin of the correlations is not fully understood, but these spectral lines can be considered as secondary signatures of accretion, being useful to easily derive accretion rates for wide samples of stars.

Although some works are based on observed variations of primary accretion signatures in TT stars (e.g. Herbst et al. 1994; Fernandez & Eiroa 1996; Batalha et al. 2001), studies of accretion rate variability are mainly based on secondary ones (e.g. Nguyen et al. 2009; Eisner et al. 2010; Costigan et al. 2012; Chou et al. 2013). These analyses provide different results depending on the spectroscopic line used as accretion tracer. As an example, Nguyen et al. (2009) studied a sample of 40 TT stars, reporting typical accretion rate variations of 0.35 dex from the CaII8862 line flux and 0.65 dex from the H α 10%. Similar results were more recently obtained by Costigan et al. (2012). In fact, differences in the variability shown by several lines

used as accretion tracers are reported for both TTs and HAeBes (Johns & Basri 1995; Lago & Gameiro 1998; Mendigutía et al. 2011a). However, accretion variability from a primary accretion signature was found to be typically lower than 0.5 dex for the HAeBes, on timescales from days to months (Mendigutía et al. 2011b), a result confirmed by Pogodin et al. (2012). Accretion variability of specific TT stars, determined from line veiling, is also expected to be low (Alencar & Batalha 2002). However, the simultaneous analysis of primary and secondary accretion signatures is necessary to test the reliability of the empirical calibrations between the accretion rate and different spectroscopic lines (Rigliaco et al. 2012), and to study the validity of MA in early-type HAeBe stars (Donehew & Brittain 2011; Mendigutía et al. 2011b). These types of studies have been boosted by the advent of the *X-Shooter* spectrograph at the *Very Large Telescope* (VLT; Vernet et al. 2011), which allows simultaneous coverage of the accretion signatures from the UV to the near-IR. Simultaneity is crucial when dealing with PMS stars, given their variable nature. Several published and ongoing works face those type of questions, by "X-Shooting" wide samples of PMS stars (Oudmaijer et al. 2011; Rigliaco et al. 2012; Manara et al. 2013).

This work aims to contribute to the understanding of the spectroscopic accretion tracers in HAeBe stars. Instead of relating primary and secondary accretion signatures from single-epoch spectra of large samples, we will analyze multi-epoch spectra of two relevant objects. This approach brings the additional possibility of analyzing the variability of the accretion rate. This paper focuses on the variability behavior of two HAe stars: HD 31648 (MWC 480) and HD 163296. The specific objective is twofold. First, to measure the accretion rate and its variability from the Balmer excess (the primary accretion signature for HAeBe stars, see Garrison 1978; Muzerolle et al. 2004). Second, to compare the previous results with several UV, optical and NIR line luminosities commonly used as secondary accretion tracers in low-mass stars. This comparison will provide relevant information about the applicability of the spectroscopic accretion tracers in late-type HAeBe stars.

The paper is organized as follows: Sect. 2 describes the observations and data reduction, Sect. 3 presents our observational results on the Balmer excess (Sect. 3.1) and the spectroscopic lines (Sect. 3.2), Sect. 4 includes the analysis of the Balmer excesses in terms of a MA model (Sect. 4.1), and discusses possible relations between the accretion luminosity derived from the Balmer excess and from the emission line luminosities (Sects. 4.2 and 4.3). Finally, Sect. 5 summarizes our main conclusions.

2. STARS, OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

HD 31648 and HD 163296 are HAe stars (spectral types A5 and A1, ages of ~ 7 Myr and 5 Myr, respectively; Mora et al. 2001; Montesinos et al. 2009) with no reported stellar companions in the literature. We assume the parameters derived by Montesinos et al. (2009) for the surface temperature, stellar mass and radius (surface gravity), as well as for the distances ($T_{\star} = 8250 K$, 9250

K ; $M_* = 2.0 M_\odot, 2.2 M_\odot$; $R_* = 2.3 R_\odot, 2.3 R_\odot$; $d = 146 \text{ pc}, 130 \text{ pc}$; where the first and second values refer to HD31648 and HD 163296, respectively). The stellar luminosities derived in that work are $22 L_\odot$ (HD 31648) and $34.5 L_\odot$ (HD 163296). Both objects show continuum and line variations (Sitko et al. 2008), and have magnetically driven accretion signatures (Swartz et al. 2005; Hubrig et al. 2006). We obtained multi-epoch X-Shooter spectra of both objects. Table 1 shows the log of the observations. A total of 10 science spectra were taken, 5 spectra per star on a timescale from days to months. X-Shooter covers a wide wavelength region throughout its three arms: UVB (311–558 nm), VIS (558–1012 nm) and NIR (1012–2475 nm). The slit widths were 1.6, 0.9 and 0.9", which translates into spectral resolutions of 3300, 8800 and 5600 for the UVB, VIS and NIR arms. The spectra were bias and flat field-corrected, flux and wavelength calibrated from standard procedures using the X-Shooter pipeline v1.5.0. (Modigliani et al. 2010). Atmospheric emission was subtracted from an ABBA dithering pattern. Additional telluric absorption correction was applied for specific lines in the NIR arm ($\text{Pa}\gamma$ and $\text{Br}\gamma$; see Sect. 3.2), by using telluric standards that were observed before and after the target stars. Flux calibration was tested from low-resolution spectra -i.e. obtained with the widest slit available for all arms (5")-, taken consecutively for the target stars and several telluric standard main-sequence objects with similar air masses. Synthetic photometry obtained by convolving the spectra with broadband *UBVRIJK* photometric filters were compared to published values for the telluric standard stars. In this way, the typical uncertainty is in-between 0.05 and 0.2 magnitudes (flux relative errors ~ 5 and 25%), where the specific uncertainties for each star depend on the X-Shooter arm and observing run (see below). Further accuracy could be obtained from spectro-photometric standards, which were not provided. Synthetic photometry extracted from our spectra is included in Table 1, which is consistent with previous measurements (de Winter et al. 2001; Oudmaijer et al. 2001; Eiroa et al. 2001). Flux calibrated spectra are shown in Fig. 1. Values from broadband photometry published in the literature (Oudmaijer et al. 2001; Eiroa et al. 2001) are over plotted for comparison.

3. OBSERVATIONAL RESULTS

3.1. Balmer excesses

The Balmer excess is defined from the *UB* Johnson’s photometric bands as $\Delta D_B = (U - B)^{\text{phot}} - (U - B)^{\text{dered}}$, where $(U - B)^{\text{phot}}$ is the photospheric color, and $(U - B)^{\text{dered}}$ the observed, dereddened color (Mendigutía et al. 2011b). This can be derived from the extinction (in magnitudes), which for a given wavelength can be expressed from that in the *V* band, or from the *B - V* color excess, $A_\lambda = A_V (k_\lambda/k_V)$ or $R_V E(B - V) (k_\lambda/k_V)$. The opacity ratio k_λ/k_V is known from an extinction law, and R_V is the total-to-selective extinction ratio. The X-Shooter UVB spectra were used to measure continuum excesses in the Balmer region, along the different observing runs. Therefore, the expression for the Balmer excess has been converted into fluxes. If the observed ones are normalized to the pho-

spheric emission at the *B* band (hereafter represented by the superscript “norm, B”), then:

$$\Delta D_B = 2.5 \log \left(\frac{F_U^{\text{norm,B}}}{F_U^{\text{phot}}} \right) + 2.5 R_V \left(\frac{k_U}{k_V} - \frac{k_B}{k_V} \right) \log \left(\frac{F_V^{\text{norm,B}}}{F_V^{\text{phot}}} \right), \quad (1)$$

which is the expression that is used in this work. The first term in Eq. 1 is essentially the same one as used by Donehew & Brittain (2011), with $F_U^{\text{norm,B}}/F_U^{\text{phot}}$ the ratio between the normalized and the photospheric fluxes, measured at wavelengths corresponding to the *U*-band. The second term reflects the contribution of extinction, and can be estimated from an extinction law and from the ratio between the normalized and photospheric fluxes at wavelengths corresponding to the *V* band. Apart from being independent of absolute flux calibration (Donehew & Brittain 2011), the main advantage of the above expression for ΔD_B is that it accounts for continuum excesses that can be ascribed mainly to hot shocks caused by accretion (Sect. 4.1), given that the possible contribution of extinction is ruled out by the second term. This contribution is typically an order of magnitude lower than the first term for the stars studied here -their $E(B - V)$ values are low, see Sect. 3.2-, but it should not be neglected for objects with more pronounced extinctions (i.e. UXOr-like, see e.g. Grinin et al. 1994, and references therein). It is assumed that the third possible cause argued to be in the origin of photometric variability in PMS stars, photospheric variations caused by cold spots (Herbst et al. 1994), is negligible for the stellar temperatures and masses of both stars analyzed. The photospheric fluxes are represented by Kurucz models (Kurucz 1993) with the corresponding values of T_* and $\log g$ (Sect. 2). These were derived from the SYNTHE code, re-binning to a resolution similar to the X-Shooter spectra, as they were also used to estimate the photospheric contribution to the spectral lines (Sect. 3.2). Observed fluxes were normalized to the Kurucz ones, making their averaged continuum fluxes in the 400–460 nm band (representing the rough range covered by the *B* photometric filter) to coincide. The ratios $F_U^{\text{norm,B}}/F_U^{\text{phot}}$ and $F_V^{\text{norm,B}}/F_V^{\text{phot}}$ were then derived considering averaged continuum fluxes in the wavelength regions 350–370 nm and 540–560 nm, respectively. Balmer excesses were finally obtained from Eq. 1, assuming $R_V = 5$ (Hernández et al. 2004), $k_U/k_V = 1.6$, and $k_B/k_V = 1.3$ (Rieke & Lebofsky 1985; Robitaille et al. 2007). The use of a larger R_V value, compared with the typical for the interstellar medium ($R_V = 3.1$), has been extensively justified for HAeBe stars (see e.g. Herbst et al. 1982; Gorti & Bhatt 1993; Hernández et al. 2004; Manoj et al. 2006, and references therein). In addition, interstellar extinction is most likely negligible compared with the circumstellar one for objects located closer than 200 pc (Fitzgerald 1968), as it is the case for HD 31648 and HD 163296. A different R_V value than assumed here affects the second term in Eq. 1. In particular, $R_V = 3.1$ provides Balmer excesses than can be lower by 0.08 magnitudes, being

TABLE 1
LOG OF THE OBSERVATIONS AND SYNTHETIC PHOTOMETRY

Star	Run A	Run B	Run C	Run D	Run E
HD 31648	23/10/11	27/10/11	5/11/11	23/02/12	28/02/12
U	8.16 ± 0.09	8.1 ± 0.2	8.0 ± 0.1	8.2 ± 0.1	8.2 ± 0.2
B	8.18 ± 0.09	8.0 ± 0.2	8.1 ± 0.1	8.1 ± 0.1	8.2 ± 0.2
V	7.91 ± 0.09	7.8 ± 0.2	7.8 ± 0.1	7.8 ± 0.1	7.9 ± 0.2
R	7.83 ± 0.05	7.7 ± 0.2	7.72 ± 0.09	7.73 ± 0.09	7.8 ± 0.2
I	7.65 ± 0.05	7.5 ± 0.2	7.49 ± 0.09	7.57 ± 0.09	7.6 ± 0.2
J	7.19 ± 0.09	7.0 ± 0.2	7.1 ± 0.1	7.2 ± 0.2	7.3 ± 0.1
H	6.46 ± 0.09	6.4 ± 0.2	6.4 ± 0.1	6.5 ± 0.2	6.6 ± 0.1
K	5.70 ± 0.09	6.1 ± 0.2	5.7 ± 0.1	5.8 ± 0.2	5.6 ± 0.1
HD 163296	12/10/11	14/10/11	16/10/11	24/03/12	17/05/12
U	7.01 ± 0.09	7.4 ± 0.2	7.2 ± 0.1	7.4 ± 0.1	7.3 ± 0.2
B	7.08 ± 0.09	7.4 ± 0.2	7.2 ± 0.1	7.4 ± 0.1	7.3 ± 0.2
V	6.90 ± 0.09	7.2 ± 0.2	7.1 ± 0.1	7.1 ± 0.1	7.1 ± 0.2
R	6.88 ± 0.05	7.1 ± 0.2	7.03 ± 0.09	7.06 ± 0.09	7.0 ± 0.2
I	6.74 ± 0.05	7.0 ± 0.2	6.91 ± 0.09	6.92 ± 0.09	6.9 ± 0.2
J	6.21 ± 0.05	6.4 ± 0.2	6.37 ± 0.09	6.32 ± 0.09	6.1 ± 0.2
H	5.39 ± 0.05	5.5 ± 0.2	5.50 ± 0.09	5.37 ± 0.09	5.2 ± 0.2
K	4.52 ± 0.05	4.3 ± 0.2	4.30 ± 0.09	4.18 ± 0.09	4.7 ± 0.2

Notes. Observing dates (dd/mm/yy) and synthetic photometry, in magnitudes, obtained by convolving Johnson-Cousins *UBVRI* (Bessell 1979) and *JHK* (Cohen et al. 1990) filter passbands with each flux-calibrated spectrum.




FIG. 1.— X-Shooter spectra of HD 31648 and HD 163296 in red, green, yellow, blue and magenta, representing observing runs A, B, C, D and E, respectively. Selected broadband photometry and uncertainties taken from the literature are plotted with solid circles and vertical bars. The horizontal bars represent each total filter passband.

this upper limit obtained from specific observing runs of HD 163296. For simplicity, possible variations of R_V for a given star, or strong dependences of the extinction law on R_V , are not considered for the low-extinctions and the wavelength range covered in this work (Mathis 1990).

Figure 2 shows the observed spectra for the two stars studied here, normalized to the Kurucz ones at wavelengths corresponding to the B photometric filter. Balmer excesses with respect the photospheric spectra are apparent in the 350–370 nm region, and those can vary from one epoch to another. Table 2 shows the measured ΔD_B values. Apart from the extinction law, the uncertainty of the Balmer excesses is only dependent of relative flux ratios in wavelengths corresponding to the U and V photometric filters (see Eq. 1). In other words, the accuracy of the Balmer excess would only decrease if errors in flux calibration are strongly wavelength-dependent in the 350–560 nm region, which is not the case for our spectra. A conservative uncertainty of 0.01 magnitudes was estimated by measuring -the theoretically null- ΔD_B in consecutive X-Shooter spectra of main sequence stars in different observing dates and with different air masses, for which neither accretion nor changes in extinction were present. The last column in Table 2 shows the mean Balmer excess and its relative variability, $\sigma(\Delta D_B)/\langle\Delta D_B\rangle$, defined as the ratio between the standard deviation and the average Balmer excess from the different observing runs. While HD 163296 shows the largest mean Balmer excess (0.41 magnitudes), HD 31648 shows the largest Balmer excess variations (up to a factor 2.5 in a few days). It is noted again the importance of the second term in Eq. 1: despite of the similar variability shown in the Balmer region of the spectra in both panels of Fig. 2, the contribution of -variable- extinction to this variability is a bit more pronounced in HD 163296 (its normalized flux shows larger differences with respect the Kurucz model at longer wavelengths).

3.2. Spectral lines

This work focuses on twelve emission lines for which there are previous empirical calibrations with the accretion luminosity in the literature (Sect. 4.2). These lines span over the whole UVB-VIS-NIR wavelength region covered by the X-Shooter spectra. In particular, we focused on transitions of neutral hydrogen, helium, sodium, oxygen, also including transitions of ionized calcium. Figure 3 shows the observed line profiles of HD 31648 and HD 163296, along the five observing runs. Forbidden emission lines most probably tracing outflow processes ([O I], [S II], [Fe I]) were not detected in any of the spectra. However, both stars show variable blue-shifted self-absorptions in several lines (H α , Ca II8542, Pa β), as well as variable red-shifted self-absorptions in others (Ca IIR, H β , O I8446). Several works deal with the physical origin of some of these lines (see e.g. Hartmann et al. 1994; Muzerolle et al. 1998, 2001, 2004; Tambovtseva et al. 1999; Kurosawa et al. 2006), which have been associated mainly with accretion and/or outflow processes. The study of the physical origin of the spectral lines is beyond the scope of this work.

The circumstellar contribution to the equivalent width (EW) of a line was determined from $EW^{cs} = EW^{obs}$

- EW^{phot} . The observed equivalent width, EW^{obs} , was directly measured on the normalized spectra¹, whereas the photospheric absorption, EW^{phot} , was estimated from the Kurucz spectra with the corresponding stellar parameters (see Sect. 3.1). These profiles were broadened by stellar rotation, assuming projected rotational velocities of 133 and 102 km s⁻¹ for HD 163296 and HD 31648, respectively (Mora et al. 2001). For the resolution of our X-Shooter spectra, variations of 500 K in the stellar temperature and changes of 0.50 dex in the surface gravity of the Kurucz spectra (uncertainties of ± 200 K and ± 0.2 dex were quoted in Montesinos et al. 2009) translate into typical relative errors of 10 % and 5 % in EW^{phot} (the specific values depending on the specific star and line). However, since we are mainly interested on the variability of the lines and the photospheric contribution is expected to be constant, it is assumed that the uncertainty in EW^{cs} is dominated by that in EW^{obs} . This was determined for each individual line and observing run by measuring additional EWs from two levels located at $\pm 1.5\sigma$ from the normalized continuum, fixed at unity. Table 3 shows the equivalent widths and the errors estimated in this way. The relative variability, $\sigma(EW^{cs})/\langle EW^{cs}\rangle$ (see e.g. Mendigutía et al. 2011a), is indicated in those cases where the EW changes above the uncertainties.

Dereddened line luminosities (L_λ^{dered}) were obtained from the values for EW^{cs} , the observed continuum fluxes at wavelengths close to the lines ($F_{cont,\lambda}^{obs}$), and from the distances to the stars (d). We used the expression $L_\lambda^{dered} = 4\pi d^2 \times F_\lambda^{dered}$, where $F_\lambda^{dered} = EW^{cs} \times F_{cont,\lambda}^{dered} = EW^{cs} \times F_{cont,\lambda}^{obs} \times 10^{0.4A_\lambda}$. The extinction, $A_\lambda = R_V E(B - V)(k_\lambda/k_V)$, was derived assuming again $R_V = 5$, and from the k_λ/k_V values in Rieke & Lebofsky (1985) and Robitaille et al. (2007). $(B - V)$ color excesses were obtained subtracting the corresponding magnitudes extracted from the Kurucz spectra from the ones included in Table 1. These are shown in Table 4, along with the dereddened line luminosities and their uncertainties (which consider those in the EW and in $F_{cont,\lambda}^{obs}$), as well as the relative variability when a line shows luminosity variations above the uncertainties.

Although line luminosities tend to be larger for HD 163296, the variability of the lines, both in terms of the EWs and the line luminosities, are comparable for HD 31648 and HD 163296.

4. ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

4.1. Accretion rates from Balmer excesses

It is assumed that accretion is magnetically channeled for the two stars studied in this paper. Therefore, the observed Balmer excesses and their variations (Sect. 3.1) are analyzed in terms of a MA shock model. We follow the nomenclature and methodology described in Mendigutía et al. (2011b), where several assumptions of the MA shock model in Calvet & Gullbring (1998) and

¹ The entire line, considering both the emission and absorption components, is taken for this measurement.




FIG. 2.— X-Shooter UVB spectra of HD 31648 and HD 163296, normalized to Kurucz photospheric spectra at the stellar surface in the 400–460 nm band. Normalized spectra are plotted in different colors representing different observing runs, as in Fig. 1. Kurucz spectra are plotted with black solid lines.

TABLE 2
OBSERVED BALMER EXCESSES.

Star	ΔD_B (Run A) (mag)	ΔD_B (Run B) (mag)	ΔD_B (Run C) (mag)	ΔD_B (Run D) (mag)	ΔD_B (Run E) (mag)	$\langle \Delta D_B \rangle$ (mag)
HD 31648	0.15	0.12	0.18	0.07	0.18	0.14 [0.33]
HD 163296	0.37	0.38	0.41	0.38	0.36	0.38 [0.04]

Notes. Observed Balmer excesses are shown in Cols. 2 to 6. The typical uncertainty is 0.01 magnitudes. The last Col. shows the mean Balmer excess and a number in brackets quantifying the relative variability.

Muzerolle et al. (2004) were applied to HAeBe stars. According to that, the total flux per wavelength unit emerging from the star (F_λ) is composed of the emission from the naked photosphere (F_λ^{phot} , represented by a Kurucz synthetic spectrum) plus the accretion contribution:

$$F_\lambda = f F_\lambda^{col} + (1 - f) F_\lambda^{phot} \quad (2)$$

f being the filling factor that reflects the stellar surface coverage of the accretion columns, and F_λ^{col} the flux from the column. This parameter is modeled as a blackbody at a temperature:

$$T_{col} = [\mathcal{F}/\sigma + T_*^4]^{1/4} \quad (3)$$

with σ the Stefan-Boltzmann constant and \mathcal{F} the inward flux of energy carried by the accretion columns, which ranges typically between 10^{11} and 10^{12} erg cm $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$ (Muzerolle et al. 2004). The filling factor can be estimated from:

$$f = \left(1 - \frac{R_*}{R_i}\right) \frac{GM_* \dot{M}_{acc}}{4\pi \mathcal{F} R_*^3}, \quad (4)$$

with R_i the disk truncation radius.

Table 5 summarizes the fixed input parameters that are used in this work. Figure 4 shows the average UV spectrum of HD 163296 normalized to the Kurucz photospheric spectrum in the B band. For a typical accretion rate of $\sim 10^{-7} M_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}$, fixed stellar parameters and disk truncation radius (see below), different values of \mathcal{F} provide different blackbody temperatures (Eq. 3) and filling factors (Eq. 4). The corresponding total fluxes (Eq. 2) reproduce the observed spectra with different degrees of accuracy. For $\mathcal{F} \sim 10^{11}$ erg

cm $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$, the only part of the observed spectrum that can be reproduced is the bump between 365 and 370 nm, mismatching at shorter and longer wavelengths ($\lambda > 380$ nm). Values of $\mathcal{F} \sim 10^{12}$ erg cm $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$ are able to reasonably reproduce both the short and long wavelength regions. Larger values ($\mathcal{F} \sim 10^{13}$ erg cm $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$) are not able to reproduce any part of the observed Balmer excesses. As it is described in the following, our modelling aims to reproduce mainly the strength of the observed Balmer excess, instead of its overall shape. We assume a “classical” approach using a single blackbody obtained by fixing \mathcal{F} to 10^{12} erg cm $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$, which not only provides reasonable agreement with the observed excesses and filling factors (Valenti et al. 1993), but is in turn the typical value for HAe stars (Muzerolle et al. 2004). More complex models using several values of \mathcal{F} have been used to simultaneously reproduce wider wavelength ranges covering the near-UV and optical regions of T Tauri stars (see e.g. Ingleby et al. 2013). Disk truncation radii assumed in this work (Table 5) are also typical (Muzerolle et al. 2004), being lower than the corresponding co-rotation radii (Shu et al. 1994) by taking into account the projected rotational velocities of the stars (Mendigutía et al. 2011b). We refer the reader to this paper for further details on the shock modeling and its dependences on the stellar parameters. Although already discussed there, further analysis on the influence of the adopted values for \mathcal{F} and R_i is included below. Total fluxes were synthesized from Eq. 2 for each star, considering different values for M_{acc} . Modeled Balmer excesses were then derived by normalizing F_λ to F_λ^{phot} in the 400–460 nm band, and applying the same procedure as described for the observed Balmer excesses (Sect. 3.1). In this way, couples of values




FIG. 3.— Observed line profiles (normalized to unity) of HD 31648 and HD 163296 are shown with solid lines. Different colors represent different observing runs, as in Fig. 1. For the UV lines with a purely absorption profile (H η , Ca II K, H γ and H β), the emission profiles (dashed lines) that result from the subtraction of the Kurucz synthetic spectra (solid black lines) from the observed ones are also shown (see text).

TABLE 3
LINE EQUIVALENT WIDTHS

HD 31648							
Line	EW^{phot}	EW^{cs}	EW^{cs}	EW^{cs}	EW^{cs}	EW^{cs}	$\langle EW^{cs} \rangle$
	(\AA)	(run A)	(run B)	(run C)	(run D)	(run E)	(\AA)
	(\AA)	(\AA)	(\AA)	(\AA)	(\AA)	(\AA)	(\AA)
H η (3835 \AA)	10.4	-1.0 ± 0.1	-1.7 ± 0.1	-0.7 ± 0.7	0.1 ± 0.7	-1.4 ± 0.8	$-1.2 [0.60]$
Ca IIK (3934 \AA)	4.5	-1.1 ± 0.2	-0.2 ± 0.1	-1.2 ± 0.1	-0.7 ± 0.1	-1.9 ± 0.2	$-1.0 [0.65]$
H γ (4341 \AA)	23.6	-4 ± 3	-3 ± 2	-5 ± 3	-3 ± 2	-3 ± 3	-3 ± 1
H β (4861 \AA)	34.5	-18 ± 2	-17 ± 2	-19 ± 2	-19 ± 2	-19 ± 2	-18 ± 1
He I (5876 \AA)	0.02	-1.3 ± 0.4	-1.2 ± 0.4	-0.8 ± 0.4	-0.8 ± 0.4	-1.0 ± 0.3	-1.0 ± 0.2
Na ID ₂ (5890 \AA)	0.26	-0.57 ± 0.06	0.09 ± 0.05	-0.23 ± 0.08	0.25 ± 0.06	-0.94 ± 0.06	$-0.58 [0.84]$
Na ID ₁ (5896 \AA)	0.19	-0.60 ± 0.09	-0.06 ± 0.06	-0.35 ± 0.07	-0.07 ± 0.07	-0.70 ± 0.06	$-0.36 [0.80]$
H α (6563 \AA)	21.5	-25.6 ± 0.1	-23.6 ± 0.1	-28.3 ± 0.2	-25.5 ± 0.2	-25.0 ± 0.1	$-25.6 [0.07]$
O I (8446 \AA)	0.26	-1.3 ± 0.2	-1.0 ± 0.2	-1.4 ± 0.2	-1.1 ± 0.2	-1.1 ± 0.2	-1.1 ± 0.1
Ca II (8542 \AA)	3.5	-13.9 ± 0.3	-9.2 ± 0.2	-15.2 ± 0.3	-10.7 ± 0.3	-13.0 ± 0.3	$-12.4 [0.20]$
Pa γ (10938 \AA)	24.9	-26 ± 1	-23 ± 1	-27 ± 1	-22 ± 1	-24 ± 1	$-25 [0.08]$
Pa β (12818 \AA)	22.8	-36.0 ± 0.6	-32.0 ± 0.5	-37.1 ± 0.6	-34.6 ± 0.6	-36.0 ± 0.6	$-35.1 [0.06]$
Br γ (21660 \AA)	26.3	-33.2 ± 0.6	-31.3 ± 0.7	-34.3 ± 0.6	-32.9 ± 0.7	-33.1 ± 0.7	$-33.0 [0.03]$
HD 163296							
Line	EW^{phot}	EW^{cs}	EW^{cs}	EW^{cs}	EW^{cs}	EW^{cs}	$\langle EW^{cs} \rangle$
	(\AA)	(run A)	(run B)	(run C)	(run D)	(run E)	(\AA)
	(\AA)	(\AA)	(\AA)	(\AA)	(\AA)	(\AA)	(\AA)
H η (3835 \AA)	10.4	-0.3 ± 0.4	0.1 ± 0.4	-0.2 ± 0.3	-0.4 ± 0.3	-0.2 ± 0.4	-0.3 ± 0.2
Ca IIK (3934 \AA)	2.7	-0.3 ± 0.1	0.4 ± 0.1	-0.52 ± 0.09	-0.50 ± 0.09	-0.76 ± 0.08	$-0.34 [1.3]$
H γ (4341 \AA)	23.2	-1 ± 3	0 ± 3	-2 ± 3	-3 ± 3	-1 ± 3	-2 ± 1
H β (4861 \AA)	29.2	-12 ± 1	-12.4 ± 0.8	-12 ± 1	-13 ± 1	-12 ± 2	-12.5 ± 0.6
He I (5876 \AA)	0.03	-0.7 ± 0.1	-0.5 ± 0.3	-0.8 ± 0.2	-0.3 ± 0.3	-0.8 ± 0.3	-0.7 ± 0.1
Na ID ₂ (5890 \AA)	0.16	-0.8 ± 0.1	-0.34 ± 0.07	-0.51 ± 0.07	-0.70 ± 0.07	-0.53 ± 0.08	$-0.58 [0.33]$
Na ID ₁ (5896 \AA)	0.14	-0.8 ± 0.1	-0.36 ± 0.09	-0.62 ± 0.08	-0.57 ± 0.07	-0.87 ± 0.09	$-0.64 [0.31]$
H α (6563 \AA)	30.2	-35 ± 4	-30 ± 4	-33 ± 3	-34 ± 3	-33 ± 4	-33 ± 2
O I (8446 \AA)	0.24	-1.3 ± 0.2	-0.8 ± 0.1	-1.4 ± 0.3	-1.4 ± 0.3	-1.1 ± 0.2	$-1.2 [0.23]$
Ca II (8542 \AA)	3.1	-9.1 ± 0.4	-5.2 ± 0.9	-8.5 ± 0.3	-9.7 ± 0.4	-8.4 ± 0.3	$-8.2 [0.21]$
Pa γ (10938 \AA)	23.2	-23 ± 1	-24 ± 1	-24 ± 1	-26.3 ± 0.6	-23 ± 1	$-24.0 [0.05]$
Pa β (12818 \AA)	20.7	-31.3 ± 0.7	-28.1 ± 0.7	-28.8 ± 0.7	-31.1 ± 0.9	-29.5 ± 0.7	$-29.8 [0.05]$
Br γ (21660 \AA)	22.2	-27.0 ± 0.4	-25.6 ± 0.4	-26.2 ± 0.6	-25.8 ± 0.5	-25.7 ± 0.5	$-26.0 [0.02]$

Notes. Photospheric and circumstellar equivalent widths are shown in Cols. 2 to 7. The last Col. shows the mean equivalent width and its uncertainty from the propagation of the individual ones. For the stars showing both absorptions and emissions, only the values of the most frequent absorption/emission behavior are considered to derive the mean values. A number in brackets quantifying the relative variability ($\sigma(EW^{cs})/\langle EW^{cs} \rangle$) is provided when the equivalent width varies above the uncertainties.

TABLE 4
DEREDDENED LINE LUMINOSITIES

HD 31648						
$E(B - V)$	0.08	0.09	0.10	0.13	0.09	
Line	$\log L/L_\odot$ (run A)	$\log L/L_\odot$ (run B)	$\log L/L_\odot$ (run C)	$\log L/L_\odot$ (run D)	$\log L/L_\odot$ (run E)	$\log \langle L/L_\odot \rangle$
H η (3835 Å)	-2.3 ± 0.4	-2.0 ± 0.2	< -2.07	< -2.38	-2.1 ± 0.3	-2.1 ± 0.2
Ca IIK (3934 Å)	-2.22 ± 0.09	-2.9 ± 0.3	-2.07 ± 0.06	-2.3 ± 0.1	-2.0 ± 0.1	-2.19 [0.57]
H γ (4341 Å)	-1.8 ± 0.4	-1.8 ± 0.4	-1.6 ± 0.3	-1.8 ± 0.3	< -1.52	-1.7 ± 0.2
H β (4861 Å)	-1.21 ± 0.06	-1.2 ± 0.1	-1.10 ± 0.06	-1.03 ± 0.06	-1.2 ± 0.1	-1.13 [0.16]
He I (5876 Å)	-2.6 ± 0.1	-2.6 ± 0.2	-2.7 ± 0.2	-2.7 ± 0.2	-2.7 ± 0.2	-2.6 ± 0.1
Na ID ₂ (5890 Å)	-2.95 ± 0.05	...	-3.3 ± 0.2	...	-2.71 ± 0.09	-2.92 [0.60]
Na ID ₁ (5896 Å)	-2.94 ± 0.07	...	-3.09 ± 0.09	...	-2.83 ± 0.09	-2.94 [0.28]
H α (6563 Å)	-1.43 ± 0.02	-1.4 ± 0.1	-1.31 ± 0.04	-1.31 ± 0.04	-1.41 ± 0.09	-1.37 [0.13]
O I (8446 Å)	-3.01 ± 0.07	-3.1 ± 0.1	-2.89 ± 0.07	-2.99 ± 0.09	-3.1 ± 0.1	-3.00 [0.17]
Ca II (8542 Å)	-1.97 ± 0.02	-2.1 ± 0.1	-1.86 ± 0.04	-1.99 ± 0.04	-1.97 ± 0.09	-1.97 [0.19]
Pa γ (10938 Å)	-1.96 ± 0.04	-2.0 ± 0.1	-1.86 ± 0.05	-2.0 ± 0.1	-1.99 ± 0.05	-1.94 [0.11]
Pa β (12818 Å)	-1.93 ± 0.04	-1.9 ± 0.1	-1.87 ± 0.05	-1.9 ± 0.1	-1.95 ± 0.04	-1.91 ± 0.03
Br γ (21660 Å)	-2.28 ± 0.04	-2.5 ± 0.1	-2.29 ± 0.05	-2.4 ± 0.1	-2.22 ± 0.04	-2.32 [0.23]
HD 163296						
$E(B - V)$	0.10	0.13	0.10	0.16	0.15	
Line	$\log L/L_\odot$ (run A)	$\log L/L_\odot$ (run B)	$\log L/L_\odot$ (run C)	$\log L/L_\odot$ (run D)	$\log L/L_\odot$ (run E)	$\log \langle L/L_\odot \rangle$
H η (3835 Å)	< -2.06	< -2.48	< -2.28	-2.3 ± 0.4	< -2.27	-2.3 ± 0.4
Ca IIK (3934 Å)	-2.4 ± 0.2	...	-2.18 ± 0.09	-2.09 ± 0.09	-1.9 ± 0.1	-2.11 [0.41]
H γ (4341 Å)	< -1.31	< -1.47	< -1.28	< -1.10	< -1.28	...
H β (4861 Å)	-1.00 ± 0.05	-1.0 ± 0.1	-1.06 ± 0.06	-0.91 ± 0.05	-1.0 ± 0.1	-0.99 [0.13]
He I (5876 Å)	-2.52 ± 0.06	-2.8 ± 0.3	-2.5 ± 0.1	< -2.57	-2.5 ± 0.2	-2.6 ± 0.1
Na ID ₂ (5890 Å)	-2.47 ± 0.06	-2.9 ± 0.1	-2.75 ± 0.07	-2.52 ± 0.06	-2.6 ± 0.1	-2.63 [0.38]
Na ID ₁ (5896 Å)	-2.49 ± 0.06	-2.9 ± 0.2	-2.66 ± 0.07	-2.61 ± 0.07	-2.4 ± 0.1	-2.58 [0.37]
H α (6563 Å)	-0.99 ± 0.05	-1.1 ± 0.1	-1.07 ± 0.06	-0.98 ± 0.05	-1.0 ± 0.1	-1.02 ± 0.04
O I (8446 Å)	-2.71 ± 0.07	-3.0 ± 0.1	-2.8 ± 0.1	-2.7 ± 0.1	-2.8 ± 0.1	-2.76 [0.26]
Ca II (8542 Å)	-1.86 ± 0.03	-2.2 ± 0.1	-1.96 ± 0.04	-1.83 ± 0.04	-1.88 ± 0.09	-1.92 [0.26]
Pa γ (10938 Å)	-1.73 ± 0.03	-1.8 ± 0.1	-1.79 ± 0.04	-1.67 ± 0.04	-1.66 ± 0.09	-1.73 [0.15]
Pa β (12818 Å)	-1.71 ± 0.02	-1.8 ± 0.1	-1.80 ± 0.04	-1.69 ± 0.04	-1.66 ± 0.09	-1.73 [0.15]
Br γ (21660 Å)	-2.00 ± 0.02	-1.9 ± 0.1	-1.90 ± 0.04	-1.84 ± 0.04	-2.13 ± 0.09	-1.94 [0.24]

Notes. Emission line luminosities in Cols. 2 to 6 are dereddened using the color excesses indicated on the top of each column (in magnitudes), and a distance of 146 and 130 pc for HD 31648 and HD 163296, respectively. The last Col. shows the mean line luminosity and its uncertainty from the propagation of the individual ones. A number in brackets quantifying the relative variability ($\sigma(L)/\langle L \rangle$) is provided when the line luminosity varies above the uncertainties. Upper limits are not considered to derive mean and relative variability values. Blank spaces refer to lines shown in absorption.

TABLE 5
FIXED MODEL PARAMETERS

Parameter	Symbol (units)	HD 31648	HD 163296
stellar temperature	T_* (K)	8250	9250
stellar mass	M_* (M_\odot)	2.0	2.2
stellar radius	R_* (R_\odot)	2.3	2.3
accretion column flux \mathcal{F} (erg cm ⁻² s ⁻¹)		10 ¹²	10 ¹²
disk truncation radius	R_i (R_*)	2.5	2.2

(\dot{M}_{acc} , ΔD_B) were obtained for each star, which were used to construct the curves represented in Fig. 5. These $\Delta D_B - \dot{M}_{\text{acc}}$ calibrations were used to assign a mass accretion rate to each observed Balmer excess. Accretion luminosities were then derived considering $L_{\text{acc}} = GM_* \dot{M}_{\text{acc}} / R_*$. The model parameters that best reproduce the values of the observed Balmer excesses are shown in Table 6. The uncertainties for \dot{M}_{acc} were estimated considering the typical uncertainty for the observed Balmer excess (± 0.01 magnitudes, see Sect. 3.1) in the $\Delta D_B - \dot{M}_{\text{acc}}$ calibration (Fig. 5). Errors in M_*/R_* ratios (Montesinos et al. 2009) add another 0.01 dex uncertainty to the accretion luminosities (see Mendigutía et al. 2011b, and the caption of Table 6).

From the method described before, the mean mass

TABLE 6
ACCRETION PARAMETERS FROM THE OBSERVED BALMER EXCESSES

Star	Run	$\log \dot{M}_{\text{acc}}$ [$M_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}$]	$\log L_{\text{acc}}$ [L_\odot]	f (%)
HD 31648 ($T_{\text{col}} = 12215 \text{ K}$)	A	-6.92	0.51	2.3
	B	-7.03	0.40	1.8
	C	-6.84	0.60	2.8
	D	-7.28	0.15	1.0
	E	-6.84	0.60	2.8
HD 163296 ($T_{\text{col}} = 12570 \text{ K}$)	A	-6.36	1.11	8.5
	B	-6.35	1.13	8.8
	C	-6.31	1.17	9.7
	D	-6.35	1.13	8.8
	E	-6.38	1.10	8.2

Notes. Uncertainties for $\log \dot{M}_{\text{acc}}$ and $\log L_{\text{acc}}$ are ± 0.03 dex and ± 0.04 dex (for HD 31648); ± 0.02 dex and ± 0.03 dex (for HD 163296).

accretion rate for HD 31648 was $1.11 \times 10^{-7} M_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}$. The accretion rate changes are above the uncertainties, between $5.24 \times 10^{-8} M_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}$ and $1.46 \times 10^{-7} M_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}$. This represents a mass accretion rate variation of almost 0.5 dex. The accretion luminosity showed an average value of $3.03 L_\odot$, and changes by a factor of almost 3; from 1.43 to $3.97 L_\odot$. This represents accretion luminosity variations between 6.5 and 18 %




FIG. 4.— Average UV spectrum of HD 163296 (black dotted line) normalized to the kurucz synthetic spectrum (black solid line) at the B band. The coloured dotted lines refer to modelled spectra with fixed mass accretion rate ($10^{-7} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$), stellar parameters and disk truncation radius (see text), but different values for the inward flux energy, \mathcal{F} , as indicated in the legend. Those values are associated to different blackbody temperatures and filling factors by Eqs. 3 and 4: $\sim 9700 \text{ K}$ ($f \sim 20 \%$), 12500 K ($f \sim 2 \%$) and 25000 K ($f \sim 0.1 \%$) for the red, green and blue lines, respectively.




FIG. 5.— Calibration between the Balmer excess and the mass accretion rate for HD 31648 (solid line) and HD 163296 (dashed line). The observed Balmer excesses are over plotted with filled and open circles. The uncertainty in the observed Balmer excesses is represented by the black vertical bar.

of the stellar one. Regarding HD 163296, the mass accretion rate was typically larger $\sim 4.50 \times 10^{-7} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ - and roughly constant -variations lower than 0.1 dex-. The accretion luminosity was typically $\sim 40 \%$ of the stellar one. Despite of this high ratio, significant veiling in photospheric absorption lines is not detected, which is consistent with a temperature of the accretion shocks similar to the effective temperature of the star (Muzerolle et al. 2004).

The above discussion assumes that the fraction of the star covered by the shocks, f , is the only model parameter that varies along the observations. This

assumption provides upper limits for the accretion rate changes. In other words, more complex scenarios assuming additional variations in \mathcal{F} and R_i , would explain a given Balmer excess change from a lower accretion rate variation than provided here. As an example, in the case of HD 31648 a change of 20% in \mathcal{F} and R_i would account for Balmer excess variations of 0.2 and 0.4 magnitudes, respectively, for a fixed accretion rate of $1.11 \times 10^{-7} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$. Further analysis in this sense is out of the scope of this work. However, it should be mentioned that changes in the disk truncation radius are considered in 3D simulations of MA (Kulkarni & Romanova 2013). In addition, given that there are observed variations in the ratio of the Balmer lines (which is a probe of the density ρ), especially in the case of HD31648, it may be likely that the density of material in the columns is varying. Given that $\mathcal{F} \propto \rho v^3$, with v the velocity of the infalling material (see e.g. Calvet & Gullbring 1998), a change in the Balmer ratio could be indicating variations in \mathcal{F} .

4.2. Accretion rates and line luminosities

The accretion luminosities derived from the Balmer excesses are plotted against the dereddened line luminosities in the different panels of Fig 6. Empirical calibrations relating both parameters were taken from the literature (see below), and are over plotted with solid lines. These have the form $\log L_{\text{acc}}/L_{\odot} = a \log L_{\text{line}}/L_{\odot} + b$, a and b being constants that depend on the spectral line. These relations were calibrated by relating primary accretion signatures (i.e. line veiling or continuum excess) with the line luminosities, and show a typical maximum scatter of $\sim \pm 1$ dex, which is represented by the dashed lines. The calibration obtained from HAeBe stars in Mendigutía et al. (2011b) is over plotted in the $H\alpha$ panel. This is very similar to the corresponding relation for lower mass T Tauri stars and brown dwarfs (Dahm 2008; Herczeg & Hillenbrand 2008). The relation derived by Calvet et al. (2004) (see also Donehew & Brittain 2011; Mendigutía et al. 2011b) is used for the $\text{Br}\gamma$ panel. That was based on intermediate-mass TTs (F, G spectral types and masses up to $4 M_{\odot}$). For the remaining lines there are no $L_{\text{acc}}-L_{\text{line}}$ relations calibrated from HAeBes. The empirical relations for the He I5876, Ca I18542, and Pa β are from Dahm (2008), based on objects with masses up to $2M_{\odot}$. The calibrations with the rest of the lines were derived from objects with masses below $1M_{\odot}$, and were taken from Herczeg & Hillenbrand (2008) ($H\eta$, Ca I1K, $H\gamma$, Na I1D, O I8446), Gatti et al. (2008) (Pa γ) and Fang et al. (2009) ($H\beta$).

The accretion and line luminosities plotted in Fig 6 fall within the range expected from previous $L_{\text{acc}}-L_{\text{line}}$ calibrations. This suggests that these relations, mostly derived from low-mass stars, can be extrapolated to provide accretion rate estimates for HAe stars. However, the fact that HD 31648 and HD 163296 show different Balmer excess -accretion rate- variability (Sects. 3.1 and 4.1) but comparable line luminosities changes (Sect. 3.2) constitutes a first hint suggesting that both variations are not directly related. In order to provide a more quantita-




FIG. 6.— Accretion luminosities from the Balmer excess versus emission line luminosities for HD 31648 (filled symbols) and HD 163296 (open symbols). Left-triangles represent upper limits for the line luminosities. Upper limits for the accretion luminosity obtained ~ 15 years ago (see text) are plotted with big triangles (filled and open for HD 31648 and HD 163296, respectively), with respect contemporaneous He 15876, Na ID and H α luminosities. Empirical calibrations from previous works (Calvet et al. 2004; Dahm 2008; Gatti et al. 2008; Herczeg & Hillenbrand 2008; Fang et al. 2009; Mendigutía et al. 2011b) and ± 1 dex uncertainties are indicated with solid and dashed lines, respectively.

tive description, we computed the accretion luminosities from the above referred empirical calibrations with the spectral lines. Given that the scatter of these calibrations can reach ± 1 dex for a given value of a line luminosity, it is unrealistic to expect that they could trace accretion rate variations lower than 0.5 dex, as the ones reported in this work. However, it is possible to compare the accretion variability with the variations from the spectroscopic tracers if residuals with respect the averages are used (e.g. Pogodin et al. 2012). Fig. 7 shows the difference between single-epoch accretion luminosities derived from the calibrations with each emission line and the average accretion luminosity obtained from the same line. Error bars consider those in the line luminosities.

The differences between the single-epoch and the mean accretion luminosities derived from the Balmer excesses are also included in Fig. 7 (squares), from which we extract the following:

- The mean accretion luminosity from the Balmer excess agrees with that derived from previous empirical calibrations within ± 0.5 dex, for most spectroscopic lines. The only exceptions are the Ca IIR, Pa γ and H β lines, that provide mean accretion luminosities that can differ up to $\sim \pm 1$ dex. Not surprisingly, the latter calibrations were derived from objects with stellar masses well below the ones covered in this work (Gatti et al. 2008; Herczeg & Hillenbrand 2008; Fang et al. 2009).




FIG. 7.— Residuals for each single epoch accretion luminosity derived from the indicated tracer with respect the mean accretion luminosity from the same tracer (horizontal dashed lines). Squares refer to the values derived from the Balmer excesses, and triangles represent upper limits. These were not considered to derive the mean values, except for the $H\gamma$ line of HD 163296. For each accretion tracer, the observing runs are ordered chronologically from left to right, spaced by an arbitrary scale.

- The largest residual for the Balmer excess differs from that for the spectral lines, which in turn provide different values depending on the line considered. For instance, the Na I D line suggests accretion luminosity variations up to ~ 0.30 dex larger than the largest change observed from the Balmer excess in HD 163296. However, the same line provides a similar upper limit for the accretion luminosity changes of HD 31648. On the contrary, the highest variability from the $Pa\beta$ line roughly coincides with that from the Balmer excess for HD 163296, whereas for HD 31648 suggests accretion rate variations up to ~ 0.30 dex lower. The largest residual from the Ca I K line is ~ 0.40 and ~ 0.20 dex higher than the corresponding from the Balmer excess, for HD 31648 and HD 163296, respectively.
- In spite of the last point, the residuals derived from some lines show a similar evolution pattern than that from the Balmer excess, which could be supporting a direct link between the physical origin of the lines and the accretion process. In particular, the residuals from the Ca I K, Ca II 8542 and $Br\gamma$ lines increase/decrease when those from the Balmer excess increase/decrease, for HD 31648. The $Br\gamma$ line also shows a similar behavior for HD 163296. In addition, although different from the residuals from the Balmer excess, the variability behavior is roughly similar for several other lines. For instance, the similar behavior of the $H\beta$, $H\alpha$, $Pa\beta$ and $Pa\gamma$ lines could be suggesting a common physical origin explaining their variability, not necessarily connected with the accretion flow, or connected but with a certain time-delay (see Dupree 2013, and references therein). However, it is noted that these lines provide roughly constant estimates, considering the error bars, and more accurate measurements become necessary.

In summary, our results provide evidence that the $L_{acc}-L_{line}$ calibrations can be extrapolated to HAe stars

to estimate typical, mean accretion rates, although the relations for some specific lines should most probably include minor modifications in order to achieve a ± 0.5 dex accuracy. However, the $L_{acc}-L_{line}$ calibrations cannot generally be used to quantify the accretion rate variability. In addition, the analysis of accretion variability in terms of residuals provides evolution patterns that, if confirmed by follow-up observations, could be useful to understand the influence of accretion on the origin of the lines, and possible physical relations between the lines themselves. In this respect, accurate spectro-photometry should be performed in order to detect the smallest possible changes in the line luminosities.

4.3. Variability on a longer timescale

The methodology to obtain the calibration between the Balmer excess and the accretion rate described in Sect. 4.1 is the same one than that applied in Mendigutía et al. (2011b), as well as the stellar and modeling parameters used for HD 31648 and HD 163296. Therefore, a direct comparison is feasible. UB photometry taken more than 15 years ago by the EXPORT consortium (Eiroa et al. 2000), was analyzed in that paper to provide accretion luminosities of HAeBe stars. Only upper limits could be derived for the two objects studied in this work, due to the lower accuracy of broad band photometry compared with intermediate-resolution spectra. Mendigutía et al. (2011b) provided $L_{acc} < 1.58 L_{\odot}$ (HD 31648) and $L_{acc} < 0.93 L_{\odot}$ (HD 163296). The accretion luminosity of HD 31648 during the X-Shooter observing run D is consistent with that work. Contemporaneous $H\alpha$ and Na I D emission line luminosities were also similar to the ones obtained here, although the He I 5876 luminosity was lower in the EXPORT campaigns by ~ 0.5 dex. In the case of HD 163296, the averaged accretion luminosity inferred here is at least 1 dex larger than in the EXPORT campaigns. This strong change in the accretion luminosity from the Balmer excess is accompanied by changes in all optical line luminosities analyzed from the EXPORT spectra.

The He 15876 line was then dominated by absorption whereas it is shown in emission in the X-Shooter data. The Na ID emission lines increased their luminosity by ~ 0.5 dex from the EXPORT to the X-Shooter campaigns. Similarly, the H α EW changed from an averaged value of $\sim -23 \text{ \AA}$ to -30 \AA in the current X-Shooter data, implying a line luminosity increase of almost 0.2 dex. Unfortunately, the EXPORT spectra analyzed in Mendigutía et al. (2011b) did not covered the X-Shooter UVB and NIR regions, so it is not possible to explore the variations for the lines in those wavelengths. EXPORT results are over plotted in Fig. 6 for comparison. The analysis in a longer timescale suggests similar conclusions than those obtained from the study of the X-Shooter spectra in the previous section, at least for the optical lines: the $L_{acc}-L_{line}$ calibrations are useful to estimate typical accretion rates with an accuracy of 0.5–1 dex, but are not reliable to accurately quantify accretion rate variability.

5. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

We presented five-epoch X-Shooter spectra of the prototypical Herbig Ae stars HD 31648 and HD 163296. The strength of the excess shown in the Balmer region of the spectra, and its variations, have been reproduced from magnetospheric accretion shock modeling. The accretion rate of HD 31648 varied in-between 5.24×10^{-8} and $1.46 \times 10^{-7} M_{\odot} \text{ s}^{-1}$ on timescales of days to months, whereas that for HD 163296 remained roughly constant, with a typical value of $4.50 \times 10^{-7} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$. Higher accretion rate variations, exceeding 1 dex, are

found for HD 163296 on timescales of several years. The mean accretion rates derived from the Balmer excess are consistent with those inferred from the empirical calibrations with twelve UV, optical and NIR emission line luminosities, previously derived from lower-mass stars. This demonstrates that those calibrations can be used also for HAe stars. However, the variability of the accretion rate from the Balmer excess is not generally reflected by that from the line luminosities, suggesting that the empirical calibrations are not useful to derive accurate accretion rate variations.

Our results suggest that follow-up multi-epoch observations of these and other pre-main sequence stars will be useful to further constrain the underlying origin of the empirical calibrations with the line luminosities, and the origin of the lines themselves.

The authors thank the anonymous referee for his/her useful comments on the original manuscript, which helped us to improve the paper.

Based on observations made with ESO Telescopes at the La Silla Paranal Observatory under programme ID 088.C-0218.

S.D. Brittain acknowledges support for this work from the National Science Foundation under grant number AST-0954811

C. Eiroa, G. Meeus and B. Montesinos are supported by AYA 2011-26202.

G. Meeus is supported by RYC-2011-07920.

Facilities: VLT:Kueyen

REFERENCES

- Alencar, S.H.P., & Batalha, C. 2002, ApJ, 571, 378
 Batalha, C., Lopes, D.F., Batalha, N.M. 2001, ApJ, 148, 377
 Bessell, M.S. 1979, PASP, 91, 589
 Calvet, N. & Gullbring, E. 1998, ApJ, 509, 802
 Calvet, N., Muzerolle, J., Briceño, C. et al. 2004, AJ, 128, 1294
 Chou, M.Y., Takami, M., Manset, N. et al. 2013, AJ, 145, 108
 Cohen, M., Wheaton, Wm.A., Megeath, S.T. 2003, AJ, 126, 1090
 Costigan, G., Scholz, A., Stelzer, B. et al. 2012, MNRAS, 427, 1344
 Dahm, S.E. 2008, AJ, 136, 521
 de Winter, D., van den Ancker, M.E., Maira, A. et al. 2001, A&A, 380, 609
 Donehew, B. & Brittain, S. 2011, AJ, 141, 46
 Dupree, A.K. 2013, AN, 334, 73
 Eiroa, C., Alberdi, A., Camron, A. et al. 2000, ESASP, 451, 189
 Eiroa, C., Garzón, F., Alberdi, A. et al. 2001, A&A, 365, 110E
 Eisner, J.A., Doppmann, G.W., Najita, J.R. et al. 2010, ApJ, 722, L28
 Fang, M., van Boekel, R., Wang, W. et al. 2009, A&A, 504, 461
 Fedele, D., van den Ancker, M., Henning, Th., Jayawardhana, R., Oliveira, J.M. 2010, A&A, 510, 72
 Fernandez, M. & Eiroa, C. 1996, A&A, 310, 143
 Fitzgerald, M.P. 1968, AJ, 73, 983
 Garrison, L.M., 1978, ApJ, 224, 535
 Gatti, T., Natta, A., Randich, S., Testi, L., Sacco, G. 2008, A&A, 481, 423
 Grinin, V.P., The, P.S., de Winter, D., Giampapa, M., Rostopchina, A.N., Tambovtseva, L.V., van den Ancker, M.E. 1994, A&A, 292, 165
 Gorti, U. & Bhatt, H.C. 1993, A&A, 270, 426
 Hartmann, L., Hewett, R., Calvet, N. 1994, ApJ, 426, 669
 Hartmann, L. & Kenyon, S.J. 1996, ARA&A, 34, 207
 Hartmann, L. 1998, Accretion Processes in Star Formation (Cambridge University Press)
 Hartmann, L., Calvet, N., Gullbring, E., D’Alessio, P. 1998, ApJ, 495, 385
 Herbig, G.H. 2008, AJ, 135, 637
 Herbst, W., Warner, J.W., Miller, D.P. & Herzog, A. 1982, AJ, 87, 98
 Herbst, W., Herbst, D.K. & Grossman, E.J. 1994, AJ, 108, 1906
 Herczeg, G.J. & Hillenbrand, L.A. 2008, ApJ, 681, 594
 Hernández, J., Calvet, N., Briceño, C.; Hartmann, L., Berlind, P. 2004, AJ, 127, 1682
 Hubrig, S., Yudin, R.V., Schöller, M., Pogodin, M.A. 2006, A&A 446, 1089
 Ingleby, L., Calvet, N., Herczeg, G. et al. 2013, ApJ, 767, 112
 Johns, C.M., & Basri, G. 1995, ApJ, 449, 341
 Königl, A. 1991, ApJ, 370, L39
 Kulkarni, A.K. & Romanova, M.M., Analytical Hot Spot Shapes and Magnetospheric Radius from 3D Simulations of Magnetospheric Accretion, 2013, MNRAS, in press.
 Kurosawa, R., Harries, T.J., Symington, N.H. 2006, MNRAS, 370, 580
 Kurucz, R.L. 1993, ATLAS9 Stellar Atmosphere Programs and 2 km/s grid. CD-ROM No. 13. Cambridge, Massachusetts. Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory
 Lago, M. T. V. T. & Gameiro, J.F., 1998, MNRAS, 294, 272
 Liskowsky, J.P., 2010, M.S. thesis, FUor and EXor Variables: A NIR High-Resolution Spectroscopic Survey, Clemson University.
 Manara, C.F., Testi, L., Rigliaco, E. et al. 2013, A&A, 551, A107
 Manoj, P., Bhatt, H.C., Maheswar, G., Muneer, S. 2006, ApJ, 653, 657
 Mathis, J.S. 1990, ARA&A, 28, 37
 Mendigutía, I., Eiroa, C., Montesinos, B. et al. 2011, A&A, 529, A34

- Mendigutía, I., Calvet, N., Montesinos, B. et al. 2011, *A&A*, 535, A99
- Mendigutía, I., Mora, A., Montesinos, B., et al. 2012, *A&A*, 543, A59
- Mendigutía, I., 2013, *AN*, 334, 129
- Modigliani, A., Goldoni, P., Royer, F. et al. 2010, *Proc. SPIE*, 7737
- Montesinos, B., Eiroa, C., Merín, B., Mora, A. 2009, *A&A*, 495,901
- Mora, A., Merín, B., Solano, E. et al. 2001, *A&A*, 378, 116
- Mottram, J.C., Vink, J.S., Oudmaijer, R.D., Patel, M. 2007, *MNRAS*, 377, 1363
- Muzerolle, J., Calvet, N., Hartmann, L. 1998, *ApJ*, 492, 743
- Muzerolle, J., Calvet, N., Hartmann, L. 2001, *ApJ*, 550, 944
- Muzerolle, J., D'Alessio, P., Calvet, N., Hartmann, L. 2004, *ApJ*, 617, 406
- Nguyen, D.C., Scholz, A., van Kerkwijk, M.H., Jayawardhana, R., Brandeker, A. 2009, *ApJ*, 694, L153
- Oudmaijer, R.D., van den Ancker, M., Baines, D. et al. 2011, *AN*, 332, 238
- Oudmaijer, R.D., Palacios, J., Eiroa, C. et al. 2001, *A&A*, 379, 564
- Pogodin, M.A., Hubrig, S., Yudin, R.V. et al. 2012, *AN*, 333, 594
- Rieke, G.H., Lebofsky, M.J. 1985, *ApJ*, 288, 618
- Rigliaco, E., Natta, A., Randich, S. et al. 2011, *A&A*, 526, L6
- Rigliaco, E., Natta, A., Testi, L. et al. 2012, *A&A*, 548, A56
- Robitaille, T.P., Whitney, B.A., Indebetouw, R., Wood, K. 2007, *ApJS*, 169, 328
- Shu, F., Najita, J., Ostriker, E., Wilkin, F. 1994, *ApJ*, 429, 781
- Sitko, M.L., Carpenter, W.J., Kimes, R.L. et al. 2008, *ApJ*, 678, 1070
- Sicilia-Aguilar, A., Hartmann, L.W.; Briceño, C.; Muzerolle, J., Calvet, N. 2004, *AJ*, 128, 805
- Swartz, D.A., Drake, J.J., Elsner, R.F. et al. 2005, *ApJ*, 628, 811
- Tambovtseva, L.V., Grinin, V.P., Kozlova, O.V. 1999, *Astrophysics*, 42, 1
- Uchida, Y. & Shibata, K. 1985, *PASJ*, 37, 515
- Valenti, J.A., Basri, G., Johns, C.M. 1993, *AJ*, 106, 2024
- Vernet, J., Dekker, H., D'Odorico, S. et al. 2011, *A&A*, 536, A105
- Vink, J.S., Drew, J.E., Harries, T.J., Oudmaijer, R.D. 2002, *MNRAS*, 337, 356