Review paper

Michael Schäfer, Shaaban A. Mousa

Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine, Charité University Berlin

Opioid therapy and tumor progression

Abstract

It is well established that opioids help the organism to cope with environmental stress, tissue injury, pathogen invasion, inflammation, and tumor growth. Opioids elicit immunosupressive effects which may become benefitial in the context of chronic inflammation, however, it may be detremental in the context of tissue repair. These direct immunosuppressive effects of opioids would possibly facilitate tumor growth, however, in the context of pain and distress, which is known to promote tumor progression by a reduction in NK cell cytotoxicity, opioids clearly show a beneficial effect in reducing local tumor growth as well as dissemination of metastases. Recently, growing evidence accumulates that tumor cells express both opioid receptors and their ligands, the opioid peptides, suggesting that opioids may also directly affect tumor progression. Metenkephalin seems to play a most prominent role possibly acting via a different receptor than the classical opioid receptor. However, there is still great need for further studies to corroborate these interesting findings.

Key words: cancer pain, morphine, tumor proliferation, natural killer cells, opioids

Adv. Pall. Med. 2009; 8, 2: 53-56

Introduction

Cancer patients are often treated with high doses of opioids such as morphine for pain relief. Opioid analgesics act on their corresponding receptors, mainly μ -opioid receptors, along the neuraxis of pain transmission, i.e. peripheral sensory neurons, spinal cord, and brain [1]. They inhibit the release of excitatory neurotransmitters and reduce the excitability of sensory neurons which results in subsequent pain relief. The opioid system consisting of opioid receptors and endogenous opioid ligands exists in vertebrates since more than 400-500 million years [2, 3]. Therefore, it is conceivable that opioids do not only modulate pain, but also affect many other physiological functions. Indeed opioid receptors also exist outside the nervous system [4], and previous studies have emphasized the role of opioids to cope with environmental stress (i.e. mechanical, thermal,

chemical), tissue injury, pathogen invasion, inflammation, and tumor growth [1]. This review will focus on the immunemodulatory effects of opioids and in particular their impact on tumor growth and dissemination of metastases.

Opioid effects on the immune system

The discussion about the influence of opioids on the immune system is not new. Already in the 1980s many in vitro studies were published with controversial results [for review see 5]. However, more recent results from opioid receptor knock-out mice strongly support an immunosuppressive effect. Consistently, chronic use of opioids has been associated with an increased incidence of infectious diseases such as HIV or tuberculosis [6–8]. Early reports by Liebeskind and colleagues demonstrated immunosupressive effects of morphine that were depen-

Address for correspondence: Michael Schäfer

Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine, Charité University, Berlin, Germany e-mail: micha.schaefer@charite.de



Advances in Palliative Medicine 2009, 8, 53-56

Copyright © 2009 Via Medica, ISSN 1898-3863

dent on activation of central opioid receptors and of the hypothalamo-pituitary stress axis [9]. The periaqueductal grey area has been identified as a brain region with a high density of opioid receptors that reliably respond with immunosuppression following injections of opioids [10]. However, opioids also exert their immunosuppressive effects via opioid receptors on various immune cells such as granulocytes, monocytes/macrophages and lymphocytes. All opioid receptors (μ -, δ -, κ) are present on immune cells, they couple to G_{ci/o} proteins and they influence immune cell function [11, 12]. In addition, all opioid peptides — β -endorphin, enkephalin and dynorphin — their precursors, and necessary processing enzymes were identified in activated granulocytes, monocytes and lymphocytes suggesting an autoregulatory role [13]. Immunosuppressive effects are more overt following chronic opioid administration resulting in atrophy of thymus and spleen and inhibition of NK cell activity [14]. Consistently, μ -receptor knock-out compared to wild type mice lacked lymphoid organ (thymus, spleen) atrophy as well as inhibition of NK cell activity [15]. In a very impressive example of the immunosuppressive effects of opioids it was demonstrated that μ - and δ -opioid agonists resulted in a dramatic reduction of macroscopic and microscopic inflammatory signs, an almost complete reversal of immune cell infiltration, and more importantly a significant reduction in mortality [16]. These effects are in part due to local opioid effects on immune cells as it has been shown with the use of peripherally selective opioids that profoundly diminish macroscopic and microscopic signs of arthritis [17, 18]. Whether immunosuppressive effects of opioids are to the advantage or disadvantage of the organism, is very much dependent on the situation under which opioids and the immune system interact [19]. For example in arthritis and autoimmune disease an immunosuppressive effect of opioids is advantageous, whereas in conditions such as HIV and drug addiction immunosuppressive effects of opioids might lead to further complications such as infections and disturbed wound healing [20].

Opioids, natural killer cells and tumor progression

Studies showing direct effects of exogenous opioids on tumor growth and dissemination are still contradictory, because experiments were mainly done in cell cultures under *in vitro* conditions. While it is reported that morphine stimulates microvascu-

lar endothelial-cell proliferation and angiogenesis in breast cancer cells [21], it is also described that morphine inhibits the adhesion, invasion and metastases of colon cancer cells [22]. However, to look exclusively at direct effects of opioids on tumor cells may not comply with the much more complex scenario of tumor progression in cancer patients.

Tumor progression is — particularly through its initial stage — under immune surveillance. Transformed surface antigen presenting cancer cells may become a target for cytotoxic natural killer (NK) cells. This initiates an "evolutionary" process of selection pressure by the immune system upon malignant cells [23]. Some tumors seem to be more susceptible to cytotoxic NK cell activity, e.g. melanomas and breast cancer, whereas others are less, e.g. colon cancer. Pain and subsequent stress, e.g. following surgery, have been shown to signicantly impair NK cell activity which led to enhanced lung tumor retention of mammary adenocarcinoma cells in animals [24]. In NK cell depleted animals, however, this enhanced lung tumor retention could not be observed underlining the important involvement of cytotoxic NK cells. Consistently, NK cell number and activity of patients undergoing major surgery were significantly reduced [25]. In patients with hepatocellular or gastric carcinoma, impaired NK cell activity following surgery was demonstrated to be a prognostic predictor for increased tumor progression and dissemination [26, 27]. Although several studies in healthy animals have shown that opioids suppress NK cell activity [28], in the context of surgery, pain, and distress opioids rescued impaired NK cell activity [24, 29]. This resulted in significantly reduced tumor dissemination and increased survival [24, 30]. In a very elegant animal study of melanoma cells inoculated in the paw of mice, time-dependent local tumor growth and dissemination of lung metastases were dose-dependently reduced by increasing doses of morphine which was naloxone reversible [31]. Therefore, similar to the immunosuppressive effects of opioids which may be advantageous in the context of chronic arthritis and disadvantageous in the context of wound healing, opioids in the absence or presence of pain may impair or rescue NK cell activity and limit tumor progression, respectively.

Expression of opioid receptors and peptides in tumor tissue

Recently evidence is accumulating that different tumor cells express opioid receptors and their endogenous ligands, the opioid peptides. In a human colon cancer cell line as well as in tumor tissue of human colon cancer patients mu-opioid receptors were identified by immunohistochemistry suggesting that opioids may affect tumor progression [32]. In addition, opioid peptides (mainly β -endorphin and Met-enkephalin) and their precursors have been demonstrated in breast cancer cell lines and in tumor tissue of the majority of human breast cancer patients [33, 34]. Evidence for β -endorphin and opioid receptor binding sites have also been demonstrated in human lung cancer cell lines [35, 36]. In a systematic screening of different opioid peptides for their inhibitory effects on the proliferation of a murine neuroblastoma cell line Met-enkephalin was identified to be the most potent opioid peptide [37]. Interestingly in this screening assay, prodynorphin- and proopiomelanocortin-derived peptides did not have a significant influence on cultured cells. Therefore, it was postulated that not the traditional opioid receptors but a new, yet unidentified receptor was responsible for the antiproliferative properties [37]. This receptor has been cloned and sequenced in human, rat, and mouse and presumably has no resemblance to the classical opioid receptors or other known receptors [37]. Because of Met-enkephalin's newly discovered properties different from its analgesic effects, it was named "opioid growth factor" (OGF) and its receptor "opioid growth factor receptor". Recently, OGF receptor has been identified in several human cancer tissues [38, 39] and patients with advanced unresectable pancreatic cancer have been treated with OGF without major complications in a first phase I clinical trial [40]. Although these results look very promising, they have to be confirmed by other groups. Taken together, cancer cells seem to express both opioid receptors and their endogenous ligands to regulate tumor proliferation most likely by an autocrine mechanism.

Summary

Consistent with its existence in vertebrates since more than 500 million years, opioids help the organism to cope with environmental stress, tissue injury, pathogen invasion, inflammation, and tumor growth. There is clear evidence for an immunosupressive effect of opioids which may be benefitial in chronic inflammatory conditions, however, it may be detremental in conditions such as tissue repair. This immunosuppressive effect of opioids would possibly facilitate tumor growth, however, in the context of pain and distress, which promote tumor

progression by a reduction in NK cell cytotoxicity, opioids clearly show a beneficial effect in reducing local tumor growth as well as dissemination of metastases. Recently, evidence is accumulating that tumor cells express both opioid receptors and opioid peptides, their endogenous ligands, suggesting that opioids may affect tumor progression. Metenkephalin seems to play an important role possibly acting via a different receptor than the classical opioid receptor. However, future studies have to corroborate these promising findings.

References

- Bodnar R.J.Endogenous opiates and behavior: 2006. Peptides 2007: 28: 2435–2513.
- Dreborg S., Sundström G., Larsson T.A., Larhammar D. Evolution of vertebrate opioid receptors. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2008; 105: 15487–15492.
- Dores R.M., Lecaudé S., Bauer D., Danielson P.B. Analyzing the evolution of the opioid/orphanin gene family. Mass. Spectrom. Rev. 2002; 21: 220–243. Review.
- Wittert G., Hope P., Pyle D. Tissue distribution of opioid receptor gene expression in the rat. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 1996; 218:877–81.
- Bryant HU, Bernton EW, Holaday JW. Immunomodulatory effects of chronic morphine treatment: pharmacologic and mechanistic studies. NIDA Res. Monogr. 1990; 96: 131–149.
- Nath A., Hauser K.F., Wojna V. et al. Molecular basis for interactions of HIV and drugs of abuse. J. Acquir. Immune. Defic. Syndr. 2002; 31: S62–S69. Review.
- Chaisson R.E., Keruly J.C., Moore R.D. Race, sex, drug use, and progression of human immunodeficiency virus disease. N. Engl. J. Med. 1995; 333: 751–756.
- 8. Selwyn P.A., Feingold A.R., Iezza A. et al. Primary care for patients with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection in a methadone aintenance treatment program. Ann. Intern. Med. 1989; 111: 761–763.
- Shavit Y., Depaulis A., Martin F.C. et al. Involvement of brain opiate receptors in the immune-suppressive effect of morphine. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 1986; 83: 7114– -7117.
- Hall N.R., O'Grady M.P. Menzies Neuroimmunopharmacologic effects of drugs of abuse. RA. Adv. Exp. Med. Biol. 1991; 288: 13–23. Review.
- Sibinga N.E., Goldstein A. Opioid peptides and opioid receptors in cells of the immune system. Annu. Rev. Immunol. 1988; 6: 219–249. Review.
- Bidlack J.M., Khimich M., Parkhill A.L., Sumagin S., Sun B., Tipton C.M. Opioid receptors and signaling on cells from the immune system. J. Neuroimmune Pharmacol. 2006; 1: 260–269.
- Mousa S.A., Shakibaei M., Sitte N., Schäfer M., Stein C. Subcellular pathways of beta-endorphin synthesis, processing, and release from immunocytes in inflammatory pain. Endocrinology 2004; 145: 1331–1341.
- Bryant H.U., Bernton E.W., Holaday J.W. Morphine pelletinduced immunomodulation in mice: temporal relationships. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 1988; 245: 913–920.
- Gavériaux-Ruff C., Matthes H.W., Peluso J., Kieffer B.L. Abolition of morphine-immunosuppression in mice lacking the mu-opioid receptor gene. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 1998; 95: 6326–6330.

www.advpm.eu 55

- Philippe D., Dubuquoy L., Groux H. et al. Anti-inflammatory properties of the mu opioid receptor supports its use in the treatment of colon inflammation. J. Clin. Invest. 2003; 111: 1329–1338.
- 17. Binder W., Machelska H., Mousa S. et al. Analgesic and antiinflammatory effects of two novel kappa-opioid peptides. Anesthesiology 2001; 94: 1034–1044.
- Mousa S.A., Straub R.H., Schäfer M., Stein C. Beta-endorphin, Met-enkephalin and corresponding opioid receptors within synovium of patients with joint trauma, osteoarthritis and rheumatoid arthritis. Ann. Rheum. Dis. 2007; 66: 871–879.
- Sharp B.M., Roy S., Bidlack J.M. Evidence for opioid receptors on cells involved in host defense and the immune system. J. Neuroimmunol. 1998; 83: 45–56. Review.
- Rook J.M., Hasan W., McCarson K.E. Morphine-induced early delays in wound closure: involvement of sensory neuropeptides and modification of neurokinin receptor expression. Biochem. Pharmacol. 2009; 77: 1747–1755.
- Gupta K., Kshirsagar S., Chang L. et al. Morphine stimulates angiogenesis by activating proangiogenic and survival-promoting signaling and promotes breast tumor growth. Cancer Res. 2002; 62: 4491–4498.
- Harimaya Y., Koizumi K., Andoh T., Nojima H., Kuraishi Y., Saiki I. Potential ability of morphine to inhibit the adhesion, invasion and metastasis of metastatic colon 26–L5 carcinoma cells. Cancer Lett. 2002; 187: 121–127.
- Ben-Eliyahu S., Page G.G., Schleifer S.J. Stress, NK cells, and cancer: Still a promissory note. Brain Behav. Immun. 2007: 21: 881–887.
- Page G.G., Ben-Eliyahu S. A role for NK cells in greater susceptibility of young rats to metastatic formation. Dev. Comp. Immunol. 1999; 23: 87–96.
- Greenfeld K., Avraham R., Benish M. et al. Immune suppression while awaiting surgery and following it: dissociations between plasma cytokine levels, their induced production, and NK cell cytotoxicity. Brain Behav. Immun. 2007; 21: 503–513.
- Takeuchi H., Maehara Y., Tokunaga E., Koga T., Kakeji Y., Sugimachi K. Prognostic significance of natural killer cell activity in patients with gastric carcinoma: a multivariate analysis. Am. J. Gastroenterol. 2001; 96: 574–578.
- Taketomi A., Shimada M., Shirabe K., Kajiyama K., Gion T., Sugimachi K. Natural killer cell activity in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma: a new prognostic indicator af-

- ter hepatectomy. Cancer 1998; 83: 58-63.
- Shavit Y., Martin F.C., Yirmiya R. et al. Effects of a single administration of morphine or footshock stress on natural killer cell cytotoxicity. Brain Behav. Immun. 1987; 1: 318–328.
- Page G.G. Surgery-induced immunosuppression and postoperative pain management. AACN Clin. Issues. 2005; 16: 302–309. Review.
- Sacerdote P. Opioids and the immune system. Palliat. Med. 2006; 20: 9–15. Review.
- Sasamura T., Nakamura S., Iida Y. et al. Morphine analgesia suppresses tumor growth and metastasis in a mouse model of cancer pain produced by orthotopic tumor inoculation. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 2002; 441: 185–191.
- Nylund G., Pettersson A., Bengtsson C., Khorram-Manesh A., Nordgren S., Delbro D.S. Functional expression of muopioid receptors in the human colon cancer cell line, HT-29, and their localization in human colon. Dig. Dis. Sci. 2008; 53: 461–466.
- Chatikhine V.A., Chevrier A., Chauzy C. et al. Expression of opioid peptides in cells and stroma of human breast cancer and adenofibromas. Cancer Lett. 1994; 77: 51–56.
- 34. Brar B.K., Lowry P.J. The differential processing of proenkephalin A in mouse and human breast tumour cell lines. J. Endocrinol. 1999; 161: 475–484.
- Maneckjee R., Biswas R., Vonderhaar B.K. Binding of opioids to human MCF-7 breast cancer cells and their effects on growth. Cancer Res. 1990; 50: 2234–2238.
- Roth K.A., Barchas J.D. Small cell carcinoma cell lines contain opioid peptides and receptors. Cancer 1986; 57: 769

 –773
- 37. Zagon I.S., Verderame M.F., McLaughlin P.J. The biology of the opioid growth factor receptor (OGFr). Brain Res. Rev. 2002; 38: 351–376. Review.
- Zagon I.S., Verderame M.F., Hankins J., McLaughlin P.J. Overexpression of the opioid growth factor receptor potentiates growth inhibition in human pancreatic cancer cells. Int. J. Oncol. 2007; 30: 775–783.
- Goldenberg D., Zagon I.S., Fedok F., Crist H.S., McLaughlin P.J. Expression of opioid growth factor (OGF)-OGF receptor (OGFr) axis in human nonmedullary thyroid cancer. Thyroid 2008; 18: 1165–1170.
- Smith J.P., Conter R.L., Bingaman S.I. et al. Treatment of advanced pancreatic cancer with opioid growth factor: phase I. Anticancer Drugs 2004; 15: 203–209.