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#### Abstract

We study a family of area-preserving maps of the 2-torus and show that they are pseudo-Anosov. We present a method to construct finite Markov partitions for this family which utilizes their common symmetries. Through these partitions we show explicitly that each map is a tower over a first return map, intimately linked to a toral automorphism. This enables us to calculate directly some dimensional characteristics of the dynamics.


## 1 Introduction

Let us consider the following class of area preserving maps of the torus $H: \mathbb{T}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^{2}$

$$
H\left[\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
x+y+g(x) \\
y+g(x)
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $(x, y)$ are $\bmod 1$ coordinates on $\mathbb{T}^{2}$, and $g(x)$ is a continuous periodic function with period 1 .

This map can also be considered on the cylinder $\mathbb{T}^{1} \times \mathbb{R}$. If the map has an invariant curve of the form $y=\varphi(x)$ for some periodic function $\varphi(x)$ then by necessity $\int_{0}^{1} g(x) d x=0$. For $g(x)=K \sin 2 \pi x$ we obtain the Chirikov-Taylor standard map. For $g(x)=K\left(|x|-\frac{1}{4}\right),-\frac{1}{2} \leq$ $x \leq \frac{1}{2}$ we get its piecewise linear version studied in [W1, W2, Bu]. In particular it was shown in [W1] that for $K=2$, i.e., for $g(x)=2|x|-\frac{1}{2}$, outside of an invariant parallelogram the map is nonuniformly hyperbolic (and mixing [LW]). The stable and unstable leaves are piecewise linear; however, they are well-defined only almost everywhere and their direction varies only measurably. Cerbelli and Giona [CG] discovered that adding an upward shift of $\frac{1}{2}$, i.e., $g(x)=2|x|$ for $-\frac{1}{2} \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2}$, makes the map hyperbolic everywhere, and moreover

[^0]the directions of stable and unstable leaves become piecewise constant. For this choice of $g$, MacKay [M] provided an extensive mathematical analysis of the map, showing in particular that the map is pseudo-Anosov, and constructing for it a geometric Markov partition.

In this paper we include the Cerbelli-Giona map in an infinite discrete family $H_{k}: \mathbb{T}^{2} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{T}^{2}, k \in \mathbb{Z},|k| \geq 2$, defined by

$$
H_{k}\left[\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
(k-1) x+y+g_{k}(x) \\
(k-2) x+y+g_{k}(x)
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $g_{k}(x)$ is a continuous periodic function with period $1, g_{k}(x)=k|x|,-\frac{1}{2} \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2}$.
We show that the maps share many properties and construct a symmetric Markov partition for each member of the family (Sections 2 and 3). In Section 4, we show explicitly how the maps can be viewed as towers over Markov return maps, which in turn generate Markov processes identical to those induced by a corresponding family of toral automorphisms. This structure allows us to calculate some dimensional characteristics of the dynamics for all values of $k$ in a simpler way compared with the calculations in $[\mathrm{M}]$ for $k=2$. Namely, we consider the level sets of the positive Lyapunov exponent. Their Hausdorff dimension is an analytic function for which we obtain a fairly simple description. Since our system is piecewise linear and it has a Markov partition, we can use for that purpose the classical theorem of Billingsley [B].

## 2 The family and its symmetries

There is another way to represent the map $H$. Let us make the following change of variables $u=x-y, v=x$. In these variables the map $H$ becomes

$$
T\left[\begin{array}{l}
u \\
v
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
v \\
-u+G(v)
\end{array}\right]
$$

with $G(v)=2 v+g(v)$. It is straightforward to check that T defines an area-preserving homeomorphism of the torus for any circle map $G, G(v)=k v+g(v)$ for an integer $k$ and a periodic function $g(v)$.

Let us consider the involution $S(u, v)=(v, u)$. The reflection $S$ satisfies

$$
S \circ T=T^{-1} \circ S
$$

i.e., the map $T$ is reversible with respect to $S$. The advantage of this representation of the map comes from the fact that this symmetry is "skewed" in the original coordinates, and hence less visible.

We also introduce another involution $C(u, v)=\left(\frac{1}{2}-u, \frac{1}{2}-v\right)$. The map $C$ is the rotation by $\pi$ around the point $\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}\right)$, as viewed on the plane. On the torus the map $C$ has four fixed points at $\left( \pm \frac{1}{4}, \pm \frac{1}{4}\right)$, and hence it can be considered equally well as the rotation by $\pi$ around any of them. The map $T$ has additional symmetry with respect to $C$, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
C \circ T=T \circ C, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided that the function $G$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(\frac{1}{2}-v\right)=-G(v), \quad \bmod 1 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.1 A 1-parameter family of maps

The family of maps $T=T_{k}$ we shall study in this paper is defined by choosing $G_{k}(v)=$ $k v+g(v)$ where $k$ is allowed to assume any integer value $k,|k| \geq 2$, and $g(v)$ is the periodic function $g(v)=k|v|,-\frac{1}{2} \leq v \leq \frac{1}{2}$, i.e,

$$
G(v)=G_{k}(v)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
0 & \text { for }-\frac{1}{2} \leq v \leq 0 \\
2 k v & \text { for } 0 \leq v \leq \frac{1}{2}
\end{array} .\right.
$$

Such piecewise linear maps for $k=0, \pm 1$ were studied in [CW]. For $k=2$ we get the Cerbelli-Giona map, [CG]. Our functions $G_{k}$ do satisfy (2) so that the maps $T_{k}$ enjoy the self-symmetry given by (1). In $(x, y)$ coordinates, the mappings $T_{k}$ are equal to the mappings $H_{k}$ from the introduction.

We use the square $[-.5, .5] \times[-.5, .5]$ as our fundamental domain for $\mathbb{T}^{2}$ and partition it into quadrants using the coordinate axes. We use the numbering of quadrants $Q 4, Q 1, Q 2, Q 3$ starting with the positive quadrant and progressing clockwise about the origin. The reason for this numbering scheme will become clear once we define our Markov partitions.

For $v<0$, the map $T$ is clockwise rotation by $\pi / 2$ about the origin, i.e., $T(u, v)=(v,-u)$. So $Q 1$ and $Q 2$ are mapped into $Q 2$ and $Q 3$ respectively. Moreover $Q 1$ is mapped under $T^{2}$ into $Q 3$ so that $T^{2}$ there corresponds to clockwise rotation by $\pi$.

For $v>0$, the map $T=T_{k}$ is the same clockwise rotation by $\pi / 2$ followed by a vertical shear

$$
T_{k}\left[\begin{array}{l}
u \\
v
\end{array}\right]=A_{k}\left[\begin{array}{l}
u \\
v
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { where } \quad A_{k}=\left[\begin{array}{rr}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 2 k
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{rr}
1 & 0 \\
2 k & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{rr}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

So the squares $Q 3$ and $Q 4$ are mapped onto the union of $Q 4$ and $Q 1$. The linear map is hyperbolic there since $\operatorname{det}\left(A_{k}\right)=1$ and $\operatorname{tr}\left(A_{k}\right)=2 k$ and we have the restriction $|k| \geq 2$. The eigenvalues of $A_{k}$ are $\lambda_{k}$ and $\lambda_{k}^{-1}$, where $\left|\lambda_{k}\right|=|k|+\sqrt{k^{2}-1}>1$ and $\operatorname{sign}\left(\lambda_{k}\right)=\operatorname{sign}(k)$.

For each $k$, the map is clearly continuous, piecewise linear and preserves Lebesgue measure. From these considerations, one easily computes that the positive Lyapunov exponent along an orbit is equal to $s \log \left|\lambda_{k}\right|$ where $s$ is the frequency of visits to $Q 3 \cup Q 4$. Once we establish the ergodicity of $T$, we get immediately that $s=\frac{1}{2}$ Lebesgue-almost-everywhere.

Although the maps $T_{k}$ and $T_{-k}$ are not topologically conjugate (as will be explained in Section 3.2), they are related in the following way. Let $D(u, v)=\left(\frac{1}{2}-u, v\right)$ and $E(u, v)=$ $\left(u, \frac{1}{2}-v\right)$ be the reflections across the vertical line $u=1 / 4$ and the horizontal line $v=1 / 4$, respectively. We have that $D \circ E=E \circ D=C$. The relation between the maps $T_{k}$ and $T_{-k}$ is given by the following

$$
\begin{equation*}
D \circ T_{k} \circ D=E \circ T_{k} \circ E=C \circ T_{-k} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence although the maps $T_{k}$ and $T_{-k}$ are not topologically conjugate, their factors by the symmetry $C$ are. This fact will explain the symmetric connection between the Markov partitions for the maps $T_{k}$ and $T_{-k}$.

## 3 Dynamical Properties

In this section we explore some of the dynamical properties of the maps $T_{k},|k| \geq 2$, by constructing finite Markov partitions and analyzing the associated symbolic dynamics. As a by-product, we establish that the maps in this family are pseudo-Anosov.

### 3.1 Invariant foliations

We use the stable and unstable eigenvectors of the matrix $A_{k}$ to define two invariant piecewise linear foliations $\mathcal{F}^{+}$and $\mathcal{F}^{-}$for $T=T_{k}$. Note that in view of the $S$-reversibility of the map $T$, and hence also of the linear map $A_{k}$, the stable and unstable eigenvectors are exchanged by the reflection $S$.

We define the foliation $\mathcal{F}^{-}$outside of the square $Q 2$ to be comprised of segments parallel to the unstable eigenvector of $A_{k}$, extended until they intersect the boundary of $Q 2$. Inside of the square $Q 2$, we define $\mathcal{F}^{-}$to be the segments perpendicular to the unstable eigenvector of $A_{k}$. Clearly $\mathcal{F}^{-}$is invariant on the finite orbits which do not enter $Q 2$. The orbits that enter $Q 1$ are rotated clockwise by $\pi / 2$ and enter $Q 2$. They then immediately leave $Q 2$ via the same rotation by $\pi / 2$, which gives us the invariance of the foliation.

Similarly, we define the stable foliation $\mathcal{F}^{+}$by segments, respectively, parallel outside $Q 2$ and perpendicular inside $Q 2$ to the stable eigenvector of $A_{k}$. The stable foliation $\mathcal{F}^{+}$can also be obtained from the unstable foliation $\mathcal{F}^{-}$by the reflection map $S$, as should be the case in view of the $S$-reversibility of the map $T$. These foliations will allow us to establish that the maps are pseudo-Anosov, as was shown by MacKay, $[\mathrm{M}]$, for the case $k=2$.

We postpone the discussion of the transverse measures required in the definition of pseudo-Anosov maps until we have constructed the Markov partitions.

### 3.2 Nature of the singularities

The four corners of $Q 2$ are singularity points for $T$, for each value of $k$. The points $(0,0)$ and $(-1 / 2,-1 / 2)$ are fixed points while $(-1 / 2,0)$ and $(0,-1 / 2)$ make an orbit of period two.

We recall the definition of an $n$-prong singularity. An isolated singularity $p$ of $T$ is called an $n$-prong singularity, $n=1,3,4, \ldots$, if the local stable and unstable leaves at $p$ are homeomorphic to the curves $\operatorname{Re}\left(z^{n / 2}\right)=$ const and $\operatorname{Im}\left(z^{n / 2}\right)=$ const respectively near $z=0$ in $\mathbb{C}$. Note that $n=2$ is not included.

From our definition of the stable and unstable leaves, it follows that the four points mentioned above are singularity points for $T$. For $k \geq 2$, the two fixed points are 1-prong singularities and the two points of period two are 3 -prong singularities. For $k \leq-2$, the nature of the singularities reverses: the two fixed points are 3-prong singularities and the two points of period two are 1-prong singularities. Since the type of singularity is a topological invariant we can see that the maps for $k$ and $-k$ cannot be topologically conjugate.

There are other fixed points for $T$ which are not singular. In fact, the number of fixed points for $T$ increases with $|k|$. By symmetry, fixed points lie on the line $v=u$ and so are easy to find. There are $|k|+2$ fixed points for $k \leq-2$, and $k$ fixed points for $k \geq 2$. This again implies that the maps for opposite values of $k$ are not topologically conjugate.

### 3.3 The Markov partition

In $[\mathrm{M}]$, a Markov partition with 6 elements was constructed for the map $H$ conjugate to $T_{2}$. This was further refined in [G] where it was shown that in fact $H$ admits a 4 element partition. Our Markov partition for $T_{k}$ also has 4 elements, but it is not generating and is not a generalization of the partitions used in [M, G]. Its advantage lies in its symmetry and universal applicability for all values of $k$.

The boundaries of our partition elements consist entirely of pieces of the unstable manifolds of the 1-prong singularities and stable manifolds of the 3-prong singularities, which are either fixed points or points of period 2 (depending on the sign of $k$ ). This is the basis for the Markov property, just as in the case of Markov partitions for hyperbolic 2-dimensional toral automorphisms, $[\mathrm{KH}]$.

We will denote the elements of the partition $\mathcal{P}=\mathcal{P}_{k}$ by $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}, P_{4}$, where the numbering is chosen in such a way that $P_{2}$ and $P_{3}$ cover mostly (but not exactly) $Q 2$ and $Q 3$ respectively.

To define $P_{3}$ we extend the stable leaves of the two 3 -prong singularities, which lie outside $Q 2$, until their first intersection with the unstable leaves of the 1-prong singularities. The set $P_{3}$ is the parallelogram bounded by the four segments and lying mainly in $Q 3$.

We have the symmetry $C\left(P_{3}\right)=P_{3}$. It is a simple geometric fact that independent of the value of $k$ the area of $P_{3}$ is the same as the area of $Q 3$, i.e., it is equal to $1 / 4$. This follows from the fact that the stable and unstable directions are symmetric under the reflection $S$.

We now define $P_{2}=T^{-1}\left(P_{3}\right)$ and $P_{4}=T\left(P_{3}\right)$. The set $P_{1}$ is the complement of the union $P_{2} \cup P_{3} \cup P_{4}$ (more exactly the closure of the complement). Since $T$ is area-preserving, by construction all the elements of our partition have the same area (equal to 1/4). For clarity, we include figures of the Markov partition and its image for $k=-2$ in Figure 1.

Notice that each of the four pieces of the partition $\mathcal{P}$ is centered at one of the fixed points of $C$ and enjoys the same symmetry $C\left(P_{i}\right)=P_{i}$.

To see that $\mathcal{P}$ is in fact a Markov partition for $T$, first consider the following description of its boundary.
(a) The unstable boundaries of the four elements lie entirely on segments of the unstable leaves of the two 1-prong singularities. These segments can be described as follows. The unstable leaf is extended until it crosses the boundary of $Q 2$. It stops at its first intersection inside $Q 2$ with the stable manifold of one of the 3 -prong singularities. Incidentally these segments constitute the complete unstable boundaries of the "longest" element $P_{1}$. At the 1-prong singularities the boundary of $P_{1}$ has a "fold" which we prefer to consider as cut open.
(b) The stable boundaries of the four elements lie entirely on segments of the stable leaves of the 3 -prong singularities. These segments are obtained by extending the stable leaves until their first intersection outside of $Q 2$ with the unstable manifold of the 1-prong singularities. In this case all of the stable boundaries are contained in the stable boundaries of $P_{2}$ and $P_{3}$.
Remark 3.1. Although Figure 1 shows $\mathcal{P}$ for $k=-2$, the structure of $\mathcal{P}$ remains essentially the same for each value of $k$. The modifications for other values of $k$ are as follows.

As $|k|$ increases, so does $\left|\lambda_{k}\right|$ and the unstable leaves of $A_{k}$ outside of $Q 2$ become close to vertical and the stable leaves become close to horizontal. Hence for large $|k|, P_{3}$ almost


Figure 1: (a) A 4 element Markov partition for $k=-2$ and (b) its image.
(a) The partition elements follow the numbering described above so that $T(3)=4$, $T(2)=3$ and element 1 is the complement of the first three. The dotted square represents the boundary of $Q 2$ where $\mathcal{F}^{+}$and $\mathcal{F}^{-}$change direction by $\pi / 2$. Its corners are the 4 singularity points and the emphasized dot represents the origin.
(b) The image of each element $j$ is labeled $j^{\prime}$.
coincides with the square Q3. The slopes of the stable and unstable leaves are positive for $k \geq 2$ and negative for $k \leq-2$.
$P_{4}$ and $P_{1}$ become longer as $|k|$ increases so that $P_{4}$ runs past $|k|$ copies of the square $Q 2$ while $P_{1}$ runs past $|k|+1$ copies of $Q 2$. The images of $P_{1} \cap Q 4$ and $P_{4} \cap Q 4$ are expanded by a factor of $\left|\lambda_{k}\right|$ so that the number of intersections with $Q 2$ increases linearly with $|k|$.

We recall the two defining features of a Markov partition in two dimensions: (1) Each element of $\mathcal{P}$ has a local product structure: the local stable and unstable manifolds of any two points in a given element have a unique point of intersection in that element; (2) The boundary of the partition is a union of stable and unstable leaves, denoted $\partial \mathcal{P}^{+}$and $\partial \mathcal{P}^{-}$ respectively, satisfying: $T\left(\partial \mathcal{P}^{+}\right) \subset \partial \mathcal{P}^{+}$and $T^{-1}\left(\partial \mathcal{P}^{-}\right) \subset \partial \mathcal{P}^{-}$.

For our partition, the first condition follows immediately from the fact that the boundary of each element of $\mathcal{P}$ has four "sides," an alternating arrangement of two stable and two unstable curves. The second condition is also fairly straight forward. For $k \geq 2$, we use the unstable manifolds of the fixed points for $\partial \mathcal{P}^{-}$so it is automatic that $T^{-1}\left(\partial \mathcal{P}^{-}\right) \subset \partial \mathcal{P}^{-}$. For $k \leq-2$, we use the unstable manifolds of the points of period two so that one unstable segment is mapped into the other by $T^{-1}$. But since they begin with comparable lengths and each shrinks by a factor of $\lambda^{-1}$, they do map strictly inside one another. Similar considerations show that the stable manifolds of the 3-prong singularities map inside one another under the action of $T$.

A second way to check that $\mathcal{P}$ is Markov is to use the fact that $T\left(P_{3}\right)=P_{4}$ and $T\left(P_{2}\right)=$ $P_{3}$. So the $\mathcal{F}^{+}$(stable) boundaries of $P_{2}$ and $P_{3}$ automatically satisfy requirement (2) for a Markov partition. But the $\mathcal{F}^{+}$boundaries of $P_{1}$ and $P_{4}$ are contained in those of $P_{2}$ and $P_{3}$ by (b) above so there is nothing left to check for $\partial \mathcal{P}^{+}$. For $\partial \mathcal{P}^{-}$, the fact that $T^{-1}\left(P_{3}\right)=P_{2}$ and $T^{-1}\left(P_{4}\right)=P_{3}$ implies that the $\mathcal{F}^{-}$(unstable) boundaries of $P_{3}$ and $P_{4}$ satisfy requirement (2). The only part of $\partial \mathcal{P}^{-}$left to check are two short unstable segments coming out of the 1-prong singularities before they intersect one of the stable sides of $P_{3}$. Since these two segments have the same length (by symmetry) and are each contracted by a factor of $\lambda^{-1}$ in one application of $T^{-1}$, they map strictly inside one another if $k \leq-2$ and strictly inside themselves if $k \geq 2$.

The partition $\mathcal{P}$ also enjoys the following symmetry. Recall that the nature of the 1 and 3 -prong singularities is reversed when we switch from positive to negative $k$. Thus if we follow the change in 1 and 3 -prong singularities and switch the roles of stable and unstable manifolds in our partition for $T_{k}$, we get the corresponding partition for $T_{-k}$.

To be precise, once the Markov partition $\mathcal{P}_{k}=\left\{P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}, P_{4}\right\}$ for a given value of $k$ is constructed, we obtain the Markov partition of the map for the opposite value $-k$ by applying the reflection $D$ (or $E$ ), i.e, $\mathcal{P}_{-k}=\left\{D\left(P_{1}\right), D\left(P_{2}\right), D\left(P_{3}\right), D\left(P_{4}\right)\right\}$. The Markov property of one follows from the Markov property of the other using the symmetries (1) and (3). Indeed $D$ takes stable leaves of $T_{-k}$ into stable leaves of $T_{k}$, and unstable into unstable.

### 3.3.1 Connectivity matrix

Once the existence of a finite Markov partition has been established, we know that $T$ is nearly conjugate to a topological Markov chain whose dynamics are described by a connectivity matrix. Since the partition is not generating, we count the number of connected intersections with the image of each piece separately.

From Figure 1, we can easily read off the connectivity matrix and notice the pattern for the family of maps $T_{k}$ since the structure of the partition remains essentially unchanged (see Remark 3.1). Indeed, the connectivity matrix is given by

$$
M_{k}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
|k| & |k|+1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
|k|-1 & |k| & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

where the $(i, j)^{\mathrm{th}}$ entry of $M_{k}$, denoted $M_{k}(i, j)$, is the number of connected components of $T\left(P_{i}\right) \cap P_{j}$. The simple structure of the matrix follows from the fact that $T\left(P_{2}\right)=P_{3}$ and $T\left(P_{3}\right)=P_{4}$.

The characteristic polynomial is $t^{4}-|k| t^{3}-|k| t+1$ and the top eigenvalue is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{k}=\frac{|k|+\sqrt{k^{2}+8}+\sqrt{-8+2 k^{2}+2|k| \sqrt{k^{2}+8}}}{4} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows immediately that the topological entropy of $T_{k}$ is given by $h_{\text {top }}\left(T_{k}\right)=\log \alpha_{k}$ for each $k$.

In addition, Lebesgue measure is the SRB measure of the system. In Section 4.2 we will establish that its metric entropy is equal to $h_{m}\left(T_{k}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \log \left|\lambda_{k}\right|$ so that Pesin's formula holds.

### 3.4 Maps $T_{k}$ are pseudo-Anosov

### 3.4.1 Definition of pseudo-Anosov

Recall that a homeomorphism $f$ of a compact surface is called pseudo-Anosov if it possesses a uniformly transverse pair of foliations $\mathcal{F}^{+}$and $\mathcal{F}^{-}$with transverse measures $\mu^{+}$and $\mu^{-}$ which are expanded by a constant factor $\alpha>1$ at each iteration of $f$ and $f^{-1}$, respectively. In addition, $f$ has a finite nonempty set of $n$-prong singularities.

Pseudo-Anosov maps were introduced by Thurston $[\mathrm{T}]$ and have well-established properties. In particular, it is known that such maps possess finite Markov partitions, are topologically mixing with positive topological entropy, $h_{\text {top }}(f)=\log \alpha$, and possess a measure of maximal entropy $\mu$ which is the product of the two transverse measures $\mu^{ \pm}$(for further properties, see also [Bo, CB]). Pseudo-Anosov homeomorphisms with quadratic $\alpha$ and evenpronged singularities are known to be semi-conjugate to a hyperbolic toral automorphism [FR]; note that for our $T_{k}, \alpha$ is quartic (4) and our singularities are odd-pronged.

### 3.4.2 Description of transverse measures

The invariant foliations $\mathcal{F}^{+}$and $\mathcal{F}^{-}$required by the definition of pseudo-Anosov are the stable and unstable foliations, respectively, defined in Section 3.1. We have already seen in Section 3.2 that the 4 singularities of $T_{k}$ are of the type required for a pseudo-Anosov map. It remains to provide the transverse measures $\mu^{+}$and $\mu^{-}$. Since we have already constructed the Markov partition for our maps we can use the standard procedure as in $[\mathrm{M}]$ adapted to a nongenerating partition.

We define $\mu^{+}$on $\mathcal{F}^{+}$as follows. Since $M=M_{k}$ is a nonnegative, mixing matrix for each $|k| \geq 2$, we know from Perron-Frobenius theory that there exists a unique positive right eigenvector $\vec{p}$ corresponding to the largest eigenvalue $\alpha=\alpha_{k}$, given by (4). There also exists a unique positive left eigenvector $\vec{q}$ corresponding to $\alpha$. We assume both are normalized so that their components sum to one.

On each element of $\mathcal{P}$, we define $\mu^{+}\left(P_{i}\right)=\vec{p}(i)$, i.e. the set of all (local) leaves in $\mathcal{F}^{+}$ crossing $P_{i}$ has weight equal to the $i^{\text {th }}$ component of the vector $\vec{p}$.

We define the weight of an arbitrary subinterval $I$ of leaves of $\mathcal{F}^{+}$crossing a given region in terms of cylinder sets.

We call $R$ a u-subset (resp. s-subset) if $R$ lies entirely in one of the elements $P_{i}$ of $\mathcal{P}$ and has the property that any unstable (stable) leaf in $R$ extends fully across $P_{i}$.

Let $R=\left[i_{0}, \ldots, i_{r}\right]$ be a cylinder set with respect to $\bigvee_{j=0}^{r} T^{-j} \mathcal{P}$, i.e. $R=\bigcap_{j=0}^{r} T^{-j} P_{i_{j}}$. The cylinder $R$ is an s-subset in $P_{i_{0}}$ corresponding to a subset of (local) leaves $\mathcal{F}^{+}$. The number $c(R)$ of connected components of $R$ can be expressed in terms of the connectivity matrix, namely

$$
c(R)=M\left(i_{0}, i_{1}\right) \cdots M\left(i_{r-1}, i_{r}\right)
$$

We put $\mu^{+}(R)=\alpha^{-r} c(R) \vec{p}\left(i_{r}\right)$. Further we give the weight of $\alpha^{-r} \vec{p}\left(i_{r}\right)$ to the set of (local) leaves comprising each of the $c(R)$ components of $R$. It follows immediately from this description that $\mu^{+}$is expanded uniformly by a factor of $\alpha$ at each iterate of $T$.

It is straightforward to check that $\mu^{+}$is additive on cylinder sets. Since $\left[i_{0}, \ldots, i_{r-1}\right]=$ $\bigcup_{i=1}^{4}\left[i_{0}, \ldots, i_{r-1}, i\right]$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{4} \mu^{+}\left(\left[i_{0}, \ldots, i_{r-1}, i\right]\right) & =\sum_{i=1}^{4} \alpha^{-r} M\left(i_{0}, i_{1}\right) \cdots M\left(i_{r-1}, i\right) \vec{p}(i) \\
& =\alpha^{-r} M\left(i_{0}, i_{1}\right) \cdots M\left(i_{r-2}, i_{r-1}\right) \alpha \vec{p}\left(i_{r-1}\right)=\mu^{+}\left(\left[i_{0}, \ldots, i_{r-1}\right]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the last line, we have used the fact that $\vec{p}$ is a right eigenvector for $M$.
Similarly, one defines $\mu^{-}$on $\mathcal{F}^{-}$using as weights the entries of the left eigenvector $\vec{q}$. Since the connectivity matrix for $T^{-1}$ is the transpose of $M, \alpha$ remains the top eigenvalue and the measure $\mu^{-}$enjoys the same uniform expansion as $\mu^{+}$by a factor of $\alpha$ at each iterate of $T^{-1}$. Alternatively, since $\mathcal{F}^{-}$is simply $\mathcal{F}^{+}$reflected across the line $u=v$, one can define $\mu^{-}$from $\mu^{+}$by applying the reflection $S$.

Remark 3.2. The measure $\mu^{+}$can be realized as the limit of various dynamical quantities. One is the following: Fix $r$ and let $R \in \bigvee_{j=0}^{r} T^{-j} \mathcal{P}$. For $n \geq 0$, let $\zeta_{n}(k), k=$ $1,2, \ldots$, be the finite sequence of labels of the elements of $\mathcal{P}$ completely crossed in the unstable direction by $T^{n}(R)$, repeated according to the number of crossings. Then $\mu^{+}(R)=$ $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha^{-n} \sum_{k} \vec{p}\left(\zeta_{n}(k)\right)$. The proof of this is standard (c.f. [KH, Chapter 4]). We give two more equivalent characterizations in the next section.

### 3.4.3 Connection to a physical measure

The transverse measures $\mu^{+}$and $\mu^{-}$represent the asymptotic distributions of long segments of leaves in $\mathcal{F}^{+}$and $\mathcal{F}^{-}$respectively, which goes back to Margulis [KH]. Let us make this connection explicit.

It is a standard consequence of the Perron-Frobenius theorem (see, for example, [KH]) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha^{-n} M^{n}(i, j)=\vec{p}(i) \vec{q}(j) /\left(\sum_{i} \vec{p}(i) \vec{q}(i)\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define a type of counting measure $\nu^{+}$as follows. For a point $z$ let $i(z)$ be its label, i.e., $z \in P_{i(z)}$ and let $\omega_{0}(z)$ be an element of $\left.\mathcal{F}^{+}\right|_{P_{i(z)}}$, i.e. a full stable leaf in $P_{i(z)}$. We consider the long segments of leaves in $\mathcal{F}^{+}, \omega_{n}(z)=T^{-n} \omega_{0}\left(T^{n} z\right)$ and define its asymptotic distribution as follows. Let

$$
N_{n}\left(P_{j}\right)=\# \text { of times } \omega_{n}(z) \text { crosses } P_{j}
$$

and notice that $N_{n}\left(P_{j}\right)=M^{n}\left(j, i\left(T^{n} z\right)\right)$ since the connectivity matrix for $T^{-1}$ is the transpose of $M$. Let $N_{n}=\sum_{j=1}^{4} N_{n}\left(P_{j}\right)$ denote the total number of crossings by $\omega_{n}(z)$. Then the asymptotic proportion of the number of crossings of $P_{j}$ by the complete stable leaf through $z$ is given by

$$
\nu^{+}\left(P_{j}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M^{n}\left(j, i\left(T^{n} z\right)\right)}{\sum_{r=1}^{4} M^{n}\left(r, i\left(T^{n} z\right)\right)}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha^{-n} M^{n}(j, i)}{\alpha^{-n} \sum_{r=1}^{4} M^{n}(r, i)}=\frac{\vec{p}(j) \vec{q}(i)}{\sum_{r=1}^{4} \vec{p}(r) \vec{q}(i)}=\vec{p}(j)
$$

where we have split the sequence into subsequences with constant value of $i\left(T^{n} z\right)=i$, and used (5) to evaluate the limit.

Notice that the limit is independent of the choice of $z$ and that it agrees with $\mu^{+}$on partition elements.

We now establish that $\nu^{+}$and $\mu^{+}$are equal on connected s-subsets and are therefore equal as measures. Let $S$ be an s-subset which is a connected component of an (rin)-cylinder, $R=\left[i_{0}, \ldots, i_{r}\right]$.

We must count the number of times $\omega_{n}(z)$ crosses $S$. Notice that the number of crossings of $R$ by $\omega_{n}(z)$ is equal to the number of crossings of $P_{i_{r}}$ by $\omega_{n-r}(z)$ multiplied by $c(R)=M\left(i_{0}, i_{1}\right) \cdots M\left(i_{r-1}, i_{r}\right)$, the number of connected components in $R$. Thus for a single connected component, $N_{n}(S)=N_{n-r}\left(P_{i_{r}}\right)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nu^{+}(S) & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N_{n-r}\left(P_{i_{r}}\right)}{N_{n}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha^{-r} \frac{\alpha^{r-n} M^{n-r}\left(i_{r}, i\left(T^{n-r} z\right)\right.}{\alpha^{-n} \sum_{j=1}^{4} M^{n}\left(j, i\left(T^{n-r} z\right)\right.} \\
& =\alpha^{-r} \vec{p}\left(i_{r}\right)=\mu^{+}(S)
\end{aligned}
$$

where again we have used (5).
Remark 3.3. The measure $\nu^{+}$is obtained by growing the local stable leaf of a single point. The same measure is also defined by applying $T^{-n}$ to a single stable leaf and measuring its asymptotic distribution. In the notation above, fix $\left.\omega \in \mathcal{F}^{+}\right|_{P_{i}}$ and let $N_{i}^{n}\left(P_{j}\right)$ denote the number of times $T^{-n} \omega$ crosses $P_{j}$. The calculations of $\nu^{+}\left(P_{j}\right)$ and $\nu^{+}(S)$ follow as above.

### 3.4.4 Quantification of multifractality

We can quantify the fractality of the measure $\mu_{+}$in the same way as was done in $[\mathrm{M}]$. Let us fix a smooth transversal $J$ to the stable foliation $\mathcal{F}^{+}$, say a piece of unstable leaf. For
a point $x \in J$, let $J^{n}(x)$ be the interval corresponding to the connected component of the cylinder set $\left[i_{0}, i_{i}, \ldots, i_{n}\right]$ containing $x$. It follows from the definition of $\mu^{+}$that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mu^{+}\left(J^{n}(x)\right)=-\log \alpha_{k} .
$$

On the other hand for the Lebesgue measure of the interval $\left|J^{n}(x)\right|$ and the positive Lyapunov exponent $\chi(x)$, we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \left|J^{n}(x)\right|=-\chi(x)
$$

It follows that the pointwise dimension $\delta(x)$ of $\mu^{+}$is given by,

$$
\delta(x)=\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\log \mu^{+}\left(J^{n}(x)\right)}{\log \left|J^{n}(x)\right|}=\frac{\log \alpha_{k}}{\chi(x)} .
$$

For Lebesgue-almost-every point $x \in J$, the Lyapunov exponent $\chi(x)=\frac{\log \left|\lambda_{k}\right|}{2}$ and hence the pointwise dimension is $\delta(x)=\frac{2 \log \alpha_{k}}{\log \left|\lambda_{k}\right|}$. Using (4), one can easily calculate that this pointwise dimension is larger than 1 and increases to 2 as the shearing coefficient $k$ tends to infinity.

## 4 Simplified Analysis via Reduction to Two States

In this section we describe explicitly the connection between $T$ and a related family of toral automorphisms. By rectifying the elements of our Markov partition $\mathcal{P}$, we show that $T$ can be viewed as an extension of a map $\widetilde{T}$ which is metrically equivalent to and generates the same Markov process as the toral automorphism defined by its transition matrix. This in turn allows us to compute directly the Hausdorff dimensions of the level sets of the positive Lyapunov exponent.

### 4.1 Rectification of the Markov partition

The element $P_{3}$ is a parallelogram, the other pieces are not. This is consistent with the fact that the stable and unstable leaves are piecewise linear, but not linear. However both $P_{2}=T^{-1}\left(P_{3}\right)$ and $P_{4}=T\left(P_{3}\right)$ can be considered as being geometric parallelograms. The map $T$ itself, being piecewise linear, provides the geometric coordinates in which the "ends" of $P_{2}$ and $P_{4}$ can be straightened. There is another way to achieve the same goal: the two ends in $P_{2} \backslash Q_{2}$ can be sheared into position so that the stable and unstable leaves in $P_{2}$ become straight and the piece turns into a parallelogram. By a shear we mean a linear map with a double eigenvalue 1. Note that we have not changed the element in the "middle", i.e., $P_{2} \cap Q_{2}$ stays the same. The resulting parallelogram is congruent to $P_{3}$ under the clockwise rotation by $\pi / 2$ about its center. We will rotate the rectified piece by this angle, and denote the obtained parallelogram by $R_{2}$. In the same way the element $P_{4}$ can be turned into the parallelogram $R_{4}$ by shearing. We do not rotate $R_{4}$.

We claim that $P_{1}$ also can be turned into a parallelogram by shearing. Since this is obviously the most complex of the pieces to rectify, we will describe this procedure precisely and give an argument which is independent of $k$. For clarity, Figure 2 illustrates the process
in the case $k=-2$. Indeed, it suffices to give the details for one end of $P_{1}$ since the other end can be rectified following the same steps by symmetry. We will refer to the named points of Figure 2 throughout the following construction.


Figure 2: One end of $P_{1}$ and its image after each shear.
(a) One end of $P_{1}$ showing the cut along segment $D E$. The stable boundary is given by $B A E ; B C$ and the two segments extending downwards from $C$ and $D$ belong to the unstable boundary. As in Figure 1, the dotted square represents the boundary of $Q 2$.
(b) $\triangle A D E$ after the first shear has become $\triangle A D E^{\prime}$. The stable boundary has been straightened.
(c) Quadrilateral $D C B E^{\prime}$ has been sheared into $D C B^{\prime} E^{\prime \prime}$, straightening both unstable boundaries simultaneously. Edge $B^{\prime} E^{\prime \prime}$ is now parallel to the stable direction of $T$ outside of $Q 2$. The dash-dot line $D A^{\prime}$ represents the image of the boundary of the domain on which the first shear acted; $D C$ is the boundary of the domain for the second shear.

Step 1. We first cut open $P_{1}$ along the singular unstable leaf of the 1-prong singularity from point $D$ to point $E$ (Fig. 2(a)). Our goal is to shear $\triangle A D E$ so that segment $A D$ is kept invariant and the image $E^{\prime}$ of $E$ lies on the segment $B F$ which is an extension of $B A$. Thus we are straightening the stable boundary $B A E$. Notice that by definition of $\mathcal{F}^{+}, B F$ is perpendicular to $A E$.

The stable eigenvector of $A_{k}$ is $\vec{v}_{s}=\left[\begin{array}{c}1 \\ \lambda^{-1}\end{array}\right]$ and by definition of $\mathcal{F}^{+}$, this gives the direction of $A E$. Recall that $\lambda$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{2}-2 k \lambda+1=0 \quad \text { so } \quad \lambda+\lambda^{-1}=2 k . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the first shear, we consider $A$ as the origin of our coordinate system and let

$$
L_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -2 k \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Since by (6),

$$
L_{1} \vec{v}_{s}=-\lambda^{-1}\left[\begin{array}{c}
-\lambda+2 k \\
-1
\end{array}\right]=-\lambda^{-1}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\lambda^{-1} \\
-1
\end{array}\right]
$$

which is perpendicular to $\vec{v}_{s}$, we know that $A E^{\prime}$ lies on $A F$ as required.
Due to the symmetries of $\mathcal{P}$, we also have the following important lemma.
Lemma 4.1. $D E^{\prime}$ is parallel to $B C$.
Proof. Recall that $B C$ is perpendicular to $D E$ by definition of $\mathcal{F}^{-}$. Since $A D$ is invariant under $L_{1}$ and $D E$ is parallel to the unstable eigenvector $\vec{v}_{u}=\left[\begin{array}{l}1 \\ \lambda\end{array}\right]$, the direction of $D E^{\prime}$ must be given by $L_{1} \vec{v}_{u}$. This is

$$
L_{1} \vec{v}_{u}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
1-2 k \lambda \\
\lambda
\end{array}\right]=\lambda\left[\begin{array}{c}
-\lambda \\
1
\end{array}\right]
$$

again using (6). Thus $D E^{\prime}$ is perpendicular to $D E$ and so parallel to $B C$.
The importance of this lemma lies in the fact that since $D E^{\prime}$ is parallel to $B C$, a single shear will align both segments with $H C$ and $G D$, which otherwise might not have been possible (see Figure 2(b)).

Step 2. Our goal in this step is to use a single shear which will simultaneously straighten $H C B$ and $G D E^{\prime}$ while aligning $B E^{\prime}$ with the stable direction given by $\vec{v}_{s}$. (See Figure 2(b) and (c).)

For the second shear, we consider $D$ to be the origin of our coordinate system and let

$$
L_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
2 k & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Since $D E^{\prime}$ is parallel to $\left[\begin{array}{c}\lambda \\ -1\end{array}\right]$, its image under $L_{2}, D E^{\prime \prime}$, must be parallel to

$$
L_{2}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\lambda \\
-1
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\lambda \\
2 k \lambda-1
\end{array}\right]=\lambda\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
\lambda
\end{array}\right]
$$

which is parallel to $\vec{v}_{u}$. Thus $G D E^{\prime \prime}$ is a straight line.
Since $B C$ is parallel to $D E^{\prime}$ and $D C$ is invariant under $L_{2}$ in our chosen coordinates, the image $B^{\prime} C$ of $B C$ is also parallel to $\vec{v}_{u}$. Thus $H C B^{\prime}$ is also a straight line.

It remains to show that $B^{\prime} E^{\prime \prime}$ is parallel to $\vec{v}_{s}$. Recall from Step 1 that $B E^{\prime}$ is parallel to $\left[\begin{array}{c}\lambda^{-1} \\ -1\end{array}\right]$. Now

$$
L_{2}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\lambda^{-1} \\
-1
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\lambda^{-1} \\
2 k \lambda^{-1}-1
\end{array}\right]=\lambda^{-1} \vec{v}_{s}
$$

again using (6). Thus $B^{\prime} E^{\prime \prime}$ is parallel to $\vec{v}_{s}$ as required.
The net result after shearing both ends of $P_{1}$ is a parallelogram, which we denote by $R_{1}$, with two sides parallel to $\vec{v}_{u}$ and two sides parallel to $\vec{v}_{s}$.

Thus we get four parallelograms $R_{1}, R_{2}, R_{4}$ and $R_{3}=P_{3}$, which we place apart, i.e., with no mutual intersections, in one plane so that the stable boundaries (and unstable boundaries) of the four pieces are parallel. Choosing the scalar product appropriately we
can make the stable boundaries and unstable boundaries perpendicular to each other. For example the stable boundaries are horizontal and the unstable boundaries are vertical. The parallelograms become rectangles.

The map $T$ in this representation is still piecewise linear. Up to translations and/or rotation by $\pi / 2$ these are the same linear maps as before. Moreover, in our new coordinates the linear map $A_{k}$ is diagonal with $\lambda$ and $\lambda^{-1}$ on the diagonal. To see this, it is useful to invoke the following lemma, which we state without proof.

Lemma 4.2. An invertible area preserving map from a rectangle in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, with horizontal and vertical sides, into $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ which takes horizontal segments into horizontal segments and vertical segments into vertical segments, must be linear.

By the lemma the map $T$ is linear on each separate rectangle in $R_{i} \cap T^{-1} R_{j}$. It is also linear on each of the pieces which were sheared, or left alone, during the process of rectification described above. Since these two ways of partitioning the rectangles have no common pieces (see Figure 2(c)), we are able to make the following conclusions:

1. The map $T$ translates $R_{2}$ onto $R_{3}$ because to obtain $R_{2}$ we have rotated $P_{2}$ clockwise by $\pi / 2$. Hence the derivative of $T$ on $R_{2}$ is equal to the identity $I$.
2. On $R_{3}$ the derivative of $T$ is equal to $A$.
3. On $R_{4} \cap T^{-1} R_{1}$ the derivative is $A$ while on $R_{4} \cap T^{-1} R_{2}$ it is equal to minus identity $-I$ (the rotation by $\pi$ ), since $R_{2}$ is the rectified $P_{2}$ rotated clockwise by an additional angle of $\pi / 2$.
4. On $R_{1} \cap T^{-1} R_{2}$ the derivative of $T$ is $-I$ while on $R_{1} \cap T^{-1} R_{1}$ it is $A$.

These relations are summarized in Figure 3.


Figure 3: Schematic of the map $T$ on 4 rectangles.

### 4.2 A return map for $T$

According to Figure 3, we consider $R_{1}, R_{2}, R_{3}$ and $R_{4}$ as forming a tower of height 2 over the base $R_{1} \cup R_{2}$. We define the return map $\widetilde{T}: R_{1} \cup R_{2} \circlearrowleft$ by $\left.\widetilde{T}\right|_{R_{1}}=T$ and $\left.\widetilde{T}\right|_{R_{2}}=T^{3}$. It is again piecewise linear. Its derivative can be obtained directly from of the determination of the derivative of $T$ above. In particular for $p \in R_{1} \cap \widetilde{T}^{-1} R_{1}$, the derivative $D_{p} \widetilde{T}=A$. On $R_{2} \cap \widetilde{T}^{-1} R_{1}$ it is equal to $A^{2}$ while on $R_{1} \cap \widetilde{T}^{-1} R_{2}$ it is equal to $-I$. Finally on $R_{2} \cap \widetilde{T}^{-1} R_{2}$, the derivative is equal to $-A$.

The return map $\widetilde{T}$ is a Markov map on the two rectangles $R_{1} \cup R_{2}$. We can find the connectivity matrix $\widetilde{M}=\widetilde{M}_{|k|}$ directly from the matrix $M_{k}$ given in Section 3.3.1 for the 4 element partition:

$$
\widetilde{M}_{|k|}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
|k| & |k|+1 \\
|k|-1 & |k|
\end{array}\right]
$$

where the $(i, j)^{\text {th }}$ entry of $\widetilde{M}$, is the number of connected components of $\widetilde{T}\left(R_{i}\right) \cap R_{j}$. The larger eigenvalue of this matrix is the same as that of $A_{k}$ (up to sign).

Let us change variables in $R_{1}$ one more time. Namely we identify $R_{1}$ with $\widehat{R}_{1}=A^{-1} R_{1}$. We will consider this identification to be just a change of coordinates (the coordinates in $R_{2}$ stay the same), and we will denote the resulting rectangle again by $R_{1}$. In these new coordinates the derivative of the mapping $\widetilde{T}$ is equal to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-A \text { on } R_{1} \cap \widetilde{T}^{-1} R_{2} \text { and } R_{2} \cap \widetilde{T}^{-1} R_{2} ; \quad \text { and } \quad A \text { on } R_{2} \cap \widetilde{T}^{-1} R_{1} \text { and } R_{1} \cap \widetilde{T}^{-1} R_{1} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have thus achieved that the derivative of $\widetilde{T}$ is equal to $\pm A$. We see immediately that the metric entropy of $\widetilde{T}$ (with respect to area measure) is equal to $\log |\lambda|$. Since the average return time to $R_{1} \cup R_{2}$ is equal to 2 , it follows from the Abramov formula that the metric entropy of $T$ is equal to $\frac{1}{2} \log |\lambda|$.

### 4.2.1 Connection to a toral automorphism

The matrix $\widetilde{M}=\widetilde{M}_{|k|}$ is an integer matrix with determinant one and eigenvalues equal to $|\lambda|$ and $|\lambda|^{-1}$. Hence it defines a hyperbolic toral automorphism which we denote by $m: \mathbb{T}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^{2}$. There is an affinity between the mapping $\widetilde{T}$ and the toral automorphism $m$. Indeed since their metric entropies with respect to the area measure are equal and both are metrically isomorphic to Bernoulli systems, then by Ornstein's Theorem they must be metrically isomorphic. There is actually an even stronger connection.

The mapping $\widetilde{T}$, the partition $\left\{R_{1}, R_{2}\right\}$, and the normalized area define a stochastic process which we denote by $\xi$. The process $\xi$ is the double sided stationary Markov chain obtained from the connectivity matrix $\widetilde{M}$ by the Parry recipe. Indeed let us denote by $p_{1}, p_{2}$ the lengths of the unstable (vertical) and by $q_{1}, q_{2}$ the lengths of the stable (horizontal) sides of $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ respectively. Then for any sequence $\left(i_{0}, i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}\right)$ of 1's and 2's the cylinder set

$$
R_{i_{0}} \cap \widetilde{T}^{-1} R_{i_{1}} \cap \cdots \cap \widetilde{T}^{-n} R_{i_{n}}
$$

is the union of $\widetilde{M^{n}}\left(i_{0}, i_{n}\right)$ horizontal rectangles with area equal to $|\lambda|^{-n} q_{i_{0}} p_{i_{n}}$, where $\widetilde{M}^{n}\left(i_{0}, i_{n}\right)$ is the $\left(i_{0}, i_{n}\right)$ element of $\widetilde{M^{n}}$. Further, the shapes of $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ can be read off from $\widetilde{M}$.

Namely, $\vec{p}=\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)$ is the right eigenvector and $\vec{q}=\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right)$ is the left eigenvector of $\widetilde{M}$, with eigenvalue $|\lambda|$. To see this, note that since $D \widetilde{T}= \pm A$ by (7), the lengths of the unstable sides are multiplied by $|\lambda|$ under the application of $\widetilde{T}$. This yields the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\lambda| p_{1}=|k| p_{1}+(|k|+1) p_{2} \quad \text { and } \quad|\lambda| p_{2}=(|k|-1) p_{1}+|k| p_{2} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly the lengths of stable sides are multiplied by $|\lambda|^{-1}$ under the application of $\widetilde{T}$ and we get the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{1}=|k||\lambda|^{-1} q_{1}+(|k|-1)|\lambda|^{-1} q_{2} \quad \text { and } \quad q_{2}=(|k|+1)|\lambda|^{-1} q_{1}+|k||\lambda|^{-1} q_{2} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Direct calculation shows that the eigenvectors are $\vec{p}=(\sqrt{|k|+1}, \sqrt{|k|-1})$ and $\vec{q}=$ $(\sqrt{|k|-1}, \sqrt{|k|+1})$ (both equalities up to a scalar factor). Thus the rectangles $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ can be made congruent by the rotation by $\frac{\pi}{2}$ (scaling appropriately the stable and unstable sides).

Note that the Markov chain $\xi$ is only a factor of $\widetilde{T}$, since the partition is not generating.
Proposition 4.3. There is a Markov partition for the toral automorphism $m$ consisting of two rectangles linearly equivalent to $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ such that the resulting Markov chain is equal to $\xi$.

Proof. By a theorem of Adler [A, Theorem 8.4], $m$ has a (nongenerating) Markov partition $\mathcal{Q}=\left(Q_{1}, Q_{2}\right)$ such that $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$ are parallelograms and the matrix $\widetilde{M}$ itself is the connectivity matrix for this partition. The normalized area is the measure of maximal entropy for $m$. This measure, the partition $\mathcal{Q}$ and $m$ define a stochastic process equal to the Parry Markov chain obtained from $\widetilde{M}$, and hence equal to $\xi$.

Equations (8) and (9) apply equally well to the sizes of the stable and unstable sides of $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$. Hence $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ can be taken onto $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$ by the same linear map, i.e., they are linearly equivalent.

By the last Proposition we can consider both the mapping $\widetilde{T}$ and the toral automorphism $m$ as defined on the union of the same two rectangles $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$. The stochastic processes defined by the partition and $\widetilde{T}$ and $m$, respectively, are identical. In particular, for any sequence $\left(i_{0}, i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}\right)$ of 1 's and 2 's the sets

$$
R_{i_{0}} \cap \widetilde{T}^{-1} R_{i_{1}} \cap \cdots \cap \widetilde{T}^{-n} R_{i_{n}} \quad \text { and } \quad R_{i_{0}} \cap m^{-1} R_{i_{1}} \cap \cdots \cap m^{-n} R_{i_{n}}
$$

are unions of $\widetilde{M}^{n}\left(i_{0}, i_{n}\right)$ horizontal strips inside $R_{i_{0}}$ (each of area $|\lambda|^{-n} q_{i_{0}} p_{i_{n}}$ ). However these sets are not equal. They differ in the placement of the horizontal strips. In particular, for both maps, $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ are split into $4|k|$ horizontal strips which form a generating Markov partition. Each horizontal strip is mapped into a vertical strip. The vertical strips are individually isometric for the two maps, but their placements in $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ differ by a permutation and possibly a rotation by $\pi$, depending on the sign of $k$. Figure 4 shows the 8 horizontal strips and their images for $\widetilde{T}$ and $m$ in the case $k=-2$. The figure reveals the symmetry enjoyed by our maps $\widetilde{T}_{k}$, which is not shared by $m$.

| 2 | $h$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $l$ | $g$ |
| 2 | $f$ |
| $l$ | $e$ |
| 2 | $d$ |
| $R_{1}$ |  |
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Figure 4: The generating partition and its image for (a) $\widetilde{T}$ and (b) $m$ in the case $k=-2$. The elements of the partition are labeled $a-f$ while the numbers indicate whether each element is mapped into $R_{1}$ or $R_{2}$. The image of each element is indicated by a prime.

### 4.3 Dimensional characteristics of the dynamics

MacKay [M] used his Markov partition to explain various features of the map $T$ observed by Cerbelli and Giona, [CG]. In particular he considered the one dimensional map $U$ defined on the "skeleton". The skeleton is obtained by collapsing to a point the stable leaves in each element of the Markov partition. Using the thermodynamic formalism for $U$, MacKay obtains an implicit expression for the Hausdorff dimension $D(\chi)$ of the subset $L(\chi)$ of the skeleton (or any smooth transversal of the stable foliation) comprised of points with positive Lyapunov exponent equal to $\chi$.

With our representation of the dynamics as the tower over $\widetilde{T}$, which has the 2-element Markov partition $\left\{R_{1}, R_{2}\right\}$, we can derive a formula for $D(\chi)$ for any $k$ in a simpler way. Let us consider the skeleton map $\widetilde{U}$ defined by $\widetilde{T}$, i.e., the rectangles $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ are collapsed into (vertical) segments $I_{1}$ and $I_{2}$, and $\widetilde{U}$ is a piecewise linear map on their union $I=I_{1} \cup I_{2}$, with constant slope equal to $|\lambda|$, the eigenvalue of $\widetilde{M}$ (and up to a sign also of $A$ ). The lengths of the intervals $p_{1}=\left|I_{1}\right|, p_{2}=\left|I_{2}\right|$ give us the right eigenvector of $\widetilde{M}$.

We have the symbolic dynamics $\Sigma: I \rightarrow Y=\{1,2\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and the associated cylinder sets

$$
\left[i_{0}, i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}\right]=\left\{x \in I \mid \widetilde{U}^{k}(x) \in I_{i_{k}}, k=0,1, \ldots, n\right\}
$$

A cylinder set is a union of segments of equal length, $|\lambda|^{-n} p_{i_{n}}$. Their number is equal to $\widetilde{M}\left(i_{0}, i_{1}\right) \ldots \widetilde{M}\left(i_{n-1}, i_{n}\right)$. Let us denote by $I^{n}(x)$ the unique segment of the cylinder set $\left[i_{0}, i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}\right]$ which contains $x \in I$.

The positive Lyapunov exponent $\chi$ of an orbit of $T$ is determined by the frequency $f$ of visits to $R_{2}$ of the corresponding orbit of $\widetilde{T}$ by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi=\frac{\log |\lambda|}{2 f+1} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

This can be read off the schematic representation of $T$ and $\widetilde{T}$ given in Figure 3.
Our goal is to establish the Hausdorff dimension $D(\chi)$ of the set $L(\chi)$ defined above. It follows from (10) that $D(\chi)$ is also the Hausdorff dimension of the set of points in $I$ which under the iteration of $\widetilde{U}$ visit $I_{2}$ with frequency $f$.

We will give a formula for $D(\chi)$ using the classical theorem of Billingsley [B]. To that end, we construct a family of measures $\xi_{u}$, for $u \in \mathbb{R}$, as follows. Consider the positive matrices $W(u), u \in \mathbb{R}, W(0)=\widetilde{M}$, and the Markov transition matrices $\Pi(u)$ obtained from $W(u)$ by the Parry recipe $[\mathrm{KH}]$,

$$
W(u)=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
|k| & |k|+1  \tag{11}\\
e^{u}(|k|-1) & e^{u}|k|
\end{array}\right], \quad \Pi(u)=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{|k|}{\rho(u)} & \frac{(|k|+1) p_{2}(u)}{\rho\left(u p_{1}(u)\right.} \\
\frac{e^{u}(|k|-1) p_{1}(u)}{\rho(u) p_{2}(u)} & \frac{e^{u}|k|}{\rho(u)}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

where $\rho(u)$ is the larger eigenvalue of $W(u)$ and $\left(p_{1}(u), p_{2}(u)\right)$ and $\left(q_{1}(u), q_{2}(u)\right)$ are its respective right and left eigenvectors, normalized so that $\sum_{i} p_{i} q_{i}=1$. In particular we have,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho^{2}-\left(e^{u}+1\right)|k| \rho+e^{u}=0, \quad e^{u}=\frac{\rho(\rho-|k|)}{|k| \rho-1}, \quad \text { and } \quad \rho(0)=|\lambda| . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The transition matrices $\Pi(u)$ define ergodic Markov chains with corresponding invariant probability measures $\eta_{u}$ on the symbolic space $Y=\{1,2\}^{\mathbb{N}}$. We want $\Sigma_{*} \xi_{u}=\eta_{u}$, which defines the measures $\xi_{u}$ uniquely on cylinder sets. We complete the definition of $\xi_{u}$ by requiring that all the intervals that constitute a cylinder set have equal measure (this construction was used previously in Section 3.4.2).

The measures $\xi_{u}$ are ergodic probability measures for $\widetilde{U}$ which immediately implies
A. For $\xi_{u}$-almost-every $x \in I$ the frequency $f$ of visits to $I_{2}$ is equal to

$$
f=\xi_{u}\left(I_{2}\right)=p_{2}(u) q_{2}(u)=\frac{(\rho-|k|)(|k| \rho-1)}{|k| \rho^{2}-2 \rho+|k|}=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\left(e^{u}-1\right)|k|}{2 \sqrt{\left(e^{u}+1\right)^{2} k^{2}-4 e^{u}}} .
$$

It follows from the form of the transition matrix $\Pi(u)$ that the measure $\xi_{u}\left(I^{n}(x)\right)$ is equal up to a factor independent of $n$ to $e^{u f n} \rho^{-n}$. Hence we get,
B. For $\xi_{u}$-almost-every $x \in I, \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}-\frac{1}{n} \log \xi_{u}\left(I^{n}(x)\right)=\log \rho(u)-f u$.

Combining B with the theorem of Billingsley [B, Theorem 14.1], we obtain,

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(\chi)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \xi_{u}\left(I^{n}(x)\right)}{\log \left|I^{n}(x)\right|}=\frac{\log \rho(u)-f u}{\log |\lambda|} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

In light of formula (10) connecting $f$ and $\chi$, and using the fact that $f$ is a monotonic function of $u$ by item A, we obtain a formula for $D(\chi)$.

Since the explicit formula is quite cumbersome we choose to express both $\chi$ and $D(\chi)$ through $\rho$. Using again, (10), (12) and (13), we calculate

$$
\chi D(\chi)=\frac{1}{2 f+1} \log \rho-\frac{f}{2 f+1} \log \frac{\rho(\rho-|k|)}{|k| \rho-1}=\log \rho-\frac{f}{2 f+1} \log \frac{\rho^{3}(\rho-|k|)}{|k| \rho-1} .
$$

From A, we also have

$$
\frac{f}{2 f+1}=\frac{(\rho-|k|)(|k| \rho-1)}{3|k| \rho^{2}-2\left(|k|^{2}+2\right) \rho+3|k|} .
$$

The evaluation of the last expression for $k=2$ gives us exactly the same function $\chi D(\chi)$ as in $[\mathrm{M}]$ except for the name of the variable. Our $\rho$ is hence MacKay's parameter $t$.

### 4.4 Final remarks

Readers familiar with the thermodynamic formalism $[\mathrm{R}]$ will recognize that our measures $\xi_{u}$ are the equilibrium states for $\widetilde{U}$ and the potential $u \log \phi$, where $\phi \equiv 1$ on $I_{1}$ and $\phi \equiv e$ on $I_{2}$. The function $\log \rho(u)$ is the topological pressure and its Legendre transform $\log \rho(u)-f u$, $f=\frac{\rho^{\prime}}{\rho}$ is the metric entropy of $\widetilde{U}$ with respect to the measure $\xi_{u}$.

Indeed, using this formalism, it is possible to make explicit the connection between our potential $u \log \phi$ on $I$ and the canonical potential $-\beta \log \left|U^{\prime}\right|$ on the skeleton $J$ where $U$ is defined.

First define the matrix $M(\beta)$ corresponding to the potential $-\beta \log \left|U^{\prime}\right|$, i.e. $M(\beta)_{i, j}=$ $M_{i, j}\left|U^{\prime}\right|^{-\beta}$. The thermodynamic formalism implies,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi D(\chi)=P(\beta)+\beta \chi, \quad \text { and } \quad P^{\prime}(\beta)=-\chi \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e^{P(\beta)}$ is the largest eigenvalue of $M(\beta)$.
We connect the two formulas for $D(\chi),(13)$ and (14), as follows. Let $\nu_{u}$ be the extension of $\xi_{u}$ to the skeleton $J=I_{1} \cup I_{2} \cup I_{3} \cup I_{4}$; thus $\nu_{u}\left(I_{1}\right)=\frac{1-f}{2 f+1}$ and $\nu_{u}\left(I_{j}\right)=\frac{f}{2 f+1}$ for $j=2,3,4$. It is immediate that $\nu_{u}$ is an ergodic invariant probability measure for $U$. We show that it is also the equilibrium state for the potential $-\beta \log \left|U^{\prime}\right|$ for a specific value of $\beta$. Using (10) and (13), we write,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{\nu_{u}}(U)-\beta \int \log \left|U^{\prime}\right| d \nu_{u}=\frac{h_{\xi_{u}}(\widetilde{U})}{2 f+1}-\frac{\beta \log |\lambda|}{2 f+1}=\frac{\log \rho(u)-f u}{2 f+1}-\beta \chi=\chi D(\chi)-\beta \chi . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

This last expression is equal to $P(\beta)$ for the unique $\beta$ corresponding to $\chi$ via (14). This implies that $\nu_{u}$ is the unique equilibrium state for the potential $-\beta \log \left|U^{\prime}\right|$; in other words, we could have obtained it by first applying the Parry recipe to the matrix $M(\beta)$ and then defining $\nu_{u}$ via the induced Markov process on 4 states, much as we did for $\xi_{u}$.

The following proposition describes the precise relations between $u$ and $\beta$.
Proposition 4.4. Let $t(\beta)$ be the Perron-Frobenius eigenvalue of $N(\beta)=|\lambda|{ }^{\beta} M(\beta)$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
t(\beta)=\rho(u), \quad P(\beta)=-\frac{u}{2}, \quad t(\beta)|\lambda|^{-\beta}=e^{-\frac{u}{2}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We first establish that $\rho=t$. Let $\Phi=\Phi(\beta)$ be the Markov transition matrix obtained from $N(\beta)$ (equivalently $M(\beta)$ ) using the Parry recipe, i.e. $\Phi_{i, j}=\frac{N_{i, j} r_{j}}{t r_{i}}$ where $\vec{r}$ is the right Perron-Frobenius eigenvector of $N$. It is clear that $\Phi_{1,1}=|k| / t$.

The equation $N \vec{r}=t \vec{r}$ yields $r_{1} / r_{4}=e^{-2 P(\beta)}(|k|+1) /(t-|k|)$. Using this and the characteristic equation of $N, t^{4}-|k| t^{3}-(|k| t-1)|\lambda|^{2 \beta}=0$, we compute

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{4,1}=\frac{|k|-1}{t} \cdot \frac{r_{1}}{r_{4}}=\frac{\left(k^{2}-1\right) e^{-2 P(\beta)}}{t(t-|k|)}=\frac{\left(k^{2}-1\right)|\lambda|^{2 \beta}}{t^{3}(t-|k|)}=\frac{k^{2}-1}{|k| t-1} . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\nu_{u}$ is the extension of $\xi_{u}$, the left eigenvector of $\Phi$ must be $\vec{v}=[1-f, f, f, f] /(2 f+1)$. Substituting (17) into the first component of $\vec{v} \Phi=\vec{v}$ yields,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\frac{1-\Phi_{1,1}}{1-\Phi_{1,1}+\Phi_{4,1}}=\frac{t-|k|}{t-|k|+t \frac{k^{2}-1}{|k| t-1}}=\frac{(t-|k|)(|k| t-1)}{|k| t^{2}-2 t+|k|} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing (18) with (A) proves the equivalence of $\rho$ and $t$.
The second equality of (16) follows from the first by (10) and (15),

$$
\log \rho(u)-f u=(2 f+1)(P(\beta)+\beta \chi)=(2 f+1)\left[\log \frac{t(\beta)}{|\lambda|^{\beta}}+\beta \chi\right]=\log t(\beta)+2 f \log \frac{t(\beta)}{|\lambda|^{\beta}}
$$

which yields $-u=2 \log \frac{t(\beta)}{|\lambda|^{\beta}}$.
Finally, the third equality follows from the second by exponentiating both sides and noting that $e^{P(\beta)}=|\lambda|^{-\beta} t(\beta)$ by definition of $N(\beta)$.
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