ISSN 0017-0011

CORE

VM VIA MEDICA

DOI: 10.5603/GP.a2018.0005

2018, vol. 89, no. 1, 25-29

Copyright © 2018 Via Medica

# Does reactive hypoglycemia during the 100 g oral glucose tolerance test adversely affect perinatal outcomes?

Ilhan Bahri Delibas<sup>1</sup>, Sema Tanriverdi<sup>2</sup>, Bulent Cakmak<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Gaziosmanpasa University, Tokat, Turkey <sup>2</sup>Neonatalogy Clinic, Merkez Efendi State Hospital, Manisa, Turkey

#### ABSTRACT

**Objectives:** To determine whether pregnant women who have reactive hypoglycemia during the 100 g oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) are at an increased risk of poor pregnancy outcomes.

**Material and methods:** We retrospectively analyzed perinatal data from 413 women who underwent a 3 h OGTT at 24–28 weeks of gestation and gave birth in our clinics between January 2012 and December 2014.

**Results:** According to OGTT results, the majority of the subjects were normoglycemic (n = 316, 76.5%), while 49 (11.9%) were diagnosed with gestational diabetes, and 33 (8.0%) had single high glucose values. Reactive hypoglycemia was detected in only 15 patients (3.6%). The mean age of the women in the reactive hypoglycemia group was significantly lower than that of the women in the gestational diabetes and single high glucose value groups ( $26.4 \pm 4.4$  years,  $31.4 \pm 5.4$  years, and  $31.8 \pm 4.3$  years, respectively; p < 0.05). The newborns of the women in the reactive hypoglycemia group had higher rates of APGAR scores < 7, increased admission to the neonatal intensive care unit (NICU), and lower birth weights compared with the other groups (p < 0.001, p < 0.001, and p = 0.009, respectively).

**Conclusion:** Reactive hypoglycemia during the 3 h 100 g OGTT is significantly associated with low APGAR scores, low birth weights, and prenatal admission to the NICU. Therefore, pregnant women who develop hypoglycemia during the 100 g OGTT performed at 24–28 weeks of gestation should receive attentive follow-up care to decrease the possibility of adverse perinatal outcomes.

Key words: gestational diabetes, oral glucose tolerance test, perinatal outcome, pregnancy, reactive hypoglycemia

Ginekologia Polska 2018; 89, 1: 25–29

#### **INTRODUCTION**

An evaluation for gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) is widely performed between 24–28 weeks of gestation in women without pre-gestational diabetes. Two methods are commonly used: a one-step approach, the 75 g oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT); and a two-step approach, the 50-g glucose challenge test (GCT) followed by an 100 g OGTT if the threshold is exceeded. An estimated 95% of obstetric patients in the United States undergo sequential model universal screening for GDM using the two-step approach [1, 2].

Some women who have abnormal test results ( $\geq$  140 mg/dL) on the 50 g GCT experience hypoglycemia during the 3 h 100 g OGTT, with symptoms including diz-

ziness, nausea, tachycardia, and perspiration, a condition known as reactive hypoglycemia [3, 4]. Concomitant blood samples may reveal very low blood glucose levels in these women. There is no precise cut-off blood glucose level that can predict hypoglycemic symptoms. Some patients with normal glucose values may experience hypoglycemic symptoms, while others may not have any symptomatic indications of hypoglycemia, even at very low blood glucose concentrations [5, 6]. However, various reports suggest a blood glucose level of 45–50 mg/dL (2.5–2.78 mmol/L) is indicative of reactive hypoglycemia [7–9].

Hypoglycemic symptoms or low blood glucose levels during the test may be sources of anxiety for both patients

Corresponding author: Ilhan Bahri Delibas Gaziosmanpasa University Obstetrics and Gynecology Department Tokat, Turkey e-mail: ilhan.delibas@gop.edu.tr and healthcare providers. Despite the known associations between elevated maternal glucose levels and adverse maternal and neonatal outcomes, the potential relationship between low maternal glucose levels during the 100 g OGTT and adverse perinatal and neonatal outcomes remains unknown.

The aim of the present study was to determine whether pregnant women who have reactive hypoglycemia during the 100 g OGTT are at an increased risk for poor pregnancy outcomes, such as preterm delivery, cesarean delivery, preeclampsia, small-for-gestational age (SGA) fetuses, increased birth weight, or low Apgar scores.

# **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

#### Study design and population

We conducted a retrospective cohort study by reviewing the perinatal data of all women who underwent a 3 h OGTT and gave birth at the Obstetric and Clinics Department of Gaziosmanpasa University and Tokat State Hospital between January 2012 and December 2014. Women with singleton pregnancies who had abnormal 1 h 50 g GCT results (≥ 140 mg/dL) at 24–28 weeks of gestation and thus underwent the 3 h 100 g oral GTT were included in the study [10]. The exclusion criteria were twin pregnancies, documented type I or II diabetes mellitus, multiple GCTs in the same pregnancy (only one entry per pregnancy was allowed), and incomplete medical records. A total of 421 women met the inclusion criteria. Eight women (1.9%) were excluded due to incomplete medical records; thus, 413 women were included in the study.

The study was approved by the institutional ethics committee (Approval number: 14-KAEK-237, Registered date: 23.12.2014) and conducted in accordance with the latest version of the Declaration of Helsinki. The informed consent requirement was waived due to the retrospective design of the study.

#### **Study groups**

Based on the OGTT results, patients were classified as follows: patients with reactive hypoglycemia (Group 1: plasma glucose  $\leq$  45 mg/dL), patients with normoglycemia (Group 2: normal plasma glucose values), patients with only one abnormal glucose value (Group 3), and patients with GDM (Group 4: two or more high plasma glucose values).

In our clinic, we screen non-diabetic pregnancies for GDM at 24–28 weeks of pregnancy using a two-step standard protocol during a routine prenatal visit. This protocol is a 1 h 50 g GCT, followed by a 3 h 100 g diagnostic OGTT if the GCT plasma glucose result is  $\geq$ 140 mg/dL. GDM is diagnosed when two or more OGTT plasma glucose levels meet the criteria for a positive test as recommended by the National Diabetes Data Group (NDDG), which include plasma glucose thresholds of 95 mg/dL for fasting, 180 mg/dL for 1 h, 155 mg/dL for 2h, and 140 mg/dL for 3 h OGTTs [11]. Reactive hypoglycemia is defined as a plasma glucose level of < 45 mg/dL (2.5 mmol/L) according to the 1986 Consensus Statement of the Third International Symposium on Hypoglycemia [7]. Another reason for choosing this cut-off plasma glucose level (45 mg/dL) for hypoglycemia was that it was detected in less than 10% of our study population during OGTTs.

## **Study procedures**

The following data were recorded from patients' hospital files and compared among the study groups: demographics; results of fetal assessment tests, including fetal biometry; amniotic fluid index: gestational age at delivery; neonatal results, including APGAR scores; fetal birth weight; rates of admission to the neonatal intensive care unit (NICU); administration of phototherapy; and obstetrical results, including the mode of delivery and the presence of dystocia. Large-for-gestational-age (LGA) status was defined as a birth weight above the 90<sup>th</sup> percentile for age, and SGA was defined as a birth weight below the 10<sup>th</sup> percentile for age [12]. Macrosomia was defined as an estimated fetal weight of 4,000 g or more, regardless of gestational age [13]. All patients underwent ultrasound examinations before proceeding to the delivery ward. In accordance with the guidelines of the Ministry of Health of Turkey, we recommend elective cesarean delivery to women with GDM and estimated fetal weights of 4,000 g or more and to women without GDM and estimated fetal weights of 4,500 g or more.

#### **Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using the PASW software package for Windows (Statistical Package for Social Sciences, Version 18.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA). The data collected were summarized using descriptive statistics (e.g., mean, standard deviation, range, frequency, and percentage). For a comparison of categorical variables between study groups, a chi-square test was used. For multiple comparisons of continuous variables, analysis of variance (ANOVA) and the Scheffé post-hoc test were used. The statistical level of significance was set at p < 0.05.

## RESULTS

According to the 100 g OGTT results, the majority of the 413 pregnant women were normoglycemic (n = 316, 76.5%) and 33 (8.0%) had single high glucose values, while 49 (11.9%) were diagnosed with gestational diabetes (Tab. 1). Reactive hypoglycemia was detected in only 15 patients (3.6%).

# Maternal and prenatal parameters

Regarding maternal and prenatal characteristics, only age and gestational week at delivery were significantly dif-

Table 1. Distribution of patients according to 100 g oral glucose tolerance test results						
	100 g OGTT result	Number of patients (%)				
Group 1	Reactive hypoglycemia (glucose ≤45 mg/dL)	15 (3.6%)				
Group 2	Normoglycemia (all plasma glucose values are normal)	316 (76.5%)				
Group 3	Single high glucose value (only one abnormal glucose value)	33 (8.0%)				
Group 4	Gestational diabetes (two or more high plasma glucose values)	49 (11.9%)				
Total		413 (100.0%)				

OGTT — oral glucose tolerance test

ferent among the groups (p < 0.001 and p = 0.029, respectively; Tab. 2). The mean age of the women in the reactive hypoglycemia group was significantly lower than that of the women in the gestational diabetes and the single high glucose value groups ( $26.4 \pm 4.4$  years,  $31.4 \pm 5.4$  years, and  $31.8 \pm 4.3$  years, respectively; p < 0.05 for both, Tab. 2). Gestational week at delivery was significantly lower in the reactive hypoglycemia group than in the normoglycemia and gestational diabetes groups ( $37.2 \pm 1.5$  weeks,  $38.5 \pm 1.7$  weeks, and  $38.7 \pm 1.7$  weeks, respectively; p < 0.05 for both, Table II). However, other maternal parameters (gravida, parity, preterm delivery, preeclampsia, and cesarean section rate) were similar among the groups (Tab. 2).

## **Perinatal parameters**

In terms of perinatal results, the newborns of the women in the reactive hypoglycemia group had significantly lower mean APGAR scores than those born to the women in the other groups ( $8.3 \pm 1.3$ , p = 0.006; Tab. 3). Additionally, the newborns of the women in the reactive hypoglycemia group had higher rates of APGAR scores < 7, admission to NICU, and lower birth weights, compared with the other groups (p < 0.001, p < 0.001, and p = 0.009, respectively; Tab. 3). On the other hand, neonatal gender and SGA and LGA rates were similar among the groups (Tab. 3).

# DISCUSSION

The OGTT is a widely accepted and frequently performed test used to diagnose gestational diabetes in pregnant women. In the present study, we evaluated the pregnancy outcomes of women who had reactive hypoglycemia during the 3 h 100 g OGTT. Although it is widely known that a significant number of women experience symptomatic hypoglycemia during OGTT, there are limited reports on the prevalence and perinatal significance of reactive hypoglycemia during the 100 g OGTT. Weissman et al., who defined hypoglycemia as  $\leq$  50 mg/dL, reported an incidence rate of 6.3% for reactive hypoglycemia during the test among 805 pregnant women over a 3-year period [3]. They found a lower incidence of gestational diabetes in women who experienced reactive hypoglycemia. In the present study, we detected reactive hypoglycemia in only 15 out of 413 women (3.6%) during the 3 h 100 g OGTT. All hypoglycemic events occurred 3 h after glucose ingestion, and there were

Table 2. Maternal and prenatal characteristics of the study groups								
Maternal/prenatal parameters	Group 1 (reactive hypoglycemia) (n = 15)	Group 2 (normo-glycemia) (n = 316)	Group 3 (single high glucose value) (n = 33)	Group 4 (gestational diabetes) (n = 49)	p value			
Age [years]	$26.4 \pm 4.4$	28.2 ± 5.6	31.4 ± 5.4*	$31.8 \pm 4.3^{*}$	< 0.001			
Gravida	2.4 ± 1.1	2.4 ± 1.3	2.7 ± 1.1	2.9 ± 1.5	0.117			
Parity	$0.6\pm0.9$	$0.6\pm0.9$	$0.9\pm0.9$	0.8 ± 1.1	0.333			
Gestational week at delivery	37.2 ± 1.5	38.5 ± 1.7*	38.5 ± 1.3	38.7 ± 1.7*	0.029			
Preterm delivery	3 (20.0%)	19 (6.0%)	3 (9.1%)	5 (10.2%)	0.162			
Preeclampsia	0 (0%)	4 (1.4%)	1 (3.3%)	3 (7.0%)	0.113			
Cesarean section	42 (28.6%)	90 (28.5%)	11 (33.3%)	17 (34.7%)	0.795			

Data are given as mean  $\pm$  SD or n (%)

\*Significantly different from reactive hypoglycemia group (p < 0.05)

Table 3. Perinatal outcomes of the study groups							
Perinatal parameters	Group 1 (reactive hypoglycemia) (n = 15)	Group 2 (normo-glycemia) (n = 316)	Group 3 (Single high glucose value) (n = 33)	Group 4 (Gestational diabetes) (n = 49)	p value		
Apgar 5 min	8.3 ± 1.3	$9.0\pm0.8^*$	8.6 ± 1.6	$8.8\pm0.6$	0.006		
Apgar < 7 (5 min)	3 (20.0%)	6 (1.9%)*	0 (0%)*	1 (2.0%)*	< 0.001		
Weight [g]	$2852.0 \pm 544.6$	3282.4 ± 452.8*	3290.6 ± 510.5*	3443.7 ± 468.5*	< 0.001		
Male	8 (53.3%)	155 (49.2%)	19 (57.6%)	23 (46.9%)	0.782		
NICU admission	4 (26.7%)	29 (9.2%)*	6 (18.2%)	11 (22.4%)	0.009		
SGA	3 (20.0%)	17 (5.4%)	3 (9.1%)	2 (4.1%)	0.100		
LGA	0 (0%)	9 (2.8%)	1 (3.0%)	2 (4.1%)	0.339		

DM — diabetes mellitus; NICU — neonatal intensive care unit; SGA — small-for-gestational age; LGA — large-for-gestational age

Data are given as mean ± SD or n (%)

\*Significantly different from reactive hypoglycemia group (p < 0.05)

no cases of fasting hypoglycemia (after fasting for at least 8 h). In our population, the rate of gestational diabetes after a positive screening test was 11.9%, which is similar to the prevalence rate of 10.6–23.2% seen in the literature [14, 15].

The adverse effects of gestational diabetes on maternal and neonatal health are well-documented [16]. Women with even one abnormal 3 h 100 g OGTT value reportedly have an increased risk of poor neonatal outcomes [17]. Therefore, the presence of gestational diabetes is screened in the clinical practice of obstetrics, and confirmed most commonly via OGTT, when indicated [18]. However, some patients experience reactive hypoglycemia during OGTT. Pregnant women are more prone to developing hypoglycemia due to pregnancy-related changes in their glycemic profiles, such as increased basal insulin and decreased glucagon secretion [19, 20]. In addition to these physiological changes, other mechanisms may play a role in the development of reactive hypoglycemia. Eik et al. suggested that reactive hypoglycemia was associated with increased levels of anti--inflammatory and proinflammatory cytokines in the blood [21]. In another study, Berlin et al. reported that patients with suspected postprandial hypoglycemia had increased beta--adrenergic sensitivity, and emotional distress [22].

A few studies have evaluated the effects of reactive hypoglycemia on perinatal and neonatal outcomes, with conflicting results [3, 4, 23–25]. Pugh et al. compared 436 pregnant women who developed hypoglycemia during GCT with 434 normoglycemic pregnancies, and found that the hypoglycemic patients were significantly younger, had lower pre-pregnancy body mass indices, and were more likely to develop preeclampsia than normoglycemic women [4]. Langer et al. reported an association between maternal hypoglycemia and SGA [23]. Feinberg et al. found increased NICU admissions among pregnant women who experienced hypoglycemia during GCT [24]. On the other hand, Calfee et al. found no relationships between hypoglycemia on GCT and fetal growth restriction or other adverse perinatal consequences [25]. Weissman et al. even reported that reactive hypoglycemia was associated with favorable pregnancy outcomes, such as a lower rate of gestational diabetes, low birth weights, and cesarean delivery for macrosomia [3]. In the present study, we found that younger pregnant women were more likely to develop reactive hypoglycemia during the 3 h 100 g OGTT, which is significantly associated with adverse pregnancy outcomes, such as low APGAR scores, low birth weights, and prenatal admission to the NICU. As these associations with hypoglycemia were seen at the 3 h level, we recommend that the 3 h measurement be retained until the clinical significance of hypoglycemia in pregnancy is fully elucidated.

The main limitation of the present study was its retrospective design, which is associated with disadvantages such as selection bias, potential recording errors, and difficulty in controlling exposures and outcomes. This limitation precluded us from reaching any definitive conclusion regarding the perinatal significance of reactive hypoglycemia during the 100 g OGTT. Furthermore, in our study population, the sample size of pregnant women with reactive hypoglycemia was relatively low (n = 15), which also limited the power of the study. Nevertheless, this study is one of only a handful in the literature providing evidence of the perinatal effect of reactive hypoglycemia. On this basis, further large-scale prospective studies are needed to clarify the maternal and perinatal effects of reactive hypoglycemia during the 100 g OGTT.

## **CONCLUSIONS**

Although the prevalence of reactive hypoglycemia during the 3 h 100 g OGTT is relatively low, it is significantly associated with low APGAR scores, low birth weights, and prenatal admission to the NICU. Therefore, pregnant women who develop reactive hypoglycemia during the 100 g OGTT performed at 24–28 weeks of gestation should be followed up closely, and care should be taken to prevent adverse perinatal outcomes. Further studies are needed to explore the mechanisms underlying the relationship between reactive hypoglycemia and adverse perinatal outcomes, and its implications for clinical practice.

## Acknowledgments

None. No funding to declare.

# Disclosure

Authors have no interest to disclose.

#### REFERENCES

- Moyer VA. U.S. Preventive Services Task Force, U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Screening for gestational diabetes mellitus: U.S. Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. Ann Intern Med. 2008; 148(10): 759–765, indexed in Pubmed: 18490688.
- Serlin DC, Lash RW. Diagnosis and management of gestational diabetes mellitus. Am Fam Physician. 2009; 80(1): 57–62, indexed in Pubmed: 19621846.
- Weissman A, Solt I, Zloczower M, et al. Hypoglycemia during the 100-g oral glucose tolerance test: incidence and perinatal significance. Obstet Gynecol. 2005; 105(6): 1424–1428, doi: 10.1097/01. AOG.0000159577.28448.f9, indexed in Pubmed: 15932839.
- Pugh SK, Doherty DA, Magann EF, et al. Does hypoglycemia following a glucose challenge test identify a high risk pregnancy? Reprod Health. 2009; 6: 10, doi: 10.1186/1742-4755-6-10, indexed in Pubmed: 19602284.
- Martín-Timón I, Del Cañizo-Gómez FJ. Mechanisms of hypoglycemia unawareness and implications in diabetic patients. World J Diabetes. 2015; 6(7): 912–926, doi: 10.4239/wjd.v6.i7.912, indexed in Pubmed: 26185599.
- Palardy J, Havrankova J, Lepage R, et al. Blood glucose measurements during symptomatic episodes in patients with suspected postprandial hypoglycemia. N Engl J Med. 1989; 321(21): 1421–1425, doi: 10.1056/NEJM198911233212101, indexed in Pubmed: 2811957.
- Lefèbvre PJ, Andreani D, Marks V, et al. Statement on post-prandial or reactive hypoglycaemia. Diabet Med. 1988; 5(2): 200–440, indexed in Pubmed: 2964986.
- Field JB. Hypoglycemia. Definition, clinical presentations, classification, and laboratory tests. Endocrinol Metab Clin North Am. 1989; 18(1): 27–43, indexed in Pubmed: 2645129.
- Brun JF, Fedou C, Mercier J. Postprandial reactive hypoglycemia. Diabetes Metab. 2000; 26(5): 337–351, indexed in Pubmed: 11119013.
- Metzger BE, Coustan DR. Summary and recommendations of the Fourth International Workshop-Conference on Gestational Diabetes Mellitus. The Organizing Committee. Diabetes Care. 1998; 21 Suppl 2: B161–B167, indexed in Pubmed: 9704245.
- Classification and Diagnosis of Diabetes Mellitus and Other Categories of Glucose Intolerance. Diabetes. 1979; 28(12): 1039–1057, doi: 10.2337/diab.28.12.1039.

- Battaglia FC, Lubchenco LO. A practical classification of newborn infants by weight and gestational age. J Pediatr. 1967; 71(2): 159–163, indexed in Pubmed: 6029463.
- Esakoff TF, Cheng YW, Sparks TN, et al. The association between birthweight 4000 g or greater and perinatal outcomes in patients with and without gestational diabetes mellitus. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2009; 200(6): 672.e1–672.e4, doi: 10.1016/j.ajog.2009.02.035, indexed in Pubmed: 19376489.
- Plasencia W, Garcia R, Pereira S, et al. Criteria for screening and diagnosis of gestational diabetes mellitus in the first trimester of pregnancy. Fetal Diagn Ther. 2011; 30(2): 108–115, doi: 10.1159/000324684, indexed in Pubmed: 21454960.
- American Diabetes Association. Standards of medical care in diabetes-2009. Diabetes Care. 2009; 32 Suppl 1: S13–S61, doi: 10.2337/dc09--S013, indexed in Pubmed: 19118286.
- Rani PR, Begum J. Screening and Diagnosis of Gestational Diabetes Mellitus, Where Do We Stand. J Clin Diagn Res. 2016; 10(4): QE01–QE04, doi: 10.7860/JCDR/2016/17588.7689, indexed in Pubmed: 27190902.
- Roeckner JT, Sanchez-Ramos L, Jijon-Knupp R, et al. Single abnormal value on 3-hour oral glucose tolerance test during pregnancy is associated with adverse maternal and neonatal outcomes: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2016; 215(3): 287–297, doi: 10.1016/j. ajoq.2016.04.040, indexed in Pubmed: 27133007.
- Benhalima K, Mathieu C, Van Assche A, et al. Survey by the European Board and College of Obstetrics and Gynaecology on screening for gestational diabetes in Europe. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol. 2016; 201: 197–202, doi: 10.1016/j.ejogrb.2016.04.003, indexed in Pubmed: 27129745.
- Hernandez TL, Friedman JE, Van Pelt RE, et al. Patterns of glycemia in normal pregnancy: should the current therapeutic targets be challenged? Diabetes Care. 2011; 34(7): 1660–1668, doi: 10.2337/dc11-0241, indexed in Pubmed: 21709299.
- Baz B, Riveline JP, Gautier JF. ENDOCRINOLOGY OF PREGNANCY: Gestational diabetes mellitus: definition, aetiological and clinical aspects. Eur J Endocrinol. 2016; 174(2): R43–R51, doi: 10.1530/EJE-15-0378, indexed in Pubmed: 26431552.
- Eik W, Marcon SS, Krupek T, et al. Blood levels of pro-inflammatory and anti-inflammatory cytokines during an oral glucose tolerance test in patients with symptoms suggesting reactive hypoglycemia. Braz J Med Biol Res. 2016; 49(8), doi: 10.1590/1414-431X20165195, indexed in Pubmed: 27409331.
- Berlin I, Grimaldi A, Landault C, et al. Suspected postprandial hypoglycemia is associated with beta-adrenergic hypersensitivity and emotional distress. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 1994; 79(5): 1428–1433, doi: 10.1210/jcem.79.5.7962339, indexed in Pubmed: 7962339.
- Langer O, Damus K, Maiman M, et al. A link between relative hypoglycemia-hypoinsulinemia during oral glucose tolerance tests and intrauterine growth retardation. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 1986; 155(4): 711–716, indexed in Pubmed: 3532796.
- Feinberg JH, Magann EF, Morrison JC, et al. Does maternal hypoglycemia during screening glucose assessment identify a pregnancy at-risk for adverse perinatal outcome? J Perinatol. 2005; 25(8): 509–513, doi: 10.1038/sj.jp.7211336, indexed in Pubmed: 15908987.
- Calfee EF, Rust OA, Bofill JA, et al. Maternal hypoglycemia: is it associated with adverse perinatal outcome? J Perinatol. 1999; 19(5): 379–382, indexed in Pubmed: 10685261.